

Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation

F. Heymes, P Manno Demoustier, F. Charbit, Jean-Louis Fanlo, Philippe

Moulin

► To cite this version:

F. Heymes, P Manno Demoustier, F. Charbit, Jean-Louis Fanlo, Philippe Moulin. Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation. Journal of Membrane Science, 2006, 284 (1-2), pp.145 - 154. 10.1016/j.memsci.2006.07.029 . hal-01916633

HAL Id: hal-01916633 https://hal.science/hal-01916633v1

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation

F. Heymes, P. Demoustier, F. Charbit, J.L. Fanlo, Philippe Moulin

▶ To cite this version:

F. Heymes, P. Demoustier, F. Charbit, J.L. Fanlo, Philippe Moulin. Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation. Journal of Membrane Science, Elsevier, 2006, 284 (1-2), pp.145 - 154. 10.1016/j.memsci.2006.07.029 . hal-01916633

HAL Id: hal-01916633 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01916633

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation

F. Heymes^a, P. Manno Demoustier^{a,b}, F. Charbit^b, J.L. Fanlo^a, P. Moulin^{b,*}

^b LPPE, Université Paul Cézanne, Bâtiment Laennec, Hall C, Europole de l'Arbois, BP 80, Aix en Provence 13545, France

Received 15 March 2006; received in revised form 13 July 2006; accepted 19 July 2006

9 Abstract

The treatment of gaseous effluents containing hydrophobic volatile organic compounds (VOCS) can be carried out by absorption with the use of a heavy hydrophobic solvent. These solvents must be regenerated in order to be reused in the absorption process. A possible solution to continuously regenerate the absorbent is a hybrid absorption-pervaporation process, with the pervaporation step serving to regenerate the solvent. This piece of research examines the feasibility of that regeneration step. The VOC used was toluene and three high temperature boiling absorbents were considered: di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate (DEHA), diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP) and polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400).

Bibliographical research and a preliminary theoretical evaluation led to the choice of PDMS for separating the toluene/absorbent mixture, whatever the absorbent. Experiments using various absorbents showed that toluene passed through the membrane. The extracted toluene flows from DEHA were considerably higher that those measured using diisobutyl phthalate or polyethylene glycol. Therefore, di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate

18 would be the most easily regenerable absorbent.

No absorbent was found in the permeate, but a slow accumulation of DEHA was observed in the porous support. This point is of interest and could decrease the separation efficiency.

The predominant effect of the liquid boundary layer was highlighted. The resistance-in-series theory allowed the impact of the boundary layer to be quantified. The flow rates of toluene extraction from a DEHA solution were low and require improving the pervaporation regeneration performance to use this kind of separation in an industrial hybrid process.

24 25

26

2

Keywords: Pervaporation; Toluene; Adipate; Phthalate; Polyethylene glycol

27 **1. Introduction**

Volatile organic compounds (VOCS) are produced from 28 human activities and may cause health problems for humans 29 or damages to environment. To reduce these harmful emissions, 30 legislations have been set up to control industrial discharges 31 into the atmosphere (USA Clean Air Act amendments, EEC 32 directives). Therefore, industries either reconsider the produc-33 tion process to reduce their emissions or more frequently, set up 34 an end-of-pipe treatment unit. There are a number of existing 35 and emerging technologies for end-of-pipe VOC control: ther-36 mal or catalytic oxidation, condensation, adsorption, membrane 37 separation, biological treatments or absorption. Absorption can 38

0376-7388/\$ - see front matter doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2006.07.029

be carried out with water in the case of hydrophilic VOCs 39 absorption but, in case of hydrophobic VOCs such as toluene, 40 other kinds of absorbents are required. High temperature boiling 41 oils revealed a high efficiency to treat hydrophobic VOCs. The 42 remaining problem is what to do with the used absorbent: obvi-43 ously one solution is to regenerate the absorbent by removing 44 the absorbed VOCs. Among the classical chemical engineering 45 operations of separation, distillation and stripping are commonly 46 used in industry. These processes are efficient but quite expen-47 sive and therefore not suitable for air treatment. As a result, 48 only few hybrid processes coupling an absorption tower with a 49 regeneration step are employed in industry. 50

The process considered in this work is a hybrid ⁵¹ absorption-pervaporation process based on a continuous ⁵² regeneration of the used absorbent (Fig. 1). Several authors ⁵³ previously investigated the possibility to use this kind of ⁵⁴ system. Poddar et al. [1–3] studied a process coupling a hollow ⁵⁵

^a LGEI, Ecole des Mines d'Alès, 6 Avenue de Clavières, Alès 30319, France

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 4 42 90 85 05; fax: +33 4 42 90 85 15. *E-mail address:* philippe.moulin@univ-cezanne.fr (P. Moulin).

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Hybrid absorption-pervaporation process.

fibre membrane absorber with a pervaporation module based 56 on a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane. They used 57 silicon oil or Paratherm with various VOCs (methanol, acetone, 58 methylene chloride and toluene). Vorotynsev et al. [4] used 59 a combined absorbing-pervaporating module to absorb and 60 pervaporate simultaneously in the same device. The gas bubbled 61 directly in the liquid located in a pervaporation chamber. They 62 used polyethylene glycol 600 (PEG 600) as an absorbent with 63 a PDMS or a cellulose acetate membrane to recover hydroxide chloride or hydrogen. The conclusions of theses studies are 65 that the performance of the hybrid absorption-pervaporation 66 process is ultimately controlled by the limitations of the perva-67 poration mechanism, due to the permeability of the membrane and especially to effects of the liquid boundary layer. 69

Since the boundary layer effect is strongly linked with the 70 viscosity of the liquid, a previous study [5] aimed to select 71 a high performance absorbent. Criteria were a good affinity 72 with toluene, a low vapor pressure and a low viscosity. Several 73 absorbents were investigated, among them di(2-ethylhexyl) adi-74 pate (DEHA), diisobutylphthalate (DIBP) and polyethylene gly-75 col 400 (PEG 400). Data of interest are summarized in Table 1. 76 In order to study the feasibility of the hybrid process, it is 77 necessary to verify if toluene can be removed by pervaporation 78 from a solution with these absorbents. Consequently, the aims 79 of this work are: (i) to choose an adequate membrane to split the 80 toluene-absorbent mixtures; (ii) measure the fluxes and selectiv-81 ity of the separation; (iii) verify the influence of the temperature; 82 and (iv) since all absorbents are quite viscous, consider the liquid 83 boundary layer effect. Responses will be given on a literature 84 basis (i), an experimental data basis (ii and iii) or theoretical 85 considerations (iv). 86



1.1. Choice of the membrane

The chemical structures of toluene and the different 88 absorbents are very different. A molar weight difference between 89 toluene (92 g mol^{-1}) and the different absorbents as well as a 90 sharp boiling temperature difference between toluene (105.6 $^{\circ}$ C) 91 and the different absorbents can be noticed (Table 1). Previ-92 ous experiments [5,6] investigated thermodynamic vapor-liquid 93 equilibriums and enabled to calculate the vapor pressure of pure 94 toluene, pure DEHA and toluene above toluene-DEHA mixtures 95 as a function of temperature. Results are given in Fig. 2. A linear 96 relationship between the toluene vapor pressure and the toluene 97 fraction in the liquid was observed up to $x = 0.057 (13.20 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ 98 in DEHA), which is a little extended in regards with the usual 99 validity range [7]. The Henry's law coefficients for that linear 100 range are given in Table 1 and activity coefficient of toluene in 101 toluene-DEHA mixtures are given in Fig. 2. The vapor pressures 102 of toluene and DEHA are significantly different, for exam-103 ple at 25 °C: $P(\text{toluene}) = 3804 \text{ Pa}; P(\text{DEHA}) = 7.47 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa},$ 104 $P(10 \text{ g L}^{-1}) = 80 \text{ Pa}, P(1 \text{ g L}^{-1}) = 8 \text{ Pa}.$ Even at low concentra-105 tions, the toluene vapor pressure is more than a factor 10^{10} 106 higher than the DEHA vapor pressure: toluene should be easily 107 extracted from DEHA by pervaporation. This membrane was 108 therefore chosen to extract toluene with a high flux from the 109 liquid phase. 110

87

Pervaporation membranes can be divided into three categories according to their use: 111

Hydrophilic (water selective) membranes show a high per meability for water and little permeability for organic com pounds.

Table 1 Properties of the studied absorbents						
Component	Boiling point (°C)	Molar weight $(g \text{ mol}^{-1})$	Density (kg m ⁻³)	Viscosity at 20 °C (Pa s)	Henry's coefficient H (25 °C) (Pa m ³ kg ⁻¹)	Solubility parameter $(cal cm^{-3})^{1/2}$
DEHA	210	351	930	0.0125	7.74	8.1
DIBP	320	278	1043	0.0378	9.73	9.0
PEG 400	250	400	1130	0.1336	15.27	10.1

PEG 400, polyethylene 400; DEHA, di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate; DIBP, diisobutyl phthalate.

- Organophilic (hydrophobic) membranes show good permeation rates for organic molecules but at the expense of low selectivity. Such membranes are used on a technical scale to remove pollutants or recover final products (e.g. of fermentation) from water [8,9]. Only little industrial use has been observed despite the high apparent potential of this technology.
- Organo-selective membranes are able to separate different
 organic compounds from each other [10]. Applications have
 mostly been found in the separation of aromatics from aliphat ics [11–16] or in isomer separation [17]. This piece of research
 is included in that category.

Since the main target of the considered separation was to 128 remove toluene from the absorbent with the highest rate, mem-129 branes allowing toluene to cross easily across the membrane 130 material are required. A literature review showed that PDMS is 131 very permeable for toluene and is an interesting choice from an 132 industrial point of view since it is a stable polymer, produced by 133 a well established film manufacturing technique, and is already 134 successfully used in industrial applications. 135

PDMS swells considerably on contact with organic solutions 136 137 due to the solubilization of the molecules in the polymer. This induces several problems for an industrial use: the degradation 138 of the polymer selectivity and a possible loss of the mem-139 brane integrity consequent to a non-homogenous and important 140 swelling of the active layer of the membrane. A commercial 141 PDMS membrane was used in order to characterize the perva-142 poration of toluene from DEHA mixtures. 143

144 2. Modelling

145 2.1. Mass transfer equations

The pervaporation phenomenon can be represented by a succession of different mechanisms usual in mass transfer systems
(Fig. 3): (i) Fick diffusion of the permeate in the liquid boundary
layer, (ii) thermodynamic equilibrium of permeate between the



Fig. 3. Concentration profile of the transport phenomenon.

liquid and the membrane, (iii) permeate diffusion in the polymer,
(iv) thermodynamic equilibrium of permeate between the mem-
brane and the downstream gas phase in the porous media and
(v) Knudsen diffusion in the porous media. Both the upstream
liquid feed and the downstream vacuum chamber are supposed
to be perfectly mixed.150

(i) On the membrane surface, a liquid boundary layer depending on the fluid properties and hydrodynamics creates a diffusion area where the mass transfer obeys to the Fickian diffusion of the permeates:

$$J = \frac{D_{\rm L}}{\delta_{\rm bl}} (C_{\rm b} - C_{\rm bl}) = k_{\rm bl} (C_{\rm b} - C_{\rm bl}) \tag{1}$$

where *J* is the mass transfer flow rate $(kg m^{-2} s^{-1})$, D_L the diffusion coefficient of the permeate $(m^2 s^{-1})$, δ_{bl} the boundary layer thickness (m), C_b and C_{bl} are the concentration of permeate in the bulk feed and in the boundary layer adjacent to the membrane surface $(kg m^{-3})$. k_{bl} is the mass transfer coefficient $(m s^{-1})$.

(ii) At the interface between the liquid and the membrane, a 167 thermodynamic equilibrium links the concentration in the 168 liquid phase C_{bl} to the concentration in the membrane C_m 169 $(kg m^{-3})$. Partitioning behaviour of a substance penetrating 170 a polymer is usually determined by gas absorption exper-171 iments [18]. It is assumed that the sorption isotherms are 172 linear, thus the equilibrium can be described by the solubil-173 ity S (kg m⁻³ Pa⁻¹) as follows: 174

$$S = \frac{C_{\rm m}}{P_{\rm g}} \tag{2}$$

where $P_{\rm g}$ (Pa) is the pressure in equilibrium with the liquid phase and $C_{\rm m}$ (kg m⁻³) is the penetrating concentration into the membrane. De Bo et al. [18] gives the partition coefficient of toluene between gas phase and PDMS: S = 0.0329 kg m⁻³ Pa⁻¹. The partial pressure on the feed side $P_{\rm g}$ can be linked to the molar fraction in the feed liquid x by the formula:

$$P_{\rm g} = \gamma \cdot x \cdot P^{\rm sat} \tag{3}$$

where γ is the activity coefficient of the permeate in the liquid and P^{sat} is the saturation vapor pressure of the permeate. Combining Eqs. (3) and (4) yields: 186

$$C_{\rm m} = S \cdot \gamma \cdot P^{\rm sat} x \tag{4}$$

Thus, the permeate will increasingly be absorbed in the 188 membrane polymer if the activity coefficient of the permeate 189 in the feed liquid is higher. This is the effect of the repulsion 190 of the liquid solvent which increases the solubilization of 191 the species to pervaporate into the membrane polymer. The 192 activity coefficient of toluene is 0.50 in DEHA, 0.88 in DIBP 193 and 1.23 in PEG 400 [5]. Toluene will consequently be more 194 absorbed in PDMS in the case of a solution with PEG 400. 195

Practically, it is more convenient to use Henry's law where it can be applied, i.e. where the equilibrium can be represented by a line: $P_{\rm g} = H \cdot C_{\rm bl}$, *H* is the Henry's coefficient. A

3

4

20

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

previous paper [5] reported that $H_{\text{DEHA}} = 7.74 \text{ Pa kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^3$; 199 $H_{\text{DIBP}} = 9.73 \text{ Pa kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^3$ and $H_{\text{PEG400}} = 15.27 \text{ Pa kg}^{-1} \text{ m}^3$. 200 (iii) The diffusion in the membrane polymer obeys Fick's law: 201

²
$$J = \frac{D_{\rm M}}{\delta_{\rm M}} (C_{\rm m} - C_{\rm m'}) = k_{\rm m} (C_{\rm m} - C_{\rm m'})$$
(5)

where $C_{\rm m'}$ (kg m⁻³) is the concentration in the polymer on 203 the vacuum side. The diffusivity $D_{\rm M}$ depends on the swelling 204 of the polymeric material in the case of rubbery polymers. 205

(iv) The thermodynamic equilibrium between the membrane 206 polymer and the vacuum side can be described with Eq. 207 (3)208

(v) The Knudsen diffusion may play a limiting role in the overall 209 mass transfer. 210

2.2. Boundary layer 211

The boundary layer effect is characteristic of all membrane 212 processes. This boundary layer is represented by a stagnant fluid 213 adjacent to the membrane surface. All permeating compounds 214 must cross this layer in which the transfer is diffusive. The 215 consequence is that the boundary layer can significantly affect 216 the overall permeation efficiency of the process, especially in 217 case of viscous liquids. Determining the effect of the boundary 218 layer requires knowing its thickness δ_{bl} . It is difficult to obtain 219 accurate predictions for this parameter using existing dimension-220 less correlations. The usual way to calculate the boundary layer 221 thickness δ_{bl} is to calculate the Sherwood number $Sh = k_{bl} \cdot d_h / D_L$, 222 where $d_{\rm h}$ is the hydraulic diameter of the device. The Sherwood 223 number is calculated from Reynolds number $Re = \rho \cdot U \cdot d_h / \mu$ and 224 the Schmidt number $Sc = \mu/\rho \cdot D_L$ according to the equation: 225

$$Sh = aRe^{b}Sc^{c}\left(\frac{d_{h}}{L}\right)^{d}$$
(6)

where L is the total effective membrane length. As pointed out 227 by Hickey and Gooding [19], the main problem is the definition 228 of the characteristic dimension (i.e. the hydraulic diameter $d_{\rm h}$) of 229 a feed flow channel in the case of special pervaporation modules 230 such as the one used in this work. Thus, it is preferable to extract 231 values from experimental data. A common approach to obtain 232 values for $k_{\rm bl}$ is to use the data of J obtained from pervapora-233 tion experiments and to plot the results as a function of different 234 membrane thicknesses. This way, the mass transfer resistance 235 of the boundary layer can be determined and the $k_{\rm bl}$ value 236 deducted. Another method is to use the resistances-in-series 237 model. 238

2.3. Resistances-in-series model 239

A convenient representation of the overall mass transfer is the 240 resistances-in-series model, in which the overall resistance to 241 transport is the sum of the boundary layer resistance, the mem-242 brane resistance and the support layer resistance. The overall 243 mass transfer flow rate can be expressed with the pressure drop 244 as the driving force with an overall mass transfer coefficient K_{ov} . 245 The pressures are taken as the vapor pressure in equilibrium with 246

the liquid phase on the liquid phase P_b and the vacuum phase 247 P_{vac} . The overall mass transfer flow rate can then be written as: 248

$$J = K_{\rm ov} \cdot (P_{\rm b} - P_{\rm vac}) \tag{7}$$

The following equation is commonly used to represent the 250 resistances-in-series model: 251

$$J = \frac{1}{R_{\rm ov}} (P_{\rm b} - P_{\rm vac})$$
(8) 252

This model is called resistances-in-series because it enables 253 to add the resistances of all mass transfer steps: 254

$$R_{\rm ov} = R_{\rm bl} + R_{\rm m} + R_{\rm s} \tag{9} \tag{9}$$

where R_{ov} , R_{bl} , R_m and R_s (Pa m² s¹ kg⁻¹, equivalent to m s⁻¹) 256 are respectively the resistances of the boundary layer, the mem-257 brane and the support. Remembering that Eq. (1) can be written 258 as: 259

$$J = k_{\rm bl}(C_{\rm b} - C_{\rm bl}) = \frac{k_{\rm bl}}{H}(P_{\rm b} - P_{\rm bl})$$
(10) 260

Eq. (5) can be written as: 26

$$J = k_{\rm M} \cdot (C_{\rm m} - C_{\rm m'}) = S \cdot k_{\rm M} (P_{\rm bl} - P_{\rm s}) \tag{11}$$

If gas concentrations are used instead of partial pressures, J can 263 be written thanks to the membrane permeability: 264

$$J = S \cdot k_{\rm M}(P_{\rm bl} - P_{\rm s}) = \frac{RT}{M} S \cdot k_{\rm M}(C_{\rm G,bl} - C_{\rm G,s})$$
²⁶⁵

$$= P(C_{G,bl} - C_{G,s})$$
 (12) 266

P is the permeability $(m^2 s^{-1})$ of the membrane and *C*_G are the 267 mass concentrations $(g m^{-3})$ in the gas phases. 268 Finally, 269

$$R_{\rm bl} = \frac{H \cdot \delta_{\rm bl}}{D_{\rm L}} = \frac{H}{k_{\rm bl}} \tag{13a} \tag{13a}$$

$$R_{\rm m} = \frac{\delta_{\rm M}}{S \cdot D_{\rm M}} = \frac{1}{S \cdot k_{\rm M}} \tag{13b} \tag{13b}$$

This theory presents the clear advantage to show the influence 272 of the different parameters: diffusion into the liquid $D_{\rm L}$ and 273 into the membrane $D_{\rm M}$, hydrodynamics in the liquid boundary 274 layer (δ_{bl}), thickness of the membrane (δ_M) and thermodynamic 275 equilibriums of toluene between the liquid and gas phases H or 276 the membrane polymer and gas phase S. 277

278

3. Materials and methods

Two pervaporation modules were used to carry out the exper-279 iments: a planar module and a tubular module. For the sake 280 of simplicity, both pervaporation modules could be used on a 281 common experimental apparatus, simply by changing the tube 282 connections. Fig. 4 shows a diagram of the experimental appa-283 ratus. 284

A preparation of absorbent containing a fixed concentration 285 of toluene was thermostated in a double walled container (3). 286 This liquid was pumped into the pervaporation module (1) by 287 means of a centrifugal pump (2). A vacuum was applied to the 288

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx





Fig. 4. Pervaporation device flow diagram.

downstream section by means of a vane pump (4). The permeates 289 condensed by liquid nitrogen were alternately recovered in cold 290 traps (5). The vacuum pump was protected by an additional cold 291 trap (6). The level of vacuum was fixed by means of the regulator 292 valve (7). For weighing purposes, the vacuum in the cold traps 293 could be broken by the two three-way control valves (8). The 294 pervaporate was thus recovered in batches, using two cold traps 295 on an alternating basis. 296

Two cell geometries were chosen in order to study differ-297 ent geometries and mass transfer resistance upstream of the 298 membrane: a flat and a tubular geometry. The start-up proto-299 col consisted of five steps: (i) preparation of the solution to 300 be treated, placement in the thermostated container and closure 301 of the opening, (ii) start-up of the pump and circulation of the 302 warm-up liquid in the double skin, (iii) after stabilization of 303 the temperature, application of a vacuum to two pervaporation 304 traps, (iv) once the vacuum applied, immersion of the traps in 305 the cold traps filled with liquid nitrogen $(-196 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$, the nitrogen 306 levels were topped up once the cold traps reached the correct 307 temperature, (v) opening of the vacuum valve of the module, 308 starting of chronometer. The traps were changed regularly using 309 the three-way valves (8) and immediately closed with Parafilm. 310 Once they reached ambient temperature, they were wiped and 311 weighed. The samples contained in the traps were washed in a 312 known mass of ethanol in order to recover all the condensates in 313 hermetic flasks. Samples were then analysed by UV and FTIR 314 spectroscopy. 315

Experiments were carried out with pure toluene, pure 316 absorbents and mixtures of toluene with the different absorbents. 317 In the case of pure toluene, cold traps were changed every 10 min 318 and each experiment lasted 1 h. In case of pure absorbents, cold 319 traps were changed every hour and each experiment lasted 6 h. In 320 321 case of the mixtures, cold traps were changed every 30 min and each experiment lasted 6 h. All experiments were repeated once. 322 The first flow rates were not taken into account because they cor-323 responded to a transitory regime. Once the measured flow rates 324

were stable, an average value was calculated for all remaining cold traps and then compared to the repeated experiment. 326

Toluene concentrations to investigate were chosen in accor-327 dance to a real absorbent solution concentration at the bottom of 328 an absorption column. Studies done on an experimental absorp-329 tion tower treating an exhaust gas (25 °C) charged with toluene 330 at $3.7 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ give a maximum concentration (thermodynamic 331 equilibrium) of 13.20 g L^{-1} (DEHA), 10.60 g L^{-1} (DIBP) and 332 6.80 g L^{-1} (PEG 400). The concentration range in liquid phase 333 to regenerate was therefore chosen as $(1-10 \text{ g L}^{-1})$. 334

3.1. Plane pervaporation cell

The first pervaporation device was provided by SEMPASS 336 (model QFZ30, Germany) (Fig. 5). It consists of two compart-337 ments separated by the membrane. The 55 cm^2 membrane (1) 338 is mounted on a porous metallic support (2), thickness 5 mm, 339 pore diameter $100 \,\mu\text{m}$. The feed flow (3) ran radially through 340 the pervaporation cavity and the solvent was recovered from 341 the top of the module (4). A vacuum was applied to the lower 342 part of the module (5) and the pervaporate was recovered from 343 the side (6). The module could be split into two parts in order 344 to change the membrane, with a Viton O-ring and a circular 345 clamp to ensure water tightness. It should be noted that the top 346 of the pervaporation cavity had a hyperbolic metallic surface, 347 thus maintaining a constant tangential speed whatever the radial 348 position considered on the membrane. The particular configu-349 ration of the module (symmetry and variable thickness) meant 350 that the hydraulic diameter could not be calculated in the usual 351 way. The manufacturer gave the hydraulic diameter as 1.1 mm 352 and indicated that the formula giving the tangential speed as a 353 function of the flow rate is written as: $U_{\rm L} = 8580 \times Q_{\rm L}$, where 354 $U_{\rm L}$ is the tangential circulation velocity (m s⁻¹) and $Q_{\rm L}$ is the 355 volumic flow rate $(m^3 s^{-1})$. 356

The membrane used was a Sulzer PERVAP 1060 ChemTech $_{357}$ sheet consisting of two parts (i) an active layer (20 μ m) in $_{358}$

335

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Planar pervaporation device.

PDMS, which was put in direct contact with the input to be
treated; (ii) a macroporous polyacrylonitrile (PAN) supporting
layer (250 μm), to ensure the mechanical characteristics of the
membrane.

363 3.2. Tubular pervaporation cell

The tubular module (Fig. 6) was provided by Pervatech 364 BV (model PVM-250-10-1-250 RPV/G, The Netherlands). 365 A separating layer of PDMS (1) was deposited on the inside 366 surface of a tubular silica-based ceramic support (2). The 367 liquid to be treated (3), (4) flowed inside a tube of interior 368 diameter 7 mm and length 250 mm, giving an active surface 369 area of $55 \,\mathrm{cm}^2$. A vacuum was applied to the filter body of 370 the tube (5) by means of a lateral outlet (6). The module 371 could also function by flushing with an inert vector gas, 372 entering the filter body through another inlet (7), which was 373 hermetically sealed for our experiments. The formula giving 374 the tangential speed in function of the flow is written as: $U_{\rm L}$ = 375 $25,984 \times Q_{\rm L}$. 376

The manufacturer indicated that the membrane is made up
of a series of four deposited layers: (i) an alpha-AlO₂ alumina support layer, thickness 1.5 mm, pore diameter 3 μm (ii)
a first alpha-AlO₂ alumina layer, thickness 50 μm, pore diameter 0.5 μm (iii) a second alpha-AlO₂ alumina layer, thickness
30 μm, pore diameter 0.1 μm, (iv) a third alpha-AlO₂ alumina
layer, thickness 2 μm, pore diameter 0.2 μm, and (v) a fourth
layer, thickness 2 μm, the selective PDMS barrier.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Pure toluene pervaporation

A pervaporation experiment using pure toluene was carried 386 out for each membrane. The average flow rates obtained were 387 $1.5 \text{ kg h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ for the tubular membrane and 2.8 kg h⁻¹ m⁻² for 388 the planar membrane ($P_{\text{vac}} = 1$ mbar and $T = 25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$). Since no liq-389 uid boundary layer can occur, these values allow calculation of 390 the permeability of the two membranes: $P = 1.13 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ 391 with the flat 1060 membrane and $P = 6.04 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ with 302 the tubular membrane. The value of the PERVAP membrane is close to literature values: Boscaini et al. proposed a perme-394 ability of $2.07 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [20] at 30 °C with a hollow fiber 395 membrane made of PDMS.

This permeability difference could result from a different PDMS layer (not given by the manufacturer) or from the limiting effect of the porous support which has been shown by previous observations [21]. The pores of the ceramic support ($\leq 3 \mu m$) are smaller than those of the porous support of the flat membrane (200 μm). Because of this permeability difference, future results will be presented about the Pervap 1060 membrane.

4.2. Pure absorbent pervaporation

Pervaporation experiments were carried out using pure 405 absorbents (DEHA, DIBP, PEG 400) in order to measure their 406 ability to cross the membrane. 407



Please cite this article as: F. Heymes et al., Recovery of toluene from high temperature boiling absorbents by pervaporation, Journal of Membrane Science (2006), doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2006.07.029.

6

384

385

404

Table 2	
Toluene pervaporation fluxes for 100 and 10 g L^{-1} solutions	

Absorbent	Feed toluene concentration $C_{\rm b} ({\rm g L}^{-1})$	Temperature (°C)	Average pervaporation flow rate J (g m ⁻² h ⁻¹)
DEHA	100	25	159
DIBP	100	25	80
PEG 400	100	25	85
DEHA	10	25	17
DIBP	10	25	5
PEG 400	10	25	3.5

An average flow rate of $1.9 \text{ g h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ was measured for the 408 pervaporation of pure DEHA, $2.9 \text{ g} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ in the case of pure 409 DIBP and nothing was measured with pure PEG 400. These 410 results show that the absorbents cross the membrane to a very 411 limited extent, which is promising for their regeneration by per-412 vaporation. It can be noticed that PEG 400 was not detected at 413 all in the permeate. 414

Solubility parameters were calculated for all absorbents. The 415 corresponding value for Δ is 1 cal cm⁻³ for DEHA–PDMS, 416 0.01 cal cm⁻³ for DIBP-PDMS and 1 cal cm⁻³ for PEG 417 400–PDMS. Theses values show that Δ values are not suffi-418 cient to explain the pervaporation flow rates of such absorbents. 419 Indeed, the effects of the diffusion in the membrane material are 420 predominant. 421

Since all absorbents have a high boiling point, condensation 422 onto the porous support could occur on the vacuum side. This 423 point was verified experimentally by 50 h with pure DEHA and 424 by weighing the porous support each 10 h. Results gave a sta-425 ble average flow rate of $21 \text{ g h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ which should be added 426 to the permeate flow rate collected in the cold traps in the case 427 of pure DEHA pervaporation. This implies an important conse-428 quence: the support layer will gradually be filled with DEHA 429 and the toluene flow rate will drastically decrease due to the 430 wetted porous support resistance. 43

4.3. Mixture pervaporation 432

Previous data about pure components show that toluene per-433 meates more than 100 times faster than pure absorbents. It is 434 nonetheless necessary to verify experimentally the pervapora-435 tion of toluene while contained in the different absorbents. Two 436 kinds of toluene enriched absorbent solutions were made and 437 tested with the planar membrane: a set of solutions enriched 438 with $100 \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ of toluene and a set enriched with $10 \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ of 439 toluene. Experiments were carried out at 25 °C, vacuum pres-440 sure was 100 Pa and the liquid velocity was kept constant for all 441

experiments (1.8 m s^{-1}) . The different flow rates corresponding to the cold traps weights were stable and an average could be calculated. Values are presented in Table 2.

Analyses did not detect the presence of absorbent in the sam-445 ples, which indicates that the swelling of the PDMS membrane 446 due to toluene inclusion in the polymeric chains did not greatly 447 increase the absorbent pervaporation flow rate. With regards to 448 toluene flow rates, DEHA proved to be the most easily regenerable solvent, with a much higher toluene extraction flow rate than those observed with DIBP or PEG 400, which goes contrary to the thermodynamic fact that PEG 400 promotes toluene absorption into the membrane. According to that conclusion, all following experiments were carried with DEHA.

4.4. PDMS active layer and support layer resistances

Experiments were carried out to evaluate the influence of the PDMS active layer and of the porous support on the toluene flux. 457 To achieve that goal, three different configurations were used in 458 the same operating conditions during 6 h. Table 3 summarizes 459 the results. All flow rates are similar which leads to the conclusion that the main resistance is located with the boundary liquid 461 effect. This is not surprising since DEHA is a viscous liquid. 462

4.5. Influence of liquid velocity

Twelve experiments at different shear velocities were carried 464 out with DEHA in the planar module. The measured flow rates 465 are listed in Table 4. An increase in the velocity of the liquid 466 led to an increase in the pervaporation flow, which highlights 467 the key role of the liquid boundary layer. The regime is laminar, 468 even in the case of higher speeds ($Re \leq 400$). By considering that 469 no support layer resistance occurs, results allowed the calcula-470 tion of the mass transfer coefficient $k_{\rm bl}$ and Sherwood numbers 471 through the liquid boundary layer. $\ln(Sh)$ versus $\ln(Re)$ is plotted 472 in Fig. 7. The good correlation of the points confirms that the per-473 vaporation flows are essentially limited by the laminar boundary 474 layer. The power of the Reynolds number is 0.51 which is differ-475 ent from the Levesque's Reynolds power (1/3). This translates 476 the special hydrodynamics in the radial hyperbolic fluid flow in 477 the SEMPASS pervaporation module. 478

4.6. Influence of temperature

Experiments with DEHA were performed to evaluate the 480 influence of temperature. Temperature variations applied to 481 pure toluene and a DEHA-toluene mixture (9 g L^{-1}), increased 482 greatly the toluene fluxes recovered downstream (Fig. 8). 483

Table 3

Influence of the PDMS thickness and the porous support pore size

Feed toluene concentration $C_{\rm b}$ (g L ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)	PDMS active layer thickness (µm)	Porous support layer pore diameter (µm)	Average pervaporation flow rate $J (g m^{-2} h^{-1})$
4.6	48	20	200	5.1
4.6	48	20	50	4.9
4.6	48	40	200	5.0



7

456

455

460

479

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

Table 4	
Influence of the liq	uid velocity

8

Liquid velocity (m s ⁻¹)	Reynolds number <i>Re</i>	Feed toluene concentration C_b (g L ⁻¹)	Temperature (°C)	Average pervaporation flow rate $J (g m^{-2} h^{-1})$
0.34	102	4.6	48	3.8
0.60	176	4.6	48	5.1
0.95	282	4.6	48	6.2
0.34	102	6.3	48	5.0
0.60	176	6.3	48	6.8
0.95	282	6.3	48	8.6
0.34	102	10.7	48	8.4
0.60	176	10.7	48	11.1
1.30	388	10.7	48	16.9



Fig. 7. Sherwood number vs. Reynolds number (25 °C).

We observed a different value for the activation energy of the 484 pervaporation flow for pure toluene in PDMS (78.231 kJ mol⁻¹) 485 and for a toluene concentration of 9 g L^{-1} (23.025 kJ mol⁻¹). 486 This apparent activation energy difference probably results from 487 a temperature polarization on the liquid side. This phenomenon 488 occurs when the permeates require an important heat of vapor-489 ization coupled with high pervaporation fluxes, and is promoted 490 when bad hydrodynamics create an important boundary layer 491 on the membrane surface [22]. 492





Understanding the meaning of the activation energy is not 493 simple and involves several phenomena. Literature data [20] 494 enable to calculate the permeability of a PDMS membrane as a 495 function of temperature (Fig. 9). The experimental permeabil-496 ity proposed by Leeman et al. [23] was added for comparison. 497 The permeability of the PDMS membrane decreases when the 498 temperature increases although the diffusivity is higher. This 499 is due to the unfavorable influence of the temperature on the 500 sorption equilibrium of toluene in PDMS. However, increas-501 ing temperature will increase the vapor pressure of toluene in 502 equilibrium with DEHA mixtures. Calculations were done to 503 calculate the overall flux for x = 0.01, 0.005 and 0.001 with the 504 hypothesis of no thermal boundary layer. Results are shown in Fig. 9. These theoretical behaviours follow the usual log-law, 506 and a linear regression of $\ln(J)$ versus 1/RT allowed calculation 507 of the activation energy. For x = 0.04 (~9 g L⁻¹), the calculated 508 activation energy is $E_a = 31.356 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, which has to be com-509 pared with the apparent activation energy measured during the 510 experiments: $E_a = 23.025 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. According to Favre [22] this 511 activation energy could result from the temperature polarization. 512

To verify if polarization occurs, it is interesting to change the liquid velocity while pervaporating a pure liquid. Since no mass transfer boundary occurs, hydrodynamic changes while increasing liquid velocity results in a temperature polarization decrease [22]. This was done with pure DEHA, but no change was observed.



Fig. 9. Influence on temperature on toluene permeability and on the theoretical toluene flow rates.

519 5. Conclusion

Bibliographical research and a preliminary theoretical 520 evaluation led to the choice of PDMS for separating the 521 toluene/absorbent mixture, whatever the absorbent. PDMS has 522 a high affinity for toluene and a lower affinity for the differ-523 ent absorbents. The permeability of the toluene was evaluated 524 at 25 °C and confirmed the potential of PDMS for recover-525 ing toluene. Experiments led with the various pure absorbents 526 showed that no absorbent was detected in the filtrates. The pres-527 ence of absorbent in the filtrate was only detected in the case of 528 the pervaporation of pure solvents. An experiment carried out 529 on a long period with DEHA showed that DEHA accumulates in 530 the porous support. This could happen with the other absorbents 531 but was not investigated in this work. This is a major point which 532 should be carefully considered. In the case of toluene-absorbent 533 mixtures (10 g L^{-1}) , results led to the conclusion that DEHA 534 would be the most easily regenerable absorbent. The toluene 535 flows extracted were considerably higher that those measured 536 using DIBP or PEG 400. 537

Experimental pervaporation flows at low toluene concentra-538 tions ($<10 \text{ g L}^{-1}$) were very low. The predominant effect of the 539 liquid boundary layer was highlighted. The resistance-in-series 540 theory enabled the impact of the boundary layer to be quantified. 541 Liquid hydrodynamics upstream of the membrane therefore 542 seem to be the major parameter. The tubular module was 543 intended to study this question rigorously, but the experiments 544 could not be carried out due to the module being accidentally 545 damaged. 546

Pervaporation was investigated to regenerate a heavy boiling 547 absorbent containing toluene at low concentrations ($<10 \text{ g L}^{-1}$). 548 This process was chosen because of thermal decomposition of 549 heavy absorbents by distillation and absorbent loss by strip-550 ping. This work showed that the separation by pervaporation 551 could be achieved. However, several problems were highlighted: 552 strong boundary layer effects on the mass and heat transfer and 553 accumulation of absorbent in the porous support. These reasons 554 discourage the use of pervaporation for the considered purpose 555 and other solutions have to be investigated. 556

557 Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with the financial support of the ADEME (Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie, France) and the Europe Environnement company (Vieux Thann, France).

Nomenclature

 $\begin{array}{ll} C_{\rm b} & {\rm concentration\ into\ the\ bulk\ of\ the\ fluid\ (kg\ m^{-3})} \\ C_{\rm bl} & {\rm concentration\ into\ the\ boundary\ layer\ (kg\ m^{-3})} \\ C_{\rm m},\ C_{\rm m'} & {\rm concentration\ in\ the\ membrane\ on\ the\ liquid\ or\ the\ vacuum\ interface\ (kg\ m^{-3})} \\ d_{\rm h} & {\rm hydraulic\ diameter\ (m)} \end{array}$

D_{L}	diffusion coefficient of toluene into the absorbents $(m^2 s^{-1})$
D_{M}	diffusion coefficient of toluene into the membrane $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$
	$(m^2 s^{-1})$
H	Henry's coefficient (Pa m ² g ⁻¹) (1 - 1 - 2)
J	pervaporation now rate (kg s ⁻¹ m ⁻²)
<i>k</i> _{bl}	mass transfer coefficient (m s^{-1})
M	molar weight of toluene $(g mol^{-1})$
P	permeability $(m^2 s^{-1})$
Pg	partial pressure (Pa)
P ^{sat}	saturation vapor pressure (Pa)
$Q_{ m L}$	liquid flow rate $(m^3 h^{-1})$
R	perfect gas coefficient (= $8.31441 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$)
S	solubility of a penetrant into a polymer
	$(kg m^{-3} Pa^{-1})$
t	experiment time (h)
Т	temperature (K)
$U_{\rm L}$	liquid velocity $(m s^{-1})$
Greek le	etters
$\delta_{ m bl}$	boundary layer thickness (m)
δ	solubility parameter $(cal cm^{-3})^{1/2}$
$\delta_{\rm S}$	solubility parameter of the solvent $(cal cm^{-3})^{1/2}$
$\delta_{\mathbf{M}}$	solubility parameter of the membrane
	$(cal cm^{-3})^{1/2}$
$\delta_{toluene}$	solubility parameter of toluene $(cal cm^{-3})^{1/2}$
γ	activity coefficient
Subscri	nts
h	bulk of the fluid
bl	boundary laver
m	membrane
111	

vac vacuum side

References

- [1] T.K. Poddar, Membrane-based absorption of VOCs from a gas stream, AIChE J. 42 (1996) 3267–3282.
- [2] T.K. Poddar, S. Majumdar, K.K. Sirkar, Removal of VOCs from air by membrane-based absorption and stripping, J. Membr. Sci. 120 (1996) 221–237.
- [3] T.K. Poddar, K. Sirkar, A hybrid vapor permeation and membrane-based absorption-stripping for VOC removal and recovery from gaseous emissions, J. Membr. Sci. (1997) 229–233.
- [4] V.M. Vorotynsev, P.N. Drozdov, E.Y. Kolotov, Gas mixtures separation by an absorbing pervaporation method, Desalination 149 (2002) 23–27.
- [5] F. Heymes, P. Manno Demoustier, F. Charbit, J.L. Fanlo, M.P. A new efficient absorption liquid to treat exhaust air loaded with toluene, Chem. Eng. Sci., 115 (2006) 225–231.
- [6] W. Weisweiler, H. Winterbauer, Alternatives Auswahlkriterium für Waschflüssigkeiten zur Absorption flüchtiger organischer Verbindungen, Staub-Rheinhaltung der Luft 55 (1995) 221–228.
- [7] J.J. Carrol, What is Henry's law? Chem. Eng. Prog. 87 (1991) 45–52.
- [8] P. Moulin, T. Allouane, L. Latapie, C. Raufast, F. Charbit, Treatment and valorisation of an industrial effluent by pervaporation, J. Membr. Sci. 197 (2002) 103–115.
- [9] P. Sampranpiboon, R. Jiraratananon, D. Uttapap, X. Feng, R.Y.M. Huang, Separation of aroma compounds from aqueous solutions

584

562

563

564

565

566

F. Heymes et al. / Journal of Membrane Science xxx (2006) xxx-xxx

10

585

by pervaporation using polyoctylmethyl siloxane (POMS) and poly- [16] I

- dimethyl siloxane (PDMS) membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 174 (2000) 55–
 65.
- [10] B. Smitha, D. Suhanya, S. Sridhar, M. Ramakrishna, Separation of
 organic-organic mixtures by pervaporation—a review, J. Membr. Sci.
 241 (2004) 1–21.
- [11] V.S. Cunha, M.L.L. Paredes, C.P. Borges, A.C. Habert, R. Nobrega, Removal of aromatics from multicomponent organic mixtures by pervaporation using polyurethane membranes: experimental and modelling, J. Membr. Sci. (2002) 277–290.
- [12] Q.F. An, J.W. Qian, H.B. Sun, L.N. Wang, L. Zhang, H.L. Chen, Compatibility of PVC/EVA blends and the pervaporation of their blend membranes for benzene/cyclohexane mixtures, J. Membr. Sci. 222 (2003) 113–122.
- [13] H. Wang, K. Tanaka, H. Kita, K.-i. Okamoto, Pervaporation of
 aromatic/non-aromatic hydrocarbon mixtures through plasma-grafted
 membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 154 (1999) 221–228.
- [14] J. Frahn, G. Malsch, H. Matuschewski, U. Schedler, H.-H. Schwarz, Separation of aromatic/aliphatic hydrocarbons by photo-modified
 poly(acrylonitrile) membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 234 (2004) 55– 65.
- [15] S. Matsui, D.R. Paul, Pervaporation separation of aromatic/aliphatic
 hydrocarbons by a series of ionically crosslinked poly(n-alkyl acrylate)
 membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 213 (2003) 67–83.

- [16] D. Roizard, A. Nilly, P. Lochon, Preparation and study of crosslinked polyurethane films to fractionate toluène-n-heptane mixtures by pervaporation, Sep. Purif. Technol. 23–23 (2001) 45–52.
- [17] H.L. Chen, L.G. Wu, J. Tan, C.L. Zhu, PVA membrane filled and betacyclodextrin for separation of isomeric xylènes by pervaporation, Chem. Ing. J. (2000) 159–164.

611

612

613

- [18] I. De Bo, H. Van Langenhove, J. De Keisjser, Application of vapour phase calibration method for determination of sorption of gases and VOC in polydimethylsiloxane membranes, J. Membr. Sci. 209 (2002) 39–52.
- [19] P. Hickey, C.H. Gooding, Mass transfer in spiral wound pervaporation modules, J. Membr. Sci. 92 (1994) 59.
- [20] E. Boscaini, M.A. Alexander, P. Prazeller, T.D. Märk, Investigation of fundamental physical properties of a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane using a proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer (PTRMS), Int. J. Mass Spectr. 239 (2004) 179–186.
- [21] F.T. De Bruijn, L. Sun, Z. Olujic, P.J. Jansens, F. Kapteijn, Influence of the support layer on the flux limitation in pervaporation, J. Membr. Sci. 223 (2003) 141–156.
- [22] E. Favre, Temperature polarization in pervaporation, Desalination 154, 627
 129–138.
- M. Leeman, G. Eigenberger, H. Strathmann, Vapour permetation for the recovery or organic solvents from waste air streams: separation capacities and process optimisation, J. Membr. Sci. 113 (1996) 313.