



HAL
open science

How Design Quality Improves with Increasing Computational Abilities: General Formulas and Case Study of Aircraft Fuel Efficiency

Joe Lorkowski, Olga Kosheleva, Vladik Kreinovich, Sergei Soloviev

► **To cite this version:**

Joe Lorkowski, Olga Kosheleva, Vladik Kreinovich, Sergei Soloviev. How Design Quality Improves with Increasing Computational Abilities: General Formulas and Case Study of Aircraft Fuel Efficiency. *Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics*, 2015, 19 (5), pp.581-584. hal-01914095

HAL Id: hal-01914095

<https://hal.science/hal-01914095v1>

Submitted on 6 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is an author's version published in:

<http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/19102>

Official URL:

<https://www.fujipress.jp/jaciii/jc/jacii001900050581/?highlight=How%20Design%20Quality%20Improves>

To cite this version: Lorkowski, Joe and Kosheleva, Olga and Kreinovich, Vladik and Soloviev, Sergei *How Design Quality Improves with Increasing Computational Abilities: General Formulas and Case Study of Aircraft Fuel Efficiency*. (2015) Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics (JACIII), 19 (5). 581-584. ISSN 1343-0130

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

How Design Quality Improves with Increasing Computational Abilities: General Formulas and Case Study of Aircraft Fuel Efficiency

Joe Lorkowski*, Olga Kosheleva*, Vladik Kreinovich*, and Sergei Soloviev**,***

*University of Texas at El Paso
500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA

E-mail: lorkowski@computer.org, {olgak@, vladik@}utep.edu

**Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse (IRIT)
Porte 421, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse cedex 4, France

E-mail: sergei.soloviev@irit.fr

***St. Petersburg State University of Information Technologies, Mechanics, and Optics (ITMO)
St. Petersburg, 197101, Russia

It is known that the problems of optimal design are NP-hard – meaning that, in general, a feasible algorithm can only produce close-to-optimal designs. The more computations we perform, the better design we can produce. In this paper, we theoretically derive quantitative formulas describing how the design quality improves with the increasing computational abilities. We then empirically confirm the resulting theoretical formula by applying it to the problem of aircraft fuel efficiency.

Keywords: design quality, computational abilities, aircraft fuel efficiency

1. Formulation of the Problem

Design objective is to produce an optimal design. Starting from 1980s, computers have become ubiquitous in engineering design; see, e.g., [1–4]. An important breakthrough in computer-aided design was Boeing 777, the first commercial airplane which was designed exclusively by using computers; see, e.g., [5].

The main objective of a computer-aided design is to come up with a design which optimizes the corresponding objective function – e.g., fuel efficiency of an aircraft.

Optimization is, in general, NP-hard. The corresponding optimization problems are non-linear, and non-linear optimization problems are, in general, NP-hard; see, e.g., [6, 7]. This means that – under the belief of most computer scientists that $P \neq NP$ – a feasible algorithm cannot always find the exact optimum; see, e.g., [8, 9]. In general, we can only find an approximate optimum.

Problem. The more computations we perform, the better the design. It is desirable to come up with a quantitative description of how increasing computational abilities im-

prove the design quality.

2. Analysis of the Problem and the Derivation of the Resulting Formula

Because of NP-hardness, more computations simply means more test cases. In principle, each design optimization problem can be solved by exhaustive search – we can try all possible combinations of parameters, and see which combination leads to the optimal design. This approach may work if we have a small number of parameters, then we can indeed try all possible combinations. If, on average, we have C possible values of each parameter, then:

- we need to compare C test cases when we have only one parameter,
- we need C^2 test cases when we have two parameters,
- and we need C^3 test cases when we have three parameters.

In general, when we have d parameters, we need to analyze C^d test cases. For large systems (e.g., for an aircraft), we have thousands of possible parameters, and for $d \approx 10^3$, the exponential value C^d exceeds the lifetime of the Universe. As a result, for realistic d , instead of the exhaustive search of *all* possible combinations of parameters, we can only test *some* combinations.

NP-hardness means, crudely speaking, that we cannot expect optimization algorithms to be significantly faster than this exponential time C^d . This means that, in effect, all possible optimization algorithms boil down to trying many possible test cases.

When computational abilities increase, we can test more cases. From this viewpoint, increasing computational abilities mean that we can test more cases. Thus,

by increasing the scope of our search, we will hopefully find a better design.

How can we describe this in quantitative terms?

How to describe quality of an individual design. Since we cannot do significantly better than with a simple search, the resulting search is not well directed, we cannot meaningfully predict whether the next test case will be better or worse – because if we could, we would be able to significantly decrease the search time.

The quality of the next test case – i.e., in precise terms, the value of the objective function corresponding to the next test case – cannot be predicted and is, in this sense, a random variable.

Many different factors affect the quality of each individual design. It is known that, under reasonable conditions, the distribution of the resulting effect of several independent random factors is close to Gaussian; this fact is known as the *Central Limit Theorem*; see, e.g., [10]. Thus, we can conclude that the quality of a (randomly selected) individual design is normally distributed, with some mean μ and standard deviation σ .

What if we test n possible designs. After computation, we select the design with the largest value of the objective function. Let n denote the number of designs that our program tests. If x_i denotes the quality of the i -th design, then the resulting quality is equal to $x = \max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We know that the variables x_i are independent and identically normally distributed with some mean μ and standard deviation μ . What is the resulting probability distribution for the quality x ? What is the expected value of this quality?

To answer this question, let us first reduce this question to its simplest case of a standard normal distribution, with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$. It is known that a general normally distributed random variable x_i can be represented as $x_i = \mu + \sigma \cdot y_i$. Since adding μ and multiplying by a positive constant $\sigma > 0$ does not change which of the values are larger and which are smaller, we have

$$x = \max(x_1, \dots, x_n) =$$

$$\max(\mu + \sigma \cdot y_1, \dots, \mu + \sigma \cdot y_n) = \mu + \sigma \cdot y,$$

where $y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max(y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

For large n , the *max-central limit theorem* [11–14] (also known as Fisher-Tippet-Gnedenko Theorem) says that the cumulative distributive function $F(y)$ for y is approximately equal to

$$F(y) \approx F_{EV} \left(\frac{y - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \right),$$

where:

$$F_{EV}(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(-\exp(-y))$$

is known as the *Gumbel distribution*,

$$\mu_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

$$\sigma_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \cdot e^{-1} \right) - \Phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

and $\Phi^{-1}(t)$ is the inverse function to the cumulative distribution function $\Phi(y)$ of the standard normal distribution (with mean 0 and standard deviation 1). In other words, the distribution of the random variable y is approximately equal to the distribution of the variable $\mu_n + \sigma_n \cdot \xi$, where ξ is distributed according to the Gumbel distribution.

It is known that the mean of the Gumbel distribution is equal to the Euler's constant $\gamma \approx 0.5772$. Thus, the mean value m_n of y is equal to $\mu_n + \gamma \cdot \sigma_n$. For large n , we get asymptotically

$$m_n \sim \gamma \cdot \sqrt{2 \ln(n)},$$

hence the mean value e_n of $x = \mu + \sigma \cdot x$ is asymptotically equal to

$$e_n \sim \mu + \sigma \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{2 \ln(n)}.$$

Resulting formula. When we test n different cases to find the optimal design, the quality e_n of the resulting design increases with n as

$$e_n \sim \mu + \sigma \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{2 \ln(n)}.$$

3. Case Study of Aircraft Fuel Efficiency Confirms the Theoretical Formula

Case study: brief description. As a case study, let us take the fuel efficiency of commercial aircraft; see, e.g., [15–17]. It is known that the average energy efficiency E changes with time T as

$$E = \exp(a + b \cdot \ln(T)) = C \cdot T^b,$$

for $b \approx 0.5$.

How to apply our theoretical formula to this case?

The above theoretical formulas describes how the quality changes with the number of computational steps n . In the case study, we know how it changes with time T . So, to compare these two formulas, we need to know how the number of computational steps which can be applied to solve the design problem changes with time T . In other words, we need to know how the computer's computational speed – i.e., the number of computational steps that a computer can perform in a fixed time period – changes with time T .

This dependence follows the known *Moore's law*, according to which the computational speed grows exponentially with time T : $n \approx \exp(c \cdot T)$ for some constant c . Crudely speaking, the computational speed doubles every two years; [18, 19].

Applying the theoretical formula to this case study. When $n \approx \exp(c \cdot T)$, we have $\ln(n) \sim T$. Thus, the dependence

$$e_n \sim \mu + \sigma \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{2 \ln(n)}$$

of quality $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_n$ on time takes the form

$$q \approx a + b \cdot \sqrt{T}.$$

This is exactly the empirical dependence that we actually observe.

Thus, *the empirical data confirm the above theoretical formula.*

Comment. It is important to be cautious when testing the formula. For example, in a seemingly similar case of cars, the driving force for their fuel efficiency is not computer design but rather federal and state regulations which prescribe what fuel efficiency should be. Because of this, for cars, the dependence of fuel efficiency on time T is determined by the political will and is, thus, not as regular as for the aircraft.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants HRD-0734825 and HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE Center of Excellence) and DUE-0926721, and by Government of the Russian Federation Grant 074-U01. The authors are thankful to the organizers of the International Interdisciplinary Conference Philosophy, Mathematics, Linguistics: Aspects of Interaction 2014 PhML'2014 (St. Petersburg, Russia, April 21-25, 2014) – this inter-disciplinary conference brought the authors together and started this research, and also to the organizers and participants of the International Symposium on Management Engineering ISME'2014 (Kitakyushu, Japan, July 27-30, 2014), where these results were first presented. The authors are also thankful to the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions.

References:

- [1] C. Babers, "Architecture Development Made Simple," Lulu.com, 2006.
- [2] International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), "Systems Engineering Handbook," Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2015.
- [3] P. A. Laplante, "Requirements Engineering for Software and Systems," CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2014.
- [4] D. A. Madsen and D. P. Madsen, "Engineering Drawing and Design," Delmar, Cengage Learning, Clifton Park, New York, 2012.
- [5] K. Sabbagh, "Twenty-First-Century Jet: The Making and Marketing of the Boeing 777," Scribner, New York, 1996.
- [6] V. Kreinovich, A. Lakeyev, J. Rohn, and P. Kahl, "Computational Complexity and Feasibility of Data Processing and Interval Computations," Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [7] P. M. Pardalos, "Complexity in Numerical Optimization," World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [8] M. E. Garey and D. S. Johnson, "Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness," Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.
- [9] C. H. Papadimitriou, "Computational Complexity," Addison Wesley, San Diego, 1994.
- [10] D. J. Sheskin, "Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures," Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2011.
- [11] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegeveuer, J. Teugels, and J. Segers, "Statistics of Extremes: Theory and Applications," Wiley, Chichester, 2004.
- [12] L. de Haan and A. Ferreira, "Extreme Value Theory: An Introduction," Springer Verlag, Berlin, Hiedelberg, New York, 2006.
- [13] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch, "Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance," Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2012.
- [14] E. J. Gumbel, "Statistics of Extremes," Dover Publ., New York, 2004.
- [15] J. J. Lee, S. P. Lukachko, I. A. Waitz, and A. Schafer, "Historical and future trends in aircraft performance, cost and emissions," Annual Review of Energy Environment, Vol.26, pp. 167-200, 2001.
- [16] P. M. Peeters, J. Middel, and A. Hoolhorst, "Fuel Efficiency of Commercial Aircraft: An Overview of Historical and Future Trends," Netherlands National Aerospace Laboratory NLR, Technical Report NLR-CR-2005-669, 2005.
- [17] J. E. Penner, D. H. Lister, D. J. Griggs, D. J. Dokken, and M. McFarland, "Aviation and the Global Atmosphere: A Special Report of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Groups I and III," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- [18] J. L. Hennessy and D. A. Patterson, "Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach," Morgan Kaufmann, Waltham, Massachusetts, 2012.
- [19] G. E. Moore, "Cramming More Components onto Integrated Circuits," Electronics, pp. 114-117, April 19, 1965.



Name:

Joe Lorkowski

Affiliation:

University of Texas at El Paso

Address:

500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA

Brief Biographical History:

2009- Doctoral Student, University of Texas at El Paso

Main Works:

- J. Lorkowski and V. Kreinovich, "Likert-type fuzzy uncertainty from a traditional decision making viewpoint: how symmetry helps explain human decision making (including seemingly irrational behavior)," Applied and Computational Mathematics, Vol.13, No.3, pp. 275-298, 2014.
- J. Lorkowski and V. Kreinovich. "If we measure a number, we get an interval. What if we measure a function or an operator?," Reliable Computing, Vol.2, No.3, pp. 287-298, 1996.
- J. Lorkowski, R. Aliev, and V. Kreinovich, "Towards Decision Making under Interval, Set-Valued, Fuzzy, and Z-Number Uncertainty: A Fair Price Approach," Proc. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence WCCI'2014, Beijing, China, July 6-11, 2014.
- J. Lorkowski and V. Kreinovich, "Interval and Symmetry Approaches to Uncertainty – Pioneered by Wiener – Help Explain Seemingly Irrational Human Behavior: A Case Study," Proc. of the 2014 Annual Conf. of the North American Fuzzy Information Processing Society NAFIPS'2014, Boston, Massachusetts, June 24-26, 2014.



Name:
Olga Kosheleva

Affiliation:
University of Texas at El Paso

Address:
500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA

Brief Biographical History:

2005- Assistant Professor, University of Texas at El Paso
2011- Associate Professor, University of Texas at El Paso

Main Works:

- O. Kosheleva, R. Reiser, and V. Kreinovich, "Formalizing the informal, precisiating the imprecise: how fuzzy logic can help mathematicians and physicists by formalizing their intuitive ideas," E. Trillas, R. Seising, and J. Kacprzyk (Eds.), *Fuzzy Logic: Towards the Future*, Springer Verlag, 2015.
- O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, "How to explain (and overcome) 2% barrier in teaching computer science: towards new applications of fuzzy ideas," *Archives for the Philosophy and History of Soft Computing*, Vol.1, No.1, 2013.
- O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, "Towards optimal effort distribution in process design under uncertainty, with application to education," *Int. J. of Reliability and Safety*, Vol.6, No.1-3, pp. 148-166, 2012.
- P. Pinheiro da Silva, A. Velasco, O. Kosheleva, and V. Kreinovich, "How AI-type uncertainty ideas can improve inter-disciplinary collaboration and education: lessons from a case study," *J. of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics (JACIII)*, Vol.14, No.6, pp. 700-707, 2010.

Membership in Academic Societies:

- American Mathematical Society (AMS)
 - Association of Mathematics Teacher Educators (AMTE)
 - Mathematical Association of America (MAA)
-



Name:
Sergei Soloviev

Affiliation:
Institut de Recherche en Informatique de
Toulouse (IRIT)
St. Petersburg State University of Information
Technologies, Mechanics, and Optics (ITMO)

Address:
IRIT, Porte 421, 118 route de Narbonne 31062 Toulouse cedex 4, France

Brief Biographical History:

1998- Researcher, IRIT

Main Works:

- N. Asher and S. Soloviev (Eds.), "Logical Aspects of Computational Linguistics," *Proc. of the 8th Int. Conf. LACL'2014*, Toulouse, France, June 18-20, 2014, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol.8535, 2014.
 - Z. Luo, S. Soloviev, and T. Xue, "Coercive subtyping: Theory and implementation," *Information and Computation*, Vol.223, pp. 18-42, 2013.
 - B. Boisvert, L. Féraud, and S. Soloviev, "Incorporating proofs in a categorical attributed graph transformation system for software modelling and verification," S. Hammoudi, L. F. Pires, J. Filipe, and R. C. das Neves (Eds.), *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on Model-Driven Engineering and Software Development MODELSWARD'2013*, Barcelona, Spain, February 19-21, 2013, pp. 62-74, 2013.
 - B. Boisvert, L. Féraud, and S. Soloviev, "Typed lambda-terms in categorical attributed graph transformation," F. Durán and V. Rusu (Eds.), *Proc. 2nd Int. Workshop on Algebraic Methods in Model-based Software Engineering AMMSE'2011*, Zurich, Switzerland, June 30, 2011, pp. 33-47, 2011.
-



Name:
Vladik Kreinovich

Affiliation:
University of Texas at El Paso

Address:
500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA

Brief Biographical History:

1975- Institute of Mathematics, Soviet Academy of Sciences
1980- Leading Researcher, National Institute for Electrical Measuring
Instruments, Russia
1989- Visiting Researcher, Stanford University
1990- Professor, University of Texas at El Paso

Main Works:

- H. T. Nguyen, V. Kreinovich, B. Wu, and G. Xiang, "Computing Statistics under Interval and Fuzzy Uncertainty," Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- M. Ceberio and V. Kreinovich (Eds.), "Constraint Programming and Decision Making," Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014.
- C. Hu, R. B. Kearfott, A. de Korvin, and V. Kreinovich (Eds.), "Knowledge Processing with Interval and Soft Computing," Springer Verlag, London, 2008.
- W. Pedrycz, A. Skowron, and V. Kreinovich (Eds.), "Handbook on Granular Computing," Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2008.

Membership in Academic Societies:

- Association for Computing Machinery (ACM)
 - The Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE)
 - American Mathematical Society (AMS)
-