



HAL
open science

Mesoscale water cycle within the West African Monsoon

C. Peugeot, Françoise Guichard, Olivier Bock, Dominique Bouniol, M. Chong, A. Boone, B. Cappelaere, M. Gosset, Lucas Besson, Yvon Lemaître, et al.

► To cite this version:

C. Peugeot, Françoise Guichard, Olivier Bock, Dominique Bouniol, M. Chong, et al.. Mesoscale water cycle within the West African Monsoon. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 2011, 12 (1), pp.45-50. 10.1002/asl.309 . hal-01907364

HAL Id: hal-01907364

<https://hal.science/hal-01907364v1>

Submitted on 29 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Meso-scale water cycle within the West African Monsoon

Revised version, accepted for Publication in *Atmospheric Science Letters*

C. Peugeot¹ (*), F. Guichard², O. Bock³, D. Bouniol², M. Chong⁴, A. Boone², B. Cappelaere¹, M. Gosset⁵, L. Besson⁶, Y. Lemaître⁶, L. Séguis¹, A. Zannou⁷, S. Galle⁵, J.-L. Redelsperger².

Word Count: 3983

- (1) HSM, Montpellier, France;
- (2) CNRM-GAME, Toulouse, France
- (3) LAREG, Marne-la-Vallée, France
- (4) LA, Toulouse, France
- (5) LMTG, Toulouse, France
- (6) LATMOS, Paris, France
- (7) Direction Générale de l'Eau, Cotonou, Benin

(*) corresponding author: Dr. Christophe Peugeot, HydroSciences Montpellier, Université Montpellier II, CC-MSE, place Eugène Bataillon, 34905 Montpellier cedex, France

Email: christophe.peugeot@ird.fr

Abstract

We review the main studies on meso-scale water-cycle from the AMMA project. The estimations of precipitation and evapotranspiration, which are the coupling terms between the atmosphere and the surface water cycles, are addressed. Advances in the evaluation of the various components of atmospheric and surface water budgets are reported, and the yearly surface budgets for the Benin and Niger AMMA meso-scales sites are given as examples. The major outcomes and limitations of atmosphere-surface model coupling exercises are also reported. The paper concludes with suggestions on the research directions on which the community should make future efforts.

Keywords

meso-scale, water cycle, water budget, atmosphere, land surface.

1. Introduction

An integrated understanding (across disciplines and scales) of the water cycle and an improved representation in numerical models is crucial for West African monsoon studies. It implies a better knowledge of the various processes, driving mechanisms and feedback loops. This is particularly true at the meso-scale, where strong couplings occur between the atmosphere and the land surface and subsurface. This scale is defined here for domains ranging from 10^3 to 10^5 km² and a few minutes to inter-annual time periods.

The evaluation of the water budget at various time scales is a comprehensive way to assess our knowledge of the water cycle. A conceptual representation of this approach is shown in Figure 1, where an atmospheric box (an air column) exchanges water and energy with an underlying surface box (a watershed). Each box is affected by its own processes and associated scales, and interacts with the larger scale (e.g. humidity advection, easterly waves, interactions with larger rivers or regional aquifers). Meso-scale surface-atmosphere couplings are conditioned by the scales of precipitation. The location and occurrence of convective cells control soil moisture patterns. The surface feed-back from these wet patches is driven by the diurnal cycle of solar radiation (Taylor et al., this issue), and the landscape properties/heterogeneities control water redistribution in the other compartments of the cycle (runoff, ground water recharge).




Figure 1: Surface and water cycles and water balance equations, with W : atmospheric water content, Q : vertically integrated humidity flux, P : surface rainfall, E surface evapotranspiration, R : runoff, S : surface and ground water content.

The water balance equations for each box (Figure 1) highlight the couplings between the land and atmospheric water cycles through precipitation (P) and evapotranspiration (E). The water storage variation in the surface box (dS/dt), or the runoff (R), represent the temporal dynamics of surface and ground water resources; hence, this approach is also interesting for impact studies.

Previous experiments such as GCIP (Roads et al, 2003) or LBA (Silva Dias et al, 2002), have focused on the coupled surface-atmosphere water cycle at the regional scale. For the African continent, COPT81 (Sommeria and Testud, 1984) and HAPEX-Sahel (Goutorbe et al. 1994) provided the initial knowledge on the meso-scale coupled water cycles. A few studies have focused on the water budget within West African squall lines (Chong and Hauser, 1989; Caniaux et al, 1994).

Following HAPEX-Sahel and previous field campaigns, the major mechanisms driving the Sahelian water cycle at the local scale have been identified, but few attempts were made to up-scale the results at the meso-scale (Séguis & al, this issue). The competing effects of climate and land cover changes have been shown, as for example increasing runoff observed in the last decades in south-west Niger induced by land use changes, despite rainfall reduction (Favreau et al, 2009). While a robust understanding existed for surface rainfall over Sahel, very few studies reported on the other coupling term (E) at this scale. Further south, the Sudanian area had been poorly investigated prior to AMMA.

The AMMA campaign offered unique opportunities for meso-scale water cycle studies, and the estimation of water budgets. Hydro-meteorological ground networks provided high quality observations (rainfall, river discharge, soil moisture, ground water dynamics, turbulent surface fluxes) on the three contrasting sites of the AMMA-CATCH observing system (Mali, Niger, Benin; Lebel et al., 2009). The high frequency radio-sounding network centred over Benin, the GPS stations and various radars provided additional ground-based atmosphere explorations. The combinations of these observations with satellite products and the use of numerical simulations allowed to retrieve the various terms of the water (and heat) budgets.

This paper reviews the major advances in meso-scale water cycle research, reporting published AMMA work and a few unpublished preliminary results. Section 2 focusses on the estimations of rainfall and evapotranspiration terms, section 3 on the analysis of the water budget terms for both the atmospheric and surface components, and section 4 reports surface-atmosphere coupling experiments. The paper concludes with some recommendations for future research directions.

2. Estimations of rainfall and surface evaporation

First we assess our current ability to provide meso-scale estimations of the two variables that modulate the coupling between the atmospheric and continental water budgets (see Figure 1): the rainfall and the evapotranspiration.

Precipitation is the source term of the surface water balance. Over the AMMA region the precipitation mostly results from meso-scale convective systems (MCSs). It has been estimated (Moumouni et al, 2008 for instance) that about two-third of the annual rainfall is provided by the convective part of these systems and is therefore

characterized by a high spatial (down to kilometric) and temporal (down to a few minutes) variability. Vischel and Lebel (2007) have shown that if convective scale variability is ignored, hydrological models provide unrealistic runoff for meso-scale Sahelian basins. The sensitivity of the hydrological response to rainfall variability generally depends on the basin type and/or the time step considered. Accordingly, our ability to estimate precipitation, from observations or from models, has to be assessed as a function of scale.

Rainfall can be estimated down to the kilometric/minute scale with high resolution rain gauge networks (such as the AMMA-CATCH meso-sites in Niamey or Oueme) and dynamic interpolation techniques (Vischel et al, 2009), or also using meteorological radars (e.g. Gosset et al., 2010). Outside of these research observing systems -and given the inadequacy of the operational networks- satellite products with a typical spatial resolution of 0.5° may be used. Recent evaluations of these products over west Africa show that they can provide satisfactory estimates at the decadal time scale (Jobard et al, 2010), but they are less reliable for reproducing the correct rain distributions at the daily time scale (Roca et al, 2009). One further challenge which remains is the downscaling of these products to the spatial/temporal resolution needed to force the meso-scale surface models (see also Paeth et al, in this issue).

Simulations from meso-scale atmospheric models constitute another source of rainfall estimation. However, these simulations are highly sensitive to a wide number of factors (initial and boundary conditions, resolution, physical parametrisations) and the quality of the resulting rain fields is highly variable among model/configurations (see section 4)

At the surface, evapotranspiration (E) is the largest sink term of the water budget. It can be estimated locally from observations (to the expense of complex experimental protocols) but models are still needed for up-scaling. A major achievement of AMMA is the sampling of latent and sensible heat fluxes at up to 12 eddy correlation stations and from 2 scintillometers deployed in the AMMA-CATCH transect (Séguis et al, this issue). These data are useful for model evaluation and improvement especially for up-scaling fluxes from the local to the meso-scale. The Land Surface Models (LSM) ensemble simulations of the ALMIP regional-scale experiment provided the most comprehensive surface water budget estimates over the whole region (Boone et al, 2009). On the Mali site, these authors showed that the sensible heat flux H from ALMIP simulations compared well with meso-scale aggregations of local measurements of H (Timouk et al., 2009). However more detailed analyses at shorter time scales (sub-daily to intra-seasonal) are needed, focusing on the latent heat flux as well, and extended to other sites. The scale discrepancies between the few local observed references (a few hundred metres) and the model results (0.5° for ALMIP) have to be handled. These are among the objectives of the second phase of ALMIP (see section 5).

3. Water budgets

The experimental campaign of AMMA (2005-2007) provided crucial observations for the coupled heat and water budgets at the surface and in the atmosphere.

In the atmosphere, this dataset is specially useful to document vertical structures which are known to be poorly handled by current model parametrisations in tropical regions. Up to now, the research efforts have focused on a few case studies only. The source and sink terms of the heat and moisture budgets for the 11 August 2006 squall line, computed with MANDOPAS (variational analysis method, Montmerle and Lemaître, 1998) and a uni-dimensional microphysical model, showed consistent results with previous studies (e.g., Chong and Hauser, 1989). They confirmed the role of the stratiform component of the squall line in the water budget, and pointed to distinct heat and moisture convective vertical transports, as already observed in other locations (e.g. Lin and Johnson 1996). Ongoing studies have extended these analyses of atmospheric water budgets to multi-daily to weekly time periods, with radiosounding data corrected for systematic humidity biases (Nuret et al., 2008; Bock et al., this issue). Preliminary results show the monsoon flow and convective episodes govern the heating and moistening of the atmosphere in the lower atmosphere (below 2 km) with strong diurnal effects. However Kohler et al. (2010) also pointed out the role of the surface fluxes on the daytime evolution of boundary layer heat and moisture budgets prior to as well as after the monsoon onset, from their site data in Burkina Faso. In the mid-levels (3-6 km), coupled cooling-drying and heating-moistening are observed at the scale of African easterly waves (three to five days). Finally, the upper layers (6-15 km) are impacted by deep convection.

Complementary studies based on multi-year composites of high-frequency (1h) GPS observations show, for the first time, that the passage of MCSs is associated with coherent and very large fluctuations of precipitable water and moisture convergence in the Sahel (Bock et al., 2009). Guichard et al. (2010) showed that such a behaviour can be simulated only by those meso-scale models which use high (a few km) horizontal resolution, and thus do not rely on a parametrization of convection for the simulation of the MCS.

Meynadier et al. (2010) showed that, at spatial scales from $2 \cdot 10^3$ to 10^6 km² and at daily time scales, the closure of the integrated atmospheric water budget and the balance between the terms is still a crucial problem for many numerical weather prediction (NWP) systems. Both closure and balance are directly affected by systematic drifts in the model forecasts (temperature, humidity, precipitation, evapotranspiration). Errors in cloud cover, aerosols, and soil moisture also have detrimental effects on radiation, heat and water budgets at the surface at scales from the diurnal cycle to the seasonal cycle (Bock et al., this issue). Hence, it is not surprising that meso-scale simulations are sensitive to the choice of the NWP analysis used for initial and boundary conditions.

At the surface, the rainfall partitioning and the relative magnitude of the budget components highly depend on the surface conditions and the geological substratum. In many Sahelian areas, runoff occurs mainly as a sub-grid process (degraded drainage network, so-called endoreism) and R is negligible in Figure 1 (Séguis et al, this issue). Around Niamey (Niger), the water cycle essentially consists of vertical transfer, with competing evapotranspiration and groundwater recharge (Cappelaere et al., 2009). The water table is a long-term sink since it receives the deep drainage and only weakly supplies E . Combined with the land use trends since 1950, there has been a rise of this water table (Favreau et al., 2009). Further south, where drainage

networks are structured, AMMA investigations have shown that ground reservoirs play a major role in the water cycle: river discharge (R) is dominated by ex-filtration from the top-soil reservoirs (2m, slow, subsurface flow) with a lower contribution of rapid, surface runoff, and no contribution from deeper layers (10 m). Current studies are investigating the role of deep rooted trees in water recycling from these deeper water tables.

Meso-scale estimations can not be derived directly from observations for all the water budgets components (for example, surface evaporation is measured only locally), and models are needed to combine those data in a coherent framework. However the resulting budgets may differ depending on the model used, as illustrated in Figure 2 (on-going work) for year 2005 on the Oueme site (Benin). The TOPAMMA hydrological model (Le Lay et al, 2008) under-estimates E and over-estimates the storage term (dS/dt), relatively to the ensemble ALMIP simulations, which in turn over-estimate R (TOPAMMA's runoff matches the observed value). These differences can be attributed to an overly simple evapotranspiration scheme in TOPAMMA, and to the difficulty for land surface models to correctly simulate runoff at this resolution (0.5°). This example points to role of the physical parametrisations in the estimations of the budget terms.




Figure 2: Comparison of TOPAMMA and ALMIP annual budgets over the Oueme basin, year 2005.

The scales at which the evaluation is also important, as stated for example by Ruti et al. (this issue), who found an opposite, under-estimation of ALMIP runoff at the regional scale. New model inter-comparison exercises, and specific evaluation of each of the budget components are needed to validate or improved the representation of the water cycle in the models.

Combining the best available estimation of each term, such as here the estimations of R and dS/dt derived from the observations and E from LSMs simulations, coherent annual water budgets were constructed for the Oueme and South-West Niger sites, for the year 2005 (Figure 3 and Table 1). The low closure errors (2 % and 7 % of yearly rainfall respectively for Benin and Niger), as well as the acceptable uncertainty range, suggest a global consistency of these estimations. Roughly 90% of rainfall returns to the atmosphere on both sites; the magnitude of the

other terms strongly depends on the local hydrologic context. In future work, these figures (and associated uncertainties) will be refined, to allow a better comparison of the budget on these two contrasting sites (denser vegetation and larger rain amount on the Oueme site).

Table 1. Surface water budget on the Oueme and SW Niger site, year 2005: estimated values and uncertainties in brackets (when available) expressed in % of yearly rainfall, and data source (italic); (*) ensemble mean and inter-model standard deviation.

	Oueme		SW Niger	
<i>E</i>	87 (11) *	<i>ALMIP</i>	88 (-)	<i>Saux-Picart et al., 2009s</i>
<i>dS/dt</i>	0 (-)	<i>Observations</i>	5 (2)	<i>Favreau et al., 2009</i>
<i>R</i>	11 (2)	<i>Observations</i>	0 (-)	<i>Observations</i>




Figure 3: Surface water budget on the Oueme and SW Niger site, year 2005, expressed in % of yearly rainfall.

4. Towards coupling of atmospheric and hydrological models.

The 28-29 August 2005 case study focused on a meso-scale convective event observed over Niger and Benin. It provided a framework to assess the coupling capabilities and current limitations of state-of-the-art atmospheric and hydrological models (Guichard et al, 2010). The exercise involved the TOPAMMA hydrological model, and 6 atmospheric models. When initialized and forced at their boundaries in the same way, the meso-scale atmospheric models were able to forecast, as observed, a propagating meso-scale rainfall structure. However, incorrect travel speeds and/or paths in most simulations resulted in a system which did not cross the target area (Oueme basin, Benin), and led to biases in the associated rain fields (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Areal mean of daily rainfall simulated by the models compared to the observation (dark grey), associated biases (figures) and measures of the spatial variability of the rain field (standard deviation, error bars).

Those models using a fine horizontal resolution (~ 10 km) succeeded in reproducing the observed mean areal rain depth, however with a very different spatial structure (Guichard et al, 2010). The BOLAM run with assimilated satellite brightness temperature (nudging) produced significantly over-estimated rainfall, although had good skill for the 11 Aug 2006 case study (Orlandi et al., 2009). The use of these aggregated (daily) rain-fields as input to the TOPAMMA hydrological model showed that the biases in rainfall totals induced biases in the hydrological response of the same magnitude. A transformation of the rain-fields to make them match the statistics of the observed field (areal mean, or spatial distribution) resulted in a strong reduction of the bias in the hydrological response (Peugeot & al., manuscript in preparation). Expecting a perfect timing and location of simulated MCSs, and a correct rainfall amount, is probably not realistic given the limitations of the current models (see a review in Ruti et al, and Paeth et al, this issue). However, this work suggests that bias correction methods can be helpful for coupled surface-atmosphere simulations, or impact studies. In future work, this type of analyses should extend to other meso-scale domains and involve more hydrological models.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

The results presented above are still the object of extensive research, and some more work is needed to consolidate them. However they revealed a number of problems and limitations, from which it is possible to draw future research directions.

At short time scales (daily or lower) and high space resolution, ground-based observations (gages and/or radar data) provide the more accurate estimations of

rainfall fields. State-of-the-art satellite rainfall products are reliable only at aggregated time/space scales (as from 10 days and 0.5 °). Disaggregation techniques have to be developed if one wants to use those products for hydrologic applications or small scale simulations.

The meso-scale model experiments were quite innovative, but the current model performances hinder any use of the raw simulated rain fields in coupled simulations or impact studies. The simulation of reliable atmospheric water budgets requires major improvements of physical parametrisations in meso-scale models. Higher horizontal resolution using explicit convection appears promising, but model performance also relies heavily on the quality of initial conditions (atmosphere and surface), and on the realism of the other controlling processes (surface, boundary layer, aerosol and cloud processes). The identification of those rain fields properties to which the impact or surface models are sensitive (e.g. mean areal rainfall) should guide the definition of bias correction methods.

The inter-comparison of surface budgets obtained from different approaches (observations-based, hydrological or land surface models) is a good way to evidence the weaknesses of model parametrisations. The preliminary work done so far will be developed in the forthcoming ALMIP-II experiment, dedicated to meso-scale simulations by a large variety of surface models. It is an ideal framework to make progress in water cycle representation, such as runoff and drainage in LSMs and evaporation in hydrological models. The impact of the convective-scale variability of rainfall on the land surface response, and the role of ground reservoirs, such as uptake by deep-rooted trees, will also be explored in this framework.

Then, a medium range objective would be an inter-comparison of the water budgets as derived from a surface or an atmospheric perspective, in order to evaluate whether those independent estimates of, say, evaporation or rainfall are consistent, and at which scales. These analyses associating both the atmospheric and surface boxes as illustrated in Figure 1 would reveal the remaining limitations in the representation of the water cycle at the meso-scale.

Lastly, the AMMA project has permitted various communities (atmosphere, hydrology, land surface) to start fruitful collaborations, and this is promising for future advances in the integrative view of the water cycle in the monsoon system.

9

Acknowledgements

This study was part of the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA) project. Detailed information on scientific coordination and funding is available on the AMMA International website: <http://www.amma-international.org>. The authors are very grateful to L. Kergoat and A. Protat for their useful suggestions.

References

- Bock, O., Guichard, F., Meynadier, R. et al., The large scale water cycle of the West African Monsoon, this issue.
- Bock, O., R. Meynadier, F. Guichard, et al., 2009. Diurnal cycle of the West African Monsoon water cycle: regional and seasonal variability. 3rd International AMMA conference, 21-25 July 2009, Ouagadougou, Burkina-Faso.

- Boone, A., P. de Rosnay, G. Basalmo, et al., 2009. The AMMA Land Surface Model Intercomparison Project. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* 0(12), 1865-1880.
- Caniaux, G., Redelsperger, J.-L., Lafore, J.-P. 1994. A numerical study of the stratiform region of a fast moving squall line. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 51(14):2046-2074.
- Cappelaere B, Descroix L., Lebel T., et al. (2009). The AMMA-Catch experiment in the cultivated Sahelian area of south-west Niger - Strategy, implementation, site description, main results. *J. of Hydrology*, 375, 34-51.
- Chong M, and D Hauser, 1989: A tropical squall line observed during the COPT 81 experiment in West Africa. Part II: Water budget. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 117, 728-744.
- Favreau, G., Cappelaere B., Massuel S., et al., 2009. Land clearing, climate variability and water resources increase in semiarid southwest Niger: a review. *Water Resources Research*, 45, W00A16,
- Gosset M, E. P. Zahiri and S Moumouni, 2010: Rain Drop Size distributions variability and impact on X-band polarimetric radar retrieval: results from the AMMA campaign in Benin. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, in press.
- Goutorbe, J.-P., Lebel, T., Tinga, A. et al., 1994: HAPEX-SAHEL - A large-scale study of land-atmosphere interactions in the semi arid tropics, 1994. *Annalae Geophysicae-Atmospheres Hydrospheres and space sciences*, 12, 53-64
- Guichard, F., Asencio N., C. Peugeot, et al., 2010. An intercomparison of simulated rainfall and evapotranspiration associated with a mesoscale convective system over West Africa. *Weather and Forecasting*. doi: 10.1175/2009WAF2222250.1.
- Jobard I., Chopin F., Bergès J.-C., Roca R. 2010. An intercomparison of 10-day precipitation satellite products during West African monsoon. *subm, International Journal of Remote Sensing*.
- Kohler M., Kalthoff N., Kottmeier C., 2010. The impact of soil moisture modifications on CBL characteristics in West Africa: a case-study from the AMMA campaign. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 136 (s1): 442-445.
- Le Lay M., Saulnier G.-M., Galle S., et al., 2008. Model representation of the Sudanian hydrological processes. Application on the Donga catchment (Benin). *J. Hydrol.* 363 (1-4): 32-41.
- Lebel, T., Cappelaere, B., Galle, et al., 2009. The AMMA-CATCH studies in the Sahelian region of West-Africa: an overview. *J. of Hydrology*, 375, 3-13.
- Lin X and RH Johnson: Heating, Moistening, and Rainfall over the Western Pacific Warm Pool during TOGA COARE. 1996. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 53, 3367–3383.
- Meynadier, R., O. Bock, S. Gervois, et al., 2010. The West African Monsoon water cycle. Part II: assessment of NWP models, submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*
- Montmerle T. and Y. Lemaître, 1998: Three-Dimensional Variational Data Analysis to retrieve Thermodynamical and Dynamical Fields from Various Nested wind Measurements. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 15, 360-379.
- Moumouni, S., M. Gosset, and E. Houngrinou, 2008. Main features of rain drop size distributions observed in Benin, West Africa, with optical disdrometers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L23807.
- Nuret, M., Lafore, J-P, Bock O., et al., 2008: Correction of humidity bias from Vaisala RS80-A sondes during the AMMA 2006 observing period. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 25, 2152-2158.
- Orlandi, E., F. Fierli, S. Davolio, et al., 2010. A nudging scheme to assimilate satellite brightness temperature in a meteorological model: Impact on African mesoscale convective systems representation, *QJRM*S, in press.

- Paeth, H., Hall, N.M.J., Gaertner, M.-A., et al. Progress in regional downscaling of West African precipitation, this issue.
- Roads, J., Lawford, R., Bainto, E., et al., 2003. GCIP water and energy budget synthesis (WEBS), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D16), 8609.
- Roca, R, P Chambon, I Jobard, et al., 2009. Comparing satellite and surface rainfall products over West Africa at meteorologically relevant scales during the AMMA campaign using error estimates. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. In press.
- Ruti, P.M., Williams, J.E., Hourdin, F., et al. Modelling the West African climate system: systematic errors and future steps, this issue
- Saux-Picart, S., Ottlé, C., Decharme, B., et al., 2009. Water and energy budgets simulation over the AMMA-Niger super-site spatially constrained with remote sensing data. *J. Hydrol.*, 375(1-2): 287-298.
- Séguis, L., Boulain, N., Cappelaere, B., et al. Contrasted land surface processes along a West African rainfall gradient, this issue.
- Silva Dias, M. A. F., et al., 2020: Clouds and rain processes in a biosphere atmosphere interaction context in the Amazon Region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8072.
- Sommeria G. and J. Testud, 1984: COPT 81: A Field Experiment Designed for the Study of Dynamics and Electrical Activity of Deep Convection in Continental Tropical Regions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 65, 4–10.
- Taylor, C.M., Parker, D.J., Kalthoff, N., et al. New Perspectives on Land-Atmosphere Feedbacks from the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA), this issue.
- Timouk, F., Kergoat, L., Mougin, et al., 2009. Response of sensible heat flux to water regime and vegetation development in a central Sahelian landscape. *Journal of Hydrology*, 375: 178–189.
- Vischel, T. and T. Lebel, 2007. Assessing the water balance in the Sahel: Impact of small scale rainfall variability on runoff. Part 2: Idealized modeling of runoff sensitivity. *J. Hydrol.*, 333, 340– 355.
- Vischel, T., Lebel, T., Massuel, S. and Cappelaere, B., 2009. Conditional simulation schemes of rain fields and their application for rainfall runoff modeling studies in the Sahel. *J. Hydrol.*, 375(1-2): 273-286.