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Abstract

An accurate review of the literature on surface exposure dating methods shows evidence of the difficulty in applying cosmogenic dating methods to old moraines because of the intensity of Late Quaternary erosion processes. Moreover, as in some previous cases, we found also special difficulties in applying these methods to LIA moraines, due to the intensity of current paraglacial processes. The objective of this study is to apply cosmogenic dating methods to very old and very young moraines, which in both cases have been or are being affected intensively by erosion. With this purpose, we collected samples of boulders from moraines corresponding to: (a) the penultimate glaciation, and (b) the Little Ice Age (LIA), both from Sierra Nevada, in the south of the Iberian Peninsula. The sampling strategy was based on a preliminary accurate analysis of the geomorphological settings of two valley sites that
resulted in the collection of only four boulder samples from an old moraine and three more from a very recent moraine. Using in situ produced cosmogenic \(^{10}\text{Be}\) to date these boulders, the old samples yielded an age of ca. 130-135 ka for moraine stabilization. The younger samples indicate that the LIA moraine accretion probably occurred between the 14\(^{th}\) and 17\(^{th}\) centuries, with a subsequent stage of accumulation during the 19\(^{th}\) century as suggested by historical documents. Both, dating a glaciation that occurred prior to the last Pleistocene glacial cycle and dating LIA glacial stages are novel in the context of Iberian glaciations and agree with other palaeoenvironmental studies in Iberian and in other European mountains. The limited number of boulders adequate for cosmic-ray exposure dating prevents statistical methods to be applied, and therefore highlights the need to improve geomorphological criteria in sample selection.
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### 1. Introduction

Scientific knowledge on the glacial evolution of the Iberian mountains has greatly advanced over the last decade based on the application of Cosmic-Ray Exposure (CRE) dating on moraines, erratic boulders and polished surfaces. This method allowed inferring spatio-temporal patterns of glaciation since the maximum extent of glaciers during the last Pleistocene glacial cycle, with a focus on the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (García-Ruiz et al., 2010), Oldest Dryas (Palacios et al., 2017a) and Younger Dryas (García-Ruiz et al., 2016) in the main Iberian ranges such as the Cantabrian Mountains (Rodríguez-Rodríguez et al., 2016), Pyrenees (Delmas, 2015), Central System (Domínguez-Villar et al., 2013), Iberian System (Fernández-Fernández et al., 2017) and Sierra Nevada (Palacios et al., 2016).
The existence and distribution of landforms and deposits, their delimitation and mapping, generated by glacial advances prior to the last Pleistocene glaciation are known since the first geomorphological descriptions carried out in most Iberian mountains in the late 19\textsuperscript{th} and early 20\textsuperscript{th} centuries, such as in the Pyrenees (Penck, 1883; Nussbaum, 1949, Barrère, 1953), Cantabrian Mountains (Penck, 1897; Obermaier, 1914), Central System (Penck, 1897; Obermaier and Carandell, 1917) and Sierra Nevada (Quelle, 1908; Obermaier, 1916). However, the present knowledge on calendar of development of these landforms is scarce, and it is based on CRE dating only in very few cases. In fact, the only CRE data related to the penultimate glacial cycle were obtained with $^{21}\text{Ne}$ in the NW of the Iberian Peninsula (Vidal-Romani, et al., 2015). These authors found glacial evidence (moraine boulders and polished surfaces) of a maximum advance that occurred at $155 \pm 30 \text{ ka } ^{21}\text{Ne}$ age in the Serra de Queixa, whereas polished bedrock surfaces were dated at $231 \pm 48 \text{ ka }$ and $131 \pm 31 \text{ ka } ^{21}\text{Ne}$ ages in the Serra da Geres. In addition, erratic blocks were dated at $113.9 \pm 7.1 \text{ ka } ^{10}\text{Be}$ age in the Porma Valley, Cantabrian Mountains (Rodríguez-Rodríguez et al, 2016). Another erratic boulder, with a minimum $^{10}\text{Be}$ age of $122.2 \pm 4.9 \text{ ka}$ was found in Ariège valley (northern Pyrenees) (Delmas et al., 2011). This scarcity of CRE data from old moraines may be due to the problem of moraine degradation after being affected by intensive erosion, as it has been observed even in younger moraines of other regions (Putkonen and Swanson, 2003; Briner et al., 2005; Putkonen et al., 2008; Balco, 2011; Heyman et al., 2011).

The rest of dates corresponding to glacial landforms formed during the penultimate glaciation in the Iberian Peninsula were carried out by other dating methods. Villa et al. (2013) were able to date with $U/Th$ the limestone of cemented calcareous breccia in Duje valley, Picos de Europa, also in the Cantabrian Mountains. This breccia deposit lies on glacially abraded surfaces and is covered by moraines dated between $394 \pm 50 \text{ ka}$ and $276 \pm 23 \text{ ka}$ / $394 \pm 50 \text{ ka}$ $U/Th$ age. In the southern slope of the Central Pyrenees, Optically Stimulated Luminescence
dating was applied to sandy layers in fluvioglacial terraces in two nearby valleys that show the following ages: 151 ± 11 ka in the Gállego valley (Lewis et al., 2009) and 263 ± 21 ka and 171 ± 22 ka in the Aragón valley (García-Ruiz et al., 2013).

In other Mediterranean mountains, landforms originated from glaciations prior to the Late Pleistocene Glaciation (LPG) have been dated by U/Th, $^{230}$Th and OSL methods in the Balkan region (Hughes et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2007), Dinaric Alps (Hughes et al., 2011) and Apennines (Kotarba et al., 2001), but not through CRE dating. In comparison to the large dataset of CRE ages existing for reconstructing the LPG in the Alps, there are only a few erratic boulders related to the penultimate glacial cycle dated by this method (Graf et al., 2015), as it is also the case of the Himalayas (Schaefer et al., 2008). On the contrary, the application of $^{230}$Th-dates on the stalagmite record in the Alps allowed determining with high resolution the last maximum ice advance of the penultimate glacial cycle at 133.1 ± 0.7 and 131.9 ± 0.6 ka. These dates coincide with Heinrich stadial 11 and the onset of the penultimate deglaciation or Termination II (TII) which started at 131.8 ± 0.6 ka (Häuselmann et al., 2015) and reached its maximum intensity at 130.9 ± 0.9 ka (Moseley et al., 2015).

However, CRE methods have never been applied to date the Little Ice Age (LIA) in the Iberian Peninsula, although this cold event has been extensively documented in this region (González-Trueba et al., 2008; Oliva et al., 2018a). CRE dating showed that the LIA was the most extensive Holocene glacial advance in most Iberian mountains (Palacios et al., 2017b), with the exception of some cirques in the Central Pyrenees (García-Ruiz et al., 2014). In fact, after pioneer studies in New Zealand (Schaefer et al., 2009) and the Andes (Licciardi et al., 2009), the use of CRE dating methods to date landforms of Neoglacial and LIA age has just been recently applied in the Alps (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012, 2014; Le Roy et al., 2017), Arctic (Jomelli et al., 2016; Young et al., 2015) and Central Asia mountains (Dong et al., 2017; Li et al., 2016).
Radiocarbon dating on glacial, fluvioglacial and lacustrine sediments, as well as speleothems, tree rings and historical documents established the onset of the LIA in the Iberian Peninsula at 1300 common era (CE), with the coldest conditions of ca. 2 °C below present-day values at 1620-1715 CE and the last cold episodes at 1760-1800 and 1815-1835 CE (Oliva et al., 2018a). In Sierra Nevada there were also small glaciers during the LIA that have been reconstructed based on radiocarbon dating of lake sediments (Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012) and through historical documents (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2009, 2018).

The objective of this work is to apply CRE methods to glacial landforms originated during advances prior to LPG and to very young moraines as well as to review and discuss those found in this research in comparison to other similar studies. Sierra Nevada constitutes a unique environment to examine the use of CRE dating as a potential tool to reconstruct the chronology of old and young glaciations, due to the well-constrained glacial chronological record of the LPG and the existence of glacial landforms originated before and after of this glaciation in this mountains.

2. Study area

Sierra Nevada is located in the SE of the Iberian Peninsula and runs SW-NE parallel to the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1). This massif includes the highest peaks of the Iberian Peninsula: Mulhacén (3478 m a.s.l.; 37°03'12"N, 3°18'41"W) and Veleta (3398 m; 37°03'02"N 3°20'54"W). The area is composed of Paleozoic metamorphic rocks, mainly micaschists, profoundly tectonized during the Alpine orogeny, with the presence of intensive foliation and joints that makes them susceptible to intense weathering (Messerli, 1965; Sanz de Galdeano and López-Garrido, 1999). Climate conditions in Sierra Nevada are characteristic of a semi-arid mid-latitude mountain environment, with a Mean Annual Air Temperature (MAAT) at 2500 m of 4.4 °C and a total precipitation of 710 mm, 40% of which as snow (Oliva et al.,
The MAAT at the top of the Veleta peak between 2002 and 2013 was 0.08 °C, with a slight increase of 0.12 °C along this period (Oliva et al., 2016a).

The Quaternary landscape evolution of Sierra Nevada has been largely studied over the last decades (Fig. 1, Table 1). From the first basic geomorphological observations of the late 19th and early 20th centuries to the recent multi-dating approaches, our understanding of the glacial processes shaping the landscape of the highest lands has significantly improved (i.e. Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2015).

During the 1960s and 1970s several authors suggested the existence of older glaciations occurred prior to the LPG, formerly known as the Riss glaciation in Alpine terminology. Their observations focused on the existence of highly eroded moraine remnants and fluvioglacial deposits at very low altitudes, mainly in the southern slope of the massif (Hempel, 1960; Messerli, 1965; Lhenaff, 1977; Sánchez-Gómez, 1990). However, this hypothesis has not been validated until now though direct dating methods.

By contrast, the LPG has been recently well-constrained with CRE techniques. Gómez-Ortiz et al. (2012) and Palacios et al. (2016) reported two major glacial advances occurred at ca. 30-32 ka and 19-20 ka. The second stage of glacial advance occurred in phase to the global Last Glacial Maximum and reached almost the same extent than during the previous glacial stage. Subsequently, temperature increase recorded worldwide (Clark et al., 2009) favored a massive deglaciation of the massif, although two stages of glacial expansion occurred during the transition towards the Holocene, namely during the Oldest Dryas and Younger Dryas. During these phases, glaciers expanded significantly and flowed down-valleys several kilometers, both in southern and northern slopes (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012; Palacios et al., 2016).

The Holocene was characterized by warmer temperatures that promoted the gradual melting of these glaciers during the Early Holocene (Palacios et al., 2016). The formerly glaciated
environments were then subjected to paraglacial processes, which favoured the development of rock glaciers associated to permafrost conditions (Oliva et al., 2016b; 2018b). These permafrost-related landforms finally stabilized at 6-7 ka during the Holocene Climate Optimum, when warmer conditions must have conditioned permafrost thawing (Palacios et al., 2016).

Climate variability intensified in the Northern Hemisphere during the Late Holocene (Mayewski et al., 2004). In Sierra Nevada, this was reflected in alternating cold and warm phases (Oliva et al., 2014). During the coldest stages, some glacier spots developed in the highest northern cirques, such as in the Mulhacén cirque, where lake sediments from La Mosca Lake revealed evidence of the existence of a glacier within the cirque at ca. 2.8-2.7 ka cal BP, 1.4-1.2 ka cal BP, and during the LIA between 1440 and 1710 CE (Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012). For the last cold stage, historical documents also showed evidence of the prevailing colder conditions in Sierra Nevada, with descriptions, sketches and pictures of glaciers and permanent snow fields at the foot of the highest peaks of the westernmost mountains of Sierra Nevada between the late 17th and the early 20th century. The last glacier was located in the Veleta cirque and constituted the southernmost glacier in Europe during the LIA, although it finally melted away during the mid-20th century (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2001, 2009, 2018; Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012; Oliva et al., 2018a). However, no CRE dating is yet available from the different LIA glacial stages in Sierra Nevada.

3. Methods

3.1 Sampling strategy

With the aim of dating glacial landforms formed potentially before the LPG, this research focuses on the Naute valley, a steep mountain ravine located on the southern slope of the Mulhacén peak (Fig. 1, 2, 3 and 4). The valley results from the confluence of two glacial
headwaters –Río Seco and Mulhacén valleys– converging at 2300 m of altitude. In previous studies these two high mountain valleys were selected to reconstruct glacial stages of the LPG using CRE methods (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012; Palacios et al., 2016), but landforms distributed in the lower Naute valley affected by intense erosion processes were not examined. In Río Seco and Mulhacén valleys landforms from the LPG have been already dated using the in situ cosmogenic nuclide $^{36}$Cl, such as the front of a fossil rock glacier (9.0 ± 0.8 ka) resting on polished rock surfaces (11.9 ± 1.1 ka) in the Río Seco cirque, as well as of a fossil rock glacier (6.3 ± 0.5 ka and 13.1 ± 1.3 ka) resting on a polished rock surface (11.2 ± 1.1 ka and 12.7 ± 1.2 ka) at the head of the Mulhacén valley (Palacios et al., 2016). Just before their confluence, both valleys include a sequence of moraine ridges, where 5 blocks were dated using $^{36}$Cl (29.9 ± 2.6 ka, 16.9 ± 1.8 ka, 14.9 ± 1.5 ka, 13.7 ± 1.3 ka, 11.7 ± 1.6 ka; Palacios et al., 2016). These authors interpreted these ridges as a polygenic moraine formed by successive advances generated from just before the LGM to the Oldest Dryas. In the Naute valley, immediately below the confluence of these two tributaries, a lateral moraine is preserved in the left sector of the valley at elevations between 2150 and 2300 m. This moraine is placed below one of the ridges where a boulder was dated at 29.9 ± 2.6 ka (Palacios et al., 2016), and therefore must be older in age, possibly from a previous glaciation. For this reason, this lower moraine was considered as one of the objectives of the work, in order to apply the CRE dating method.

To date glacial oscillations during the LIA by CRE dating methods, we focused our research on the Veleta cirque, located under the northern wall of Veleta peak (Fig. 1, 5, 6). Historical documents provided abundant evidence of a glacier existing at the floor of this cirque during the LIA until the first half of the 20th century (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2001, 2009, 2018). The cirque is closed by a large frontal moraine whose age is unknown, although due to its large
size it has been considered to have formed during the Last Termination or during Holocene advances (Oliva et al., 2014, 2018a).

3.2 Sampling and analytical procedures

Seven samples were collected from moraine boulders using a hammer and a chisel: four located in Naute valley (NAUT-1, -2, -3, -4) between 2164 and 2263 m, and three in the Veleta cirque (SN-11-1, -2, -3) between 3061 and 3095 m (Table 1). All samples were taken from the flat-topped surfaces of boulders > 1 m high, located on the crests of the moraines. Field data and sample characteristics of the seven samples are listed in Table 2.

Physical and chemical sample preparation and beryllium measurements were carried out at the Centre Européen de Recherche et d’Enseignement des Géosciences de l’Environnement (CEREGE, France). Lichen, moss and other organic fragments were removed from the samples with a brush. Samples were crushed with a roller grinder and sieved to retrieve the grain size fraction 0.25–1 mm. All samples are quartz-bearing micaschists, and therefore we selected in situ-produced cosmogenic $^{10}$Be dating to determine the ages of the moraines. To isolate the quartz from the bulk rock, the samples went repeatedly through a magnetic separator (Frantz LB-1) until all magnetic minerals were discarded. Subsequently, the non-magnetic fraction experienced successive chemical attacks with a mixture of concentrated hydrochloric (HCl) and hexafluorosilic (H$_2$SiF$_6$) acids to dissolve the remaining non-quartz minerals. The residual impurities were dissolved when the sample grains were decontaminated from meteoric $^{10}$Be by three successive partial dissolutions with concentrated hydrofluoric acid (HF).

The subsequent beryllium extraction protocol is adapted from Brown et al. (1991) and Merchel and Herpers (1999) chemical procedures. The samples yielded between 18 and 32 g of purified quartz (Table 3). About 100 µl of a $^9$Be carrier solution with a $^9$Be concentration of
3025 µg/g prepared in-house from a phenakite crystal was added to the quartz before it was dissolved in HF. A chemistry blank was prepared along with the seven samples. Following evaporation of the resulting solution, the samples were recovered in a hydrochloric acid solution and beryllium was precipitated to Be(OH)$_2$ with ammonia before and after elution through an anionic exchange column (Dowex 1X8) to remove iron. Following the methods described by *Merchel and Herpers* (1999), a cationic exchange column (Dowex 50WX8) was used to remove boron and to separate the Be from other elements. Beryllium was precipitated with ammonia to Be(OH)$_2$ and the resulting precipitate was oxidized to BeO at 700 °C. Then, this final BeO was mixed with niobium powder and loaded on cathodes for analysis of the $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratios at the French national Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) facility ASTER (CEREGE) (*Arnold et al.*, 2010). The measurements were calibrated against in-house standard STD-11 using an assigned $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratio of 1.191 (±0.013) x 10$^{-11}$ (*Braucher et al.*, 2015). Sample $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratios were corrected for the chemical blank background by subtracting the measured chemistry blank $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratio (Table 3). Analytical 1 sigma uncertainties include uncertainties in AMS counting statistics, the uncertainty in the standard $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratio, an external AMS error of 0.5% (*Arnold et al.*, 2010), and the uncertainty in the chemical blank measurement. A $^{10}\text{Be}$ half-life of 1.387 (± 0.01) x 10$^6$ years was used (*Chmeleff et al.*, 2010; *Korschinek et al.*, 2010).

### 3.3 Age calculation ($^{10}\text{Be}$ age computation)

We calculated the $^{10}\text{Be}$ CRE ages with the online CREP exposure age calculator (*Martin et al.*, 2017; [http://crep.crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr](http://crep.crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr)), using the LSD scaling model (*Lifton et al.*, 2014) that is similar to other previous empirical models (*Borchers et al.*, 2016). Age calculations have also considered the ERA40 atmospheric model (*Uppala et al.*, 2005) and LSD Framework geomagnetic database (*Lifton et al.*, 2014). As there is no regional production rate available, we used the worldwide mean $^{10}\text{Be}$ spallation production rate of 3.99 ± 0.22 atoms g$^{-1}$. 


as calibrated in the ICE-D production rate database linked to CREp. For all samples, a rock density of 2.7 g cm\(^{-3}\) was considered and the topographic shielding factor of each sample was calculated (Table 2).

In the moraine of the Veleta cirque, from where samples SN-11-1, SN-11-2 and SN-11-3 were extracted, snow cover remains for a large part of the year (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2009), and there is information on the properties and persistence of the snow cover for the last decades (Herrero and Polo, 2016), which allows considering snow shielding effect for these samples. The correction for snow cover has been calculated applying the equation by Gosse and Phillips (2001), including local parameters (Herrero and Polo, 2016), and considering a snow thickness on moraine boulders ranging from 30 to 130 cm during 8 months per year. We used an average value of snow density of 0.3 g cm\(^{-3}\), considering that the attenuation length for fast neutrons in snow is 109 g cm\(^{-2}\) (Zweck et al., 2013; Delunel et al., 2014). Taking into account these characteristics, we applied a snow shielding factor of 0.865 to the affected samples. We have not applied a snow shielding factor to the old samples of Naute moraine, since the coverage of snow in this area is much shorter and data are not available for a period encompassing several tens of thousands of years.

Table 3 also includes the ages determined with version 3 of the online exposure age calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth online exposure age calculator (Balco et al., 2008; Balco, 2018). These ages have been calculated using the default calibration data set based on the ICE-D calibration database and the time-dependent "LSDn" scaling method (Lifton et al., 2014). The average difference between the ages calculated with the CREP exposure age calculator and those calculated with the CRONUS-Earth (v.3) is 2.4% for NAUT samples and 6.9% for SN-11 samples.

The ages of the SN-11 samples calculated with the snow shielding factor turn out to be between 8.8 and 16.9% higher in the online CREP exposure age calculator (Martin et al.,
2017) and between the 7.9 and 16.9% higher in the online exposure age calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth online exposure age calculator v. 3 (Balco et al., 2008; Balco, 2018). The CREP exposure calculator ages and the analytical uncertainties are used in the text and in the figures of this manuscript and, only in the case of the Veleta cirque, with the application of the snow shielding factor (Table 3).

4. Results

4.1 Geomorphological analysis of the Naute moraine and CRE dating results

To select the most suitable boulders for CRE dating, an exhaustive survey was carried out of the Naute moraine system, formed potentially during a glacial advance before the LPG. The results of this survey are expressed in a detailed geomorphological map (Fig. 2). The moraine is located on the left bank of the Naute river (west) and the Peñón Grande (east) between 2150 and 2300 m. It has been intensely reshaped by postglacial environmental dynamics: (i) fluvial processes driven by steep streams have deeply eroded the moraine ridge, and (ii) gullying processes have washed away a large part of its abundant fine sediments. As a result, a large number of boulders -highly weathered and fragmented due to their abundant foliation planes and joints- are found on the surface of the moraine (Fig. 3).

To sample the boulders that best represent the original surface of the moraine, we focused on blocks that: (i) present aligned upper edges indicating the maximum possible height of the original moraine crest, and (ii) are inserted into the moraine, which ensures that they have not been mobilized since the moraine stabilization. Using these criteria, only four boulders were found with these characteristics (NAUT-1, 2, 3 and 4) (Fig. 4). The rest of the boulders showed traces of having been affected by erosion and exhumed.
Samples from two boulders showed a similar age: NAUT-1 yielded 134.8 ± 6.2 ka and NAUT-4 129.2 ± 5.3 ka. The other two boulders showed different and substantially younger ages: NAUT-2 obtained 53.7 ± 2.9 ka and NAUT-4 43.8 ± 2.3 ka (Figs 2, 3 and Table 3).

4.2 Geomorphological analysis of the Veleta cirque moraine and CRE dating results

The Veleta cirque moraine is composed of a large proportion of fine sediments with a few large blocks on the surface, possibly deposited by different glacial advances. Within the area enclosed by this moraine, there are large rock avalanche deposits fallen from the Veleta northern wall and a small rock-glacier where buried glacial ice is still preserved under the debris cover, though it presents evidence of accelerated degradation. On the other hand, the fine sediments of the moraine, resulting from heavily weathered micaschists, are being washed away by runoff activity. This process is particularly intense in late spring and early summer with snowmelt runoff, and in autumn with torrential rain events. The outer slope of the moraine is being reshaped by frequent debris flows, which also facilitate the outcropping and exhumation of large blocks buried in the moraine. In addition, there are some metric-size boulders fallen from the Veleta north wall and deposited on the surface of the moraine, normally during the winter season when snow completely fills the floor of the cirque. These rocks slide on the snow and ice surface and stabilize on the moraine ridge. On the inner slope of the moraine, accumulations are found of superimposed till blocks of different sizes. In contrast to the main moraine, these till deposits include a smaller proportion of fine particles but conserve a recent glacial imprint as revealed by the presence of flutes. This deposit exceeds the limits of the main moraine on the eastern side of the cirque, where the moraine height is lower (Fig. 5 and 6). Evidence from historical sources confirms that the distribution of this recent till deposit coincides with the surface covered by the glacier during the 19th century (Fig. 7; Bide, 1893; Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2018).
Before selecting boulders for CRE dating, a very detailed geomorphological survey of the large polygenic moraine system enclosing the Veleta cirque was conducted. A detailed geomorphological map shows the main landforms observed in the cirque (Fig. 5). Schematic transects show the sectors of the moraine affected by current or recent post-glacial processes and derived landforms (Fig. 6). Considering these geomorphological characteristics, we avoided collecting samples from blocks corresponding to very recently deglaciated surfaces because: (i) we already know that this area was occupied by the glacier during the 19th century and (ii) they can result from the frequent rockfalls from the headwall. As a result, only three CRE datable boulders were found anchored in the moraine and protruding sufficiently not to have been covered by other sediments since their deposition (SN11-1, 2 and 3) (Fig. 7). Thus, they cannot have fallen from the headwall, or have been covered by the glacier in a subsequent readvance.

Sample SN-11-1, collected on the top of the moraine, very close to 20th century till deposits, obtained an age of 340 ± 120 years, corresponding to the year 1675 ± 120 CE. Sample SN-11-2, collected on the crest of the lobate ridge of the moraine, yielded an age of 720 ± 270 years (1295 ± 270 CE). Finally, sample SN-11-3, collected on the top of the lateral moraine ridge in the western part of the cirque, obtained an age of 400 ± 110 years (1615 ± 110 CE) (Figs 5, 6 and Table 3).

5. Discussion

5.1 The existence of a glaciation prior to the last Pleistocene glaciation in Sierra Nevada

The results of $^{10}$Be CRE dating yielded ages of ca. 130-135 ka for the two samples collected from boulders in the moraine downslope of the maximum ice advance in Sierra Nevada (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012). These ages suggest that the moraine may have been formed during the last maximum advance of the penultimate glaciation. The other two boulders of the
same moraine yield much younger ages, around 45-50 ka (Table 3), and thus can be considered outliers. On the other hand, none of the studies in Sierra Nevada reported boulder samples affected by nuclide inheritance (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012; 2015; Palacios et al., 2016), and therefore this problem can be rejected in the older samples.

In Sierra Nevada, no surfaces polished and striated bedrock surfaces where found in this study between the moraine ridges dated ca. 130-135 ka and those dated in previous studies between ca. 30 and ca. 15 ka (Palacios et al., 2016), i.e. rock surfaces which may have been ice-covered prior to the LPG. All the polished bedrock surfaces dated in Sierra Nevada—in many cases with abundant and well-preserved fresh striations—correspond to the deglaciation process during Termination I (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012; Palacios et al., 2016). The fact that moraines from a previous glaciation are found in close proximity to those of the last glacial cycle without intermediate polished surfaces led us to apply CRE dating only on boulder surfaces in the old moraines. Recent research in mountain areas, such as in the Alps (e.g. Chenet et al., 2016), the Andes (e.g. Martini et al., 2017), Central Asia (e.g. Batbaatar et al., 2018) and the Iberian Peninsula (Rodríguez-Rodríguez et al., 2016, 2017), suggest using a minimum of 5 boulders from the same moraine to ensure obtaining the formation age of the landform. Thus, where most of the results show dispersed data, the ages are rejected, whereas an average value is obtained with the rest, interpreted as indicative of the development age of the moraine. In this study we attempted to apply this five-boulder criterion, but this proved impossible due to the deterioration of the moraine since the penultimate glaciation, as the landform has been intensely eroded and few potentially datable boulders are available. The headwaters of several torrents have eroded the ridge summit, leaving the moraine covered with loose blocks. When trying to reconstruct the original surface of the moraine we found only four embedded boulders (Fig. 4).
The CRE ages of ca. 130-135 ka obtained in Sierra Nevada coincide with the last cold period of the penultimate glaciation in the Alps as suggested by $^{230}$Th dating on speleothems that obtained 131.8 ± 0.6 ka (Häuselmann et al., 2015; Moseley et al., 2015). This stage occurred during Heinrich stadial 11 (Oppo et al., 2006), parallel to the end of the last cold phase in the Alboran sea surface temperatures (near Sierra Nevada, in SE Iberia) before the beginning of TII (Martrat et al., 2014; Jiménez-Amat and Zahn, 2015). By that time, the onset of warmer temperatures favored the end of the last cold period of the penultimate glaciation in Greenland (Grant et al., 2012) as well as the initial melting of large ice sheets at high latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere (Govin et al., 2015), leading to sea level rise (Rohling et al., 2017).

The formation and stabilization of the Naute moraine may therefore be contemporary with what is called the Penultimate Glacial Maximum (PGM), a parallel concept to the LGM for the last glaciation, centered on 140 ka (Rohling et al., 2017). The deposition age of the Naute moraine is similar to the stabilization age of the maximum glacial advance moraine in the Sierra de Queixa dated 155 ± 30 ka $^{21}$Ne (Vidal-Romání et al., 2015). The erratic boulder dated 113.9 ± 7.1 ka $^{10}$Be in the Porma Valley, Cantabrian Mountains, could also be related to the deglaciation process following the PGM (Rodríguez-Rodríguez et al., 2016). The same is true for the erratic boulder in the Ariège valley (northern Pyrenees), with a minimum $^{10}$Be age of 122.2 ± 4.9 ka (Delmas et al., 2011), slightly after the formation of the Naute moraine from the penultimate glaciation. In addition, fluvioglacial terraces deposited simultaneously on the outermost moraine ridges in the Gállego and Aragón valleys, Central Pyrenees, were dated 151 ± 11ka and 171 ± 22 ka OSL ages by other authors (Lewis et al., 2009; García-Ruiz et al., 2013), and therefore may represent the same glacial stage that led to the formation of the Naute moraine. However, despite some evidence suggesting glacial activity between ca. 170 and 130 ka in the Iberian Peninsula, the small number of reliably dated terrestrial records makes it difficult to infer spatiotemporal glaciation patterns occurring before the LPG. This is
a common issue in other Mediterranean mountains where glacial advances concurrent with
the development of the Naute moraine have only been dated through the application of U
series to secondary carbonates in cemented moraines in mountains in Greece (Hughes et al.,
2006a, b, 2007), Dinaric Alps (Hughes et al., 2007) and the Gran Sasso Massif, Central Italy
(Kotarba et al., 2001).

A case study similar to the Naute moraine was undertaken in the Jura mountains, where
several erratic boulders were found scattered beyond the Alpine glaciers LGM extent. In this
case the oldest ages were very similar to those of the Naute moraine (129.7 ± 4.7 ka, 143.2 ±
8.2 ka and 144.0 ± 5.3 ka $^{10}$Be ages), although just as in Sierra Nevada, other boulders in the
same deposit yielded younger ages (Graf et al., 2015). After their experience applying CRE
dating to several moraine boulders in the Himalayas, Schaefer et al. (2008)
highlighted the
role of erosion processes in the exhumation of blocks in old moraines. As a result, these
authors claim that the oldest, not the average age of the moraine boulders reflects the best
minimum age estimation for the moraine deposition, in agreement with previous authors
(Hallet and Putkonen, 1994). Briner et al. (2005) proposed the same criterion after analyzing a
large number of moraine boulders, presumably from the penultimate glaciation in Alaska, and
found many problems of boulder exhumations. These authors also defend an “oldest-age
method” to provide the closest approximation to the moraine stabilization age. Similarly, and
from previous experience, Balco (2011) defined the most appropriate strategies when
applying CRE methods to degraded moraines, which have been considered in this study.

From our results we conclude that the difficulty of finding suitable boulders to apply CRE
methods in moraines from old glaciations should not discourage their use. Dating an adequate
number of samples is very difficult for moraines prior to the LPG, where erosive activity over
time may have exhumed most of the boulders. In the case of Sierra Nevada, the profoundly
tectonized micaschists have been intensely affected by erosion, which makes it more difficult
to find suitable boulders for dating (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2015). Nevertheless, Sierra Nevada is an arid mountain, where ice margin deposits preserved on gentle slopes make it possible to obtain a well-dated glacial sequence using the CRE method (Pallás et al., 2010) (Fig. 9).

5.2 Chronology of LIA moraine formation in Sierra Nevada

It was also difficult to find suitable blocks for CRE dating in young moraines in Sierra Nevada, as they are found in very dynamic geomorphological environments on steep slopes of 200 m high (up to 300 m from the peaks to the cirque floors) with thick and long-lasting snow cover. On the one hand, these factors accelerate erosion processes in the moraine, often reducing its size, vertical development and the slope gradient; on the other hand, they favor new sediment deposits on the moraine surface. These difficulties are also observed in most Mediterranean mountains, where LIA glaciers did not exceed the limits of the cirques and developed at the foot of vertical walls (Hughes, 2014, 2018). Previous studies adverted to the polygenic character of the Veleta cirque moraine, related to the effects of an Early Holocene glacial advance that pushed downslope, accumulating rockfall deposits from the end of TI (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012; Palacios et al., 2016). According to these authors, this moraine system functioned as a barrier to subsequent advances, which accumulated sediments on the inner slope, generating a single, large, polygenic moraine.

Using the criteria defined in Methods above, we obtained three coherent results to infer the age of the moraine, although dates were obtained for only three boulders. The high uncertainty levels in our results prevent us from relating the age of each sample to LIA periods (Oliva et al., 2018a). These ages could be related to advances from 1300 CE to 1610/1680 CE, contemporary with the LIA maximum glacial advance in the Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005) and the lowest temperatures in the Iberian Peninsula during the Maunder Minimum (Oliva et al., 2018a).
The inner slope of the moraine therefore developed during the LIA, although the large moraine system may also include boulders from other Neoglacial glacial stages, such as those detected in the Mulhacén cirque, where lake sediments show evidence of a glacier within the cirque ca. 2.8-2.7 ka, 1.4-1.2 ka cal BP and LIA (Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012). New results obtained in Mount Olympus (Greece) found similar results using \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) dating method, with one sample of 0.64 ± 0.08 ka (Styllas et al., 2018). However, most studies focusing on the use of CRE dating methods for dating LIA glacial advances have been carried out in the Alps. In the Western Alps, LIA moraines are very close to Neoglacial (Late Holocene) moraines and may even overlap them (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012; 2014); as in the Veleta cirque, LIA glacial advances have left a single polygenic ridge with dated boulders showing a wide range of dates: 1430 ± 32, 1534 ± 28, and 1829 ± 11 CE \(^{10}\text{Be}\) (ages not considering snow shielding) (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012). These dates could correspond to the three most important glacial advances in the Alps during the LIA: 1300-1380, 1600-1670 and 1800-1860 CE (Holzhauser et al., 2005), similar to those detected in the Pyrenees (Oliva et al., 2018a). Recent studies in the Central Alps showed a similar pattern when dating LIA moraine boulders –also very close to or overlapping Neoglacial moraines–forming single polygenic crests (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2014). These authors dated 14 boulders in a single LIA moraine ridge using \(^{10}\text{Be}\), assuming negligible inheritance for the boulders, and reported dates ranging from 1430 to 1870 CE (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2014). Le Roy et al. (2017) dated Neoglacial moraines near several present-day glacier fronts in the French Alps and obtained \(^{10}\text{Be}\) ages that are all consistent with the Late Holocene period (~4-1 ka), but do not follow the logical chronostratigraphic moraine sequence. These authors highlighted the problems related to surface exhumation and erosion of many moraine ridges. Some attempts to date the moraines of the LIA have been also made in other high mountain ranges such as the Cordillera Vilcabamba, Central Andes where Licciardi et al. (2009) applied
\(^{10}\)Be CRE dating for the first time. Samples collected from a single massive ridge showed average dates ranging from 1740 ± 30 to 1810 ± 20 CE, though samples of old dates were rejected as being outliers. They suggest that the moraines found in several valleys of this massif may be the result of the successive accumulation of multiple Late Holocene glacial expansions.

Schaefer et al. (2009) also applied \(^{10}\)Be dating in the Mueller valley in the Southern Alps, New Zealand, to date many LIA moraine boulders. The geomorphological setting is similar to that described in the European Alps, with a single LIA moraine system overlapping with various Late Holocene moraine ridges. Boulders taken from different sites in the LIA moraine reported dates of 1350 ± 60, 1600 ± 50, 1780 ± 40 and 1820 ± 20 CE \(^{10}\)Be, which coincide with cold periods inferred from tree-ring data. Li et al. (2016) applied \(^{10}\)Be dating in LIA moraines in Tian Shan mountains, Central Asia; interestingly, they found that boulders in moraines from glaciers smaller than 1.0 km\(^2\) show very old ages because of nuclide inheritance. The same problems were found in the small Veleta cirque, where the wall is very close to the moraine, but we discarded this possibility following the results obtained in this present study and those from other previous work in Sierra Nevada, because of the intense, continuous rock fall activity on its wall. For larger glaciers, Li et al. (2016) found evidence of a major advance ca. 1600 ± 100 CE \(^{10}\)Be, and also a remarkable early LIA glacial expansion at ca. 1480 ± 55 CE \(^{10}\)Be. Dong et al. (2017) dated two different LIA ridges in Tibetan mountains with \(^{10}\)Be, collecting four samples from each ridge. Their results provide a range of 1480±139 to 1975±31 CE \(^{10}\)Be dates for the entire moraine system, very similar to the Veleta cirque results. Young et al. (2015) dated several stable moraine ridges close to the current alpine glacier snouts in Baffin Island and western Greenland and found a logical geomorphological order from 1040±40 CE to 1700±40 CE \(^{10}\)Be ages with a retreat from 1750 CE, and proposed a recent glacial maximum during the Medieval Climate Anomaly, when
glaciers in Europe receded. Jomelli et al. (2016) applied in situ cosmogenic $^{36}$Cl to date three moraines in Lyngmarksbræen glacier (West Greenland), which were deposited during the last millennium in a relatively flat area not constrained by topography, the opposite that happens in Alpine environments. These authors obtained advances with average ages of $1200 \pm 130$ CE, $1450 \pm 90$ CE and $1720 \pm 60$ CE for the most external and internal ridges. The most recent advances coincide with glacial expansion in European high mountains, but the older moraine may have developed during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (Jomelli et al., 2016). In the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen islands, Jomelli et al. (2017) recently dated a moraine with $^{36}$Cl that is probably related to the LIA.

From a review of the available literature on LIA moraine dating in different mountain ranges, we concluded that, in general, a first glacial advance was recorded during the 14$^{th}$ century, with the major ice expansion during the 17$^{th}$ century and a last minor readvance at the beginning of the 19$^{th}$ century. Due to the short exposure duration of our samples, their $^{10}$Be ages have 25-30% analytical uncertainties. These uncertainties are higher than the age difference of 10-16% obtained when a snow shielding correction factor is applied, as was proposed in early studies (Benson et al., 2004; Schildgen et al., 2005). In any case, this study defends the importance of considering the snow cover of the moraines studied, both when applying CRE methods, and to discover the degree of degradation to which they have been subjected. The location of the glacial fronts during the LIA suggests MAAT ca. 1 °C below current values in mid-latitude mountain regions (Oliva et al., 2018a), determining longer snow cover duration in the highlands of the glaciated massifs and strengthening shielding from cosmogenic radiation (Fig. 7). Long-lasting snow cover also intensifies nivation-related erosion processes and can intensely affect glacial deposits (Christiansen, 1998; Palacios et al., 2003).
On the other hand, as we observed in the Veleta cirque, and as described in several papers cited above, the intensity of the paraglacial processes (Ballantyne, 2002; Oliva and Ruiz-Fernández, 2015) in the current deglaciation phase from the LIA advance is very high, with a complex superposition of processes that destroy the glacial landforms and accumulate new deposits on them. Therefore, as we saw, it was difficult to find suitable boulders for CRE dating in the Veleta cirque, despite its young age. In this context, the long-term study and monitoring of the dynamics of the LIA moraines in full paraglacial phase, should serve to evaluate the time needed for moraine stabilization, once it has been abandoned by glacial retreat (Zreda and Phillips, 1994, 1995; Putkonen and O’Neal, 2006; Putkonen et al., 2008; Heyman et al., 2011) (Fig. 9).

In areas where LIA moraines are constrained by steep slopes, as is very common in Alpine systems, at least two, or possibly three, major LIA advances overlap, in some cases with other Neoglacial moraines, to form a single polygenic ridge, as occurred in the Veleta cirque. This was highlighted in the mid-1980s with the concepts of “obliterative overlap” (Gibbons et al., 1984) and “distal-flank accretion” (Osborn, 1986) applied to Neoglacial advances.

6. Conclusions

The results of this research supply evidence of the very few CRE dates available on very old glacial landforms formed before the last Pleistocene glaciation, and also the few very young dates available, such as those derived from LIA glaciation, compared with the large number of dates obtained for Termination I. For both periods, the selection of boulders suitable for sampling was the critical issue in Sierra Nevada, due to intense postglacial environmental dynamics such as occurred during the last major deglaciation, which impedes the collection of a statistically significant number of samples. In the case of the LIA, moraines are still undergoing intense paraglacial readjustment to the new geomorphological setting, with very intense erosion and sediment redistribution of the unconsolidated moraine particles. In
addition, moraine accretion processes during successive glacial advances are detected from geomorphological observations. In moraines originating during the penultimate glaciation, the long time lapse since their formation has facilitated intense erosion and reshaping of these landforms, with very few stable blocks remaining on the surface since their original stabilization. Periods of certain geomorphic stability may have occurred between the very old and the younger glaciations (Fig. 9).

The results of this research have enabled us to verify the existence of moraines deposited in glaciations prior to LPG in Sierra Nevada, as many of the first geomorphologists who studied the glacial landforms of this mountains suggested, and confirm similar CRE and OSL ages established in other mountains in the northern Iberian Peninsula.

In addition, CRE dates are obtained for the first time in the Iberian Peninsula in LIA moraines, with results suggesting that maximum advances during this period occurred between the 14th and 17th centuries, as is the case in many other mountains where the same method has been applied.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Location of the case study area, Sierra Nevada, in the south of the Iberian Peninsula.

Figure 2. Geomorphological map of the Naute valley and location of samples. We show CRE ka ages from this work (NAUT samples) together with those from Palacios et al. (2016).

Figure 3. Results of the Naute moraine samples of this work, together with pictures of some of the sampled boulders and CRE data (including data from Palacios et al. (2016)). (A) Penultimate glaciation moraine (source: Google Earth imagery), (B) Picture of the sampled NAUT-4 boulder, (C) Panoramic view of the penultimate glaciation moraine next to the last glaciation moraine.

Figure 4. Geomorphological sketch of the two moraines existing in the lower part of the Naute valley, together with the location of the CRE Sampling Boulder (CSB).

Figure 5. Geomorphological map of the Veleta cirque and sample location. We show CRE ages from this work (SN samples) together with those from Palacios et al. (2016).

Figure 6. (A) Schematic transect of the Veleta cirque including the different landforms existing across the cirque floor, and (B) Sketch showing the geomorphological approach used in the selection of samples in the Veleta cirque.

Figure 7. (A) Photograph of Corral of the Veleta cirque from the West in September, 2016. (B) Drawing according to the vision of Bide (1893), where the glacier seems still to cover its maximum extension in 19th century.

Figure 8. (A) Veleta cirque with its 19th century moraine (source: Google Earth), (B) Vertical view of the Veleta cirque floor from the Veleta peak, (C) Sampled boulder for SN-11-2, and (D) Sampled boulder for SN-11-3.
Figure 9. Sketch representing the erosion processes affecting a moraine: (A) Intense mass movements and erosion reshaping the main ridge during the paraglacial stage, (B) Stabilization phase of a moraine at the end of paraglacial phase, and (C) Mature stage of an old moraine after a long period of erosion.

Table captions

Table 1. Current knowledge of Quaternary glacial stages in Sierra Nevada.

Table 2. Field data and characteristics of sampled boulders dated with $^{10}$Be in Sierra Nevada (*boulders are covered by snow thickness of 30 to 130 cm during 8 months per year). See text for details.

Table 3. Analytical data and $^{10}$Be sample exposure ages.
### Table 1. Current knowledge of Quaternary glacial stages in Sierra Nevada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Chronology</th>
<th>Processes and landforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Last Glaciation</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Possible existence of eroded moraines from glaciations occurred before the Last Glaciation as well as glacio-fluvial sediments distributed at lower elevations than moraines formed during the Last Glaciation (Hempel, 1960; Messerli, 1965; Lhenaff, 1977; Sánchez-Gómez, 1990).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Glaciation (MIE)</td>
<td>Two glacial advances at ca. 32-30 ka and 20-19 ka</td>
<td>Development of alpine valleys at elevations between 2000 and 2500 m in northern and southern slopes, respectively (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012, 2013, 2015; Oliva et al., 2014; Palacios et al., 2016). The glaciated environment during the MIE encompassed 105 km² (Palma et al., 2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deglaciation</td>
<td>Two glacial advances during the Oldest Dryas (OD) at ca. 17 ka and Younger Dryas (YD) at ca. 13-12 ka</td>
<td>Glacial retreat at ca. 19 ka followed by two phases of glacial development until the Holocene, mainly in the highest valleys from the northern slope of the massif (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012, 2013; Oliva et al., 2012, 2014; Palacios et al., 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glacial retreat until the Early Holocene at ca. 10-9 ka</td>
<td>The glaciers formed during the YD finally melted and paraglacial activity favoured the development of rock glaciers in these areas (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2012, 2013; Oliva et al., 2016b; Palacios et al., 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td>Three stages with formation of glaciers occurred during the Late Holocene at ca. 2.8-2.7, 1.4-1.2 ka cal BP and LIA</td>
<td>Lake sediments suggest the development of a small glacier in the Mulhacén cirque, which is reflected in several moraine ridges distributed across the cirque floor (Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012; Oliva et al., 2016a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Ice Age (LIA)</td>
<td>From 1440 to 1710 AD a glacier existed in the Mulhacén cirque, and another glacier developed in the Corral de Veleta cirque until the mid-20th century</td>
<td>In the highest northern cirques between Mulhacén and Veleta peaks, historical sources and geomorphic evidence (i.e. moraines) shows evidence of the existence of several small glaciers that gradually disappeared during the 19th and 20th centuries (Gómez-Ortiz et al., 2001, 2009, 2018; Oliva and Gómez-Ortiz, 2012; Oliva et al., 2018a).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Field data and characteristics of sampled boulders dated with \(^{10}\)Be in Sierra Nevada (*boulders are covered by snow thickness of 30 to 130 cm during 8 months per year). See text for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Latitude (ºN)</th>
<th>Longitude (ºW)</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Topographic shielding factor</th>
<th>Snow shielding factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-1</td>
<td>37.0196111</td>
<td>3.33047222</td>
<td>2164</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.97832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-2</td>
<td>37.0201111</td>
<td>3.33036111</td>
<td>2179</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.98414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-3</td>
<td>37.0212500</td>
<td>3.32977778</td>
<td>2211</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.98221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-4</td>
<td>37.0225556</td>
<td>3.32866667</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.97817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*SN-11-1</td>
<td>37.0595833</td>
<td>3.36569444</td>
<td>3076</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.80979 (0.70067)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*SN-11-2</td>
<td>37.0605833</td>
<td>3.36747222</td>
<td>3061</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.80979 (0.70067)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*SN-11-3</td>
<td>37.0606944</td>
<td>3.36897222</td>
<td>3095</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.80979 (0.70067)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Analytical data and $^{10}$Be sample exposure ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Quartz (g)</th>
<th>Be carrier solution (mg)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be (x10$^{13}$)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be (CREp) (ka)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be age (C-Ep) (ka)</th>
<th>With snow shielding factor</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be age (CREp) (ka)</th>
<th>$^{10}$Be age (C-Ep) (ka)</th>
<th>With snow shielding factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-1</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>23.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2530 ± 110</td>
<td>134.8 ± 10 (6.2)</td>
<td>130.4 ± 10 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-2</td>
<td>21.98</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1030 ± 53</td>
<td>53.7 ± 4.3 (2.9)</td>
<td>54.5 ± 4.3 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-3</td>
<td>22.53</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>9.62 ± 0.35</td>
<td>868 ± 50</td>
<td>43.8 ± 3.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>43.0 ± 3.6 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAUT-4</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>28.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2580 ± 110</td>
<td>129.2 ± 8.9 (5.4)</td>
<td>125.4 ± 9.4 (5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-11-1</td>
<td>30.91</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.127 ± 0.052</td>
<td>8.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.10 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.11 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.12 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.13 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.13 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-11-2</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>0.285 ± 0.086</td>
<td>17.7 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.22 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.20 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.28 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.22 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.22 (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-11-3</td>
<td>31.39</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>0.159 ± 0.050</td>
<td>10.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.09 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.11 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.11 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.12 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.12 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.0450 ± 0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Carrier has a concentration of 3025 µg $^{9}$Be/g.

*b* Sample $^{10}$Be/$^{9}$Be ratios corrected for batch-specific analytical blank ratio of (4.5 ± 1.3) x 10$^{-15}$.

*c* $^{10}$Be ages calculated with the online CREp exposure age calculator (Martin et al., 2017). 1sigma errors include analytical and production rate uncertainties; analytical errors shown in brackets.

*d* $^{10}$Be ages calculated with the online exposure age calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth online exposure age calculator version 3 (Balco et al., 2008). 1sigma errors include analytical and production rate uncertainties; analytical errors shown in brackets.
Click here to download Interactive Map file (.kml or .kmz): doc.kml