

# Modeling plutonium sorption to kaolinite: Accounting for redox equilibria and the stability of surface species

Remi Marsac, Nidhu L Banik, Johannes Lützenkirchen, Razvan A Buda, Jens

Kratz, Christian M. Marquardt

# ▶ To cite this version:

Remi Marsac, Nidhu L Banik, Johannes Lützenkirchen, Razvan A Buda, Jens Kratz, et al.. Modeling plutonium sorption to kaolinite: Accounting for redox equilibria and the stability of surface species. Chemical Geology, 2015, 400, pp.1 - 10. 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.02.006 . hal-01904144

# HAL Id: hal-01904144 https://hal.science/hal-01904144

Submitted on 24 Oct 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Modeling plutonium sorption to kaolinite: accounting for redox equilibria and the stability of surface species

5	Rémi Marsac <sup>1</sup> , Nidhu L. Banik <sup>1,*</sup> , Johannes Lützenkirchen <sup>1</sup> , Razvan A. Buda <sup>2</sup> , Jens V.		
6	Kratz <sup>2</sup> , Christian M. Marquardt <sup>1</sup>		
7			
8			
0			
9			
10			
11	<sup>1</sup> Institut für Nukleare Entsorgung, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 3640, D-76021		
12	Karlsruhe, Germany.		
13	<sup>2</sup> Institute for Nuclear Chemistry, University of Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany		
14	*Corresponding author: E-mail address: nidhu.banik@kit.edu.		
15	Tel +4972160822420; Fax: +4972160823927		
16			

Plutonium with its particularly complex redox chemistry 17 Abstract. may be thermodynamically stable in the states +III to +VI depending on the redox conditions in the 18 environment. Mineral surfaces can also affect Pu redox speciation. Therefore, the 19 interpretation of Pu sorption data becomes particularly challenging, even for simplified 20 laboratory experiments. The present study focuses on Pu sorption to kaolinite. Am(III), 21 Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) literature sorption data are used as analogues for the corresponding 22 Pu redox states to calibrate a simple surface complexation model, and the Nernst formalism is 23 applied. Two independent pH-pe diagrams, one for the kaolinite surface and another for the 24 aqueous phase, are constructed and superimposed. This allows visualization of the prevalent 25 Pu redox state in both phases. The model suggests that the stability field of the most strongly 26 adsorbing redox state is larger at the surface than in solution. Because Pu(V) weakly sorbs to 27 kaolinite, it never prevails at the surface. Within the stability field of Pu(V) in 0.1M NaClO<sub>4</sub> 28 29 solution, Pu(VI) and Pu(IV) prevail at the kaolinite surface under oxidizing and slightly reducing conditions, respectively. By contrast, the Pu(IV)/Pu(III) boundary is hardly affected 30 31 because both redox states strongly sorb to kaolinite, especially for pH > 6. The present 32 method is applied to literature data for Pu sorption to kaolinite. By estimating the pe from a Pu redox state analysis in solution, overall Pu uptake could be predicted. Generic equations 33 are derived that are applicable to minerals and actinides other than kaolinite and Pu. The 34 present study provides important progress in understanding Pu geochemistry, especially in the 35 context of nuclear waste disposal where thermodynamic models are particularly necessary to 36 predict Pu mobility. 37

38 Keywords: Plutonium, kaolinite, redox, surface complexation modeling.

# 39 Highlights:

- Data of actinide analogues are used to model Pu(III,IV,V,VI) sorption to kaolinite.
- Two pH-pe diagrams for Pu are drawn for (i) the solution and (ii) the surface.
- 42 Pu sorption and redox speciation can be predicted.
- The redox potential is crucial information: it controls Pu overall sorption.
- This approach can be applied to other minerals and actinides.

# **1. Introduction**

Due to its radiotoxicity and the very long half-lives of several isotopes, plutonium (Pu) 47 is an important element in the context of nuclear waste disposal as well as in remediation of 48 areas contaminated by nuclear weapon testing or nuclear power-plant accidents. Geochemical 49 50 behavior of Pu is particularly challenging since, in environmentally relevant conditions, Pu can be found in the oxidation states +III, +IV, +V or +VI. Pu(III) or Pu(IV) prevail under 51 reducing conditions, which are particularly relevant for deep geological nuclear waste 52 disposal. Pu(V) or Pu(VI) are more relevant under oxidizing conditions like oxygenated 53 surface environments. The chemical behavior of Pu concerning complexation by organic and 54 inorganic ligands, solubility, as well as sorption to minerals, strongly depends on the Pu redox 55 state (e.g. Altmaier et al., 2013). Thus, Pu(V) weakly sorbs to minerals and is considered to be 56 rather mobile (e.g. Geckeis et al., 2013). Pu(VI) and Pu(III) sorption is pH dependent and can 57 be affected by the presence of carbonates (especially for Pu(VI)). Pu(IV) strongly sorbs to 58 minerals, is sparingly soluble and, therefore, considered to be rather immobile. However, 59 Pu(IV) also tends to form intrinsic colloids in neutral to alkaline conditions, which might 60 enhance its mobility (Neck et al., 2007; Kersting, 2013; Walther and Denecke, 2013). 61 Consequently, sound knowledge of the geochemical behavior of each Pu redox state is 62 required to understand and predict Pu mobility in the environment. In this context, the 63 development of geochemical models that predict Pu speciation accounting for all these 64 processes are required. 65

Sorption to mineral surfaces can retard Pu migration or mediate its migration when Pu sorbs to mineral colloids (Kersting et al., 1999; Xie et al., 2013), if these colloids remain stable in aqueous suspension and can be transported by water flow. Because of the complexity of the mineral-water interface and of the heterogeneity of minerals in nature, laboratory studies with purified or synthetic minerals are carried out to unravel the underlying processes.

Even though such simplified systems have been widely investigated, the chemical behavior of 71 Pu still remains unclear. In particular, although introduced in a single redox state to a mineral 72 suspension, a mixture of Pu redox states rapidly emerges. For instance, when added either as 73 Pu(VI) or Pu(V) under ambient (air) atmosphere, Pu(V) prevails in solution at the end of the 74 experiment, but Pu(IV) is found at the surface of hematite, goethite, magnetite (Powell et al., 75 2004; 2005; Romanchuk et al., 2011; 2013; Hixon and Powell, 2014), guartz/silica (Kumar et 76 al., 2012; Hixon et al., 2013) or montmorillonite (Zavarin et al., 2012). Similar observations 77 were recently made in the case of neptunium (Np) interaction with illite (Marsac et al., 2015). 78 Although initially introduced as Np(V) under oxygen-free argon atmosphere, a significant 79 amount of Np(IV) was found at the surface, whereas a small fraction remained in solution as 80 Np(V). Conversely, when Pu(IV) was added either under argon or ambient atmosphere, Pu(V)81 was found in solution in the presence of kaolinite (Banik et al., 2007). Pu(III) sorption to 82 83 minerals has been probed less frequently, although it has high environmental relevance (Kaplan et al., 2007; Lujaniene et al., 2009; Kirsch et al., 2011). Buda et al. (2008) reacted 84 85 Pu(III) with kaolinite in the presence of NH<sub>2</sub>OH·HCl as reducing agent. A preliminary experiment, in the absence of kaolinite, showed that Pu(III) was not stable and Pu(IV) formed 86 in solution in the presence of  $NH_2OH \cdot HCl$  for pH > 6. In the presence of kaolinite, the pH-87 edges of Pu and Am(III) were found to be very similar, the sorption plateau being reached for 88 pH  $\approx$  6. This suggests that the Pu(IV)/Pu(III) redox couple is only weakly affected by sorption. 89

It appears from all these studies that mineral surfaces have a strong impact on Pu redox speciation, especially when the Pu(V)/Pu(IV) couple is involved. Furthermore, these studies also show that the prevalent redox state of the metal ion in aqueous solution can differ from that at the mineral surface. In principle, the overall redox potential of the system should determine the final redox state of Pu (Geckeis et al., 2013). It has been previously proposed that the strongly adsorbing Pu(IV) is thermodynamically favored at a mineral surface 96 compared to Pu(V) (Hixon et al., 2013; Hixon and Powell, 2014). With such an approach, the
97 Np sorption to illite and redox speciation could recently be described in a quantitative way
98 considering Np(IV) at the mineral surface and Np(V) in solution (Marsac et al., 2015). This
99 approach might also be suitable in the case of Pu, because of the very similar chemistry of
100 both actinides.

To determine the stability fields of different Pu redox states at a mineral surface, the 101 sorption behavior of all redox states must be known separately. It appears that for Pu such 102 experimental data will hardly ever become available because a mixture of redox states is 103 found in most experimental studies. Fortunately, lanthanides/actinides (Ln/An) exhibit similar 104 chemical behavior for the same redox state, even though thermodynamic constants may 105 significantly differ between two elements (Altmaier et al., 2013). The use of these elements as 106 chemical analogues might help to unravel the complex geochemical behavior of Pu in a first 107 approach (Choppin, 1999). 108

The present study focuses on kaolinite because experimental sorption data for 109 americium(III) (Am<sup>3+</sup>), thorium(IV) (Th<sup>4+</sup>), neptunium(V) (NpO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>) and uranium(VI) (UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>) 110 are available (Buda et al., 2008; Banik et al., 2007; Amayri et al., 2011; Křepelová, 2007). 111 These data are assumed to be representative for sorption of Pu(III, IV, V, VI), respectively, 112 and used for each redox state of Pu to calibrate the simple surface complexation model 113 developed by Tertre et al. (2008). By merging Pu redox chemistry with sorption processes, the 114 resulting model is used to construct a predominance (pH-pe) diagram for Pu redox speciation 115 at the kaolinite surface. The modeling results are compared with experimental data in order to 116 test the capacity of the model to predict Pu sorption to kaolinite as a function of pH and pe. 117 118 The present approach is subsequently tested on metal sorption on other minerals.

## 2. Materials and method

#### 121 **2.1.** Geochemical speciation code and thermodynamic database

122 PHREEQC (version 2; Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) is a computer code that can perform speciation (including surface complexation) and saturation-index calculations in 123 water. Predominance (pH-pe) diagrams can be obtained using PhreePlot (Kinniburgh and 124 125 Cooper, 2009), which contains an embedded version of PHREEQC. In the present work, thermodynamic constants for Pu aqueous speciation and solubility are taken from the NEA 126 thermodynamic database (Guillaumont et al., 2003). Reactions and corresponding 127 128 thermodynamic constants at 25°C and zero ionic strength are given in Table 1. In case of gaps in the Pu database, data for analogues were chosen and are included in Table 1. A redox 129 reaction involving aqueous  $Pu^{4+}$  and  $PuO_2^{+}$  is not given. It is calculated based on the reaction 130  $PuO_{2(am,hyd)} = PuO_2^+ + e^-$  with log K = -19.78 at zero ionic strength (Guillaumont et al., 2003). 131 The specific ion interaction theory (SIT; Ciavatta, 1980) accounts for ionic strength effects 132 133 and the corresponding parameters for Pu (or the chosen analogue) from Guillaumont et al. (2003) are used. 134

135

# 136 2.2. Surface complexation modeling

As for other clay minerals, the kaolinite surface is complex because of the presence of various types of hydroxyl surface groups, mainly silanols ( $\equiv$ SiOH) and aluminols ( $\equiv$ AlOH), that can bind cations. Furthermore, several faces with different charging properties occur (Miller et al., 2007) making the development of mechanistic models a challenging task. Tertre et al. (2008) showed that batch uptake data for the trivalent lanthanides to kaolinite can be relatively well modelled by the "Generalized Composite Approach" (Davis et al., 1998; Davies et al., 2004). In this approach, only one type of generic surface site ( $\equiv$ SOH) is considered and no electrostatic term is included. As detailed by Tertre et al. (2008), for the modeling of the actinide sorption to kaolinite acid-base properties of the surface do not need to be treated explicitly. A similar approach was also used by Lützenkirchen (2012). The general reaction equation for the complexation of an actinide (An) cation with a charge "+n" to a monodentate generic surface group ( $\equiv$ SOH) can be written tentatively as:

149 
$$\equiv SOH + An^{n+} + (i-1)H_2O \rightleftharpoons \equiv SOAn(OH)_{(i-1)}^{n-i} + iH^+$$
 (1)

where  $i \ge 1$ . The corresponding surface complexation constant will be noted  ${}^{S}K_{X,(i-1)}$ , where 150 "X" denotes the redox state of the actinide. In the case of lanthanides (e.g. europium; Eu), 151 Tertre et al. (2008) only consider the formation of the surface species  $\equiv$ SOEu<sup>2+</sup>. Their study 152 was restricted to pH < 8 and a decrease in the simulated sorption between pH 7 and 8 suggests 153 that more (hydrolyzed) surface species (e.g.  $\equiv$ SOEuOH<sup>+</sup>) need to be considered for pH > 8. 154 155 This is similar to other clay minerals whenever a non-electrostatic model is used (e.g. for montmorillonite or illite: Bradbury and Baeyens 2005; 2009). In addition, cation exchange is 156 a relevant sorption mechanism in the case of kaolinite. In PHREEQC, the Gaines and Thomas 157 (1953) convention can be used, whereby cation exchange reactions, the thermodynamic 158 exchange constant ( $K_{exch}$ ), and selectivity coefficient ( ${}^{An}_{Na}K_c$ ) are expressed as follows: 159

160 
$$n \operatorname{Exch} - \operatorname{Na} + \operatorname{An}^{n+} \rightleftharpoons (\operatorname{Exch})_n - \operatorname{An} + \operatorname{Na}^+$$
 (2)

161 
$$K_{ex} = \frac{N_{An}}{(N_{Na})^n} \times \frac{f_{An}}{(f_{Na})^n} \frac{[Na^+]^n}{[An^{n+}]} = \frac{An}{Na} K_c \times \frac{f_{An}}{(f_{Na})^n}$$
 (3)

162 Here, N<sub>i</sub> are equivalent fractions, f<sub>i</sub> are surface activity coefficients and brackets denote 163 activities in solution. Tertre et al. (2008) also considered a H<sup>+</sup>-Na<sup>+</sup> exchange reaction with log 164  $_{Na}^{H}K_{c}$ = -1. In the case of the original study of Tertre et al. (2006, 2008), the surface area of kaolinite is 10 m<sup>2</sup>/g, site densities for  $\equiv$ SOH and exchange sites are 1.66 and 3.70 µmol/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

168

#### 169 **2.3. Distribution coefficients (K<sub>d</sub>)**

As will be shown in the next sections, the use of distribution coefficients  $(K_d)$  to express An uptake by kaolinite is particularly convenient for the present purpose.  $K_d$  is defined as:

173 
$$K_d = \frac{[An]_{surf}}{[An]_{tot,aq}} \times \frac{V}{S}$$
(4)

174 where [An]<sub>surf</sub> and [An]<sub>tot,aq</sub> refer to the total amount (i.e. the sum of all the species including various redox states) at the surface and in solution, respectively, and V/S is the liquid to solid 175 176 ratio. S can be either expressed as the mass of solid (K<sub>d</sub> in L/kg) or as the surface area (K<sub>d</sub> in L/m<sup>2</sup>) in contact with a given solution volume. K<sub>d</sub> is a highly conditional parameter that 177 depends on the physico-chemical conditions (e.g. pH, I, T, ligands in solution, surface 178 179 loading). As discussed later, this study focused on data obtained at room temperature, for 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, in the absence of complexing ligands other than OH<sup>-</sup> and assuming ideal sorption 180 behavior. Therefore, the evolution of K<sub>d</sub> with pH can be calculated using the simple surface 181 complexation model described above. 182

183  $K_d$  is commonly experimentally determined to quantify actinide sorption to minerals. 184 In the case of redox sensitive elements like Pu, several redox states (Pu(X); X = III, IV, V, or 185 VI) might occur simultaneously both at the surface and in the aqueous phase, depending on 186 the redox conditions. The overall (measured)  $K_d$  will be ultimately affected by the Pu redox 187 state distribution. For a mixture,  $K_d$  is denoted  $K_{d,tot}$  and can be written as follows:

188 
$$K_{d,tot} = \frac{\sum_{X} [Pu(X)]_{surf}}{\sum_{X} [Pu(X)]_{tot,aq}} \times \frac{V}{S} = \frac{\sum_{X} K_d(X) \times [Pu(X)]_{tot,aq}}{\sum_{X} [Pu(X)]_{tot,aq}}$$
(5)

189  $K_d(X)$  represents the measured  $K_d$  for the ideal case where only the redox state X is present 190 both at the surface and in solution (i.e. when  $K_{d,tot} = K_d(X)$ ):

191 
$$K_d(X) = \frac{[Pu(X)]_{surf}}{[Pu(X)]_{tot,aq}} \times \frac{V}{s}$$
 (6)

192 Such values can conveniently be obtained for redox insensitive analogues and applied to Pu.

193

# 194 **2.4. Predominance pH-pe diagram**

# 195 2.4.1. Construction of a simplified predominance diagram for aqueous species

For simplicity, in the remainder of the text, we used brackets to denote concentrations 196 and K will refer to the conditional constant valid for a given ionic strength. In practice, 197 198 appropriate corrections of the stability constants for I = 0 can be handled by speciation codes like PHREEQC applying appropriate activity corrections for example by using SIT. This 199 study will only focus on experimental data obtained in non-complexing background 200 electrolyte solutions (NaClO<sub>4</sub>), under inert atmosphere or under atmospheric conditions where 201 carbonate complexation was shown to be negligible (i.e. for sufficiently low pH). Furthermore, 202 203 we restrict the study to sufficiently low Pu concentrations such that the formation of dissolved polycations is negligible. Under these premises, only the hydrolysis of Pu(III, IV, V, VI) are 204 taken into account in the following equations. They are not valid in the presence of other 205 206 complexing ligands (e.g. carbonates), but can be extended to include effects of aqueous speciation beyond bare hydrolysis in the absence of ternary surface complexes. 207

Free aqueous component species of Pu are  $Pu^{3+}$ ,  $Pu^{4+}$ ,  $PuO_2^+$  and  $PuO_2^{2+}$  for the successive redox states. All relevant reactions are given in table 1. A generic redox reaction of an oxidized (Pu(Ox)) to a reduced (Pu(Red)) form can be written as:

211 
$$Pu(0x)^{n+} + m H^+ + q e^- \rightleftharpoons Pu(Red)^{(n-q+m)} + 0.5m H_2 0$$
 (7)

212 
$$K_{Ox/Red} = \frac{[Pu(Red)^{(n-q+m)}]}{[Pu(Ox)^{n+}][H^+]^m[e^-]^q}$$
 (8)

The simplified reaction 7, where "n" is the charge of the free Pu(Ox) cation, "q" is the number of electrons involved and "m" equals 0 or 4 depending on the redox states involved, is not entirely balanced because the "plutonyl oxygens" are not shown (see table 1). As an example, the reduction reaction of  $PuO_2^{2^+}$  to  $Pu^{4^+}$  involves two electrons and four protons (q = 2; m = 4) whereas  $Pu^{4^+}$  reduction to  $Pu^{3^+}$  involves only one electron (q = 1; m = 0). The mass law equation can be rearranged as follows:

219 
$$pe = \log K_{Ox/Red}/q + \log \left(\frac{[Pu(Ox)^{n+}]}{[Pu(Red)^{(n-q+m)}]}\right)/q - m/q \times pH$$
 (9)

where pe equals -log  $a_{e}$  (the apparent activity of the electron). pe is related to the redox potential (in Volts, versus the standard hydrogen electrode) by the relationship:  $pe = 16.9 \times E_h$ , at 25°C. Applying the latter convention to eq. 9 would lead to the well-known Nernst equation. For standard conditions (25°C, pH = 0 and zero ionic strength) when [Pu(Ox)<sup>n+</sup>] = [Pu(Red)<sup>(n-q+m)</sup>], the standard redox potential E<sup>0</sup> for a given couple is obtained.

226 
$$Pu(X)^{n+} + i H_2 0 \rightleftharpoons Pu(X)(0H)_i^{n-i} + i H^+$$
 (10)

227 
$${}^{OH}K_{X,i} = \frac{\left[\operatorname{Pu}(X)(\operatorname{OH})_{i}^{n-i}\right][H^{+}]^{i}}{\left[\operatorname{Pu}(X)^{n+}\right]}$$
 (11)

where  ${}^{OH}K_{X,i}$  is the corresponding equilibrium constant. The total aqueous concentration of Pu(X) ([Pu(X)]<sub>tot,aq</sub>) can be calculated from the concentration of the free cation ([Pu(X)<sup>n+</sup>]) via:

230 
$$[\operatorname{Pu}(X)]_{tot,aq} = [\operatorname{Pu}(X)^{n+}] + \sum_{i} [\operatorname{Pu}(X)(\operatorname{OH})_{i}^{n-i}] = [\operatorname{Pu}(X)^{n+}] \times \left(1 + \sum_{i} \frac{\operatorname{OH}_{K_{X,i}}}{[H^{+}]^{i}}\right)$$

231 =  $[\operatorname{Pu}(X)^{n+}] \times \alpha_X$  (12)

where  $\alpha_X$  is the side reaction coefficient for Pu(X). Introduction in the Nernst equation (eq. 9) yields:

234 
$$pe = \log K_{Ox/Red} / q + \log \left( \frac{[Pu(Ox)]_{tot,aq}}{[Pu(Red)]_{tot,aq}} \times \frac{\alpha_{Red}}{\alpha_{Ox}} \right) / q - m/q \times pH$$
(13)

The pe corresponding to the borderline between two Pu redox states in solution (noted
{Ox/Red}<sub>aq</sub>) is found as follows:

237 
$$\{Ox/Red\}_{aq} = pe = \log K_{Ox/Red} / q + \log \left(\frac{\alpha_{Red}}{\alpha_{Ox}}\right) / q - m/q \times pH$$
(14)

 ${Ox/Red}_{aq}$  evolves with pH and ionic strength. With eq. 14, a relatively simple 238 predominance (pH-pe) diagram can be drawn. It will not show the speciation of a given redox 239 state (e.g. hydrolyzed species) but the boundaries between redox states appear. In eq. 14, the 240 side reaction coefficient ratio is driving {Ox/Red}aq, i.e. the redox state of Pu with the 241 strongest hydrolysis tends to enlarge its predominance area with increasing pH. Note that the 242 precipitation of solid phases is not included in the present calculations. Therefore, the 243 equations are only valid (i) below the saturation of relevant Pu-bearing minerals or (ii) for 244 discussions restricted to the aqueous phase, independent of any solid phase (i.e. precipitates as 245 well as adsorbing phase), as discussed by Schüring et al. (2000). 246

247

#### 249 2.4.2. Construction of a predominance diagram for the mineral surface

To take into account sorption processes in the Nernst equation, the expression of  $K_d$ (eq. 6) for two different redox states (i.e.  $K_d(Ox)$  and  $K_d(Red)$ ) can be included into eq. 13:

252 
$$pe = \log K_{Ox/Red} / q + \log \left( \frac{[Pu(Ox)]_{surf}}{[Pu(Red)]_{surf}} \times \frac{\alpha_{Red}}{\alpha_{Ox}} \times \frac{K_d(Red)}{K_d(Ox)} \right) / q - m/q \times pH$$
(15)

and it becomes possible to calculate the predominance field for different Pu surface redox states. Because eq. 15 exclusively relates to the surface, such a plot is independent of that corresponding to solution: both must be superimposed for comparison. As stated before,  $K_d$ varies with pH and ionic strength.

On a pH-pe diagram, the borderline between two redox states at the mineral surface
({Ox/Red}<sub>surf</sub>) can be directly compared with the one in solution:

259 
$$\{Ox/Red\}_{surf} = \{Ox/Red\}_{aq} + (\log K_d(Red) - \log K_d(Ox))/q$$
 (16)

At the mineral surface, the pH-pe predominance area of the redox state with the strongest 260 sorption behavior (i.e. the highest K<sub>d</sub>) is enlarged relative to the aqueous phase, similar to the 261 effect of hydrolysis in solution. Hence, certain redox conditions will favor the stabilization of 262 a given redox state in solution whereas the corresponding reduced or oxidized form is 263 stabilized at the surface. The pe scale appears more appropriate than Eh since, for a reaction 264 involving only one electron (q = 1), a difference of one order of magnitude between the K<sub>d</sub>'s 265  $(\Delta \log K_d = 1)$  simply shifts the Ox:Red 1:1 borderline at the mineral surface compared to the 266 one in solution by one pe unit ( $\pm$  60 mV). Such uncertainties on pe are on the order of 267 experimental errors (Altmaier et al., 2010). 268

269

#### 271 **2.5. Data selection**

Because of its complex redox chemistry, the ideal pH-edges of Pu(III/IV/V/VI) for 272 one redox state in the system are not readily available. Fortunately, f-orbital elements exhibit 273 very similar chemical behaviors for a given redox state, and the nearest neighbors in the 274 lanthanide and actinide series of the periodic table show sufficiently similar chemistries to 275 276 serve as analogues. In this study, experimental uptake data on kaolinite for Am(III), Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) are assumed to be representative for the sorption of Pu in the corresponding 277 redox state. These experimental data can then be directly used to calibrate the surface 278 complexation model Pu(III/IV/V/VI). The subsequent predictions of this model will strongly 279 depend on this approximation. 280

Experimental datasets for Am(III) (Buda et al., 2008; [Am]<sub>tot</sub> = 10<sup>-9</sup> M), Th(IV) (Banik 281 et al., 2007;  $[Th]_{tot} = 6.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ M}$  and Np(V) (Amayri et al., 2011,  $[Np]_{tot} = 7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ M}$ ) are 282 chosen. All these studies on actinide sorption involve kaolinite KGa-1b at 4 g/L, 0.1 M 283 NaClO<sub>4</sub> background electrolyte and absence of CO<sub>2</sub>. The above elements are very stable in 284 the respective redox states for a wide range of pH-pe conditions. However, under inert 285 atmosphere (i.e. Ar), Np(V) was partially reduced to Np(IV) in the presence of illite, leading 286 to higher overall uptake compared to Np(V) sorption to illite in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> (Gorgeon, 287 1994; Marsac et al., 2015). Nevertheless, for pH < 7, where carbonates have no influence on 288 Np(V) sorption to kaolinite, Amayri et al. (2011) found similar results under inert and 289 ambient atmospheres. Therefore, their Np-kaolinite data can be confidently attributed to the 290 sorption of Np(V) only. By contrast, U(VI) sorption to kaolinite, often studied in the presence 291 of CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Payne et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2010), is strongly affected by U(VI)-carbonate 292 complexation for pH > 8. Fortunately, Křepelová (2007) investigated U(VI) sorption to KGa-293 1b in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> and in 0.01 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $[U]_{tot} = 10^{-6}$  M; 294

S/V = 4 g/L). Both data sets will be discussed, discarding the sorption data above pH = 8 in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>. The published data were digitized and recalculated to K<sub>d</sub> (eq. 4).

The sorption of Am(III), Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) to kaolinite has been studied for 297 different  $[An]_{tot}$  (from 6.6×10<sup>-13</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> M). Uptake of An on kaolinite may in principle be 298 affected by the total An concentration due to the presence of low and high affinity sites in 299 different amounts, causing non-ideal sorption behavior at the respective An to kaolinite ratios. 300 A lower uptake was measured for Np(V) and U(VI) in the corresponding studies for higher 301  $[Np/U]_{tot}$  (~10<sup>-5</sup> M), which can be attributed to a surface site saturation effect. The behavior of 302 An at such high concentrations is not addressed in this study. The modeling of An uptake by 303 kaolinite is restricted to the lowest [An]tot available in the literature. Although ideal sorption 304 behavior was established for low [An]tot in the case of illite and montmorillonite (Bradbury 305 and Baeyens, 2005; 2009), this observation cannot a priori be transferred to kaolinite due to 306 the lack of sorption isotherms for each of the analogues of Pu(III, IV, V, VI) over sufficiently 307 308 broad [An]<sub>tot</sub> ranges (especially for very low [An]<sub>tot</sub>). As a first approximation our treatment assumes ideal sorption behavior for all An. If the simulations result in reasonable prediction 309 of the experimental data, this simplification is appropriate for the range of conditions of the 310 calibration and simulation data sets. Any prediction made with this model for environmentally 311 relevant Pu concentrations (e.g.  $\sim 10^4$  atoms/kg in Dai et al., 2002) should be considered with 312 caution. 313

Banik et al. (2007) added Pu(IV)  $(6.9 \times 10^{-9} < [Pu]_{tot} < 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M) to a KGa-1b suspension (S/V = 4 g/L) in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> either under argon or ambient atmosphere. Detailed information on the experimental work can be found in Banik (2006). In that study, only small variations in Pu uptake were observed (i) with time between 63 and 209 h (Figure S1), (ii) with [Pu]<sub>tot</sub> (Figure S2) and (iii) with changes of the atmosphere for pH < 9. However, speciation calculations suggested that significant amounts of Pu-carbonate complexes formed for pH > 8 in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>. We will not discuss the effect of carbonate in the present study so the latter data for pH > 8 in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> are not considered. Pu aqueous redox state analysis (±10% uncertainty) in the solution on contact with kaolinite and subsequent phase separation (i.e. only the aqueous phase was analyzed) was performed at pH = 1, 4 and 9 ([Pu]<sub>tot</sub> =  $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M), resulting in (i) for pH = 1, 38% of Pu(III) and 46% of Pu(IV), (ii) for pH = 4, 75% of Pu(V) and 14% of Pu(IV) and (iii) for pH = 9, 45% of Pu(IV) and 49% of Pu(IV) in form of intrinsic (or eigen-) colloids.

- 327
- 328

## **3. Results and discussion**

# 329 **3.1. Surface complexation modeling**

Figure 1 presents the experimental log K<sub>d</sub> values (in L/kg) versus pH for Am(III), 330 Th(IV) and Np(V) sorption to kaolinite (S/V = 4 g/L) in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> and in the absence of 331 CO<sub>2</sub> from the literature (Buda et al., 2008; Banik et al., 2007; Amayri et al., 2011). Both the 332 U(VI) sorption data of Křepelová (2007) in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub> (pH < 8) and in 0.01 M 333 NaClO<sub>4</sub> without CO<sub>2</sub> are shown. When plotted as log K<sub>d</sub>, the two latter datasets are similar, 334 showing that U(VI) sorption to kaolinite is barely affected by ionic strength. Only a slightly 335 higher sorption is observed in 0.01 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>. The pH-edges follow the effective charge of 336 the cations Np(V) (+2.3)  $\leq$  Am(III) (+3)  $\leq$  U(VI) (+3.3)  $\leq$  Th(IV) (+4), as usual (Geckeis et 337 al., 2013). Sorption reaches a plateau for all actinides at  $3.5 < \log K_d < 4$ , as well as for both 338 ionic strengths investigated for U(VI).  $K_d$  values cannot be derived for U(VI) (pH < 4) and 339 Np(V) (pH < 6) because no significant sorption occurred at the solid to liquid ratio of 4 g/L. 340 For 2 < pH < 4, K<sub>d</sub> for Am(III) remains constant, due to cation exchange, and lower sorption 341 is observed for pH < 2. 342

Am(III), Np(V), and U(VI) will provide a sound estimate for Pu(III/V/VI) sorption to 343 kaolinite due to the similar hydrolysis constants of the respective analogues. Direct 344 comparison between Th(IV) and Pu(IV) is hampered by the documented difference in their 345 hydrolysis constants. An inaccurate description of Pu(IV) sorption might have consequences 346 for the determination of the various boundaries between two Pu redox states on the kaolinite 347 surface ({Ox/Red}surf). However, the difference between Th(IV) and Pu(IV) sorption must be 348 large (more than one log K<sub>d</sub> unit) to significantly affect our interpretations, as discussed in 349 section 2.4.2. From the sorption data and the Pu redox state analysis in solution as reported by 350 Banik (2006), eq. 5 allows us to determine  $K_d(IV)$ , i.e. the  $K_d$  that is measured in the case 351 352 where only Pu(IV) would be present both at the surface and in solution. If Pu(IV) and an additional redox state X prevail, eq. 5 becomes: 353

354 
$$K_d(IV) = \left(K_{d,tot} - K_d(X) \times F_{X,aq}\right) / F_{IV,aq}$$
(17)

where  $F_{IV,aq}$  and  $F_{X,aq}$  represent the fractions of the respective redox states in solution. With 355 equation 17,  $K_d(IV)$  can be calculated for pH = 1, with  $K_d(III)$  from Am(III). For pH = 4, 356 although 75% of Pu(V) was present in solution ( $F_{V,aq} = 0.75$ ), Pu(V) sorption is weak and 357  $K_d(V) \times F_{V,aq}$  can be neglected. This leads to  $K_d(IV) \approx K_{d,tot}/F_{IV,aq}$  (Figure 1).  $K_d$  values for 358 Th(IV) and Pu(IV) are very similar for kaolinite, which supports the use of Th(IV) as a 359 reliable analogue. For pH = 9,  $K_d(IV)$  cannot be determined because Banik et al. (2007) 360 observed Pu(IV) as monomers or eigen-colloids. However, we expect a constant  $K_d$  for pH > 361 4 since tetravalent actinides show no hydrolyzed aqueous species beyond An(OH)<sub>4(aq)</sub> (e.g. 362  $An(OH)_{5 (aq)}$ ). Therefore, in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub>, no additional complexation reaction for 363 364 Pu(IV) in solution occurs that could decrease Pu sorption on kaolinite, relative to Th(IV), for high pH. 365

The surface complexation constant for  $\equiv$ SOPu(III)<sup>2+</sup> is set equal to the one for Eu(III) ( $\equiv$ SOEu(III)<sup>2+</sup>) determined by Tertre et al. (2008) (Table 1), since Eu(III) is as well an analogue for trivalent actinides. All the other surface complexation constants are fitted to the K<sub>d</sub> of the respective analogues and are listed in table 1. A good fit is achieved with this simple model (Fig. 1). The fitted surface complexation constants (log <sup>S</sup>K<sub>X,(i-1)</sub>; eq. 1) for Pu(III/IV/V/VI), when plotted against the corresponding hydrolysis constants (log <sup>OH</sup>K<sub>X,i</sub>; eq. 10), yield a linear free energy relationship (LFER; Figure 2):

373 
$$\log {}^{S}K_{X,(i-1)} = 0.97 \times \log {}^{OH}K_{X,i} + 5.65 \quad (\mathbb{R}^{2} = 0.99)$$
 (18)

The correlation corroborates the self-consistency of our surface complexation constants. The 374  $Na^{+}-Pu^{3+}$  selectivity coefficient is found to be 10 ( $log \frac{Pu(III)}{Na}K_{c}=1$ ), in agreement with Tertre 375 et al. (2008), and is relatively consistent with literature data of selectivity coefficients for 376 377 An/Ln(III) with clay minerals (e.g. Bradbury and Baeyens, 2006; 2009) although it is found to be lower than for montmorillonite and illite  $(\log_{Na}^{Pu(III)}K_c = 1.5 \text{ and } 1.9, \text{ respectively})$ . The 378 rather weak Am(III) uptake at pH = 1 is relatively well simulated when taking into account 379 the Na<sup>+</sup>-H<sup>+</sup> exchange reaction previously considered by Tertre et al. (2008). During 380 preliminary tests, when using the Na<sup>+</sup>-UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> selectivity coefficient reported for 381 montmorillonite (Marques Fernandez et al., 2012), for 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, the predicted log K<sub>d</sub>(VI) 382 for pH < 4 remained below the experimental data for pH = 4, while for 0.01 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> too 383 high values were predicted. Since reliable exchange constants cannot be determined for U(VI) 384 385 and Np(V) from the selected datasets, the ion exchange mechanism is omitted in the present 386 study. However, this has no significant consequence for the following discussions and the approach can be extended to include ion exchange once reliable selectivity coefficients 387 388 become available. Furthermore, Bradbury and Baeyens (2005) observed no effect of the ionic strength between 0.1 and 1 M (NaClO<sub>4</sub>) for Th(IV) sorption to montmorillonite. Therefore, 389 cation exchange for Pu(IV) is not considered. Kaolinite dissolution is not taken into account 390

in this study. Kaolinite dissolution could be affecting the amount of available surface sites or result in competitive effects from dissolved aluminium (Lützenkirchen et al., 2014), for instance. Finally, the present model is likely to be questionable for the very low pH values, especially for pH  $\approx$  0, but (i) such low pH values are of minor environmental relevance and (ii) the equations derived in this study to describe Pu sorption and redox speciation at the kaolinite surface are based on K<sub>d</sub> values, and therefore this should have no impact on the following discussions based on the results from laboratory experiments.

398

#### **399 3.2. Predominance diagram: solution versus surface**

Figure 3 shows the superimposed predominance diagrams for the different Pu redox 400 states in solution (in black) and at the kaolinite surface (in gray), in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> and in the 401 absence of CO<sub>2</sub>. The ionic strength increases by a factor of about 10 between pH = 1 and 0 402 403 because of increasing [HClO<sub>4</sub>]. Pu(IV) is found at intermediate redox conditions. Its predominance area is small at low pH due to the similar standard redox potentials for the 404 different couples. When the pH increases, its stability field is enlarged because of its strong 405 406 hydrolysis. Pu(III) shows the largest stability field in acidic condition, which is narrowed with increasing pH by hydrolyzed Pu(IV) species. Pu(VI) is only stable under oxidizing conditions. 407 Its stability field is increased for pH > 8 because of its relatively strong hydrolysis. Pu(V) 408 predominates in less oxidizing conditions than Pu(VI). Pu(V) shows very weak hydrolysis, 409 which explains its confined predominance field for alkaline pH. 410

From a purely thermodynamic point of view, if hydrolysis controls the predominance area of the different redox states in solution, complexation reaction with the –OH groups of the kaolinite surface should act similarly (see Figure 3, in gray). For pH < 6, Pu(IV) sorption to kaolinite is much stronger than Pu(III), narrowing the predominance area for surface Pu(III) 415 compared to solution. For pH > 6 both redox states exhibit similarly strong sorption, and 416  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{surf}$  is close to  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{aq}$ .

In the range of pH presently discussed, Pu(V) sorption is always weaker than for the other redox states. Although present under some conditions, it never predominates and does not appear in Figure 3. Instead Pu(IV) and Pu(VI) prevail at the kaolinite surface (depending on the pe), even if Pu(V) is stable in solution.

 $\{Pu(VI)/Pu(IV)\}_{surf}$  evolves in similar fashion as  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{surf}$ : (i) the Pu(IV) 421 stability field at the kaolinite surface is enlarged because of the lower Pu(VI) sorption at low 422 423 pH, whereas for pH > 6 the Pu(VI)/Pu(IV) borderlines at the surface and in solution are similar due to the similar K<sub>d</sub> for both redox states. While significant Am(III) sorption to 424 kaolinite was experimentally observed in the pH range investigated, U(VI) sorption is 425 426 insignificant for pH < 4, leading to highly uncertain K<sub>d</sub>(VI). Therefore, the calculated  $\{Pu(VI)/Pu(IV)\}_{surf}$  values for pH < 4 are probably inaccurate. Note also that 427  ${Pu(VI)/Pu(IV)}_{surf}$  is found for almost constant redox conditions: pH+pe  $\approx 18$ . 428

429 The present approach, which separates Pu redox speciation in solution and at the surface, has the advantage of being insensitive to the solid to liquid ratio within a sufficiently 430 low [Pu(X)]tot range where Kd values remain constant (i.e. ideal sorption behavior). We may 431 simultaneously visualize the prevalent redox states in solution and at the surface. Such an 432 approach was also shown to be useful when mineral phases can form (Schüring et al., 2000). 433 It also turns out to be particularly useful to understand overall Pu uptake by kaolinite. 434 435 Common predominance diagrams would not separate Pu redox speciation in solution and at the surface and only the prevalent species in the total system, either on the surface or in 436 solution, will appear. Such a diagram is shown in figure 3b for our experimental conditions 437  $(S/V = 4 g/L; 0.1 M NaClO_4)$ . In our model, the comparison between Figure 3a and 3b shows 438 that although Pu(VI) is stable at the kaolinite surface under oxidizing conditions (Fig. 3a), it 439

represents only a small proportion of Pu because, below pH < 7, Pu(VI) sorption is weak and</li>
Pu(V) prevails in solution (Fig. 3b). This strongly limits overall Pu sorption.

442

# 443 **3.3.** Pu(V) versus Pu(IV)

#### 444 3.3.1. Modeling Pu-kaolinite sorption data

Our model is applied to the experimental data of Banik et al. (2007) where  $6.6 \times 10^{-9}$  M 445 of Pu(IV) was contacted with 4 g/L kaolinite in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>. The experimental percentage 446 of Pu uptake reported by Banik et al. (2007) in air (only for pH < 8) and in argon atmosphere 447 are shown in Figure 4a together with Th(IV) data ( $[^{234}Th]_{tot} = 6.6 \times 10^{-13}$  M). Pu overall uptake 448 is systematically lower than Th(IV). Overall Pu uptake increases from 10 to 80% for 0 < pH <449 3, decreases down to  $\sim 60\%$  for pH around 4 and reaches again  $\sim 80\%$  above pH = 7. The dip 450 at intermediate pH is unusual and cannot be explained by Pu(IV) alone, which becomes clear 451 from a redox state analysis of Pu in the aqueous phase. 452

No redox potential measurements were performed by Banik et al. (2007), which would 453 have been crucial information for the present study. Instead of using the measured pe, we here 454 attempt to estimate the pe via the Nernst equation and by using the Pu redox state analysis in 455 solution after reaction with kaolinite and subsequent phase separation. This approach has been 456 previously applied by Yun et al. (2007). However, those authors investigated acidic 457 conditions (pH < 2.5) and observed some discrepancies between calculated and measured pe. 458 Therefore, we might obtain a pe value with a relatively large uncertainty. In the modified 459 Nernst equation (eq. 13), the experimental total concentration of two Pu redox states and the 460 pH can be directly used to estimate the pe. We obtain  $pe \approx 15.7$  for pH = 1 and  $pe \approx 11.6$  for 461 pH = 4, i.e. almost constant redox conditions (constant pH+pe  $\approx$  16.1). The redox state 462 analysis data cannot be used in eq. 13 for pH = 9 because only Pu(IV) was detected. 463

Additionally, the redox speciation has only been performed for the samples studied under ambient conditions. Therefore, although a steady state was reached, as deduced from Pu sorption behavior (Fig. S1), its redox speciation was obviously not controlled by the reaction  $0.25 O_{2(g)} + H^+ + e^- = 0.5 H_2O$  (i.e. pH+pe = 20.6 for p<sub>O2</sub> = 0.21 atm).

Calculations of Pu uptake on kaolinite are performed for the experimental conditions 468 of Banik et al. (2007). Constant redox conditions are considered. Only the results obtained for 469 pH < 5 will be discussed here. Regarding the uncertainty in the pH+pe value, it is adjusted to 470 provide the best fit to the experimental data (Figure 4a). A relatively good fit is achieved for 471 pH+pe = 16.2, consistent with the estimate from the redox state analysis (pH+pe  $\approx$  16.1). 472 Figure 4b shows a predominance diagram restricted to relevant pH-pe conditions for the study 473 of Banik et al. (2007). Only the aqueous redox speciation is shown because only Pu(IV) is 474 calculated to prevail at the kaolinite surface for 14 < pH+pe < 18 (see Fig. 3a). Here, the 475 predominance diagram is plotted as pH+pe versus pH (instead of pe versus pH) to visualize 476 477 constant redox conditions as a horizontal line. The comparison between the pH+pe = 16.2 line and  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{aq}$  or  $\{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{aq}$  can explain the features observed in the Pu uptake 478 curve. For pH < 5, Pu(III) and Pu(V) weakly sorb to kaolinite. Therefore, maximum uptake is 479 found when Pu(IV) dominates in solution, i.e. when physico-chemical conditions approach a 480 pure Pu(IV) system (pH  $\approx$  2). The decreased uptake with increasing pH from 2 to 5 is due to 481 the predominance of Pu(V) in solution, which is very well predicted. Minimum uptake is 482 found when the difference between the line of the redox condition (pH+pe = 16.2) and the 483 line of  $\{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{aq}$  reaches a maximum (shown as a double arrow in figure 4b; 4 < pH484 485 < 5). The present approach allows the description of overall Pu uptake on kaolinite, by applying thermodynamic concepts and by simultaneously taking into account the complete Pu 486 redox chemistry and the respective sorption behavior. The calculations are very sensitive to pe, 487 488 as illustrated on figure 4 by considering pe  $\pm 0.5$  (corresponding to  $\pm 0.03$  V). This result

clearly shows that without any information concerning the redox conditions in the experiment,it is almost impossible to predict Pu uptake by kaolinite.

Above pH = 5, information about pe is not available. Simulations are made assuming 491 pH+pe = 16.2 as before. Because of the strong assumption, the following simulations and 492 discussion should be considered with caution. For pH = 9, Pu(IV) is the dominant redox state 493 in solution (see Fig. 4b), consistent with redox state analysis. For pH > 5, the solution is 494 oversaturated with respect to PuO<sub>2(am,hyd)</sub>: total aqueous [Pu(IV)]<sub>tot,aq</sub> equals approximately 10<sup>-</sup> 495 <sup>9</sup> M for pH = 9, whereas the Pu(IV) solubility limit is  $10^{-10.4\pm0.5}$  M (Neck et al., 2007). The 496 break in the simulated curve (Fig. 4a) is due to the inclusion of Pu precipitation in the 497 calculations. Experimentally, precipitation (both homogeneous and heterogeneous) is difficult 498 499 to distinguish from the surface uptake processes. This leads to an overestimation of the overall Pu uptake for pH > 5. Preliminary test calculations showed that this cannot be attributed to the 500 assumed redox conditions, as illustrated in Figure 4a when considering pe  $\pm$  0.5. Neck et al. 501 (2007) found that formation of Pu(IV) intrinsic (eigen-) colloids (PuO<sub>2(am,coll,hyd)</sub>) leads to an 502 increased apparent solubility of Pu up to  $10^{-8.3\pm1.0}$  M. Removal of these colloids from the 503 aqueous phase requires either ultrafiltration at 3-10 kDa or ultracentrifugation. Banik et al. 504 (2007) only performed phase separation by centrifugation at 3000g, which is not sufficient to 505 remove Pu(IV)-colloids. Furthermore, Banik et al. (2007) performed experiments for 506 approximately 10 and 50 times higher [Pu]tot (6.6×10<sup>-8</sup> M and 3.2×10<sup>-7</sup> M). Experimentally 507 observed Pu uptake does not change but the corresponding calculations lead to an enhanced 508 uptake with increasing [Pu]tot because of the increased amount of precipitated PuO<sub>2(am.hvd)</sub> (see 509 Fig. S2). Although Pu(IV)-colloids interact with mineral surfaces (Reich et al. 2007; Powell et 510 al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2012), a small fraction of Pu(IV)-colloids remained in the 511 supernatant in the experiments of Banik et al. (2007) because of insufficient phase separation. 512 It is also possible that, although thermodynamically favorable, PuO<sub>2(am,hyd)</sub> was not formed 513

within 209 h in the presence of kaolinite. This is illustrated in Figure 4a (bold dashed line) 514 515 where we neglect the precipitation of  $PuO_{2(am,hvd)}$ , which then leads to a better fit for pH > 5. Indeed, as observed experimentally for pH > 5, an increasing Pu uptake with increasing pH is 516 predicted because the redox conditions approach the stability field of  $Pu(IV)_{aq}$ . Above pH = 8, 517 only Pu(IV) is found in solution for pH + pe = 16.2 and Pu uptake is predicted to be equal to 518 Th(IV). Unfortunately, the sorption of Pu-colloids to kaolinite cannot be simulated yet using 519 a mechanistic model without making additional assumptions. However, overall Pu uptake for 520 pH = 8 is similar to Th(IV), although significant amounts of Pu(IV)-colloids are present, and 521 is independent of [Pu]<sub>tot</sub> (Figure S2). Eq. 5 suggests that the respective K<sub>d</sub> for aqueous Pu(IV) 522 and Pu(IV)-colloids are similar for pH = 8. This might be because  $Pu(OH)_{4(aq)}$  (i.e. the 523 prevailing aqueous Pu(IV) species) and  $PuO_{2(am,coll,hyd)}$  are both uncharged species at pH = 8524 (Neck et al., 2007). However, the sorption of Pu(IV)-colloids to minerals needs to be studied 525 in further experiments. 526

527

## 528 3.3.2. Comparison with other systems

Various experimental studies were dedicated to Pu sorption on oxidic minerals. Under 529 oxidizing conditions (e.g. ambient air) Pu(V) was initially introduced (e.g. Powell et al., 2005; 530 Zavarin et al., 2012; Hixon et al., 2013: for goethite, hematite, montmorillonite and quartz, 531 respectively). These studies report a relatively fast and strong Pu uptake, which is due to the 532 presence of Pu(IV) on the surface whereas Pu(V) remained in solution. Equation 16 implies 533 534 that the thermodynamic stability field of the more strongly adsorbing Pu redox state is enhanced at a mineral surface compared to the aqueous phase. The actinide pH-edge sequence 535  $An(V) < An(III) \approx An(VI) < An(IV)$  (Geckeis et al., 2013) is generally obtained for all 536 537 minerals with surface -OH groups. Therefore, the Pu(V)/Pu(IV) boundary at a mineral surface can be expected to be most strongly shifted to higher pe, especially in the low pH 538

region where An(V) sorption to minerals is weak. Furthermore, equation 16 exhibits a rather 539 generic form, since it is based on K<sub>d</sub> values, and is not restricted to Pu, kaolinite or to a 540 specific surface complexation model. However, the present approach is based on 541 542 thermodynamic concepts and might only be applicable when equilibrium or steady states are reached. For our treatment, the pe is required, which is often not experimentally determined 543 for various reasons. Finally, sorption data for analogues are required, but not always available. 544 Therefore, the more general applicability of the present approach can only be tested on a 545 limited number of available studies. 546

Np sorption and redox speciation in the presence of illite was recently investigated 547 (Marsac et al., 2015). When added as Np(V) to an illite suspension under argon atmosphere, 548 higher Np uptake was measured compared to a previous study under ambient atmosphere 549 (Gorgeon, 1994). X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy and redox state analysis revealed a 550 significant amount of Np(IV) at the illite surface whereas Np(V) prevailed in solution. By 551 552 taking into account the experimental pe and surface complexation of both Np(V) and Np(IV) to illite edge sites, the results of these Np sorption experiment could be modeled. In that study, 553 the 2 Site Protolysis Non-Electrostatic Surface Complexation and Cation Exchange (2 SPNE 554 SC/CE; Bradbury and Baeyens, 2009) model was used. For instance, for pH = 7 and an ionic 555 strength of 0.1 M NaCl,  $\log K_d(Np(V)) \approx 1.5 \text{ L/kg}$  and  $\log K_d(Np(IV)) \approx 6 \text{ L/kg}$ . In agreement 556 with eq. 16,  $\{Np(V)/Np(IV)\}_{surf}$  was found approximately 4.5 pe units higher than 557  $\{Np(V)/Np(IV)\}_{aq}$  for these conditions. This simple calculation provides evidence that our 558 equations are applicable to another actinide, another mineral and another surface 559 560 complexation model.

Hixon et al. (2013) observed the reduction of Pu(V) to Pu(IV) at the quartz surface, when working under ambient air conditions, and proposed that the strongly adsorbing Pu(IV) is thermodynamically favored at the mineral surface compared to Pu(V), in agreement with

our approach. Hixon et al. (2013) more precisely calculated that, on quartz for pH = 7, 564  $\{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{surf}$  would need to be 0.28 V (4.7 pe units) higher than  $\{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{aq}$  to 565 observe significant amounts of Pu(IV). Although a surface complexation model has been used 566 in the above cases to obtain a numerical equation describing  $K_d(IV)$  and  $K_d(V)$  versus pH, eq. 567 16 can directly be applied to empirical (measured) K<sub>d</sub> values when available for the same 568 mineral and the same physico-chemical conditions. Since we did not find data for An(V) and 569 An(IV) sorption to quartz to quantitatively support the findings of Hixon et al. (2013), 570 sorption data for amorphous silica  $(SiO_{2(am)})$  are used as an estimate. In addition, even though 571 K<sub>d</sub> values for Th(IV) can directly be used for Pu(IV) sorption to kaolinite, this must be proven 572 573 to be true for other minerals because both elements show significantly different hydrolysis. Consequently, the following estimates are based on strong assumptions and involve the 574 concomitant uncertainties. Equation 16, based on K<sub>d</sub>, is empirical and it is recommended to 575 576 restrict is application to sorption data obtained for minerals of the same origin. This avoids for instance handling variations in the surface area of the mineral used between separate studies. 577 578 To follow up on the quartz example, Righetto et al. (1991) studied both Th(IV) and Np(V) sorption to amorphous silica (SiO<sub>2(am)</sub>) in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>. Their data were recalculated to K<sub>d</sub> 579 (L/kg) and are presented in figure S3. Th(IV) sorption data were recorded only up to pH = 3.5, 580 where a constant  $K_d$  is reached (log  $K_d = 4.7$  L/kg). Reiller et al. (2003) investigated Th(IV) 581 sorption to  $SiO_{2(am)}$  and observed constant K<sub>d</sub> up to pH = 9. Therefore, we can safely assume 582 constant K<sub>d</sub> values for Th(IV) for pH > 3.5. Np(V) sorption is weak and log K<sub>d</sub>(Np(V)) = 1.7 583 L/kg for pH = 7. From this, we estimate  $\{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{surf} - \{Pu(V)/Pu(IV)\}_{aq} = 3$ , for pH = 584 7, i.e. the Pu(V)/Pu(IV) boundary at the SiO<sub>2(am)</sub> surface is approximately 0.18 V above the 585 one in solution. Despite the large uncertainties discussed above, our simple estimate is 586 qualitatively consistent with Hixon et al. (2013). 587

Interestingly, the shift in the pe,  $\{An(V)/An(IV)\}_{surf} - \{An(V)/An(IV)\}_{aq}$ , is shown to depend on the nature of the mineral, which equals +4.5 for illite, +2.5 for kaolinite (as can be calculated from Np(V) and Th(IV) sorption to kaolinite data; figure 1) and +3 for SiO<sub>2(am)</sub>. We cannot provide a convincing explanation for this observation at present. Clearly this issue will require a larger dataset for various minerals and more advanced calculations. In general, all minerals discussed above consistently show the stabilization of Pu(IV) at their surface, highlighting the importance of Pu(IV) even under slightly oxidizing conditions.

Because of its weak interaction with minerals, Pu(V) is known to be rather mobile. 595 The opposite is true for Pu(IV). Therefore, an accurate knowledge of the Pu(V)/Pu(IV) redox 596 couple is particularly important to predict Pu mobility in the environment. Although kinetic 597 aspects might be required in order to predict Pu mobility, the present study supports previous 598 experimental and modeling studies dedicated to the transport of Pu in (partially) oxygenated 599 soils or sediments (e.g. Kaplan et al., 2004; Powell et al., 2014) where Pu(V) was found to be 600 601 the mobile form of Pu (i.e. in the aqueous phase), whereas Pu(IV) was found at the surface of the solids, being strongly bound and decreasing the amount of dissolved Pu(V) and 602 consequently limiting Pu transport. The strong interaction of Pu with minerals via the 603 stabilization of Pu(IV) at their surfaces over a wide range of pH-pe conditions also agrees 604 with the idea of colloid-facilitated transport of this element (Kersting et al., 1999; Xie et al., 605 2013). 606

607

#### 608 **3.4.** Pu(IV) versus Pu(III)

Buda et al. (2008) studied Pu(III) sorption to kaolinite, compared it to Am(III) data and found the pH-edges to be very similar. The authors used NH<sub>2</sub>OH·HCl as a reductant to maintain the trivalent redox state of Pu. This was not efficient for pH > 6 and Pu(IV) was formed in the absence of kaolinite. Therefore, above pH = 6, the redox potential falls within the stability field of  $Pu(IV)_{aq}$ . Buda et al. (2008) could well describe Pu redox chemistry in the presence of kaolinite, assuming the presence of Pu(IV) for pH > 6. The present study shows that, different from other redox couples,  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{surf}$  is not significantly different from  $\{Pu(IV)/Pu(III)\}_{aq}$  for pH > 6 in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>. For reducing conditions, the previous discussions about Pu redox chemistry in contact with kaolinite based on the stability fields of Pu(IV)/Pu(III) in solution might be correct, even if sorption processes are disregarded.

619

# 3.5. Pu(VI) at the kaolinite surface?

620 Our calculations suggest that Pu(VI) can be stable at the kaolinite surface for pH+pe > 18. This is not supported by any experimental results. To obtain Pu(IV) as the dominant redox 621 state at the kaolinite surface under  $p_{O2} = 0.21$  atm,  $\{Pu(VI)/Pu(IV)\}_{surf}$  must be at least ~2.5 622 623 log units higher than the presently calculated one, i.e.  $\log K_d(IV) - \log K_d(VI)$  must be about 5 (two electrons are involved). Obviously, this is beyond experimental uncertainties. As 624 discussed previously (see e.g. fig. 3b), even though prevailing at the surface, the overall 625 amount of Pu adsorbed to kaolinite can be low for a large range of pH under oxidizing 626 conditions. Furthermore, the present calculations are made in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> whereas 627 experiments under oxidizing conditions are generally made under ambient atmosphere. U(VI) 628 sorption to kaolinite decreases from ~95% to ~10% between pH 8 and 9 in the presence of 629 carbonate (e.g. Payne et al., 2004; Křepelová 2007; Gao et al., 2010), which would, by 630 analogy, further limit Pu(VI) sorption to kaolinite although ternary kaolinite-Pu(VI)-carbonate 631 surface complexes might form. Such complexes have for instance been observed for U(VI) on 632 montmorillonite (Marques Fernandez et al., 2012). Consequently, the presence of Pu(VI) at 633 634 the kaolinite surface might be of limited relevance. Nevertheless, the fate of Pu(VI) at mineral surfaces appears elusive at present. For instance, Romanchuk et al. (2011; 2013) observed 635 partial reduction to Pu(IV) in contact with hematite. Kumar et al. (2012) contacted Pu(IV) 636

with alumina and found some Pu(VI) in solution. Olsson et al. (2003) were able to stabilize Pu(VI) in contact with TiO<sub>2</sub> and determined its pH-dependent sorption. Further work is required to clarify the role of Pu(VI) under oxidizing conditions. Our survey of the available data would suggest a dependence on the type of sorbing mineral.

641

642

643 **3.6. Further development required** 

644 The present study focusses on experimental studies, where An sorption to kaolinite was investigated in the absence of complexing ligands other than OH, i.e. under conditions 645 646 different from the complexity of natural waters. For instance, carbonates and humic 647 substances are known to strongly affect An speciation and sorption to minerals. Reiller (2005) evaluated the impact of both carbonates and humic acids (HA) on the redox speciation of 648 U/Np/Pu by applying thermodynamic calculations. Based on a similar approach (i.e. 649 650 speciation calculations at equilibrium), Reiller (2005) predicted a narrow predominance field for Pu(V) due to strong Pu(VI)-carbonate and Pu(IV)-HA complexation, as in the present case, 651 where the predominance field arises from strong sorption of Pu(VI) and Pu(IV) at the 652 kaolinite surface. While metal ion complexation by various ligands can in principle be 653 included in the side reaction coefficient (eq. 12), no attempt is made here for the sake of 654 655 simplicity. The approach can be extended to account for the effect of other ligands in the future. However, to predict Pu sorption and redox speciation at a mineral surface in the 656 presence of carbonates and HA, the formation of ternary surface complexes must be taken 657 into account. Such complexes were included in models for instance by Marques Fernandez et 658 al. (2008; 2012) for the Eu(III)- and U(VI)-monmorillonite-carbonate ternary systems. The 659 prediction of An sorption to minerals in the presence of humic substances is even more 660

complex and relies on an accurate description of the mechanisms controlling humic
substances sorption to minerals and the identification of the ternary complexes formed, which
is still under debate (see e.g. Reiller, 2012).

# 4. Conclusion

667 It is well known that Pu redox speciation has a strong impact on its mobility in the environment. Various experimental studies have shown that mineral surfaces affect Pu redox 668 speciation. In the present study, Pu redox speciation at the kaolinite surface is interpreted 669 based on the thermodynamic stability of the respective Pu redox state at the surface. To 670 overcome difficulties in the interpretation of results related to the sensitivity of Pu to redox 671 conditions, uptake data on kaolinite of the more redox-stable Am(III), Th(IV), Np(V) and 672 U(VI) - chemical analogues for Pu(III,IV,V,VI) - are used to calibrate a surface complexation 673 model. To fully understand Pu redox chemistry in the aqueous kaolinite suspension, the 674 system is treated separately for the aqueous solution and the kaolinite surface, and the two 675 resulting Pu predominance diagrams are superimposed. This method visualizes how the 676 prevailing Pu redox states can differ between solution and surface for given pH/pe conditions. 677 Notably, the kaolinite surface has no impact on the Pu(IV)/Pu(III) distribution in neutral to 678 alkaline conditions, in the absence of aqueous ligands other than OH<sup>-</sup>. Therefore, the study of 679 Pu(III) sorption to minerals is relevant for the reducing conditions encountered in deep 680 geological nuclear waste repository sites. Under slightly oxidizing conditions, Pu(IV) can be 681 stabilized at the kaolinite surface within the stability field of Pu(V) in solution, which 682 significantly increases overall Pu uptake. The present model predicts overall experimental Pu 683 uptake when the Pu(V)/Pu(IV) redox couple is involved. This suggests that Pu-mineral 684 685 interaction is strong, even under slightly oxidizing condition. Also Pu(VI) can be stabilized at the kaolinite surface within the stability field of Pu(V) in solution, under oxidizing conditions. 686 Its impact on overall Pu uptake is limited to redox conditions in equilibrium with ambient air 687 atmosphere ( $O_2$ ), by the weak Pu(VI) sorption to kaolinite for pH < 5 and the strong Pu(VI)-688 carbonate (due to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>) complexation in alkaline conditions. Independent 689 experimental data for other minerals with Pu and with Np corroborate our approach. The 690

derived equations are of rather generic form making them easily applicable yet to otheradsorbant/adsorbat systems.

Based on the thermodynamic stability of the sorbed Pu species, the exact redox 693 mechanism does not need to be explicitly considered but the redox potential of the system 694 must be known. Measurement of redox potential is rarely simple and often bears large 695 696 uncertainties, but the pe (or Eh) strongly affects overall Pu uptake by kaolinite. A redox state analysis of aqueous Pu can provide information on pe, when a steady state is reached. The 697 determination of pe from Pu redox state analysis heavily relies on the accuracy of the 698 available thermodynamic database for aqueous complexes, i.e. their capability to describe the 699 speciation of the different Pu redox states in presence of various ligands. This fact becomes 700 701 more important for natural samples that are more complex than the systems considered in this 702 work.

703

#### 704 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully thank Dr. David G. Kinniburgh for his advices and the development of
additional tools in Phreeplot after the authors request, Prof. Horst Geckeis, three anonymous
reviewers and Dr. Carla Koretsky (Associate Editor) for helpful comments. This work was
financed by the Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy (Germany) under contracts
No. 02E10206 and 02E10961.

#### 710 **References**

- Altmaier M., Gaona X. and Fanghänel Th. (2013) Recent Advances in Aqueous Actinide
   Chemistry and Thermodynamics. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 901-943.
- Altmaier M., Gaona X., Fellhauer D. and Buckau G. (2010) Intercomparison of redox
   determination methods on designed and near-neutral aqueous systems. KIT-SR 7572,
   Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe.
- Amayri S., Jermolajev A. and Reich T. (2011) Neptunium(V) sorption on kaolinite,
   *Radiochim. Acta* 99, 349–357.
- Banik (2006) Speciation of tetravalent plutonium in contact with humic substances and
   kaolinite under environmental conditions. Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-University,
   Mainz, Germany.
- Banik N. L., Buda R. A., Bürger S., Kratz J. V. and Trautmann N. (2007) Sorption of
  tetravalent plutonium and humic substances onto kaolinite. *Radiochim. Acta* 95, 569575.
- Bradbury M. H. and Baeyens B. (2005) Modelling the sorption of Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II),
  Zn(II), Cd(II), Eu(III), Am(III), Sn(IV), Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) on montmorillonite:
  Linear free energy relationships and estimates of surface binding constants for some
  selected heavy metals and actinides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69(4), 875-892.
- Bradbury M. H. and Baeyens B. (2006) Modelling sorption data for the actinides Am(III),
   Np(V) and Pa(V) on montmorillonite. *Radiochim. Acta* 94, 619–625.
- Bradbury M. H. and Baeyens B. (2009) Sorption modeling on illite Part II: actinide sorption
  and linear free energy relationships. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 73, 1004-1013.
- Buda R. A., Banik N. L., Kratz J. V. and Trautmann N. (2008) Studies of the ternary systems
  humic substances kaolinite Pu(III) and Pu(IV). *Radiochim. Acta* 96, 657-665.
- Choppin G. R. (1999) Utility of oxidation state analogs in the study of plutonium behavior.
   *Radiochim. Acta* 85, 89-95.
- Ciavatta L. (1980) The specific interaction theory in evaluating ionic equilibria. *Annali di Chimica* 70, 551–567.
- Dai M., Kelley J. M. and Buesseler K. O. (2002) Sources and migration of plutonium in groundwater at the Savannah River Site. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 3690-3699.
- Davis J. A., Coston J. A., Kent D. B. and Fuller C. C. (1998) Application of the surface
  complexation concept to complex mineral assemblages. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32,
  2820–2828.
- Davis J. A., Meece D. E., Kohler M. and Curtis G. P. (2004) Approaches to surface
  complexation modeling of Uranium (VI) adsorption on aquifer sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 68, 3621–3641.
- Gaines G. I. and Thomas H. C. (1953) Adsorption studies on clay minerals. II. A formulation
  of the thermodynamics of exchange adsorption. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 21, 714718.

- Gao L., Yang Z., Shi K., Wang X., Guo Z. and Wu W. (2010) U(VI) sorption on kaolinite:
  effects of pH, U(VI) concentration and oxyanions. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 284, 519526.
- Geckeis H., Lützenkirchen J., Polly R., Rabung T. and Schmidt M. (2013) Mineral-Water
   Interface Reactions of Actinides. *Chem. Rev.* 13(2), 1016-1062.
- Gorgeon L. (1994) Contribution à la modélisation physico-chimique de la rétention de radioéléments à vie longue par des matériaux argileux. Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris 6.
- Guillaumont R., Fanghänel Th., Fuger J., Grenthe I., Neck V., Palmer D. A. and Rand M. H.
  (2003) Update on the Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium, Neptunium, Plutonium,
  Americium and Technetium, Mompean, F.J., Domenech-Orti, C., Ben-Said, K.,
  OECD/NEA Data Bank, Eds., vol. 5 of Chemical Thermodynamics, Elsevier,
  Amsterdam.
- Hixon A. E. and Powell B. A (2014) Observed changes in the mechanism and rates of Pu(V)
   reduction on hematite as a function of total plutonium concentration. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 9255-9262.
- Hixon A. E., Arai Y. and Powell B. A. (2013) Examination of the effect of alpha radiolysis on
   plutonium(V) sorption to quartz using multiple plutonium isotopes. J. Coll. Int. Sci. 403,
   105-112.
- Kaplan D. I., Powell B. A., Demirkanli D. I., Fjeld R. A., Molz F. J., Serkiz S. M. and Coates
  J. T. (2004) Influence of oxidation states on plutonium mobility during long-term
  transport through an unsaturated subsurface environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38,
  5053-5058.
- Kaplan D. I., Powell B. A., Duff M. C., Demirkanli D. I., Denham M., Fjeld R. A. and Molz F.
  J. (2007) Influence of sources on plutonium mobility and oxidation state transformations in vadose zone sediments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 7417-7423.
- Kersting A. B. (2013) Plutonium Transport in the Environment. *Inorg. Chem.* **52**, 3533-3546.
- Kersting A. B., Efurd D. W., Finnegan D. L., Rokop D. J., Smith D. K. and Thompson J. L.
  (1999) Migration of plutonium in groundwater at the Nevada Test Site. *Nature* 397, 5659.
- Kinniburgh D. G. and Cooper D. M. (2009) PhreePlot: Creating graphical output with
   PHREEQC. <a href="http://www.phreeplot.org">http://www.phreeplot.org</a>>.
- Kirsch R., Fellhouer D., Altmaier M., Neck V., Rossberg A., Fanghänel Th., Charlet L. and
   Scheinost A. C. (2011) Oxidation state and local structure of plutonium reacted with
   magnetite, mackinawite, and chukanovite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 7267-7274
- 783 Křepelová A. (2007) Influence of humic acid on the sorption of uranium(VI) and
   784 americium(III) onto kalonite. Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,
   785 University of Dresden, Germany.
- Kumar S., Kasar S. U. and Tomar B. S. (2012) Interfacial sorption/desorption studies of
   Pu(IV) on silica and alumina surfaces. *Radiochim. Acta* 100, 885-892.
- Lujanienė G., Šapolaitė J., Radžiutė E. and Aninkevičius V. (2009) Plutonium oxidation state
   distribution in natural clay and goethite. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 282, 793-797.

- Lützenkirchen J. (2012) Summary of studies on (ad)sorption as a "well-established" process
   within FUNMIG activities. *Appl. Geochem.* 27, 427-443.
- Lützenkirchen J., Abdelmonem A., Weerasooriya R., Heberling F., Metz V. and Marsac R.
   (2014) Adsorption of dissolved aluminum on sapphire-c and kaolinite: implications for
   points of zero charge of clay minerals. *Geochem. Trans.* 15, 9.
- Marques Fernandes M., Baeyens B. and Bradbury M. H. (2008) The influence of carbonate
   complexation on lanthanide/actinide sorption on montmorillonite. *Radiochim. Acta* 96,
   691-697.
- Marques Fernandes M., Baeyens B., Dähn R., Scheinost A. C. and Bradbury M. H. (2012)
   U(VI) sorption on montmorillonite in the absence and presence of carbonate: A
   macroscopic and microscopic study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 93, 262–277.
- Marsac R., Banik N. L., Diascorn A., Kupcik T., Lützenkirchen J., Marquardt C. M., Schäfer
  T., Schild D., Rothe J., Dardenne K. and Geckeis H. (2015) Neptunium redox speciation
  at the illite surface. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 152, 39-51.
- Miller J. D., Nalaskowski J., Abdul B. and Du H. (2007) Surface characteristics of kaolinite
   and other selected two layer silicate minerals. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 85, 617-624.
- Neck V., Altmaier M. and Fanghänel T. (2007) Solubility of plutonium hydroxides/hydrous
   oxides under reducing conditions and in the presence of oxygen. *C. R. Chimie* 10, 959 977
- 809 Olsson M., Jakobsson A.-M. and Albinsson Y. (2003) Sorption of Pu(VI) onto TiO<sub>2</sub>. J. Coll.
   810 Int. Sci. 266, 269-275.
- Parkhurst D. L. and Appelo C. A. J. (1999) User's guide to PHREEQC (Version 2) a
  computer program for speciation, batch reaction, one-dimensional transport and inverse
  geochemical calculation. Water-resources Investigation Report 99-4259, USGS, Denver,
  Colorado, p. 312.
- Payne T. E., Davis J. A., Lumpkin G. R., Chisari R. and Waite T. D. (2004) Surface
  complexation model of uranyl sorption on Georgia kaolinite. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 26, 151162.
- Powell B. A., Dai Z., Zavarin M., Zhao P. and Kersting A. B. (2011) Stabilization of
  plutonium nano-colloids by epitaxial distortion on mineral surfaces. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 2698–2703.
- Powell B. A., Fjeld R. A., Kaplan D. I., Coates J. T. and Serkiz S. M. (2004) Pu(V)O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>
  adsorption and reduction by synthetic magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 6016-6024.
- Powell B. A., Fjeld R. A., Kaplan D. I., Coates J. T. and Serkiz S. M. (2005) Pu(V)O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>
  adsorption and reduction by synthetic hematite and goethite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 2107-2114.
- Powell B. A., Kaplan D. I., Serkiz S. M., Coates J. T. and Fjeld R. A. (2014) Pu(V) transport
  through Savannah River Site soils an evaluation of a conceptual model of surfacemediated reduction to Pu (IV). *J. Environ. Radioactiv.* 131, 47-56.

- Reich T., Reich T. Y., Amayri S., Drebert J., Banik N. L., Buda R. A., Kratz J. V. and
  Trautmann N. (2007) Application of XAFS spectroscopy to actinide environmental
  science. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 882, 179-183.
- Reiller P. (2005) Prognosticating the humic complexation for redox sensitive actinides
  through analogy, using the charge neutralisation model. *Radiochim. Acta* 93, 43-55.
- Reiller P. (2012) Modelling metal-humic substances-surface systems: reasons for success,
  failure and possible routes for peace of mind. *Min. Mag.* 76, 2643–2658.
- Reiller P., Moulin V., Casanova F. and Dautel C. (2003) On the study of Th(IV)-humic acid
  interactions by competition sorption studies with silica and determination of global
  interaction constants. *Radiochim. Acta* 91, 513-524.
- Righetto L., Bidoglio G., Azimonti G. and Bellobono I. R. (1991) Competitive actinide
  interactions in colloidal humic acid-mineral oxide systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*25(11), 1913-1919.
- Romanchuk A. Y., Kalmykov S. N. and Aliev R. A. (2011) Plutonium sorption onto hematite
  colloids at femto- and nanomolar concentrations. *Radiochim. Acta* 99, 137-144.
- Romanchuk A. Y., Kalmykov S. N., Egorov A. V., Zubavichus Y. V., Shiryaev A. A., Batuk
  O. N., Conradson S. D., Pankratov D.A. and Presnyakov I. A. (2013) Formation of
  crystalline PuO<sub>2+x</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O nanoparticles upon sorption of Pu(V,VI) onto hematite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 121, 29-40.
- Schmidt M., Wilson R. E., Lee S. S., Soderholm L. and Fenter P. (2012) Adsorption of
   plutonium oxide nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 28, 2620-2627.
- Schüring J., Schulz H. D., Fischer W. R., Böttcher J. and Duijnisveld W. H. M. (2000) Redox:
  fundamentals, processes and applications. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
  251 p.
- Tertre E., Castet S., Berger G., Loubet M. and Giffaut E. (2006) Surface chemistry of
  kaolinite and Na-montmorillonite in aqueous electrolyte solutions at 25 and 60°C:
  Experimental and modeling study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 70, 4579-4599.
- Tertre E., Hofmann A. and Berger G. (2008) Rare earth element sorption by basaltic rock:
  Experimental data and modeling results using the "Generalised Composite approach". *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 1043–1056.
- Walther C. and Denecke M. A. (2013) Actinide colloids and particles of environmental
   concern. *Chem. Rev.* 113(2), 995-1015.
- Xie J., Lu J., Lin J., Zhou X., Li M., Zhou G. and Zhang J. (2013) The dynamic role of natural
  colloids in enhancing plutonium transport through porous media. *Chem. Geol.* 360-361,
  134-141.
- Yun J.-I., Cho H.-R., Neck V., Altmaier M., Seibert A., Marquardt C. M., Walther C. and
  Fanghänel Th. (2007) Investigation of the hydrolysis of plutonium(IV) by a
  combination of spectroscopy and redox potential measurements. *Radiochim. Acta* 95,
  888 89-95.

Zaravin M., Powell B. A., Bourbin M., Pihong Zhao P. and Kersting A. B. (2012) NpO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>
and Pu(V) ion exchange and surface-mediated reduction mechanisms on
montmorillonite, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 2692-2698.

#### 873 Table and figure caption

Table 1. Thermodynamic constants at zero ionic strength used in the present study 874 875 (Guillaumont et al., 2003). The missing hydrolysis constants for Pu are taken from the corresponding analogues (in brackets). Surface complexation constants for Pu(III, IV, V, VI) 876 are obtained assuming that the experimental uptake data of Am(III), Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) 877 by kaolinite are representative for the uptake of the corresponding Pu redox states. (\*) 878 Exchange and surface complexation constants have been determined by Tertre et al. (2008). 879 Some constants could not be determined since no appropriate experimental data were 880 available. According to Tertre et al. (2006, 2008), the surface area of kaolinite is 10 m<sup>2</sup>/g, site 881 density for  $\equiv$ SOH and exchange sites are 1.66 and 3.70  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. 882

883 Figure 1. Experimental sorption data (K<sub>d</sub>, in L/kg) on kaolinite versus pH for actinides with different redox states (Am(III)/Th(IV)/Np(V); data from Buda et al. (2008), Banik et al. (2007) 884 and Amayri et al. (2011), respectively) for 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>. Data for U(VI) are taken from 885 Křepelová (2007) for 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> in the presence of  $CO_2$  (closed diamonds; pH < 8) and 886 0.01 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> (open diamonds). The elements are considered as 887 chemical analogues for Pu(III/IV/V/VI). Pu(IV) data are recalculated from the sorption data 888 and Pu redox state analysis of Banik (2006) using eq. 17 (two large white circles). Curves 889 represent the modeled result for each Pu redox state. 890

Figure 2. Linear free energy relationship (LFER) between surface complexation  ${}^{S}K_{X,(i-1)}$  and hydrolysis constants  ${}^{OH}K_{X,i}$ . "X" is Pu redox state and "i" is the number of OH<sup>-</sup> involved in the complex, within the present model for kaolinite obtained by fitting Pu(III), Pu(IV), Pu(V) and Pu(VI) surface complexation constant to the experimental Am(III), Th(IV), Np(V) and U(VI) sorption to kaolinite data from the literature. All in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>.

Figure 3. (a) Overlay of Pu predominance plots in solution (thin black lines) and at the kaolinite surface (bold gray lines). Only the predominance field of each redox state is shown, not the detailed speciation (e.g. hydrolyzed species). (b) More common predominance diagram where only the dominant Pu redox state can be seen for the total system (S/V = 4 g/L;  $[NaClO_4] = 0.1 M; [Pu]_{tot} = 10^{-11} M).$ 

Figure 4. (a) Percentage uptake of Pu and Th by kaolinite  $([Pu]_{tot} = 6.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M} / [Th]_{tot} = 6.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ M}; 0.1 \text{ M NaClO}_4; \text{ S/V} = 4 \text{ g/L}; either under ambient air below pH = 8 or argon atmosphere) experimentally obtained by Banik et al. (2007) and calculated for pH+pe = 16.2,$ 

considering (bold line) or not (bold dashed line) the precipitated  $PuO_{2(am)}$  to be removed from the aqueous phase with the kaolinite. The model sensitivity to pe is illustrated applying ±0.5 uncertainty on the pe: pH+pe = 15.7 (dashed line) and 16.7 (dashed-dotted line); precipitated PuO<sub>2(am)</sub> is considered to have been removed with kaolinite. (b) Predominance diagram for the

- aqueous solution plotting pH+pe versus pe to visualize constant redox conditions horizontally.
- 909 The legend is valid for (a) and (b).

$ \begin{array}{c c} Solubility & PuO_{2(anx,byd)} + 2 H_2O = Pu^{4+} + 4 OH & -58.33 \\ \hline \\ Redox & PuO_2^{2+} + 4 H^+ + 2 e^- = Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O & 33.27 \\ PuO_2^{1+} + 4 H^+ + e^- = Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O & 17.45 \\ PuO_2^{1+} + 4 H^+ + e^- = Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O & 17.45 \\ Pu^{4+} + e^- = Pu^{3+} & 17.69 \\ \hline \\ Hydrolysis & PuO_2^{2+} + H_2O = PuO_2OH_2 + 2 H^+ & -13.20 \\ PuO_2^{2+} + 3 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2 + 2 H^+ & -13.20 \\ PuO_2^{2+} + 4 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2 + 2 H^+ & -32.40 (U) \\ PuO_2^{2+} + 4 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^{+} + 4 H^+ & -32.40 (U) \\ PuO_2^{+} + 4 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^{+} + 2 H^+ & -32.40 (U) \\ PuO_2^{+} + 2 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^{+} + 2 H^+ & 0.60 \\ Pu^{4+} + H_2O = PuO(A(DH)_2^{2+} + 2 H^+ & 0.60 \\ Pu^{4+} + 4 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + H^+ & -6.90 \\ Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + 3 H^+ & -23.0 \\ Pu^{3+} + 2 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + 2 H^+ & -15.10 (Am) \\ Pu^{3+} + 2 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + 2 H^+ & -15.10 (Am) \\ Pu^{3+} + 3 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + 2 H^+ & -16.90 \\ Pu^{3+} + 3 H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{1+} + 3 H^- & -26.20 (Am) \\ \hline \\ Exchange & 2 X-Na + PuO_2^{2+} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ 3 X-Na + PuO_2^{1-} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ X-Na + PuO_2^{1-} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & 0.2 \\ complexation & =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -14.5 \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1-} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & nd \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1-} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & nd \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & nd \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & nd \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -14.5 \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -14.5 \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -14.5 \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -3.0 \\ =SOH + PuO_2^{1+} = SO-PuO_2^{1+} H^+ & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = =SO-PuOH_2^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} = H_2O = =SO-PuOH_1^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} = H_2O = =SO-PuOH_1^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} = SO-Pu^{2+} H^+ & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = =SO-PuOH_1^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = =SO-PuOH_1^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = =SO-PuOH_1^{1+} + 2 H^- & -3.0 \\ =SOH + Pu^{3+} $		Reaction	Log K (I = 0)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Solubility	$PuO_{2(am,hyd)} + 2 H_2O = Pu^{4+} + 4 OH^{-}$	-58.33
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Redox	$PuO_2^{2+} + e^- = PuO_2^+$	15.82
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$PuO_2^{2+} + 4 H^+ + 2 e^- = Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O$	33.27
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$PuO_2^+ + 4 H^+ + e^- = Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O$	17.45
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$Pu^{4+} + e^{-} = Pu^{3+}$	17.69
$\begin{split} & PuO_2^{2^+} + 2 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2 + 2 \ H^+ & -13.20 \\ & PuO_2^{2^+} + 3 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_3^+ + 3 \ H^+ & -20.25 \ (U) \\ & PuO_2^{2^+} + 4 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_4^{2^+} + 4 \ H^+ & -32.40 \ (U) \\ & PuO_2^{-1} + H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_4^{-1} + 4 \ H^+ & -11.30 \ (Np) \\ & PuO_2^{-1} + 2 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -33.60 \ (Np) \\ & PuO_2^{++} + H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{-1} + 2 \ H^+ & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 2 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{-1} + 2 \ H^+ & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{-1} + 3 \ H^+ & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_4^{-1} + 3 \ H^+ & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_4^{+} + 3 \ H^+ & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_4^{-1} + 3 \ H^+ & -2.50 \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{+1} + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{+1} + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{4^+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 \ H^+ & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \hline \\ \hline Exchange & 2 \ X - Na + PuO_2^{2^+} = X_2 - PuO_2 + \ Na^+ & nd \\ & X - Na + PuO_2^{-1} = X_2 - PuO_2 + \ Na^+ & nd \\ & X - Na + PuO_2^{-1} = X_3 - Pu \ + \ Na^+ & 1 \\ & 4 \ X - Na + PuO_2^{-2^+} = = SO - PuO_2 \ OH + \ H^+ & -1^{(*s)} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Surface & SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO_2OH + \ H^+ & -6.9 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-2^+} = = SO - PuO_2 \ OH + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuO_2 \ H^+ + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} + H_2O = = SO - PuO_2OH + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuO_2 \ H^+ + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuO_2 \ H^+ + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuO_2 \ H^+ + \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuO_2 \ H^+ + \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-1} = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 3 \ H_2O = = SO - PuOH^{2^+} + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 12O = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 12O = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + H_2O = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + H_2O = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + H_2O = = SO - PuOH^{-1} \ H^+ \ H^$	Hydrolysis	$PuO_2^{2+} + H_2O = PuO_2OH^+ + H^+$	-5.50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$PuO_2^{2+} + 2 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2 + 2 H^+$	-13.20
$\begin{array}{cccc} & PuO_2^{2^{*}} + 4 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_4^{2^{*}} + 4 \ H^{+} & -32.40 \ (U) \\ & PuO_2^{+} + H_2O = PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -11.30 \ (Np) \\ & PuO_2^{+} + 2 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^{-} + 2 \ H^{+} & 23.60 \ (Np) \\ & Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H^{+} & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H^{+} & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H^{+} & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4^{+}} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4 \ H^{+} & -8.50 \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 4 \ H_2O = PuOH^{2^{+}} + H^{-} & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = PuOH^{2^{+}} + H^{+} & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{+} + 2 \ H^{+} & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = PuO(H)_2^{+} + 2 \ H^{+} & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = PuO(H)_3 + 3 \ H^{+} & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \hline Exchange & 2 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ & 3 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ & 3 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X_3-Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ & 4 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X_3-Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ & 4 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = SO-PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -1(s^{*}) \\ \hline \\ Surface & = SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & 4.0 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{-^{+}} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = = SO-PuO(H)_2^{+} + 3 \ H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = = SO-PuO(H)_2^{+} + 3 \ H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} = SO-Pu^{2^{+}} + H^{+} & -0.5^{(*)} (Eu) \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = = SO-PuO(H)_3 + 4 \ H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = = SO-PuO(H)_3 + 4 \ H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = = SO-PuO(H)_3 + 4 \$		$PuO_2^{2+} + 3 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_3^{-} + 3 H^{+}$	-20.25 (U)
$\begin{array}{cccc} & PuO_2^{\ +} H_2O = PuO_2OH + H^+ & -11.30 \ (Np) \\ & PuO_2^{\ +} 2 \ H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^{\ +} 2 \ H^+ & -23.60 \ (Np) \\ & Pu^{4+} + H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2+} + 2 \ H^+ & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 2 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2+} + 2 \ H^+ & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{+} + 3 \ H^+ & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 4 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4 \ H^+ & -8.50 \\ & Pu^{3+} + H_2O = PuOH^{2+} + H^+ & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3+} + H_2O = PuOH^{2+} + H^+ & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3+} + 2 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 \ H^+ & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \hline \\ Exchange & 2 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2+} = X_2 PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + PuO_2^+ = X_2 PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & 3 \ X-Na + Pu^{3+} = X_3 - Pu \ Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + Pu^{4+} = X_4 - Pu \ Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + Pu^{4+} = X_4 - Pu \ Na^+ & -1^{(*)} \\ \hline \\ Surface & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2OH + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2OH + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO - PuO_2 + H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = SO - Pu^{3+} + H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = SO - Pu^{2+} + H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = = SO - Pu^{2+} + H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = SO - Pu^{2+} + H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = H_2O = = SO - Pu(OH)_3 + 4 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = H^+ + H_2O = = SO - Pu(OH)_3 + 4 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} = SO - Pu^{2+} + H^+ & -0.5^{(*)} \ (Eu) \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO - PuO(H^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ $		$PuO_2^{2+} + 4 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_4^{2-} + 4 H^+$	-32.40 (U)
$\begin{array}{cccc} & PuO_2^{+}+2H_2O=PuO_2(OH)_2^{+}+2H^{+} & -23.60~(Np) \\ Pu^{4^{+}}+H_2O=PuOH^{3^{+}}+H^{+} & 0.60 \\ Pu^{4^{+}}+2H_2O=Pu(OH)_2^{2^{+}}+2H^{+} & 0.60 \\ Pu^{4^{+}}+3H_2O=PuOH^{2^{+}}+3H^{+} & -2.30 \\ Pu^{4^{+}}+4H_2O=PuOH^{2^{+}}+H^{+} & -8.50 \\ Pu^{3^{+}}+H_2O=PuOH^{2^{+}}+H^{+} & -6.90 \\ Pu^{3^{+}}+2H_2O=PuOH^{2^{+}}+2H^{+} & -15.10~(Am) \\ Pu^{3^{+}}+3H_2O=PuOH^{3^{+}}+2H^{+} & -26.20~(Am) \\ \hline \\ Exchange & 2~X-Na+PuO_2^{2^{+}}=X_2-PuO_2+Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na+PuO_2^{+}=X-PuO_2+Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na+PuO_2^{+}=X-PuO_2+Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na+PuO_2^{+}=X-PuO_2+Na^{+} & 1 \\ 4~X-Na+Pu^{4^{+}}=X_4-Pu+Na^{+} & 1 \\ 4~X-Na+Pu^{4^{+}}=X_4-Pu+Na^{+} & -1(^{(w)}) \\ \hline \\ Surface & =SOH+PuO_2^{2^{+}}==SO-PuO_2^{+}+H^{+} & 0.2 \\ complexation & =SOH+PuO_2^{2^{+}}+H_2O==SO-PuO_2(OH)_2^{-}+3H^{+} & -14.5 \\ =SOH+PuO_2^{+}==SO-PuO_2+H^{+} & 4.0 \\ =SOH+PuO_2^{+}==SO-PuO_2+H^{+} & 4.0 \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}=SO-Pu^{3^{+}}+H^{+} & nd \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}=SO-PuO^{3^{+}}+H^{+} & 3.2 \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}+2~H_2O==SO-PuO(H)_2^{+}+3~H^{+} & 3.2 \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}+2~H_2O==SO-PuO(H)_2^{+}+3~H^{+} & 3.2 \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}+2~H_2O==SO-PuO(H)_2^{+}+3~H^{+} & -3.0 \\ =SOH+Pu^{4^{+}}+2~H_2O==SO-PuOH^{2^{+}}+H^{+} & -0.5^{((W)}(Eu) \\ =SOH+Pu^{3^{+}}=SO-Pu^{2^{+}}+H^{+} & -0.5^{(W)}(Eu) \\ =SOH+Pu^{3^{+}}+H_2O==SO-PuOH^{2^{+}}+H^{+} & -0.5^{(W)}(Eu) \\ =SOH+Pu^{3^{+}}+H_2O=SO-PuOH^{3^{+}}+2H^{-} & -9.3 \\ \end{array}$		$PuO_2^+ + H_2O = PuO_2OH + H^+$	-11.30 (Np)
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Pu^{4+} + H_2O = PuOH^{3+} + H^{+} & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = Pu(OH)_3^{+} + 3 H^{+} & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 4 H_2O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4 H^{+} & -8.50 \\ & Pu^{3+} + H_2O = PuOH^{2+} + H^{+} & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3+} + 2 H_2O = PuOH^{2+} + H^{+} & -15.10 (Am) \\ & Pu^{3+} + 3 H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 H^{+} & -26.20 (Am) \\ \hline \\ Exchange & 2 X-Na + PuO_2^{2+} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ & X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ & 3 X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ & X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X_3-Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ & 4 X-Na + Pu^{3+} = X_3-Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ & 4 X-Na + Pu^{4+} = X_4-Pu + Na^{+} & -1(^{(*)}) \\ \hline \\ Surface & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2^{+} + H^{+} & 0.2 \\ complexation & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -6.9 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} + H_2O = = SO-PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & 4.0 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} & 4.0 \\ & = SOH + PuO_4^{+} = = SO-PuO_4^{+} + H^{+} & nd \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & 6.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 3 H^{+} & 3.2 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & 3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 3 H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-PuO^{2+} + H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} & -3.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^$		$PuO_2^+ + 2 H_2O = PuO_2(OH)_2^- + 2H^+$	-23.60 (Np)
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Pu^{4+} + 2 \ H_2 O = Pu(OH)_2^{2+} + 2 \ H^+ & 0.60 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 3 \ H_2 O = Pu(OH)_3^+ + 3 \ H^+ & -2.30 \\ & Pu^{4+} + 4 \ H_2 O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4 \ H^+ & -8.50 \\ & Pu^{3+} + H_2 O = PuOH^{2+} + H^+ & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3+} + 2 \ H_2 O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3+} + 3 \ H_2 O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 \ H^+ & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \hline \\ Exchange & 2 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2+} = X_2 PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + PuO_2^+ = X-PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & 3 \ X-Na + PuO_2^+ = X-PuO_2 + Na^+ & 1 \\ & 4 \ X-Na + Pu^{4+} = X_4 - Pu + Na^+ & 1 \\ & 4 \ X-Na + H^+ = X-H + Na^+ & -1^{(*)} \\ \hline \\ Surface & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2^+ + H^+ & 0.2 \\ complexation & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 OH + H^+ & -6.9 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + PuO_2^{2+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuO(OH)_2^+ + 3 H^+ & -14.5 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuO(OH)_2^+ + 2 H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+ & -3.0 \\ & = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+ & -9.3 \\ \end{array}$		$Pu^{4+} + H_2O = PuOH^{3+} + H^+$	0.60
$\begin{array}{cccc} {\sf Pu}^{4^+} + 3  H_2 O = {\sf Pu}(OH)_3^+ + 3  H^* & -2.30 \\ {\sf Pu}^{4^+} + 4  H_2 O = {\sf Pu}(OH)_4 + 4  H^+ & -8.50 \\ {\sf Pu}^{3^+} + H_2 O = {\sf Pu}(OH)_2^+ + 2  H^+ & -6.90 \\ {\sf Pu}^{3^+} + 2  H_2 O = {\sf Pu}(OH)_2^+ + 2  H^+ & -15.10  (Am) \\ {\sf Pu}^{3^+} + 3  H_2 O = {\sf Pu}(OH)_3 + 3  H^+ & -26.20  (Am) \\ \end{array}$		$Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^{2+} + 2 H^+$	0.60
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Pu^{4^+} + 4  H_2 O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4  H^+ & -8.50 \\ & Pu^{3^+} + H_2 O = PuOH^{2^+} + H^+ & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3^+} + 2  H_2 O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2  H^+ & -15.10  (Am) \\ & Pu^{3^+} + 3  H_2 O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3  H^+ & -26.20  (Am) \end{array}$		$Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = Pu(OH)_3^+ + 3 H^+$	-2.30
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Pu^{3^{+}} + H_{2}O = PuOH^{2^{+}} + H^{+} & -6.90 \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 2 H_{2}O = Pu(OH)_{2}^{+} + 2 H^{+} & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3^{+}} + 3 H_{2}O = Pu(OH)_{3} + 3 H^{+} & -26.20 \ (Am) \end{array}$		$Pu^{4+} + 4 H_2O = Pu(OH)_4 + 4 H^+$	-8.50
$\begin{array}{cccc} & Pu^{3^+} + 2 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -15.10 \ (Am) \\ & Pu^{3^+} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 \ H^+ & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \end{array}$ Exchange $\begin{array}{cccc} & 2 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2^+} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + PuO_2^+ = X-PuO_2 + Na^+ & nd \\ & 3 \ X-Na + Pu^{3^+} = X_3-Pu + Na^+ & 1 \\ & 4 \ X-Na + Pu^{4^+} = X_4-Pu + Na^+ & nd \\ & X-Na + H^+ = X-H + Na^+ & -1^{(*)} \\ \end{array}$ Surface $\begin{array}{cccc} & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} = \equiv SO-PuO_2^+ + H^+ & 0.2 \\ & complexation & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuO_2OH + H^+ & -6.9 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuO_2(OH)_2^- + 3 \ H^+ & -14.5 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^+ = \equiv SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -4.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^+ = \equiv SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -4.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^+ = \equiv SO-PuO_2 + H^+ & -4.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_4^+ = \equiv SO-PuO_2^+ + H^+ & nd \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^+} = SO-Pu^{3^+} + H^+ & nd \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-Pu(OH)_2^+ + 3 \ H^+ & 3.2 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 3 \ H_2O = \equiv SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 \ H^+ & -3.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{3^+} = \equiv SO-Pu^{2^+} + H^+ & -0.5^{(*)}(Eu) \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{3^+} = \equiv SO-Pu^{2^+} + H^+ & -0.5^{(*)}(Eu) \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{3^+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuOH^+ + 2 \ H^+ & -9.3 \end{array}$		$Pu^{3+} + H_2O = PuOH^{2+} + H^+$	-6.90
$\begin{array}{c c} Pu^{3^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 \ H^{+} & -26.20 \ (Am) \\ \hline Exchange & 2 \ X-Na + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = X_2 - PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X - PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ 3 \ X-Na + Pu^{3^{+}} = X_3 - Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ 4 \ X-Na + Pu^{4^{+}} = X_4 - Pu + Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na + H^{+} = X - H + Na^{+} & -1^{(*)} \\ \hline \\ Surface & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} = \equiv SO - PuO_2^{+} + H^{+} & 0.2 \\ complexation & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} + H_2O = \equiv SO - PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -6.9 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = \equiv SO - PuO_2OH + H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H_2O = \equiv SO - PuO_2(OH)_2^{-} + 3 \ H^{+} & -14.5 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{+} = \equiv SO - PuO_2 + H^{+} & 4.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + PuO_2^{+} = \equiv SO - PuO_2 + H^{+} & 4.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = \equiv SO - PuOH^{2^{+}} + 2 \ H^{+} & 0.2 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + H_2O = \equiv SO - PuO_2(OH)_2^{-} + 3 \ H^{+} & 3.2 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = \equiv SO - Pu(OH)_2^{+} + 3 \ H^{+} & 3.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{4^{+}} + 3 \ H_2O = \equiv SO - Pu(OH)_3 + 4 \ H^{+} & -3.0 \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{3^{+}} = \equiv SO - Pu^{2^{+}} + H^{+} & -0.5^{(*)} \ (Eu) \\ & \equiv SOH + Pu^{3^{+}} = \equiv SO - PuO^{4^{+}} + 2 \ H^{-} & -9.3 \\ \end{array}$		$Pu^{3+} + 2 H_2O = Pu(OH)_2^+ + 2 H^+$	-15.10 (Am)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$Pu^{3+} + 3 H_2O = Pu(OH)_3 + 3 H^+$	-26.20 (Am)
$ \begin{array}{lll} X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X-PuO_2 + Na^{+} & nd \\ 3 X-Na + Pu^{3+} = X_3-Pu + Na^{+} & 1 \\ 4 X-Na + Pu^{4+} = X_4-Pu + Na^{+} & nd \\ X-Na + H^{+} = X-H + Na^{+} & -1^{(*)} \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Exchange	$2 X-Na + PuO_2^{2+} = X_2-PuO_2 + Na^+$	nd
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$X-Na + PuO_2^{+} = X-PuO_2 + Na^{+}$	nd
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$3 \text{ X-Na} + Pu^{3+} = X_3 - Pu + Na^+$	1
X-Na + H <sup>+</sup> = X-H + Na <sup>+</sup> $-1^{(*)}$ Surface $\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup> = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> + H <sup>+</sup> 0.2complexation $\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> OH + H <sup>+</sup> -6.9 $\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup> + 2 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> + 3 H <sup>+</sup> -14.5 $\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> + H <sup>+</sup> -4.0 $\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> + H <sup>+</sup> 6.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>4+</sup> = $\equiv$ SO-PuOH <sup>2+</sup> + 2 H <sup>+</sup> 6.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>4+</sup> + 2 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> + 3 H <sup>+</sup> 3.2 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>4+</sup> + 3 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH) <sub>3</sub> + 4 H <sup>+</sup> -3.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>3+</sup> = $\equiv$ SO-Pu <sup>2+</sup> + H <sup>+</sup> -0.5 <sup>(*)</sup> (Eu) $\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>3+</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-PuOH <sup>+</sup> + 2 H <sup>+</sup> -9.3		$4 \text{ X-Na} + Pu^{4+} = X_4 - Pu + Na^+$	nd
Surface $\equiv$ SOH + PuO22+ = $\equiv$ SO-PuO2+ H <sup>+</sup> 0.2complexation $\equiv$ SOH + PuO22+ H2O = $\equiv$ SO-PuO2OH + H <sup>+</sup> -6.9 $\equiv$ SOH + PuO22+ 2 H2O = $\equiv$ SO-PuO2(OH)2+ 3 H <sup>+</sup> -14.5 $\equiv$ SOH + PuO2+ = $\equiv$ SO-PuO2 + H <sup>+</sup> -4.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu4+ = $\equiv$ SO-Pu3+ H <sup>+</sup> nd $\equiv$ SOH + Pu4+ H2O = $\equiv$ SO-PuOH2+ 2 H <sup>+</sup> 6.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu4+ + 2 H2O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH)2+ 3 H <sup>+</sup> 3.2 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu4+ + 3 H2O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH)3+ 4 H <sup>+</sup> -3.0 $\equiv$ SOH + Pu3+ = $\equiv$ SO-Pu2+ H <sup>+</sup> -0.5(*) (Eu) $\equiv$ SOH + Pu3+ H2O = $\equiv$ SO-PuOH+ 2 H <sup>+</sup> -9.3		$X-Na + H^+ = X-H + Na^+$	-1 <sup>(*)</sup>
complexation $ = SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + H_2O = = SO-PuO_2OH + H^+   -6.9 \\ = SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-PuO_2(OH)_2^- + 3 H^+  -14.5 \\ = SOH + PuO_2^+ = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+  -4.0 \\ = SOH + Pu^{4^+} = = SO-Pu^{3^+} + H^+   nd \\ = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2^+} + 2 H^+  6.0 \\ = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^+ + 3 H^+  3.2 \\ = SOH + Pu^{4^+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+  -3.0 \\ = SOH + Pu^{3^+} = = SO-Pu^{2^+} + H^+  -0.5^{(*)} (Eu) \\ = SOH + Pu^{3^+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+  -9.3 $	Surface	$\equiv \mathrm{SOH} + \mathrm{PuO_2}^{2+} = \equiv \mathrm{SO-PuO_2}^{+} + \mathrm{H}^{+}$	0.2
$ = SOH + PuO_2^{2^+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-PuO_2(OH)_2^- + 3 H^+ -14.5 $ $ = SOH + PuO_2^+ = = SO-PuO_2 + H^+ -4.0 $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} = = SO-Pu^{3^+} + H^+ - nd $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2^+} + 2 H^+ -6.0 $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^+ + 3 H^+ -3.2 $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+ -3.0 $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3^+} = = SO-Pu^{2^+} + H^+ -0.5^{(*)} (Eu) $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3^+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+ -9.3 $	complexation	$\equiv SOH + PuO_2^{2+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuO_2OH + H^+$	-6.9
$ = SOH + PuO_2^{+} = = SO-PuO_2 + H^{+} $ -4.0 $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} = = SO-Pu^{3+} + H^{+} $ nd $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} $ 6.0 $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^{+} + 3 H^{+} $ 3.2 $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^{+} $ -3.0 $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} $ -0.5 <sup>(*)</sup> (Eu) $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{+} + 2 H^{+} $ -9.3		$\equiv$ SOH + PuO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup> + 2 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-PuO <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> + 3 H <sup>+</sup>	-14.5
$ = SOH + Pu^{4+} = = SO-Pu^{3+} + H^{+} $ nd $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^{+} $ 6.0 $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^{+} + 3 H^{+} $ 3.2 $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^{+} $ -3.0 $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} $ -0.5 <sup>(*)</sup> (Eu) $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{+} + 2 H^{+} $ -9.3		$\equiv SOH + PuO_2^+ = \equiv SO-PuO_2 + H^+$	-4.0
$ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^+ $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^+ + 3 H^+ $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+ $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^+ $ $ = O.5^{(*)} (Eu) $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+ $ $ = O.5^{(*)} (Eu) $		$\equiv SOH + Pu^{4+} = \equiv SO-Pu^{3+} + H^+$	nd
$ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 2 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_2^+ + 3 H^+ $ $ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+ $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^+ $ $ = O.5^{(*)} (Eu) $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+ $ $ = O.5^{(*)} (Eu) $		$\equiv SOH + Pu^{4+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuOH^{2+} + 2 H^+$	6.0
$ = SOH + Pu^{4+} + 3 H_2O = = SO-Pu(OH)_3 + 4 H^+ -3.0 $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} = = SO-Pu^{2+} + H^+ -0.5^{(*)} (Eu) $ $ = SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = = SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+ -9.3 $		$\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>4+</sup> + 2 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> + 3 H <sup>+</sup>	3.2
$\equiv SOH + Pu^{3+} \equiv \equiv SO-Pu^{2+} + H^{+} -0.5^{(*)} (Eu)$ $\equiv SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O \equiv \equiv SO-PuOH^{+} + 2 H^{+} -9.3$		$\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>4+</sup> + 3 H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-Pu(OH) <sub>3</sub> + 4 H <sup>+</sup>	-3.0
$\equiv$ SOH + Pu <sup>3+</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> O = $\equiv$ SO-PuOH <sup>+</sup> + 2 H <sup>+</sup> -9.3		$\equiv SOH + Pu^{3+} = \equiv SO-Pu^{2+} + H^+$	-0.5 <sup>(*)</sup> (Eu)
		$\equiv SOH + Pu^{3+} + H_2O = \equiv SO-PuOH^+ + 2 H^+$	-9.3













Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4

## 925 Supporting information.

926

**Figure S1.** Percentage of Pu uptake in presence of kaolinite versus the pH for different equilibration time (63, 120, 137, 209 hours). The results for t = 120 h were obtained for an independent set of experiments. Experimental conditions: S/V = 4 g/L;  $[Pu]_{tot} = 3.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}$ ; 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>; ambient air atmosphere. Curves are simulations with the present model when considering or not the precipitation of  $PuO_{2(am)}$  as an uptake process (pH+pe = 16.2). The effect of carbonate is not considered in the calculations.

**Figure S2.** Percentage of Pu uptake in presence of kaolinite versus the pH for different total Pu concentrations ( $[Pu]_{tot} = 6.9 \times 10^{-9}$ ;  $6.6 \times 10^{-8}$ ;  $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M). Experimental conditions: S/V = 4 g/L; 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>; 120 h equilibration time; ambient air atmosphere. Curves are simulations with the present model when considering or not the precipitation of PuO<sub>2(am)</sub> as an uptake process (pH+pe = 16.2). The arrow shows the effect of increasing [Pu]<sub>tot</sub> on the simulations. When no precipitation is considered, the same simulated results are obtained for all [Pu]<sub>tot</sub>. The effect of carbonate is not considered in the calculations.

**Figure S3.** Th(IV) and Np(V) sorption to amorphous silica versus the pH in 0.1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> taken from Righetto et al. (1991) and recalculated as log K<sub>d</sub> (L/kg). Experimental conditions: [Th]<sub>tot</sub> =  $10^{-11}$  M; S/V = 60 mg/L / [Np(V)]<sub>tot</sub> =  $10^{-14}$  M; S/V = 1200 mg/L. The double arrow illustrates log K<sub>d</sub>(Th(IV)) - log K<sub>d</sub>(Np(V)) for pH = 7 (see the main article).



Figure S2

рΗ



Figure S3