

Symmetry broken spin reorientation transition in epitaxial MgO/Fe/MgO layers with competing anisotropies

Isidoro Martínez, Coriolan Tiusan, Michel Hehn, Mairbek Chshiev, Farkhad

Aliev

To cite this version:

Isidoro Martínez, Coriolan Tiusan, Michel Hehn, Mairbek Chshiev, Farkhad Aliev. Symmetry broken spin reorientation transition in epitaxial $MgO/Fe/MgO$ layers with competing anisotropies. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, pp.9463. 10.1038/s41598-018-27720-7. hal-01900888

HAL Id: hal-01900888 <https://hal.science/hal-01900888v1>

Submitted on 3 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 15 February 2018 Accepted: 25 May 2018 Published online: 21 June 2018

OPEN Symmetry broken spin **reorientation transition in epitaxial MgO/Fe/MgO layers with competing anisotropies**

Isidoro Martínez¹, Coriolan Tiusan², Michel Hehn³, Mairbek Chshiev ⁴ & Farkhad G. Aliev¹

The observation of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) at MgO/Fe interfaces boosted the development of spintronic devices based on ultrathin ferromagnetic layers. Yet, magnetization reversal in the standard magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs) with competing PMA and in-plane anisotropies remains unclear. Here we report on the feld induced nonvolatile broken symmetry magnetization reorientation transition from the in-plane to the perpendicular (out of plane) state at temperatures below 50K. The samples were 10 nm thick Fe in MgO/Fe(100)/MgO as stacking components of V/MgO/ Fe/MgO/Fe/Co double barrier MTJs with an area of 20×20*μ***m². Micromagnetic simulations with PMA and diferent second order anisotropies at the opposite Fe/MgO interfaces qualitatively reproduce the observed broken symmetry spin reorientation transition. Our fndings open the possibilities to develop multistate epitaxial spintronics based on competing magnetic anisotropies.**

Magnetic flms with the magnetization oriented perpendicular to the flm plane are currently the best candidates for magnetic storage devices with respect to the challenge to decrease the bit size. The phenomenon of having a preferential magnetization perpendicular to film plane is usually referred to as Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy (PMA)^{1,2}. The bottleneck to have PMA is the control of the magnetic anisotropy which is characterized by an effective anisotropy constant (K_{eff}) that has a volume contribution K_v and two surface or interface contributions K_s^{2-4} . As a result, it can be described as $K_{eff} = K_v + K_s^{(I)}/t + K_s^{(II)}/t$ where $K_s^{(I)}$ and $K_s^{(II)}$ are the surface anisotropies at the lower (I) and upper (II) interfaces and *t* is the ferromagnetic layer thickness. The volume contributions arise from magnetocrystalline anisotropy, magnetoelastic anisotropy and shape anisotropy. The latter contribution induces commonly an in-plane magnetized configuration in magnetic thin films. However, in ultrathin layers several angstroms thick, the surface contribution to anisotropy can exceed the volume shape anisotropy contribution leading to the PMA. Surface contributions are linked to roughness and interface alloy, strain and mainly to the broken symmetry at the interface or at the surface of the magnetic layers. The constant *K_{s1}* of the first order surface anisotropy energy per unit area may range between $K_{s1} \approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ J/m² in ultrathin Co, Fe and Ni films⁴ and K_{s1} ≈ 3 − 4 × 10⁻³ J/m² at CoFeB/Pt interfaces⁵.

The recent trends in spintronics using MTJs also take advantage of PMA to provide large tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR), enhanced thermal stability⁶, low spin torque switching currents^{7,8} and record small lateral sizes⁹. Those features are critical for the progress towards spin transfer torque based magnetic random access memories. It appears that MgO/Fe interfaces show PMA substantially exceeding the values reported for the prototype $Co/Ni(111)$ system¹⁰.

The spin-orbit interaction (SOI) emerging from the reduced interfacial symmetry of the Fe *d*-orbitals and O *p*-orbitals has been suggested as a main source of PMA at MgO/Fe interfaces^{11,12}. First-principles calculations give K_{s1} ranging between 1.5×10^{-3} J/m² and 1.8×10^{-3} J/m² ¹² in a reasonable agreement with experiments^{6,13,14}. According to its interfacial nature, the PMA intensity varies strongly with the magnetic layer thickness. Tis means a great challenge to increase the critical thickness of the spin reorientation transition⁶ above a few nm,

1 Dpto. Fisica de la Materia Condensada, IFIMAC and INC, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, 28049, Madrid, Spain. ²Center of Superconductivity, Spintronics and Surface Science (C4S), Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, 400114, Romania. ³Institut Jean Lamour, Nancy-Université Vandoeuvre Les Nancy Cedex, Nancy, 54506, France. ⁴ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, INAC-SPINTEC, 38000, Grenoble, France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.G.A. (email: [farkhad.aliev@uam.es\)](mailto:farkhad.aliev@uam.es)

Figure 1. Sketch explaining the creation of non-volatile out of plane magnetization in thin ferromagnetic films showing the competing PMA and shape anisotropies. (**a**) For relatively thick flms, due to shape anisotropy, the ground state is in-plane magnetized. (**b**) In thin enough flms (typically <2nm), due to the dominant PMA, the ground state is magnetized out of plane already at room temperature. (**c**) Case of competing PMA and shape anisotropies taking place in fnite lateral size and intermediate thick flms (10nm here). If an out of plane magnetic field is applied and removed at sufficiently low temperatures (indicated by vertical colour bars), the magnetization can switch from in-plane to the non-volatile out of plane state. The lower part (**d**) sketches the samples under study (middle) and the design of the simulated structures (left → soft MgO/Fe/MgO structure and right \rightarrow soft layer weakly coupled to the hard layer, see text for details).

without the need of the permanent application of an external magnetic field stimulus¹⁵. By changing the normal metal (NM) in NM/Fe/MgO (NM = V; Cr)¹⁴, the transition between out of plane and in-plane anisotropy remains between 4 and 6 Fe monolayers. Reducing the bulk magnetization through bulk Fe doping by V or Cr impurities reduces the easy-plane demagnetizing energy and slightly changes the critical thickness at which reorientation occurs¹⁶. Finally, decreasing the temperature down to 5 K, leads to the PMA and saturated magnetization enhancement. This has a rather limited impact on the critical thickness for the spontaneous out of plane magnetization alignment $17,18$.

Seminal MTJs grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) have in-plane anisotropy and typically incorporate about 10 nm thick Fe or FeCo soft ferromagnetic layers (FM) separated by MgO barriers from the magnetically hard layers^{19,20}. According to our discussion, due to the shape anisotropy, such soft FM layers remain in-plane magnetized at room temperature and zero magnetic feld. However, a ferromagnetic resonance analysis pointed out the presence of a PMA contribution to the total anisotropy²¹ even for in-plane magnetized FM electrodes. As a result sketched in Fig. 1a, the potential profle exhibits an energy minimum with in-plane magnetization but presents a metastable out of plane magnetization state with a local energy minimum. Decreasing the ferromagnetic layer thickness enhances the influence of PMA²². Therefore, for a film thickness below some critical value (typically around 1–2nm) the out of plane magnetic state becomes the ground state as sketched in Fig. 1b.

The main concept of the ferromagnetic system with competing in-plane and out of plane anisotropies is sketched in Fig. 1c. By combining low temperatures with a brief application of a perpendicular feld, we show that a non-volatile magnetization reorientation, as explained in Fig. 1c, could be observed in MgO/Fe/MgO flms with Fe(100) thickness the exceeding critical thickness of in-plane reorientation (here 10nm). Once the external magnetic feld is removed, the magnetization could remain perpendicular at low temperatures even in relatively thick flms if the energy barrier between the metastable (perpendicular out of plane) and ground (in-plane) states substantially exceeds the thermal energy. Tis state emerges due to competing in-plane and perpendicular interfacial anisotropies sketched in Fig. 1c. The main experimental observations are qualitatively supported by simulations. The breaking of the perpendicular magnetization symmetry has been explained as a consequence of the diference in disorder between the bottom and the top interfaces in MgO/Fe/MgO. To our best knowledge, this is the frst report on magnetization orientation manipulation of this kind in micron size magnetic tunnel junctions.

Experimental Results

Tunneling magnetoresistance. Sample growth and measurement techniques are explained in the Methods section. Figure 2b shows in-plane and out of plane TMR at diferent temperatures. At room temperature the in-plane TMR is close to 36%. Instead the out of plane TMR is lower (around 24%) because in that case, as indicated in Fig. 2a, the two electrodes are perpendicularly oriented for the maximum resistance values. Both in-plane and out of plane TMR values are somewhat lower than those typically reported for single barrier MTJs. The cause is that the device under study is composed of two tunnel junctions: a normal tunnel junction between Fe and V connected in series with a MTJ (formed by Fe and Fe/Co). The first (V/MgO/Fe(10nm)) junction is expected to have a tunneling resistance independent of the FM orientation above the critical temperature of V (if the contribution of anisotropic tunneling magnetoresistance is neglected). The main function of the normal metal (Vanadium) electrode is as single crystalline (001) oriented bufer template and contact the 10nm Fe layer under investigation through a thin MgO barrier. Vanadium is one of the few nonmagnetic and conducting materials, which can be epitaxially grown in single crystal Fe/MgO(001) tunnel junctions. Vanadium has a bcc structure perfectly compatible with the epitaxial subsequent growth of MgO and Fe with (001) orientation. The inspected soft Fe layer is then contacted through a second MgO barrier by the Fe/Co hard layer which plays the role of a spin-orientation sensor. The room temperature thermal energy helps to overcome the energy barrier needed to fip the magnetization direction. In the conditions of competing anisotropies at room temperature this leads to similar switching felds from upwards to downwards efect to that between opposite in-plane directions as reflected in Fig. 2a. The thermal effects are also reflected in Fig. 2b, where the coercive fields of the in-plane oriented electrodes clearly decrease with increasing temperature.

Our main experimental fnding has been observed at low temperatures below 50K and is represented in Fig. 2c. We apply at low temperatures, an out of plane magnetic feld not exceeding 3kOe to maintain the in-plane magnetization orientation of the hard electrode unchanged. We observed then a change in resistance corresponding to a magnetization fip of the sof Fe electrode from the in-plane (*Hext*=0) to the out of plane direction at about *Hext*=−1 kOe. In the range of the explored perpendicular magnetic felds (<3 kOe) and low temperatures (T<50K) this magnetization switch (from in-plane to out of plane direction and backwards) takes place only in the negative feld direction as shown in Fig. 2c. Our claim on the magnetization switch asymmetry is based on the following experimental observation. It shows that for sufficiently low temperatures, at least below 50 K, for the the positive field direction, the soft electrode retains its direction close to in-plane. Such an asymmetric magnetization response is relatively robust to the history of the variation of the magnetic feld (see Supplemental Fig. 1) and disappears at room temperature (Fig. 2a). The possible sources of the magnetization flip asymmetry will be discussed further below.

For the experiments with out of plain feld one unavoidably has some small (in our case ≤3 degrees) deviation of the applied feld from a strictly perpendicular direction. We cannot therefore exclude an in-plane component of the external feld to be present during such experiments. We have tried to verify a possible explanation of the transition as due to in-plane magnetization rotation induced by the above mentioned misalignment (between the normal vector of the interfacial plane and the external feld). With this aim we have studied the tunneling resistance under a full in-plane magnetic field rotation of the soft layer magnetization keeping the hard layer fixed. The experimental results (Fig. 3) point out towards a 4-fold symmetry of the soft Fe layer magnetization rotation. This is expected for the epitaxial soft FM layer in MgO/Fe(001)/MgO. The bottom left part in Fig. 3 summarizes through a sketch the presence of the 4-fold symmetric response of the sof layer when the external feld is rotated within the interface (in-plane). We insist therefore on the strictly out of plane nature of the observed asymmetric spin reorientation transition as sketched at the bottom right part of Fig. 3.

The resistance values in three well defined magnetic states of the soft layer allow an evaluation of the effective spin polarization of the FM electrodes at corresponding temperatures. Following a simplified model²³ we approximate the conductance of a whole structure formed by a tunnel junction (TJ) and a MTJ in series through the following expression:

$$
G^{-1} = G_1^{-1} + [G_2(1 + p^2 cos\vartheta)]^{-1}.
$$

Here G_1 is the low bias conductance of the V/MgO/Fe junction, G_2 is the low bias conductance of the MTJs in the perpendicular state, p is the effective spin polarization and ϑ the angle between the magnetizations of the ferromagnets. From the room temperature out of plane and in-plane resistance vs. feld measurements shown in Fig. 2 we obtain three different conductance values corresponding to the three magnetic states: parallel (ϑ = 0), anti-parallel ($\vartheta = \pi$) and perpendicular ($\vartheta = \pi/2$) states. The knowledge of those conductances provides $p = 0.65$ at 300 K and $p = 0.68$ at 10 K.

The estimated decrease of spin polarization with increasing temperature could be attributed to thermally excited magnons. We also note that the obtained *p* values underestimate the efective spin polarization because they were obtained without consideration of the additional shunting efect coming from the coherent tunneling between V and top Fe across the two MgO barriers. This effect is particularly important for majority spins whose coherence length in Fe is known to be larger than 10 nm (see Ref.²⁴). The above mentioned effect enhances the AP

Figure 2. (**a**) In-plane and out of plane resistance vs. feld curves at room temperature. Part (**b**) shows in-plane resistance (normalized by P state) vs. feld curves for diferent temperatures. (**c**) Out of plane resistance vs. feld curves at different temperatures. The coercive fields of the FM electrodes are higher in the out of plane direction $(H_{C-soft} \simeq 1$ kOe and $H_{C-hard} > 5$ kOe). Red arrows indicate the magnetic field sweep history.

conductivity and reduces the expected large TMR. Moreover, we mention that the evaluated *G*_{1,2} conductances

increase with temperature proving high quality and pinhole free barriers.

On the other hand, we fnd the second MgO barrier (between the 10nm Fe and the Fe/Co hard electrode) to be about 4 times more transparent than the bottom MgO layer (i.e. $G_2 \simeq 4 G_1$). This points towards an accumulation of the structural disorder during the epitaxial stack growth and could explain the negligible variation of TMR of the whole structure including two MgO barriers with different structural qualities. Note that ref.24 also observed indirectly an accumulated disorder in the epitaxial double barrier magnetic tunnel junctions through a substantial diference in the two MgO barrier transparencies with the same nominal thickness.

Figure 3. The top part shows the resistance vs. field curve (measured at $T = 5K$) of an in-plane rotation of the external magnetic field with modulus $H_{mod}=200$ Oe. This field value maintains the hard layer practically fixed and only rotates the soft Fe layer. One observes a nearly symmetric response (sketched in the bottom left part). Tis excludes a possible explanation of the observed in-plane to out of plane reorientation transition (sketched in the bottom right part) in terms of the in-plane response due to magnetic field misalignment. The FM1 magnetization is depicted by blue arrows (Fe soft layer) while the FM2 magnetization is depicted by red arrows (Fe/Co hard layer).

Figure 4. Sketch of the different possible distributions of the surface anisotropy *K_{s1}* explored in simulations. Blue prism represents PMA variation within model M1. Three possibilities within models M2(i-iii) explored are represented correspondingly by red, green and grey coloured prisms respectively. The total volume energy corresponding to PMA has been kept constant.

Simulations

The details on simulations are explained in the Methods section. We first discuss the models used to simulate the magnetization reversal of the symmetric soft Fe layer (without *K_{s2}* anisotropy) with competing anisotropies under applied perpendicular magnetic feld. A perpendicular magnetization anisotropy has been introduced using two qualitatively different ways sketched in Fig. 4. The first approach (model *M1*) uses a single step PMA variation within the first atomic layer. The concentration of K_{s1} in the first layer only corresponds to the vacuum/Fe/vacuum case discussed in ref.¹². The second (model *M2*) approach involves three slightly different versions labeled (*M2<i>i*–*iii*) which allow the different PMA variation in steps of a half lattice period $a/2$ (an Fe atomic layer). The model *M2i* with an oscillatory decay of PMA inside Fe is the closest to the numerical predictions for the MgO/Fe/MgO case¹².

Figure 5. Simulation of the normalized (by *Msat*) perpendicular to the interface *z* component of the magnetization of the interfacial layer of the 10 nm thick Fe layer. In MgO/Fe/MgO a PMA of 6.35 \times 10⁻³ J/ $m²$ has been used. The inset represents the variation of the maximum normalized magnetization jump during the spin reorientation transition on K_{s1} when simulated within the model *M*2*i* for two different saturation magnetizations. This inset provides estimation of the anisotropy needed to create the competing anisotropy conditions in MgO/Fe(10 nm)/MgO. Curve 1 uses a constant value of $M_{sat} = 1700 \times 10^{3} A/m$ while curve 2 uses a 25% reduced interfacial values of M_{sat} . The red arrows indicate the magnetic field sweep history.

The model *M*2*i* uses the following uniaxial surface anisotropy K_{s1} distribution in percentages: 65% for the first layer of Fe atoms (K_{s1}) and 30% for the second one. The third layer, following DFT results¹², is assigned an uniaxial in-plane anisotropy of roughly 10% in volume energy of the first layer of Fe atoms. Finally 5% of K_{s1} is assigned to the 4th layer. Generally, those percentages approximately follow the DFT results¹² concentrating K_{s1} within the frst four atomic Fe layers and approximating the surface anisotropy variation with the predicted Friedel-like decay oscillating between PMA and in-plane magnetic anisotropy (IMA). Other versions of the *M*2 model (*M*2*ii* and *M*2*iii*) modify the Friedel-type PMA decay towards a more monotonous PMA variation. Below we describe our main experimental fndings and compare the results with simulations within the above stated approaches *M*1 and *M*2.

Simulation results on a single symmetric Fe layer. Using the above introduced models we have carried out zero temperature micromagnetic simulations of the MgO/Fe/MgO structure shown in Fig. 1d (bottom left) with the optional possibility of coupling between the soft and hard electrodes (bottom right). This paragraph mainly describes the most important simulation results related with the spin reorientation transition in a symmetric MgO/Fe/MgO structure with competing anisotropies. We shall discuss separately the possible infuence of the accumulated structural disorder on the spin reorientation transition through the introduction of a higher order surface anisotropy term.

Figure 5 compares the simulation results obtained with models *M*1 and *M*2 involving three diferent PMA energy distributions keeping the total PMA energy fixed. The introduction of the Friedel-like PMA variation¹² (model *M*2*i*) sofens the reorientation transition with respect to the *M*2*ii*–*iii* models. Although the *M*1 PMA distribution also sofens the reorientation transition, it reduces the non-volatility of the zero feld magnetic state, in contrast to the experiment.

The inset to Fig. 5 shows that the magnetization flip does not happen for the PMA values below approximately $K_{s1}=5\times10^{-3}$ J/m². On the one side, to reproduce the observed magnetization flip between the near in-plane and the near out of plane magnetizations, modeling has to use K_{s1} values not exceeding approximately 6.4×10^{-3} J/m². These PMA values are somewhat bigger than those provided by DFT^{12} calculations. For the surface anisotropy exceeding 6.4×10^{-3} J/m² a reorientation transition tends to take place directly between two nearly perpendicular magnetization states. Tis means without locking the magnetization in the intermediate, close to in-plane state. For confirmation see inset of Fig. 5 showing a second upturn in the magnetization jump. The Supplemental Fig. 2 shows that the spin fip transition is rather weakly afected by the presence of the Fe layer cubic anisotropy. Tis demonstrates that it is mainly a demagnetization energy contribution which competes with PMA to provide the spin reorientation transition.

Our experimental results point towards a relatively weak coupling between the MgO/Fe/MgO layer and the hard Fe/Co electrode. Indeed, simulations show that the in-plane to out of plane spin reorientation transition could be afected by either ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic coupling between free and sensing electrodes (see Supplemental Fig. 3).

Discussion

The observed low temperature non-volatile perpendicular magnetization state in 10 nm thick Fe(100) layers could be a specifc feature of ferromagnetic flms with competing PMA and IMA. Tat is to say with thickness few times exceeding the critical one where a perpendicular magnetic state becomes the ground state. We used a hard ferromagnetic layer to sense the efect. Nevertheless one may anticipate that a reorientation transition could be also detected by measuring the anisotropic magnetoresistance at sufficiently low temperatures through the application of magnetic felds along two diferent (in-plane or out of plane) directions and with the current directed within the flm plane.

The observed reorientation transition shows two remarkable properties at low temperature: non-volatility and an asymmetric magnetization response to the out of plane external feld. Simulations taking into account PMA have reproduced the experimentally observed non-volatility. However the presence of the magnetization asymmetry was not reproduced in the case of symmetric MgO/Fe/MgO structures. The magnetization asymmetry points towards some net field acting perpendicularly to the interface direction. This breaking symmetry could originate from diferences in the crystalline disorder at the two MgO/Fe interfaces and will be discussed separately below.

Sources of discrepancy between experiment and simulations. Despite the general qualitative agreement between experiment and simulations, a certain number of open questions remain yet to be answered. Our simulations show that the magnetization fip occurs at felds around 1–2 kOe with the magnetization afer the flip being not fully perpendicular to the interface (Fig. 5). The switching field is nearly independent on the upper saturation feld when varied in the range 3.5–7 kOe (not shown). On the other side, the experiments reveal a near in-plane to near out of plane spin fip at about or below 1 kOe (Fig. 2c). A diferent issue is the reported PMA value needed to reproduce the abrupt magnetization switch in the perpendicular magnetic feld. Tis value is somewhat larger than previously reported.

Several factors, not present in the simulations, could be responsible for such diferences. Among them are the (i) presence of defects, (ii) a modifed interfacial saturation magnetization and/or (iii) the presence of fnite temperature in the experiments, among others. Some reduction of the lateral size of the simulated structure afects competing anisotropies leading to the suppression of the robustness in the simulation results. Our discussion is centered mainly on physical effects and omits the possible influence of chemical bonding on PMA²⁵, because the latest numerical studies minimize the effect of Fe-O p -*d* hybridization on the PMA²⁶.

Let us focus on the possible infuence of the electrodes coupling on the results. If the hard FM layer was magnetostatically and/or weakly exchange coupled to the soft Fe layer under study, this would give rise to a more complex magnetization reversal (see Supplemental Fig. 3) with other possible states²⁷ well beyond those simple three relative magnetization states observed and discussed above for the uncoupled MgO/Fe/MgO.

The presence of asymmetrically located interfacial defects could lead to higher order contributions to the PMA28. Among other possible sources of the discrepancies could be the value of the efective Fe moment in the proximity to the Fe/MgO interface. Some reports point towards interfacial magnetic moments at ferromagnet/ oxide interfaces enhanced up to 25%^{29,30}. Our simulations, however, show that the critical values of the surface anisotropy (i.e. K_{s1} values) needed for the spin reorientation transition approach towards those obtained by DFT, once we reduced the saturation magnetization at both interfaces (see insert in Fig. 5).

An additional discrepancy in the PMA values could be the lattice mismatch (stress) providing interfacial electric fields³¹ and stronger pinning of interfacial magnetic moments³². Just as small as a 0.5% reduction of the lattice parameter is expected to increase K_{s1} from $(1-2) \times 10^{-3}$ J/m² to $(5-6) \times 10^{-3}$ J/m² at the MgO/FeCo interface. Moreover, the PMA for the individual Fe atoms deposited on MgO(100) thin films could increase K_{s1} in the same order of magnitude³³. So far the PMA has been investigated in about $1-2$ nm thick ferromagnetic layers because only ultrathin magnetically soft layers provided conditions for the room temperature operation of MTJs with PMA³⁴.

Possible origin of the out of plane bias field. Below we discuss in more detail the possible origin of the observed perpendicular magnetization switching asymmetry. The two possible scenarios sketched in Fig. 6a are based on the diference in the interfacial disorder between the bottom and the top Fe/MgO interfaces. From the one side, such structural disorder could introduce a component of the Rashba feld perpendicular to the interface³⁰ which should be different at two interfaces with different structural disorder. From the other side, we have already discussed that from the crystallographic point of view, the quality of the bottom and top MgO barrier should not be similar. The reason is that when growing MgO on bottom V(001), the V-(001)-MgO(001) lattice mismatch is 2%, smaller than the Fe(001)-MgO(001) mismatch (4%) implicated when growing the top MgO barrier on middle Fe. As a consequence, the plastic relaxation limit thickness of MgO will be smaller when grown on Fe than on V^{35} . Both barriers have nominal 2 nm thickness, well below the relaxation limit, so dislocations clearly occur but the dislocation density in the top barrier, grown on Fe, will be larger. This has direct consequences on symmetry fltering, the defects promoting additional tunneling channels and therefore increasing the top barrier transparency.

As a consequence, a higher (e.g. second) order interfacial uniaxial anisotropy K_{s2} could show up differently at two distinctly disordered interfaces. Already back in 1994 Dieny and Vedyayev showed analytically³⁶ that spatial fuctuations of the flm thickness with Ks1 being constant, and period of the fuctuations lower than the exchange length of the ferromagnet may lead to a higher K_{s2} cos⁴ (Θ) contribution to the PMA in addition to the K_{s1} cos² (Θ) term. Here Θ is the angle between magnetization and perpendicular to the interface axis. Such possibility has been corroborated by a recent report²⁸ showing that magnetization reversal could be substantially modified for opposite K_{s1} and K_{s2} signs.

Within these lines, we have carried out detailed simulations in order to verify the possible influence of the K_{52} surface anisotropy term on the magnetization reversal. Figure 6b describes the modified simulations carried out within Model 2(i) where the competing anisotropies K_{s1} and K_{s2} have opposite signs. The K_{s2} anisotropy has been introduced only at the upper Fe/MgO interface, more disordered by the growth history. For the negative *K*₅₂ values exceeding few times K_{s1} , we observed a strong asymmetry in the hysteresis cycle magnetization switch from the near in-plane to the near out of plane direction which resembles the experiment.

Figure 6. The left side of part (a) explains the presence of the net Rashba field component perpendicular to the interface as a consequence of the diference in the upper vs. bottom longitudinal electric feld components. Tis is due to the different degree of disorder at the interfaces. The right part in (a) explains an alternative possibility for the appearance of the second order surface anisotropy at the more disordered (top) interface. Part (**b**) shows two simulated magnetization loops (within model *M*2*i*) with diferent relations between the second order and the interfacial anisotropy terms. The coloured arrows (related to the blue and red cycles respectively) show the magnetic cycle step by step sweep. Part (**c**) shows how the asymmetry of the positive (*Hcp*) and negative (*Hcn*) coercive felds, corresponding to the transition between the near in-plane and near out of plane magnetizations, emerges with the increase of the relative contribution of the second order anisotropy at the Fe/MgO interface. The point marked as a star shows a negative coercive field out of the actual field range.

Figure 6c shows how the asymmetry in the positive (in-plane to out of plane) coercive field H_{cp} and the second jump (negative in-plane to out of plane) coercive field H_{cn} vary with increasing K_{s2}/K_{s1} ratio. The last (maximum negative) switching feld value is taken as just exceeding the maximum negative applied feld. Tis is because the in-plane/out of plane transition does not take place in that case (within the feld range used) and magnetization just returns along the same trajectory, representing a strong asymmetry in field magnetization response. This is qualitatively similar to the one observed experimentally. Although the asymmetry in spin reorientation transition appears only for $K_{s2}/K_{s1} > 5$, the critical condition for the relation between the corresponding energy contributions is around 0.2–0.4. This is because the reorientation transition takes place at angles about $\Theta \approx 1.37$ rad where the relation between angular dependent factors is $[\cos^4(\Theta)] \ll [\cos^2(\Theta)]$. We note that a more uniform distribution of the *K_{s2}* along the four (instead of the single) interfacial cells does not alter substantially the simulation results.

One could also speculate on the above mentioned perpendicular component of the Rashba feld induced by the oxygen defects inside the MgO and/or lattice mismatch at the Fe/MgO interface. Both factors could give rise to a local in-plane component of the electric feld *Eper* in addition to the well-established perpendicular interfacial electric field E_{per} . Since the Rashba field B_R is proportional to the cross product of electron momentum k and electric feld *E*, and these depend on the local interface disorder, each of the Fe/MgO interfaces could generate locally different Rashba field components perpendicular to the interface B_{Rx} . Indeed, in the real growth conditions, the interfacial defects concentrations and their type should be diferent for the bottom (MgO/Fe) and the upper (Fe/ MgO) interfaces. One therefore could envisage on average a diference in the perpendicular components of the corresponding Rashba fields as sketched in the left part of Fig. 6a. The presence of such bias field could explain the existence of the feld induced reorientation transition for one of the feld directions only (at least within the feld range under study).

Conclusions

An abrupt magnetic feld induced transition between in-plane and out of plane magnetization states has been observed and investigated experimentally through magnetoresistance and by simulations in MgO/Fe/MgO layers with competing anisotropies. At sufficiently low temperatures (below 50 K), the out of plane magnetization state becomes asymmetric in feld and non-volatile, most probably due to the diference in disorder between the two Fe interfaces. The presence of three different remanent magnetic states in V/MgO/Fe/MgO/Fe/Co MTJs, potentially controlled by the electric field³¹, could be a key property in the design and fabrication of new types of spintronic and superconducting spintronic devices with multilevel characteristics. The effects we observed in micron size magnetic tunnel junctions are a consequence of competing in-plane and out-of-plane anisotropies. Therefore, probing similar magnetization spin reorientation experiments on large (millimeter size) unpatterned flms would require tunning of the Fe layer thickness in order to fnd other conditions for the competing in-plane and out-of-plane anisotropies. Once such conditions are found for the extended flms, using a vector magnetometer allowing preparatory in-plane saturation of magnetization would beneft, in our view, clear observation of the out of plane spin reorientation transition.

Methods

Sample growth. The basic system under investigation, MgO/Fe(10 nm)/MgO, represents a 10 nm thick $Fe(100)$ soft layer interfaced by two 2 nm thick MgO(100) layers. The Fe layer between the two MgO barriers is continuous, as checked by *in-situ* RHEED and *ex*-*situ* AFM experiments. Moreover, afer the growth of the frst barrier it has been annealed for atomic-level fattening, as proved by *in*-*situ* RHEED. Tis insures model quality MgO/Fe/MgO interfaces. The MTJs were patterned by UV photolithography and Ar etching to an area of 20 \times 20 μ m². The magnetic state of the Fe layer has been probed through TMR measurements, as it is interfaced via two MgO barriers by a magnetically hard (10 nm thick Fe and 20 nm thick Co) layer on one side and by a 40 nm thick normal metal Vanadium layer on the other. The full layer sequence is $V(40 \text{ nm})/MgO(2 \text{ nm})/$ Fe(10 nm)/MgO(2 nm)/Fe(10 nm)/Co(20 nm), represented in Fig. 1d. All layers were deposited by molecular beam epitaxy at room temperature and ultra high vacuum conditions (10[−]11mbar). Details of the sample growth can be found in ref.²⁰. The DC resistive measurements have been carried out at low bias (5 mV) at temperatures down to 5 K (i.e. above the superconducting critical temperature of Vanadium) using a JANIS He³ cryostat equipped with a home-made superconducting vector magnet. The room temperature DC resistive measurements have been carried out in zero bias limit in a less shielded system which accounts for the apparently higher measurement noise in that case. Details of the main low temperature experimental setup were published previously24.

Simulation methods. The magnetic field dependence of the magnetization has been simulated at $T = 0K$ by using MuMax3 code³⁷. The left part of the sketch shown in Fig. 1d zooms the simulated free soft Fe layer interfaced by two MgO barriers. The right part in Fig. 1d shows both soft (Fe) and hard (Fe/Co) ferromagnets with the last one being fixed in-plane. The parameters used for Fe are: saturation magnetization M_{sat} = 1700 \times 10³ *A*/*m*, exchange stiffness $A_{exch} = 21 \times 10^{-12}$ J/m, damping $\alpha = 0.02$ and cubic anisotropy $K_c = 4.8 \times 10^4$ J/m³. Interfacial layers with PMA include the surface anisotropy first order term K_{s1} and (separately discussed) a second order term *K_{s2}* anisotropy. The results have been confirmed to be independent on whether the MgO cells were represented as vacuum or as a weakly (10⁻⁷) diamagnetic material. The parameters used for Cobalt are: M_{sat} =1400 × 10³A/m, $A_{exch}=30\times10^{-12}$ J/m and $\alpha=0.02$. To approach the extensive in-plane dimension (in comparison with the thickness), the in-plane size of the simulated sample is set to 50×50 nm² with periodic boundary conditions. The space was discretized in $16\times16\times147$ cells. This discretization has been chosen because it allows us to introduce in the micromagnetic problem the diferent models of interface anisotropies.

References

- 1. Johnson, M. T., Bloemenz, P. J. H., den Broeder, F. J. A. & de Vries, J. J. Magnetic anisotropy in metallic multilayers. *Rep. Prog. Phys*. **59** (1996).
- 2. Dieny, B. & Chshiev, M. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at transition metal/oxide interfaces and applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 025008 (2017).
- 3. Bruno, P. & Renard, J.-P. Magnetic Surface Anisotropy of Transition Metal Ultrathin Films. *Appl. Phys. A* **49**, 499–506 (1989).
- 4. Bruno, P. Tight-binding approach to the orbital magnetic moment and magnetocrystalline anisotropy of transition-metal monolayers. *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 1 (1988).
- 5. Ngo, D.-T. *et al*. Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy and the magnetization process in CoFeB/Pd multilayer flms. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **47**, 445001 (2014).
- 6. Ikeda, S. *et al*. A perpendicular-anisotropy CoFeBMgO magnetic tunnel junction. *Nature Materials* **9**, 721 (2010).
- 7. Leutenantsmeyer, J. C. *et al*. Spin-Transfer Torque Switching at Ultra Low Current Densities. *Materials Transactions* **56**, 1323 (2015)
- 8. Lau, Y.-C., Betto, D., Rode, K., Coey, J. M. D. & Stamenov, P. Spinorbit torque switching without an external feld using interlayer exchange coupling. *Nature Nanotechnology* **11**, 758 (2016).
- 9. Igarashi, J., Llandro, J., Sato, H., Matsukura, F. & Ohno, H. Magnetic-feld-angle dependence of coercivity in CoFeB/MgO magnetic tunnel junctions with perpendicular easy axis. *Applied Physics Letters* **111**, 132407 (2017).
- 10. Gottwald, M. *et al*. Co/Ni(111) superlattices studied by microscopy, x-ray absorption, and ab initio calculations. *Physical Review* **B86**, 014425 (2012).
- 11. Yang, H. X., Chshiev, M. & Dieny, B. First-principles investigation of the very large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe—MgO and Co—MgO interfaces. *Phys. Rev.* **B84**, 054401 (2011).
- 12. Hallal, A., Yang, H. X., Dieny, B. & Chshiev, M. Anatomy of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in Fe/MgO magnetic tunnel junctions: First-principles. *Physical Review* **B88**, 184423 (2013).
- 13. Koo, J. W. *et al*. Large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe/MgO interface. *Applied Physcis Letters* **103**, 192401 (2013).
- 14. Lambert, C.-H. *et al*. Quantifying perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at the Fe-MgO(001) interface. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 122410 (2013).
- 15. Koziol-Rachwal, A. *et al*. Room-temperature perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of MgO/Fe/MgO ultrathin flms. *J. Appl. Phys.* **114**, 224307 (2013).
- 16. Hallal, A., Dieny, B. & Chshiev, M. Impurity-induced enhancement of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in Fe/MgO tunnel junctions. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 064422 (2014).
- 17. Fu, Y. *et al*. Temperature dependence of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in CoFeB thin flms. *Applied Physics Letters* **108**, 142403 (2016)
- 18. Gabor, M. S., Petrisor., T. Jr., Pop, O., Colis, S. & Tiusan, C. Temperature dependence of the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in Ta/Co2FeAl/MgO structures probed by Anomalous Hall Efect. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **392**, 79 (2015).
- 19. Guerrero, R. *et al*. High bias voltage efect on spin-dependent conductivity and shot noise in carbon-doped Fe(001)/MgO(001)/ Fe(001)Fe(001)/MgO(001)/Fe(001) magnetic tunnel junctions. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 132504 (2007).
- 20. Tiusan, C. *et al*. Spin tunnelling phenomena in single-crystal magnetic tunnel junction systems. *J. Condensed Matter* **19**, 165201 (2007).
- 21. Belmeguenai, M. *et al*. Co2FeAl thin flms grown on MgO substrates: Correlation between static, dynamic, and structural properties. *Physical Review* **B87**, 184431 (2013).
- 22. Nistor, L. E. *et al*. Oscillatory interlayer exchange coupling in MgO tunnel junctions with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. *Physical Review* **B81**, 220407R (2010).
- 23. Slonczewski, J. C. Conductance and exchange coupling of two ferromagnets separated by a tunneling barrier. *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 10 (1989).
- 24. Cascales, J. P. *et al*. Controlling Shot Noise in Double-Barrier Magnetic Tunnel Junctions. *Physical Review Letters* **109**, 066601 (2012).
- 25. Mlyńczak, E., Freindl, K., Spiridis, N. & Korecki, J. Epitaxial MgO/Fe(001) and Fe/MgO(001): Structures of the interfaces. *Jour. Appl. Phys.* **113**, 024320 (2013).
- 26. Odkhuu, D., Yun, W. S., Rhim, S. H. & Hong, S. C. Teory of perpendicular magnetocrystalline anisotropy in Fe/MgO(001). *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **414**, 126–131 (2016).
- 27. Ummelen, F. C. *et al*. Controlling the canted state in antiferromagnetically coupled magnetic bilayers close to the spin reorientation transition. *Applied Physcs Letters* **110**, 102405 (2017).
- 28. Timopheev, A. A., Sousa, R., Chshiev, M., Nguyen, H. T. & Dieny, B. Second order anisotropy contribution in perpendicular magnetic tunnel junctions. *Scientifc Reports* **6**, 26877 (2016).
- 29. Jal, E. *et al*. Interface Fe magnetic moment enhancement in MgO/Fe/MgO trilayers. *App. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 092404 (2015).
- 30. Ueno, T. *et al*. Enhanced orbital magnetic moments in magnetic heterostructures with interface perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. *Scientifc Reports* **5**, 14858 (2015).
- 31. Ibrahim, F., Yang, H. X., Hallal, A., Dieny, B. & Chshiev, M. Anatomy of electric feld control of perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe/MgO interfaces. *Physical Review* **B93**, 014429 (2016).
- 32. Koziol-Rachwal, A. *et al*. Magnetism of ultrathin Fe flms in MgO/Fe/MgO in epitaxial structures probed by nuclear resonant scattering of synchrotron radiation. *J. of Appl. Phys.* **113**, 214309 (2013).
- 33. Baumann, S. *et al*. Origin of Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy and Large Orbital Moment in Fe Atoms on MgO. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 237202 (2015).
- 34. Meng, H. *et al*. Low current density induced spin-transfer torque switching in CoFeBMgO magnetic tunnel junctions with perpendicular anisotropy. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **44**, 405001 (2011).
- 35. Bonell, F. *et al*. Infuence of misft dislocations on the magnetoresistance of MgO-based epitaxial magnetic tunnel junctions. *Phys. Rev.* **B82**, 092405 (2010).
- 36. Dieny, B. & Vedyayev, A. Crossover from easy-plane to perpendicular anisotropy in magnetic thin flms: canted anisotropy due to partial coverage or interfacial roughness. *EPL* **25**, 723 (1994).
- 37. Vansteenkiste, A. *et al.* The design and verification of MuMax3. AIP Advances 4, 107133 (2014).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge NVIDIA academic GPU grant program, Raul Villar for critical reading of the manuscript, Jaroslav Fabian and Igor Zutic for discussions on the possible origin of the out of plane Rashba feld and Pablo Andrés, Manuel Martin and Sara García for their help with measurements and simulations at the initial stages. This work has been supported in part by Spanish MINECO (MAT2015-66000-P, EUIN2017-87474), SPINORBIT (MDM-2014-0377) and Comunidad de Madrid (NANOFRONTMAG-CM S2013/MIT-2850). C.T. acknowledges "EMERSPIN" grant ID PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0143, No. UEFISCDI:22/12.07.2017.

Author Contributions

F.G.A. supervised the project and performed numerical simulations. I.M. performed experimental measurements and numerical simulations. The samples growth and photolithography were carried out by C.T. and M.H., M.C. proposed the model explaining symmetry breaking. The paper was written by F.G.A. and I.M. with contributions and comments from all co-authors.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27720-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27720-7)

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Co O Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2018$