

Nanostructuration effect on the mechanical properties of PMMA toughened by a triblock acrylate copolymer using multilayer coextrusion

Juan-Sebastian Montana, Guillaume Miquelard-Garnier, Emmanuel Richaud,

Sebastien Roland

To cite this version:

Juan-Sebastian Montana, Guillaume Miquelard-Garnier, Emmanuel Richaud, Sebastien Roland. Nanostructuration effect on the mechanical properties of PMMA toughened by a triblock acrylate copolymer using multilayer coextrusion. Polymer, 2018, 149, pp.124-133. 10.1016/j.polymer.2018.06.048 hal-01900652

HAL Id: hal-01900652 <https://hal.science/hal-01900652v1>

Submitted on 22 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nanostructuration effect on the mechanical properties of PMMA toughened by a triblock acrylate copolymer using multilayer coextrusion

Juan-Sebastián Montana, Sébastien Roland^{*}, Emmanuel Richaud, Guillaume Miquelard-Garnier*

PIMM, UMR 8006, ENSAM - CNRS - CNAM, HESAM Université, 151 Boulevard de L'Hôpital, 75013, Paris, France

article info

Article history: Received 27 February 2018 Received in revised form 1 June 2018 Accepted 16 June 2018 Available online 18 June 2018

Keywords: Multilayer coextrusion Directed self-assembly Mechanical reinforcement

ABSTRACT

Multilayer coextrusion was used to obtain nanolayered films of self-assembled commercial triblock copolymer poly(methyl methacrylate-b-butyl acrylate-b-methyl methacrylate) (MAM) confined by poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA). A double level of organization is achieved: the obtained films are made of thousands of alternating continuous nanolayers of each component, while within the nanolayers, MAM is self-assembled with a cylindrical morphology. The mechanical properties of the resulting films were compared to those of virgin PMMA and to classically extruded PMMA/MAM blends with the same compositions where no control of the nanostructure can be achieved. It is shown that significant reinforcement can be obtained with the multilayer films compared to virgin PMMA (on the order of 20 times in terms of fracture toughness) but also compared to classical blends, by a factor from 2 to 4. Reinforcing glassy thermoplastics has been a major industrial challenge due to their usually brittle behavior in the temperature range they are used. This industrially scalable one-step process shows promises for the design of nano-laminated organic glasses with high impact resistance.

1. Introduction

Incorporating rubbery particles into glassy thermoplastics has long been used to toughen these usually brittle materials. A reinforcing mechanism based on the formation of crazes initiated from the rubbery particles well-dispersed in the matrix is generally admitted [\[1\]](#page-9-0). The most famous example of such materials is probably high impact polystyrene (HIPS) where a solution of polybutadiene (PB) rubber is dissolved in the styrene monomer so that the rubber forms discrete particles in the polystyrene (PS) during its polymerization, since the two polymers are immiscible with each other. The resulting micro-structure is the so-called and well-known salami morphology, where PS subdomains (occlusions) are dispersed in micron-sized PB phases randomly distributed in the PS matrix [\[2,3](#page-9-0)]. However, because of the size of the micro-domains, PS loses its transparency upon toughening.

Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) is another glassy polymer

whose brittleness may hinder its use for some applications. PMMA being more expensive than PS, and most of its main applications (for example glazing) still requiring high transparency [\[1\]](#page-9-0), rubbery systems leading to smaller typical sizes of the dispersed phase have been developed to avoid the turbidity or loss of transparency observed in HIPS. The refractive index of the rubber must also be taken into account and chosen as close as possible to that of the PMMA (1.49) [[4,5](#page-9-0)]. In consequence, core-shell particles with a rubbery core of a crosslinked copolymer of styrene and butylacrylate and a PMMA shell, having an outer diameter around 250 nm have been developed $[6-9]$ $[6-9]$ $[6-9]$ $[6-9]$ $[6-9]$. Several slightly different core-shell particles involving more layers have since been designed to enhance the toughening [\[10](#page-9-0)]. The reinforcement induced by such particles has been well characterized in the aforementioned references and others [[11,12](#page-9-0)]. Especially, it has been shown that at high speeds such as those occurring when impact resistance is tested, the effect of the particles become negligible and the "reinforced" PMMA displays a brittle fracture similar to the unfilled PMMA one. In other words, the core-shell particles toughened PMMA still displays mechanical properties lower than other glassy thermoplastics such as polycarbonate (PC).

^{*} Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: sebastien.roland@ensam.eu (S. Roland), [guillaume.](mailto:guillaume.miquelardgarnier@lecnam.net) [miquelardgarnier@lecnam.net](mailto:guillaume.miquelardgarnier@lecnam.net) (G. Miquelard-Garnier).

In consequence, a new strategy has been quite recently proposed, based on the enhanced properties that may result from the miniaturization of phases in polymer blends or polymer composites, i.e. nanostructuration [\[13](#page-9-0)]. In the case of PMMA toughening, this strategy implies the use of triblock copolymers with two PMMA end blocks and a rubbery block which is usually an acrylate such as polyethylacrylate or more commonly polybutylacrylate (PBA), having a refraction index very close to the PMMA index (1.46). As PBA and PMMA are immiscible with each other, the blocks will phase separate, and elastomeric nanodomains having dimensions of a few tens of nanometers (depending mainly on the molar mass of the PBA block) will be dispersed in the PMMA matrix. These poly(methyl methacrylate-b-butyl acrylate-b-methyl methacrylate) (MAM) copolymers have been synthesized almost 20 years ago $[14-16]$ $[14-16]$ $[14-16]$ $[14-16]$, their rheological and mechanical properties ("as-prepared" bulk) thoroughly described $[14-17]$ $[14-17]$ $[14-17]$ and later on were developed industrially and commercialized, mainly by Arkema. The equilibrium morphologies of these copolymers have also been studied in details as a function of the triblock composition and taking into account the dispersity [[18\]](#page-9-0).

However, PMMA/MAM blends have not been to the best of our knowledge the subject of many studies yet. One may cite the work by Pinto et al. [[19,20](#page-9-0)] which deals with nanomembranes but discusses the PMMA/MAM (with 36 wt% of PBA block) morphologies obtained by extrusion before foaming. A core-shell structure having dimensions of typically 20 nm has been observed at low MAM concentrations, oriented in the extrusion direction, with the apparition of larger aggregates at higher MAM concentrations.

Still, it is known that the block copolymer morphology can be impacted by the preparation method [\[21,](#page-9-0)[22](#page-10-0)] and that different block copolymer morphologies will display slightly different mechanical properties [[23,24\]](#page-10-0). In addition, it is also well known that the orientation of the block copolymer morphology, usually tuned by different processing conditions, will induce anisotropy in the mechanical properties for the Young's modulus, the elongation at break or the impact resistance $[25-29]$ $[25-29]$ $[25-29]$ $[25-29]$.

In this study, we propose to analyze the effect of a double level of structuration in PMMA/MAM blends, inspired by laminated glass structure, on the mechanical properties of the resulting materials. For that purpose, we use an innovative one-step and industrially scalable process, multilayer coextrusion, which allows the fabrication of nanostructured blends presenting thousands of continuous alternating layers of two polymers with nanometric thicknesses [\[30\]](#page-10-0). The ability to use this processing tool to coextrudate block copolymers with glassy thermoplastics has been demonstrated recently $[31-33]$ $[31-33]$ $[31-33]$ $[31-33]$ despite the fact that these materials are often extruded below their order-disorder transition temperature, i.e. display a high value of elasticity in the extrusion conditions. Korley et al. have been discussing the mechanical properties of PS reinforced by 50 vol% of poly(styrene-b-ethylene/propyleneb-styrene) (SEPS) as a function of processing parameters but have not compared them to those of PS or classically extruded PS/SEPS dry-blends [[32](#page-10-0)]. A recent study in our group [\[34\]](#page-10-0) evidenced that PMMA/MAM nanolayer blends at 90–10 wt% displayed in the asextruded state a cylindrical morphology for a MAM presenting a lamellar equilibrium morphology. In the present article, we will then study both the effect of the blend nanolayered structure and the appearance of a well-controlled morphology for the triblock confined within PMMA layers on the mechanical properties of the material produced. For this purpose, the mechanical properties will be compared at various compositions to those of virgin PMMA and PMMA/MAM blends obtained by a classical dry-blend single-screw extrusion method, presenting a statistical distribution of the reinforcing (elongated) domains and an uncontrolled morphology of the triblock copolymer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Poly(methyl methacrylate-b-butyl acrylate-b-methyl methacrylate) (MAM, PMMA-PBA-PMMA) lamellar triblock copolymer was gratefully supplied by Arkema (Nanostrength[®] trademark), and used as received without further purification. Average molecular weights $M_w = 92.5$ kg/mol, $M_n = 43.2$ kg/mol and dispersity $D_M =$ 2.14 were previously determined [\[34\]](#page-10-0), along with the triblock composition (23 $-54-23$ wt% i.e. a volume fraction of 56% PBA and 44% PMMA using the densities of the two polymers [[18\]](#page-9-0)).

Poly(methyl methacrylate) VM100 was purchased from Altuglas International and used as received as the confining polymer with the aim at reinforcing it with MAM. Its melt flow index (MFI) is 14.5 g/10 min (230 °C/3.8 kg) as provided by Altuglas International. The molecular weight $M_w = 139$ kg/mol and dispersity $D_M = 2.1$ were also determined previously [\[34\]](#page-10-0). The PMMA grade was chosen so the two polymers have similar viscosities at the temperature process (225 °C) and shear rates (3 to 20 s⁻¹).

Prior to extrusion, MAM and PMMA VM100 pellets were separately dried in a SOMOS dry air T20 eco system at 80 °C during 24 hours.

2.2. Films preparation by nanolayer coextrusion

Films were fabricated similarly to those studied previously [\[33,34](#page-10-0)]. Briefly, it consists in adapting a classical tri-layer coextrusion set-up by adding layer multiplying elements (LMEs), with MAM as the middle layer of the tri-layer flow and PMMA as the outer layers. A 30 mm-diameter MAPRE and 20 mm-diameter Scamex extruders were used respectively to set the weight composition at 70% PMMA for 30% MAM (wt%) by adjusting the screw speed of each extruder to control the throughput, which is a classical blend composition for toughening applications of MAM. The whole set-up (extruders, feedblock, and LMEs) temperature was set to 225 °C i.e. below the MAM T_{ODT} [\[33\]](#page-10-0). The theoretical number of alternating layers (N) in the film is determined by the number of LMEs (*n*) from the equation $N = 2^{(n+1)} + 1$. Depending of the targeted thickness of the confined MAM layers, 10 (2049 total layers) LMEs were used at different Draw ratios (Dr). Dr is defined as the ratio of the chill roll speed over extrusion flow rate at flat die and ranges from 1 to 10 (see [Fig. 1\)](#page-3-0). After the flat die, the films were drawn with a chill roll at 80 \degree C.

To investigate the effect of the MAM wt% on the mechanical properties, the films detailed above were compared with multilayer films of PMMA/MAM at 90-10 wt% with 513 total layers (8 LMEs), fabricated as previously described [[34](#page-10-0)]. As will be shown below (see [Table 1](#page-4-0)), the nominal layer thicknesses of $90-10$ wt% films with 513 layers are similar to those of $70-30$ wt% films with 2049 layers at a given Dr.

Finally, pure PMMA and PMMA/MAM 90-10 wt% and 70-30 wt % dry-blended films were obtained through simple single-screw extrusion by setting the Scamex extruder at 225 \degree C, to compare their mechanical properties to those of multilayer films.

For all samples, the typical total film thickness ranges from 100 μ m (high Dr) to 1 mm (low Dr), while the width is typically comprised between 5 and 10 cm and the length depends only on the fact that polymer pellets are added to the extruders.

2.3. Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

AFM tapping mode images were obtained by using a Multimode microscope driven by a Nanoscope V controller (Veeco)

Fig. 1. (a.) Schematic of the nanolayer coextrusion setup and (b.) cross section AFM image of a coextruded film of PMMA and MAM at 70-30 wt%.

and operated under ambient atmospheric conditions. The tips (silicon, spring constant 40 N/m, oscillation frequency ca. 300 kHz) were supplied by BudgetSensors and have a curvature radius below 10 nm. Topographic, amplitude, and phase images are acquired simultaneously, the last one allowing the microphase separation of each polymer block to be identified. Images were recorded with a resolution of 512×512 pixels and at a scan rate of 0.8 Hz. These settings yield a pixel size of 4 nm. In phase images, confining PMMA appears in gold and confined MAM appears in brown with golden spots corresponding to the PBA and PMMA blocks, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, AFM is efficient to characterize the continuity of the layers in the films but does not have the resolution to study the MAM morphology within the layers.

2.4. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The morphology of MAM copolymer within the extruded films was studied by TEM in the x axis (extrusion direction, ED) and in the ν axis (lateral direction, LD) by using respectively the electron beam parallel and perpendicular to the ED. TEM micrographs were taken on a Zeiss 912 Ω operated at an accelerated voltage of 80 kV equipped with a side-mounted $2k \times 2k$ Veleta CDD camera (Olympus). Prior to the observation, the pre-faced samples of extruded films were immerged in a 1% RuO₄ solution generated in situ by combining sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) with ruthenium (III) chloride ($RuCl₃xH₂O$) for 6 hours as described previously [[34](#page-10-0)]. Ruthenium tetroxide stains preferentially the PBA block, giving darkest colors in the TEM analysis while PMMA appears brighter in the images. The stained samples were then sectioned at random locations perpendicular to their surface with an ultramicrotome 2088 Ultrotome V (LKB) equipped with a Diatome diamond knife at a cutting rate of 1 mm/s. Thin slices of 70–80 nm were obtained and placed in TEM grids for observation. At least 8 images for the $70-30$ wt% films and 15 images for the $90-10$ wt% films were collected from different slices.

2.5. Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

SAXS measurements of the multilayer extruded films in the x , y (extrusion and lateral directions respectively, as defined in the previous section), and z (transverse direction, TD) axes were performed on the high brilliance SWING beam line at the Soleil Synchrotron facility, with a monochromator set at 12 keV [[35](#page-10-0)]. Using a CCD detector at 2 m from the sample, diffraction patterns were recorded for reciprocal spacing $q = 4 \pi \sin(\theta)/\lambda$ varying between 0.005 and 0.4 Å, i.e. repetitive distances $d = 2\pi/q$ ranging from 1256 to 15 Å. By using Foxtrot software, 1D SAXS curves were obtained by circular averaging of the full 2D images (0 to 360 $^{\circ}$), and for some non-isotropic patterns by averaging \pm 45 $^{\circ}$ of the horizontal and vertical axis. 10 images were recorded for each sample and 1D curves were averaged in order to obtain 1 curve for each sample.

Blends characterizations were performed with a lab SAXS system from Xenocs. The apparatus consists of an X-ray source using a copper anode $K\alpha$ radiation, the wavelength being 1.54 Å⁻¹, a monochromator, a collimator, a MAR300 2D detector, and a computer equipped with the MAR300 software. Silver behenate standard reference material was used for sample-to-detector distance calibration, which was determined to be 1250 mm. The exposure time was 15000 s. The 2D patterns were also treated using Foxtrot software. The 2D X-ray diffraction patterns were integrated along the azimuthal direction from isotropic samples.

2.6. Uniaxial tensile tests

For a given set of film processing conditions, at least 6 tests were performed under controlled atmospheric conditions $(23 \degree C,$ $RH = 50\%)$ on an Instron 5966 testing machine equipped with a 10 kN cell and a local strain video extensometer. Crosshead speed was set to 5 mm/min for all tests. Dumbbell shape specimens with a standard useful zone (20 x 4 mm²) according to ISO 527–2 (5 A) were prepared with a dumbbell specimen die cutter. All tests were performed up to films rupture so as to measure the elongation at break (ε_r) .

3. Results

Nanolayer PMMA/MAM films were successfully extruded below MAM's T_{ODT} and continuous layers were obtained with different thicknesses by varying the draw ratio. The nominal layer thickness can be determined knowing the total thickness of the film (varied by changing the draw ratio), the volume proportion of the two polymers and the number of layers (as function of the number of LMEs used) according to the equation (Equation (1)):

$$
t_{th \text{ MAM}} = t_{film} \times \frac{\text{vol\% MAM}}{n_{MAM \text{ layers}}}
$$
 (1)

Films with targeted theoretical thicknesses varying from 70 to 500 nm for the confined layers were prepared. The mean experimental layer thickness has been obtained by measuring a small amount of the MAM layers within the films either using AFM or TEM using the protocol described in previous works [[34,36\]](#page-10-0).

The results are summarized in Table 1. The mean experimental thicknesses varied from 75 to 485 nm, with values close to the targeted theoretical ones. The deviation between mean experimental and theoretical layer thickness may either be due to slight modifications of the composition due to small changes in the extruders throughput and/or to the sampling size (i.e. the number of layers measured in the sample compared to the total number of layers) used to determine the mean [[36](#page-10-0)]. Some heterogeneity in

draw direction), have been thoroughly described previously [\[34\]](#page-10-0). Unfortunately, the images obtained for the $70-30$ wt% films are less conclusive, due to a poorer contrast, especially in ED, that cannot be outcome by modifying the staining protocol. If PMMA lines may still be seen in LD, it is hard to distinguish the nanostructure within the layers in ED, which may either be PMMA lines (meaning lamellar structures) or PMMA dots (cylinders).

To discuss this in further details, let us now move on to the SAXS measurements.

SAXS analyses were run on the samples following the procedure described in materials and methods. The results for the multilayer films show typical anisotropic patterns in the x , y , and z directions for relatively thick layers [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0)). It appears that the patterns at for the 70–30 wt% films at $Dr = 1$ are somewhat different from what is observed in the case of 90–10 wt% films [\[34\]](#page-10-0). While the patterns for the $90-10$ wt% films indicate a cylindrical morphology (see espe-cially the hexagonal pattern in ED in [Fig. 3](#page-5-0)), the $70-30$ wt% films patterns could correspond to a lamellar morphology as they show a transverse isotropic morphology. Nonetheless, the 70-30 wt% films patterns at $Dr = 2$ (see Figure SI2 in the supplementary material section) and $Dr = 8$ (see [Fig. 3](#page-5-0)) reflect an anisotropic morphology close to the one observed for the $90-10$ wt% films, which could correspond to cylinders oriented in the extrusion direction. Indeed, the concentrated intensities respectively at the equatorial and at the pole for TD and LD patterns and the circular ring for ED show that linear objects are aligned in the flow direction. This effect is

Table 1

Mean experimental (m.) (with standard deviation in brackets), theoretical (th.) layer thicknesses (nm) and difference (d.) between mean and theoretical values ((m. - th.)/ $(th.) \times 100$) for all multilayer samples.

% wt (PMMA/MAM)	Dr												10			
		LME m. (nm)	th. (nm)	d(%)	m , (nm)	th. (nm)	d(%)	$m_{\rm r}(nm)$	th. (nm)	d(x)	m. (nm)	th. (nm)	d(%)	$m_{\rm s}(nm)$	th. (nm)	d(%)
90/10		471 (162)	527	10.7	296 (53)	316	6.4	168 (27	186	9.2	117 (21	127	8.1	91 (18)	82	10.9
70/30	10	486 (110)	513	5.2	221 (78)	300	26.4	168 (30)	158	6.4	100 15)	105	5.0	74 (19)	70	5.1

terms of individual layer thicknesses within the sample has also been observed, similar to what was obtained in our previous work [\[34\]](#page-10-0), which was attributed by Korley [\[31](#page-10-0)] to the effects of elasticity of the BCPs extruded below T_{ODT} .

Structural characterization of the 70-30 wt% PMMA/MAM multilayer and blend films was performed by TEM and SAXS measurements.

[Fig. 2](#page-5-0) shows the different representative morphologies of MAM within the extruded films at $Dr = 1$. Similar observations were made at higher Dr, i.e. $Dr = 8$ (see Supplementary Material, Figure SI1). Single screw dry-blended films display MAM nodules at the micronscale slightly oriented in the lateral direction (LD). Some nodules, especially in the $90-10$ wt% blends (see [Fig. 2](#page-5-0)b and d), are broken, creating droplets with typical size of 100 nm showing higher orientation at high drawing levels. The blend structure is reminiscent of the HIPS structure with smaller typical sizes: subdomains of PMMA (occlusions) can be identified within larger elastomeric (PBA) domains dispersed within the PMMA matrix.

The expected multilayer structure of MAM and PMMA is achieved and observed in the coextruded films for both compositions. Concerning the $90-10$ wt% multilayer films, grey dots (PMMA) surrounded by darker PBA regions can be seen within the layers in ED ([Fig. 2a](#page-5-0)), while PMMA lines are observed in LD [\(Fig. 2](#page-5-0)a'). These observations, suggesting a cylindrical morphology (more precisely PMMA cylinders within the softer PBA phase, aligned in the flow/ more pronounced when the layer thickness decreases, i.e. at high draw ratios (Dr).

To explain these results, let us recall that its high dispersity combined to its relatively low molecular weight makes the atequilibrium morphology of the MAM used in the study close to the lamellar-cylinder transition [[34](#page-10-0)]. Shear induced by multilayer coextrusion can then favor, if sufficient enough (which may not be the case for the 70–30 wt% films at low Dr, i.e. the thickest initial MAM layer in the tri-layer flow before the LMEs), the cylindrical morphology over the lamellar one.

1D scattering profiles were obtained by integrating SAXS patterns in the three directions. The spectra concerning the $70-30$ wt% composition in all directions and treated with a Lorentz-corrected function (q as function of $I \times q^2$) are presented in [Fig. 4.](#page-6-0) Characteristic q values were obtained by measuring minima of the spectra second derivative. These spectra allow observing the inter-domains behavior explained above and summarized in [Table 2](#page-6-0). Characteristic distances d_E , d_L , and d_T (for Extrusion, Lateral, and Transverse direction respectively) were calculated using the relation $d=2\pi/q$ and these values are given in [Table 2](#page-6-0).

It can be observed that d_E and d_L follow the same trend when the layer thickness decreases: firstly, a value of \sim 33 - 34 nm is found for thicker layers, then these inter-domains distances drop at $Dr = 2$ (~ 30 nm), followed by an increase at higher Dr, reaching values close to the initial one (\sim 31–33 nm). However, d_T values

Fig. 2. Cross section TEM images of PMMA and MAM films at $Dr = 1$ with compositions of 90-10 wt% (a, a', and b) and 70-30 wt% (c, c' and d), obtained via multilayer coextrusion (in the extrusion direction: a and c; in the lateral direction: a' and c') and dry blend (in the extrusion direction: b and d).

Fig. 3. SAXS patterns in x (ED), y (LD), and z (TD) directions of nanolayered films with 513 layers (90-10 wt% and 8 LME) and with 2049 layers (70-30 wt% and 10 LME) from (Dr = 1) thicker to $(Dr = 8)$ thinner layer thicknesses.

Fig. 4. Lorentz-corrected ($Iq²$ as function of q) 1D scattering profiles in (a.) x (ED), (b.) y (LD), and (c.) z (TD) directions of nanolayered films with 2049 layers at different layer thicknesses. The arrows point to the q and 2q peak maxima.

Table 2

Distances ($d = 2\pi/q$, nm) corresponding to the first peak from the SAXS 1D scattering profiles in x (ED), y (LD), and z (TD) directions of nanolayered films at different layer thicknesses.

Dr	ED d_E (nm)	LD \mathbf{d}_{L} (nm)	TD $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{T}}$ (nm)
	33.3	34.4	35.5
2	29.7	29.7	36.7
4	30.5	31.4	35.5
8	31.4	32.3	36.7
10	31.6	33.3	36.9

remain almost constant at \sim 36 nm whatever the Dr (hence the layer thicknesses). These results are similar to previous observations on the $90-10$ wt% films [\[34\]](#page-10-0).

Assuming a perfect cylindrical order, peaks at $\sqrt{3}q$ and $\sqrt{7}q$ should be visible in Fig. 4. However, though the peak $2q$ is well identified, the peaks broadness does not allow to clearly see them, except perhaps at high Dr for $\sqrt{3}q$. This broadness is typical in such systems, and similar to what has been observed in our previous work and by other authors on both MAM and multilayer samples [\[18](#page-9-0)[,32,34](#page-10-0)].

SAXS analyses of dry-blended films were also performed in the x , y , and z directions showing a privileged orientation of MAM nodules in the ED and are shown in [Fig. 5](#page-7-0). Because of the pattern similarities, only the 70–30 wt% composition is presented. It is seen that the concentrated intensities in the TD and LD patterns respectively at the equatorial and at the pole are less pronounced than in multilayer system because of the disordered structures (see [Fig. 5\)](#page-7-0). Here, MAM morphology in ED is more difficult to interpret with a more or less isotropic pattern which becomes more pronounced at the poles when the film thickness decreases. Nevertheless, these patterns confirm the lack of order in MAM morphology observed by TEM micrographs. Characteristic distances between 32 and 37 nm can be identified depending on the observation direction and the draw ratio, similar to values obtained for the multilayer films.

The mechanical response of the different compositions for the blends has then been studied by uniaxial tensile tests. [Fig. 6](#page-7-0) shows the stress-strain curves for neat PMMA, dry blends and multilayer films in the x and y directions (only one representative curve of the six specimens tested is plotted). $90-10$ wt% films (i.e. containing 5.4 wt% of rubbery phase) display a slight increase in the elongation at break (ε_r) compared to neat PMMA.

However, it is needed to increase the amount of rubbery phase to really see its effect on the mechanical properties. Hence, at $70-30$ wt% (16.1 wt% of rubbery phase), the ductility of the resulting material is much improved over neat PMMA and $90-10$ wt% films. Moreover, at these proportions of rubbery phase, multilayer films show higher values of ε_r than the dry blends. This behavior may be explained by well-organized structure of the multilayer films at a double level: continuous alternating submicronic layers with MAM cylinders within the confined layers.

[Fig. 7a](#page-8-0) shows the Young's modulus (E) for all the films studied as a function of Dr. E values of PMMA neat films remain almost constant (\sim 3.3 and \sim 3 GPa in ED and LD respectively) whatever the draw ratio, which is not surprising (at these relatively low values of draw ratios, hardening due to strong chain orientation in the extrusion direction should not be expected). However, these films become more brittle at high Dr in both directions (lower values of ε_r in [Fig. 7b](#page-8-0)).

Unsurprisingly, the modulus of the films decreases with an increasing proportion of soft phase, but the differences between dry blends and multilayer films seem slight if existent. The elongation at break ε_r is also plotted in the figure. As expected it shows a strong increase with the amount of soft block in the blend. The increase is very small for $90-10$ wt% composition but it becomes as high as a factor of 4 over neat PMMA for 70–30 wt% films. Large dispersity in the ε_r values at $Dr = 1$ for the 70–30 wt% blend films may originate from the random and disordered morphology of the MAM nodules. However, for these films, a clear elongation at break improvement for multilayer films is observed over dry blends by roughly a factor of 2, in both directions and whatever the Dr.

The previous results can be summarized in [Fig. 8](#page-8-0) plotting the fracture toughness for the different compositions and fabrication processes studied. It was calculated by integrating the stress-strain curves of each of the six samples for every composition and Dr studied. It is shown that, for sufficient amount of soft phases in the

Fig. 5. SAXS patterns in x (ED), y (LD), and z (TD) directions of dry blend films from ($Dr = 1$) thicker to ($Dr = 8$) thinner total film thicknesses.

Fig. 6. Typical stress-strain curves in x (ED) and y (LD) directions of the films: neat PMMA, 90-10 wt% dry blend and nanolayered, and 70-30 wt% dry blend and nanolayered, from (a.) thicker to (b.) thinner total film thicknesses ($Dr = 1$ and $Dr = 8$ respectively).

blends (i.e. for 70-30 wt% blend having 16.1 wt% of rubbery phase), the multilayer structure improves significantly (by more than a decade in the extrusion direction and in the lateral one) the fracture toughness over neat PMMA. More surprisingly the reinforcement is also more efficient than the one obtained for the dry blend at the same composition (by roughly a factor of 2 in the extrusion direction, and by a factor of 4 in the lateral one).

The obtained values for fracture toughness are similar to those found by Korley on PS/SEPS 50-50 vol% multilayer films with a SEPS having 21 vol% of styrene, which means roughly 40 wt% of rubbery

Fig. 7. Measured (a.) Young's modulus and (b.) Elongation at break (ϵ _r) in x (ED) and y (LD) directions of the films: neat PMMA, 90-10 wt% dry blend and nanolayered, and 70-30 wt% dry blend and nanolayered, at different draw ratios (Dr).

Fig. 8. Fracture toughness as function of draw ratio for the films in ED (a.) and LD (b.): reference PMMA, 90-10 wt% dry blend and nanolayered, and 70-30 wt% dry blend and nanolayered.

phase in the multilayer films. However, in this study no reference values (for PS or classically blended films) were provided [\[32\]](#page-10-0).

Then, a fracture toughness increase with decreasing thicknesses

of the layers is not observed in the extrusion direction for the PMMA/MAM ($Dr = 10$ corresponding to nominal thicknesses of 70 nm and $Dr = 1$ to thicknesses of ~500 nm, see [Table 1\)](#page-4-0). It is possible

that in these systems, the number of layers (i.e. the number of interfaces) rather than their individual thickness is the relevant parameter for the observed reinforcement. More surprisingly, the fracture toughness measured for $70-30$ wt% blends in the lateral direction does not display much lower values than in the extrusion direction (~3 MJ/m 3 compared to ~6 MJ/m 3), contrary to what was observed by Korley (as high as an order of magnitude difference for films with thinner layers), while the block morphology in PS/SEPS multilayer films was also identified as cylindrical [\[32\]](#page-10-0). Moreover, in the PMMA/MAM films, the increase in toughness when compared to dry-blend films is even much pronounced in the lateral direction than in the extrusion direction, as mentioned previously. As of now, a deep understanding of these observed differences in behaviors for somewhat similar multilayer systems is still lacking, and a more detailed comparison of the rupture mechanisms (crazes, shear bands …) would be needed.

4. Conclusion

In this study, the effect of a double level of structuration in a blend of a glassy matrix (PMMA) reinforced by a copolymer triblock (MAM) with a rubbery middle-block on the mechanical response of the material was investigated. This double level in the material architecture is obtained using an innovative process, multilayer coextrusion. The obtained material is then made of alternating nanometric layers of MAM and PMMA, reminiscent of a laminated glass, and within the MAM layers, MAM self-assembles into a welldefined morphology identified as cylindrical, with the aim of reinforcing the PMMA with the MAM rubbery phase. These materials have been compared to neat PMMA films and classically coextruded PMMA/MAM blends (single-screw, dry-blend) where the blend morphology is salami-like (nanoinclusions of PMMA into micron-sized MAM nodules dispersed in the PMMA matrix, without control on the size, dispersion, and distribution of the nodules). The effects of composition and of processing parameters (draw ratio) have also been studied.

The main results can be summarized as followed:

The $70-30$ wt% (16.1 wt% soft phase) PMMA reinforced by MAM multilayer films show a better mechanical response in terms of fracture toughness than unreinforced PMMA in both directions (by roughly a factor of 20), but also than the dry blend films. The measured reinforcement is significantly higher (by a factor of $2-4$) compared to those films, independently of the solicitation direction. This improvement in the mechanical response may be attributed to the differences in the nanostructures obtained with the two different processes. In the multilayer structure, a double level of organization is achieved: thousands of continuous alternating (PMMA and MAM) nanolayers, and within the MAM layer a cylindrical arrangement of the BCP. On the contrary, no control of the morphology, of the blend and of the BCP, can be achieved with classically extruded blends. To gain a better understanding in the correlation between nanostructures and mechanical properties, mechanical testing at higher speeds (impact resistance) and in-situ SAXS experiment during sample deformation may be envisioned.

Still, this study opens the way for the design of "nano-laminated organic glass". These nanolayered materials with well-organized nanostructures within the layers, obtained via an industrially scalable one-step process show promises for applications where such organic glasses with high impact resistance are needed.

Acknowledgements

Arkema is acknowledged for providing the triblock copolymer and advices concerning the staining. TEM observations were conducted in the electron microscopy facility of Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS/FR3631 - UPMC/CNRS - Paris, France), with the help of G. Frebourg. We thank the SOLEIL synchrotron and the SWING beamline for access to the instrumentation (project n^c 20160438). More particularly, we are grateful to J. Perez (beamline manager) and T. Bizien (beamline scientist) for the help to obtain the SAXS data. We would like to acknowledge A. Guinault and A. Grandmontagne for their help with the nanolayer coextrusion setup, A. Gaudy for the mechanical tests, and C. Sollogoub, S. Bourrigaud, S. Tencé-Girault, C. Sinturel and L.Rubatat for fruitful discussions throughout the project.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2018.06.048>.

References

- [1] [C.B. Bucknall, Toughened Plastics, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1977.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref1)
- [2] [A.M. Donald, E.J. Kramer, The competition between shear deformation and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref2) [crazing in glassy polymers, J. Mater. Sci. 17 \(7\) \(1982\) 1871](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref2)-[1879](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref2).
- B. Bilé, J.M. Gloaguen, J.M. Lefebvre, J.P. Tancrez, Fracture behaviour of high impact polystyrene infl[uence of particle morphology, Plast. Rubber Compos.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref3) $30(2)(2001)$ 77-[81.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref3)
- [4] [C. Wrotecki, P. Heim, P. Gaillard, Rubber toughening of poly\(methyl methac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref4)[rylate\). Part I: effect of the size and hard layer composition of the rubber](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref4) [particles, Polym. Eng. Sci. 31 \(4\) \(1991\) 213](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref4)-[217.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref4)
- [5] [C. Wrotecki, P. Heim, P. Gaillard, Rubber toughening of poly\(methyl methac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref5)[rylate\). Part II: effect of a twin population of particle size, Polym. Eng. Sci. 31](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref5) (1991) 218-[222](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref5).
- [6] [C.J. Hooley, D.R. Moore, M. Whale, M.J. Williams, Fracture toughness of rubber](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref6) modifi[ed PMMA, Plast. Rubber Process. Appl. 1 \(1981\) 345](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref6)-[349](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref6).
- [7] [J.M. Gloaguen, P. Steer, P. Gaillard, C. Wrotecki, J.M. Lefebvre, Plasticity and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref7) [fracture initiation in rubber-toughened poly\(methyl methacrylate\), Polym.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref7) [Eng. Sci. 33 \(12\) \(1993\) 748](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref7)-[753](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref7).
- [8] [J.M. Gloaguen, J.M. Lefebvre, C. Wrotecki, Critical energy for crack initiation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref8) [rubber-toughened poly\(methyl methacrylate\), Polymer 34 \(2\) \(1993\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref8) [443](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref8)-[445](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref8).
- [9] [P. Heim, C. Wrotecki, M. Avenel, P. Gaillard, High impact cast sheets of pol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref9)[y\(methyl methacrylate\) with low levels of polyurethane, Polymer 34 \(8\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref9) (1993) 1653-[1660](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref9).
- [10] [C.J.G. Plummer, P. B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref10)e[guelin, H.H. Kausch, Microdeformation in core-shell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref10) particle modifi[ed polymethylmethacrylates, Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref10) Asp. $153(1-3)(1999)$ 551-[566](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref10).
- [11] [L. Lalande, C.J.G. Plummer, J.A.E. Månson, P. G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref11)érard, The infl[uence of matrix](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref11) modifi[cation on fracture mechanisms in rubber toughened poly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref11)methylmethacrylate, Polymer 47 (7) (2006) 2389-[2401.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref11)
- [12] [L. Lalande, C.J.G. Plummer, J.A.E. Månson, P. G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref12)e[rard, Microdeformation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref12) [mechanisms in rubber toughened PMMA and PMMA-based copolymers, Eng.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref12) [Fract. Mech. 73 \(16\) \(2006\) 2413](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref12)-[2426](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref12).
- [13] [Design and applications of nanostructured polymer blends and nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref13)[composite systems, in: S. Thomas, R. Shanks, S. Chandrasekharakurup \(Eds.\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref13) [Micro and Nano Technologies, William Andrew Publishing, Boston, 2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref13)
- [14] J.D. [Tong, R. Jer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref14)ô[me, Synthesis of poly\(methyl methacrylate\)-b-poly\(n-butyl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref14) [acrylate\)-b-poly\(methyl methacrylate\) triblocks and their potential as ther-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref14)
- [moplastic elastomers, Polymer 41 \(7\) \(2000\) 2499](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref14)–[2510.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref14)
[15] [G. Moineau, M. Minet, P. Dubois, P. Teyssi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref15)é[, T. Senninger, R. J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref15)érôme, Controlled [radical polymerization of \(Meth\)Acrylates by ATRP with NiBr2\(PPh3\)2 as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref15) catalyst, Macromolecules $32(1)(1999)$ $27-35$.
- [16] J.D. Tong, G. Moineau, P. Leclère, Bré[das, R. Lazzaroni, R. J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16)é[r](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16)ô[me, Synthesis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16) [morphology, and mechanical properties of poly\(methyl methacrylate\)-b](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16)[poly\(n-butyl acrylate\)-b-poly\(methyl methacrylate\) triblocks. Ligated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16) [anionic polymerization vs atom transfer radical polymerization, Macromole](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16)[cules 33 \(2\) \(2000\) 470](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16)-[479](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref16).
- [17] L. Lalande, Structure et Mécanismes de Microdé[formation de Poly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref17) [methylmethacrylates Renforc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref17)é[s Au Choc,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref17) École polytechnique fédérale de [Lausanne, EPFL, Lausanne, 2007](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref17).
- [18] [A.-V. Ruzette, S. Tenc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref18)é[-Girault, L. Leibler, F. Chauvin, D. Bertin, O. Guerret,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref18) P. Gérard, Molecular disorder and mesoscopic order in polydisperse acrylic [block copolymers prepared by controlled radical polymerization, Macromol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref18)[ecules 39 \(17\) \(2006\) 5804](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref18)-[5814](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref18).
- [19] [J. Pinto, M. Dumon, M. Pedros, J. Reglero, M.A. Rodriguez-Perez, Nanocellular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref19) [CO2 foaming of PMMA assisted by block copolymer nanostructuration, Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref19) [Eng. J. 243 \(Supplement C\) \(2014\) 428](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref19)-[435](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref19).
- [20] [J. Pinto, M. Dumon, M.A. Rodriguez-Perez, R. Garcia, C. Dietz, Block co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref20)[polymers self-assembly allows obtaining tunable micro or nanoporous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref20) membranes or depth fi[lters based on PMMA; fabrication method and nano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref20)[structures, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 \(9\) \(2014\) 4656](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref20)-[4663](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref20).
- [21] [I.W. Hamley, K.A. Koppi, J.H. Rosedale, F.S. Bates, K. Almdal, K. Mortensen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref21)

[Hexagonal mesophases between lamellae and cylinders in a diblock copol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref21)[ymer melt, Macromolecules 26 \(22\) \(1993\) 5959](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref21)-[5970](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref21).

- [22] [F.S. Bates, K.A. Koppi, M. Tirrell, K. Almdal, K. Mortensen, In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref22)fluence of shear on [the hexagonal-to-disorder transition in a diblock copolymer melt, Macro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref22)[molecules 27 \(20\) \(1994\) 5934](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref22)-[5936.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref22)
- [23] [T. Kotaka, T. Miki, K. Arai, Morphology-mechanical property relationship of a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref23) [polystyrene-polybutadiene-polystyrene block copolymer and its blends with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref23) [homopolymers, J. Macromol. Sci. Part B 17 \(2\) \(1980\) 303](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref23)-[336.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref23)
- [24] [D. Wang, K. Nakajima, S. Fujinami, Y. Shibasaki, J.-Q. Wang, T. Nishi, Charac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref24)[terization of morphology and mechanical properties of block copolymers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref24) [using atomic force microscopy: effects of processing conditions, Polymer 53](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref24) (9) (2012) 1960-[1965](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref24).
- [25] [Chapter 3: Nanostructures of two-component amorphous block copolymers:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref25) [effect of chain architecture, in: G. Michler, F. Balta-Calleja \(Eds.\), Mechanical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref25) [Properties of Polymers Based on Nanostructure and Morphology, CRC Press,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref25) 2005, pp. $115-136$ $115-136$.
- [26] [Chapter 10: Micromechanical mechanisms of toughness enhancement in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref26) [nanostructured amorphous and semicrystalline polymers, in: G. Michler,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref26) [F. Balt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref26)á-Calleja (Eds.), Mechanical Properties of Polymers Based on Nano-
structure and Morphology, CRC Press, 2005, pp. 419–[428.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref26)
- [27] [C.C. Honeker, E.L. Thomas, Impact of morphological orientation in deter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref27)[mining mechanical properties in triblock copolymer systems, Chem. Mater. 8](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref27) (8) (1996) 1702-[1714](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref27).
- [28] [I. Yamaoka, M. Kimura, Effects of morphology on mechanical properties of a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref28)

[SBS triblock copolymer, Polymer 34 \(21\) \(1993\) 4399](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref28)-[4409.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref28)

- [29] [C. Ye, G. Singh, M.L. Wadley, A. Karim, K.A. Cavicchi, B.D. Vogt, Anisotropic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref29) [mechanical properties of aligned polystyrene-block-polydimethylsiloxane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref29) thin films, Macromolecules $46(21)(2013)8608-8615$.
- [30] [M. Ponting, A. Hiltner, E. Baer, Polymer nanostructures by forced assembly:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref30) process, structure, and properties, Macromol. Symp. $294(1)(2010)$ 19-[32.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref30)
- [31] [T.M. Burt, J. Keum, A. Hiltner, E. Baer, L.T.J. Korley, Con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref31)finement of elastomeric [block copolymers via forced assembly coextrusion, ACS Appl. Mater. In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref31)terfaces $3(12)(2011)4804 - 4811$.
- [32] [T.M. Burt, A.M. Jordan, L.T.J. Korley, Toward anisotropic materials via forced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref32) [assembly coextrusion, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 4 \(10\) \(2012\) 5155](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref32)–[5161.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref32)

[33] [S. Roland, G. Miquelard-Garnier, M. Gervais, A. Guinault, C. Sollogoub, Con-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref33)
- [trolling the order of triblock copolymer via con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref33)finement induced by forced [self-assembly, Mater. Today Commun 6 \(2016\) 37](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref33)–[43](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref33).
[34] [J.-S. Montana, S. Roland, E. Richaud, G. Miquelard-Garnier, From equilibrium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref34)
- lamellae [to out-of-equilibrium cylinders in triblock copolymer nanolayers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref34) $\frac{1}{27}$ [obtained via multilayer coextrusion, Polymer 136 \(2018\) 27](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref34)–[36.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref34)
- [35] [G. David, J. P](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35)é[rez, Combined sampler robot and high-performance liquid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35) [chromatography: a fully automated system for biological small-angle x-ray](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35) [scattering experiments at the synchrotron SOLEIL SWING beamline, J. Appl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35) [Crystallogr. 42 \(5\) \(2009\) 892](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35)-[900.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref35)
- [36] [A. Bironeau, J. Dirrenberger, C. Sollogoub, G. Miquelard-Garnier, S. Roland,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref36) [Evaluation of morphological representative sample sizes for nanolayered](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref36) [polymer blends, J. Microsc. 264 \(1\) \(2016\) 48](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref36)-[58](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0032-3861(18)30552-4/sref36).