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Abstract

Plant–plant interactions show differential responses to different combinations of available resources that has been underexplored.

The short-term functional response of *Quercus petraea* seedlings and *Deschampsia cespitosa* tufts grown alone or in mixture was monitored in contrasting combinations of soil inorganic nitrogen × light availabilities in a greenhouse experiment. Growth, biomass allocation, functional traits and resource acquisition were quantified. Intensity and importance of interactions were calculated by organ biomass-based indices.

Competition exerted by *D. cespitosa* on oak was primarily driven by light availability and secondly, for each light level, by nitrogen supply, leading to a strong hierarchy of resource combinations for each considered plant organ. Under high light, oak preferentially allocated biomass to the roots, underlining the indirect role of light on the belowground compartment. Unexpectedly, *Deschampsia cespitosa* grew better in the presence of oak seedlings under high nitrogen supply whatever the light availability.

Oak short-term nitrogen storage instead of investment in growth might be a long-term strategy to survive *D. cespitosa* competition. Why *Deschampsia* had a higher biomass in the presence of oak under nitrogen fertilization is an intriguing question. The role of root exudates or change in balance between intra- vs interspecific interactions may hold the answer. There may be an active mechanism of competition rather than only competitive resource exploitation.

Forest managers sometimes practice adding nitrogen fertilizer to improve oak seedling growth in plantations or natural regeneration. Here, the higher biomass in mixture to the benefit of the competitor clearly questions this practice: oak may provide extra nitrogen to competitors during the early period of plant–plant interaction or it may influence the balance between intra- vs interspecific interactions. The identification and quantification of active competition may result in new practices for a broad diversity of plant–plant interactions such as tree regeneration, intercrop management and weed control in agriculture.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier GmbH on behalf of Gesellschaft für Ökologie.
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Introduction

Plant ability to compete for resources has long been studied over a wide range of species, but no unifying theory has yet emerged to explain all plant responses to biotic interactions in different abiotic contexts. Grime (1974) first proposed a three-determinant triangle—competition, stress, disturbance—to classify plant species on a site according to their behavior to cope with resource availability and stress/disturbances in a given environment. Based on his own observations, Grime concluded that competition grew stronger with higher soil fertility (Grime 1974). In another approach, Tilman (1987) focused on the processes involved in competition and suggested that competition was strongest for soil resources in an unfertile environment and strongest for light in a fertile environment. However, neither theory satisfactorily accounts for every observed plant response to the combined effects of competition and fluctuating resource availability (Craine 2005). Nevertheless, more recent studies have managed to reconcile these theories, as both would predict survival of the species with the lowest R*, i.e. the lowest resource level allowing the plant to survive, to the detriment of species with higher R*. The difference between the two theories resides in the intensity of the disturbances studied, i.e. a relatively low disturbance intensity for Tilman and higher intensity for Grime (Grime 2007; Jeton & Pottier 2012). Plant growth and functional responses remain unclear in several cases of resource limitations. Pugnaire and Luque (2001), using an environmental gradient, showed stronger competition in the most fertile environment, as predicted by Grime, but they also found that belowground organs underwent stronger competition in the most stressful environment than in the most fertile one, thus endorsing Tilman’s theory (Pugnaire & Luque 2001). They demonstrated a dynamic balance between facilitation and competition along the environmental gradient. This is relevant to the facilitation process (broadly defined as at least positive impact of plant A on plant B) which is positively correlated to stress intensity (Bertness & Callaway 1994) until facilitation collapses under the highest stress or until competition intensity over-takes facilitation intensity (Verwijmeren, Rietkerk, Wassen, & Smit 2013). However, conclusions strongly depend on experimental design and/or environmental contexts.

Interactions can be characterized by two variables: importance and intensity (Welden & Slauson 1986; Corcket, Liancourt, Callaway, & Michalet 2003). Intensity is defined as the absolute effect of plant A on plant B, commonly measured by comparing a performance index such as plant biomass with or without a neighbor. Importance is defined as the relative negative impact of competition on plant fitness traits compared with environmental constraints (Welden & Slauson 1986; Brooker et al. 2005). This concept of importance was introduced to assess the contribution of the interaction effect relative to the environment effect in reducing the performance of a given plant. How intensity and importance vary among different multi-resource availabilities is still largely unknown (Pugnaire & Luque 2001; Liancourt, Corcket, & Michalet 2005; Pugnaire, Zhang, Li, & Luo 2015).

When several species are competing for the same resources, plants can also acclimate in response to new environmental conditions with fewer resources (Viole et al. 2007). According to a plant’s phenotypic plasticity, plant traits can be adjusted to optimize the growth of organs involved in resource capture so as to better cope with competitive neighbors, and with greater efficiency (Casper & Jackson 1997). This pattern is consistent with foraging theory, which states that when a resource is rare, capture organs can acclimate to become more efficient and favor higher growth. In contrast, in the conservative strategy, nutrients and carbohydrates are preferentially stored in perennial organs for later re-use in a more favorable environmental context, reducing risk of survival failure (Valladares, Martinez-Ferri, Balaguer, Perez-Corona, & Manrique 2000; Yan, Wang, & Huang 2006).

Most earlier studies on plant–plant interactions have only considered one resource. Very few studies have accounted for crossed availabilities in aerial and soil resources, including soil inorganic nitrogen (N_{soil}) (Davis et al. 1999; Siemann & Rogers 2003), and most of them were designed incompletely for all of the factors combinations or with only partial control of factors studied. Here, we studied how light and nitrogen availability and their interactions could influence plant responses to biotic interactions in terms of growth and functional traits. These two factors would enable to separate aboveground competition from belowground competition in terms of importance and intensity of interaction.

Our experiment aimed to measure early plant responses of sessile oak (Quercus petraea) seedlings and Deschampsia cespitosa in a mixture, in terms of growth and resource acquisition in four nitrogen × light combinations. These two species are widespread and commonly occur in interaction throughout temperate European forests (Davy 1980). Current silvicultural practices that aim to reduce standing tree density (Prettmann et al. 2015) will increase light in the understory, thus favoring colonization by the herbaceous D. cespitosa. We expected to find a mitigated competition by grasses in a shaded environment associated with lower grass performance in terms of growth and functioning. We expected oak seedlings to show higher investment to the root compartment in unfertilized places (higher root biomass, specific root length [SRL], allocation of resources to the root system) and higher investment for aboveground organs in a shaded environment (higher growth rate, preferential allocation of resources to leaves). We expected to find that the underground foraging behavior of oak would counteract the fast D. cespitosa growth. The experimental setup was designed (i) to determine how growth of oak/D. cespitosa was affected by the combination of abiotic environments on a short-term scale and how functional traits allow both plants to acclimate or respond to resource combinations of resources in
terms of resource acquisition strategy, and (ii) to determine the importance and intensity of interactions (positive or negative), and (iii) to elucidate the plant response strategies employed to deal with these interactions in all the treatment combinations.

Materials and methods

Experimental setup

The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse at the INRA UMR PIAF research unit in Clermont-Ferrand (Auvergne, France, 45°45′N 3°07′E, altitude 394 m a.s.l.) from mid-December 2014 to June 2015. A total of 120 one-year-old bare-root oak seedlings [Q. petraea (Matt.) Leibl.; 149 ± 20 g fresh weight on average per tree] sourced from a local tree nursery were planted on December 15, 2014 in 20-L pots filled with a local sandy-clay soil (clay 20.3%, loam 22.8%, sand 56.9%; pH 6.15, total N content 1.45 g kg⁻¹, total C content 14.6 g kg⁻¹) before bud break. D. cespitosa (L.) tufts (aboveground parts + roots) were carefully collected under natural forest conditions at Paray-le-Frésil (Auvergne, France; 46°39′N 3°36′E) and then transplanted into the pots on December 16, 2014. Oak seedlings were grown (i) without D. cespitosa [sole species; 40 pots (one seedling per pot)] or (ii) with three surrounding tufts [mixed species; 80 pots, 0.97 ± 0.02 g per fresh tuft matter of D. cespitosa], and the last treatment was (iii) D. cespitosa (3 tufts per pot) without oak seedlings (40 pots). Mixture density was set to be as close as possible to species abundance in real field conditions, in terms of relative abundance. Half of the pots were exposed to 59% of the photon flux density (PFD) in the photosynthetic active radiation range (PAR) reaching the top of the greenhouse (i.e. resulting from greenhouse structure interception), and mimicking an appreciable forest gap under in situ conditions, treatment L59. The other half was set under net shelters (Hormasem®, 50% extinction), exposing pots to 27% of the PFD measured above the greenhouse i.e. close to %PFD values frequently recorded under an open natural oak canopy, treatment L27 (Fig. 1). Our net shelters gave sun protection with no influence on the red-to-far-red ratio of the PFD, so light quality was the same outside and under net shelters. Finally, for the two irradiances, half the pots were supplied with either added NH₄NO₃ solution corresponding to a fertilization rate of 89 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (924 mg of inorganic N per pot or 0.42 g kg⁻¹, treatment Nₘₚ) or no NH₄NO₃ addition, treatment N₀. For Nₗₚ, fertilization was applied three times at an average rate of 26 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (0.14 g kg⁻¹) in March, April and May, evenly spread with a bottle on the pot surface. N₀ corresponded to native Nsoil (Fig. 1). Light treatment was constant over the growth period (December 2014–June 2015) whereas fertilization was applied in three pulses. Because no statistical effect of single fertilization

Fig. 1. Experimental design of all crossed treatment combinations. Dc = D. cespitosa, Qp = Quercus petraea, N = nitrogen, n = number of replicates (see text for details).
pulses was recorded on the growth curves (data not shown),
the data collected at the end of the experiment were inter-
preted from an integrated response over all the period. Mean
temperature over the experiment was 21 ± 4 °C (±SD; min.
14 °C, max. 30 °C). Mean air humidity over the experiment
was 63 ± 8% (±SD; min. 42%, max. 82%). Any undesirable
species appearing in pots were manually weeded out.
Forty pallets (considered here as subplots) gathered six pots
for technical convenience, with 15 subplots shaded. All other
treatments (N and biotic interactions) were randomly dis-
tributed among subplots, in equal numbers in each light
treatment.

Growth measurement

Height of oak seedlings, highest D. cespitosa leaf, and
diameter at the stem base of oak seedlings were measured
every 10 days throughout the experiment. Relative growth
rate (RGR) was calculated for diameter and height with the
formula:

\[ \text{RGR} = \frac{\ln(x_{t_2}) - \ln(x_{t_1})}{t_2 - t_1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( x \) is plant height or diameter, \( t_2 \) is date of harvest, and
\( t_1 \) is date of planting.

\[ 15^N \text{ labeling} \]

\( 15NO_3^{15}NH_4 \) (20 mg of \( 15^N \) dissolved in 500 mL of water)
was evenly supplied at the surface of each pot on June 05,
2015 to assess how \( N \) uptake during the vegetative season was
distributed between and within each species. Total \( N \) content
and \( 15^N \) isotopic abundance were determined by isotope-ratio
mass spectrometry at the PTEF OC 081 (Nancy) functional
ecology platform. Labeling methods and associated calcula-
tions are detailed in Vernay, Balandier, Guinard, Améglio,
and Malagoli (2016).

Plant harvesting

Plants were harvested on June 22, 2015. Aboveground
parts and roots were collected in both species. For oak, above-
ground parts were separated into woody parts and leaves and
dried at 60 °C for at least 48 h before dry weight determina-
tion, and roots were separated into fine (diameter <2 mm) and
coarse (including taproot, diameter >2 mm). For D. cespitosa,
no diameter distinction was made (diameter always <2 mm).
Soil and stones left around the root were then washed out
with tap water. A sub-sample of roots (one per species) for
each harvested pot was collected, wrapped in moist paper, and
stored at −20 °C for morphological analysis. The remaining
part was dried at 60 °C for at least 48 h before dry weight
determination.

Root trait measurements

Frozen sub-samples of fine roots were thawed and scanned
(Epson scanner, professional mode, 16 bits, dpi 600, pic-
tures in TIF format). D. cespitosa roots were pre-colored
with methylene blue to improve contrasts. Pictures were
then analyzed with WinRHIZO® software (V2005a, Regent
Instruments, Canada) to measure root length, surface and
diameter. Specific root length (SRL) was expressed in
\( \text{cm g}^{-1} \).

Intensity and importance of competition:
calculation of indices

Intensity and importance of competition were assessed
for both species using two indices, \( I_{\text{int}} \) and \( I_{\text{imp}} \), where
\( I \) for index refers to the neighborhood effect (Díaz-Sierra,
Verwijmeren, Rietkerk, de Dios, & Baudena 2016). We chose
these indices as they are standardized and symmetrical, with
finite limits, and thus allow unbiased comparisons. Calcula-
tions were done as follows:

\[ I_{\text{int}} = 2 \times \frac{\Delta \text{P}}{P_{-N} + |\Delta \text{P}|} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ I_{\text{imp}} = 2 \times \frac{\Delta \text{P}}{2MP_{-N} - P_{-N} + |\Delta \text{P}|} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( P_{-N} \) is plant performance without neighbor, \( \Delta \text{P} \) is
the difference between plant performance with and without
neighbor, and \( MP_{-N} \) is maximum plant performance
among all treatment combinations (\( MP_{-N} \) was reached in
\( L_{59}/N_{89} \) for aboveground organs and in \( L_{59}/N_0 \) for below-
ground organs). Indices were calculated for each organ with
dry biomass as the performance variable. Values of \( I_{\text{int}} \) and
\( I_{\text{imp}} \) range between −1 and +2 and between −1 and +2/3,
respectively. A negative or positive value means a competitive
or a facilitative interaction, respectively.

Statistics

To analyze the effects of light intensity, nitrogen availabil-
ity and biotic interactions on plant growth, we performed
analyses of variance with linear mixed effects models. All
analyzed data were based on the variables measured at har-
vest at the end of this experiment, i.e. in June 2015, and thus
quantified integrated plant responses from December 2014 to
June 2015.

All factors and factor–factor interactions were included in
the model simultaneously. Full models were simplified by
removing insignificant higher-order interactions. To account
for the spatial structure of our experimental design, we intro-
duced a subplot random effect in the models. Final models
were fitted using the restricted maximum likelihood method
(REML) to better estimate variance components (Pinheiro
& Bates 2000). The lme function of the nlme package (R

software) was used to fit the linear mixed effect models (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, & Sarkar 2016). The conditional F-test given by the anova function of the nlme package was used to assess the significance of the different terms of the models. To determine which treatments differed from each other, we conducted multiple pairwise comparisons (Tukey’s HSD test) using the lsmeans package (Lenth 2016). Because three-way interactions were never significant, we did not present them in our data. Comparison of $^{15}$N allocation (%) between sole-grown species and mixed-grown species was assessed with a Student’s t-test in each plant compartment.

RGR was measured via regular growth measurements enabling pot to also be included as a random factor for these variables. Preliminary analysis showed no effect of spatial position of each pot in the greenhouse. Some variables were transformed by a log$_{10}$ function to meet normality and homoscedasticity requirements.

All analyses were conducted with the R software version 3.3.2 (R Core Team 2016).

### Results

#### Plant responses to biotic interactions under different resource combinations

Only N × biotic interactions and L × biotic interactions had significant effects on aboveground oak seedling dry weight (leaf dry weight and stem dry weight, Table 1). Our data showed disordinal interactions (Doove, Van Buuren, & Dusseldorp 2014), making simple factor interpretation irrelevant between sole and mixed grown oaks. Without-neighbor data clearly showed a higher aboveground oak biomass when light and/or nitrogen were highly available (Fig. 2). L$_{50}$/N$_{89}$ produced significantly higher aboveground biomass than other treatment combinations. These positive effects were cancelled in mixed cultures, producing significant interactions between L × biotic interaction and N × biotic interaction (Fig. 2, Table 1). This pattern was observed for most of the oak variables studied (Appendix A in Supplementary material) except for whole plant biomass.

### Table 1. Model of nitrogen, light and biotic interaction for aboveground biomass for oak seedlings (leaves and stem) and _D. cespitosa_ (shoots). Only results from significant terms are shown. Df = degree of freedom (Num = numerator and Den = denominator), N = number of replicates, N = nitrogen, L = light, BI = biotic interaction, DW = dry weight, SRL = specific root length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oak seedlings</th>
<th>D. cespitosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NumDf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotic interaction</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L × BI</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N × BI</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Aboveground dry weight in sole-grown and mixed-grown oak and _D. cespitosa_ under a crossed combination of two levels of light (L$_{50}$ and L$_{77}$) and N$_{soil}$ availability (N$_{59}$ and N$_{19}$; see Materials and methods for further details). Values are reported as means ± SE (n = 10 for sole-grown (SSp), n = 20 for mixed-grown plants (MSp), degree of freedom = 50). For statistical relevance, data were log$_{10}$-transformed, but for readability, untransformed values are given in the figure. Different letters result from multiple pairwise comparisons (Tukey’s HSD test) between each treatment combination at $p < 0.05$.  

which was only dependent on biotic interactions and was not significantly sensitive to factor interactions (Appendix A in Supplementary material). Shoot/root, fine root area, leaf dry weight and total aboveground dry weight were all affected by L × biotic interaction and N × biotic interaction (Appendix A in Supplementary material), with lower values in MSp treatments than in SSp and no visible effect of L and N in MSp (data not shown). However, root length and stem dry weight were only sensitive to L × biotic interaction (Appendix A in Supplementary material) whereas root diameter was only negatively affected by N × biotic interaction (Appendix A in Supplementary material).

Dry weights of fine and coarse roots in oak were not statistically different among all treatment combinations and were only dependent on the simple effects of light and/or biotic interaction (Appendix B in Supplementary material).

In contrast, aboveground biomass in mixed-grown *Deschampsia cespitosa* was unchanged compared with sole-grown *D. cespitosa*, except for L59/N89 where aboveground biomass was greater in the mixture (Fig. 2B). Aboveground biomass (mainly composed of leaves) was only affected by light and nitrogen availability, increasing aerial biomass, with no effect of interacting factors (Table 1). Only total plant dry weight was sensitive to factor interactions with the significant effect of N × L and N × biotic interactions (Appendix A in Supplementary material). A positive effect of light was observed on root length, root diameter, root area, fine root dry weight, and biotic interactions influenced the SRL trait in *D. cespitosa* (Appendixes A and C in Supplementary material). In conclusion, *D. cespitosa* performance was mainly dependent on simple effects of each factor (except for total plant dry weight, Appendix A in Supplementary material) with little effect of biotic interaction whereas oak seedlings strongly suffered from biotic interaction cancelling all positive effects of higher L and N availability.

**Intensity (I_{int}) and importance (I_{imp}) of interaction with neighbor species**

Considering the effect of *D. cespitosa* on oak seedlings (Fig. 3), for every light × N$_{soil}$ combination, I$_{int}$ and I$_{imp}$ values were negative for all oak organs, indicating that the interaction was always competitive. I$_{imp}$ was highest (low competition) for L27 × N0 and lowest (high competition) for L59 × N89 (Fig. 3). Moreover, for a given N supply, both indices showed lower negative values in L59 than in L27. Within each L treatment, index values were more negative in N89 than in N0 (Fig. 3). This pattern was observed for each organ, pointing to a common impact of *D. cespitosa* on the whole oak plant. Considering each oak organ, respectively, in aboveground and belowground compartments (MP$_{−}$N value was not the same according to aerial or belowground organs,
hindering comparison), leaves and fine roots had more negative values for both indices than, in order, stem and coarse root (except for L-27 × N89, where indices were lower in stem than in leaves). These results show that competition was stronger in capture organs (i.e. leaves and fine roots) than storage organs (i.e. stem and coarse roots).

The positive effect of oak on D. cespitosa, in L59 × N89 treatment, suggest two types of interaction: antagonistic facilitation under N89 (positive indices for D. cespitosa but negative indices for oak seedlings) and competition under N0 (negative indices, Fig. 4). The amplitude of the effect was much greater for belowground organs (very positive in N89 and very negative in N0) than aerial organs (close to zero, meaning a neutral interaction, Fig. 4).

**Nitrate and ammonium amounts in soil at harvest**

At the beginning of the experiment, amounts of nitrate and ammonium measured in pots were 0.032 g kg⁻¹ and 0.0013 g kg⁻¹, respectively. After 6 months of growth, there were much larger amounts of soil nitrate left in pots with sole-grown oak than in pots with either sole-grown D. cespitosa tufts or the mixture (Fig. 5). Amounts of soil ammonium showed no statistical difference according to mixture design or light × Nsoil combination (Fig. 5).

**Intra- and inter-specific allocation of soil inorganic ¹⁵N**

Of 20 mg of ¹⁵N applied per pot 7 mg ± 0.32 mg (n = 238) was taken up by the mixture of which 98% was allocated to D. cespitosa. In sole-grown oak seedlings, ¹⁵N was preferentially allocated to leaves (Fig. 6). In contrast, when mixed-grown with D. cespitosa, the ¹⁵N allocation pattern changed: ¹⁵N allocation to oak leaves was lowered to the benefit of coarse and fine roots (Fig. 6), with no change in the stem, which was not simply due to differences in biomass growth (Appendix B in Supplementary material). In sole-grown and mixed-grown D. cespitosa tufts, ¹⁵N was mainly allocated to aboveground parts (Fig. 6). This difference was not due to biomass difference. Allocation to the aboveground parts was higher in the mixture, at the expense of belowground parts.

**Discussion**

**Do light × soil inorganic N modulate plant interactions?**

Overall, increased availability in at least one of the two combined resources (L and/or Nsoil) led to a reduced...
aboveground biomass in mixed-grown oak seedlings when compared to the low levels of the resources studied.

Deciphering combined effects of light and soil N on mixed-grown oak seedlings is not straightforward. Actually, neighbor-effect indices demonstrated a prevalence of light impact. First, the size difference (in favor of the taller oak seedlings) makes direct competition for light unlikely under our study set-up. Second, for a given amount of light, adding the \( N_{\text{soil}} \) resource increased both the intensity and importance of competition on oak. This would suggest that greater light availability may lead to higher carbon gain by \( D. \ cespitosa \) (Vernay et al. 2016). This extra amount of carbon would indirectly promote root system growth and thus pre-emption of \( \text{N}_{\text{soil}} \). The strong ability of \( D. \ cespitosa \) to capture \( \text{N}_{\text{soil}} \) led to a subsequent bypass of extra available resources to the detriment of oak growth (Freschet et al. 2017). This ability would explain the disordinal interaction observed (Doove et al. 2014). Actually, only sole-grown oaks significantly responded to additional resource amount. Indeed, some studies have reported that belowground resources play a key role
in driving the competition relationship: in fertile soil, competitive exclusion occurs, enhanced by higher biomass allocation to aboveground organs, switching competition from nutrients to light (Newman 1973; Hautier, Niklaus, & Hector 2009; DeMalach & Kadmon 2017). However, these conclusions mainly result from studies on grassland communities, which share very similar ecological strategies. Here, perennials and ligneous species behaved differently and responded to different needs, which could explain why light would have a stronger influence. However, the two resources did not act independently (Rajaniemi 2002), as highlighted by neighbor-effect indices. Taking into account crossed combinations of $N_{soil} \times L$ thus brings fine-tuning elements that have seldom been investigated together (but see Pugnaire & Luque 2001).

The only situation when $D$. cespitosa had no effect on oak growth and associated traits was under low levels of both resources (i.e. $L_{27}$ and $N_0$). This is consistent with common findings in the literature (Baribault & Kobe 2011; Vernay et al. 2016) reporting weaker competition under low light and nutrient availability, as competitive species free up their space for stress-tolerant species (Grime 1974; Pierret et al. 2016).

**How to explain the positive effect of oak seedling on $D$. cespitosa biomass?**

Antagonistic facilitation (i.e. when species A has a positive effect on species B but B a negative effect on A) of $D$. cespitosa by oak seedlings, in the $N_{soil}$ treatments whatever the light level, was an unexpected and surprising finding (Stachowicz 2001; Schöb, Prieto, Armas, & Pugnaire 2014).

Two processes may be proposed to explain this positive effect on $D$. cespitosa. First, oak seedlings could have a higher rhizodeposition in fertilized pots without any biomass change (Karst, Gaster, Wiley, & Landhauser 2016). This supplementary nitrogen supply might offer an extra soil N source, rapidly absorbed by $D$. cespitosa. As a perspective, identifying and then quantifying such fluxes would be hugely informative to help gain a refined understanding of the underlying mechanisms. Second, interspecific competition could be amplified in $N_{soil}/L_{59}$, becoming stronger than intraspecific grass competition (Vernay et al. 2018). This process could be fostered by exudates which would act as signals in the rhizosphere, allowing self-recognition in a plant community (Delory, Delaplace, Fauconnier, & du Jardin 2016). Exudates coming from other species may trigger positive feedback on root length and root density of $D$. cespitosa (Semchenko, Saar, & Lepik 2014).

$N_{soil}$ depletion to the benefit of $D$. cespitosa

More than 90% of $^{15}$N applied was massively absorbed by $D$. cespitosa tufts, in line with previous studies (Coll, Balandier, & Picon-Cochard 2004; Vernay et al. 2016).

According to Tilman’s theory, this would suggest that the competitive relationship was due to a low $R^*$ of $D$. cespitosa, i.e. a high growth potential at very low levels of resources (Tilman 1982). Such behavior raises questions over the sustainability of the grass’s life cycle. On the one hand, it is legitimate to question whether the strategy of $D$. cespitosa involves a continuous depletion of resources at the risk of not being able to maintain the whole organism later on due to excessive growth (Hardin 1968; Gersani, Brown, O’Brien, Maina, & Abramsky 2001). On the other hand, “game theory” (trade-off between survival at the community level and growth at the plant level) would predict a trade-off between resource depletion for individual $D$. cespitosa growth and the cost of individual maintenance induced by its growth (McNickle & Dybzinski 2013).

**In planta $^{15}$N allocation: a conservative strategy for oak**

Oak seedlings in the mixture allocated much more $^{15}$N to coarse and fine roots to the detriment of leaves than sole-grown seedlings. This phenomenon was observed in a very short time (only 6 months of interaction) which has rarely been quantified in literature. Indeed, this study shows that plant–plant interactions and their responses in terms of life strategy occur very rapidly. We suggest that higher oak N allocation to belowground compartments may feed an N storage pool (Vizoso et al. 2008) instead of using it for prospection and resource capture, associated with low investment in tissue creation (fine root dry weight was constant despite N allocation change). Oak strategy is therefore conservative.

Nitrogen resource can be taken up and assimilated quickly (Uscola, Villar-Salvador, Oliet, & Warren 2014; Gao, Chen, Yuan, Zhang, & Mi 2015). However, few studies have shown an early preferential N distribution to the root system, as has been done for carbon (Kaiser et al. 2015).

**Foster oak regeneration in practice**

Because the presence of oak had an unexpected positive effect on $D$. cespitosa growth when N fertilizer was added, field fertilization cannot be recommended (Coll et al. 2004; Salifu, Jacobs, & Birge 2009). Use of preliminary N-loaded oak seedlings coming from a nursery would allow oak to benefit from its own internal N-reserve, improving its survival and its resistance to grass-driven N-depletion (Salifu & Timmer 2001; Villar-Salvador et al. 2012; Vernay et al. 2018). Another solution would be to consider foliar fertilization, allowing to target oak seedlings more specifically without fertilizing understory species (Gagnon & Deblois 2014). All suggested solutions will not be efficient without grass management reducing grass density. This can be achieved by decreasing light availability when possible.
Conclusion

As expected, D. cespitosa competed with oak seedlings and to the detriment of oak. This competition arose whenever resources became more available (59% PFD for light and 89 kg ha⁻¹ N supply). This study shows original responses of plant–plant interactions in different resource combination (antagonistic facilitation of D. cespitosa by oak seedlings and indirect influence of light). This further argues for considering crossed factors instead of one resource. Neighbor-effect indices indicated that light was a primary factor driving plant response, but this effect was indirect as driven by improved $N_{\text{soil}}$ uptake. Each species exhibited a contrasting response strategy to competition and $N_{\text{soil}} \times$ light combinations: a conservative strategy for oak, and a capture strategy for D. cespitosa. Finally, D. cespitosa growth was enhanced by the presence of oak under high $N_{\text{soil}}$.

Investigation of functional mechanisms of antagonistic facilitation and intra- vs interspecific interaction balance offers interesting perspectives for further studies: N storage in oak might play a pivotal role in coping with $N_{\text{soil}}$ depletion by D. cespitosa. Other soil resources, such as water or phosphorus, also warrant attention. Finally, it would be of great interest to test whether such observations also occur under natural conditions.
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