

Hippos stem from the longest sequence of terrestrial cetartiodactyl evolution in Africa

Fabrice Lihoreau, Jean-Renaud Boisserie, Fredrick Manthi, Stéphane Ducrocq

► To cite this version:

Fabrice Lihoreau, Jean-Renaud Boisserie, Fredrick Manthi, Stéphane Ducrocq. Hippos stem from the longest sequence of terrestrial cetartiodactyl evolution in Africa. Nature Communications, 2015, 6 (1), pp.6264. 10.1038/ncomms7264. hal-01893828

HAL Id: hal-01893828 https://hal.science/hal-01893828

Submitted on 11 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ARTICLE

Received 17 Jul 2014 | Accepted 9 Jan 2015 | Published 24 Feb 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7264

Hippos stem from the longest sequence of terrestrial cetartiodactyl evolution in Africa

Fabrice Lihoreau¹, Jean-Renaud Boisserie^{2,3}, Fredrick Kyalo Manthi⁴ & Stéphane Ducrocq²

According to molecular data, hippopotamuses and cetaceans form a clade excluding other extant cetartiodactyls. Despite a wealth of spectacular specimens documenting cetacean evolution, this relationship remains poorly substantiated by the fossil record. Indeed, the evolutionary path leading from the hippo-cetacean ancestor to Hippopotamidae is plagued by missing fossil data and phylogenetic uncertainties. Only an origination within the extinct anthracotheres is compatible with molecular results, substantial filling of phyletic gaps and recent discoveries of early Miocene hippopotamids. Yet, the anthracothere stock that gave rise to Hippopotamidae has not been identified. Consequently, recent phylogenetic accounts do not properly integrate the anthracotherid hypothesis, and relate Hippopotamidae to a stretched ghost lineage and/or close to Suina. Here we describe a new anthracothere from Lokone (Kenya) that unambiguously roots the Hippopotamidae into a well-identified group of bothriodontines, the first large mammals to invade Africa. The hippos are deeply anchored into the African Paleogene.

¹ Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier, Université Montpellier II, CNRS, IRD, c.c. 064, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France. ² IPHEP, UMR CNRS 7262, Université de Poitiers, UFR SFA—Bât 35, 6 rue Michel Brunet, TSA 51106, F-86073 Poitiers cedex 9, France. ³ CFEE, USR CNRS 3137, French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of France to Ethiopia, P.O. Box 5554, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. ⁴ Palaeontology Section, NMK HQ Museum Hill, P.O. Box 40658, 00100 Nairobi, Kenya. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.L. (email: fabrice.lihoreau@univ-montp2.fr).

nitially revealed by molecular data analyses, the exclusive clade grouping hippopotamuses with whales, dolphins and porpoises is also most often supported by combined analyses, as well as by some morphological analyses (see among others^{1–3}; and references herein). Regarding fossil support to this clade, early cetaceans are now known through an impressive fossil record documenting the acquisition of fully aquatic habits in this group³. However, few, much less spectacular fossil additions occurred for the hippopotamid branch, resulting in uncertainties on the nature and temporal placement of the hippopotamidcetacean last common ancestor².

Apparently, these uncertainties sustained a diversity of opinions on the affinities of Hippopotamidae within fossil cetartiodactyls (for example, refs 2–8). Among these, some non-cladistic approaches are still radically in disagreement with molecular data^{7,8}, whereas combined data set analyses have



Figure 1 | Fossil remains of *Epirigenys lokonensis* nov gen nov sp from late Early to early Late Oligocene of Lokone. (a) Left hemi mandible with P/3-M/2 (KNM-LH 30375, holotype) in dorsal view. (b) Left P4/ (KNM-LH 10298) in occlusal view. (c) Left P/4-M/1 (the fragmentary M/2 is not figured here; KNM-LH 11645) in occlusal view. (d) Right M3/ (KNM-LH 11650) in occlusal view. (e) Right I/1 (KNM-LH 51633) in lingual view. (f) Left M2/ (KNM-LH 58510) in occlusal view. (g) Drawing of the P/4 of KNM-LH 11645 in occlusal view. (h) Drawing of the M/2 of KNM-LH 30375 (holotype) in occlusal view. (i) Composite scheme of the upper molar occlusal morphology reconstructed from known parts of upper M2/ and M3/.

essentially maintained an unclear emergence of Hippopotamidae from an Oligocene ghost lineage, for example refs 3,4,9.

In fact, the integration of Miocene hippopotamid data into morphology-based cladistic analyses brought substantial support to a hippopotamid emergence among anthracotheres^{10,11}. However, this approach did not succeed in precisely identifying the stem group of Hippopotamidae among anthracotheres. Boisserie *et al.*² (page 609) suggested that further resolution could come from further integration of the anthracothere fossil record, especially from the Oligocene of Africa.

Unfortunately, Oligocene fossiliferous deposits are scarce on the Arabo-African continent. Discoveries of such deposits most often provide important evidence for the evolutionary history of mammals, in particular for primates^{12–14}. And yet, despite several new early to late Oligocene sites found during the last decade^{12,15–19}, the anthracothere diversity of Paleogene Arabo-Africa remained as described by Schmidt²⁰ and revised by Ducrocq²¹ for the Fayum depression: four species of *Bothriogenys* and one of *Qatraniodon*²².

An anthracothere, provisionally attributed to aff. *Bothriogenys* by Ducrocq *et al.*¹⁹, was discovered at Lokone, Turkana Basin and northern Kenya in deposits dated to the late early Oligocene or the early late Oligocene¹⁹. This anthracothere is the most abundant large mammal at Lokone, where it is associated with small taxa considered as relic species (for example, the phiomorph rodent *Turkanamys hexalophus*²³ and the parapithecid primate *Lokonepithecus manai*¹³). We herein attribute this anthracothere to a new Oligocene genus and species that significantly improves our understanding of the anthracothere–hippopotamid relationships. It pushes back the evolutionary history of hippotamids in Africa to Paleogene adding the living hippotamuses to the long standing record of endemism of this continent.

Results

Systematic palaeontology of Epirigenys lokonensis.

Order Cetartiodactyla Montgelard, Catzeflys & Douzery 1997 Suborder Cetancodonta, Arnason *et al.*, 2000 Superfamily Hippopotamoidea, Gray, 1821 (*sensu* Gentry & Hooker²⁴)

Epirigenys nov. gen.

Type species. E. lokonensis nov gen nov sp

Etymology. The genus name is from 'epiri' the word for 'hippopotamus' in Turkana, and 'genys' a play on ancient Greek words for origin, source, lineage (-gen-) and for jaws (-genys). The species name refers to the discovery site of Lokone.

Holotype. KNM-LH 30375 (Lokone Hills, localities (Loc.) 1/2) left hemi mandible with P/3-M/2 housed in the Palaeontology Section of the National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi (Fig. 1a) discovered during a field mission led by Meave Leakey in 1994.

Hypodigm. All the referred material is housed in the Palaeontology Section of the National Museums of Kenya, Nairobi. All the isolated material recovered from the three contemporaneous localities are biometrically and morphologically congruent with intraspecific variations in anthracotheres. The association of upper teeth and lower dental row is further motivated by size and occlusion match, as well as by a common dental pattern (massive and bulbous teeth with relatively short crests, thick enamel and relatively flat wear facets) not observed until now in other bothriodontines (see Supplementary Note 2). KNM-LH 10270 (Loc. 2): left

dP4/; KNM-LH 10298 (Loc. 2, Fig. 1b): left P4/; KNM-LH 11604 (Loc. 13): frag. left M/3; KNM-LH 11608 (Loc. 1): frag. left lower molar (trigonid); KNM-LH 11609 (Loc. 1): fragmentary upper molar; KNM-LH 11633 (Loc. 13): fragmentary of right M2-3/; KNM-LH 11642: left M1/ or M2/ (ref. 19); KNM-LH 11644 (Loc. 13): left dP4/; KNM-LH 11645 (Loc. 13, Fig. 1c): left P/4-M/2; KNM-LH 11646 (Loc. 13): distal part of left M/3; KNM-LH 11647 (Loc. 13) frag left upper molar; KNM-LH 11650 (Loc. 13, Fig. 1d): right M3/; KNM-LH 46214 (Loc. 1/2, 1995): probable left M2/; KNM-LH 47587 (Loc. 1/2, 1995): right fragmentary upper molar; KNM-LH 47845 (Loc. 1/2, 1994): distal part of left P/4; KNM-LH 47846 (Loc. 1/2, 1994): probable right M1/; KNM-LH 47847 (Loc. 1/2, 1994): frag. right M/2; KNM-LH 51633 (Loc. 1, Fig. 1e): right I/1; KNM-LH 58510 (Loc. 13, Fig. 1f): left M2/.

Type locality and horizon. Lokone Hill area near Loperot in the Lokichar basin, West Turkana District, Kenya. Loc. 1, 2 and 13 (GPS coordinates: 2°22′N and 35°57′E) situated in the Lokone Sandstone Formation are contemporaneous and have been dated from the late early Oligocene to the early late Oligocene¹⁹.

Diagnosis. Medium-sized bothriodontine. The following characters are unique within bothriodontines, differing notably from those of Bothriogenys, and shared with Hippopotamidae: developed cingular structures including high cingulid on labial side of P/3, presence of cingulid lingual to entostylid and high distal cingulid on P/4, high lingual cingulum on upper molars; strong and complex lower premolars with hypoconid on P/4, biconvex occlusal shape of premolars, developed post- and endoprotofossids, a short endoprotocristid; P4/ with mesial and distal accessory cusps; bundont molars with rounded cusps, inflated crests (for example, prehypocristid in transverse valley of lower molars) and shallow valleys; presence of an entostylid on lower molars, and a groove separating preparacrista and parastyle. Enamel microstructure similar to that of Kenyapotamus, except for lacking inter-row sheet in inner part. Features shared with Bothriogenys but that are unknown in Hippopotamidae include the lack of an ectoprotofossid on P/4, the postmetacristid not orientated towards the centre of the tooth and the position of the distostylid on lower molars, mesio-distal ribs of labial cusps of upper molars less developed, the presence of two distal well-developed cristae on the protocone, the divided premetacristule, the lack of postmetafossule and the developed parastyle and mesostyle.

Description. *E. lokonensis* is a middle-sized anthracothere (see measurement in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) with an estimated weight of 71–98 kg based on its M/1 area (Supplementary Table 3; ref. 25). Anterior teeth are only known by a spatulate I/1 (Fig. 1e). The structure of this incisor is similar to that of other bothriodontines (for example, *Bothriogenys, Elomeryx* and *Bothriodon*) but it lacks the median lingual pillar.

On the P4/, the preprotocrista and postprotocrista connect the mesial and distal cingulae, respectively, forming a mesio- and a distostyle (Fig. 1b). Both styles are developed in a way that mimics accessory cusps. This morphology differs markedly from that of *Bothriogenys* spp. where the postprotocrista never connects the distal cingulum and where no such styles development occurs.

Upper molars are brachyodont with inflated cusps (Fig. 1d,f and i) and high cingula (approximately half the height of unworn cusps). Species of *Bothriogenys* display shallower cingula and the condition in *E. lokonensis* appears closer to that observed in *Kenyapotamus coryndonae*. Cristae are rather blunt

and transverse valleys are not as deep as in *Bothriogenys*. The ribs of the labial cusps are inflated and not pinched due to the mesiodistal elongation of the cristae. It markedly differs from the crescentic cristae observed in *Bothriogenys*. In labial view unworn cusps are rounded.

The postprotocrista is weakly developed compared with the postectoprotocrista that extends towards the transverse valley and reaches the premetacristule. There is a secondary postectoprotocrista mainly developed at the base of the protocone and in the transverse valley that is in the same lingual position as the postectoprotocristae of *K. coryndonae*¹⁰. The transverse valley is blocked at this level by the junction of these cristae. This junction is shallower in *Bothriogenys* spp.

The mesial end of the premetacristule develops as a small conule. A short enamel fold occurs between the ecto- and the premetacristule on KNM-LH11650, which is not observed in other anthracotheres. The postmetacristule joins the cingulum and forms a distostyle as in *Bo. orientalis* and *Bo. rugulosus* but unlike *Bo. gorringei* and *Bo. fraasi*, in which this crest joins the base of the metacone. The distostyle in *Epirigenys* is distal to the labial wall of the metaconule, instead of the metacone. Several accessory cristules varying in size between teeth occur labially to the postmetacristule; they create additional minute wear facets and fill the longitudinal valley distally. The complexity of the crest network is markedly higher than in *Bothriogenys*, in which the valleys do not display such secondary structures.

The preparacrista is slightly separated from the parastyle by a thin groove as in *Kenyapotamus*. The mesiostyle is very close to the parastyle. As in *Bo. orientalis* and *Kenyapotamus*, the labial cusps are not displaced lingually to the axis parastyle-metastyle contrary to more selenodont taxa (*Elomeryx, Microbunodon*, African *Bothriogenys* and *Brachyodus*).

The mesostylar area is complex (Fig. 1f,i). The cingulum is developed and forms an ectostyle extended lingually into an ectocristyle. The postparacrista and premetacrista connect the lateral side of this cristyle that runs through the transverse valley. This pattern considerably differs from that seen in *Elomeryx* and other bothriodontines that lack the ectocristyle, and is similar to that observed in *Bo. rugulosus* and in *Anthracotherium* spp., suggesting a possible plesiomorphic condition.

Lower premolars are similar in size with those of Bo. gorringei (Supplementary Table 2) but their lingual side is convex instead of concave (Fig. 1a,c and g). This feature is similar in lower premolars of kenyapotamines, microbunodontines, and anthracotheriines; it distinguishes them from those of known Bothriogenys and other bothriodontines. The lower premolars of Epirigenys are low and broad with cingulids that ascend the sides of the crown and form lateral V-shaped pillars. This structure, which is well-marked on the labial and lingual sides, forms edges comparable to accessory cristids. This is not observed on the labial side of lower premolars in Bothriogenys and is only slightly expressed on their lingual sides. Moreover, the presence of accessory cristids implies the development of post- and endoprotofossids, a pattern that has also been described in hippopotamids, including early kenyapotamines and *Morotochoerus*^{10,11}.

The preprotocristid is curved mesiolingually as in hippopotamids and other bothriodontines¹⁰. The endoprotocristid originates at the protoconid apex and joins the high lingual cingulid (half of the cuspid height) to form a large entostylid. The short endoprotocristid considerably differs from the long and curved endoprotocristid of *Bothriogenys* and *Brachyodus*.

The P/4 is proportionally wider than in any species of *Bothriogenys* (Supplementary Table 2). The lingual and distal cingulids are not connected whereas they are continuous in *Bothriogenys* and *Brachyodus*. This unusual morphology might be

ARTICLE

related to the height of the cingulid on both lateral sides of the cuspid and on the distal shelf. There is a small postectoprotocristid between the postprotofossid and the labial cingulid that is observed only in *Morotochoerus ugandensis* and one specimen of *K. coryndonae*. There is an incipient hypoconid close to the postprotocristid in the same position as in *Kenyapotamus* (for example, KNM-NA 194). The distal cingulid is broader and more developed than in *Bothriogenys*.

The lower molars display low cuspids (Fig. 1a,h). The valleys are shallow, favoring an early connection between lingual and labial cuspids when worn. Therefore, little wear can lead to a lophid-like pattern, which is exceptional in anthracotheres but observed in kenvapotamines. The M/2s of Epirigenys are comparatively shorter and wider than those of Bothriogenys, and more similar in this regard to hippopotamids. The endometacristid is present and is lined by a deep premetafossid. This cristid is absent in Brachyodus and Bo. andrewsi. The posthypocristid is curved and joins the distostylid without any contact with the postentocristid. This pattern considerably differs from what is observed in other bothriodontines. The longitudinal valley of the talonid is poorly expressed and only a slight wear creates a large facet that includes the hypoconid and entoconid. An entostylid is present which is unknown in other anthracotheres (except Anthracotherium) but frequent in hippopotamids¹⁰. A cingulid occurs at the labial end of the transverse valley and forms a minute ectostylid. The distal cingulid is high compared with the mesial one and is leveled with the distal longitudinal valley. A median distostylid is well-marked on the distal cingulid.

The dental enamel Schmelzmuster of molar presents few inner radial enamel and is almost exclusively represented by Hunter Shreger Band zone. The Hunter Shreger Band are bent, thin and of regular aspect. The described enamel microstructure (Supplementary Note 3, Supplementary Fig. 2) is similar to that of early bothriodontines and *Kenyapotamus*²⁶.

Phylogenetic analysis. The proposed phylogeny is highly homoplastic and well-structured (Consistency Index = 0.22; Retention Index = 0.61). We obtained two trees that differ in the resolution of the relationships between the three species of Brachyodus (Supplementary Data 2, Supplementary Fig. 16). In the strict consensus topology (Fig. 2), the Hippopotamoidea (sensu ref. 24, that is, including anthracotheres and Hippopotamidae) are monophyletic. This node (Hi in Fig. 2) is supported by 10 non-ambiguous characters such as the presence of a preentocristid (51^1) and a complete ectohypocristulid on M/3 (67^2) . Most of the characters that unambiguously define this clade correspond to dental structure features (crests and grooves) of molars. In this analysis, Siamotherium krabiense appears as the basalmost hippopotamoid. Anthracotheriinae forms a clade corresponding to the first offshoot (A in Fig. 2). This is congruent with previous known phylogenies of anthracotheres, for example, ref. 27; in addition our analysis places the Asian Myaingtherium kenyapotamoides into this sub-family. This position is in agreement with previous cladistic results²⁸, but contradicts close relationships between M. kenyapotamoides and the Kenyapotamus²⁸. Miocene African The clade HB (Bothriodontinae + Hippopotamidae; Fig. 2) is well-supported and congruent with previous phylogenetic hypotheses, for example, refs 29,30. We noted 12 non-ambiguous traits including two non-homoplastic apomorphies: the presence of ectocristylids (65¹) and the bent orientation of Hunter-Schreger Bands (155¹). Most of the characters defining this clade correspond to enamel microstructure and premolar structures.

Hippopotamidae form a clade (ab, Fig. 2) with *Bo. orientalis* and the new genus from Kenya. The sister group of clade ab is



Figure 2 | Phylogenetic relationships of hippopotamoid. Consensus tree of the cladistic analysis obtained from the two most parsimonious trees (980 steps, CI = 0.22, RI = 0.61). A, Anthracotheriinae; ab, *Bo. orientalis, E. lokonensis* and Hippopotamidae; adb, advanced Bothriodontinae; B, Bothriodontinae; br, *Brachyodus* clade; H, Hippopotamidae; h, *E. lokonensis* and Hippopotamidae; HB, Hippopotamidae + Bothriodontinae; Hi, Hippopotamoidea; M, Microbunodontinae; R, Ruminantia; Ra, Raoellidae; S, Suoidea. Values below the branches are Bremer indices.

formed by the rest of the bothriodontines (B in Fig. 2), the latter grouping being moderately supported. Only advanced bothriodontines received a good support (node adb in Fig. 2), whereas the relationships between *Elomeryx*, *Bothriodon* and *Bothriogenys* remain fragile, in congruence with previous results, for example, ref. 27. Yet, we obtained the successive branching of *Bo. gorringei*, *Bo. fraasi*, *Bo. andrewsi* and *Brachyodus* (node br in Fig. 2) as proposed by Black³¹. Consequently, the genus *Bothriogenys* is polyphyletic in our analysis. Eight non-ambiguous characters support the clade ab. Most of the characters defining this clade concern styl/id(es) pattern.

The sister-group relationship between *Epirigenys* and Hippopotamidae (node h in Fig. 2) is well-supported by 10 nonambiguous synapomorphies. One of them is non-homoplastic and based on premolar cingulid development (24^1) . For at least three characters, *Epirigenys* presents features that might represent intermediate states between basal representatives of the HB clade (such as *Bothriogenys*) and Hippopotamidae $(26^0, 43^1, 44^1)$. Most of the characters defining this clade correspond to cingular structure and premolar morphology. The clade Hippopotamidae (node H on Fig. 2, including *Morotochoerus, Kenyapotamus* and Hippopotaminae) is defined as a well-supported node, as in the study of Orliac *et al.*¹¹ We found 10 non-ambiguous synapomorphies and 11 ambiguous ones, the latter related to the scarcity of material attributed to the basalmost hippopotamid, *M. ugandensis.* Most of the characters defining this clade correspond to profound modifications of the molars towards a more bundont pattern. These modifications have already been described by Orliac *et al.*¹¹

A maximum-likelihood tree obtained from the same matrix (Supplementary Data 3) differs in: (1) the position of *G. orientalis*, (2) a clade gathering *Bothriogenys*, *Brachyodus*, the Hippopotamidae and *E. lokonensis*, and (3) the position of *Elomeryx* as stem advanced bothriodontines. This probabilist approach provides a result therefore more congruent with a previous phylogenetic hypothesis for Bothriodontinae²⁷. In both case it also strongly supports the relationships between *E. lokonensis* and Hippopotamidae as well as the relationships of this clade with *Bothriogenys*.

Discussion

Despite advances in studying the record of early hippopotamids^{10,11,32}, recent literature considering fossil data still inconsistently depicted their extrafamilial affinities, alternately favouring Suina, cebochoerids, 'palaeochoerids' or anthracotheres, for example, refs 1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11,26,33. Some cladistic analyses considering an extended array of fossil taxa including basal hippopotamids and anthracotheres^{4,10,11,24,29,30} supported a hippopotamid emergence within anthracotheres ('anthracothere hypothesis'). However, these works proposed various anthracothere clades for alternative rooting of the Hippopotamidae: Anthracotheriinae, crown bothriodontines (Merycopotamus and Libycosaurus), advanced bothriodontines (including, for example, Sivameryx) or archaic bothriodontines (for example, Bothriogenys). These clades differ markedly in their degree of selenodonty, their ecologies and their spatiotemporal distributions, implying very different evolutionary and biogeographical scenarios for example, refs 2,34.

The discovery of *Epirigenys* greatly improves this condition, because it has been demonstrated to be a hippopotamoid displaying a functionally intermediate combination of characters, echoing the cetacean fossil discoveries celebrated by Gatesy¹. Our phylogenetic study allows formal identification of the archaic bothriodontines as stem group of the Hippopotamidae (in accordance with some of the hypotheses discussed in refs 10,11,26). First, this placement unveils the complete evolutionary changes that led to the hippopotamid dental morphology. Second, it supports a single scenario explaining the biogeographical history of the hippopotamid emergence. Finally, it is an important step towards the resolution of relationships between basal cetancodonts.

The evolution of the unique hippopotamid dental morphology has been historically viewed as a difficulty for each competing hypotheses on hippopotamid origins, for example, refs 35,36. An emergence from bothriodontines (ref. 2: Fig. 3) implied a moderately to markedly selenodont dental ancestry. In this context, Boisserie and Lihoreau³⁴ suggested that (1) this transition, requiring the mesio-distal reorientation and shortening of cristae, may have been relatively easy given the significant effects of some limited genotypic alterations on mammalian cusp morphologies, and (2) dental enamel thickening may also have played a role in shaping the hippopotamid dental pattern. The subsequent confirmation of *Kenyapotamus* and recognition of *Morotochoerus* as early

hippopotamids^{10,11} indicated that progressive paraconule reduction, reorientation of the cristae and migrations of the para- and metastyles took place in Miocene hippopotamids.

The identification of *Epirigenys* anchors the Hippopotamidae within a group of bothriodontines that displays a bunoselenodont dental pattern with relatively moderate stylar developments. *Epirigenys* has pentacuspidate upper molars, marked styles and distally closed trigonids, features that are typical of archaic bothriodontines such as *Bothriogenys*. In addition, the molar cusp/id pattern of *Epirigenys* is close to that of the earliest hippopotamids (*Morotochoerus*) in displaying thick cingula/ids, swollen cristae/ids, multiple secondary cristae/ids, shallow and obstructed valleys, moderately curved labial cristae, a parastyle disjoint from the preparacristae and relatively small styles/ids. In addition to this mosaic of molar features, *Epirigenys* displays low, massive and complex lower premolars as in early hippopotamids.

This indicates that the dental evolution of Hippopotamidae from their bothriodontine ancestors was essentially achieved through combining: (1) the reorientation of crista/ids also observed in early hippopotamids; (2) stylar reduction; (3) a disconnection and an elongation of the postmeta- and postprotocristids distally opening the trigonid. These simple dental modifications contrast with the heavier dental changes required by alternative hypotheses, notably that of a hippopotamid emergence from advanced bothriodontines with derived selenodonty (for example, refs 29,35,37, Fig. 3; 64 additional steps for the alternative hypothesis with Hippopotamids merging from advanced bothriodontines, sister group of *Libycosaurus* and *Merycopotamus*).

These results warrant a reassessment of two dental homologies and related nomenclature previously applied to the Hippopotamidae. First, Hippopotamidae display only one distal crista on the protocone directed towards the centre of the crown and often reaching the premetacristule. This crista is identified as the postprotocrista¹⁰. In *Epirigenys* and *Bothriogenys*, the postprotocrista is mesially flanked by a long postectoprotocrista in a similar position (Fig. 3). The situation in *Morotochoerus* suggests that the crista found in Hippopotamidae could actually be the postectoprotocrista, the postprotocrista being reduced or even missing (Fig. 3). In this case, *Kenyapotamus* might have occasionally developed a second, small and mesially oriented postectoprotocrista. This hypothesis should receive further support from the fossil record prior to full acceptance.

Second, in bothriodontine lower premolars including those of Epirigenys, there is a strong elevation of the lingual cingulid that is an entostylid according to Boisserie et al.¹⁰ In Epirigenys, the entostylid is stronger and more mesially positioned than in Bothriogenys, recalling in this the lingual cuspid observed in Hippopotamidae (Fig. 3). However, in Kenyapotamus and especially in Hippopotaminae, this cuspid is often, although not always, mesially lined by a low cingulid, and is identified as a metaconid¹⁰. This was not observed on the limited specimen samples attributed to Epirigenys and Morotochoerus. Our observations and phylogenetic results strongly suggest that a secondary cingulid developed in later hippopotamids, the bothriodontine entostylid and the hippopotamid (secondary) metaconid being probably homologous. This hypothesis should be included in future phylogenetic analyses of the Hippopotamoidea. It should be noted that we used the previous nomenclature throughout this contribution.

Previous studies led to propose at least two possible biogeographical scenarios for hippopotamid emergence^{10,11}. However, the discovery of *Epirigenys* can help to favour only one scenario. *Epirigenys*, dated from the late early Oligocene or early late Oligocene¹⁹, is related to a group of archaic bothriodontines that expanded from Asia to Africa as early as



Figure 3 | Evolution of occlusal pattern in hippopotamoid. Part of the consensus tree (clade HB) replaced on the geologic time scale⁵⁴ and the geographic distribution, with the morphological evolution of the upper molar. Colour correspondence on upper molars: cristae and cusps in black, styles in red and wear pattern in blue. M, metacone; M^{ul}, metaconule; P, paracone; Pro, protocone; P^{ul}, paraconule.

the latest Eocene²¹ (Fig. 3). The oldest confident occurrence of *Bothriogenys* sp. in Africa is from the Fayum, Egypt (L25, Dir Abu Lifa Member of the Qasr el Sagha Formation³⁸). It can be noted that previous claims of a somewhat older occurrence at Bir el Ater, Algeria³⁹ was not confirmed by a re-examination of the material housed at the University of Oran.

The dispersion phase of *Bothriogenys* may have been complex. *Bothriogenys* was relatively diverse in southeastern Asia during the late $Eocene^{40-42}$. This diversity is echoed at the Fayum during the early Oligocene with two different genera of archaic bothriodontines^{21,22}: *Bothriogenys*, represented by four species, and the monospecific genus *Qatraniodon*. In our opinion, a third archaic bothriodontine may be represented by an isolated tooth from the Fayum, initially attributed to '*Rhagatherium*', see ref. 31.

The occurrence of *Epirigenys* at the early/late Oligocene transition in Lokone implies the presence in Africa of another lineage during the early Oligocene. Its affinities with *Bo. orientalis* from southeastern Asia rather than with *Bothriogenys* from the Fayum (Fig. 3) suggest that the African diversification of the archaic bothriodontines occurred through multiple waves during the latest Eocene and the early Oligocene. Such a complex scenario would not be unique to anthracotheriids, several dispersal events from southeastern Asia to Africa having also been proposed for Eocene primates^{43,44} and rodents⁴⁵.

According to our results, the subsequent evolution of African archaic anthracotheriids followed two main paths: (1) the branch that led from the Fayum species of *Bothriogenys* to the early Miocene *Brachyodus* (Fig. 3, in agreement with ref. 22); and (2) the branch of *Epirigenys*, eventually leading to the Hippopotamidae (Fig. 3). Isotopic and morphological evidence

suggests that the former lineage had semiaquatic habits throughout its evolutionary history^{46,47}. These ecological conditions are also documented for fossil and extant hippopotamines⁴⁸, and suggested by some isotopic results for *Kenyapotamus*⁴⁹. In fact, the dispersal(s) of Asian archaic bothriodontines to insular Africa might have been favoured by semiaquatic adaptations, possibly a primitive condition for this group.

However, both clades differ strongly in their dental evolution and in their outcome (Fig. 3). Contrary to the progressive reduction of selonodont features in the hippopotamid clade, the selenodonty of the Fayum *Bothriogenys* was enhanced in *Brachyodus* that developed extremely enlarged styles (Fig. 3). This latter genus included several large species and spread to Eurasia, but it became extinct before the middle Miocene, relatively soon after the dispersal of Asian advanced bothriodontines (for example, *Sivameryx*) to Africa (Fig. 3). Competition with those migrants, also displaying advanced selenodonty, might have been a significant factor in the extinction of the clade *Bothriogenys–Brachyodus*.

During most of the Miocene, the hippopotamid lineage remained quite discrete, flourishing at the end of the epoch. During the Plio-Pleistocene, it gave rise to 30–40 species, most of them often large body-sized and it expanded several times to Eurasia⁵⁰. Hippopotamids are still represented by two extant African species, testifying to the longest evolutionary sequence of terrestrial cetartiodactyls in Africa.

It should be kept in mind that this scenario may somewhat evolve, notably because the nodes describing the relationships within 'Anthracotheriidae' are not as robust as the clade *Epirigenys* + Hippopotamidae and the clade of advanced bothriodontines. In particular, the paraphyly of Bothriogenys requires further confirmation and, if invalidated, it might lead to a simplification of the above presented scenario, notably regarding the initial phase of expansion from Asia to Africa. In addition, it should be noted that these interpretations are mostly based on dental evidence, and that the future integration of cranial and postcranial features in the context of broader-scale analyses, beyond the scope of this contribution, should be important in validating these hypotheses. Furthermore, the relationships within the outgroup should be further investigated in the future, notably with regard to the paraphyly of Cetruminantia obtained here-contra molecular phylogenies. This point should prove important in future works, first to focus on phylogenetic relationships potentially rooting archaic bothriodontines back to the early Eocene, and second to test if it might also affect relationships in the ingroup.

The rooting of Hippopotamidae within archaic bothriodontines through a transitional form reduces previous morphological and biogeographical uncertainties on the anthracothere hypothesis. It also definitely strengthens the deep nesting of Hippopotamidae within 'Anthracotheriidae,' urgently calling for the integration of these data in future analyses, including 'total evidence' ones.

A better understanding of the relationships between early cetancodonts will help producing robust reconstructions of the last common ancestor between whales and hippopotamuses. This would also provide a comprehensive phylogenetic framework to investigate the evolutionary pattern of the terrestrial/aquatic transition within Cetancodonta: it will be significant to understand whether the aquatic adaptations emerge in Cetancodonta as a synapomorphy, as multiple independent acquisitions or as multiple acquisitions triggered by shared underlying factors. It is also required to understand the role of semiaquatic adaptations in opening new evolutionary avenues to the cetancodonts, through expansions to landmasses overseas as well as to the seas themselves.

Methods

Material origin. Part of the material described here has been collected during two field missions in the Lokone area led by M. Leakey in 1994 and 1995. It corresponds to six specimens extracted from Loc. 1 or Loc. 2. Then two other field missions have been led in the same area (Loc. 1, Loc. 2 and Loc. 13) by the Lokone project in 2007 and 2009 and 13 specimens of *E. lokonensis* have been collected.

Tooth description. Dental descriptions follow the nomenclature established by Boisserie *et al.*¹⁰ (Supplementary Note 1). Specimen measurements are available in Supplementary Table 1.

Enamel microstucture investigations. We followed the methodology, nomenclature and comparative materials described in Alloing-Séguier *et al.*²⁶ Two thin sections were performed: a horizontal one and a vertical one (Supplementary Note 3, Supplementary Fig. 2).

Phylogenetic analyses. We performed a cladistic analysis of 54 cetartiodactyl taxa including 30 hippopotamoids, 3 suoids, 2 ruminants and 19 basal cetartio-dactyls as outgroup (Supplementary Methods). We described 164 unweighted and unordered craniodental characters for which almost 83 are original ones (Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Figs 3–15), others being included or modified from published data matrix (refs 10,11,24,26,27,29,30,51). All characters are parsimony informative. We performed a heuristic search (10,000 replications) using Paup 4b10 (ref. 52) on the complete matrix (Supplementary Data 1) and obtained two most parsimonious trees of 980 steps. We calculated Bremer support for each node up to seven supplementary steps (Fig. 3). More than one-third of the consensus tree nodes (40%) are relatively robust (Bremer index≥3). The tree 2 and the apomorphic list are given in Supplementary Data 2 and Supplementary Fig. 16.

A maximum-likelihood analysis was performed with RaxML v. 8.0.2 (ref. 53) on the same data matrix, but as RaxML does not accept polymorphism for morphological data, these were changed to the most common state in the systematic group (Supplementary Data 3). *Homacodon vagans* was set as the

outgroup. We performed 1,000 bootstraps to assess node supports (Supplementary Fig. 17). The command used was: raxmlHPC-SSE3 -s EpirigenysML.txt -n EpirigenysML.out -f a -m MULTIGAMMA -K MK -#1000 -p \$RANDOM -x \$RANDOM. (Shell command to generate a random number).

Nomenclatural Acts. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the proposed online registration system for the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN). The ZooBank LSID (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information can be viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix 'http:// zoobank.org/'. The LSID for this publication is: 0269941B-201A-4677-B65A-3F413387B3F4.

References

- Gatesy, J. in *The Timetree Of Life* (eds Hedges, S. B. & Kumar, S.) 511–515 (Oxford Univ. Press, 2009).
- Boisserie, J.-R., Fischer, R., Lihoreau, F. & Weston, E. Evolving between land and water: key questions on the emergence and history of the Hippopotamidae (Hippopotamoidea, Cetancodonta, Cetartiodactyla). *Biol. Rev.* 86, 601–625 (2011).
- Gatesy, J. et al. A phylogenetic blueprint for a modern whale. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 66, 479–506 (2013).
- Spaulding, M., O'Leary, M. A. & Gatesy, J. Relationships of Cetacea (Artiodactyla) among mammals: increased taxon sampling alters interpretations of key fossils and character evolution. *PLoS ONE* 4, e7062 (2009).
- 5. Geisler, J. H. & Theodor, J. M. *Hippopotamus* and whales phylogeny. *Nature* **458**, E1–E4 (2009).
- Thewissen, J. G. M., Cooper, L. N., Clementz, M. T., Bajpai, S. & Tiwari, B. N. Thewissen *et al.* reply. *Nature* 458, E5 (2009).
- Pickford, M. Morotochoerus from Uganda (17.5Ma) and Kenyapotamus from Kenya (13-11Ma): implications for hippopotamid origins. Estud. Geol. 67, 523-540 (2011).
- Vislobokova, I. A. On the origin of Cetartiodactyla: comparison of data on evolutionary morphology and molecular biology. *Paleontol. J.* 47, 321–334 (2013).
- 9. Geisler, J. H. & Uhen, M. D. Morphological support for a close relationship between hippos and whales. J. Vertebr. Paleontol. 23, 991–996 (2003).
- Boisserie, J.-R. *et al.* Morphology and phylogenetic relationships of the earliest known hippopotamids (Cetartiodactyla, Hippopotamidae, Kenyapotaminae). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **158**, 325–366 (2010).
- Orliac, M., Boisserie, J.-R., Lihoreau, F. & MacLatchy, L. Early Miocene hippopotamids (Cetartiodactyla) constrain the phylogenetic and spatiotemporal settings of hippopotamid origin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 11871–11876 (2010).
- 12. Zalmout, I. S. et al. New Oligocene primate from Saudi Arabia and the divergence of apes and Old World monkeys. Nature 466, 360–364 (2010).
- Ducrocq, S., Manthi, F. K. & Lihoreau, F. First record of a parapithecid primate from the Oligocene of Kenya. J. Hum. Evol. 61, 327–331 (2011).
- Stevens, N. J. et al. Palaeontological evidence for an Oligocene divergence between Old World monkeys and apes. Nature 497, 611–614 (2013).
- Kappelman, J. *et al.* New Oligocene mammals from Ethiopia and the pattern and timing of faunal exchange between Afro-Arabia and Eurasia. *Nature* 426, 549–552 (2003).
- 16. Shoshani, J. et al. A proboscidean from the late Oligocene of Eritrea, a "missing link" between early Elephantiformes and Elephantiforma, and biogeographic implications. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 17296–17301 (2006).
- Stevens, N. J., O'Connor, P. M., Gottfried, M. D., Roberts, E. M. & Ngasala, S. An anthropoid primate humerus from the Rukwa Rift Basin, Paleogene of southwestern Tanzania. J. Vertebr. Paleontol. 25, 986–989 (2005).
- Rasmussen, D. T. & Gutierrez, M. A mammalian fauna from the late Oligocene of Northwestern Kenya. *Palaeontograph. Abt. A* 288, 1–52 (2009).
- 19. Ducrocq, S. et al. New Oligocene vertebrate localities from northern Kenya (Turkana Basin). J. Vertebr. Paleontol. **30**, 293–299 (2010).
- Schmidt, M. Über paarhufer der fluviomarinen schichten des Fajum, odontographisches und osteologisches Material. *Geol. Palaeontol. Abhand.* 1, 155–264 (1913).
- Ducrocq, S. The anthracotheriid genus *Bothriogenys* (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) in Africa and Asia during the Paleogene: phylogenetical and paleobiogeographical relationships. *Stuttg. Beitr. Nat. Kd.* 250, 1–44 (1997).
- Holroyd, P. A., Lihoreau, F., Gunnell, G. F. & Miller, E. in *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa* (eds Werdelin, L. & Sanders, W. J.) 843–852 (Univ. of California Press, 2010).
- Marivaux, L., Lihoreau, F., Manthi, F. K. & Ducrocq, S. A new basal phiomorph (Rodentia, Hystricognathi) from the late Oligocene of Lokone (Turkana Basin, Kenya). J. Vertebr. Paleontol. 32, 646–657 (2012).

ARTICLE

- Gentry, A. W. & Hooker, J. J. in *The Phylogeny and Classification of the Tetrapods*. Vol. 2 Mammals (ed. Benton, M. J.) 235–272 (Clarendon, 1988).
- Damuth, J. in Body Size in Mammalian Paleobiology: estimation and Biological Implications (eds Damuth, J. & McFadden, B.) 229–253 (Cambridge Univ. press, 1990).
- Alloing-Séguier, L. et al. Enamel microstructure evolution in anthracotheres (Mammalia, Cetartiodactyla) and new insights on hippopotamoid phylogeny. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 171, 668–695 (2014).
- Lihoreau, F. & Ducrocq, S. in *The Evolution of Artiodactyls* (eds Prothero, D. R. & Foss, S. E.) 89–105 (The Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 2007).
- Tsubamoto, T. A new anthracotheriid artiodactyl from the Eocene Pondaung formation of Myanmar. Vertebrat. Palasiatica 49, 85–113 (2011).
- Boisserie, J.-R., Lihoreau, F. & Brunet, M. The position of Hippopotamidae within Cetartiodactyla. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102, 1537–1541 (2005).
- Boisserie, J.-R., Lihoreau, F. & Brunet, M. Origins of Hippopotamidae (Mammalia, Cetartiodactyla): towards resolution. *Zool. Scr.* 34, 119–143 (2005).
 Black, C. A. in *Evolution of African Mammals* (eds Maglio, V. J. &
- Cooke, H. B. S.) 423–434 (Harvard Univ. Press, 1978).
- 32. Pickford, M. On the origins of Hippopotamidae together with descriptions of two species, a new genus and a new subfamily from the Miocene of Kenya. *Geobios* 16, 193–217 (1983).
- Thewissen, J. G. M., Cooper, L. N., Clementz, M. T., Bajpai, S. & Tiwari, B. N. Whales originated from aquatic artiodactyls in the Eocene epoch of India. *Nature* 450, 1190–1195 (2007).
- Boisserie, J.-R. & Lihoreau, F. Emergence of Hippopotamidae: new scenarios. C. R. Palevol. 5, 749–756 (2006).
- Colbert, E. H. The phylogeny of the Indian Suidae and the origin of the Hippopotamidae. Am. Mus. Novit. 799, 1–24 (1935).
- Pickford, M. The myth of the hippo-like anthracothere: the eternal problem of homology and convergence. *Rev. Esp. Paleontol.* 23, 31–90 (2008).
- Gaziry, A. W. Neogene Paleontology and Geology of Sahabi (eds Boaz, N. T., El-Arnauti, A., Gaziry, A. W., de Heinzelin, J. & Dechant Boaz, D.) 287–302 (Alan R. Liss, Inc., 1987).
- Holroyd, P. A., Simons, E. L., Bown, T. M., Polly, P. D. & Kraus, M. J. New records of terrestrial mammals from the upper Eocene Qasr El Sagha formation, Fayum depression, Egypt. *Palaeovertebrata* 25, 175–192 (1996).
- Coiffait, P. E., Coiffait, B., Jaeger, J.-J. & Mahboubi, M. Un nouveau gisement à mammifères fossiles d'âge Eocène supérieur sur le versant sud des Nementcha (Algérie orientale): découverte des plus anciens rongeurs d'Afrique. C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. II 299, 893–898 (1984).
- 40. Chow, M. Some Oligocene mammals from Lunan, Yunnan. Vertebrat. Palasiatica 2, 263–268 (1958).
- 41. Ducrocq, S. The Late Eocene Anthracotheriidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) from Thailand. *Palaeontographica* **252**, 93–140 (1999).
- 42. Ducrocq, S. *et al*.New anthracotheres (Cetartiodactyla, Mammalia) from the Paleogene of northeastern Vietnam: biochronological implications. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* (in the press).
- Chaimanee, Y. *et al.* Late Middle Eocene primate from Myanmar and the initial anthropoid colonization of Africa. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 10293–10297 (2012).
- 44. Marivaux, L. et al. A morphological intermediate between eosimiiform and simiiform Primates from the Late Middle Eocene of Tunisia: macroevolutionary and paleobiogeographic implications of early anthropoids. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **154**, 387–401 (2014).
- 45. Marivaux, L. et al. A new and primitive species of Protophiomys (Rodentia, Hystricognathi) from the late middle Eocene of Djebel el Kébar, Central Tunisia. Palaeovertebrata 38, 1–17 (2014).

- Clementz, M. T., Holroyd, P. A. & Koch, P. L. Identifying aquatic habits of herbivorous mammals through stable isotope analysis. *Palaios* 23, 574–585 (2008).
- Orliac, M. J. *et al.* Specialization for amphibiosis in *Brachyodus onoideus* (Artiodactyla, Hippopotamoidea) from the Early Miocene of France. *Swiss J. Geosci.* 106, 265–278 (2013).
- Weston, E. M. & Boisserie, J.-R. Cenozoic Mammals of Africa (eds Werdelin, L. & Sanders, W. J.) 853–871 (Univ. of California Press, 2010).
- Harris, J. M., Cerling, T. E., Leakey, M. G. & Passey, B. H. Stable isotope ecology of fossil hippopotamids from the Lake Turkana Basin of East Africa. J. Zool. 275, 323–331 (2008).
- Boisserie, J.-R. The Evolution of Artiodactyls (eds Prothero, D. R. & Foss, S. E.) 106–119 (Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 2007).
- Geisler, J. H., Theodor, J. M., Uhen, M. D. & Foss, S. E. *The Evolution of Artiodactyls* (eds Prothero, D. R. & Foss, S. E.) 19–31 (The Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 2007).
- Swofford, D. L. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4 (Sinauer Associates, 2003).
- 53. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).
- 54. Gradstein, F. M. et al. The Geologic Time Scale 2012 (Elsevier, 2012).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank M. Leakey who kindly offered us to excavate and study the locality she discovered in the Lokone area in 1994. We thank the Ministry of Science and Technology of Kenya for permission to conduct research in the Turkana District, Dr I.O. Farah (Director General of the NMK), Dr E. Mbua (head of the Geoscience Department) and the staff of the NMK for their assistance with collections. We are indebted to people who participated in field missions for their logistic and field assistance (M. Macharwas, people of Loperot and Lomeloku, F. Emekui and Mary). Many thanks to J.-J. Tiercelin for his help on the field and the geological survey of the area. We gratefully thank the heads and people of institutions who graciously provided access to their collections (see Supplementary Methods). We also thank M. Orliac for help with the morphology of basal Cetartiodactyla and B. Nabholz, F. Delsuc and P. Gaubert for help with ML analysis. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche programs Palasiafrica (ANR-08-JCJC-0017-01) and EVAH (ANR-09-BLAN-0238).

Contributions

F.L., J.-R.B. and S.D. equally contributed to material collection, in analyzing the data and in the writing of the manuscript. F.L., S.D., J.-R.B. and F.K.M. designed and organized the project.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Lihoreau, F. *et al.* Hippos stem from the longest sequence of terrestrial cetartiodactyl evolution in Africa. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6264 doi: 10.1038/ncomms7264 (2015).