

Uncertainty quantification and ensemble forecast in coarse-grid or dimensionally-reduced computational fluid dynamics

Valentin Resseguier, Etienne Mémin, Bertrand Chapron

► To cite this version:

Valentin Resseguier, Etienne Mémin, Bertrand Chapron. Uncertainty quantification and ensemble forecast in coarse-grid or dimensionally-reduced computational fluid dynamics. ECCM - ECFD 2018 - 6th European Conference on Computational Mechanics - 7th European Conference on Computational Fluid Dynamics, Jun 2018, Glasgow, United Kingdom. pp.1-41. hal-01891183

HAL Id: hal-01891183 https://hal.science/hal-01891183

Submitted on 9 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Uncertainty quantification and ensemble forecast in coarse-grid or dimensionally-reduced computational fluid dynamics

Valentin Resseguier,

Etienne Mémin, Bertrand Chapron

BSCALIAN





Motivations

- Rigorously identified sudgrid dynamics effects
- Injecting likely small-scale dynamics
- Studying bifurcations and attractors



• Quantification of modeling errors



Ensemble forecasts and data assimilation

Contents

- Scalian
- Location uncertainty
- SQG under moderate uncertainty

Part I: ESCALIAN

L@b (~ 15 peoples)

Research, R&T, R&D

Expertise:

- · Geophysical fluid dyn.
- Signal, data assimilation
- Machine Learning
- Multi-agents systems
- Drones

Other Business Units

~ 2400 people

CEN « **Simulation** » (~ 70 people)

R&D and engineering

Expertise:

- Radar, optronics, sonar
- \cdot Geophysical fluid dyn.
- Mechanical and thermal

Business:

- Scientific softwares
- Simulations, HPC
- ·VR&AR









Part II Location uncertainty (LU)

Usual random CFD

 Random parameters, boundary conditions, forcing



Other (complementary) issues

Random initial conditions



Underdispersive + need large ensemble

• Arbitrary Gaussian forcing



Adding energy + wrong phase

• Averaging, homogenization



Assumptions and energy issues

Usual random CFD



LU :Adding random velocity

$v = w + \sigma \dot{B}$

LU :Adding random velocity

Resolved large scales $v = w + \sigma \dot{B}$

LU :Adding random velocity







References :

Mikulevicius and Rozovskii, 2004 Flandoli, 2011 Memin, 2014 Resseguier et al. 2017 a, b, c Chapron et al. 2017 Cai et al. 2017 Holm, 2015 Holm and Tyranowski, 2016 Arnaudon et al., 2017 Cotter and al 2017 Crisan et al., 2017 Gay-Balmaz & Holm 2017 Cotter and al 2018 a, b Large scales: wSmall scales: $\sigma \dot{B}$ Variance tensor: a = a(x, x) = $\frac{\mathbb{E}\{\sigma dB (\sigma dB)^T\}}{dt}$

Advection of tracer (P)

 $\frac{D\Theta}{Dt} = 0$

Large scales: wSmall scales: $\sigma \dot{B}$ Variance tensor: a = a(x, x) = $\frac{\mathbb{E}\{\sigma dB (\sigma dB)^T\}}{dt}$

Large scales: wSmall scales: $\sigma \dot{B}$ Variance tensor: a = a(x, x) = $\frac{\mathbb{E}\{\sigma dB (\sigma dB)^T\}}{dt}$

Advection of tracer **O**

$\partial_t \Theta + w^* \cdot \nabla \Theta + \sigma \dot{B} \cdot \nabla \Theta = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}a \nabla \Theta\right)$

Large scales:
w
Small scales:
$\sigma \dot{B}$
Variance tensor:
$oldsymbol{a} = oldsymbol{a}(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{x}) =$
$rac{\mathbb{E}\{oldsymbol{\sigma} dB \left(oldsymbol{\sigma} dB ight)^T \}}{dt}$

















A word about reduced order models

- Very fast simulation of very complex system (e.g. for industrial application)
- Physical model (PDE) simplified using observations

Reduced models under location uncertainty

- Rigorous and low-cost estimators
- Stabilization of the unstable modes
- Maintain variability of stable modes
- Uncertainty quantification

State of art

- Possible parametrization with eddy viscosity
- Impossible to parametrize with additional dissipation Need ad hoc closure like MQG (Sapsis and Majda, 2013a,b,c)

Part III SQG under Moderate Uncertainty

SQG MU

Code available online

$t = 17 \,\mathrm{days}$





$t = 17 \,\mathrm{days}$







































Ensemble : random coherent structures







Ensemble : random coherent structures





Ensemble : uncertainty quantification



Ensemble : uncertainty quantification



Conclusion

Conclusion

LU models blindly describe unresolved triades

- Conserve energy
- Stabilization / destabilization in Reduced Order Model
- Instabilities triggered, possibly followed by extreme events
- Uncertainty quantification to address filter
 divergence

Related works, outlooks and application

- Bifurcations (SQG) and attractor (Lorenz 63) exploration
- Comparisons with data-driven parametrisation and SALT (Stochastic Advection by Lie Transport) (Holm and coauthors)
- Parametrization and tests based on higher-order statistics (curvature, energy flux through scales, bispectrum, ...)
- (Surface gravity) wave / turbulence interaction
- Data assimilation (DA) :
 - Filtering / smoothing
 - EnKF with LU model as a R&D tool (for e.g. airplanes, drones)
 - PF with reduced LU model for real-time monitoring and flow control (for e.g. polluant dispersion monitoring, drag and damage reduction in e.g. wind turbines)
 - Girsanov theorem for MLE and Bayesian estimations with e.g. satellite images

17 V. Resseguier - valentin.resseguier@scalian.com