



HAL
open science

Trehalose-6-phosphate promotes fermentation and glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Rebeca Vicente, Lucie Spina, Jose P.L. Gómez, Sébastien Dejean, Jean-Luc Parrou, Jean Marie François

► **To cite this version:**

Rebeca Vicente, Lucie Spina, Jose P.L. Gómez, Sébastien Dejean, Jean-Luc Parrou, et al.. Trehalose-6-phosphate promotes fermentation and glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbial Cell*, 2018, 5 (10), pp.444-459. 10.15698/mic2018.10.651 . hal-01889998

HAL Id: hal-01889998

<https://hal.science/hal-01889998>

Submitted on 14 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Trehalose-6-phosphate promotes fermentation and glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Rebeca L. Vicente^{1,2}, Lucie Spina¹, Jose P.L. Gómez¹, Sebastien Dejean³, Jean-Luc Parrou¹ and Jean Marie François^{1,4*}

¹ LISBP; UMR INSA-CNRS 5504 & INRA 792; Toulouse, France.

² Fundación Alfonso Martín Escudero; Madrid, Spain.

³ Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France.

⁴ Toulouse White Biotechnology Center, UMS INSA-INRA-CNRS, F-31520 Ramonville.

* Corresponding Author:

Jean Marie François, LISBP INSA, 135 Avenue de Rangeuil, F-31077 Toulouse cedex 04; Phone: +33(0) 5 62 28 59 07;

E-mail: fran_jm@insa-toulouse.fr

ABSTRACT The yeast trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (Tps1) catalyzes the formation of trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) in trehalose synthesis. Besides, Tps1 plays a key role in carbon and energy homeostasis in this microbial cell, as shown by the well documented loss of ATP and hyper accumulation of sugar phosphates in response to glucose addition in a mutant defective in this protein. The inability of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* *tps1* mutant to cope with fermentable sugars is still a matter of debate. We reexamined this question through a quantitative analysis of the capability of *TPS1* homologues from different origins to complement phenotypic defects of this mutant. Our results allowed to classify this complementation in three groups. A first group enclosed *TPS1* of *Kluyveromyces lactis* with that of *S. cerevisiae* as their expression in *Sctps1* cells fully recovered wild type metabolic patterns and fermentation capacity in response to glucose. At the opposite was the group with *TPS1* homologues from the bacteria *Escherichia coli* and *Ralstonia solanacearum*, the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the insect *Drosophila melanogaster* whose metabolic profiles were comparable to those of a *tps1* mutant, notably with almost no accumulation of T6P, strong impairment of ATP recovery and potent reduction of fermentation capacity, albeit these homologous genes were able to rescue growth of *Sctps1* on glucose. In between was a group consisting of *TPS1* homologues from other yeast species and filamentous fungi characterized by 5 to 10 times lower accumulation of T6P, a weaker recovery of ATP and a 3-times lower fermentation capacity than wild type. Finally, we found that glucose repression of gluconeogenic genes was strongly dependent on T6P. Altogether, our results suggest that the TPS protein is indispensable for growth on fermentable sugars, and points to a critical role of T6P as a sensing molecule that promotes sugar fermentation and glucose repression.

doi: 10.15698/mic2018.10.651
Received originally: 14.05.2018;
in revised form: 01.08.2018,
Accepted 24.08.2018,
Published 01.10.2018.

Keywords: *TPS1*, trehalose 6-phosphate, glycolysis, flux sensing, Crabtree effect, glucose repression, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

Abbreviations:

FBP - fructose-1,6-bisphosphate,
G6P - glucose-6-phosphate,
T6P - trehalose-6-phosphate,
TPS - T6P synthase.

INTRODUCTION

The yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is widely used as a eukaryotic model organism for fundamental research. In addition, it is the most utilized microorganism in traditional biotechnological applications such as bread-making and fermented drinks [1], and deserves a great desirability towards the exploitation of renewable carbon sources for fuels and commodity chemicals [2-5]. These applications

often involve rational design of the carbon metabolic pathways to increase performance and robustness, quantitatively expressed as production titer, yield and productivity. This metabolic rewiring requires at first glance an extremely well detailed knowledge of the metabolic networks and their regulation.

Glycolysis is the backbone of the carbon metabolism in *S. cerevisiae* and even after more than 50 years of intensive

research [6], the regulation of this pathway is not completely understood. A still unsolved issue concerns the role of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS) complex in carbon and energy metabolism of the yeast *S. cerevisiae*. This complex contains four subunits, namely Tps1, Tps2, Tps3 and Tsl1. The subunit encoded by *TPS1* catalyzes the formation of trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) from glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) and UDP-glucose. T6P is then dephosphorylated by the subunit encoded by *TPS2*. Subunits encoded by *TPS3* and *TSL1* do not harbor any known catalytic function but may participate in the integrity of the TPS complex [7, 8]. The deletion of *TPS1*, not only prevents the synthesis of trehalose, an α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 1)-D-glucopyranoside, that serves both as storage carbohydrate and stress protectant in yeast [7, 9], but most importantly, it leads to a growth defect on fermentable sugars [8, 10]. This defect is witnessed by a massive accumulation of sugar phosphates and depletion of ATP upon addition of rapidly assimilated sugars to nutrient-starved yeast or yeast cultivated on non-fermentative carbon sources [11, 12]. Two models have been proposed to account for this glycolytic defect phenotype, namely an over-activity of the major yeast hexokinase encoded by *HXK2* due to the loss of its inhibition by T6P [13], and a depletion of intracellular Pi due to hyper-accumulation of sugar phosphates leading to an inactive glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase [11]. More recently, van Heerden *et al* [14] revisited these two models through the combination of flux analysis using ¹³C labelling experiments and mathematical modelling. They concluded about the importance of Pi-dynamic in the startup of glycolysis, which can be brought about by an ATP hydrolysis, resulting from trehalose metabolic cycling. Nonetheless, this last model does not consider that a mutant defective in *TPS2* does not exhibit any apparent glycolytic defects, except a very high accumulation of T6P [15]. In addition, besides this glycolytic defect, a yeast mutant defective in *TPS1* exhibits other multiple and apparently unrelated physiological defects, such as hyper-accumulation of glycogen [16], sporulation deficiency [17], higher uncoupling of the respiratory chain [18], reduced phospholipid production [19], lack of gluconeogenesis repression [20], as well as a high sensitivity to temperature and oxidative stress [21]. Altogether, these results underline a wider role of Tps1 in the regulation of *S. cerevisiae* metabolism.

To further document on the importance of the Tps1 protein and its product T6P in yeast sugar metabolism, we decided to revisit previous works dealing with complementation studies of a *S. cerevisiae tps1Δ* mutant (*Sctps1Δ*) with *TPS1* homologues from different origins, including bacteria, fungi, plants and insects. This work was motivated by the fact that these complementation studies were merely qualitative, employing episomic plasmids in which *TPS1* homologues were cloned either under their own promoter or under *ADH1* or *PGK1* promoter. Also, these complementation assays were carried out with *tps1* mutants from different strain backgrounds [22-27], which hampered any comparison between the data. In addition, a

recent result of our group showed that *S. cerevisiae tps1Δ* mutant recovered growth on glucose after transformation with the *Yarrowia lipolytica TPS1* (*YITPS1*) cloned in an episomic plasmid under the *PGK1* promoter, even though T6P was barely detectable [15]. Conversely, Bonini *et al.* [25] reported a partial glucose growth recovery of a *Sctps1Δ* upon expression of the *E. coli otsA* cloned in an episomic plasmid under *PGK1* promoter while levels of T6P were almost comparable to those in wild type yeast. Therefore, in order to seek further on the role of Tps1 and T6P in yeast sugar fermentation, we compared the potency of various *TPS1* homologues to quantitatively complement phenotypic traits of a *tps1Δ* mutant made in the physiologically well characterized CEN.PK strain [28]. This comparative study was carried out by expressing these homologous genes on the same centromeric vector under a constitutive *PGK1* promoter, instead of the *TPS1* promoter, to avoid unpredicted transcriptional control of this gene. This work led us to propose that the Tps1 protein is indispensable for growth on a fermentable carbon source, whereas T6P may act as a sensing molecule promoting fermentation and glucose repression.

RESULTS

Rationale to select *TPS1* homologues to complement the phenotype of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant

Based on a phylogenetic analysis of the TPS proteins reported earlier by Avonce *et al* [29], we selected *TPS1* genes that belong to the main branches of the tree topologies, and combined this selection with previous works reporting complementation of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant by the selected genes. Accordingly, we performed a new phylogenetic analysis with the retained 14 TPS proteins. This analysis grouped these proteins into 3 subgroups (Fig. 1). In the first group are TPS proteins from the yeast species including *S. cerevisiae*, *K. lactis*, *Candida albicans*, *Hansenula polymorpha* and *Pichia pastoris*. A second subgroup comprises TPS from the yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and the two filamentous fungi *Aspergillus nidulans* and *Magnaporthe grisea*. The position of TPS from *Yarrowia lipolytica* is somehow in forefront of these two subgroups. The TPS protein from the bacteria *E. coli* and *R. solanacearum* composed the third distinct subgroup, which also contains the protein from the insect *D. melanogaster*. The TPS protein from the plant *A. thaliana* encoded by *TPS1* linked in between the second and the third subgroup. Comparison of amino acids sequence alignment of these TPS proteins confirmed that they all harbored the residues involved in the binding of the substrates G6P and UDPGlc (see Fig. S1). This alignment also revealed an N-terminal extension of the *A. thaliana* TPS protein which is also present in the Rip protein of *R. solanacearum* (Fig. S2). It was shown that this N-terminal extension has an inhibitory effect on the catalytic activity of the TPS protein [30]. This alignment also underscores an important C-terminal extension of more than 300 amino acids length for the TPS protein of *A. thaliana*, *P. pastoris* and *D. melanogaster*.




FIGURE 1: Phylogenetic tree of TPS protein homologues. Phylogenetic tree calculation is based on a sequence distance method and utilizes the Neighbour Joining algorithm of Saitou and Nei [31].

Striking differences in the potency of TPS1 homologues from different origins to recover growth of Sctps1Δ on a fermentable sugar

To investigate the ability of TPS1 homologues from bacteria, fungi, plants and insects to complement phenotypes of *S. cerevisiae tps1Δ* strain (*Sctps1Δ*), we cloned their CDS (coding sequence) in the centromeric Ycplac33 plasmid under the ScPGK1 promoter. As shown in Fig. 2, all the TPS1 homologous genes could complement the glucose-negative phenotype of a *Sctps1Δ* mutant on solid media although with different efficiency. Indeed, the potency for growth recovery was lower with the plant, insect and *E. coli* TPS1 homologous genes than with yeast and filamen-

tous fungi counterparts, and was only perceptible with the two *R. solanacearum* TPS1 homologous genes, namely *otsA* and *rip1*. The weak capacity of these TPS1 homologues to complement the growth defect of *Sctps1Δ* could not be ascribed to a lack of gene expression, since transcripts of these genes were between 1 to 2,5-fold higher than that of the endogenous TPS1 in the wild type as determined by RT-qPCR (Fig. S3). It could be noticed that the transcription of TPS1 homologues from *E. coli*, *A. thaliana* and *D. melanogaster* was among the highest, in spite of a weaker capacity of these genes to complement the glucose growth defect of a *Sctps1Δ*.

We next measured the growth rate of *Sctps1Δ* transformed with the different TPS1 homologues on different carbon sources. To this end, all yeast transformants were pre-cultured in the permissive YN medium containing 1% trehalose. As reported earlier, this culture condition mimicked a steady-state glucose limited continuous culture at low dilution rate which favors full respiration [32, 33] and can be quickly switched into a respiro-fermentative mode by addition of excess glucose [15]. When the cultures reached around 5 units OD₆₀₀, they were diluted 50-fold in 0.35 ml of YN medium containing glucose (Fig. 3) or fructose (Fig. 4). This experiment clearly showed that TPS1 homologues do not equally restore growth of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant on these fermentable sugars. With the exception of the *P. pastoris* TPS1, the TPS1 homologues of the yeast species were as efficient as the *S. cerevisiae* gene to reestablish growth rate of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant on these sugars. In contrast, the resumption of growth was retarded in *tps1Δ* expressing TPS1 homologues from the filamentous fungi *A. nidulans* and *M. grisea*. This delay in growth resumption was even more exacerbated upon expression of the *E. coli*, *A. thaliana* or *D. melanogaster* TPS1 homologue, with the extreme situation of *Sctps1Δ* expressing *R. solanacearum otsA* that only started to grow after 15 h.




FIGURE 2: Growth assay of S. cerevisiae tps1Δ mutant transformed with TPS1 from different organisms on solid media. The *Sctps1Δ* strain transformed with YcpLac33 plasmid bearing TPS1 homologue was cultivated on YN trehalose 1% till 5 OD₆₀₀ units. 10 μl of serial dilution from this preculture was spotted on YN agar plate containing glucose or galactose at 2% (w/v). Growth was scored after 2 days at 30°C.




FIGURE 3: Resumption of growth of *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant transformed with *TPS1* from different organism on YN glucose media in microtiter plate. (A) Complementation of *sctps1* with *TPS1* homologs from yeast species. (B) Complementation of *Sctps1* with *TPSD1* homologs from *P. pastoris*, *H. polymorpha* and the filamentous fungi *A. niger* and *M. grisea*. (C) Complementation of *sctps1* with *TPS1* homologs from bacteria, plants and drosophila. The experimental design was as in Figure 2 except that the yeast cells were inoculated in 250 μ L of YN containing glucose at 2% (w/v). Results shown are the mean of two independent experiments. For the sake of clarity, standard deviation bars have been withdrawn, but overall the SD was in the range of 10 - 15 %.

Likewise, expression of *Rsrp1*, the other *TPS1* homologue of this bacterium [34], as well as the *tps1-156* allele, encoding for a catalytically dead Tps1 [35], failed to recover growth of *Sctps1Δ* after two days of cultivation in glucose or fructose containing YN medium (data not shown). Notwithstanding, the difference in the capacity to resume growth on fermentable sugars, we verified whether *Sctps1Δ* transformed with the different *TPS1* homologous genes exhibited also difference in their maximal growth rate (μ_{\max}). As reported in Table 1, we found similar μ_{\max} on glucose and fructose as wild type of *Sctps1Δ* complemented with yeast and filamentous fungi *TPS1*, with the exception of the *P. pastoris* and *M. grisea* gene for which the growth rate was 25-35% lower than that of wild type. Also, the maximal growth rate was more than two fold lower in

Sctps1Δ transformed with the *TPS1* homologue from *E. coli*, *A. thaliana* and *D. melanogaster*. A generation time of > 10 h was estimated for the *Sctps1Δ* expressing *RsotsA*, whereas there was no significant growth of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant bearing *Rsrp1* on glucose or fructose. On the other hand, the growth resumption and maximal growth rate of *Sctps1Δ* on the permissive carbon source galactose was not impacted by the expression of any of the different *TPS1* homologues (Table 1 and supplementary data Fig. S4A). As a conclusion, these data indicated that the presence of the Tps1 protein is needed for growth on rapidly assimilated, fermentable sugars, and additionally suggest that the promptness at which the growth can restart on these sugars very likely requires a catalytic active Tps1 protein.




FIGURE 4: Resumption of growth of *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant transformed with *TPS1* from different organism on YN fructose media in microtiter plate. (A) Complementation of *sctps1* with *TPS1* homologs from yeast species. **(B)** Complementation of *Sctps1* with *TPSD1* homologs from *P. pastoris*, *H. polymorpha* and the filamentous fungi *A. niger* and *M. grisea*. **(C)** Complementation of *sctps1* with *TPS1* homologs from bacteria, plants and drosophila. The experimental design was as in Fig.2 except that the yeast cells were inoculated in 250 μ L of YN containing fructose at 2% (w/v). For the sake of clarity, standard deviation bars have been withdrawn, but overall the SD was in the range of 10-15 %.

Tps1 activity in *Sctps1Δ* transformed with *TPS1* homologues and correlation with trehalose levels

The disparity in the growth behavior of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant complemented with *TPS1* homologues of different origins while the expression of these genes was apparently correct (see Fig. S3), prompted us to verify whether this phenotypic complementation was accompanied by a measurable Tps1 activity, which would argue that the TPS proteins from these different origins are active *in vivo* in yeast (Table 2). A first indication that our strategy was valuable was given by the finding that *Sctps1Δ* transformed with the *ScTPS1* gene expressed from a CEN plasmid under the *PGK1* promoter regained the activity of Tps1 and levels of trehalose exactly as the wild type (Table 2). We also found that the Tps1 activity and trehalose levels in

Sctps1Δ transformed with *K. lactis*, *H. polymorpha*, *A. nidulans* and *C. albicans* could be grouped together with that of wild type and *Sctps1Δ* + pScTPS1. With the exception of the AnTPS1, this clustering matched the subgroup 1 of our phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1). At the opposite, a second group which corresponded to cluster 2 in Fig. 1 was composed of *Sctps1Δ* transformed with the TPS protein from the bacteria *E. coli* and *R. solanacearum*, the insect *D. melanogaster* and the plant *A. thaliana*. The activity of Tps1 measured in these transformants was overall ten times lower than that of the wild type. The third group, that also corroborated with the phylogenetic analysis, included *TPS1* from *S. pombe*, *Y. lipolytica*, and *M. grisea*, and showed a Tps1 activity that was around five times lower than that of wild type but sufficiently high to accumulate high levels

TABLE 1. Growth rate of *S.cerevisiae tps1* mutant transformed with *TPS1* from various origin in microplate on different carbon sources.

| Strain name | YN glucose | YN fructose | YN galactose |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| WT+YcPlac33 | 0.29 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+YCplac33 | NG | NG | 0.22 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pScTPS1 | 0.29 ± 0.02 | 0.28 ± 0.03 | 0.26 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pSpTPS1 | 0.28 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.03 | 0.25 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pYITPS1 | 0.28 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.03 | 0.26 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pKITPS1 | 0.29 ± 0.02 | 0.27 ± 0.03 | 0.25 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pCaTPS1 | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.25 ± 0.03 | 0.23 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pPpTPS1 | 0.19 ± 0.02 | 0.15 ± 0.03 | 0.23 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pHpTPS1 | 0.27 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pAnTPS1 | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.26 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pMgTPS1 | 0.21 ± 0.02 | 0.22 ± 0.03 | 0.25 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pEcotsA | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pRsotsA | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.22 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pRsrip1 | <0.05 | <0.05 | 0.21 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+ pDmTPS1 | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| <i>tps1</i> Δ+pAtTPSA | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.13 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |

The yeast strains were cultivated in microtiter plates containing 350 µl of culture media as described in Material and Methods. The microtiter plates were placed in a thermostated Elisa reader (Biotek) set at 30°C under smooth shaking and read every 15 min. NG: no growth. The values are the mean ± standard deviation of three independent biological experiments.

of trehalose. Finally, the Tps1 activity in *Sctps1*Δ expressing *P. pastoris TPS1* was in the same range as that of the bacterial homologous gene (Table 2). When the trehalose content determined in the different strains was plotted as a function of Tps1 activities, a slightly sigmoidal curve was obtained (see supplementary Fig. S5A), allowing to evaluate that optimal synthesis of this disaccharide activity is obtained with an activity of Tps1 in the range of 30 nmol.min⁻¹. mg protein⁻¹.

***Sctps1*Δ complemented with different TPS1 exhibited different metabolite patterns in response to a glucose pulse**

A well-established metabolic defect harbored by a *Sctps1*Δ mutant is the huge accumulation of sugar phosphates, the rapid loss of ATP and the absence of T6P production in response to high glucose [11, 15, 25, 37, 38]. We therefore

investigated the dynamic response of these metabolites to glucose in *Sctps1*Δ transformed with the different *TPS1* homologues. We chose to present the metabolic data as heat map (Fig.5 and 6) since it provides a better visualization of the dynamic changes that occurred in the different strains and shall facilitate grouping of metabolic patterns according to the *TPS1* homologue which complements the *Sctps1*Δ mutant. Note that a classical time course representation of the data is reported in Supplementary Figures S6 to S10. We found, as expected, that the metabolic pattern of *Sctps1*Δ complemented with its own gene was identical to that of the wild type strain and this pattern was also found with the *Sctps1*Δ mutant transformed with the *K. lactis TPS1*, which is in line with the close phylogenetic proximity of these two proteins. At the opposite of this pattern, metabolic profiles of the *Sctps1*Δ mutant expressing *TPS1* from *E. coli*, *R. solanacearum* (*otsA* and *rip1*),

TABLE 2. Activity of Trehalose 6-P synthase (Tps1) and levels of trehalose in the *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant transformed by *TPS1* of various origins.

| Strain ID | Tps1 activity nmol/(min.mg protein) | Trehalose level (mg/g DCW) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| WT+YCplac33 | 76.7 ± 6.6 | 106 ± 13.5 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pSc <i>TPS1</i> | 61.4 ± 3.30 | 93 ± 15 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pKI <i>TPS1</i> | 48.7 ± 15.8 | 97 ± 9 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pCa <i>TPS1</i> | 25.3 ± 3.3 | 86 ± 25 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pHp <i>TPS1</i> | 44.6 ± 4.8 | 50 ± 14 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pAn <i>TPS1</i> | 39.1 ± 16.2 | 87 ± 28 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pSp <i>TPS1</i> | 15.7 ± 8.6 | 75 ± 12 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pMg <i>TPS1</i> | 18.6 ± 8.6 | 45 ± 13 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pYI <i>TPS1</i> | 8.3 ± 3.1 | 64 ± 10 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pPp <i>TPS1</i> | 5.9 ± 1.8 | 36 ± 9 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pEcotsA | 5.40 ± 3.8 | 55 ± 10 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pRsotsA | 5.90 ± 2.4 | 1.1 ± 0.15 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pRsrp1 | 3.20 ± 1.5 | 7 ± 1.5 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pDm <i>TPS1</i> | 6.6 ± 2.30 | 15 ± 11 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +pAt <i>TPS1</i> | 4.0 ± 2.9 | 1.5 ± 0.5 |
| <i>tps1Δ</i> +YCplac33 | <0.10 | 0.00 |

The activity of T6P synthase was measured at 42°C as described in Material and Methods. Results are the mean ± SD of three independent assays. Trehalose was determined in stationary phase approximately 12 h after glucose exhaustion from the medium, at a time when trehalose content is about to reach its maximum and stabilizes for several hours before consumption [36].

A. thaliana and *D. melanogaster* grouped together and were remarkably similar to that of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant. This second group was characterized by a failure to produce T6P (see also supplementary Figure S6) and by an accumulation of H6P (hexose-6-phosphate) and FBP (fructose-1,6-bisphosphate), which were three and then times higher, respectively, than in wild type in response to glucose. In addition, the recovery of ATP, which has been lost after glucose addition, was dramatically impaired in these transformants (Fig. 6 and Supplementary data in Fig. S8). This deficiency in ATP recovery was concomitant with the accumulation of high levels of inosine, which serves as a sink to avoid the cell to lose nucleotide pools [39].

At variance to the phylogenetic analysis reported in Fig. 1, the metabolic patterns exhibited by *TPS1* homologues from other yeast species and filamentous fungi were rather dispersed into small subgroups, which can be related to either the wild type (group 1) or to the *tps1Δ* profile (group 2). More specifically, the *Sctps1Δ* mutant complemented with *TPS1* homologues from *C. albicans*, *S. pombe*, and *H. polymorpha* and to a lesser extent with *A. nidulans TPS1* presented a metabolic pattern in response to glucose which was similar to that of group 1. The most notable differences were, nevertheless, an accumulation of T6P, which was four to ten times lower than in wild type, and a slower recovery of ATP following glucose addition. Probably as a consequence of this slower recovery of ATP, these strains were also characterized by a significant accumulation of inosine, which was slowly remobilized afterwards, leading to their clustering with the *tps1* strain (group 2) for this specific metabolite (Fig. 5 and 6). On the other hand, the metabolic profile harbored by *Sctps1Δ* expressing *TPS1* from *Y. lipolytica*, *P. pastoris* and *M. grisea* is closer to group 2 than group 1, notably with respect to the very low levels of T6P, high accumulation H6P and FBP as well as inosine, upon glucose addition, and as expected a very slow and delayed recovery of ATP. To conclude, these metabolic analyses provided a clear indication that the TPS protein from these different origins is not equally functional and even if the homologous gene can rescue growth on glucose, this does not necessarily imply the rebuilding of the wild type metabolite profile.

T6P promotes rapid metabolic switch to fermentation

When glucose is added to yeast cultivated on a respiratory carbon source, a rapid metabolic shift to fermentation is taking place. This shift is characterized by the production of ethanol and other fermentable byproducts such as glycerol and acetate. The rate at which these products are formed can be related to the fermentation capacity (see [40], for a definition). In agreement with its glucose growth defect phenotype, the loss of *TPS1* function in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* almost eliminated the fermentation of glucose into ethanol (Fig. 7A). The reintroduction of the wild type *TPS1* in the *tps1Δ* mutant as well as the *K. lactis* homologous gene fully recovered a wild type fermentation capacity. Also, expression of *TPS1* from other yeast species *S. pombe*, *C. albicans*, *H. polymorpha* and *Y. lipolytica* as well as that of *A. nidulans* restored the glucose fermentation activity of a *Sctps1Δ* mutant, but with a 15 to 30% reduced efficiency. By contrast, glucose consumption of the *Sctps1Δ* mutant expressing the *E. coli*, *R. solanacearum*, *A. thaliana* and *D. melanogaster TPS1* homologue was more than five times lower than in a wild type, and lower sugar consumption was accompanied by weaker production of ethanol. This data indicates that these yeast transformants hardly achieved their metabolic shift towards the fermentation. Likewise, but to a lesser extent, the fermentation activity was reduced by 3 to 4-fold in *Sctps1Δ* cells transformed with *TPS1* homologues from *P. pastoris* and *M. grisea*. When plotting the fermentation capacity determined in all these yeast strains as a function of T6P measured five min




FIGURE 5: Heat map representation of the change in T6P (A), H6P (B) and FBP (C) concentration in *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant expressing *TPS1* from different organisms in response to a glucose pulse. The *Sctps1* strain transformed with YCpL33 plasmid bearing *TPS1* homologue was cultivated on YN Trehalose 1% till 5 OD₆₀₀ units. Then, glucose (10 g/L final concentration) was added and samples (5 ml) at different times were rapidly collected by filtration for intracellular metabolite extraction and quantification. The data used for the heat map were the mean values of three independent experiments. Abbreviations: H6P mean hexose-6-Phosphate which is the sum of Glc6P and Fru6P; FBP means Fructose 1, 6-bisphosphate.

after glucose addition, we obtained a fairly acceptable Michaelis-Menten relationship, allowing to estimate that 50% of the fermentation capacity under these experimental conditions was obtained with about 0.2 mM T6P (Fig. 7B). Likewise, a similar value was obtained by plotting the growth rate on glucose measured in these transformants as a function of T6P (supplementary data, Figure S5B).

Effective repression of gluconeogenic genes requires T6P

It is well known that glucose triggers the transcriptional repression of the gluconeogenic genes *FBP1* and *PCK1* encoding fructose-1,6-biphosphate and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, respectively. While this repression requires phosphorylation of the sugar [41], the intracellular signal is still unknown [42]. Besides the inability of a *S. cerevisiae*




FIGURE 6: Heat map representation of the change of ATP (A) and inosine (B) concentration in *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant expressing *TPS1* from different organisms in response to a glucose pulse. Same procedure as in Fig. 5.

tps1Δ mutant to grow on fermentable sugars, it was recently reported that *FBP1* and *PCK1* as well as genes of the glyoxylate pathway were not repressed upon glucose addition to this mutant cultivated on a respiratory carbon source [20]. Figure 8 shows a hyperbolic relationship that can be drawn by plotting the repression value for *FBP1* and *PCK1* genes determined 1 h after glucose addition and the concentration of T6P measured 5 min after the glucose pulse. Therefore, in addition to confirm the finding of these authors, we furthermore showed that the magnitude of the repression of these gluconeogenic genes is very likely dependent on the production of T6P. Interestingly, the T6P concentration that corresponded to half of the calculated maximal fold repression of *FBP1* and *PCK1* was in the range of 0.2 - 0.35 mM, which is in the same range of the value found for 50% of the maximal fermentation capacity (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

In this work, we revisited the capability of the *S. cerevisiae TPS1* homologous genes from different origins to complement the metabolic defects of a *Sctps1Δ* mutant strain. To realize this comparison in a quantitative manner, we selected *TPS1* homologues harboring sufficient phylogenetic distances, and we cloned them into the centromeric plasmid YCpLac33 under the yeast *PGK1* promoter. A first interesting result from this physiological analysis was to find that even in the absence of detectable T6P, the growth rescue of *tps1Δ* on fermentable sugars was observed. This was demonstrated with *Sctps1Δ* transformed with *TPS1* homologues from bacteria, plant or insect that complemented the glucose-negative defect, albeit levels of T6P in these strains after glucose pulse was below our limit of detection. Should this observation mean that the TPS protein alone is sufficient to restore growth of *Sctps1Δ* on these sugars? We actually propose that a TPS protein that





FIGURE 7: Determination of the fermentation capacity of *S. cerevisiae* *tps1* mutant complemented with *TPS1* from different origins. The experimental design was as described in Fig.3 except that the supernatants of the cultures were taken at 5 min interval after glucose addition for 60 min. Exo-metabolites determined by HPLC were expressed as Cmmol consumed (glucose) or produced (ethanol, acetate and glycerol) per h⁻¹ and per g DCW⁻¹. Results shown are the mean of three independent experiments with standard deviation bars (A). In (B), Relationship between fermentation capacity (FC) and T6P level. The Origin 2018 software (OriginLab, <https://www.originlab.com/>) was used to fit the data using the non-iterative fitting algorithm (Levenberg-Marquardt) selecting for the Michaelis-Menten or Hill models defined in the software.



bears intact binding sites for G6P and UDPGlc is absolutely required for yeast to grow on a fermentable sugar, whereas T6P, the product of the reaction catalyzed by this protein, critically promotes sugar fermentation. This proposition is supported by the following data.

Firstly, Delorge *et al.* [43] reported that *TPS* genes from class II from the plant *A. thaliana* were unable to complement the glucose-negative phenotype of *Sctps1Δ*. They ascribed this failure to the lack of these enzymes to have catalytic activity due to the absence of the conserved binding sites for G6P and UDP-Glc in their amino acids sequence [44]. Also, Poueymiro *et al.* [34] showed that the catalytic inactive variant of *R. solanacearum* ripTPS^{Y154V} (i.e. the replacement of Y at position 154 by V abolishes binding of G6P) has lost its ability to complement a *S. cerevisiae* *tps1Δtps2Δ* mutant strain on glucose and fructose. Consistent with this result, we found that the growth defect of

Sctps1Δ on glucose was not alleviated by the expression of a catalytically-dead Tps1 from the yeast *S. cerevisiae* (*tps1-156* variant that expresses a variant Tps1 in which the G6P binding is abolished by the replacement of Y at position 156 by V; [35]).

Secondly, it was reported that the growth recovery on glucose of the double *tps1Δtps2Δ* mutant strain was more effective than the single *tps1Δ* mutant upon the expression of the *A. thaliana* *TPS2* or *TPS4* gene, which belong to the class I TPS-like proteins [43, 45]. A similar finding was shown for the *R. solanacearum* *rip1* gene, which better complemented the glucose negative phenotype of a double *tps1Δtps2Δ* mutant than the single *tps1Δ* mutant [34]. It was therefore suggested that the better growth recovery of a *tps1Δtps2Δ* mutant strain expressing these TPS homologs was due to the fact that they could accumulate even very low T6P levels in response to glucose, which was not

the case for the single *Sctps1Δ* strain [34, 43]. From these reports, and with assumption that TPS proteins from these various species are expressed at the same level as that of the wild type yeast, an intriguing question is why they display so significant differences in their catalytic activity. In the case of Tps1 from *A. thaliana* encoded by *AtTPS1*, it was shown that the truncation of its extra N-terminal extension, which is not present in the yeast protein, results in a ten to 40-fold increase of its *in vitro* activity [30]. The TPS protein of *R. solanacearum* encoded by *rip1* also harbours an N-terminal extension, whose deletion might result in a similar effect. On the other hand, Tps1 from *P. pastoris*, *A. thaliana* and *D. melanogaster* show a long C-terminal extension which does not exist in any other TPS proteins. The impact of this C-extension on Tps1 activity has not been examined so far. Besides, taking into account that *in vivo* *S. cerevisiae* Tps1 is present in a complex with three others proteins, namely Tps2, Tps3 and Tsl1 [43, 46, 47], and that the optimal activity of Tps1 likely depends on the interaction with these partners [48], the very low activity of Tps1 from bacteria, plant and insects expressed in yeast might be explained by a failure to properly form this TPS complex. As a conclusion, a more precise structure-function analysis of the yeast Tps1 is awaiting to strengthen our hypothesis

of a possible separated function of this protein in growth on fermentable sugar and in purely synthesis of T6P.

The finding that T6P promotes growth resumption on fermentable sugars coincided with the prompt recovery of ATP after its rapid fall following a glucose pulse. The reported inhibition of the major hexose phosphorylating enzyme encoded by *HXK2* [13] could be invoked to account for this effect, because this inhibition would restrict the rate of the upper part of glycolysis which consumes ATP, allowing the lower part of the pathway to regenerate it. However, taken into consideration the inhibition constant of hexokinase II for T6P (i.e. 0.05 to 0.1 mM [13, 38]), and the assumption that the intracellular glucose concentration is within 0.5 to 2 mM in yeast cells challenged with glucose [49], the hexokinase activity would be maintained inhibited by more than 50% over more than 30 min after the glucose pulse, which would likely penalize the fast resumption of growth and the attendant fermentation activity. On the other hand, the probable absence of this inhibition in *Sctps1Δ* cells expressing *S. pombe*, *Y. lipolytica* or *A. nidulans* *TPS1* homologues due to their low level of T6P is inconsistent with the fact that the fermentation activity of these yeast strains was only 20 to 30% lower than that of a wild type strain.




FIGURE 8: Expression level of FBP1 (A) and PCK1 (B) in *S. cerevisiae tps1* mutant complemented with *TPS1* from different organisms. The *Sctps1* strains transformed with YCpL33 plasmid and with *TPS1* homologues were cultivated on YN containing ethanol 2% until 5 units OD₆₀₀. At this time, glucose (10 g/L final) was added and samples (15 OD units) were taken before and 1 h after glucose addition. The transcript levels of *FBP1* and *PCK1* was quantified by RT-qPCR using *TAF10* and *KRE11* as reference genes for normalization. Results shown are the mean of two.

As a consequence, and in the light of previous work showing that manipulating the intracellular T6P content up or down has only little impact on the glucose influx into glycolysis [15, 25, 38, 48], one must invoke a complementary mechanism by which T6P promotes rapid growth on fermentable sugars. Interestingly, we found a hyperbolic correlation between fermentation capacity and levels of T6P, which is an indication that this metabolite is indeed a positive effector of fermentation. In addition, this data is consistent with the work of Wu *et al.* [50] which reported a parallel increase in the rate of glucose consumption, ethanol production and the rise of T6P following the short-term (50 to 300 sec) response of carbon-limited chemostat culture to a glucose pulse. Taken these results together, we postulate that T6P is implicated in the short-term Crabtree effect, which can be defined either by the immediate occurrence of aerobic fermentation in response to an excess of sugar to a sugar-limited yeast culture [51, 52], or more broadly as the capacity of yeast cells to readily switch from respiration to fermentation in response to glucose [53]. Although the molecular mechanism of this metabolic switch is still a matter of debate, it is clear that this switch is intimately dependent on the rate at which glucose enters the cell [40, 54, 55]. Heineman and colleagues [56] have introduced the notion of ‘flux-sensing mechanism’ to account for the fact that these metabolic phenotypes are triggered by a rapid change in sugar influx. Furthermore, they argued that these metabolic shifts require the cell to be able to measure “metabolic flux” through the level of some “flux-sensing” metabolites. FBP has been proposed as a possible flux-sensing molecule based on a good, yet strict, linear correlation between its intracellular levels and the sugar uptake rate [56]. In addition, this metabolite has been directly implicated in the Crabtree effect because of its ability to inhibit at physiological concentration (i.e. 2 to 10 mM) the oxidative phosphorylation of isolated mitochondria or permeabilized spheroplasts of yeast [57]. However, this latter data is not consistent with the fact that strains harbouring high levels of FBP such as *Sctps1Δ* expressing bacteria, plant or insect TPS protein hardly undergo the fermentation shift upon glucose addition. We therefore propose that T6P is a better flux-sensing molecule than FBP because it is metabolically located closer to the glucose uptake than FBP, it interacts at the gate of the glucose entrance through its action on hexokinase and it exhibits a Michaelis-Menten relationship with fermentation activity. The same flux-sensing function of T6P in glucose repression could be also invoked based on the fact that repression of the gluconeogenic genes *FBP1* and *PCK1* is strictly dependent on T6P. Interestingly, the concentration of T6P that corresponded to 50% of the maximal glucose repression effect is in the same range (i.e. 0.2 – 0.3 mM) as the one estimated to reach 50% of the optimal fermentation activity, which suggests that the molecular targets of this metabolite are the same for these two processes.

The proposed role of T6P as a sugar signaling molecule in yeast is convergent with its assigned function in plants as a sensor of sucrose to integrate carbon assimilation with

plant growth [58, 59]. This integration is obtained by the inhibition of the SNF1-related protein kinase by T6P. Whether this inhibition is direct or indirect is still unclear but, whatsoever the exact mechanism, this action of T6P overcomes the antagonistic effects of this kinase on the anabolic processes and growth in response to high level of sugars [60]. In yeast, the Snf1 kinase is essential in the derepression of gluconeogenic genes and in respiration [61]. However, contrary to expectation, this kinase is insensitive to T6P [20]. A common trait of yeast mutants harboring negligible levels of T6P upon glucose addition is their very lengthy recuperation of ATP, which could be explained not solely by a lack of hexokinase inhibition but also by the absence of a stimulatory action on downstream metabolic targets. In a previous work, we showed that the purine salvage pathway (PSP) was important in the control of ATP homeostasis under transition from respiration to fermentation and that the rebuilding of ATP shortly after a glucose pulse was largely attributed to the reassimilation of inosine [39]. Therefore, enzymes of the PSP can be potential targets of T6P. It is also conceivable that the failure of glucose repression of *FBP1* and *PCK1* is a consequence of the very low levels ATP in these strains which harbor low to negligible production of T6P.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains, plasmids and transformation

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae tps1Δ* and *tps2Δ* mutants were derived from the CEN.PK133-5D *ura3-52* strain [28] and have been described elsewhere [15, 62]. The *tps1Δ* strain was used as the host for transformation with the YCplac33 plasmid [63] bearing *TPS1* from various origin (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aspergillus nidulans*, *Hansenula polymorpha*, *Pichia pastoris*, *Magnaporthe grisea*, *Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Kluyveromyces lactis*, *Candida albicans*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Ralstonia solanacearum*) under the control of the *S. cerevisiae* *PGK1* promoter. The *TPS1* coding sequence from these organisms was amplified by PCR using 5' and 3' primers listed in Table S1. The PCR fragments were cloned into the YCplac33 plasmid using the In-Fusion system (Clontech), except the ones from *M. grisea* and *P. pastoris*, for which exons were amplified and assembled by using the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly system (New England Biolabs). All plasmid constructs bearing the *TPS1* homologues are listed in Table 3 and were verified by DNA sequencing. The Lithium acetate procedure described in [64] was used to transform *S. cerevisiae tps1Δ* mutant with the different plasmids.

Culture conditions

Unless otherwise stated, yeast cells were cultivated on a YN synthetic medium (17 g of yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and 5 g of ammonium sulfate per liter) buffered at pH 5.5 with 13.5 g/l succinic acid and 6.5 g/l NaOH, with 2% galactose as carbon source and complemented with 100 mg/l uracil. To verify that the *tps1Δ* mutant has not undergone phenotypic or genetic ‘reversion’ that allows growth on glucose [14, 65], we streaked this strain on a YN fructose 2% complemented with uracil. Under this condition, no colonies were seen after at least 5 days of incubation at 30°C, whereas several

TABLE 3. List of plasmids used in this study.

| Name | Description | Reference |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| YCplac33 | CEN origin, URA3 marker | [61] |
| pScTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>S. cerevisiae</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pDmTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>D. melanogaster</i> <i>Tps1</i> gene | This study |
| pAthTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>A. thaliana</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pAnTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>A. nidulans</i> <i>tpsA</i> gene | This study |
| pHpTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>H. polymorpha</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pPpTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>P. pastoris</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pMgTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>M. grisea</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pYITPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>Y. lipolytica</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pKITPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>K. lactis</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pCaTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>C. albicans</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pSpTPS1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>S. pombe</i> <i>TPS1</i> gene | This study |
| pEcotsA | YCplac33 backbone with <i>E. coli</i> <i>otsA</i> gene | This study |
| pRsotsA | YCplac33 backbone with <i>R. solanacearum</i> <i>otsA</i> gene | This study |
| pRsrp1 | YCplac33 backbone with <i>R. solanacearum</i> <i>rip1</i> gene | This study |

'colonies' arose after 2 days on YN glucose + uracil agar plates. Unless otherwise stated, growth of the mutant and transformants was carried out in baffled 1 L Erlenmeyer flasks containing 200 ml of culture medium at 30°C on a rotary shaker at 200 rpm. The carbon source (glucose, galactose, raffinose) was added at 2% (w/v) except trehalose which was added at 1% (w/v). Growth on Petri dish plates was carried out in YN synthetic medium containing glucose or galactose at 2% (w/v) and supplemented with agar 2% (w/v).

Glucose pulse and intracellular metabolites determination

The glucose pulse experiment was performed as described in a previous report [39]. Briefly, the yeast cells were cultivated in YN containing 1% trehalose and buffered to pH 5.5 using 50 mM potassium phthalate instead of Na-Succinate until they reached OD₆₀₀ 5 units (i.e. around 1 g dry mass per liter). Then, they were harvested, washed once with water at room temperature and resuspended in YN medium for 30 min at 30°C. Then, glucose was added to a final concentration of 10 g/l using a concentrated stock solution of 50% (w/v). Samples (5 ml) were harvested at the indicated timepoints in the corresponding figures (Fig 4 to 6) by rapid filtration on 0.45 µm porosity nitrocellulose filter and immediately quenched in 3-5 ml of 75% hot ethanol, followed by 4 min of incubation in a water bath set at 80°C according to [66]. After extraction, removal of ethanol by evaporation and resuspension of the dry pellet in 0.5 ml of sterile water, the metabolites were quantified in an ICS-3000 system equipped with an automatic eluent (KOH) generator system (RFIC, Dionex), an autosampler (AS50, Dionex), a photo diode array detector (Ultimate 3000, Dionex), a conductivity detector (part of ICS-3000, Dionex) and a mass-sensitive detector (MSQ Plus, Thermo Scientific) running in ESI mode (nitrogen pressure was 90 psi (1 psi=6.9 kPa),

capillary voltage was 3.5 kV and probe temperature was 450°C), according to [39]. The concentration of intracellular metabolites is expressed in mM taking into account the assumption that 1 g of dry cell wall contains 2 ml of cell sap [49].

Determination of gene expression levels by RT-qPCR

Quantification of *TPS1*, *URA3*, *FBP1* and *PCK1* transcripts was performed from two independent biological cultures. Yeast cells (about 15 OD₆₀₀ units) were collected by centrifugation (3000 rpm, 4°C, 2 min), followed by a washing step with 1 ml of sterilized water. The cell pellets were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Cell disruption was performed with a Tissue Lyser system (Qiagen) at 30 Hz during 3 minutes. Total RNA was isolated according to RNeasy Total RNA Isolation Kit Manual (Qiagen) and concentrations of RNA samples were calculated by using NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Quality assessment of RNA samples was performed by Agilent RNA 6000 Nano kit and 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA). Complementary DNA was synthesized with 1 µg of total RNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). The absence of genomic DNA in RNA samples was checked by qPCR before cDNA synthesis (minus RT control). A blank (No Template Control) was also incorporated in each assay. The Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using the Sso Advanced Universal SYBR Green system (Bio-Rad), 4 µl of cDNA 10 times diluted and 2 µl of primers (2 mM). The PCR program comprised an initial denaturation step of 1 minute at 95°C and amplification by 40 cycles of 10 sec at 95°C, 45 sec at 54°C in a Bio-Rad MyIQ cycler. The *TAF10* and *KRE11* genes were used as reference genes for normalization, following the recommendation of Teste *et al.* [67] for accurate transcripts measurement. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR are provided in Table S2.

Assay of Trehalose-6P synthase (Tps1) activity

The activity of TPS was determined in cell crude extracts according to the procedure described in [68]. Briefly, yeast cells (about 10 mg dry mass or 50 OD₆₀₀ units) were collected by centrifugation (3 min at 2000g, 4°C) and washed with cold sterile water. Crude extract was performed by breaking yeast cells in 0.5 ml extraction buffer (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.1 containing 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl, 1mM DTT, and 1mM PMSF) with 0.5 g glass beads (0.5 mm diameter; BioSpec 11079105) on a vortex set at full speed. The vortexing was done 6 times for 30 sec with 30 sec intervals where tubes were kept on ice. The assay was realized at 42°C in the presence of 10 mM Glucose-6-P/3 mM Fructose-6-P and 5 mM UDP-glucose in 20 mM Hepes buffer, pH 7.1, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl. The reaction was stopped by heat (5 min at 80°C). The Tps1 activity was determined either by the amount of UDP released or by the amount of trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) produced and determined as intracellular metabolites by LC-MS as described above. Proteins were quantified with the Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent concentrate, 500-0006).

Analytical methods

Glucose, ethanol, acetate and glycerol were determined in the medium supernatant by withdrawing 1 ml from the YN glucose cultures at the desired times. Samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min in a bench-top centrifuge (Eppendorf 5415D), supernatants were filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter, and stored at -20°C until further analysis. Quantification was carried out using High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on an Ultimate 3000 system (Dionex, Sunnyvale, USA). The HPLC system was equipped with a cation-exchange column (Aminex HPX-87H - 300 x 7.8 mm, 9 µm, Biorad), an autosampler (WPS-3000RS, Dionex), a RI detector (RID 10A, Shimadzu), and an UV/VIS detector (SPD-20A, Shimadzu). The mobile phase was 1.25 mM H₂SO₄ at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Column temperature was held at 35°C. Glycogen and treha-

lose content were measured by glucose colorimetric assay after Na₂CO₃ treatment of the whole cell as described by [69].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank colleagues of the JMF laboratory for critical discussions during this work and Dr Marion Schiavone for helping us with the Origin 2018 algorithm for fitting the kinetics data. This work was supported in part by ANR Blanc n°07-2-200101 and by Region Midi Pyrénées (France), grant N° 09003813 to JMF. JLP is researcher at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), RLV was a fellow of the Fundacion Alfonso Martín Escudero and J.P.G.L is a postdoctoral fellow financially supported by Toulouse Region Midi Pyrenées.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

All supplemental data for this article are available online at www.microbialcell.com.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

COPYRIGHT

© 2018 Vicente *et al.* This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Rebeca L. Vicente, Lucie Spina, Jose P.L. Gómez, Sebastien Dejean, Jean-Luc Parrou and Jean Marie François (2018). Trehalose-6-phosphate promotes fermentation and glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Microbial Cell** 5(10): 444-459. doi: 10.15698/mic2018.10.651

REFERENCES

- Walker M (1998). Yeast physiology and Biotechnology. Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England.
- Kondo A, Ishii J, Hara KY, Hasunuma T, Matsuda F (2013). Development of microbial cell factories for bio-refinery through synthetic bioengineering. **J Biotechnol** 163(2): 204-216. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.05.021
- Eisenstein M (2016). Living factories of the future. **Nature** 531(7594): 401-403. doi: 10.1038/531401a
- Siddiqui MS, Thodey K, Trenchard I, Smolke CD (2012). Advancing secondary metabolite biosynthesis in yeast with synthetic biology tools. **FEMS Yeast Res** 12(2): 144-170. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00774.x
- Mattanovich D, Sauer M, Gasser B (2014). Yeast biotechnology: teaching the old dog new tricks. **Microb Cell Fact** 13(1): 34. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-13-34
- Barnett JA, Entian KD (2005). A history of research on yeasts 9: regulation of sugar metabolism. **Yeast** 22(11): 835-894. doi: 10.1002/yea.1249
- Francois J, Parrou JL (2001). Reserve carbohydrates metabolism in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **FEMS Microbiol Rev** 25(1): 125-145. doi: 10.1016/s0168-6445(00)00059-0
- Gancedo C, Flores CL (2004). The importance of a functional trehalose biosynthetic pathway for the life of yeasts and fungi. **FEMS Yeast Res** 4(4-5): 351-359. doi: 10.1016/s1567-1356(03)00222-8
- Tapia H, Koshland DE (2014). Trehalose is a versatile and long-lived chaperone for desiccation tolerance. **Curr Biol** 24(23): 2758-2766. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.005
- Thevelein JM, Hohmann S (1995). Trehalose synthase: guard to the gate of glycolysis in yeast? **Trends Biochem Sci** 20(1): 3-10. doi: 10.1016/s0968-0004(00)88938-0
- Van Aelst L, Hohmann S, Bulaya B, de KW, Sierkstra L, Neves MJ, Luyten K, Alijo R, Ramos J, Coccetti P, et al (1993). Molecular cloning of a gene involved in glucose sensing in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Molecular Microbiology** 8(5): 927-943. PMID: 8355617
- Gonzalez MI, Stucka R, Blazquez MA, Feldmann H, Gancedo C (1992). Molecular cloning of CIF1, a yeast gene necessary for growth on glucose. **Yeast** 8(3): 183-192. doi:10.1002/yea.320080304
- Blazquez MA, Lagunas R, Gancedo C, Gancedo JM (1993). Trehalose-6-phosphate, a new regulator of yeast glycolysis that inhibits hexokinases. **FEBS Lett** 329(1-2): 51-54. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(93)80191-v

14. van Heerden JH, Wortel MT, Bruggeman FJ, Heijnen JJ, Bollen YJ, Planque R, Hulshof J, O'Toole TG, Wahl SA, Teusink B (2014). Lost in transition: start-up of glycolysis yields subpopulations of nongrowing cells. *Science* 343(6174): 1245-1114. doi: 10.1126/science.1245114
15. Walther T, Mtimet N, Alkim C, Vax A, Loret MO, Ullah A, Gancedo C, Smits GJ, Francois JM (2013). Metabolic phenotypes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants with altered trehalose 6-phosphate dynamics. *Biochem J* 454(2): 227-237. doi: 10.1042/BJ20130587
16. Cannon JF, Pringle JR, Fiechter A, Khalil M (1994). Characterization of glycogen-deficient *glc* mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 136(2): 485-503. PMID: 8150278
17. Silva-Udawatta MN, Cannon JF (2001). Roles of trehalose phosphate synthase in yeast glycogen metabolism and sporulation. *Mol Microbiol* 40(6): 1345-1356. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02477.x
18. Noubhani A, Bunoust O, Bonini BM, Thevelein JM, Devin A, Rigoulet M (2009). The trehalose pathway regulates mitochondrial respiratory chain content through hexokinase 2 and cAMP in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Biol Chem* 284(40): 27229-27234. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.029454
19. Hancock LC, Behta RP, Lopes JM (2006). Genomic analysis of the Opi- phenotype. *Genetics* 173(2): 621-634. doi: 10.1534/genetics.106.057489
20. Deroover S, Ghillebert R, Broeckx T, Winderickx J, Rolland F (2016). Trehalose-6-phosphate synthesis controls yeast gluconeogenesis downstream and independent of SNF1. *FEMS Yeast Res* 16(4). doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fow036
21. De Virgilio C, Hottiger T, Dominguez J, Boller T, Wiemken A (1994). The role of trehalose synthesis for the acquisition of thermotolerance in yeast. I. Genetic evidence that trehalose is a thermoprotectant. *Eur J Biochem* 219(1-2): 179-186. PMID:8306984
22. Blazquez MA, Stucka R, Feldmann H, Gancedo C (1994). Trehalose-6-P synthase is dispensable for growth on glucose but not for spore germination in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J Bacteriol* 176(13): 3895-3902. doi: 10.1128/jb.176.13.3895-3902.1994
23. Blazquez MA, Santos E, Flores CL, Martinez-Zapater JM, Salinas J, Gancedo C (1998). Isolation and molecular characterization of the *Arabidopsis* TPS1 gene, encoding trehalose-6-phosphate synthase. *Plant J* 13(5): 685-689. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00063.x
24. Reinders A, Romano I, Wiemken A, De Virgilio C (1999). The thermophilic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* does not require trehalose synthesis for growth at high temperatures but does for normal acquisition of thermotolerance. *J Bacteriol* 181(15): 4665-4668. PMID:10419968
25. Bonini BM, Van Vaecck C, Larsson C, Gustafsson L, Ma P, Winderickx J, Van Dijck P, Thevelein JM (2000). Expression of *Escherichia coli* otsA in a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* *tps1* mutant restores trehalose 6-phosphate levels and partly restores growth and fermentation with glucose and control of glucose influx into glycolysis. *Biochem J* 350 (Pt1) 261-268. doi: 10.1042/0264-6021:3500261
26. Flores CL, Gancedo C, Petit T (2011). Disruption of *Yarrowia lipolytica* TPS1 gene encoding trehalose-6-P synthase does not affect growth in glucose but impairs growth at high temperature. *PLoS ONE* 6(9): e23695. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023695
27. Ozer UE, Yucel M, Hamamci H (2016). Cloning and expression of trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 from *Rhizopus oryzae*. *J Basic Microbiol* 56(5): 459-468. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201500425
28. van Dijken JP, Bauer J, Brambilla L, Duboc P, Francois JM, Gancedo C, Giuseppin ML, Heijnen JJ, Hoare M, Lange HC, Madden EA, Niederberger P, Nielsen J, Parrou JL, Petit T, Porro D, Reuss M, van Riel N, Rizzi M, Steensma HY, Verrips CT, Vindelov J, Pronk JT (2000). An interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 26(9-10): 706-714. doi: 10.1016/s0141-0229(00)00162-9
29. Avonce N, Mendoza-Vargas A, Morett E, Iturriaga G (2006). Insights on the evolution of trehalose biosynthesis. *BMC Evol Biol* 6: 109. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-6-109
30. Van Dijck P, Mascorro-Gallardo JO, De Bus M, Royackers K, Iturriaga G, Thevelein JM (2002). Truncation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Selaginella lepidophylla* trehalose-6-phosphate synthase unlocks high catalytic activity and supports high trehalose levels on expression in yeast. *Biochem J* 366(Pt 1): 63-71. doi: 10.1042/BJ20020517
31. Saitou N, Nei M (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4(4): 406-425. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454
32. Jules M, Guillou V, Francois J, Parrou JL (2004). Two distinct pathways for trehalose assimilation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70(5): 2771-2778. doi: 10.1128/aem.70.5.2771-2778.2004
33. Jules M, Francois J, Parrou JL (2005). Autonomous oscillations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during batch cultures on trehalose. *FEBS J* 272(6): 1490-1500. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04588.x
34. Poueymiro M, Cazale AC, Francois JM, Parrou JL, Peeters N, Genin S (2014). A *Ralstonia solanacearum* Type III Effector Directs the Production of the Plant Signal Metabolite Trehalose-6-Phosphate. *MBio* 5(6). doi: 10.1128/mBio.02065-14
35. Petitjean M, Teste MA, Francois JM, Parrou JL (2015). Yeast Tolerance to Various Stresses Relies on the Trehalose-6P Synthase (Tps1) Protein, Not on Trehalose. *J Biol Chem* 290(26): 16177-16190. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.653899
36. Francois J, Neves MJ, Hers HG (1991). The control of trehalose biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: evidence for a catabolite inactivation and repression of trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase. *Yeast* 7(6): 575-587. doi: 10.1002/yea.320070605
37. Neves MJ, Hohmann S, Bell W, Dumortier F, Luyten K, Ramos J, Cobbaert P, de KW, Kaneva Z, Thevelein JM (1995). Control of glucose influx into glycolysis and pleiotropic effects studied in different isogenic sets of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants in trehalose biosynthesis. *Curr Genet* 27(2): 110-122. doi: 10.1007/bf00313424
38. Hohmann S, Bell W, Neves MJ, Valckx D, Thevelein JM (1996). Evidence for trehalose-6-phosphate-dependent and -independent mechanisms in the control of sugar influx into yeast glycolysis. *Mol Microbiol* 20(5): 981-991. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02539.x
39. Walther T, Novo M, Rossger K, Letisse F, Loret MO, Portais JC, Francois JM (2010). Control of ATP homeostasis during the respiratory fermentative transition in yeast. *Mol Syst Biol* 6: 344. doi: 10.1038/msb.2009.100
40. Hagman A, Sall T, Piskur J (2014). Analysis of the yeast short-term Crabtree effect and its origin. *FEBS J* 281(21): 4805-4814. doi: 10.1111/febs.13019
41. Yin Z, Smith RJ, Brown AJ (1996). Multiple signalling pathways trigger the exquisite sensitivity of yeast gluconeogenic mRNAs to glucose. *Mol Microbiol* 20(4): 751-764. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02514.x
42. Gancedo JM (2008). The early steps of glucose signalling in yeast. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 32(4): 673-704. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00117.x
43. Delorge I, Figueroa CM, Feil R, Lunn JE, Van DP (2015). Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 is not the only active TPS in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochem J* 466(2): 283-290. doi: 10.1042/BJ20141322

44. Gibson RP, Tarling CA, Roberts S, Withers SG, Davies GJ (2004). The donor subsite of trehalose-6-phosphate synthase: binary complexes with UDP-glucose and UDP-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-glucose at 2 Å resolution. *J Biol Chem* 279(3): 1950-1955. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M307643200
45. Vandesteene L, Lopez-Galvis L, Vanneste K, Feil R, Maere S, Lamens W, Rolland F, Lunn JE, Avonco N, Beeckman T, Van Dijck P (2012). Expansive evolution of the trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase gene family in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 160(2): 884-896. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.201400
46. Londesborough J, Vuorio OE (1993). Purification of trehalose synthase from baker's yeast. Its temperature-dependent activation by fructose 6-phosphate and inhibition by phosphate. *Eur J Biochem* 216(3): 841-848. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1993.tb18206.x
47. Bell W, Klaassen P, Ohnacker M, Boller T, Herweijer M, Schoppink P, Van der ZP, Wiemken A (1992). Characterization of the 56-kDa subunit of yeast trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and cloning of its gene reveal its identity with the product of C1F1, a regulator of carbon catabolite inactivation. *Eur J Biochem* 209(3): 951-959. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1992.tb17368.x
48. Van Vaecck C, Wera S, Van Dijck P, Thevelein JM (2001). Analysis and modification of trehalose 6-phosphate levels in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with the use of *Bacillus subtilis* phosphotrehalase. *Biochem J* 353(Pt 1): 157-162. doi: 10.1042/0264-6021:3530157
49. Teusink B, Diderich JA, Westerhoff HV, van Dam K, Walsh MC (1998). Intracellular glucose concentration in derepressed yeast cells consuming glucose is high enough to reduce the glucose transport rate by 50%. *J Bacteriol* 180(3): 556-562. PMID:9457857
50. Wu L, van Dam J, Schipper D, Kresnowati MT, Proell AM, Ras C, van Winden WA, van Gulik WM, Heijnen JJ (2006). Short-term metabolome dynamics and carbon, electron, and ATP balances in chemostat-grown *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CEN.PK 113-7D following a glucose pulse. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72(5): 3566-3577. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.5.3566-3577.2006
51. Pronk JT, Yde SH, van Dijken JP (1996). Pyruvate metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 12(16): 1607-1633. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199612)12:16<1607::AID-YEA70>3.0.CO;2-4
52. Dashko S, Zhou N, Compagno C, Piskur J (2014). Why, when, and how did yeast evolve alcoholic fermentation? *FEMS Yeast Res* 14(6): 826-832. doi: 10.1111/1567-1364.12161
53. De Deken RH (1966). The Crabtree effect: a regulatory system in yeast. *J Gen Microbiol* 44(2): 149-156. doi: 10.1099/00221287-44-2-149
54. Vemuri GN, Eiteman MA, McEwen JE, Olsson L, Nielsen J (2007). Increasing NADH oxidation reduces overflow metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(7): 2402-2407. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0607469104
55. Hagman A, Piskur J (2015). A Study on the Fundamental Mechanism and the Evolutionary Driving Forces behind Aerobic Fermentation in Yeast. *PLoS ONE* 10(1): e0116942. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116942
56. Huberts DH, Niebel B, Heinemann M (2012). A flux-sensing mechanism could regulate the switch between respiration and fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res* 12(2): 118-128. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00767.x
57. Diaz-Ruiz R, Averet N, Araiza D, Pinson B, Uribe-Carvajal S, Devin A, Rigoulet M (2008). Mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation is regulated by fructose 1,6-bisphosphate. A possible role in Crabtree effect induction? *J Biol Chem* 283(40): 26948-26955. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M800408200
58. Lawlor DW, Paul MJ (2014). Source/sink interactions underpin crop yield: the case for trehalose 6-phosphate/SnRK1 in improvement of wheat. *Front Plant Sci* 5: 418. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00418
59. Schluepmann H, Berke L, Sanchez-Perez GF (2012). Metabolism control over growth: a case for trehalose-6-phosphate in plants. *J Exp Bot* 63(9): 3379-3390. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err311
60. Zhang Y, Primavesi LF, Jhurrea D, Andralojc PJ, Mitchell RA, Powers SJ, Schluepmann H, Delatte T, Wingler A, Paul MJ (2009). Inhibition of SNF1-related protein kinase1 activity and regulation of metabolic pathways by trehalose-6-phosphate. *Plant Physiol* 149(4): 1860-1871. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.133934
61. Gancedo JM (1998). Yeast carbon catabolite repression. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62(2): 334-361. PMID:9618445
62. Guillou V, Plourde-Owobi L, Parrou JL, Goma G, Francois J (2004). Role of reserve carbohydrates in the growth dynamics of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 4(8): 773-787. doi: 10.1016/j.femsyr.2004.05.005
63. Gietz RD, Sugino A (1988). New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with *in vitro* mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. *Gene* 74(2): 527-534. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(88)90185-0
64. Gietz RD, Schiestl RH (2007). High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat Protoc* 2(1): 31-34. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.13
65. Blazquez MA, Gancedo C (1994). Identification of extragenic suppressors of the cif1 mutation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr Genet* 25(2): 89-94. doi: 10.1007/bf00309531
66. Gonzalez B, Francois J, Renaud M (1997). A rapid and reliable method for metabolite extraction in yeast using boiling buffered ethanol. *Yeast* 13(14): 1347-1355. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199711)13:14<1347::AID-YEA176>3.0.CO;2-O
67. Teste MA, Duquenne M, Francois JM, Parrou JL (2009). Validation of reference genes for quantitative expression analysis by real-time RT-PCR in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Mol Biol* 10: 99. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-99
68. Vandercammen A, Francois J, Hers HG (1989). Characterization of trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Eur J Biochem* 182(3): 613-620. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989.tb14870.x
69. Parrou JL, Francois J (1997). A simplified procedure for a rapid and reliable assay of both glycogen and trehalose in whole yeast cells. *Anal Biochem* 248(1): 186-188. doi: 10.1006/abio.1997.2138
70. Gibson RP, Turkenburg JP, Charnock SJ, Lloyd R, Davies GJ (2002). Insights into trehalose synthesis provided by the structure of the retaining glucosyltransferase OtsA. *Chem Biol* 9(12): 1337-1346. doi: 10.1016/s1074-5521(02)00292-2
71. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22(22): 4673-4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
72. Teste MA, Duquenne M, Francois JM, Parrou JL (2009). Validation of reference genes for quantitative expression analysis by real-time RT-PCR in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Mol Biol* 10:99. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-99