



**HAL**  
open science

## Evaluation of the roles of metals and humic fractions in the podzolization of soils from the Amazon region using two analytical spectroscopy techniques

Amanda Tadini, Gustavo Nicolodelli, Bruno S Marangoni, Stéphane Mounier, Celia Montes, Débora M.B.P. Milori

### ► To cite this version:

Amanda Tadini, Gustavo Nicolodelli, Bruno S Marangoni, Stéphane Mounier, Celia Montes, et al.. Evaluation of the roles of metals and humic fractions in the podzolization of soils from the Amazon region using two analytical spectroscopy techniques. *Microchemical Journal*, 2019, 144, pp.454-460. 10.1016/j.microc.2018.10.009 . hal-01889129

**HAL Id: hal-01889129**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01889129>**

Submitted on 5 Oct 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1  
2  
3 **Evaluation of the roles of metals and humic fractions in the podzolization of soils**  
4 **from the Amazon region using two analytical spectroscopy techniques**  
5  
6  
7

8 Amanda M. Tadini<sup>(a,b,d)\*</sup>, Gustavo Nicolodelli<sup>(a,c)</sup>, Bruno S. Marangoni<sup>(c)</sup>, Stephane  
9 Mounier <sup>(d)</sup>, Célia R. Montes <sup>(e)</sup>, Débora M. B. P. Milori<sup>(a)</sup>  
10  
11

12 (a) Embrapa Instrumentation, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

13 (b) Institute of Chemistry of São Carlos, University of São Paulo, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

14 (c) Institute of Physics, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, P.O. Box 549,  
15 79070-900 Campo Grande, MS, Brazil  
16  
17

18 (d) Laboratoire PROTEE, EA3819, Université de Toulon, CS 60584, 83041 Toulon  
19 CEDEX 9, France  
20  
21

22 (e) Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura e Núcleo de Pesquisa em Geoquímica e  
23 Geofísica da Litosfera, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil  
24  
25  
26

27 \*Corresponding author. E-mail: amandatadini@hotmail.com  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

60  
61  
62 **ABSTRACT**  
63  
64

65 Soil organic matter (SOM) plays an important role in environmental  
66 sustainability, since it is involved in carbon and nutrient cycling. Consequently, it is a  
67 key factor to consider in studies concerning global climate change and agronomy.  
68 Among the main components of SOM are humic substances (HS), which are divided,  
69 according to their solubility, into humic acid (HA), fulvic acid (FA), and humin (HU)  
70 fractions. Study of the chemical properties of this organic matter is important for  
71 understanding the biogeochemical processes occurring in the soil. The aim of this work  
72 was to determine the metals iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al), using flame atomic  
73 absorption spectrometry (FAAS) and laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS), in  
74 order to elucidate the role of organic matter in the transport of these metals in  
75 Amazonian soils. The results showed that FA was important for Al, while the HA  
76 fraction was more selective towards Fe. The translocations of these metals to deeper  
77 profiles in two different soils involved either young and less humified organic matter, or  
78 older organic matter with a low degree of humification. Therefore, these two humic  
79 fractions were involved in the process of soil podzolization, with FA having a  
80 predominant role in the transport of Al, while HA was mainly responsible for the  
81 transport of Fe.  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93

94 **Keywords:** LIBS, FAAS, Organic matter, Amazon.  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118

## 1. Introduction

The Amazon forest provides important services to humanity and the environment, including high biodiversity, climate regulation, carbon sequestration, and regulation of water and nutrient cycles. Soils play crucial roles in most of the processes that occur on the planet, due to their participation in the main biogeochemical cycles [1]. These involve pedogenetic processes transforming rocks and sediments, whose characteristics are influenced by combinations of soil formation factors such as the source material, climate, microorganisms, and time [2].

In the Amazon, Spodosols develop over time from clay soil or sedimentary formations. Organometallic iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) oxides present in horizons A and E are transported to deeper soil layers, where they accumulate and give rise to horizons rich in organic matter and organometallic species [3]. Hence, organic matter in these soils plays a key role in environmental sustainability, since it is related to carbon and nutrient cycling, and is a crucial factor to consider in studies in the fields of global climate change and agronomy. Humic substances (HS) are among the main components of SOM and can be classified, according to their solubility, into humic acid (HA), fulvic acid (FA), and humin (HU) fractions [4].

Determination of the chemical properties of the organic matter, as well as its interaction with metallic species, is essential for understanding the main processes that occur in the soil. The techniques that have been described for this purpose include flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) and, more recently, laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS). The latter is an attractive technique due to its high sensitivity and precision, as well as the requirement for only minimal sample preparation, making it attractive for use in applications that comply with the concepts of Green Chemistry [5]. This technique is a type of atomic emission spectrometry that uses the generation of plasma by means of high power pulses, resulting in ablation of a small portion of the sample. This material dissociates into ions and excited atoms, emitting a continuum of radiation that enables the measurement of atomic/ionic emission lines and identification of the main elements present in the sample [6].

The main advantages of LIBS are the potential for simultaneous multi-element soil analysis with minimal sample preparation, high processing speed, and low cost of analysis, compared to traditional methods. The LIBS technique has been evaluated as an alternative method for the quantification of contaminants, macro/micronutrients, and carbon (C) in soils [7-9]. It has been used in investigations of texture, pH, and macro-

178  
179  
180 and micronutrients in fertilizers and soil organominerals [10-12]. However, although  
181  
182 LIBS has been used for soil analysis, it has not previously been employed to investigate  
183  
184 the chemical fractions present in the soil.

185  
186 The aim of this study was to use the FAAS and LIBS techniques to quantify the  
187  
188 metals Fe and Al in Amazonian Spodosols. The metals were quantified in whole soil  
189  
190 samples and in the different humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU), in order to understand  
191  
192 the role of the SOM in the transport of these metals during the podzolization process.

## 193 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 194 *2.1. Study area*

196  
197 The soil samples (two Spodosols, denoted P1 and P4) were obtained from a site  
198  
199 located in the north of the municipality of Barcelos, near the Demeni River  
200  
201 (0°15'18''N; 62°46'36''W) in the middle watershed of the Negro River region in the  
202  
203 Amazon plains of Brazil. The regional geology is characterized by sediments of the Içá  
204  
205 formation. Sample P1 was from a permanently waterlogged podzol covered by  
206  
207 herbaceous meadow of scrubs and grass, locally known as “campina”. Sample P4 was  
208  
209 from a well-drained podzol under rainforest vegetation, with no influence of  
210  
211 groundwater. Further details of the study area can be found in Tadini et al. [13].

### 212 *2.2. Preparation of the samples for analysis*

213  
214 The procedures adopted for sampling, preservation, and preparation of the soils  
215  
216 followed the recommendations of official methods [14-16]. Extraction and purification  
217  
218 of the humic acid (HA), fulvic acid (FA), and humin (HU) fractions followed the  
219  
220 procedures proposed by the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS), as used by  
221  
222 Rice and MacCarthy [17], and Swift [18].

### 223 *2.3. Flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS)*

224  
225 Sample decomposition was performed using 100 mg portions of the soils and the  
226  
227 humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU), following the recommendations of the United States  
228  
229 Environmental Protection Agency (Method 3052) [19]. Quantification of Fe and Al was  
230  
231 performed using a PerkinElmer PinAAcle 900T flame atomic absorption spectrometer.  
232  
233 Calibration curves were constructed using metal standard solutions and a blank (water)  
234  
235 prepared in 1.0 mol<sup>-1</sup> HCl (comparable to the sample preparation).  
236

#### 2.4. Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS)

The LIBS system employed a Nd:YAG laser operating at 532 nm in the visible (VIS) region. The VIS pulse had a maximum energy of 180 mJ, a width of 4 ns, and was generated by a Quanta Brilliant Q-Switched Nd:YAG laser coupled to a second harmonic generator module. An ARYELLE 400-Butterfly system was used to detect and select the wavelengths. The spectrometer was operated in the spectral range 175-330 nm, with resolution of 13-24 pm, and was equipped with an intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera (1024 × 1024 pixels). The beam from the lasers was directed and focused on the sample by means of dichroic mirrors at appropriate wavelength. Two lenses were placed between the sample and the tip of the fiber, for efficient collection of the emitted plasma. The sample support was placed in a micro-controlled x-y stage to enable easy and fast scanning by the laser beam impinging on it. An eight-channel pulse generator (Model 9618, Quantum Composers) was used to synchronize the delay time between pulse and the detection acquisition during the experiments.

Acquisition of the LIBS spectra was performed using a 532 nm visible laser beam with energy of 30 mJ and accumulation of 5 laser shots. The beam was focused and aligned to hit the sample in overlapping laser shots mode. The gate width was set at 1.5 μs and the gate time at 1.0 μs. Each whole soil and humic fraction sample was submitted to 30 measurements performed in different positions.

The outlier spectra were excluded using a technique called Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) [20], involving calculation of a normalized scalar product between the individual spectrum and the average spectrum, returning a value between -1 and 1. The closer the value is to 1, the greater the similarity between the spectra. The imposition of a limit below 1 enables the exclusion of spectra that differ from the average. The use of this procedure resulted in elimination of only around 2% of the spectra, indicative of good stability of the experimental system. Finally, an average spectrum was calculated for each sample.

#### 2.4. Transitions identification

Identification of the Fe and Al transitions was performed using pixel correlation [11] and the NIST database [21]. Briefly, calculation was made of the linear Pearson correlation between the intensity of a specific pixel and the variation of the concentration. This process was repeated for all pixels in the spectrum. The reference

296  
297  
298 elemental concentration value was measured by FAAS. In this way, the pixels with  
299  
300 higher correlation values were more likely to be representative of a transition line. This  
301 analysis assisted in eliminating saturated transitions and those with interference. As a  
302 result, three transition lines were selected for each metal: Al I (237.20 nm), Al II  
303 (265.25 nm), Al (281.62 nm), Fe I (248.33 nm), Fe II (273.95 nm), and Fe (302.05 and  
304 302.06 nm). The last Fe transition is a superposition of two Fe lines, so the integrated  
305 area of the entire region was used as a single intensity value.  
306  
307  
308  
309

### 310 311 *2.5. Multivariate calibration*

312 The LIBS intensity was linearly correlated with the Al and Fe concentrations of  
313 the samples, resulting in a calibration curve. The LIBS intensity was obtained by means  
314 of a multivariate calculation involving the areas for each transition and the area for the  
315 background, as shown in Equation 1:  
316  
317  
318

$$319 \quad I = \alpha_1 * A_1 + \alpha_2 * A_2 + \alpha_3 * A_3 - \beta * C \quad (1)$$

320 where  $A_i$  is the area of each atomic transition,  $C$  is the background area, and  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta$  are  
321 positive parameters fitted to the best linear correlation. The  $A_i$  values were calculated by  
322 summing the intensities of pixels inside a transition. The value of  $C$  was obtained as the  
323 sum of the intensities in a region with no apparent transitions.  
324  
325  
326  
327

## 328 329 **3. Results and Discussion**

330 Table 1 shows the values for carbon, Fe, and Al in the whole soil samples and  
331 in the humic fractions extracted from the Amazonian Spodosols, obtained using FAAS.  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354

Table 1. Concentrations of carbon (%) and the metals Fe and Al (g/kg) in the whole soil samples and in the humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU) extracted from the Amazonian Spodosols.

Metals	Samples			Carbon (%)				Concentration of metals (g/kg)			
	Area	Horizon	Depth (cm)	Soils	HA	FA	HU	Soils	HA	FA	HU
Fe	P1	A	0-15	22.5 ± 0.9	45 ± 3	18.2 ± 0.5	52.03 ± 0.08	1.20 ± 0.01	0.4 ± 0.2	0.07 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1
		A-E	15-30	1.01 ± 0.09	51 ± 1	26.9 ± 0.2	24.38 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1	*	0.2 ± 0.1
		Bh	40-50	2.1 ± 0.2	56.2 ± 0.2	36.8 ± 0.8	36.67 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1	0.12 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.1
		Bh-C	240	3.2 ± 0.2	46 ± 2	47.9 ± 0.1	*	6.44 ± 0.01	0.6 ± 0.2	*	0.4 ± 0.1
		C	350	1.2 ± 0.2	51 ± 3	38.7 ± 0.9	5.17 ± 0.00	2.13 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.1	0.07 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.2
	P4	A	0-20	3.9 ± 0.2	45.2 ± 0.3	34 ± 3	41.43 ± 0.07	2.29 ± 0.01	1.8 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1
		A	20-30	3.5 ± 0.2	49.4 ± 0.01	21.6 ± 0.2	*	2.28 ± 0.01	1.9 ± 0.4	*	0.2 ± 0.1
		A	30-40	0.89 ± 0.01	50 ± 2	10.8 ± 0.1	5.76 ± 0.02	2.60 ± 0.01	2.3 ± 0.3	*	0.3 ± 0.1
		Bh	110-120	0.42 ± 0.02	50.0 ± 0.1	39.8 ± 0.6	*	1.81 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.2	*	0.4 ± 0.1
		Bh	170-180	0.38 ± 0.01	52 ± 6	3.1 ± 0.0	5.33 ± 0.02	1.72 ± 0.01	1.4 ± 0.2	*	0.3 ± 0.1
Al	P1	Bh	370-380	0.48 ± 0.01	52.8 ± 0.3	32.8 ± 0.2	2.26 ± 0.01	2.49 ± 0.01	1.2 ± 0.6	*	0.4 ± 0.1
		Bh	380-390	0.78 ± 0.01	54.5 ± 0.5	34.5 ± 0.3	*	1.66 ± 0.01	1.3 ± 0.2	*	0.3 ± 0.1
		A	0-15	22.5 ± 0.9	45 ± 3	18.2 ± 0.5	52.03 ± 0.08	43 ± 1	*	16.8 ± 0.2	*
		A-E	15-30	1.01 ± 0.09	51 ± 1	26.9 ± 0.2	24.38 ± 0.01	39 ± 1	*	13.8 ± 0.5	*
		Bh	40-50	2.1 ± 0.2	56.2 ± 0.2	36.8 ± 0.8	36.67 ± 0.03	57 ± 1	*	8.8 ± 0.3	*
	P4	Bh-C	240	3.2 ± 0.2	46 ± 2	47.9 ± 0.1	*	92 ± 1	*	*	*
		C	350	1.2 ± 0.2	51 ± 3	38.7 ± 0.9	5.17 ± 0.00	52 ± 1	*	20.0 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1
		A	0-20	3.9 ± 0.2	45.2 ± 0.3	34 ± 3	41.43 ± 0.07	48 ± 1	6.4 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.1	*
		A	20-30	3.5 ± 0.2	49.4 ± 0.01	21.6 ± 0.2	*	47 ± 1	*	27.2 ± 0.2	*
		A	30-40	0.89 ± 0.01	50 ± 2	10.8 ± 0.1	5.76 ± 0.02	46 ± 1	*	17.5 ± 0.1	*
P4	Bh	110-120	0.42 ± 0.02	50.0 ± 0.1	39.8 ± 0.6	*	39 ± 1	*	13.7 ± 0.4	*	
	Bh	170-180	0.38 ± 0.01	52 ± 6	3.1 ± 0.0	5.33 ± 0.02	49 ± 1	*	16.5 ± 0.3	*	
	Bh	370-380	0.48 ± 0.01	52.8 ± 0.3	32.8 ± 0.2	2.26 ± 0.01	41 ± 1	*	20.5 ± 0.2	*	
	Bh	380-390	0.78 ± 0.01	54.5 ± 0.5	34.5 ± 0.3	*	51 ± 1	*	21 ± 1	*	

P1: hydromorphic spodosol; P4: well drained spodosol; \* below the detection limit of the calibration curve (%C = 0.04; Fe: 0.10 mg/kg and Al: 2.5 mg/kg).



396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454

The Al concentration profile showed an increase with greater depth in the horizons of soils P1 and P4 (Table 1). In the case of Fe, the highest values were found for the subsurface horizons (A and A-E) and the deep Bh horizons: Bh-C 240 (P1) and Bh 370-380 (P4). A possible explanation for this behavior was that in the case of the hydromorphic Spodosol (P1), there was mobilization of suspended organometallic complexes and metal oxides, controlled by the oscillating groundwater level. In flooded soils, anaerobic environments are created, with the organic matter acting as an electron receptor and enabling reduction of the macro- and micronutrients present [23, 24]. Hence, the results suggested that there was greater mobilization of the organometallic complexes and the reduced iron in soil P1, compared to the well-drained Spodosol (P4), with the precipitation of Fe and decreasing mobilization of the organometallic complexes along the profile. Consequently, there was the accumulation of Fe in horizons with greater amounts of organic matter, resulting in spodic Bh horizons in this system.

The results obtained in this study were in agreement with the findings of Ishida [25] and Santos [22] for a Latosol-Spodosol system in the Amazon region. It was reported that there was accumulation of Al and Fe in the Bh horizons, with the highest value obtained for Al. This accumulation was suggested to be due to translocation of the metals, especially Al, to the spodic Bh horizons in the form of organic complexes.

In previous work by Tadini et al. [13], involving the dating of these Amazonian Spodosols and determination of the humification indices, it was observed that soil P1 presented an accumulation of young organic material with a low degree of humification, which was displaced with depth, due to physical and chemical processes. The highest values for humification of the whole soil and for the humic acid fraction were obtained for the Bh-C horizon, at 240 cm. The data obtained in the present study (Table 1) showed that this horizon presented the highest concentrations of Fe and Al in the whole soil and the humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU).


The well-drained Spodosol (soil P4) showed the contribution of old and poorly humified organic matter in horizons Bh 170-180 and Bh 370-380. Therefore, the results indicated that the transfer of iron and aluminum to deeper profiles of soil P1 involved the participation of young and less humified organic matter. Transfer of the metals in soil P4 involved older organic matter with a low degree of humification, which could be attributed to the presence of more recalcitrant compounds derived from lignin [13].

These results indicated that the three humic fractions were involved in the

455  
456  
457 process of podzolization of the Amazonian Spodosols. The FA fraction played a  
458 predominant role in the transport of Al (8.8 to 27.2 g/kg), since this fraction had the  
459 highest amount of this metal in its structure, while the HA fraction was responsible for  
460 the transport of Fe (0.2 to 2.3 g/kg).  
461  
462

463  
464 The LIBS technique was also used for analysis of the whole soil samples and the  
465 humic fractions extracted from the Amazonian Spodosols. Figure 1 shows typical LIBS  
466 spectra obtained for horizon A of the hydromorphic Spodosol (P1).  
467  
468

469  
470 Figure 1. Typical LIBS spectra for the hydromorphic spodosol (P1 A 0-15 cm): (a) whole soil, (b) humic  
471 acids, (c) fulvic acids, and (d) humin.  
472  
473



After obtaining the LIBS spectra, the data for specific lines were treated in order to determine the concentrations of Fe and Al present in the whole soil and in the humic fractions. The lines selected were as follows: 248.32708 nm (Fe I), 273.95474 nm (Fe II), 302.04907 nm (Fe II), 265.2484 nm (Al I), 281.6185 nm (Al II), and 237.2070 nm (Al I). Three transitions were used for each metal, together with the background. A simple multivariate background analysis was used to obtain the LIBS intensity and correlate it with the concentration of Fe or Al.

Figure 2 shows the graphs for the correlations between the LIBS intensities and the Fe concentrations (g/kg) obtained by FAAS analysis of the whole soil samples and the humic fractions. Very strong Pearson correlations were obtained for the humic acid and humin fractions, with R values of 0.95 and 0.97, respectively (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)), while weaker correlations were found for the whole soils and the fulvic acid fractions. This suggested that the former two fractions, especially the humic acids, strongly participated in the transport of Fe to other horizons of the Amazonian Spodosols.

Figure 2. Correlations between the LIBS intensities and the Fe concentrations (g/kg) in the Amazonian Spodosol samples: (a) humic acids; (b) humin.





Figure 3 shows the correlation graphs for the LIBS intensity and [Al] (g/kg) determined by FAAS, for the whole soil samples and the fulvic acid fractions. Strong

Pearson correlations were obtained for both the whole soil ( $R = 0.90$ ) (Figure 3(a)) and the fulvic acid fraction ( $R = 0.97$ ) (Figure 3(b)), indicating that the simplest fraction of the organic matter of these Amazonian Spodosols (the fulvic acids) was most important for the transport of Al. The results shown in Figures 2 and 3 were in agreement with those presented in Figure 1. Consequently, in the case of the hydromorphic Spodosol (P1), the presence of groundwater outcrops favored the movement of this metal towards the deeper horizons, as observed by the high amounts of this metal in the whole soil (Table 1), compared to the well-drained Spodosol (P4).

Figure 3. Correlations between the LIBS intensities and the Al concentrations (g/kg) in the Amazonian Spodosol samples: (a) whole soil; (b) fulvic acids.



The results demonstrated that use of the LIBS technique enabled acquisition of important information concerning the humic fractions of the soil organic matter. In particular, it was possible to quantify the contributions of the different humic fractions to the processes of podzolization in these soils. The LIBS technique is faster than conventional techniques and was able to provide important information about key elements present in the humic fractions of organic matter in Amazonian soils.

#### 4. Conclusions

The results showed that the fulvic acid fraction was associated with high

632  
633  
634 amounts of Al, while the humic acid fraction was more selective towards Fe. The  
635 transfer of Fe and Al to deeper profiles involved young and less humified organic  
636 matter (soil P1), or older organic matter with a low degree of humification (soil P4). It  
637 could therefore be concluded that the three humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU) were  
638 involved in the soil podzolization process, with the FA and HA fractions playing  
639 predominant roles in the transport of Al and Fe, respectively.  
640  
641  
642  
643

644 The potential of LIBS as a technique capable of assisting in investigation of the  
645 processes of formation of Amazonian Spodosols was also evaluated. The data obtained  
646 showed strong correlations with the results of analyses using the reference method  
647 (FAAS), for Fe in the humic acid and humin fractions ( $R = 0.95$  and  $0.97$ , respectively),  
648 and for Al in the fulvic acid fraction ( $R = 0.97$ ). This is the first study using LIBS  
649 applied to samples of environmental humic fractions. In combination with FAAS, it  
650 enabled understanding of the roles of the humic fractions in the transport of nutrients  
651 and in the process of formation of Amazonian Spodosols.  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656

## 657 658 **5. Acknowledgments**

659 The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by the São Paulo  
660 State Research Foundation (FAPESP, grants 2011/03250-2, 2012/51469-6, 2013/07276-  
661 1, and 2013/13013-3) and the Brazilian National Council for Technological and  
662 Scientific Development (CNPq, grants 303478/2011-0, 306674/2014-9, 150087/2017-9  
663 and 232225/2014-1-SWE). The authors would like to thank Dr. Célia Regina Montes  
664 (ESALQ/ USP – Piracicaba, SP) for having given samples for analysis.  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671

## 672 **6. References**

- 673 [1] S. Trumbore, P.B. Camargo. Dinâmica do carbono do solo, in: M. Keller, M.  
674 Bustamante, J. Gash, P. S. Dias (Eds.), Amazonia and Global Change, 2009, pp. 451–  
675 462.  
676  
677 [2] D.S. Fanning, M.C.B. Fanning. Soil: morphology, genesis and classification. New  
678 York: John Willey & Sons, 1989.  
679  
680 [3] C. Doupoux, P. Merdy, C.R. Montes, N. Nunan, A.J. Melfi, O.J.R. Pereira, Y.  
681 Lucas. Modelling the genesis of equatorial podzols: age and implications for carbon  
682 fluxes. *Biogeosciences*. 14 (2017) 2429–2440.  
683  
684 [4] F.J Stevenson. Humus chemistry: genesis, composition and reaction. 2. ed. New  
685 York: John Wiley, 1994.  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690

- 691  
692  
693 [5] A.G. Corrêa, V.G. Zuin. Química Verde: fundamentos e aplicações. Primeira  
694 ed.[s.l.] Edufscar, 2009.  
695  
696 [6] D.W. Hahn, N. Omenetto. Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS), part I:  
697 review of basic diagnostics and plasma-particle interactions: still-challenging issues  
698 within the analytical plasma community. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 64 (2010) 335–366.  
699  
700 [7] E.C. Ferreira, D.M.B.P. Milori, E.J. Ferreira, L.M. Dos Santos, L. Martin-Neto,  
701 A.R.D.A. Nogueira. Evaluation of laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for  
702 multielemental determination in soils under sewage sludge application. *Talanta* 85  
703 (2011) 435–440.  
704  
705 [8] G. Nicolodelli, B.S. Marangoni, J.S. Cabral, P.R. Villas-Boas, G.S. Senesi, C.H.  
706 Santos, R.A. Romano, A. Segnini, Y. Lucas, C.R. Montes, D.M.B.P. Milori.  
707 Quantification of total carbon in soil using laser- induced breakdown spectroscopy:  
708 a method to correct interference lines. *Appl. Opt.* 53 (2104) 2170–2176.  
709  
710 [9] G. Nicolodelli, G.S. Senesi, R.A. Romano, I.L.O. Perazzoli, D.M.B.P. Milori. Signal  
711 enhancement in collinear double-pulse laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy applied  
712 to different soils. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B.* 111 (2015) 23-29.  
713  
714 [10] P.R. Villas-Boas, R.A. Romano, M.A.M. Franco, E.C. Ferreira, E.J. Ferreira, S.  
715 Crestana, D.M.B.P. Milori. Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy to determine soil  
716 texture: A fast analytical technique. *Geoderma* 263 (2016) 195-202.  
717  
718 [11] B.S. Marangoni, K.S.G. Silva, G. Nicolodelli, G.S. Senesi, J.S. Cabral, P.R. Villas-  
719 Boas, C.S.S. Teixeira, A.R.A. Nogueira, V.M. Benites, D.M.B.P. Milori. Phosphorus  
720 quantification in fertilizers using laser induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS): a  
721 methodology of analysis to correct physical matrix effects. *Anal. Methods* 8 (2016) 78-  
722 82.  
723  
724 [12] G. Nicolodelli, G.S. Senesi, I.L.O. Perazzoli, B.S. Marangoni, V.M. Benites,  
725 D.M.B.P. Milori. Double pulse laser induced breakdown spectroscopy: A potential tool  
726 for the analysis of contaminants and macro/micronutrients in organic mineral fertilizers.  
727 *Sci. Total Environ.* 565 (2016) 1116-1123.  
728  
729 [13] A.M. Tadini, G. Nicolodelli, G.S. Senesi, D.A. Ishida, C.R. Montes, Y. Lucas, S.  
730 Mounier, F.E.G. Guimarães, D.M.B.P. Milori. Soil organic matter in podzol horizons of  
731 the Amazon region: Humification, recalcitrance, and dating. *Sci. Total Environ.* 613-  
732 614 (2018) 160-167.  
733  
734 [14] R. Boulet, A. Chauvel, F.X. Humbel, Y. Lucas. Analyse structurale et cartographie  
735 en pédologie: I – Prise en compte de l’organisation bidimensionnelle de la couverture  
736 pédologique: les études de toposéquences et leurs principaux apports à la connaissance  
737 dès sols. *Séries Pédologie* 19 (1982) 309-321.  
738  
739 [15] R.D. Santos, R.C. Lemos, H.G. Santos, J.C. Ker, L.H.C. Anjos, L.H.C. Manual de  
740 descrição e coleta de solo no campo. Viçosa: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo,  
741 2005.  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749

750  
751  
752 [16] Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA). Sistema brasileiro de  
753 classificação de solos. Brasília, 2006. 306 p.  
754

755 [17] J. Rice, P. Maccarthy. Isolation of humin by liquid-liquid partitioning. *Sci. Total*  
756 *Environ.* 81-82 (1989) 61-69.  
757

758 [18] R.S. Swift. Organic matter characterization. In: D.L. Sparks, A.L. Page, P.A.  
759 Helmke, R.H. Loeppert, P.N. Soltanpour, M.A. Tabatabai, C.T. Johnston, M.E. Summer  
760 (Eds), *Methods of soil analysis: chemical methods*. Madison: Soil Science Society of  
761 America, Cap. 35, 2009, pp. 1018-1020.  
762

763 [19] Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Method 3052: microwave assisted acid  
764 digestion of siliceous and organically based matrices. Washington, 1996. 20 p.  
765

766 [20] N. Keshava. Distance metrics and band selection in hyperspectral processing with  
767 application to material identification and spectral libraries. *IEEE Transactions on*  
768 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 42 (2004) 1552–1565.  
769

770 [21] NIST Atomic Spectra Database, <http://www.nist.gov/pml/data/asd.cfm>, accessed  
771 February 2018.  
772

773 [22] C.H. Santos. Estudo da matéria orgânica e composição elementar de solos arenosos  
774 de regiões próximas a São Gabriel da Cachoeira no Amazonas. 2014. 155 f. Tese  
775 (Doutorado em Química Analítica) – Instituto de Química de São Carlos, Universidade  
776 de São Paulo, São Carlos, 2014.  
777

778 [23] F.A.O. Camargo, G.A. Santos, E. Zonta. Electrochemical Changes in wetland soils.  
779 *Ciência Rural* 29 (1999) 171-180.  
780

781 [24] R. Sousa, F.A.O. Camargo, L.C. Vahl. Solos alagados: reações de redox. In: E.J.  
782 Meurer (Ed.), *Fundamentos de química do solo*. Porto Alegre: Evangraf, 2010. pp.  
783 171-195.  
784

785 [25] D. Ishida. Caracterização e Gênese de Solos e de Depósito de Caulim Associado,  
786 São Gabriel da Cachoeira - AM. 2010. 192 f. Tese (Doutorado em Geoquímica de  
787 Processos) – Instituto de Geociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, 2010.  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808

Figure 1. Typical LIBS spectra for the hydromorphic spodosol (P1 A 0-15 cm): (a) whole soil, (b) humic acids, (c) fulvic acids, and (d) humin.








Figure 2. Correlations between the LIBS intensities and the Fe concentrations (g/kg) in the Amazonian Spodosol samples: (a) humic acids; (b) humin.




(a)




(b)

Figure 3. Correlations between the LIBS intensities and the Al concentrations (g/kg) in the Amazonian Spodosol samples: (a) whole soil; (b) fulvic acids.



(a)



(b)

Table 1. Concentrations of carbon (%) and the metals Fe and Al (g/kg) in the whole soil samples and in the humic fractions (HA, FA, and HU) extracted from the Amazonian Spodosols.

Metals	Samples			Carbon (%)				Concentration of metals (g/kg)				
	Area	Horizon	Depth (cm)	Soils	HA	FA	HU	Soils	HA	FA	HU	
Fe	P1	A	0-15	22.5 ± 0.9	45 ± 3	18.2 ± 0.5	52.03 ± 0.08	1.20 ± 0.01	0.4 ± 0.2	0.07 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1	
		A-E	15-30	1.01 ± 0.09	51 ± 1	26.9 ± 0.2	24.38 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1	*	0.2 ± 0.1	
		Bh	40-50	2.1 ± 0.2	56.2 ± 0.2	36.8 ± 0.8	36.67 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.1	0.12 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.1	
		Bh-C	240	3.2 ± 0.2	46 ± 2	47.9 ± 0.1	*	6.44 ± 0.01	0.6 ± 0.2	*	0.4 ± 0.1	
		C	350	1.2 ± 0.2	51 ± 3	38.7 ± 0.9	5.17 ± 0.00	2.13 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.1	0.07 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.2	
	P4	A	0-20	3.9 ± 0.2	45.2 ± 0.3	34 ± 3	41.43 ± 0.07	2.29 ± 0.01	1.8 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	
		A	20-30	3.5 ± 0.2	49.4 ± 0.01	21.6 ± 0.2	*	2.28 ± 0.01	1.9 ± 0.4	*	0.2 ± 0.1	
		A	30-40	0.89 ± 0.01	50 ± 2	10.8 ± 0.1	5.76 ± 0.02	2.60 ± 0.01	2.3 ± 0.3	*	0.3 ± 0.1	
		Bh	110-120	0.42 ± 0.02	50.0 ± 0.1	39.8 ± 0.6	*	1.81 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.2	*	0.4 ± 0.1	
		Bh	170-180	0.38 ± 0.01	52 ± 6	3.1 ± 0.0	5.33 ± 0.02	1.72 ± 0.01	1.4 ± 0.2	*	0.3 ± 0.1	
		Bh	370-380	0.48 ± 0.01	52.8 ± 0.3	32.8 ± 0.2	2.26 ± 0.01	2.49 ± 0.01	1.2 ± 0.6	*	0.4 ± 0.1	
		Bh	380-390	0.78 ± 0.01	54.5 ± 0.5	34.5 ± 0.3	*	1.66 ± 0.01	1.3 ± 0.2	*	0.3 ± 0.1	
	Al	P1	A	0-15	22.5 ± 0.9	45 ± 3	18.2 ± 0.5	52.03 ± 0.08	43 ± 1	*	16.8 ± 0.2	*
			A-E	15-30	1.01 ± 0.09	51 ± 1	26.9 ± 0.2	24.38 ± 0.01	39 ± 1	*	13.8 ± 0.5	*
			Bh	40-50	2.1 ± 0.2	56.2 ± 0.2	36.8 ± 0.8	36.67 ± 0.03	57 ± 1	*	8.8 ± 0.3	*
Bh-C			240	3.2 ± 0.2	46 ± 2	47.9 ± 0.1	*	92 ± 1	*	*	*	
C			350	1.2 ± 0.2	51 ± 3	38.7 ± 0.9	5.17 ± 0.00	52 ± 1	*	20.0 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1	
P4		A	0-20	3.9 ± 0.2	45.2 ± 0.3	34 ± 3	41.43 ± 0.07	48 ± 1	6.4 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.1	*	
		A	20-30	3.5 ± 0.2	49.4 ± 0.01	21.6 ± 0.2	*	47 ± 1	*	27.2 ± 0.2	*	
		A	30-40	0.89 ± 0.01	50 ± 2	10.8 ± 0.1	5.76 ± 0.02	46 ± 1	*	17.5 ± 0.1	*	
		Bh	110-120	0.42 ± 0.02	50.0 ± 0.1	39.8 ± 0.6	*	39 ± 1	*	13.7 ± 0.4	*	
		Bh	170-180	0.38 ± 0.01	52 ± 6	3.1 ± 0.0	5.33 ± 0.02	49 ± 1	*	16.5 ± 0.3	*	
		Bh	370-380	0.48 ± 0.01	52.8 ± 0.3	32.8 ± 0.2	2.26 ± 0.01	41 ± 1	*	20.5 ± 0.2	*	
		Bh	380-390	0.78 ± 0.01	54.5 ± 0.5	34.5 ± 0.3	*	51 ± 1	*	21 ± 1	*	

P1: hydromorphic spodosol; P4: well drained spodosol; \* below the detection limit of the calibration curve (%C = 0.04; Fe: 0.10 mg/kg and Al: 2.5 mg/kg).