

Exploring the Impact of the Biofloc Rearing System and an Oral WSSV Challenge on the Intestinal Bacteriome of Litopenaeus vannamei

Mariana Pilotto, André Goncalves, Felipe Vieira, Walter Seifert, Evelyne Bachère, Rafael Rosa, Luciane Perazzolo

To cite this version:

Mariana Pilotto, André Goncalves, Felipe Vieira, Walter Seifert, Evelyne Bachère, et al.. Exploring the Impact of the Biofloc Rearing System and an Oral WSSV Challenge on the Intestinal Bacteriome of Litopenaeus vannamei. Microorganisms, 2018, 6 (3), pp.83. 10.3390/microorganisms6030083. hal-01887602

HAL Id: hal-01887602 <https://hal.science/hal-01887602v1>

Submitted on 4 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Article

Exploring the Impact of the Biofloc Rearing System and an Oral WSSV Challenge on the Intestinal Bacteriome of *Litopenaeus vannamei*

Mariana R. Pilotto ¹ [ID](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3143-0930) , André N. A. Goncalves ¹ [ID](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5264-8368) , Felipe N. Vieira ² , Walter Q. Seifert ² , Evelyne Bachère ³ , Rafael D. Rosa ¹ [ID](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4076-3163) and Luciane M. Perazzolo 1,* [ID](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5539-4911)

- ¹ Laboratory of Immunology Applied to Aquaculture, Department of Cell Biology, Embryology and Genetics, Federal University of Santa Catarina, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil; maryrp@gmail.com (M.R.P.); anicolau85@gmail.com (A.N.A.G.); rafael.d.rosa@ufsc.br (R.D.R.)
- ² Laboratory of Marine Shrimp, Department of Aquaculture, Federal University of Santa Catarina, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil; felipe.vieira@ufsc.br (F.N.V.); walter.seiffert@ufsc.br (W.Q.S.)
- 3 Ifremer, UMR 5244, IHPE Interactions-Hosts-Pathogens-Environment, UPVD, CNRS, Université de Montpellier, 34095 Montpellier, France; ebachere@ifremer.fr
- ***** Correspondence: l.m.perazzolo@ufsc.br; Tel.: +55-48 3721-4196; Fax: +55-48-3721-5528

Received: 4 July 2018; Accepted: 4 August 2018; Published: 8 August 2018

Abstract: We provide a global overview of the intestinal bacteriome of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in two rearing systems and after an oral challenge by the White spot syndrome virus (WSSV). By using a high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing technology, we identified and compared the composition and abundance of bacterial communities from the midgut of shrimp reared in the super-intensive biofloc technology (BFT) and clear seawater system (CWS). The predominant bacterial group belonged to the phylum Proteobacteria, followed by the phyla Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes. Within Proteobacteria, the family Vibrionaceae, which includes opportunistic shrimp pathogens, was more abundant in CWS than in BFT-reared shrimp. Whereas the families Rhodobacteraceae and Enterobacteriaceae accounted for almost 20% of the bacterial communities of shrimp cultured in BFT, they corresponded to less than 3% in CWS-reared animals. Interestingly, the WSSV challenge dramatically changed the bacterial communities in terms of composition and abundance in comparison to its related unchallenged group. Proteobacteria remained the dominant phylum. Vibrionaceae was the most affected in BFT-reared shrimp (from 11.35 to 20.80%). By contrast, in CWS-reared animals the abundance of this family decreased from 68.23 to 23.38%. Our results provide new evidence on the influence of both abiotic and biotic factors on the gut bacteriome of aquatic species of commercial interest.

Keywords: biofloc technology (BFT); penaeid shrimp; gut microbiota; White spot syndrome virus; 16S rRNA gene sequencing

1. Introduction

Over the past twenty years, the shrimp industry has faced critical challenges related to environmental issues (scarce water quality) and infectious diseases caused by viruses and bacteria [1,2]. Among the infectious diseases of penaeid, the White spot syndrome (WSS) and the Acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND, previously referred as "Early mortality syndrome") are currently the most serious threats to shrimp farmers. WSS is caused by the White spot syndrome virus (WSSV), whereas the AHPND is an emerging bacteriosis caused by virulent strains of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *V. harveyi* [3–5]. Both infections have been causing global losses to the shrimp farming industry, which call for the efforts of both researchers and farmers toward the development of

strategies to prevent disease outbreaks. In this context, the adoption of on-farm biosecurity practices has been a needful action to limit the pathogen entrance into the cultures [6].

Furthermore, traditional culture systems are responsible for generating an immense amount of excess organic pollutants, which requires continuous replacement of the pond water through exchange [7]. Among on-shrimp farm biosecurity practices, the BioFloc super-intensive Technology (BFT) has emerged as a promising alternative culture system. This system is based on the principle of waste nutrients recycling, where animals are stocked at high densities (minimum of 300 g of biomass per square meter) and little or no water exchange is performed [8,9]. Water exchange is a procedure to be avoided, once the influent water and shrimp larvae represent the most significant ways to enter pathogens in the farms [10]. Bioflocs are formed by a rich microbiota composed of fungi, protozoa, zooplankton, microalgae, and heterotrophic bacteria responsible for removing the excess ammoniacal nitrogen and maintaining stable levels of nutrients in the water [8,11]. Moreover, microbial flocs are also used as food for the animals and their consumption can induce an increase in shrimp growth performance [12,13] and robustness [14,15]. It is widely believed that BFT culture improves the crustacean immunity leading to high survival rates even under bacterial and viral infections [14,16]. Although the mechanisms underlying shrimp robustness are not yet understood, a continuous immunostimulation condition is rather expected considering the abundance of microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) present in BFT systems [14,15].

Since the aquatic environment can influence the microbiota composition and abundance [17], studies focusing the BFT contribution on the establishment of shrimp intestinal microbiota are highly required. In addition, it is now well established that commensal microbiota is essential for the correct functionality of the host physiology [18]. Surprisingly, the characterization of the microbial communities present in the digestive tract of cultured shrimp species has been only recently uncovered [19–21]. To our knowledge, only one report regarding the description of the intestinal bacterial communities of a penaeid species (*Litopenaeus stylirostris*) reared in the BFT system is available in the literature [22]. In addition, nothing is known about the bacteriome plasticity in shrimp infected by the WSSV, one of the most important pathogens to shrimp farming. In this context, we aimed to characterize the abundance and composition of the intestinal bacterial communities of the most important penaeid species, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, reared in BFT and a clear seawater system. Likewise, the plasticity of the midgut bacteriome from shrimp challenged by WSSV was investigated. Our results bring new evidence of the influence of the biofloc culture and the viral challenge on the shrimp bacteriome, providing new insights into future studies regarding the role of microbiota on the intestinal immunity of cultured penaeid.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Experimental Design

Post-larvae stage 5 (PL⁵ = five-day-old) from lineage HB12 of *Litopenaeus vannamei* were obtained from Aquatec LTDA (Canguaratema, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil) and transferred to the Laboratory of Marine Shrimp (Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil). The biofloc culture was initially established in a 50 $m³$ matrix tank according to [23]. The experimental design is shown in Figure 1. PL₅ was randomly allocated into 8 indoor tanks of 9 m³, according to the following types of growing conditions: BioFloc Technology system (BFT; 4 tanks) and clear seawater system (CWS; 4 tanks), at an initial stocking density of 300 and 20 PL_5 m⁻³, respectively. The tanks were continuously aerated (dissolved oxygen > 5 mg L⁻¹) and kept under controlled temperature (29 \pm 1°C) and salinity (34–35). Post-larvae were fed four times a day with the commercial Guabi Potimar feed. The daily seawater exchange rate of the CWS tanks was 80%, whereas in the BFT tanks the evaporated water was replaced with freshwater. The amount of solids present in BFT units was usually maintained between 400 and 600 mg L⁻¹ and when in excess, solids were removed by using settling tanks (100 L) [23]. The water's physicochemical parameters were analyzed according to [23]. After four months, when the PL₅ reached the juvenile stage (5–8 g), around 30% of the animals from each tank/group were

randomly selected to confirm that the shrimp were free of WSSV by using the Nested-PCR assay described by [24]. Finally, shrimp ($n = 120$ /culture system) were transferred to the Laboratory of Immunology Applied to Aquaculture (Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil). $F₁$ $F₂$ is $F₃$.

Figure 1. Post-larvae stage 5 (PL5 = five-day-old) from lineage HB12 of *Litopenaeus vannamei* were **Figure 1.** Post-larvae stage 5 (PL₅ = five-day-old) from lineage HB12 of *Litopenaeus vannamei* were cultivated during four months in two culture systems: Biofloc Technology (BFT) (4 tanks) and clear seawater system (CWS) (4 tanks), at an initial stocking density of 300 and 20 PL₅.m⁻³, respectively. White spot syndrome virus (WSSV)-free juvenile shrimp (5–8 g) from each condition (*n* = 80) were individually challenged with WSSV by the oral route (5 \times 10⁶ genome viral copies). The remaining animals (*n* = 40/condition) were not handled. At 48 h post-challenge (hpc), midguts from unchallenged (BFT and CWS) and WSSV-challenged (BFT.W and CWS.W) shrimp (*n* = 40/condition) were collected *2.2. WSSV Per Os Challenge and Midgut Collection* and processed for 16S RNA gene sequencing.

2.2. WSSV Per Os Challenge and Midgut Collection

The viral inoculum was prepared as previously described in [25], with some modifications. In brief, the abdomen tissues of WSSV-infected shrimp were homogenized in a Tris-saline solution (20 mM Tris, 330 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) (1:10 *w/v*) using a hand blender. The tissue homogenate was centrifuged twice (2000× *g* for 20 min and 9000× *g* for 10 min) at 4 °C and the supernatant filtered (0.45 μm), aliquoted and stored at −80 °C [25]. Eighty shrimp from each condition were challenged $\frac{f(x)}{f(x)}$ in exclusive (100 μ) containing $\epsilon \times 10^6$ simple equivalently flat were assumed into the with WSSV inoculum (100 µL containing 5×10^6 viral copies) that was gently flowed into the oral cavity by using a micropipette. No mortalities were recorded. After 48 h post-challenge, midguts from unchallenged (BFT and CWS) and challenged (BFT.W and CWS.W) shrimp were aseptically dissected, washed twice (70% ethanol and sterile seawater), and stored in 70% ethanol for further DNA extraction *2.3. Genomic DNA (gDNA) Extraction* point (48 h) was chosen for midgut collection due previous results with *L. vannamei* infected with WSSV which start to die from 72 h post-challenge [25,26]. and metagenomic sequencing. The WSSV infection was confirmed by Nested-PCR [24]. This time

2.3. Genomic DNA (gDNA) Extraction **comparisons** Servers and proteinal proteinal proteinal proteins of the structure \mathbb{R}

 M_{max} (final concentration M_{max}). After including for 1 at 1 For total genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction, individual midguts stored in 70% ethanol were rinsed in the Tris-saline solution and homogenized with a lysis solution containing 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 0.1 M NaCl, 0.05 M EDTA (pH 8.0), 1% SDS and proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (final concentration 0.25 µg µL⁻¹). After incubation for 1 h at 55°C, 3 M potassium acetate (1:2; v/v) was added to the mix, following incubation for 30 min at 4◦C and centrifugation at 14,000× *g* for 10 min. gDNA precipitation was performed using isopropanol (1:2; *v/v*) and the mix was immediately

centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. The gDNA pellet was washed (70% ethanol), resuspended in ultrapure water and the gDNA concentration and purity were verified by using the NanoVue plus™ spectrophotometer. Individual gDNA samples were pooled to compose four 16S rRNA libraries: BFT, CWS, BFT.W, and CWS.W. The same concentration of template DNA from each pooled sample (100 ng) was used for the following amplification methods.

2.4. 16S rRNA Gene Library Preparation and High Throughput Sequencing

Firstly, a PCR amplification was performed using a primer pair based on the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene in the midgut samples of *L. vannamei*: 341 Fw (5'-CCT AYG GGR BGC ASC AG-3') and 806 Rv (5'-GGA CTA CNN GGG TAT CTA AT-3') [27]. The PCR reaction was carried out in 50 µL containing 1 µL gDNA template (100 ng), 0.5 µM of each primer, 1 × Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix [20 units mL−¹ Phusion DNA polymerase, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 25 mM TAPS-HCl (pH 9.3), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 400 μg mL⁻¹ activated Calf Thymus DNA] (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The PCR conditions were: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 5 s, 56 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 20 s, and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. The quality of PCR products was verified by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel. PCR product bands were purified by using Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and libraries from each condition were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2500 Platform (GenOne Biotechnologies, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil).

2.5. Sequence Data Analysis

All Illumina sequencing data were filtered and analyzed using the QIIME 1.7.0 software package (Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology) [28]. Firstly, the data were filtered to remove forward/reverse primers and barcode sequences considering quality phred \geq Q20. Quality filtering on the data were performed under specific conditions to obtain the high-quality clean sequences [29]. Chimera sequences were removed using the UCHIME Algorithm [\(http://www.](http://www.drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html) [drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html\)](http://www.drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html) [30]. Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were assigned (97% similarity) using the pick_closed_reference_otus.py scripts with the UCLUST method and annotated in the Greengenes 13_8 database reference [\(http://greengenes.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/nph](http://greengenes.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/nph-index.cgi)[index.cgi\)](http://greengenes.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/nph-index.cgi) [31]. The similarity level used by GreenGenes assignment was \geq 97%. Different indexes were selected to identify species richness (Chao1 [32] and ACE [33] estimators) and species diversity (Shannon index [34] and Simpson index [35]). Rarefaction curves of the expected species richness (using Chao1) with 95% confidence intervals were generated based on the cumulative sampling using make_rarefaction_plots.py script from QIIME software. Finally, Good's coverage was used to estimate the sequencing depth. OTUs abundance information was normalized using a number of standard sequences corresponding to the biggest sample. Subsequent analysis of alpha and beta bacterial diversities were performed based on OTUs normalized data using QIIME software. To estimate the beta diversity (differences between samples), a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA-Weighted UniFrac distance analysis) was conducted with the software package of Fathom Toolbox for Matlab [\(http://www.marine.usf.edu/user/djones\)](http://www.marine.usf.edu/user/djones). Venn diagram and relative abundance graphs were performed using the R script [\(http://www.r-project.org/\)](http://www.r-project.org/) and the ggplot2 software (ggplot2.org).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Overview of the Illumina Sequencing, Diversity, and Richness of Bacteria

We have explored here the bacterial communities' dynamics (abundance and phylogenetic composition) in the shrimp midgut in response to two important abiotic and biotic factors related to shrimp farming (culture system and viral infection) by assessing 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The BFT water parameters are shown in Table S1. Two bacterial 16S rRNA gene libraries have been generated from the midguts of shrimp cultured in a BioFloc Technology (library "BFT") and in a Clear Seawater System (library "CWS"). Likewise, two other libraries were generated from the midguts of shrimp challenged with the WSSV using a *per os* method (libraries "BFT.W" and "CWS.W"). We focused on investigating the midgut portion from the shrimp gut because it lacks the chitinous layer of the *Microorganisms* **2018**, *6*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 5 of 16 cuticle normally found in the other portions of the intestine (foregut and hindgut). Moreover, shrimp midgut possesses an epithelial layer that is protected by a semi-permeable, non-permanent peritrophic matrix [36,37], thereby representing a potential route of pathogen entry [38]. possesses an epinemal ayer that is protected by a semi-permeable, non-permanent peri

The full dataset for this project has been deposited at NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA accession: SRS3345544, SRS3345545, SRS3345546, SRS3345547). A total of 275,561 raw sequences were generated using the Illumina Hi-Seq 2500 platform sequencing and, after quality trimming, 185,745 high-quality sequences were used for further analysis (Table S2). From those high-quality sequences, 39,938 were generated from BFT, 47,030 from CWS, 50,836 from BFT.W and 47,941 from CWS.W. Sequences were clustered into 1299 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity using the Greengenes 13_8 reference OTU collection database as reference. Analysis of the rarefaction curves from the 16S rRNA gene sequences of all the samples reached a plateau (saturation), indicating that the sequencing depth was sufficient to cover the bacterial communities of the *L. vannamei* midgut (Figure 2A), confirmed by the Good's coverage analysis (Table 1). Estimation of phylotype richness was calculated according to the bias-corrected Chao1 estimator and the abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE). Chao1 scores ranged from 487.07 to 674.05, while ACE predicted a range from 408.01 to 672.01 phylotypes (Table 1), indicating that shrimp samples from BFT exhibited a higher phylotype richness than the CWS samples.
 \blacksquare $\frac{1}{2}$ (27) , communities by the sloot secoverage analysis (table 1). Estimation of phylotype in

Figure 2. Sequencing coverage analysis by rarefaction curve (**A**) and Principle coordinate analysis **(B)** indicating the similarities and dissimilarities between bacterial communities present in the midgut of Litopenaeus vannamei reared in two different rearing systems (BFT: biofloc; CWS: clear seawater) and after an oral challenge by the White spot syndrome virus (BFT.W: shrimp reared in BFT and challenged by the WSSV; CWS.W: shrimp reared in CWS and challenged by the WSSV).

In order to compare the bacterial communities' diversity from each sample, Shannon and Simpson indices were calculated from the obtained OTUs. Shannon indexes were 6.24 for BFT, 4.13 for CWS, 5.79 for BFT.W, and 4.55 for CWS.W (Table 1). Simpson indexes of diversity were 0.96 for both BFT and BFT.W, 0.79 for CWS and 0.89 for CWS.S. High Simpson indexes in BFT-reared animals indicate a higher bacterial communities' diversity in the midguts from shrimp reared in BFT than in CWS. Indeed, BFT represents a rich microbial environment that provides the establishment of many different bacterial communities in the shrimp gut [22] and, the composition of the *L. vannamei* midgut seems to be closely related to the rearing system. The Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot data revealed the similarities and dissimilarities between bacterial communities present in the midgut of *L. vannamei* reared in different systems (BFT or CWS) and after the WSSV challenge (BFT.W and CWS.W). While BFT, BFT.W and CWS.W were grouped in a same clade, CWS formed a separated group (Figure 2B). These findings indicate thorough differences in the intestinal bacterial composition of shrimp reared in CWS when compared to BFT-reared animals or facing a viral challenge (BFT.W and CWS.W).

Table 1. Bacterial communities' diversity and richness in the midgut of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in Biofloc technology (BFT) or clear seawater system (CWS) and after a viral challenge.

Groups	OTUs	H′	$1-D$	Chao1	ACE	Good's Coverage
BFT	653	6.24	0.96	674.05	672.40	0.99
CWS	352	4.13	0.79	487.07	408.01	0.99
BFTW	572	5.79	0.96	624.99	627.61	0.99
CWS.W	518	4.55	0.89	542.84	552.32	0.99

OTUs: operational taxonomic units; H': Shannon index; 1-D: Simpson index; ACE: abundance-based coverage estimator. BFT.W: shrimp reared in BFT and challenged by the White spot syndrome virus (WSSV); CWS.W: shrimp reared in CWS and challenged by the WSSV.

Venn diagram analyses revealed significant differences in the frequency distribution of bacterial OTUs according to the culture system (BFT and CWS) and viral challenge (Figure 3). Midguts of animals reared in BFT exhibited a larger number of OTUs when compared with those from CWS. 571 OTUs were exclusively found in shrimp reared in bioflocs (361 in BFT, 111 in BFT.W and 99 in both groups), whereas 298 OTUs were exclusive from shrimp reared in clear seawater (162 in CWS, 121 in CWS.W and 15 in both conditions). The higher amount of exclusive OTUs from the BFT samples could reflect the diversity microbioma of the bioflocs environment. The viral challenge leads to the appearance of exclusive OTUs in each rearing condition: 111 OTUs for BFT.W and 121 for CWS.W (Figure 3**)**. Furthermore, exclusive OTUs (*n* = 174) were shared only by the challenged animals of both rearing condition. These findings suggest that this bacterial community displacement in the midgut is related to the virus presence. In the human gut, the influenza virus promotes the depletion of some bacteria communities and the enrichment of others, leading to an imbalance of the microenvironment condition, a state known as dysbiosis [39]. Studies on microbiota shrimp-virus interaction related to the BFT rearing system deserve to be investigated in the future. Finally, 60 OTUs were shared among all samples, which represented 4.61% of the total OTUs. This bacteria subset present in all groups could represent relevant microorganisms to the fundamental structure and function of the shrimp intestinal microbiota [40]. The list of shared and unique OTUs annotation indicated in the Venn diagram is provided in Supplemental Table S3.

Figure 3. Venn diagram showing unique and shared operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of midgut **Figure 3.** Venn diagram showing unique and shared operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of midgut bacteria of **Littopenaeus** van die provincie van die operationale and continue and continue of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ of the bacteria of *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in biofloc (BFT) and clear seawater (CWS) and challenged by the WSSV (BFT.W and CWS.W), by using a *per os* method.

3.2. Influence of Rearing Conditions on the Bacterial Communities of Shrimp Midgut

It is widely believed that the commensal microbiota composition in adult arthropods appears to be intimately related to the initial exposure to microorganisms on precocious stages of their life [41,42]. Based on that, we performed the shrimp grown in BFT and CWS for four months, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$ sexuality 16S representation into 33 random $\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$ from post-larvae aged for 5 days (PL₅) until the juvenile stage (5–8 g) (Figure 1). In our analysis, the obtained high-quality 16S rRNA gene sequences were classified into 33 prokaryotic phyla that belong to the domain Bacteria. The most representative phyla identified in the *L. vannamei* midgut were 4). Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes. However, the frequency distribution of the intestinal bacterial communities differed according to the rearing system (Figure 4).

For both rearing conditions, Proteobacteria was the most abundant phylum associated with the midgut of *L. vannamei*, which is consistent with previous studies using the same penaeid species [43-46]. The relative abundance of Proteobacteria was higher (77.95%) in CWS than in BFT (54.77%). Within this phylum, Gammaproteobacteria was the most dominant class in the midgut of shrimp reared in $t_{\rm F}$ of BFT (39%) (denotes of BFT (39%). Interestingly, the medical medic both conditions, despite the fact that in CWS the relative abundance was superior (73%) to those of BFT (39%) (data not shown). Interestingly, this class comprises several medically and ecologically important groups of Gram-negative bacteria, including several pathogens to human and animals that belong to the Enterobacteriaceae, Vibrionaceae, and Pseudomonadaceae families. Particularly, Vibrionaceae accounted for 68.23% from the total bacteria present in the midgut of whiteleg shrimp cultivated in CWS, in contrast with 11.35% of the intestinal bacteriome of shrimp cultivated in BFT (Figure 4). In a recent study performed with the Western blue shrimp, *L. stylirostris*, the intestinal microbiota was characterized in animals reared in BFT and CWS, as well the bacterial communities from the water rearing system [22]. Vibrionaceae was less abundant into BFT rearing water (0.1%) than in the CWS water (4.7%), whereas Vibrionaceae communities had a very similar abundance in that the CWS water (4.7%), whereas Vibrionaceae communities had a very similar abundance in the Western blue shrimp intestine reared in both culture systems (54.5% and 57.6% to BFT and CWS, respectively). In addition, it has been seen that the BFT altered the species composition of the *Vibrio*

community in *L. vannamei* hepatopancreas compared to shrimp reared in CWS, and shrimp showed
letteral and the community in *CHO* LCLC and CHO LCLC better health status than those cultivated in CWS [47].

Figure 4. Relative abundance of the most prevalent bacterial phyla and families identified in the **Figure 4.** Relative abundance of the most prevalent bacterial phyla and families identified in the midgut of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (highlighted in red in the not-to-scale image) reared in biofloc (BFT) and clear seawater (CWS), and at 48 h after an oral challenge by the White spot syndrome virus (BFT.W and CWS.W).

The genus *Vibrio* is composed by fast-growing aquatic Gram-negative bacteria, able to colonize the digestive tract of different animals, including penaeids [48]. However, many *Vibrio* species are

considered opportunistic pathogens for shrimp under stressful conditions, such as poor nutrition, low water quality, and immune depression [48]. In cultured shrimp, this bacterial group has been repeatedly implicated in gastro-intestinal diseases, leading to high mortality in shrimp farming worldwide [49,50]. For instance, a new emerging vibriosis named acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND) that is caused by virulent strains of *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. harveyi* has seriously impacted the shrimp industry worldwide since its first description in China, in 2009, causing up to 100% mortality in a few days of rearing [4,5]. The indiscriminate use of antibiotics attempting to protect the farms from bacteriosis has led to the spread of multiple resistant strains of *Vibrio* [51]. As an alternative to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics in the shrimp farms, probiotics and bioflocs are current environmentally friendly technologies based on *in situ* microorganism production and aiming the control of infectious diseases [8,48]. In this context, the BFT system arises as a "natural probiotic" culture strategy [52]. Microorganisms present in BFT water could act against pathogenic bacteria by competition for substrate and nutrients, producing inhibitory compounds, and interfering in the bacterial *quorum*-sensing communication [8,9,16,47,53].

Interestingly, two Proteobacteria families, Rhodobacteraceae and Enterobacteriaceae, were more abundant in the midgut of shrimp reared in BFT than in CWS, representing around 15% in BFT and less than 4% in CWS (Figure 4). Rhodobacteraceae were also more abundant in the intestine of Western blue shrimp reared in the BFT system than in CWS [22]. This bacterial family is commonly found associated with biofilms and other aquatic surfaces, usually being the dominant and the first bacterial group to colonize these places [54]. The role of this bacterial family in the shrimp intestinal microbiota is not well understood. Nonetheless, it is believed that the BFT system can favor the presence of this bacteria family due to its high concentration of suspended solid [55] that can be used as growth sites by Rhodobacteraceae [22]. Interestingly, Rhodobacteraceae members can establish an antagonistic activity limiting the survival of pathogenic *Vibrio* [56]. Therefore, we could hypothesize that the higher abundance of Rhodobacteraceae in the midgut of *L. vannamei* reared in BFT could be associated with a lower abundance of Vibrionaceae.

The other predominant phyla in the midgut of whiteleg shrimp reared in BFT when compared with CWS were Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes (Figure 4). Bacteroidetes is a dominant member of marine heterotrophic bacterioplankton and it is frequently found colonizing macroscopic organic matter particles [57]. Flavobacteriaceae represents one of the most abundant microorganisms in aquatic environments [58] and in our study, Flavobacteriaceae was the main Bacteroidetes family present in the midgut of *L. vannamei* reared in BFT (4.51%) and CWS (0.84%). Finally, the last two phyla composing the intestinal bacteriome of *L. vannamei* were Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. Actinobacteria corresponded to 12.68% in BFT and 1.47% in CWS, whereas the relative abundance of Firmicutes was 6.48% in BFT and 2.81% in CWS. The lower abundance of both phyla in the intestinal microbiota of *L. vannamei* has been recently documented [43,44]. Finally, two bacterial species affiliated with Firmicutes group, *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus*, with potential use as probiotics in shrimp aquaculture [59] were poorly represented in our study (Bacilli class represented 1% in both rearing conditions).

Our findings indicate that the bacteriome of shrimp reared in BFT was more diversified and rich when compared to that from animals reared in clear seawater, where the predominant bacterial community was Vibrionaceae. The water from BFT is especially rich in organic matter and suspended particles, which can favor bacteria that use organic matter and nitrogen compounds for growth [55,60]. In addition, the BFT rearing system apparently causes important modifications in shrimp midgut microbiota compared to CWS, which corroborates the fact that the microbiota from the digestive tract of the aquatic animals is directly influenced by the environment [22,59,61,62]. In addition, the idea of considering the BFT as a "natural probiotic system" [52] has important consequences to the intestinal microbiota. The BFT could act internally and/or externally to the shrimp body, an effect promoted by large groups of microorganisms, but mainly bacteria [52].

Regarding the beneficial effects of the BFT system, it has also been seen that bioflocs can act as immunostimulants, enhancing the shrimp innate immune system [14,63], even altering the expression

of genes related to the shrimp immune response [15], which could be attributed to the ability of the BFT to induce changes in shrimp microbiota. The host immune system and its microbiota are considered to have a close relationship. The microbiota is required for intestinal immune maturation and, on the other hand, the host's immune responses regulate the structure and composition of its intestinal microbiota [64]. The host's immune responses are dependent on sophisticated systems for recognizing and also differentiating beneficial and pathogenic microorganisms [65], and the direct contact with the environment makes this recognition even more important for aquatic animals [66]. In shrimp, the complexity of the interface between the host's immune system and its gut microbiota is still unknown. However, the RNAi-mediated knockdown of the ALF*Pm*3 gene (a member of the ALF antimicrobial peptide family) resulted in the rapid death of the animals, which was attributed to an uncontrolled bacterial growth [67]. Overall, these results show that gut microbiota of shrimp is altered by the rearing environment. The midguts of BFT-reared shrimp were composed mainly by bacteria that uses organic matter to grow on. As it has been previously found that the BFT system could offer multiple benefits to shrimp, we can now investigate the correlation between these beneficial consequences to the change in the gut microbiota.

3.3. Shrimp Intestinal Microbiota Plasticity in Response to a Viral Challenge

The most impacting results of our study were to characterize the bacterial communities shift in the shrimp midgut challenged by WSSV. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one study on the shift of gut microbiota in response to the WSSV infection evaluated in the Chinese mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* [68]. This is the first study investigating the effect of a viral pathogen on the intestinal microbiota of a penaeid species reared in the BFT system. To achieve this purpose, we chose the oral route of infection (Figure 1), considering the common cannibalism practice among shrimp. Although shrimp midguts were all positive for the WSSV (Nested-PCR approach), no mortalities were recorded at 48 h post-challenge. *In silico* analysis showed a displacement in the bacterial communities present in the midgut of shrimp challenged with the WSSV.

In our study, the bacterial composition of *L. vannamei* midgut was affected by the presence of a viral pathogen, similarly to that observed in previous studies using WSSV [68] and *Vibrio* [19,44,59]. Proteobacteria remained the most predominant phylum in the *L. vannamei* midgut even after the viral challenge (Figure 4). Similar results were shown in *L. vannamei* after bacterial infections caused by *V. parahaemolyticus* [19] and *V. harveyi* [44]. The abundance of Proteobacteria in the midgut of *L. vannamei* reared in BFT increased after WSSV challenge, from 54.77% (BFT) to 75.65% (BFT.W). However, in shrimp reared in CWS, its abundance was not affected (77.95% for CWS and 77.04% for CWS.W). Within Proteobacteria, Vibrionaceae was the most affected bacterial family by the presence of the virus. In BFT-reared shrimp, the abundance of Vibrionaceae doubled after WSSV challenge, from 11.35 to 20.80% (Figure 4). In contrast to the results obtained in BFT rearing, the relative abundance of the Vibrionaceae in the midgut of *L. vannamei* reared in CWS decreased drastically after WSSV challenge, from 68.23% (CWS) to 23.38% (CWS.W). The WSSV challenge also increased the abundance of Vibrionaceae in the gut of mitten crab *Eriocheir sinensis* [68]. As suggested by [68], the virus could deplete the host's immune system, leading to the increase of opportunistic pathogens, such as bacteria from the *Vibrio* genus. In our study, the Rhodobacteraceae family increased in both rearing conditions after the WSSV challenge (Figure 4). The highest increase was found in CWS-reared shrimp, from 3.93 to 28.31%. This increase could be due to the Vibrionaceae family decrease in the same condition, once Rhodobacteraceae members can have an antagonistic effect upon pathogenic bacteria from the Vibrionaceae family [56]. Surprisingly, the Vibrionaceae abundance increased in the midgut of BFT-reared shrimp from 11.35 to 20.80%, after the WSSV challenge. As previously described, this family is composed not only by bacteria commonly found inhabiting shrimp midgut, but also by opportunistic pathogens which, under favorable circumstances, could lead to severe shrimp diseases [48].

Regarding the Bacteroidetes phylum, the WSSV presence also led to an intensification in the abundance of this bacteria in shrimp reared in both conditions, although this increase was higher once again in CWS-reared animals than in BFT (from 1.28 to 10.05% in CWS, and from 6.57 to 8.98% in BFT). An increase in the Bacteroidetes family was also found in the midgut of the *L. vannamei* infected by *V. parahaemolyticus* [19] and in shrimp diseased by an unknown etiological agent [59]. The abundance of the third most representative phylum, Actinobacteria, decreased in the midgut of BFT-reared shrimp (from 12.68 to 3.40% in BFT.W), but was unchanged in animals cultured in CWS (1.47% and 1.14% in CWS and CWS.W, respectively). Similar results were found in *L. vannamei* after *V. parahaemolyticus* [19] and *V. harveyi* [44] infections and in shrimp diseased by an unknown etiological agent [59]. In our study, the Firmicutes phylum also decreased in *L. vannamei* midgut after WSSV challenge from 6.48% in BFT to 1.24% in BFT.W and, as observed for Actinobacteria, no changes were observed in CWS-reared shrimp (2.81% and 2.11% in CWS and CWS.W, respectively). Similarly to our findings, [68] also found a decrease in the abundance of Firmicutes in the digestive tract of the Chinese mitten crab at 48 h after WSSV infection. In *L. vannamei*, WSSV challenge apparently led to a more homogeneous distribution of bacterial population composition, as Rhodobacteraceae, Enterobacteraceae, and Vibrionaceae, in the midgut of shrimp reared in both culture systems, BFT, and clear seawater (Figure 4). Interestingly, these same bacterial families exhibited abundance quite differently than before the viral challenge.

The presence of a pathogen, such as a virus, can provoke a displacement in the microbial communities leading to a population imbalance or dysbiosis [64,69]. When the host fails to appropriately regulate the gastrointestinal microbiota through the immune system, the host can become increasingly susceptible to opportunistic infections [70]. Microbial dysbiosis might profoundly impact the development and physiological function of their hosts [71,72]. However, the potential displacement in the midgut bacteriome of *L. vannamei* challenged with WSSV could have been temporary. Herein, we evaluated only one-time point (48 h) after the viral challenge. In another study, the bacterial communities composition in the *L. vannamei* midgut was regained at 72 h after *V. harveyi* challenge, but not at 48 h post-challenge where it was quite modified [44]. In contrast, BFT-reared penaeid and *Artemia franciscana* showed high survival rates in the presence of *V. parahaemolyticus*, the etiological agent of AHPND [16,73]. In addition, components from the bioflocs system can inhibit in vitro the *V. harveyi* growth [16] and induce in vivo the modulation of the shrimp intestinal microbiota [61]. It appears that the disruption of the bacterial *quorum*-sensing is the cause of the protective action of bioflocs [16], but the precise mode of action and the interference of the bioflocs against pathogens need further research.

4. Conclusions

By using a high-throughput sequencing technology, we have characterized the intestinal bacteriome of the most important cultivated shrimp species, *L. vannamei*, and assessed the influence of the BFT rearing and of the WSSV challenge on the composition and abundance of the bacterial communities. The bacterial composition from the midgut of shrimp reared in bioflocs was more rich and diverse than that from clear seawater. The predominant bacterial group belonged to the phylum Proteobacteria (Rhodobacteraceae, Enterobacteriaceae and Vibrionacea), followed by the phyla Bacteroidetes (Flavobacteriaceae), Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. Vibrionaceae was more abundant in the CWS group than in BFT-reared shrimp (68.23% and 11.35% from total bacterial communities, respectively). The bacterial composition of *L. vannamei* midgut was affected by the WSSV challenge. Vibrionaceae was the most affected bacterial family and its abundance doubled in the midgut of BFT-reared shrimp after viral challenge, while in CWS-reared shrimp decreased drastically. In addition, the WSSV challenge apparently led to a more homogeneous distribution of bacterial population composition, as Rhodobacteraceae, Enterobacteraceae, and Vibrionaceae, in the midgut of shrimp reared in both culture systems, BFT and CWS. The changes in the gut bacteria diversity associated to the WSSV challenge could indicate a displacement in the intestinal microbial communities leading to the dysbiosis condition. Knowing the intestinal bacterial populations of shrimp reared in BFT and

during WSSV infection is a relevant step to understanding the role of intestinal bacteriome microbiota on crustacean immune defenses against viral diseases. Although the molecular mechanisms involved in the control and regulation of the shrimp gut microbiota is still largely unknown, the environmental conditions and the presence of infectious agents proved to be decisive factors influencing both the diversity and abundance of the bacterial communities. With these 16S rRNA sequencing data in hand, and given that penaeid shrimp is an excellent model for functional genomic studies, we can now investigate the shrimp effectors involved in host-microbiota interactions, but also the role of the commensal microbiota in the regulation of the shrimp gut immunity.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at [http://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/6/3/83/s1,](http://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/6/3/83/s1) Table S1: Physicochemical parameters of the BFT water after four months of *Litopenaeus vannamei* rearing, Table S2: Overview of 16S-based Illumina Hi-Seq 2500 sequencing of *Litopenaeus vannamei* midgut reared in bioflocs (BFT) and clear seawater (CWS) and challenged with WSSV (BFT.W and CWS.W), Table S3: Shared and unique OTUs annotation from *Litopenaeus vannamei* midgut reared in bioflocs (BFT) and clear seawater (CWS) and challenged with WSSV (BFT.W and CWS.W), and the abundance of unique OTUs annotation from major bacterial phyla.

Author Contributions: Experimental Design M.R.P., E.B., R.D.R. and L.M.P.; Shrimp rearing F.N.V. and W.Q.S.; Conducted Experiments M.R.P. and A.N.A.G.; Data Analysis M.R.P. and A.N.A.G.; Contributed to Writing the Manuscript M.R.P., A.N.A.G., R.D.R and L.M.P.; Revised Manuscript M.R.P., R.D.R. and L.M.P.; Supervision R.D.R. and L.M.P.; Funding Acquisition E.B., R.D.R. and L.M.P.

Funding: This research was supported by the Brazilian funding agencies CNPq (MEC/MCTI/CAPES/CNPq/ FAPs PVE 401191/2014-1) and CAPES (CIMAR 1974/2014). M.R. Pilotto was supported by a doctoral scholarship provided by CAPES (CIMAR II) and A.N.A. Goncalves by a post-doctoral fellowship from CNPq.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Lightner, D.V.; Redman, R.M.; Pantoja, C.R.; Tang, K.F.J.; Noble, B.L.; Schofield, P.; Mohney, L.L.; Nunan, L.M.; Navarro, S.A. Historic emergence, impact and current status of shrimp pathogens in the Americas. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2012**, *110*, 174–183. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2012.03.006) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22434000)
- 2. Thitamadee, S.; Prachumwat, A.; Srisala, J.; Jaroenlak, P.; Salachan, P.V.; Sritunyalucksana, K.; Flegel, T.W.; Itsathitphaisarn, O. Review of current disease threats for cultivated penaeid shrimp in Asia. *Aquaculture* **2016**, *452*, 69–87. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.10.028)
- 3. Sánchez-Paz, A. White spot syndrome virus: An overview on an emergent concern. *Vet. Res.* **2010**, *41*. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/vetres/2010015) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20181325)
- 4. Tran, L.; Nunan, L.; Redman, R.M.; Mohney, L.L.; Pantoja, C.R.; Fitzsimmons, K.; Lightner, D.V. Determination of the infectious nature of the agent of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis syndrome affecting penaeid shrimp. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **2013**, *105*, 45–55. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao02621) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23836769)
- 5. Kondo, H.; Van, P.T.; Dang, L.T. Draft Genome Sequence of Non-Vibrio parahaemolyticus Acute Diseased Shrimp in Vietnam. *Genome Announc.* **2015**, *3*, e00978-15. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00978-15) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26383659)
- 6. Browdy, C.L.; Bratvold, D.; Stokesand, A.D.; McIntosh, R.P. Perspectives on the application of closed shrimp culture systems. In *The New Wave. Proceedings of the Special Session on Sustainable Shrimp Farming*; Browdy, C.L., Jory, D.E., Eds.; World Aquaculture Society: Valley Centers, CA, USA, 2001; pp. 20–34.
- 7. Ahmad, I.; Babitha Rani, A.M.; Verma, A.K.; Maqsood, M. Biofloc technology: An emerging avenue in aquatic animal healthcare and nutrition. *Aquac. Int.* **2017**, *25*, 1215–1226. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10499-016-0108-8)
- 8. Emerenciano, M.G.C.; Martínez-Córdova, L.R.; Martínez-Porchas, M.; Miranda-Baeza, A. Biofloc Technology (BFT): A Tool for Water Quality Management in Aquaculture. In *Water Quality*; InTech: London, UK, 2017; pp. 91–109.
- 9. Bossier, P.; Ekasari, J. Biofloc technology application in aquaculture to support sustainable development goals. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *10*, 1012–1016. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12836) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28941177)
- 10. Moss, S.M.; Moss, D.R.; Arce, S.M.; Lightner, D.V.; Lotz, J.M. The role of selective breeding and biosecurity in the prevention of disease in penaeid shrimp aquaculture. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2012**, *110*, 247–250. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2012.01.013) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22434005)
- 11. Avnimelech, Y. Carbon/nitrogen ratio as a control element in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture* **1999**, *176*, 227–235. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00085-X)
- 12. Burford, M.A.; Thompson, P.J.; McIntosh, R.P.; Bauman, R.H.; Pearson, D.C. The contribution of flocculated material to shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) nutrition in a high-intensity, zero-exchange system. *Aquaculture* **2004**, *232*, 525–537. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00541-6)
- 13. Kim, M.S.; Min, E.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Koo, J.K.; Kang, J.C. Growth performance and immunological and antioxidant status of Chinese shrimp, Fennerpenaeus chinensis reared in bio-floc culture system using probiotics. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2015**, *47*, 141–146. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2015.08.027) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26342403)
- 14. Ekasari, J.; Hanif Azhar, M.; Surawidjaja, E.H.; Nuryati, S.; De Schryver, P.; Bossier, P. Immune response and disease resistance of shrimp fed biofloc grown on different carbon sources. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2014**, *41*, 332–339. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.09.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25218685)
- 15. Kim, S.K.; Pang, Z.; Seo, H.C.; Cho, Y.R.; Samocha, T.; Jang, I.K. Effect of bioflocs on growth and immune activity of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* postlarvae. *Aquac. Res.* **2014**, *45*, 362–371. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/are.12319)
- 16. Crab, R.; Lambert, A.; Defoirdt, T.; Bossier, P.; Verstraete, W. The application of bioflocs technology to protect brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*) from pathogenic *Vibrio harveyi*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2010**, *109*, 1643–1649. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04791.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20629797)
- 17. Wong, S.; Rawls, J.F. Intestinal microbiota composition in fishes is influenced by host ecology and environment. *Mol. Ecol.* **2012**, *21*, 3100–3102. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05646.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22916346)
- 18. Montalban-Arques, A.; De Schryver, P.; Bossier, P.; Gorkiewicz, G.; Mulero, V.; Gatlin, D.M.; Galindo-Villegas, J. Selective manipulation of the gut microbiota improves immune status in vertebrates. *Front. Immunol.* **2015**, *6*, 1–14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00512) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26500650)
- 19. Cornejo-Granados, F.; Lopez-Zavala, A.A.; Gallardo-Becerra, L.; Mendoza-Vargas, A.; Sánchez, F.; Vichido, R.; Brieba, L.G.; Viana, M.T.; Sotelo-Mundo, R.R.; Ochoa-Leyva, A. Microbiome of Pacific Whiteleg shrimp reveals differential bacterial community composition between Wild, Aquacultured and AHPND/EMS outbreak conditions. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11783. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11805-w) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28924190)
- 20. Cheung, M.K.; Yip, H.Y.; Nong, W.; Law, P.T.W.; Chu, K.H.; Kwan, H.S.; Hui, J.H.L. Rapid Change of Microbiota Diversity in the Gut but Not the Hepatopancreas during Gonadal Development of the New Shrimp Model Neocaridina denticulata. *Mar. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *17*, 811–819. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10126-015-9662-8) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26319409)
- 21. Tzuc, J.T.; Escalante, D.R.; Rojas Herrera, R.; Gaxiola Cortés, G.; Ortiz, M.L.A. Microbiota from *Litopenaeus vannamei*: Digestive tract microbial community of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Springerplus* **2014**, *3*, 280. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-280) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24955302)
- 22. Cardona, E.; Gueguen, Y.; Magré, K.; Lorgeoux, B.; Piquemal, D.; Pierrat, F.; Noguier, F.; Saulnier, D. Bacterial community characterization of water and intestine of the shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris* in a biofloc system. *BMC Microbiol.* **2016**, *16*, 157. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0770-z) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27435866)
- 23. Schveitzer, R.; Arantes, R.; Costódio, P.F.S.; do Espírito Santo, C.M.; Arana, L.V.; Seiffert, W.Q.; Andreatta, E.R. Effect of different biofloc levels on microbial activity, water quality and performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in a tank system operated with no water exchange. *Aquac. Eng.* **2013**, *56*, 59–70. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2013.04.006)
- 24. Lo, C.F.; Leu, J.H.; Ho, C.H.; Chen, C.H.; Peng, S.E.; Chen, Y.T.; Chou, C.M.; Yeh, P.Y.; Huang, C.J.; Chou, H.Y.; et al. Detection of baculovirus associated with white spot syndrome (WSBV) in penaeid shrimps using polymerase chain reaction. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **1996**, *25*, 133–141. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao025133)
- 25. Goncalves, P.; Guertler, C.; Bachère, E.; de Souza, C.R.B.; Rosa, R.D.; Perazzolo, L.M. Molecular signatures at imminent death: Hemocyte gene expression profiling of shrimp succumbing to viral and fungal infections. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **2014**, *42*, 294–301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2013.09.017) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24120975)
- 26. Silveira, A.S.; Matos, G.M.; Falchetti, M.; Ribeiro, F.S.; Bressan, A.; Bachère, E.; Perazzolo, L.M.; Rosa, R.D. An immune-related gene expression atlas of the shrimp digestive system in response to two major pathogens brings insights into the involvement of hemocytes in gut immunity. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **2018**, *79*, 44–50. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.10.005) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29042192)
- 27. Yu, Y.; Lee, C.; Kim, J.; Hwang, S. Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2005**, *89*, 670–679. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bit.20347) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15696537)
- 28. Caporaso, J.G.; Kuczynski, J.; Stombaugh, J.; Bittinger, K.; Bushman, F.D.; Costello, E.K.; Fierer, N.; Peña, A.G.; Goodrich, J.K.; Gordon, J.I.; et al. Correspondence QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data Intensity normalization improves color calling in SOLiD sequencing. *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **2010**, *7*, 335–336. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0510-335)
- 29. Bokulich, N.A.; Subramanian, S.; Faith, J.J.; Gevers, D.; Gordon, I.; Knight, R.; Mills, D.A.; Caporaso, J.G. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **2013**, *10*, 57–59. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23202435)
- 30. Edgar, R.C.; Haas, B.J.; Clemente, J.C.; Quince, C.; Knight, R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 2194–2200. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21700674)
- 31. DeSantis, T.Z.; Hugenholtz, P.; Larsen, N.; Rojas, M.; Brodie, E.L.; Keller, K.; Huber, T.; Dalevi, D.; Hu, P.; Andersen, G.L. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2006**, *72*, 5069–5072. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16820507)
- 32. Chao, A. Nonparametric Estimation of the Number of Classes in a Population Author. *Scanadinavian J. Stat.* **1984**, *11*, 265–270. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729949)
- 33. Chao, A.; Lee, S.-M. Estimating the Number of Classes via Sanple Coverage. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **1992**, *87*, 210–217. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1992.10475194)
- 34. Shannon, C.E. A mathematical theory of communication. *ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Comput. Commun. Rev.* **1948**, *27*, 379–423. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/584091.584093)
- 35. Simpson, E.H. Measurement of diversity. *Nature* **1949**, *163*, 688. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/163688a0)
- 36. Martin, G.G.; Simcox, R.; Nguyen, A.; Chilingaryan, A. Peritrophic membrane of the penaeid shrimp Sicyonia ingentis: Structure, formation, and permeability. *Biol. Bull.* **2006**, *211*, 275–285. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4134549) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17179386)
- 37. Wang, L.; Li, F.; Wang, B.; Xiang, J. Structure and partial protein profiles of the peritrophic membrane (PM) from the gut of the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2012**, *33*, 1285–1291. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2012.09.014) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23026719)
- 38. Saraiva, R.G.; Kang, S.; Simões, M.L.; Angleró-Rodríguez, Y.I.; Dimopoulos, G. Mosquito gut antiparasitic and antiviral immunity. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **2016**, *64*, 53–64. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.01.015) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26827888)
- 39. Deriu, E.; Boxx, G.M.; He, X.; Pan, C.; Benavidez, S.D.; Cen, L.; Rozengurt, N.; Shi, W.; Cheng, G. Influenza Virus Affects Intestinal Microbiota and Secondary Salmonella Infection in the Gut through Type I Interferons. *PLoS Pathog.* **2016**, *12*, 1–26. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005572) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27149619)
- 40. Zeng, S.; Huang, Z.; Hou, D.; Liu, J.; Weng, S.; He, J. Composition, diversity and function of intestinal microbiota in pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) at different culture stages. *PeerJ* **2017**, *5*, 1–20. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3986) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29134144)
- 41. Simões, N.; Jones, D.; Soto-rodríguez, S.; Roque, A. Las Bacterias en el Inicio de la Alimentación Exógena en Larvas de Camarones Peneidos: Efectos de la Calidad del Agua, Tasas de Ingestión y Rutas de Colonización del Tracto Digestivo. In Proceedings of the Avances en Nutrición Acuícola VI. Memorias del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Cancún, Mexico, 3–6 September 2002; pp. 243–276.
- 42. Koenig, J.E.; Spor, A.; Scalfone, N.; Fricker, A.D.; Stombaugh, J.; Knight, R.; Angenent, L.T.; Ley, R.E. Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 4578–4585. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000081107) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20668239)
- 43. Zhang, M.; Sun, Y.; Chen, K.; Yu, N.; Zhou, Z.; Chen, L.; Du, Z.; Li, E. Characterization of the intestinal microbiota in Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, fed diets with different lipid sources. *Aquaculture* **2014**, *434*, 449–455. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.09.008)
- 44. Rungrassamee, W.; Klanchui, A.; Maibunkaew, S.; Karoonuthaisiri, N. Bacterial dynamics in intestines of the black tiger shrimp and the Pacific white shrimp during *Vibrio harveyi* exposure. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2016**, *133*, 12–19. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.11.004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26585302)
- 45. Sha, Y.; Liu, M.; Wang, B.; Jiang, K.; Qi, C.; Wang, L. Bacterial population in intestines of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed different probiotics or probiotic supernatant. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *26*, 1736–1745. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1603.03078) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27381338)
- 46. Vargas-Albores, F.; Porchas-Cornejo, M.A.; Martínez-Porchas, M.; Villalpando-Canchola, E.; Gollas-Galván, T.; Martínez-Córdova, L.R. Bacterial biota of shrimp intestine is significantly modified by the use of a probiotic mixture: A high throughput sequencing approach. *Helgol. Mar. Res.* **2017**, *71*, 5. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s10152-017-0485-z)
- 47. Aguilera-Rivera, D.; Prieto-Davó, A.; Escalante, K.; Chávez, C.; Cuzon, G.; Gaxiola, G. Probiotic effect of FLOC on Vibrios in the pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* **2014**, *424–425*, 215–219. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.01.008)
- 48. Thompson, F.L.; Iida, T.; Swings, J. Biodiversity of Vibrios. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2004**, *68*, 403–431. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.403-431.2004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353563)
- 49. Chen, W.Y.; Ng, T.H.; Wu, J.H.; Chen, J.W.; Wang, H.C. Microbiome Dynamics in a Shrimp Grow-out Pond with Possible Outbreak of Acute Hepatopancreatic Necrosis Disease. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09923-6) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28839269)
- 50. De Schryver, P.; Defoirdt, T.; Sorgeloos, P. Early Mortality Syndrome Outbreaks: A Microbial Management Issue in Shrimp Farming? *PLoS Pathog.* **2014**, *10*, 10–11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003919) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24763380)
- 51. Molina-Aja, A.; Garcia-Gasca, A.; Abreu-Grobois, A.; Bolan-Mejia, C.; Roque, A.; Gomez-Gil b, B. Plasmid profling and antibiotic resistance of Vibrio strains isolated from cultured penaeid shrimp. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2002**, *213*, 7–12. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11278.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12127481)
- 52. Emerenciano, M.; Gaxiola, G.; Cuzon, G. Biofloc Technology (BFT): A Review for Aquaculture Application and Animal Food Industry. In *Biomass Now-Cultivation and Utilization*; InTech: London, UK, 2013; pp. 301–328. ISBN 978-953-51-1106-1.
- 53. Defoirdt, T.; Boon, N.; Sorgeloos, P.; Verstraete, W.; Bossier, P. Alternatives to antibiotics to control bacterial infections: Luminescent vibriosis in aquaculture as an example. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2007**, *25*, 472–479. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.08.001) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17719667)
- 54. Elifantz, H.; Horn, G.; Ayon, M.; Cohen, Y.; Minz, D. Rhodobacteraceae are the key members of the microbial community of the initial biofilm formed in Eastern Mediterranean coastal seawater. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2013**, *85*, 348–357. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12122) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23551015)
- 55. Avnimelech, Y. Bio-filters: The need for an new comprehensive approach. *Aquac. Eng.* **2006**, *34*, 172–178. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2005.04.001)
- 56. Hjelm, M.; Riaza, A.; Formoso, F.; Melchiorsen, J.; Gram, L. Seasonal incidence of autochthonous antagonistic *Roseobacter* spp. and *Vibrionaceae* strains in a turbot larva (*Scophthalmus maximus*) rearing system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2004**, *70*, 7288–7294. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7288-7294.2004) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15574928)
- 57. Woebken, D.; Fuchs, B.M.; Kuypers, M.M.M.; Amann, R. Potential interactions of particle-associated anammox bacteria with bacterial and archaeal partners in the Namibian upwelling system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2007**, *73*, 4648–4657. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02774-06) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17526789)
- 58. Kirchman, D.L. The ecology of Cytophaga-Flavobacteria in aquatic environments. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2002**, *39*, 91–100. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(01)00206-9)
- 59. Xiong, J.; Wang, K.; Wu, J.; Qiuqian, L.; Yang, K.; Qian, Y.; Zhang, D. Changes in intestinal bacterial communities are closely associated with shrimp disease severity. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 6911–6919. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6632-z) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25947250)
- 60. Burford, M.A.; Thompson, P.J.; McIntosh, R.P.; Bauman, R.H.; Pearson, D.C. Nutrient and microbial dynamics in high-intensity, zero-exchange shrimp ponds in Belize. *Aquaculture* **2003**, *219*, 393–411. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00575-6)
- 61. Thompson, J.; Gregory, S.; Plummer, S.; Shields, R.J.; Rowley, A.F. An in vitro and in vivo assessment of the potential of Vibrio spp. as probiotics for the Pacific White shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2010**, *109*, 1177–1187. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04743.x) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20477892)
- 62. Wang, C.; Lin, G.; Yan, T.; Zheng, Z.; Chen, B.; Sun, F. The cellular community in the intestine of the shrimp Penaeus penicillatus and its culture environments. *Fish. Sci.* **2014**, *80*, 1001–1007. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12562-014-0765-3)
- 63. Xu, W.J.; Pan, L.Q. Enhancement of immune response and antioxidant status of *Litopenaeus vannamei* juvenile in biofloc-based culture tanks manipulating high C/N ratio of feed input. *Aquaculture* **2013**, *412–413*, 117–124. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.07.017)
- 64. Brown, E.M.; Sadarangani, M.; Finlay, B.B. The role of the immune system in governing host-microbe interactions in the intestine. *Nat. Immunol.* **2013**, *14*, 660–667. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.2611) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23778793)
- 65. Nyholm, S.V.; Graf, J. Knowing your friends: Invertebrate innate immunity fosters beneficial bacterial symbioses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2012**, *10*, 815–827. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2894) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23147708)
- 66. Lazado, C.C.; Caipang, C.M.A. Mucosal immunity and probiotics in fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2014**, *39*, 78–89. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.04.015) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24795079)
- 67. Ponprateep, S.; Tharntada, S.; Somboonwiwat, K.; Tassanakajon, A. Gene silencing reveals a crucial role for anti-lipopolysaccharide factors from Penaeus monodon in the protection against microbial infections. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* **2012**, *32*, 26–34. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2011.10.010) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22030024)
- 68. Ding, Z.F.; Cao, M.J.; Zhu, X.S.; Xu, G.H.; Wang, R.L. Changes in the gut microbiome of the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) in response to White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) infection. *J. Fish Dis.* **2017**, *40*, 1561–1571. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12624) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28429823)
- 69. Apprill, A. Marine Animal Microbiomes: Toward Understanding Host–Microbiome Interactions in a Changing Ocean. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2017**, *4*, 1–9. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00222)
- 70. Willing, B.P.; Gill, N.; Finlay, B.B. The role of the immune system in regulating the microbiota. *Gut Microbes* **2010**, *1*, 213–223. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/gmic.1.4.12520) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21327028)
- 71. Xiong, J.; Dai, W.; Li, C. Advances, challenges, and directions in shrimp disease control: The guidelines from an ecological perspective. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *100*, 6947–6954. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7679-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27333908)
- 72. Zheng, Y.; Yu, M.; Liu, J.; Qiao, Y.; Wang, L.; Li, Z.; Zhang, X.H.; Yu, M. Bacterial community associated with healthy and diseased Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) larvae and rearing water across different growth stages. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 1–11. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01362) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28769916)
- 73. Zorriehzahra, M.J.; Banaederakhshan, R. Early Mortality Syndrome (EMS) as new Emerging Threat in Shrimp Industry. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* **2015**, *3*, 64–72. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.14737/journal.aavs/2015/3.2s.64.72)

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.).