



p-Laplacian Keller–Segel Equation: Fair Competition and Diffusion Dominated Cases

Laurent Lafleche, Samir Salem

► To cite this version:

Laurent Lafleche, Samir Salem. p-Laplacian Keller–Segel Equation: Fair Competition and Diffusion Dominated Cases. Comptes Rendus. Mathématique, 2019, 357 (4), pp.360-365. 10.1016/j.crma.2019.03.002 . hal-01883785

HAL Id: hal-01883785

<https://hal.science/hal-01883785v1>

Submitted on 28 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

P-LAPLACIAN KELLER-SEGEL EQUATION: FAIR COMPETITION AND DIFFUSION DOMINATED CASES

LAURENT LAFLECHE AND SAMIR SALEM

ABSTRACT. This work deals with the aggregation diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta_p \rho + \lambda \operatorname{div} ((K_a * \rho) \rho),$$

where $K_a(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^a}$ is an attraction kernel and Δ_p is the so called p -Laplacian. We show that the domain $a < p(d+1) - 2d$ is subcritical with respect to the competition between the aggregation and diffusion by proving that there is existence unconditionally with respect to the mass. In the critical case we show existence of solution in a small mass regime for an $L \ln L$ initial condition.

RÉSUMÉ. Ce travail concerne l'étude d'une famille d'équations d'agrégation diffusion

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta_p \rho + \lambda \operatorname{div} ((K_a * \rho) \rho),$$

où $K_a(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^a}$ est un champ d'attraction et Δ_p est le p -Laplacien. On montre que le domaine $a < p(d+1) - 2d$ est sous-critique du point de vue de la compétition entre l'agrégation et la diffusion en montrant l'existence de solution quelle que soit la masse. Dans le cas critique, on montre l'existence de solution dans un régime de petite masse pour une condition $L \ln L$.

1. VERSION FRANCAISE ABRÉGÉE

On entend par équation d'agrégation-diffusion une équation aux dérivées partielles non linéaire sur \mathbb{R}^d de la forme

$$\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{D}(\rho) + \lambda \operatorname{div} ((K_a * \rho) \rho),$$

où pour $a \in (0, d)$, $K_a(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^a}$ est un noyau d'attraction, $\lambda > 0$ indique l'intensité de cette interaction et \mathcal{D} est un opérateur de diffusion. Cette équation décrit par exemple l'évolution de la densité d'une population de bactéries ou d'astres en gravitation (voir par exemple [6]).

Ce modèle a été largement étudié dans le cas de l'opérateur de diffusion non-linéaire $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \Delta(\rho^m)$ pour $m > 0$ (voir [4]). Grâce à la structure algébrique conférée par ce choix de diffusion, on montre que l'EDP est en fait un flot de gradient pour la distance de Wasserstein d'ordre 2 d'une certaine fonctionnelle. De l'étude de cette fonctionnelle découle que la ligne $a = 2 - d(m-1)$ (dans le plan (m, a)) est critique du point de vue de la compétition entre l'agrégation et la diffusion. Le demi-plan situé au dessus de cette droite correspond au régime d'agrégation dominante, et celui au dessous à celui de diffusion dominante

Date: September 28, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K92, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. p-Laplacian diffusion with drift, aggregation diffusion, mean field equation.

Lorsque la diffusion est fractionnaire i.e. $\mathcal{D} = \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ est le Laplacien fractionnaire d'exposant $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, on montre que la ligne critique est la première bissectrice $a = \alpha$ (voir [13, 8]), et qu'elle délimite dans ce cas également deux régimes opposés.

Cette note poursuit cette étude, dans le cas du p -Laplacien $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \Delta_p(\rho) = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla\rho|^{p-2}\nabla\rho)$ pour $p \in \left(\frac{2d}{d+1}, \frac{3d}{d+1}\right)$. On montre ici que le domaine $a < p(d+1)-2d$ est sous-critique et qu'il y a existence pour petite masse dans le cas d'égalité. Au passage on établit une estimation de moments pour la p -équation de la chaleur.

2. INTRODUCTION

Aggregation diffusion equations play an important role in the modeling of collective behavior and more specially, in the case of the motion of cells and bacteria (see for instance [6]). The (parabolic-elliptic) Keller-Segel equation, which has been extensively studied (see [3]), is a typical example. In generality, we mean by aggregation equation the class of mean field nonlinear conservation equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \partial_t\rho = \mathcal{D}(\rho) + \lambda \operatorname{div}((K_a * \rho)\rho),$$

where K_a is an aggregation kernel defined as $K_a(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^a}$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter encoding the intensity of the aggregation and \mathcal{D} is some diffusion operator. Equation (1) can then be interpreted as the evolution of the probability density of particles attracting each other through K_a and diffusing through \mathcal{D} . Then depending on the result of the competition between these two phenomena, the equation may yield to global existence or finite time blow up.

The case of power law diffusion $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \Delta(\rho^m)$ for some $m > 0$, has been studied in [4] where the line $a = 2 - d(m-1)$ is shown to be critical. In that case equation (1) can be seen as the gradient flow of some suitable functional with respect to the Wasserstein-2 distance and the criticality appears from the asymptotic study of this functional.

The case of fractional diffusion $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \Delta^{\alpha/2}\rho$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ has been studied in [13, 8], where it is shown that the critical line is the first bisector $a = \alpha$, above which blow up of solutions may occur in finite time, and under which global well-posedness and propagation of chaos hold.

In order to complete this study, this note investigates the case where the diffusion operator is the p -Laplacian $\mathcal{D} = \Delta_p$ (see e.g. [10]), which is defined for any $\rho \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p-1}$ by

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \langle \Delta_p \rho, \varphi \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho|^{p-2} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla \varphi,$$

and appears for example in the diffusion equations for sandpiles (see e.g. [1, 5]).

3. MAIN RESULTS

The aggregation equation (1) with $\mathcal{D} = \Delta_p$,

$$(2) \quad \partial_t\rho = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla\rho|^{p-2}\nabla\rho) + \lambda \operatorname{div}((K_a * \rho)\rho),$$

has not been much studied, to the best of the author's knowledge. The only reference at this matter is [11], which concerns the case $a = d$ and $p \in (2, \frac{3d}{d+1})$.




FIGURE 1. Graph of results about equation (2)

Graphique des résultats pour l'équation (2)

Denoting $\|\rho\|_{L_k^p} := \|\rho m\|_{L^p}$ with $m(x) = \langle x \rangle^k$ and $L \ln L = \{\rho \in L^1, \rho \ln \rho \in L^1\}$, we state the main result of this note.

Theorem 1. Let $d \geq 2$, $\lambda > 0$ and $(a, p) \in (0, d) \times \left(\frac{2d}{d+1}, \frac{3d}{d+1}\right)$. Denote $\alpha_p := p(d+1) - 2d$ and assume $\alpha_p + a > 1$. Let $\rho^{\text{in}} \in L \ln L \cap L_k^1$ for some $k \in ((1-a)_+, \alpha_p \wedge 1)$. Then in the

- **Diffusion dominated case** $a < \alpha_p$
- **Fair competition case** $a = \alpha_p$ if ρ^{in} satisfies

$$M_0 := \|\rho^{\text{in}}\|_{L^1} < C_{d,p} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3-p}},$$

there exists a solution $\rho \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p/p'}(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{p^*/p'}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L_k^1)$ to equation (2) with initial condition ρ^{in} .

Remark 3.1. The constant $C_{d,p}$ is given by

$$C_{d,p} = \left((d - \alpha_p) C_{d,\alpha_p, \frac{2d}{2d-\alpha_p}}^{\text{HLS}} (p'^{-1} C_{d,p}^S)^p \right)^{-\frac{1}{3-p}},$$

where for $a \in (0, d)$ and $2 - \frac{a}{d} = \frac{2}{q}$, $C_{d,a,q}^{\text{HLS}}$ is the best constant for the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev's inequality,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x - y|^{-a} \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy \leq C_{d,a,q}^{\text{HLS}} \|\rho\|_{L^q}^2,$$

and for $q \in (0, d)$, and $q^* = dq/(d-q)$, $C_{d,q}^S$ is the best constant for the Sobolev's embeddings,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{q^*}} \leq C_{d,q}^S \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^q}.$$

The explicit value for these constants are known (see [2, 14, 9]).

Note that for $d = 2$, the point $(a, m) = (2, 1)$ in the context of power law diffusion, $(a, \alpha) = (2, 2)$ in the notations of fractional diffusion and $(a, p) = (2, 2)$ in the notations of the present paper all correspond to the classical Keller-Segel

equation, and the three different definitions of the fair competition case coincide for this equation.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We begin this section by introducing the p -Fisher information I_p on $(W^{1,p})^{p'} := \{\rho, \rho^{1/p'} \in W^{1,p}\}$ as

$$I_p(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho|^p}{\rho} = (p')^p \|\nabla(\rho^{1/p'})\|_{L^p}^p,$$

which is a generalization of the classical Fisher information (i.e. the case $p = 2$). First remark that a straightforward computation using Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities shows that $(W^{1,p})^{p'} \subset W_{loc}^{1,p-1}$ so that $\Delta_p \rho$ is well-defined for ρ with finite p -Fisher information. Then for any $p \in \left(\frac{2d}{d+1}, \frac{3d}{d+1}\right)$, $q \in [1, r]$ with $r = \frac{p^*}{p'}$ and $\rho \in (W^{1,p})^{p'} \cap L^1$ it holds

$$(3) \quad \|\rho\|_{L^q} \leq ((p')^{-1} C_{d,p}^S)^{\frac{r'p'}{q'}} \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{1-\frac{r'}{q'}} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{r'p'}{q'p}}.$$

Indeed by Sobolev's embeddings it holds

$$\|\rho\|_{L^r}^{p/p'} = \|\rho^{1/p'}\|_{L^{p^*}}^p \leq (C_{d,p}^S)^p \|\nabla(\rho^{1/p'})\|_{L^p}^p \leq ((p')^{-1} C_{d,p}^S)^p I_p(\rho),$$

and using interpolation inequality between L^1 and L^r yields the result.

Then we need some tools in order to provide some moments estimate. First in the case $p \geq 2$ and $k \in [0, 1]$ there is $C > 0$ such that for any $\rho \in L^1 \cap (W^{1,p})^{p'}$

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta_p \rho) m \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{\frac{p-1}{\alpha_p}} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{\alpha_p-1}{\alpha_p}}.$$

Indeed since $k \leq 1$, by Hölder's inequality it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho|^{p-2} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla m &\leq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{1}{p'}} \rho^{\frac{-1}{p'}} |\nabla \rho|^{p-1} \langle x \rangle^{k-1} \\ &\leq k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{p}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho|^p}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = k \left(\|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p'}}} I_p(\rho) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using inequality (3), we obtain

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p'}}} \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{p'} \left(1 - \frac{r'(p-2)}{(p-1)p} \right)} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{r'p'}{(p-1)p}},$$

and the result follows since

$$\left(\frac{r'p'}{(p-1)p} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{\alpha_p - 1}{\alpha_p} \text{ and } \frac{1}{p'} \left(1 - \frac{r'(p-2)}{(p-1)p} \right) = \frac{p-1}{\alpha_p}.$$

Then in the case $p \in \left(\frac{2d}{d+1}, 2\right)$ and $k \in (0, \alpha_p)$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta_p \rho) m \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Indeed by Hölder's inequality, since $p \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho|^{p-2} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla m &\leq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho|^{p-1} \langle x \rangle^{k-1} \\ &\leq k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\frac{1}{p'}} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{k}{p'}} \rho^{\frac{-1}{p'}} |\nabla \rho|^{p-1} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{k}{p}-1} \\ &\leq k \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho|^p}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{k-p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since by assumption $\frac{k-p}{2-p} < -d$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We only provide the a priori estimate necessary to the rigorous proof. Following the claim of [11, Proof of Theorem 5.2, Step 1], we can retrieve well posedness for the regularized problem (1) with K_a replaced with $K_a^\varepsilon(x) = \mathbb{1}_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} K(x) + \mathbb{1}_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-a} x$. The preservation of positivity is a consequence of Kato's inequality for the p -Laplacian (see [7, 12]). Then letting ε go to 0 and using the a priori estimate we are about to prove with together with a standard compactness argument (similarly as what is done in [3, Section 2.5]) provides the rigorous proof.

Step 1. Entropy dissipation. We first estimate the dissipation of entropy using together Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev's inequality and (3) with $q = \frac{2d}{2d-a}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\nabla \rho|^{p-2} \nabla \rho) \cdot \nabla \log \rho + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}((K_a * \rho) \rho) (\log \rho + 1) \\ &= -I_p(\rho) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div}(K_a) * \rho) \rho \\ &= -I_p(\rho) + \lambda(d-a) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|^a} dx dy \\ &\leq -I_p(\rho) + \lambda(d-a) \mathcal{C}_{d,a,q}^{\text{HLS}} (p'^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{d,p}^S)^{2\frac{r'p'}{q'}} M_0^{2-2\frac{r'}{q'}} I_p(\rho)^{2\frac{r'p'}{q'p}}. \end{aligned}$$

And since $2\frac{r'p'}{q'p} = \frac{a}{\alpha_p}$, $2-2\frac{r'}{q'} = 2\left(1-(p-1)\frac{a}{2\alpha_p}\right)$ and $2\frac{r'p'}{q'} = p\frac{a}{\alpha_p}$, defining

$$C_{d,p}^{p-3} := (d-\alpha_p) \mathcal{C}_{d,\alpha_p, \frac{2d}{2d-\alpha_p}}^{\text{HLS}} (p'^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{d,p}^S)^p,$$

we conclude this step with

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho \leq \begin{cases} -\left(1-\lambda C_{d,p}^{p-3} M_0^{3-p}\right) I_p(\rho), & \text{if } a = \alpha_p \\ -\frac{1}{2} I_p(\rho) + C & \text{if } a < \alpha_p. \end{cases}$$

Step 2. Moment estimate. First in the case $p \geq 2$, we choose $k \in ([1-a]_+, 1)$ and use (4), symmetry and Young's inequality for any $\varepsilon > 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m &\leq CM_0^{\frac{p-1}{\alpha_p}} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{\alpha_p-1}{\alpha_p}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K_a(x-y) \cdot (\nabla m(x) - \nabla m(y)) \rho(dx) \rho(dy) \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon M_0^{\frac{p-1}{\alpha_p} \left(\frac{\alpha_p}{\alpha_p-1}\right)'} + \varepsilon I_p(\rho) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K_a(x-y) \cdot (\nabla m(x) - \nabla m(y)) \rho(dx) \rho(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Then in the case $p \in \left(\frac{2d}{d+1}, 2\right)$, we choose $k \in ([1-a]_+, \alpha_p)$, use (5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} I_p(\rho)^{\frac{1}{p'}} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K_a(x-y) \cdot (\nabla m(x) - \nabla m(y)) \rho(dx) \rho(dy) \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} + \varepsilon I_p(\rho) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K_a(x-y) \cdot (\nabla m(x) - \nabla m(y)) \rho(dx) \rho(dy). \end{aligned}$$

The last term in the r.h.s is dealt similarly as in [8, Proof of Proposition 3.1] and in any case, we end up with

$$(7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \leq \varepsilon I_p(\rho) + C \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \right),$$

where C only depends on $d, p, a, \lambda, \varepsilon, k$ and M_0

Step 3. Conclusion. We will now only treat the case $a = \alpha_p$ and $\lambda M_0^{3-p} C_{d,p}^{p-3} < 1$, since the case $a < \alpha_p$ can be treated even more straightforwardly. For $k \geq 0$ denote $\nu_k > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\nu_k m(x)} dx = 1$, and recall that, with $h(u) = u \ln u - u + 1 \geq 0$, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho}{M_0} \ln \frac{\rho}{M_0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h\left(\frac{\rho}{M_0} e^{\nu_k m}\right) e^{-\nu_k m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho}{M_0} \ln(e^{-\nu_k m}) \geq -\nu_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho}{M_0} m,$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \ln \rho \geq M_0 \ln M_0 - \nu_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m,$$

which yields for fixed $\nu > \nu_k$, combining linearly (6) and (7)

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu - \nu_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m &\leq -M_0 \ln M_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\text{in}} \log \rho^{\text{in}} + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\text{in}} m + \nu C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(s) m + 1 \right) ds \\ &\quad - \left(1 - \lambda C_{d,p}^{p-3} M_0^{3-p} - \varepsilon \nu \right) \int_0^t I_p(\rho)(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\rho \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L_k^1)$ by Gronwall's inequality. We emphasize that this estimate also applies to the p -heat equation, i.e. (2) with $\lambda = 0$. Finally coming back to (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \lambda C_{d,p}^{p-3} M_0^{3-p} \right) \int_0^t I_p(\rho)(s) ds &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\text{in}} \log \rho^{\text{in}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{\text{in}} \log \rho^{\text{in}} + \nu_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho m - M_0 \ln M_0, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude the proof using inequality (3). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author was supported by the Fondation des Sciences Mathématiques de Paris and Paris Sciences & Lettres Université

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aronsson, L. Evans, and Y. Wu. Fast/Slow Diffusion and Growing Sandpiles. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 131(2):304–335, Nov. 1996.
- [2] T. Aubin. Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 11(4):573–598, 1976.
- [3] A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, and B. Perthame. Two-dimensional Keller-Segel Model: Optimal Critical Mass and Qualitative Properties of the Solutions. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, pages No. 44, 32, 2006.
- [4] V. Calvez, J. A. Carrillo, and F. Hoffmann. Equilibria of homogeneous functionals in the fair-competition regime. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 159:85–128, Aug. 2017.
- [5] L. C. Evans and M. Feldman. Fast/Slow Diffusion and Collapsing Sandpiles. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 137(1):166–209, June 1997.
- [6] F. K. O. Hoffmann. *Keller-Segel-Type Models and Kinetic Equations for Interacting Particles: Long-Time Asymptotic Analysis*. Thesis, University of Cambridge, July 2017.
- [7] T. Horiuchi. Some Remarks on Kato's Inequality. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 6(1):29–36, 2001.
- [8] L. Lafleche and S. Salem. Fractional Keller-Segel Equation: Global Well-posedness and Finite Time Blow-up. *arXiv:1809.06155 [math]*, Sept. 2018. arXiv: 1809.06155.
- [9] E. H. Lieb. Sharp Constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Related Inequalities. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 118(2):349, Sept. 1983.
- [10] P. Lindqvist. *Notes on the p-Laplace equation*. Number 102 in Report. University of Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics. University of Jyväskylä, 2006.
- [11] J.-G. Liu and W. Cong. A degenerate p-Laplacian Keller-Segel model. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 9(4):687–714, Sept. 2016.
- [12] X. Liu and T. Horiuchi. Remarks on Kato's Inequality when $\Delta_p u$ is a Measure. *Mathematical Journal of Ibaraki University*, 48:45–61, 2016.
- [13] S. Salem. Propagation of chaos for Some 2 Dimensional Fractional Keller Segel Equations in Diffusion Dominated and Fair Competition Cases. *arXiv:1712.06677 [math]*, Dec. 2017.
- [14] G. Talenti. Best Constant in Sobolev Inequality. *Ann. Math.*, 110:353–370, 1976.

LAURENT LAFLECHE

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY
 CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534
 PLACE DU MARÉCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY
 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16 FRANCE

E-MAIL: lafleche@ceremade.dauphine.fr

SAMIR SALEM

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY
 CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534
 PLACE DU MARÉCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY
 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16 FRANCE

E-MAIL: salem@ceremade.dauphine.fr