



HAL
open science

Filtering and Uncertainty Propagation Methods for Model-Based Prognosis of Fatigue Crack Growth in Unidirectional Fiber-Reinforced Composites

Elinirina I. Robinson, Julien Marzat, Tarek Raïssi

► **To cite this version:**

Elinirina I. Robinson, Julien Marzat, Tarek Raïssi. Filtering and Uncertainty Propagation Methods for Model-Based Prognosis of Fatigue Crack Growth in Unidirectional Fiber-Reinforced Composites. ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part A: Civil Engineering, 2018, 4 (4), pp.1-13. 10.1061/AJRUA6.0000991 . hal-01883533

HAL Id: hal-01883533

<https://hal.science/hal-01883533v1>

Submitted on 28 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Filtering and uncertainty propagation methods for model-based prognosis of fatigue crack growth in unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites

Elinirina I. Robinson¹ Julien Marzat², and Tarek Raïssi³.

ABSTRACT

Prognosis aims at calculating the remaining useful life (RUL) of a system by estimating its current health state and then predicting its future behavior. In this paper, the prediction of fatigue crack growth in structural elements made of unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites is considered. Model uncertainty and measurement uncertainty are included, but future loading uncertainty is taken into account as well. Both cases of constant amplitude loading and variable amplitude loading (block loading) are treated. The analytical model that describes the fatigue crack growth is highly nonlinear and contains fixed model parameters that depend on material and loading parameters that may vary or not depending on the applied load. Thus, because of its ability to handle uncertainties, high nonlinearities and to perform joint parameter-state estimation, a particle filter is used. In a first part, fatigue crack growth prognosis under constant amplitude loading is realized. The loading parameters are constant and known a priori, while the model parameters are jointly estimated along with the crack length. Then, in a second part, fatigue crack growth prognosis under variable amplitude loading is performed. This time, the loading parameters are unknown and change abruptly at unknown time steps in accordance with the applied variable block loading. A two-sided cumulative sum (CUSUM) algorithm is implemented to detect abrupt load variations and help the particle filter to adapt and learn new loading parameters values. With the combination of these two techniques, the prognosis module could be informed of the sudden crack length increase, and will correct the predicted remaining useful life. In both case studies, real data from

¹PhD Student, ONERA/DTIS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau, France (corresponding author). E-mail: elinirina.robinson@onera.fr

²Researcher, ONERA/DTIS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau, France. E-mail: julien.marzat@onera.fr

³Professor, Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (CNAM), 75141 Paris, France. E-mail: tarek.raïssi@cnam.fr

23 fatigue tests on unidirectional fiber-reinforced titanium matrix composites is used.

24 **Keywords:** Model-based prognosis, particle filter, uncertainty propagation, fatigue crack growth,
25 fiber bridging, composite materials, variable amplitude loading.

26 INTRODUCTION

27 Critical systems such as an aircraft or a spacecraft are made of complex components whose
28 malfunction and failure could have unacceptable impacts on the users safety, the mission success
29 and the costs related to maintenance operations. To address these safety and cost issues, a progn-
30 sis module should be integrated to these systems in order to continuously assess their state of health
31 and estimate their remaining useful life (RUL). There are various prognosis approaches, but the
32 most common classification divides them into three main categories (Liu et al. 2009): knowledge-
33 based prognosis, data-driven prognosis and model-based prognosis. Each of these approaches has
34 its advantages and drawbacks, and the choice of the method to use depends on the application do-
35 main and the information available about the system. The knowledge-based approaches (Biagetti
36 2004) make use of degradation rules that have been developed and refined by experts based on his-
37 torical and empirical failure data. These kind of methods are easy to implement, but frequent up-
38 dates are needed as new forms of faults that are not yet listed can occur. In data-driven approaches
39 (Si et al. 2011), features from operating data such as current, temperature, or vibration signals are
40 extracted, then statistical and machine learning techniques are employed to estimate and forecast
41 the evolution of the degradation state. Data-driven approaches have the ability to transform high-
42 dimensional noisy data into lower-dimensional information for prognosis decisions. However,
43 they are highly-dependent on the quantity and quality of operational data and therefore require a
44 significant storage space. The third category gathers the model-based prognosis approaches (Luo
45 et al. 2003) where mathematical models of system behavior and degradation evolution are used.
46 Although these approaches can be difficult to set up since an accurate degradation model is seldom
47 available, they can outperform knowledge-based and data-driven methods. Indeed, the capacity
48 of model-based techniques to adapt the model to the evolution of the system degradation ensures
49 an accurate prognosis if more information on the degradation become available. In this paper,

50 emphasis is placed on the model-based prognosis techniques. The main challenge while devel-
51 oping a prognosis method is to include a measure of the uncertainty associated to predicted RUL
52 values in order to enable risk-based decisions (Baraldi et al. 2013), (Sankararaman and Goebel
53 2013). Therefore, greater attention has been paid to the integration of uncertainty quantification in
54 prognosis algorithms (Orchard et al. 2008). Uncertainty quantification consists in finding the dif-
55 ferent uncertainty sources, incorporating them into the algorithms, and finally propagating them to
56 quantify uncertainty in the predicted RUL. The main types of uncertainty that inevitably influence
57 RUL prediction are measurement uncertainty, modeling uncertainty and future loading uncertainty
58 (Gu et al. 2007). Measurement uncertainty is due to sensor inaccuracy, modeling uncertainty is
59 characterized by the difference between the degradation model and its real behavior, and future
60 loading uncertainty is caused by various environmental factors that could possibly affect the evo-
61 lution of the degradation. Usually, model-based prognosis methods represent the uncertainty in a
62 probabilistic framework (Saha and Goebel 2008).

63 Many model-based prognosis methods that take uncertainty into account have been developed
64 in the literature and were applied to various problems. For instance, (Daigle and Goebel 2011)
65 have applied a particle filtering-based prognosis approach for pneumatic valves, in (Fan et al. 2015)
66 the particle filter algorithm was implemented to predict the lumen maintenance life of LED light
67 sources. A method combining relevance vector machine (RVM) and particle filtering was used for
68 battery health prognosis in (Saha et al. 2009). In this paper, the focus is placed on an application of
69 particular interest which is the crack growth prognosis. Indeed, this is a common issue for critical
70 systems as fatigue damage occurs when a structure is subject to repeatedly applied loads. Even if
71 the applied stress values are relatively low, the fact that they are cyclically applied tends to weaken
72 the material and cause its failure. In order to avoid catastrophic events, the crack propagation must
73 be carefully monitored in order to constantly evaluate the remaining useful life of the considered
74 structure. In the literature, the problem of crack growth prognosis was treated for different models
75 and with various methods. A hybrid data-driven and model-based approach based on RVM and
76 model fitting was implemented in (Zio and Di Maio 2012) and applied to an academic fatigue

77 crack growth process based on the Paris' law. Using the same test case, the crack growth prognosis
78 was solved with a particle-filter based algorithm in (Zio and Peloni 2011). (Corbetta et al. 2015)
79 proposed a particle filter sequentially updated via a Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm for crack
80 growth prognosis on helicopter fuselage panels. A machine learning approach based on artificial
81 neural networks was used to estimate the stress intensity factor (SIF) range, which is required to
82 calculate the crack growth at each cycle. In (Zárate et al. 2012), the SIF range was modeled by a
83 polynomial equation with stochastic coefficients that were computed through Bayesian inference.
84 The future crack length was then predicted using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm. The
85 ability of these methods to predict the RUL of components subject to fatigue crack growth under
86 measurement and modeling uncertainty has been proved through numerical examples. However,
87 they have assumed known values of current and future loading, which is not the case in real life
88 scenario.

89 In order to address the estimation of the loading amplitude, other researchers have introduced
90 crack growth prognosis methods based on structural health monitoring data. The main idea is to
91 use real-time monitoring data to build models that characterize fatigue loading history, and then
92 based on these models, the future loading can be predicted. In (Ling and Mahadevan 2012), both
93 flight parameters data related to acceleration and mass and data recorded from strain gauges were
94 used to estimate and predict loading sequence through an autoregressive integrated moving average
95 (ARIMA) modeling method and a Bayesian approach for the update process. The evaluation of the
96 SIF range required for the crack growth calculation was made with a Gaussian process surrogate
97 model that was previously trained with data from a finite element analysis. In (Pais and Kim
98 2015), usage monitoring data from an aircraft (acceleration, airspeed, angle of attack, fuel quantity
99 and Mach number) were converted into a stress time history which was then transformed into a
100 cyclic stress history via a rain-flow counting algorithm. The resulting cyclic stress history was
101 used to consider the effects of variable amplitude loading in the determination of the crack growth
102 direction. However, it was suggested that it could be used as the input into a prognosis method.
103 What these proposed methodologies have in common is that real-time monitoring data related to

104 the loading or flight parameters are required to build a model that characterizes the stress history.
105 Moreover, a finite element model was needed for the calculation of the SIF range or to train its
106 surrogate model. The main drawback of such an approach is that the learning phase can be time
107 consuming and a significant amount of data is required.

108 In this paper, fatigue crack growth prognosis in fiber-reinforced titanium matrix composites
109 based on real data from fatigue tests performed at ONERA/DMAS is performed. The considered
110 real test case is an interesting application as fiber reinforced composites are gaining importance for
111 use in structural elements. Indeed, the components last longer because composite materials have a
112 high strength and are corrosion-resistant. The reader may refer to (Awad et al. 2012) to have more
113 details about the use of fiber composite structures in civil engineering. In the literature, fatigue
114 damage prognosis in composite materials with a known value of the applied stress was treated in
115 (Chiachio et al. 2013). As composites where a densification of micro-cracks was observed under
116 fatigue loadings were under study, the calculation of the SIF range was not suitable anymore and
117 the micro-cracking energy release rate range ΔG was used instead. A modified Paris' law relat-
118 ing ΔG and the crack density ρ was considered and the goal was to predict the saturation time of
119 matrix micro-cracks and the onset of the consequent damage modes using a particle filter. The
120 proposed methodology was applied to carbon-fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) cross-ply lami-
121 nates and lamb wave signals recorded with piezoelectric sensors were used to estimate internal
122 micro-crack density.

123 However, in the present work, fatigue crack growth prognosis in composite materials with long
124 unidirectional fibers subjected to Mode I loading is examined. In such a case, a long matrix crack
125 propagates perpendicular to the fiber direction (Movchan and Willis 1996), and the SIF range ΔK
126 is used to characterize the evolution of the crack length. It is assumed that only crack length
127 measurements are available, and no finite element model is used. Indeed, the effort was focused
128 on the derivation of an analytical model of the SIF range. The obtained model contains model
129 parameters that depend on material properties but also loading parameters that depend on external
130 applied loads. Therefore, fatigue crack growth prognosis under known value of applied load is

131 first considered in order to estimate the model parameters. For this purpose, a joint parameter-state
132 estimation is performed with a particle filter because of its ability to handle uncertainties and the
133 high nonlinearities of the SIF range model. The use of an extended Kalman filter (EKF) to solve
134 the same fatigue crack growth prognosis problem was also investigated as it is easy to implement
135 and requires lower computational time (Wang et al. 2017). The results were compared to those
136 obtained with the particle filter using prognosis metrics. In a second study, the problem of fatigue
137 crack growth prognosis under unknown constant and then unknown variable amplitude loading is
138 addressed. Variable amplitude loading can be divided into three categories (Laseure et al. 2015):
139 random loading, block loading and simple loading. In this work, block loading is considered,
140 which means that loading parameters vary stepwise in time. Thus, using the model parameters
141 estimated in the first study, the joint estimation of the crack length and of the unknown loading
142 parameters was performed with a particle filter. However, if a significant abrupt load variation
143 happens, the particle filter is not able to track the abrupt change in loading parameters values.
144 To solve this problem, a detection algorithm, namely the two-sided cumulative sum (CUSUM), is
145 implemented in parallel with the particle filter to catch any sudden deviation of loading parameters.
146 This way, the particle filter will receive the information and then reinitialize the previous estimates
147 in order to learn the new loading parameters values. This detection step enables to adapt the
148 prognosis algorithm to the changes in crack length evolution and therefore give a more accurate
149 prediction of the RUL. Indeed, an increase in the amplitude loading can significantly accelerate
150 the crack growth rate and lead to the critical crack length causing the failure of the component. In
151 both studies, real crack length trajectories were used, and the prognosis metrics that were used to
152 validate the ability of the particle filter to perform fatigue crack growth prognosis in such materials
153 are accuracy, precision and timeliness.

154 This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the general principle of fatigue crack
155 growth model-based prognosis and the model that describes fatigue crack propagation in unidi-
156 rectional fiber-reinforced composite materials. In Section 3, the joint parameter-state estimation
157 methodology with the particle filter is presented, as well as the two-sided CUSUM algorithm.

158 Then, numerical results which illustrate the efficiency of particle filtering prognosis on real fatigue
 159 crack growth data are reported in Section 4, where a first part concerns the case of unknown model
 160 parameters under known external loading amplitude, whereas in the second part the external load-
 161 ings considered as unknown. Finally, a general conclusion about fatigue crack growth prognosis in
 162 unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites and perspectives for future work are given in Section 5.

163 **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The central idea of model-based prognosis is to use a dynamic mathematical model that de-
 scribes the evolution of a degradation occurring in a system or a component. In most cases, as
 the degradation state cannot be observed directly, measurements from different sensors can be
 used to collect information about it. In the application considered in this paper, the degradation
 in question is the crack length and the collected data are crack length measurements. Usually,
 due to implementation constraints, a discrete-time state-space representation is employed to relate
 the mathematical model of the degradation to the data from the different sensors to determine the
 evolution of the degradation state at any time instant:

$$\mathbf{x}_k = f(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k-1}, \mathbf{u}_{k-1}, \mathbf{w}_{k-1}) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_k = h(\mathbf{x}_k, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_k) \quad (2)$$

164 where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ denotes the state vector, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\theta}$ represents the parameter vector, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ is the
 165 measured outputs, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ is the vector of system inputs and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a discrete time step. The
 166 functions f and h describe respectively the evolution of the state and the measurements over time,
 167 and there is no restrictions on their functional forms. The variables \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{v} are respectively the
 168 process and measurement noises which represent the model and measurement uncertainties.

169 The fatigue crack growth model-based prognosis process is based on three steps: (i) current
 170 crack length estimation, (ii) future crack length prediction and (iii) RUL calculation. The first step
 171 is a filtering problem since the current crack length is estimated using measurements from sensors.
 172 This estimation is performed as long as data is collected, i.e until the prediction time denoted by

173 k_p . Then, from this time instant, the second step involves the forecasting of the future crack length
174 for time instants $k > k_p$ without new measurements. The future crack length is predicted until
175 the failure threshold is reached, giving the predicted failure time k_f . Finally, in the last step of
176 prognosis, the RUL at time k_p which is denoted by $RUL(k_p)$ can be calculated as:

$$177 \quad RUL(k_p) = k_f - k_p. \quad (3)$$

178 However, as the predicted crack length is uncertain, the predicted failure time k_f is also uncertain,
179 making the RUL a random variable. Therefore, prognosis methods should account for the different
180 uncertainty sources that affect the estimation of the current and future crack length. In that way,
181 propagating these uncertainties through the degradation model will allow to obtain the subsequent
182 RUL probability density function (pdf).

183 In this paper, the problem of fatigue crack growth prognosis in fiber-reinforced titanium matrix
184 composite materials is addressed. This will allow to continuously assess the RUL of a structure
185 made of such composite material in which a fatigue crack growth has occurred. As a model-
186 based prognosis approach is used, the first requirement is to establish an analytical model to assess
187 dynamic crack propagation in such materials. Before introducing this model, the experimental
188 procedure to collect the real crack growth data used in this work is described.

189 **Material and experimental procedures**

190 A composite material is the combination of two or more different materials in order to create
191 a superior material with different properties (stronger, lighter, etc.). Composites are mainly made
192 up of two constituent materials: matrix and reinforcement. There are three main kinds of materials
193 that are used for the matrix (polymer, metal and ceramic) and also different forms of reinforcement
194 material (particles, fibers or laminates). In this work, the proposed methodology is applied to
195 fatigue crack growth prognosis in titanium metal matrix composites with silicon carbide fibers
196 used as reinforcement materials. The fatigue test data were previously used in (Maire et al. 2000)
197 to establish and validate a model to describe the fatigue crack growth in the concerned specimens,

198 but the problem of RUL prognosis was not addressed. For consistency, the experimental protocol
199 is summarized in what follows.

200 The titanium-matrix composite studied was SCS-6/Ti-6242. Ti-6242 is a near alpha titanium
201 alloy with the composition Ti-6Al-2Sn-4Zr-2Mo (percent by weight), and this matrix was rein-
202 forced with 140 μm diameter SCS-6 Textron fibers. The fibers are regularly spaced in the matrix
203 in such a way as to obtain a unidirectional composite. A parallelepiped notched specimen (Fig. 1)
204 with a nominal width of 8 mm, thickness of 2.1 mm and length of 160 mm was machined from the
205 composite material in a manner that the length of the specimen is parallel to fiber axis. An elliptic
206 notch was drilled in the middle of the specimen in order to initiate the crack growth.

207 During the experiment, crack growth trajectories of 8 samples of different notch lengths were
208 recorded. Some specimens were tested under constant amplitude loading, while the others were
209 subjected to load variations. For every specimen, a uniaxial cyclic loading oriented along the fiber
210 direction was applied. The stress ratio $R = \sigma_{min}/\sigma_{max}$ was equal to 0.1. The fatigue tests were
211 performed at room temperature and at 400°C under a frequency of 50 Hz. In order to measure
212 the crack length, photographs of the crack extension were recorded by a digital camera monitored
213 by a computer. The reader may refer to (Thurner 2015) to be more informed about the real-time
214 detection and measurement of cracks. The typical cracking geometry involved the propagation of a
215 crack in the matrix on each side of the notch, propagating perpendicularly to the loading direction
216 (Fig. 2).

217 The crack propagated through all the thickness of the specimens and across their entire width
218 without causing the rupture of the composite material. This phenomena is due to unbroken fibers
219 that have bridged the matrix crack. Indeed, as the fiber stress level did not exceed the value of
220 the fiber strength, no fiber broke during the experiments. The constraints of these bridging fibers
221 can be modeled by the distribution of a closure pressure P acting in the direction opposite to the
222 applied stress σ_a in the bridged zone (Fig. 3). In this case, the bridged zone is equivalent to the
223 crack length minus the notch length $2a_0$.

224 **Description of the crack propagation model**

225 It is assumed that the composite has a linear elastic behavior except in a very small region
226 at the crack tip, therefore the principles of linear elastic fracture mechanics can be applied. The
227 following modified Paris' law that was previously used in (Maire et al. 2000) to study the same
228 fatigue test dataset can then be used to model the Mode I crack propagation:

$$229 \quad \frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K_m - K_{th})^m \quad (4)$$

230 where a is the crack size, N is the number of cycles. Since the crack growth observed in the
231 composite material was limited to the matrix cracking, the effective crack-driving force is assumed
232 to be the SIF range ΔK_m experienced by the matrix. The constants C , m and K_{th} depend on matrix
233 properties. K_{th} is the threshold value of the SIF below which no crack growth occurs.

234 The calculation of the SIF range ΔK_m is the main challenge in this modeling stage. A simple
235 expression of the SIF range can be available. However, in more complex structures as composite
236 materials, an analytical closed form of the SIF does not always exist or is too complicated to
237 establish. In these cases, finite element simulations can be run to calculate the SIF values associated
238 to different crack lengths. Then, based on the obtained database of SIFs, a regression model is used
239 to allow the evaluation of the SIF for any crack length. This technique was used in (Corbetta et al.
240 2015) where a machine learning approach based on artificial neural networks was used to provide
241 estimates of SIFs. In (Neerukatti et al. 2014), two regression techniques were used, namely least
242 absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) and relevance vector machine. Although very
243 efficient to obtain good estimations of the SIF, the main drawback of such an approach is that the
244 learning stage can be time consuming and a significant amount of data is required. In this work, an
245 analytical expression of the stress intensity factor for bridged cracks in composite materials was
246 established, based on different studies found in (Johnson et al. 1996).

247 The first step to determine ΔK_m is to relate it to the continuum SIF range ΔK_{ip} which is the
248 homogenized composite stress intensity factor. Many discrete-continuum relationships were pro-

249 posed, and three of them were compared in (Bakuckas and Johnson 1993). In their study, the
 250 one that gave the best results in the modeling of fiber-bridging effect on ΔK_m was established
 251 by (McMeeking and Evans 1990):

$$252 \quad \Delta K_m = \Delta K_{tip} \quad (5)$$

253 In order to calculate ΔK_{tip} , the weight function technique proposed by (Bueckner 1970) that allows
 254 to calculate a stress intensity factor for arbitrary stress distributions is applied. In this case study,
 255 the applied stress range on the crack surface is $\Delta\sigma_{br} = \Delta\sigma_a - \Delta P(x)$, therefore we have:

$$256 \quad \Delta K_{tip} = 2 \int_0^a (\Delta\sigma_a - \Delta P(x)) G(x, a, c) dx \quad (6)$$

257 where $G(x, a, w)$ is a weight function that depends on the geometry of the specimen, c is the spec-
 258 imen width and $2a$ is the crack length.

259 For a center crack configuration in a finite width specimen, the following expression of ΔK_{tip}
 260 is finally obtained (Zheng and Ghonem 1996):

$$261 \quad \Delta K_{tip} = F \Delta\sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} - 2F \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{a_0}^a \frac{\Delta P(x)}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} dx \quad (7)$$

262 where F is a geometric factor that depends on the specimen width (various expressions can be
 263 found in (Tada et al. 1973)), $2a_0$ is the length of the unbridged zone (i.e. notch length) and x is the
 264 distance from the crack center. It can be noted that this expression of ΔK_{tip} takes into account both
 265 the contribution of the applied remote stress $\Delta\sigma_a$ and the contribution of the bridging stress $\Delta\sigma_{br}$
 266 produced by unbroken fibers in the crack wake.

267 Finally, the model that describes crack growth propagation in the studied specimens is given
 268 by:

$$269 \quad \frac{da}{dN} = C \left(F \Delta\sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} - 2F \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \int_{a_0}^a \frac{\Delta P(x)}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} dx - K_{th} \right)^m \quad (8)$$

270 The determination of the change in closure pressure $\Delta P(x)$ is the critical issue of the fatigue
 271 crack propagation problem in fiber reinforced composites. In the literature, two types of analytical

272 models have been widely used to calculate $\Delta P(x)$: (i) the shear-lag model and (ii) the fiber pressure
 273 model. For more details about these two approaches, the reader may refer to (Ghosn et al. 1992).

274 As an alternative to these methods, (Davidson 1992) have used a uniform closure pressure over
 275 the entire bridged zone and obtained satisfying results for SCS-6/Ti-6Al-4V composite materials.
 276 This approach was considered in this paper as it allows to avoid the integration of $\Delta P(x)$ to calculate
 277 ΔK_{tip} , which highly decreases the computational time. Indeed, this is an important criteria for
 278 online RUL prognosis.

279 Adopting this constant approximation of the closure pressure leads to the following model:

$$280 \quad \frac{da}{dN} = C \left(F \Delta \sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} - 2F \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \Delta P \int_{a_0}^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} dx - K_{th} \right)^m \quad (9)$$

281 where

$$282 \quad \int_{a_0}^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \left(\frac{a_0}{a} \right). \quad (10)$$

283 Finally, the model that is used for crack growth prognosis in the studied fiber-reinforced tita-
 284 nium matrix composite material is:

$$285 \quad \frac{da}{dN} = C \left(F \Delta \sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} - 2F \sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}} \Delta P \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \left(\frac{a_0}{a} \right) \right) - K_{th} \right)^m \quad (11)$$

286 The model (11) that was obtained involves two different types of parameters. One needs to
 287 distinguish between model parameters and loading parameters:

- 288 • m and C are constant model parameters which depend on the material under study and
 289 various testing conditions (stress ratio R , temperature, etc.). They can be estimated for a
 290 given specimen and considered to have the same values for the other similar test specimens;
- 291 • $\Delta \sigma_a$ and ΔP are loading parameters directly related to the external loading applied to each
 292 specimen. Their values may therefore vary according to the loading conditions. The es-
 293 timation of these two loading parameters is an interesting feature as most of the existing
 294 prognosis methods have assumed known values of current and future loading conditions,

295 which is not the case in real life scenarios. Their real-time monitoring would allow the
296 prognosis system to catch any abrupt load variation and update the RUL estimation. In-
297 deed, depending on the load variation suffered by the structure, the crack growth rate may
298 rapidly increase and cause its sudden failure.

299 Because of their different nature (constant or time-varying), these two sets of parameters will not
300 be estimated at the same time for the fatigue crack prognosis in the studied composite material.
301 Firstly, a dataset of crack growth trajectory from a specimen tested under a known constant am-
302 plitude loading will be used to estimate the model parameters m and C and perform fatigue crack
303 growth prognosis. Then, using these estimated values of m and C , the case of fatigue crack growth
304 prognosis under variable amplitude loading where $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are unknown will be considered. In
305 both studies, the parameters are jointly estimated along with the crack length with a particle filter.
306 However, in the second case study, $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are time-varying parameters that change abruptly
307 with the applied load. A CUSUM algorithm is then used to detect load variations and help the
308 particle filter to adapt and learn the new loading parameters values.

309 In the next section, the general methodology for joint estimation of the parameters along with
310 the crack length using a particle filter is first presented. Then, it will be explained how the particle
311 filter and a detection algorithm can be combined to correctly estimate the crack length in a structure
312 subjected to variable amplitude loading.

313 **FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH PROGNOSIS WITH A PARTICLE FILTER COMBINED** 314 **WITH A TWO-SIDED CUSUM ALGORITHM**

315 A particle filter was chosen to perform the joint estimation of the unknown parameters along
316 with the crack length in order to calculate the RUL of the composite specimens subjected to fatigue
317 crack growth. The ability of the particle filter to perform uncertainty propagation but also to deal
318 with the high nonlinearities of the fatigue crack growth analytical model (11) has motivated this
319 choice. Moreover, as the particle filter is a Bayesian filtering method, the RUL predictions are
320 updated and improved as new crack length data is collected.

321 When specimens were tested under variable amplitude loading (block loading), loading param-
 322 eters are piecewise constant functions of time. In order to detect load variations and to keep an
 323 accurate estimate of these loading parameters, a two-sided CUSUM algorithm is added to the par-
 324 ticle filter so it can keep on tracking the time-varying parameters. The idea is to jointly estimate the
 325 unknown loading parameters along with the crack length using the particle filter, while monitoring
 326 their estimations with the two-sided CUSUM algorithm to detect abrupt load variations. If a load
 327 variation happens, the CUSUM algorithm informs the particle filter which has then to reinitialize
 328 its previous estimates of the loading parameters and learn their new values.

329 In this section, the sequential importance resampling (SIR) particle filter is first introduced
 330 briefly, then the two-sided CUSUM algorithm is presented, and finally model-based prognosis
 331 process under unknown loading parameters that uses the combination of these two techniques is
 332 described.

333 Particle filter for joint parameter-state estimation

334 Particle filtering allows to recursively estimate and update the pdf of the state vector \mathbf{x}_k based on
 335 the discrete state-space system characterized by equations (1) and (2). In this work, the unknown
 336 model parameters denoted by the vector $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\theta}$ are included in the state vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$. This
 337 allows to form an augmented state vector $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \theta \end{bmatrix}$ in order to perform the identification of the
 338 unknown parameters in conjunction with state estimation using the particle filter. In this paper, x
 339 is the crack length while θ contains the model parameters m and C or the loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$
 340 and ΔP depending on the considered case study.

341 In the particle filter approach, the state pdf at time instant k is approximated by a set of N_{part}
 342 particles $\{X_k^i\}_{i=1}^{N_{part}}$ representing points in the state space, and a set of associated weights $\{\omega_k^i\}_{i=1}^{N_{part}}$
 343 denoting discrete probability masses:

$$344 \quad p(X_k|y_{0:k}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_{part}} \omega_k^i \delta(X_k - X_k^i) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N_{part}} \omega_k^i = 1 \quad (12)$$

345 where δ is the Dirac delta function.

346 A sequential importance resampling (SIR) particle filter is used in this work. The reader may
 347 refer to (Arulampalam et al. 2002) to have more details about the various particle filter algorithms
 348 and the SIR particle filter that will be briefly presented in what follows. It is based on three main
 349 steps that are prediction, update and resampling:

350 Initialization

- 351 • Draw particles $X_0^i \sim p(X_0)$
- 352 • Compute the initial weights $\omega_k^i = \frac{1}{N_{part}}$

353 1. Prediction

- 354 • Simulate the state equation (1) to generate a new set of N_p particles $X_k^{i=1:N_{part}}$ which
 355 are realizations of the predicted pdf $p(X_k|y_{0:k-1})$.

356 2. Update

- 357 • Each sampled particle is assigned a weight based on the likelihood $p(y_k|X_k)$:

$$358 \quad \omega_k^i = \omega_{k-1}^i p(y_k|X_{k-1}^i) = \omega_{k-1}^i \frac{p(y_k|X_k^i)p(X_k^i|X_{k-1}^i)}{p(X_k^i|X_{k-1}^i, y_k)} \quad (13)$$

- 359 • Normalize the weights:

$$360 \quad \omega_k^i = \omega_k^i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{part}} \omega_k^i \right)^{-1} \quad (14)$$

361 3. Resampling

- 362 • Degeneracy problem: the weight variance increases and after a few iterations all
 363 but one particle may have a negligible weight (Daum 2005). Particles with small
 364 weights are eliminated so that the computational efforts are concentrated in those
 365 having large ones.
- 366 • Resampling condition: if the effective sample size N_{eff} is under some threshold

N_{th} , a re-sampling procedure is done. An estimate of N_{eff} is

$$\hat{N}_{eff} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{part}} (\omega_k^i)^2 \right)^{-1} \quad (15)$$

- Using the inverse cumulative distribution function method (Arulampalam et al. 2002) and the current set $\{X_k\}_{i=1}^{N_{part}}$, a new set $\{\tilde{X}_k\}_{i=1}^{N_{part}}$ is drawn to replace the current one. Finally, with $\tilde{\omega}_k^i = N_{part}^{-1}$, the state is given by:

$$\hat{X}_k^i = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{part}} \tilde{\omega}_k^i \tilde{X}_k^i \quad (16)$$

This classical SIR particle filter algorithm is applied during the first step of the prognosis process which consists in estimating the current augmented state vector using data from the different sensors. This step is realized as long as measurements are available until the prediction time k_p from which a prediction of the future augmented state vector is performed. During the forecasting step, no more measurements are collected. However, the update of the particle weights depends on the acquisition of new measurements. To overcome this difficulty, the state is propagated only using the state model (1) while the particle weights are propagated in time without any changes. In other words, only the prediction step is repeated until the chosen failure threshold is reached. Considering that the particle weights are invariant for time instants $k > k_p$ leads to a negligible approximation error with respect to other sources of error such as wrong choices of noise parameters or model inaccuracies (Orchard and Vachtsevanos 2007).

The general methodology that was presented above is adopted when the constant model parameters m and C are jointly estimated along with the crack length. In the case where the crack is propagating under unknown variable amplitude loading (block loading), the loading parameters are unknown and time-varying (piecewise constant functions of time). However, the SIR particle filter itself cannot handle sudden change in loading parameters. Indeed, between two load variations, the loading parameters have constant values. The marginal posterior parameter distributions

390 become increasingly concentrated around the true parameters values as more data is available.
 391 Thus, if some abrupt load variation occurs, the new loading parameters values may fall far into the
 392 tails of the parameter distributions, leading to particles with negligible weights. The particle filter
 393 is not able to estimate the new parameters values and a reinitialization of the particle system is
 394 then needed. In this work, a two-sided CUSUM algorithm is implemented to detect load variations
 395 and trigger the re-initialization of the particle filter for the learning of the new loading parameters
 396 values.

397 **Two-sided CUSUM algorithm**

398 A two-sided CUSUM algorithm is used to detect load variations during experiments. It is the
 399 combination of two CUSUM algorithms, one for the detection of an increase in the mean of the
 400 monitored variable, and the other one to detect a decrease in the mean. The general idea is to
 401 calculate a cumulative sum S_k that depends on the monitored process Δx , on its initial mean value
 402 μ_0 and on the minimal size of change to detect denoted by v . And when the value of the sum
 403 exceeds a predefined threshold value S_{th} , a change in the mean value is detected. Therefore, the
 404 two-sided CUSUM algorithm is based on the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} S_k^+ & = \max\left(0, S_{k-1}^+ + \Delta x_k - \mu_0 - \frac{v}{2}\right) \\ S_k^- & = \max\left(0, S_{k-1}^- - \Delta x_k - \mu_0 - \frac{v}{2}\right) \\ N_{detect} & = \min\{k : S_k^+ \geq S_{th} \cup S_k^- \geq S_{th}\} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

405 where N_{detect} is the time at which the detection is made. There are two parameters that have
 406 to be chosen in this algorithm: S_{th} and v . This choice depends on how the signal to process looks
 407 like, and for a Gaussian distribution, one can set $S_{th} = 2\frac{\sigma_{\Delta x}}{v}$ where $\sigma_{\Delta x}$ is the standard deviation
 408 of Δx . Further information about the two-sided CUSUM algorithm can be found in (Blanke et al.
 409 2006).

410 **Prognosis methodology with the particle filter and the detection algorithm**

411 The constant monitoring of loading parameters with the detection algorithm allows not only to
412 detect abrupt load variations but also to reinitialize the parameters values right after the alarm. This
413 would help the particle filter to converge more quickly and more easily to the actual crack length.
414 This procedure leads to the introduction of a new uncertain parameter which is the initial loading
415 parameters values after load variation. In most cases this value is unknown, but the user may
416 have an order of magnitude of it. Indeed, depending on the monitored system, the critical input
417 value that can accelerate the degradation state evolution can be obtained from expert knowledge.
418 Therefore, because of the uncertainty associated to this value, it is included in an interval $L = [\underline{L}, \bar{L}]$.

419 **NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS**

420 The aim of this section is to show how online fatigue crack growth prognosis with a SIR particle
421 filter can be assessed in fiber-reinforced titanium matrix composite materials. The case of a fatigue
422 crack prognosis with unknown model parameters m and C but known loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and
423 ΔP is first presented. A dataset from a specimen tested under constant amplitude loading is used. In
424 order to justify the choice of a particle filter rather than an extended Kalman filter (EKF) which is
425 easier to implement and is less time consuming, the performance of these two stochastic filters will
426 be compared. Then, in a second part, a more complex and realistic case of fatigue crack growth
427 prognosis where the loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are unknown is considered. In this second
428 example, a dataset from a specimen tested under variable amplitude loading is used.

429 In order to evaluate the performance of the particle filter and of the EKF in estimating the RUL
430 of the considered composite materials, three prognosis metrics (accuracy, precision and timeliness)
431 are calculated. Accuracy measures the degree of closeness of the predicted RUL to the actual
432 RUL, and its values are between 0 and 1 where 1 gives the best accuracy. Precision evaluates the
433 narrowness of the interval in which the RUL predictions fall, and ranges between 0 and 1 which
434 reflects the highest precision. Finally, timeliness indicates the relative position of the predicted
435 RUL pdf along the time axis with respect to the occurrence of the actual failure event. There
436 are three cases: (i) the failure occurs after the predicted failure time, (ii) the failure occurs at the

437 same time as the predicted failure time, and finally, (iii) the failure occurs earlier than predicted.
 438 This last case must be absolutely avoided, that is why the timeliness function allows to penalize
 439 late predictions. Timeliness has positive values and 0 is the best score. More details about the
 440 formulation of these metrics can be found in (Robinson et al. 2016), where the SIR particle filter
 441 and the EKF were compared on synthetic data generated with a Paris' law to simulate a simple
 442 fatigue crack growth test case.

443 For both case studies, the input parameters required for the implementation of the algorithms
 444 are provided, then the simulation results are shown. Finally, the prognosis methods are compared
 445 using the performance metrics that have been defined above. The following discrete-time form of
 446 the crack growth model (11) is used:

$$447 \quad a_{k+1} = a_k + C\Delta N \left[F\Delta\sigma_{a_k}\sqrt{\pi a_k} - 2F\sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}}\Delta P_k \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin\left(\frac{a_0}{a_k}\right) \right) - K_{th} \right]^m \quad (18)$$

448 This model is randomized in order to take the different uncertainty sources into account. Mod-
 449 eling and measurement uncertainty are integrated by adding zero-mean Gaussian noises to the
 450 state and measurement equations, that are respectively \mathbf{w}_k and \mathbf{v}_k . Moreover, the unknown model
 451 parameters to estimate in conjunction with the crack length are considered as Gaussian random
 452 variables.

453 **Unknown model parameters m and C**

454 In this first case study, a crack growth trajectory dataset where the loading amplitude is main-
 455 tained constant is used. The loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are then constant and equal to 196
 456 MPa. The objective here is to jointly estimate and forecast the crack length and the two un-
 457 known material parameters m and C , therefore the augmented state vector is defined as $\mathbf{X}_k^\top =$
 458 $\left[a_k \quad C_k \quad m_k \right]$. The variances of the stochastic variables that were used for the implementation of
 459 the particle filter and of the EKF algorithms are listed in Table 1. In this work, filter tuning was
 460 performed offline on the basis of a trial and error procedure. The online tuning of the particle filter
 461 was not addressed in this paper as it is a complex problem when a highly nonlinear model and

462 real data are both involved. In the literature, some references have treated this problem outside
463 the context of prognosis. In (Kontoroupi and Smyth 2015), an online strategy to jointly estimate,
464 through the formulation of an augmented vector, the state vector, the model parameters and the
465 statistical parameters (covariance and mean) of the process and observation noises is proposed.
466 They assume some conjugate prior distributions for both mean and covariance matrix of noises
467 and perform Bayesian updating using an unscented Kalman filter (UKF). However, they point out
468 the possible limitations of such a method due to the curse of dimensionality.

469 The values of the initial state vector have to be determined for the initialization of the filtering
470 algorithms. The initial crack length a_0 is supposed to be known because the prognosis module is
471 launched only if a crack growth is detected in the monitored component. In the tested specimen,
472 this value is $a_0 = 3.1\text{mm}$. Concerning m and C , as they are material constants, their order of
473 magnitude can be a priori known to initialize the algorithms. In this case, we have chosen $m_0 = 2.4$
474 and $\log(C) = -23.65$. Finally, measurements were collected every $\Delta N = 1172$ cycles and a total
475 number of 500 particles was used by the filter. In the figures presented in the next subsections, the
476 plotted curves correspond to the mean estimation.

477 The crack growth prognosis has been done for different prediction time cycles N_p , which means
478 that the number of available measurements in the observation interval has been increased over
479 cycles, as in on-line prognosis. From prediction time cycle N_p , the forecasting of the future crack
480 length was performed without any measurements until the threshold was reached in order to deduce
481 the RUL value. This critical crack length was fixed at 7.5 mm. In order to evaluate the performance
482 of the algorithms in terms of accuracy, precision and timeliness, 100 experiments have been done
483 to simulate experimental variability. Concerning the choice of the performance metrics parameters
484 $[I_{min}, I_{max}]$ and I_0 , they depend on the material and user requirements. They can be derived from
485 prior knowledge about the fatigue behavior of the material under study characterized by its $S - N$
486 curve (Kawai and Itoh 2014). Based on these considerations, the user can define an allowable
487 margin as a decision criterion. In this case, we have chosen $I_0 = 2 \times 10^5$ cycles. As for the interval
488 $[I_{min}, I_{max}]$, its width equals $I_0 = 2 \times 10^5$ cycles.

489 The evolution of the crack growth prognosis for different values of N_p are shown in Fig. 4,
490 Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 for the EKF and in Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 for the PF. The performance metrics
491 values for both methods are listed in Table 2. The results show that the EKF did not manage to
492 predict correctly the future crack length until time cycle $N_p = 2.794 \times 10^5$ cycles. Indeed, the
493 EKF gives reliable results only if the system is locally linear and is not highly nonlinear, or when
494 there is no joint parameter-state estimation as in (Wang et al. 2017). The only way to obtain
495 satisfactory results with the EKF was to decrease the standard deviation of the exponent parameter
496 m to $\sigma_m = 10^{-6}$, however it is not a realistic value because the parameter m generally varies by
497 10% from one specimen to another. As regards the particle filter, even at only $N_p = 1.198 \times 10^5$
498 cycles, the RUL prognosis gives appropriate results in terms of accuracy, precision and timeliness,
499 and the metric values improve as more measurements are available.

500 The high performance of the particle filter for the fiber-bridged crack prognosis was highlighted
501 in the results and confirms the results obtained in (Robinson et al. 2016) on an academic test case
502 with a Paris' law. Moreover, the choice of the constant value of the closure pressure is appropriate
503 in this case. Thus, the proposed model for fatigue crack growth propagation in fiber-reinforced
504 composites can be validated.

505 **Unknown loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP**

506 This second case study is based on a dataset where the cracked specimen was subjected to
507 variable loading amplitude. A joint input-state estimation will allow the constant monitoring of
508 external loads while estimating the crack length. The augmented state vector is then defined as
509 $\mathbf{X}_k^\top = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & \Delta\sigma_{a_k} & \Delta P_k \end{bmatrix}$. The variances of these stochastic variables that were used for the imple-
510 mentation of the particle filter algorithm are listed in Table 3.

511 The initial crack length a_0 is supposed to be known because the prognosis module is launched
512 only if a crack growth is detected in the monitored component. In the tested specimen, this value
513 is $a_0 = 0.7\text{mm}$. Concerning the inputs loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP , their initial values are
514 assumed to be included in the interval $L_0 = [300, 400]$ MPa.

515 In this part, the material parameters m and C are assumed to be constant variables as the focus

516 is placed on the estimation of the unknown loading parameters. They have already been calculated
517 in a previous work, and we have $m = 2.4$ and $C = 5.4 \times 10^{-11}$. Finally, a total number of 500
518 particles was used and crack length measurements were collected every $\Delta N = 1172$ cycles.

519 *Fatigue crack growth under unknown constant amplitude loading*

520 The first step of prognosis consists in jointly estimating the current crack length a and the
521 unknown loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP using the crack growth model and the collected crack
522 length data at each time step k corresponding to a cycle number N . Then, from a prediction time
523 cycle N_p , the forecasting of the future state vector is performed without any new measurements.

524 First of all, in order to demonstrate the robustness of the proposed particle filter to the initial
525 unknown loading parameters values, the prognosis results for different initial values of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and
526 ΔP in L_0 have been plotted in Fig. 10.

527 It can be seen that despite the uncertainty on the initial loading input values, the particle filter
528 always manages to converge to the actual crack length. This is an important feature of the proposed
529 joint input-state estimation algorithm because in real-time prognosis, this value is unknown.

530 Moreover, one can notice that the crack length does not increase and the estimated unknown
531 loading parameters remain constant after the transient state (Fig. 11). Indeed, the crack growth
532 stops after some extension because of the fiber bridging phenomenon mentioned in Section 2.
533 Therefore, the crack growth reaches a steady-state and the failure threshold will not be reached.

534 In the following, a case of crack growth under variable amplitude loading is treated to study the
535 ability of the proposed methodology to jointly estimate the crack length and the unknown loading
536 parameters even in these circumstances.

537 *Fatigue crack growth under unknown variable amplitude loading*

538 In this subsection, crack growth prognosis under unknown variable amplitude loading is con-
539 sidered. The crack length measurements are from the real dataset obtained during fatigue test on
540 fiber-reinforced titanium matrix composite materials presented in Section 2.

541 As a first step, the estimation of the crack length and the unknown loading parameters is per-
542 formed using the available measurements. Then, the forecasting step is realized from the prediction

543 time cycle N_p . Before load variation, the prognosis results are the same as described in the pre-
544 vious subsection. After load variation, the forecasting of the future crack growth without new
545 measurements is made at different prediction time cycles N_p . The evolution of the future crack
546 length predictions are depicted in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13. The first part of the crack length evolution
547 is not shown as it is the same as in Fig. 10.

548 The higher amplitude of the external applied load has led to an increase in the crack length,
549 which indicates that the critical stress has been attained. The results show that the particle filter has
550 some difficulties to converge even after several time cycles of estimation using the measurements.
551 Indeed, the particle filter needs more data in order to estimate the unknown loading parameters
552 whose values have significantly increased after this abrupt load variation. This problem might be
553 addressed by increasing the number of particles. In this study, 500 particles were used, and a test
554 with 3000 particles was realized. The results were almost the same, and this number cannot be
555 further increased because the computational time would be too important, which is not suitable for
556 online applications.

557 In order to circumvent this issue, the two-sided CUSUM algorithm presented in Section 3
558 is integrated to the particle filter. The unknown loading parameters $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are constantly
559 monitored to detect the sudden load variation. Indeed, as these inputs are related to the applied
560 load, when the loading amplitude changes, their values change as well (Fig. 14). It can be seen
561 that this monitoring must start after the transient state to avoid any false detection.

562 The parameter μ_0 of the CUSUM algorithm is the mean value of the estimated parameters
563 in the transient state. The minimal size of change to detect in the unknown loading parameters
564 variables was fixed to $v = 2$ MPa. This value was chosen based on the parameter estimations shown
565 in Fig. 11. The two-sided CUSUM algorithm has detected the variation at $N_{detect} = 10.881 \times 10^6$
566 cycles while the actual load variation time is $N_{load} = 10.868 \times 10^6$ cycles.

567 After the detection of load variation, the values of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP are reinitialized. The choice of
568 the interval L is based on the a priori knowledge of the necessary load amplitude that may cause a
569 rapid propagation of the crack in the considered specimen. The values $\Delta\sigma_{a,crit}$ and ΔP_{crit} associated

570 to the critical load are known to be around 450 MPa. Therefore, in order to take the uncertainty
571 associated to this value into account, it was considered that the reinitialized values of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP
572 belong to the interval $L = [400, 500]$. This has allowed to estimate and then forecast an interval
573 that contains the estimated crack length after load variation. The bounds of this interval are derived
574 from two extreme loading cases. The evolution of this interval for different prediction cycles N_p is
575 shown in Fig. 15 and in Fig. 16.

576 First of all, the gain of the detection algorithm and the reinitialization of the loading parameters
577 values is highlighted in these figures. It can be seen that even if the loading parameters values after
578 the load variation are uncertain, the interval that was derived from the interval L still gives an
579 accurate prediction of the future crack length. Moreover, the predictions improve as more data is
580 available. Even if the plotted results give an idea about the efficiency of the proposed methodology,
581 a more precise performance evaluation using metrics such as accuracy, precision and timeliness
582 has been performed. The metrics were calculated for several reinitialization values of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and
583 ΔP included in L . Thus, for each value of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP included in L , 100 RUL pdf computations
584 were simulated to calculate the metrics. Then, the mean values and the standard deviation of each
585 metrics in the predicted interval are given in Table 4.

586 The calculated metrics confirm that the proposed methodology gives satisfactory results in
587 terms of accuracy, precision and timeliness despite the high uncertainty on the reinitialized values
588 of the unknown loading parameters after load variation. Moreover, the obtained values show the
589 usefulness of the timeliness metric. Indeed, we can see that the highest value of timeliness is at
590 the prediction cycle $N_p = 12.337 \times 10^6$. This is explained by the fact that a significant part of the
591 predicted RUL have fallen after the actual RUL value. Thus, even if the accuracy is the highest
592 one, the timeliness value must be taken into account carefully to optimize maintenance decisions
593 and avoid catastrophic events. As for the case of the particle filter without the detection algorithm,
594 the metrics could not even be calculated. Indeed, the particle filter did not manage to estimate the
595 increase in crack length after the load variation. Therefore, the crack growth was so slow that the
596 critical crack length could never be reached, making the calculation of the metrics impossible.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, fatigue crack growth prognosis in unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites using real data from fatigue tests on titanium matrix composites has been considered. First of all, the model that describes fatigue crack growth in such materials has been derived. For this purpose, the model that was previously developed in (Maire et al. 2000) has been simplified. Indeed, the problem in model-based prognosis is to make a compromise between a very accurate model that induces very high computational time, and a simplified model that will reduce computational time and effort. This simplified model was obtained by making assumptions on the physics-based model, and the resulting modeling uncertainties were represented with uncertain parameters and additive noise.

Then, using this nonlinear model and real crack growth data, two cases of fatigue crack prognosis in fiber-reinforced composite materials were treated. In a first study, the joint estimation of model parameters that depend on material in conjunction with the crack length was performed while assuming known value of the applied load. The performances of a particle filter and an extended Kalman filter (EKF) for the crack growth prognosis were compared using three prognosis metrics (accuracy, precision and timeliness). The results highlighted the high performance of the particle filter and the difficulty of the EKF to predict the future crack growth in presence of high nonlinearities. It was also shown that the more measurements are collected, the more the performance metrics of both filters were improved. However, the particle filter needed much less measurements to obtain a predicted RUL value close to the real one, which also proves the efficiency of the particle filter over the EKF in the studied crack growth prognosis.

In a second case study, a real crack growth dataset recorded under variable amplitude loading was used to perform fatigue crack growth prognosis in unidirectional fiber-reinforced composites under unknown applied load. A model-based on-line prognosis method that is able to estimate and forecast unknown loading parameters was proposed in this paper. A particle filter was used for the joint parameter-state estimation and a two-sided CUSUM algorithm was integrated to detect load variations. Indeed, it was noted that after an abrupt load variation, the particle filter had some

624 difficulties to converge to the degradation state whose trajectory had suddenly changed. Therefore,
625 the role of the CUSUM algorithm is to monitor the unknown loading parameters values, and to
626 give an alert when a load variation is detected. Once the particle filter has received this alert, the
627 estimated values of the unknown loading parameters are reinitialized in an interval that is chosen
628 from a priori knowledge. The association of these two algorithms have enabled to keep the RUL
629 predictions accurate even after load variation.

630 Finally, the simplified crack growth model that was proposed for fatigue crack growth prog-
631 nosis in unidirectional fiber-reinforced composite materials can be validated. Indeed, even when
632 considering that the absolute value of the closure pressure is equal to the applied load, the crack
633 growth prognosis results were satisfactory. That is an important aspect in on-line prognosis be-
634 cause the computational time must be minimized.

635 In future work, the proposed prognosis method will be applied to fatigue crack growth data
636 with more load variations, and other analytical models will be considered. Moreover, the problem
637 of online filter tuning will be investigated.

638 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

639 The authors would like to particularly acknowledge Pascal Paulmier and his colleagues of the
640 Materials and Structures Department (DMAS) of ONERA for providing the datasets and valuable
641 advice on the considered application.

APPENDIX I. REFERENCES

- Arulampalam, M. S., Maskell, S., Gordon, N., and Clapp, T. (2002). "A tutorial on particle filters for online nonlinear/non-Gaussian Bayesian tracking." *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 50(2), 174–188.
- Awad, Z. K., Aravinthan, T., Zhuge, Y., and Gonzalez, F. (2012). "A review of optimization techniques used in the design of fibre composite structures for civil engineering applications." *Materials & Design*, 33, 534–544.
- Bakuckas, J. and Johnson, W. S. (1993). "Application of fiber bridging models to fatigue crack growth in unidirectional titanium matrix composites." *Journal of Composites, Technology and Research*, 15(3), 242–255.
- Baraldi, P., Mangili, F., and Zio, E. (2013). "Investigation of uncertainty treatment capability of model-based and data-driven prognostic methods using simulated data." *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 112, 94–108.
- Biagetti, T. (2004). "Automatic diagnostics and prognostics of energy conversion processes via knowledge-based systems." *Energy*, 29(12-15), 2553–2572.
- Blanke, M., Kinnaert, M., Lunze, J., Staroswiecki, M., and Schröder, J. (2006). *Diagnosis and fault-tolerant control*, Vol. 691. Springer.
- Bueckner, H. (1970). "Novel principle for the computation of stress intensity factors." *Zeitschrift fuer Angewandte Mathematik & Mechanik*, 50(9).
- Chiachio, J., Chiachio, M., Saxena, A., Rus, G., and Goebel, K. (2013). "An energy-based prognostics framework to predict fatigue damage evolution in composites." *Proceedings of the annual conference of the prognostics and health management society*, 1(2), 363–371.
- Corbetta, M., Sbarufatti, C., Manes, A., and Giglio, M. (2015). "Real-time prognosis of crack growth evolution using sequential monte carlo methods and statistical model parameters." *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 64(2), 736–753.
- Daigle, M. J. and Goebel, K. (2011). "A model-based prognostics approach applied to pneumatic valves." *International journal of prognostics and health management*, 2(2), 84–99.

669 Daum, F. (2005). “Nonlinear filters: beyond the Kalman filter.” *Aerospace and Electronic Systems*
670 *Magazine*, 20(8), 57–69.

671 Davidson, D. (1992). “The micromechanics of fatigue crack growth at 25 c in ti-6al-4v reinforced
672 with scs-6 fibers.” *Metallurgical Transactions A*, 23(3), 865–879.

673 Fan, J., Yung, K.-C., and Pecht, M. (2015). “Predicting long-term lumen maintenance life of led
674 light sources using a particle filter-based prognostic approach.” *Expert Systems with Applica-*
675 *tions*, 42(5), 2411–2420.

676 Ghosn, L. J., Kantzos, P., and Telesman, J. (1992). “Modeling of crack bridging in a unidirectional
677 metal matrix composite.” *International Journal of Fracture*, 54(4), 345–357.

678 Gu, J., Barker, D., and Pecht, M. (2007). “Uncertainty assessment of prognostics of electron-
679 ics subject to random vibration.” *AAAI fall symposium on artificial intelligence for prognos-*
680 *tics, Arlington, VA, USA*.

681 Johnson, W. S., Larsen, J. M., and Cox, B. (1996). *Life prediction methodology for titanium matrix*
682 *composites*. Number 1253. ASTM International.

683 Kawai, M. and Itoh, N. (2014). “A failure-mode based anisomorphic constant life diagram for
684 a unidirectional carbon/epoxy laminate under off-axis fatigue loading at room temperature.”
685 *Journal of composite materials*, 48(5), 571–592.

686 Kontoroupi, T. and Smyth, A. W. (2015). “Online noise identification for joint state and parameter
687 estimation of nonlinear systems.” *ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering*
688 *Systems, Part A: Civil Engineering*, 2(3), B4015006.

689 Laseure, N., Schepens, I., Micone, N., and De Waele, W. (2015). “Effects of variable amplitude
690 loading on fatigue life.” *Sustainable Construction and Design*, 6(3).

691 Ling, Y. and Mahadevan, S. (2012). “Integration of structural health monitoring and fatigue damage
692 prognosis.” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 28, 89–104.

693 Liu, H., Yu, J., Zhang, P., and Li, X. (2009). “A review on fault prognostics in integrated health
694 management.” *Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Electronic Measurement and*
695 *Instruments*, 4267–4270.

696 Luo, J., Namburu, M., Pattipati, K., Qiao, L., Kawamoto, M., and Chigusa, S. (2003). "Model-
697 based prognostic techniques [maintenance applications]." *Proceedings of IEEE AUTOTESTCON*
698 *Conference*, 330–340.

699 Maire, J., Levasseur, P., and Paulmier, P. (2000). "Multi-scale model of crack propagation in uni-
700 directional metallic matrix composites." *ECF13, San Sebastian 2000*.

701 McMeeking, R. and Evans, A. (1990). "Matrix fatigue cracking in fiber composites." *Mechanics*
702 *of Materials*, 9(3), 217–227.

703 Movchan, N. and Willis, J. (1996). "Critical load for a mode-1 crack reinforced by bridging fibres."
704 *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, 49(4), 545–564.

705 Neerukatti, R. K., Liu, K. C., Kovvali, N., and Chattopadhyay, A. (2014). "Fatigue life predic-
706 tion using hybrid prognosis for structural health monitoring." *Journal of Aerospace Information*
707 *Systems*, 11(4), 211–232.

708 Orchard, M., Kacprzynski, G., Goebel, K., Saha, B., and Vachtsevanos, G. (2008). "Advances in
709 uncertainty representation and management for particle filtering applied to prognostics." *IEEE*
710 *International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management, Denver, USA*.

711 Orchard, M. E. and Vachtsevanos, G. J. (2007). "A particle filtering-based framework for real-time
712 fault diagnosis and failure prognosis in a turbine engine." *Mediterranean Conference on Control*
713 *& Automation, MED'07, IEEE*.

714 Pais, M. J. and Kim, N. H. (2015). "Predicting fatigue crack growth under variable ampli-
715 tude loadings with usage monitoring data." *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(12),
716 1687814015619135.

717 Robinson, E., Marzat, J., and Raïssi, T. (2016). "Model-based prognosis algorithms with uncer-
718 tainty propagation: application to fatigue crack growth." *3rd Conference on Control and Fault-*
719 *Tolerant Systems (SysTol'16)*, Barcelona, Spain, 443–450 (September).

720 Saha, B. and Goebel, K. (2008). "Uncertainty management for diagnostics and prognostics of
721 batteries using bayesian techniques." *IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, MT, USA*, 1–8.

722 Saha, B., Goebel, K., Poll, S., and Christophersen, J. (2009). "Prognostics methods for battery

723 health monitoring using a bayesian framework.” *IEEE Transactions on instrumentation and*
724 *measurement*, 58(2), 291–296.

725 Sankararaman, S. and Goebel, K. (2013). “Remaining useful life estimation in prognosis: An
726 uncertainty propagation problem.” *AIAA Infotech at Aerospace (I at A) Conference*, 1–8.

727 Si, X.-S., Wang, W., Hu, C.-H., and Zhou, D.-H. (2011). “Remaining useful life estimation A
728 review on the statistical data driven approaches.” *European Journal of Operational Research*,
729 213(1), 1–14.

730 Tada, H., Paris, P. C., and Irwin, G. R. (1973). “The stress analysis of cracks.” *Handbook, Del*
731 *Research Corporation*.

732 Thurner, T. (2015). “Real-time detection and measurement of cracks in fatigue test applications.”
733 *AMA Conferences 2015–SENSOR 2015 and IRS2 2015*, .

734 Wang, W., Hu, W., and Armstrong, N. (2017). “Fatigue crack prognosis using Bayesian probabilis-
735 tic modelling.” *Mechanical Engineering Journal*.

736 Zárate, B. A., Caicedo, J. M., Yu, J., and Ziehl, P. (2012). “Bayesian model updating and prognosis
737 of fatigue crack growth.” *Engineering Structures*, 45, 53–61.

738 Zheng, D. and Ghonem, H. (1996). “High temperature/high frequency fatigue crack growth in
739 titanium metal matrix composites.” *Life prediction methodology for titanium matrix composites*,
740 ASTM International.

741 Zio, E. and Di Maio, F. (2012). “Fatigue crack growth estimation by relevance vector machine.”
742 *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(12), 10681–10692.

743 Zio, E. and Pelsoni, G. (2011). “Particle filtering prognostic estimation of the remaining useful life
744 of nonlinear components.” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 96(3), 403–409.

TABLE 1: Distributions of random parameters for the estimation of m and C

Parameter	$\log(C)$	m	w	ν
Variance	$(10^{-2})^2$	$(10^{-3})^2$	$(10^{-3})^2$	$(10^{-3})^2$

TABLE 2: Performance evaluation results for the estimation of m and C

Prediction time	Method	Accuracy	Precision	Timeliness
1.198×10^5	PF	0.88	0.72	0.77
	EKF	0.67	0.93	4.83
2.370×10^5	PF	0.95	0.82	0.17
	EKF	0.71	0.95	2.04
3.542×10^5	PF	0.96	0.85	0.08
	EKF	0.72	0.95	0.91

TABLE 3: Distributions of random parameters for the estimation of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP

Parameter	$\Delta\sigma_a$	ΔP	w	ν
Variance	$(0.07)^2$	$(0.07)^2$	$(10^{-3})^2$	$(5 \times 10^{-3})^2$

TABLE 4: Performance evaluation results for the estimation of $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP

Prediction time	Accuracy	Precision	Timeliness
11.058×10^6	0.59 (± 0.04)	0.62 (± 0.02)	4.56 (± 1.05)
11.197×10^6	0.65 (± 0.04)	0.75 (± 0.02)	2.74 (± 0.73)
11.335×10^6	0.81 (± 0.08)	0.86 (± 0.04)	0.92 (± 0.46)
12.337×10^6	0.87 (± 0.15)	0.59 (± 0.07)	207.12 (± 486.04)




FIG. 1: Schematic of the specimen showing the dimensions and the loading axis parallel to fiber direction



FIG. 2: Photography of the specimen with a crack perpendicular to fiber direction - With permission of ONERA/DMAS, Châtillon, France




FIG. 3: The closure pressure in the crack wake




FIG. 4: Crack growth prognosis with the EKF at $N_p = 1.198 \times 10^5$ cycles





FIG. 5: Crack growth prognosis with the EKF at $N_p = 2.794 \times 10^5$ cycles






FIG. 7: Crack growth prognosis with the PF at $N_p = 1.198 \times 10^5$ cycles





FIG. 8: Crack growth prognosis with the PF at $N_p = 2.794 \times 10^5$ cycles






FIG. 10: Crack length evolution at $N_p = 7.702 \times 10^6$ cycles




FIG. 11: Estimation of ΔP and $\Delta\sigma_a$ at $N_p = 7.702 \times 10^6$ cycles




FIG. 12: Evolution of the crack length at different prediction cycles N_p without the detection algorithm ($N_p = 11.058 \times 10^6$ cycles and $N_p = 11.197 \times 10^6$ cycles)




FIG. 13: Evolution of the crack length at different prediction cycles N_p without the detection algorithm ($N_p = 11.335 \times 10^6$ cycles and $N_p = 12.337 \times 10^6$ cycles)




FIG. 14: Abrupt change in $\Delta\sigma_a$ and ΔP after load variation




FIG. 15: Evolution of the crack length at different prediction cycles N_p with the detection algorithm ($N_p = 11.058 \times 10^6$ cycles and $N_p = 11.197 \times 10^6$ cycles)




FIG. 16: Evolution of the crack length at different prediction cycles N_p with the detection algorithm ($N_p = 11.335 \times 10^6$ cycles and $N_p = 12.337 \times 10^6$ cycles)