

Targeting well-balanced solutions in multi-objective bayesian optimization under a restricted budget

David Gaudrie, Rodolphe Le Riche, Victor Picheny, Benoît Enaux, Vincent Herbert

▶ To cite this version:

David Gaudrie, Rodolphe Le Riche, Victor Picheny, Benoît Enaux, Vincent Herbert. Targeting well-balanced solutions in multi-objective bayesian optimization under a restricted budget. PGMO Days 2017, Nov 2017, Saclay, France. hal-01883323

HAL Id: hal-01883323

https://hal.science/hal-01883323

Submitted on 5 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Targeting Well-Balanced Solutions in Multi-Objective Bayesian Optimization under a Restricted Budget

David Gaudrie¹ Rodolphe le Riche² Victor Picheny³ Benoît Enaux⁴ Vincent Herbert⁵

¹Groupe PSA, david.gaudrie@mpsa.com

²CNRS, Mines Saint-Étienne, leriche@emse.fr

³MIAT, Université de Toulouse, INRA, victor.picheny@inra.fr

⁴Groupe PSA, benoit.enaux@mpsa.com

⁵Groupe PSA, vincent.herbert@mpsa.com

Key words: Gaussian Processes, Parsimonious Optimization, Computer Experiments, Preference-Based Optimization

Multi-objective optimization aims at finding the Pareto set composed of all the best tradeoff solutions between several objectives. When dealing with expensive-to-evaluate black box functions, surrogate-based approaches, in the vein of EGO [1] have proven their effectiveness.

However, for extremely narrow budgets, and/or when the number of objectives is large, uncovering the entire Pareto set becomes out of reach even for these approaches. In this work, we restrict our search to well-chosen targeted parts of the Pareto set. This accelerates the search as only a subset of the objective space is considered. As an end-user would typically choose solutions with equilibrated trade-offs between objectives rather than ones favoring a single objective over the others, we will focus on the central part of the Pareto Front, which corresponds to the most well-balanced solutions.

First, we discuss how to define and estimate the center of the Pareto Front. That estimated point has to fairly represent the topology of the front, in spite of the parsimonious knowledge of the objective space. Then, three infill criteria which will guide the optimization, including the *Expected Hypervolume Improvement* [2] are studied and tailored through some of their hyperparameters to enable them to target.

To assess performance, a benchmark built from real-world airfoil aerodynamic data, with variable dimension and number of objectives is used. Compared with standard techniques, the proposed methodology leads to a faster and a more precise convergence towards the Pareto Front in the targeted region.

References

- [1] Jones, D. R., Schonlau, M., & Welch, W. J. (1998). Efficient global optimization of expensive black-box functions. Journal of Global optimization, 13(4), 455-492
- [2] Emmerich, M. T., Giannakoglou, K. C., & Naujoks, B. (2006). Single-and multiobjective evolutionary optimization assisted by gaussian random field metamodels. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 10(4), 421-439.