

A conceptual model for groundwater circulation using isotopes and geochemical tracers coupled with hydrodynamics: A case study of the Lez karst system, France

C. Bicalho, C. Batiot-Guilhe, J.D. Taupin, N. Patris, S. van Exter, H. Jourde

▶ To cite this version:

C. Bicalho, C. Batiot-Guilhe, J.D. Taupin, N. Patris, S. van Exter, et al.. A conceptual model for groundwater circulation using isotopes and geochemical tracers coupled with hydrodynamics: A case study of the Lez karst system, France. Chemical Geology, 2017, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.08.014. hal-01883170

HAL Id: hal-01883170 https://hal.science/hal-01883170v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

A conceptual model for groundwater circulation using isotopes and geochemical tracers coupled with hydrodynamics: a case study of the Lez karst system, France

4 5 Bicalho C.C.*, Batiot-Guilhe C., Taupin J. D., Patris N., Van Exter S., Jourde H. 6 7 HydroSciences Montpellier, UMR 5569, CNRS, UM, IRD, Université de Montpellier, CC 57, 163 rue 8 Auguste Broussonnet, F-34090 MONTPELLIER, France 9 **Corresponding author. E-mail: ccbicalho@gmail.com* 10 11 Abstract 12 13 Geochemical and isotopic tracers (δ^{18} O, δ^{2} H, 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and δ^{13} C_{TDIC}) were used to constrain origins and 14

15 chemical evolution of groundwater in a Mediterranean karst system. The Lez spring is the main perennial 16 outlet of this karst system and supplies the metropolitan area of Montpellier (southern France) with drinking 17 water. Groundwater samples were collected at the Lez spring and surrounding springs and wells under 18 different hydrodynamic conditions during two hydrological years, from June 2008 until May 2010. The 19 results show that multiple hydrological compartments interact through an important network of fractures and 20 faults. They notably reveal connections between the main Jurassic limestone aquifer and the overlying 21 Cretaceous (Valanginian) compartment, and between the surface and deep levels of the karst system. 22 Isotopic tracers provided information about atmospheric recharge origins, lithological signatures and 23 chemical evolution of waters.Long residence-time groundwaters, issued from deep layers have a Triassic 24 hydrochemical fingerprinting, being enriched in $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ and characterized by high concentrations in Cl⁻ as 25 well as high Sr/Ca, Mg/Ca and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios. Evidences suggest that these waters mix with waters from the 26 lower layers of the main Jurassic aquifer constitute an intermediate storage compartment prone to rise 27 through piston-flow mechanism. A two-end member hydrograph separation based on EC-TDS was used to 28 determine the proportion of the deep compartment's contribution to the Lez spring outflow. On average over 29 the study period, the main aquifer compartment and the deep aquifer compartment are estimated to 30 contribute 92.6% and 7.4% of groundwater flow at the Lez spring, respectively.

- 31
- 32 33

Key words: hydrogeology, karst, hydrochemistry, natural tracing, isotopes, hydrograph separation

35

36

1 - Introduction

37 Investigations on karst systems aim at establishing water origins (Bouchaou, Michelot, Qurtobi, Zine, 38 Gave, Aggarwal, Marah, Zerouali, Taleb and Vengosh, 2009; Desmarais and Rojstaczer, 2002; Wong, 39 Mahler, Musgrove and Banner, 2012), evaluating quantitatively and gualitatively water resources and 40 understanding karsts vulnerability to contamination (Charlier, Bertrand and Mudry, 2012; Massei, Mahler, 41 Bakalowicz, Fournier and Dupont, 2007; Mendizabal and Stuyfzand, 2010). Geochemical and isotopic 42 properties of groundwaters in karst systems reveal water-rock interactions and water mixing processes, 43 occurring along flowpaths in the aquifer (Barbieri, Boschetti, Petitta and Tallini, 2005; Celle-Jeanton, Travi 44 and Blavoux, 2001; Frondini, 2008; Millot, Petelet-Giraud, Guerrot and Négrel, 2010) and give information 45 about transit time and origin of groundwater flow. The monitoring of physicochemical and chemical 46 parameters provides insightful information about the reactivity and vulnerability of the aquifer to pollution 47 and transfer processes. Temporal variations observed in groundwater chemistry are usually related to the 48 physical characteristics and carbonate permeability of the aquifer, and help to identify hydrodynamic 49 behaviour (Geyer, Birk, Liedl and Sauter, 2008; Kilroy and Coxon, 2005; Wittenberg, Kutiel, Greenbaum 50 and Inbar, 2007). Coupling hydrodynamics and hydrochemistry by using mixing equations allows assessing 51 solute transport for conservative compounds (Aquilina, Ladouche and Dörfliger, 2006; Batiot, 2002; Beven, 52 2001; Drever, 1982; Emblanch, Zuppi, Mudry, Blavoux and Batiot, 2003; Garry, 2007; Hartmann, Weiler, 53 Wagener, Lange, Kralik, Humer, Mizyed, Rimmer, Barberá, Andreo, Butscher and Huggenberger, 2013; 54 Katz, Catches, Bullen and Michel, 1998; Ladouche, Probst, Viville, Idir, Baqué, Loubet, Probst and Bariac, 55 2001; Long and Putnam, 2004; Petelet-Giraud and Negrel, 2007; Ribolzi, Moussa, Gaudu, Vallès and Voltz, 56 1997; Wang, Guo, Su and Ma, 2006). This approach is often used to assess the multiple end-members 57 participation in water flow by using natural tracers. Mixing models based on mass conservation describe 58 water contributions using isotopic or chemical tracers from rainwater, pre-event water and flow hydrograph.

The Lez Spring, one of the major karst springs in France, supplies water to the metropolitan area of Montpellier, France, since the 19th century. Former studies have shown this karst system to be a complex and heterogeneous system in terms of structure and functioning (Fleury, Ladouche, Conroux, Jourde and Dörfliger, 2009; Joseph, Rodier, Soulte, Sinegre, Baylet and Deltour, 1988; Karam, 1989; Marjolet and Salado, 1976; Thierry and Bérard, 1983). Since 2008, the Lez Spring has been continuously monitored. Recent observations showed that the Lez spring flow can be described with different water types resulting 65 from a mixing of various end-members: recently infiltrated waters; main aquifer compartment (mainly 66 Jurassic limestone), and a deep aquifer compartment (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter and 67 Jourde, 2012). According to hydrological conditions, each compartment contributes in various proportions to 68 the Lez spring flow. In particular, (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter and Jourde, 2012) 69 showed that waters with high TDS (Total Dissolved Solids) flow as a response to extreme hydrological 70 conditions in relationship with intense rainfall over the watershed. This phenomenon has often been observed 71 and reported by several works undertaken on karst terrains (Blavoux, Gilli and Rousset, 2004; Desmarais and 72 Rojstaczer, 2002; Emblanch, Blavoux, Puig and Couren, 1998; Grobe and Machel, 2002; López-Chicano, 73 Bouamama, Vallejos and Pulido-Bosch, 2001; Rosenthal, Zilberbrand and Livshitz, 2007). The deep 74 karstification observed in some Mediterranean karst systems (e.g. the Lez and the Vaucluse karst systems) is 75 generally put forth to explain the existence of long residence-time and relatively high TDS concentration 76 waters flowing at the springs, especially after intense rainfalls. This specificity adds more complexity to karst 77 systems situated in this context whereas other karst systems, that did not undergo a deep karstification 78 process, are commonly crossed by waters in a rapid flow, i.e. with low residence times.

The present paper aims to i) understand the behaviour of the whole karst system together with the functioning of the various springs by using supplementary tracers, such as stable isotopes of water ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$), strontium isotopes (${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$) and Total Dissolved Inorganic Carbon isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$), ii) assess both the origin and dynamics of groundwater within the different compartments of the system, iii) deepen the comprehension of groundwaters chemical evolution over the hydrological cycle, and iv) accomplish a preliminary hydrograph separation in order to estimate the proportions of deep water outflowing at the Lez spring.

86

87 2 - Study Area 88 2.1 - Geology

The Lez Spring (43.718°N, 3.844°E), located 15 km north of Montpellier and 28 km from the Mediterranean Sea, is the main perennial outlet of the Lez karst system, with maximum groundwater discharge around 15 m³/s, and a mean annual discharge of 2.0 m³/s (1963-2008, (Jourde, Batiot-Guilhe, Bailly-Comte, Bicalho, Blanc, Borrell, Bouvier, Boyer, Brunet, Cousteau, Dieulin, Gayrard, Guinot, Hernandez, Kong, Siou, Johannet, Leonardi, Mazzilli, Marchand, Patris, Pistre, Seidel, Taupin and Van-

94 Exter, 2011)). The Lez karst system also discharges at intermittent springs (Lirou, Restinclières, Fleurettes, 95 and Gour Noir springs) (Fig. 1). Restinclières, Fleurettes and Gour Noir used to be perennial springs before 96 1962, when pumping within the Lez spring started to supply Montpellier and its metropolitan region with 97 drinking water. The hydrogeological basin of the Lez spring is located between the Hérault and Vidourle 98 river valleys and covers about 380 km² (Thierry and Bérard, 1983), while the recharge catchment through the 99 Jurassic limestone outcrops located by the western and north-eastern limits of the basin is only about 188 100 km² (Leonardi, Jourde, Dausse, N.Dörfliger, Brunet and Maréchal, 2013). Indeed, most of the 101 hydrogeological basin is confined by low-permeability aquitards (Marjolet and Salado, 1976). Local 102 recharge also occurs through fractures and sinkholes within the basin, especially through the major fault of 103 Corconne-Les Matelles (Fig. 1) located in the northern part of the basin (Dubois, 1964).

The lithology of the Lez karst system corresponds to massive limestone of the Upper Jurassic (Kimmeridgian) and Early Cretaceous (Beriasian) with a 650 to 1100 m thickness (Fig. 2). The marls and marly-limestones of the Middle Jurassic (Callovidian-Oxfordian) constitute the lower boundary of the aquifer. The marls and marly-limestones of the Early Cretaceous (Lower Valanginian) constitute the upper boundary of the aquifer, causing low permeability and a partly confined system. The major tectonic events that influenced the Lez aquifer were the Hercynian/Variscan orogeny, the Pyrenees formation, and the opening of the Lion Gulf (Bousquet, 1997).

A perched aquifer located within the Upper Valanginian layer (Fig. 2,) overlies the Lez aquifer (Pane-Escribe, 1995). The communication of this perched aquifer with the Jurassic layer is very limited due to the low permeability of the marls that compose it. However, point-source infiltration occurs from the Valanginian aquifer towards the Lez aquifer (Boinet, 2002). Indeed, groundwater outflowing from springs that drain the Valanginian aquifer (Lauret, Dolgue, and Lavabre springs) locally recharge the Lez karst system along the *Corconne-Les Matelles* fault (Boinet, 2002).

The Lez karst system was described by Avias (1992) as a Vaucluse-type system deeply developed below the present-day spring level. This results from an intense karstification (i.e. conduit enlargement caused by low-acidified water weathering over limestones) that reached several hundred meters during the Messinian Salinity Crisis- from 5.96 to 5.33 Ma (Celle-Jeanton, Travi and Blavoux, 2001; Cita and Ryan, 1978; Clauzon, 1982; Joseph, Rodier, Soulte, Sinegre, Baylet and Deltour, 1988; Ryan, 1976). The karstification network is mainly oriented along NS and EW directions in zones where tectonic deformation is weak and NE-SW near major tectonic changes (Leonardi, Jourde, Dausse, N.Dörfliger, Brunet and Maréchal,
2013). The Lez system is highly karstified, but the presence of impermeable Pliocene marine and continental
sediments preserved it from seawater contamination (Bakalowicz, 2005; Fleury, 2005; Fleury, Bakalowicz
and de Marsily, 2007).

127

2.2 - Rainfall

128 The precipitation data used in this study come from three Méteo France meteorological stations, 129 (Valflaunès, Saint-Martin-de-Londres, and Prades) all at a distance lower than 12km from the Lez spring 130 (Fig. 1). The hydrological year begins in September, after 2 to 3 months of relative dryness. The wet season 131 (high stage) lasts from September to May and the dry season (low stage) from June to August. Over the last 132 40 years, the average annual rainfall calculated at the Valflaunes station was 942 mm with a minimum of 474 133 mm (year 1985) and a maximum value of 1,620 mm (year 1972). During the study period, the annual 134 rainfalls were 849 mm for 2007-2008, 1,266 mm for 2008-2009 and 666 mm for 2009-2010. For the 1970-135 2010 period, the intra-annual rainfall distribution was: 37% in autumn, 27% in winter, 22% in spring, and 136 13% in summer.

- 137
- 138 139

140

141

3 - Materials and Methods

3.1 - Continuous monitoring and sampling

Samples were collected at the Lez spring for chemical and isotopic analysis twice a month, with daily sampling during high discharge events from June 2008 to May 2010. At Lirou, Restinclières, and Fleurettes springs, sampling was carried out during the wet season only, from September to May. An automatic sampler with 24 bottles (11 acid-cleaned polyethylene bottles) was used for water sampling at the Lez spring during high flows.

Additional samples were collected during low stage period from: 1) adjacent systems that are potentially connected to the Lez karst system, including Fontbonne and Sauve springs (Fig. 1), Valanginian springs and wells, including Boinet, Olivier, and Lavabre wells but also Lauret, Lavabre, and Dolgue springs, and 3) wells within the Lez spring hydrogeological basin (referred to as Lez KS wells): Fontanes, Laudou, Bois des Roziers, and Gour Noir (Fig. 1). Temperature (T), pH, and Electrical Conductivity (EC $T_{ref}=25^{\circ}C$) were measured in the field using a pH meter and conductivity meter (WTW 330 i) on each sample. Continuous data were obtained from automatic measurement. Temperature, turbidity, EC, and Groundwater Level (GW level) were measured at an hourly time step at the Lez Spring with an automatic data logger (CTD diver, SDEC) and hereafter referred to as Lez Well. Temperature, EC, and groundwater level measurements were performed at an hourly time step at the Lez Spring spillway and Lirou Spring (CTD diver, SDEC).

Rain waters were regularly sampled (monthly to bi-monthly) for water isotopes analysis from an underground tank connected to raingauges at Viols-le-Fort and Sauteyrargues stations (Fig. 1) between May 2009 and May 2010. To complete the lack of rainwater isotopic data at the beginning of the study period, the monthly average rainfall isotopic composition at the Montpellier raingauge, located 9 km downstream the Lez spring and sampled daily over the whole study period, was used as a reference for the input signal. The interpretation took into account the limitations related to the observed differences in the rainfall isotopic composition between Montpellier and the karst system recharge area.

49 samples were collected for $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ measurement on dissolved carbonate at the following springs: 32 at the Lez spring, 8 at the Lirou spring, 6 at the Restinclières spring and 3 at Fleurettes spring. Water samples were collected in glass bottles and quickly sealed on the field with gas tight rubber/teflon plugs. Three $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ measurements from soil covers were carried out in April 2010 over the Lez spring catchment in order to characterize the main vegetal and pedogenic covers: (1) vineyards developed on Quaternary deposits (2) scrublands over Jurassic limestones and (3) olive grove developed on Tertiary deposits.

171

172 173

3.2- Analytical methods

Total alkalinity was measured at HydroSciences Montpellier (HSM) laboratory, by acid titration with HCl 0,01N. Major ions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺) were analysed by ionic chromatography (DIONEX ICS 1000) on filtered samples (0.22 μ m) with an analytical accuracy of 5%, after an acidification with 1‰ suprapur HNO₃ for cations. Trace elements (Li, B, Al, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Cd, Ba, Pb, and U) were analysed using Q-ICPMS X series II Thermo Fisher at the AETE (Analyse des Eléments en Trace dans l'Environnement) technical platform of University of Montpellier on filtered and acidified samples (0.22 μ m, 1‰ suprapur HNO₃), with a greater than 8% accuracy. Samples with ion balance 181 error greater than 5% were excluded from the dataset. Samples for Total Organic Carbon (TOC) were 182 collected in dark glass bottles previously combusted for 6 h at 550°C, acidified with 1‰ H₃PO₄ and analyzed 183 with catalytically aided platinum 680 °C combustion technique (Shimadzu VCSH).

184 Isotopic compositions of water carbonate $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ and soil $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ samples were analysed at *Université* 185 *d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse* (UAPV) Hydrogeology Laboratory on a Finnigan Mat Delta S mass 186 spectrometer after acid digestion of the dry precipitate of carbonate. Isotopic carbonate values are reported 187 relative to the V-PDB scale, with an overall uncertainty of ±0.05‰.

Water stable isotopes (δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H) were analysed at the LAMA mass spectrometry laboratory of HSM on an Isoprime mass spectrometer. δ^{18} O was measured with the classical CO₂ equilibration method, with an overall uncertainty of ±0.1‰. δ D was measured in continuous-flow mode with a Eurovector Pyr-OH analyser converting H₂O to H₂ on Cr at 1070°C, with an overall uncertainty of ±0.8‰. All isotopic water values are reported in this paper relative to the V-SMOW scale.

Strontium isotopic composition was measured on 16 samples collected across the whole system. Strontium isotopic composition was measured on 16 samples collected across the whole system. MAT 262 mass spectrometer. Sr²⁺ was separated using a cation exchange column (Dowex AG50X8) with HCl₂N as eluent. All measured ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios were normalized to ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr=0.1194. Initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios were calculated using ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr ratios as determined by the isotope dilution method (Petelet, Luck, Ben Othman, Negrel and Aquilina, 1998). High-precision ⁸⁴Sr and ⁸⁷Rb spikes gave maximum errors of 2%.

- 199
- 200 **4 Resu**
- 201

4 - Results and Discussion

The Lez spring flow is composed of different water types resulting from a mixing of various hydrologic end-members. These water types were described by Caetano Bicalho et al. (2012) using a specific terminology that is applied in the present text and graphs: 1) "High waters" discharge during high water stages and are associated with high groundwater levels and EC oscillations; 2) "Low waters" discharge during low stage periods and are associated with low groundwater levels and high, stable EC; 3) "Dropping waters" discharge during the transition between the high and low stages and are associated with a decrease in groundwater level and an increase in EC. Extreme values of high or low EC were respectively referred to as 209 4)"Piston-flow waters" (EC>780 μS/cm) and 5)"Dilution waters" (EC< 600 μS/cm) (Caetano Bicalho,
210 Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter and Jourde, 2012).

211 212

4.1 - Hydrochemistry and water isotopes

The complete dataset collected from the karst springs is presented in Table 1 and Table 2. Table 3 presents the average values and range of variations for the dataset.

215 EC indicates generally higher TDS in groundwater at the Lez spring than at other springs: the mean EC measured during both the fall and spring seasons was 711 µS.cm⁻¹ at Lez spring, 661 µS.cm⁻¹ at 216 217 Restinclières spring, 655 µS.cm⁻¹ at Fleurettes spring and 581 µS.cm⁻¹ at Lirou spring. During the same 218 period, Restinclières and Fleurettes springs generally presented higher values of temperature than the Lez 219 spring (average temperatures of 17.0, 16.0 and 15.7°C, respectively) (Table 2). Restinclières and Fleurettes 220 springs had a very similar geochemistry mostly associated with the presence of limestones (Chamayou and Auroux, 1992). Lirou waters had the lowest TDS (516 mg.l⁻¹ in average) and temperature (mean value of 221 222 14.3°C), which indicates a large participation of recent infiltration waters, suggesting rapid groundwater 223 circulation. Hydrochemical facies of Lez (Table 1) and Lirou springs (Table 2) indicate different 224 groundwater flowpaths for these springs, despite their geographical proximity. The Lirou spring drains a 225 karst catchment characterized with fast infiltration and rapid groundwater transfers; it has a carbonated 226 facies, i.e., concentrations are high in bicarbonates and low in Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, Mg²⁺ and trace elements. Peaks of 227 TOC concentration confirm rapid infiltration and a potential high vulnerability to superficial contamination.

The water isotopic input signal was calculated from three raingauges located at Sauteyrargues, Viols le Fort and Montpellier (Table 4). In the δ^2 H vs. δ^{18} O diagram (Fig. 3), rainwater showed a wide range of composition, mostly distributed between the Global Meteoric World Line (GMWL) and the Mediterranean Meteoric World Line (MMWL). Likewise, most groundwater samples lied about these lines, indicating that they originated as meteoric recharge (Grobe and Machel, 2002; McIntosh and Walter, 2006).

The local meteoric water line was calculated from 22 rainwater samples collected during a hydrological year at the Lez basin raingauges (Sauteyrargues and Viols-le-Fort) by using linear least squares, and was $\delta D= 7.3 * \delta^{18}O + 7.8\%_{o}$. The relatively low slope (7.3) and y-intercept (+7.8\%_{o}) observed, suggest that partial evaporation of raindrops may have a measurable influence on the isotopic input signature over the area (Ladouche, Luc and Dörfliger, 2009). In order to consider only the air masses origins and condensation processes that control the isotopic composition of meteoric water, and excluding fractionation linked with evaporation of water during the raindrops fall to the ground, nine evaporated rainwater samples (identified with low deuterium excess d<+8‰, with d = δ^2 H - 8 × δ^{18} O) were removed from the previous dataset. This allowed the determination of a Local Meteoric Line (LML) of non-evaporated rainwaters: δ D= 7.5* δ^{18} O+12.5‰. The y-intercept of this LML is +12.5‰, indicating that rainwaters in the Lez basin typically resulted from vapour transports temporally alternated from Mediterranean (d>12‰) and Atlantic origins (d=10‰) (Celle-Jeanton, Travi and Blavoux, 2001; Ladouche, Luc and Dörfliger, 2009).

The temporal variability of EC and δ^{18} O (Fig. 4) shows how 18 O isotopes varied during rainfall events 245 246 on the monitored springs with respect to the rainfall water entry (infiltration) signal. The δ^{18} O weighted 247 average of rainwater in the study period was about -6.2%, while for the Lez waters the average was -5.7%. 248 In general, the groundwater samples showed a much reduced variability in δ^{18} O when compared to rainwater 249 inputs (Fig. 4). The monthly rainwater means calculated for Montpellier station presented a relatively large 250 δ^{18} O amplitude (-9.0% to +0.6% over the period); on the other hand, seasonal isotopic variations were 251 strongly reduced at the springs, especially at the Lez and Restinclières springs, which showed a significant 252 attenuation of the signal variability, typically about 1.5% in amplitude.

253 Despite the dampening of the signal and a low variability, δ^{18} O varied as much as +1% during the first 254 high flows of autumn 2008 (zoomed δ^{18} O scale on Fig. 4). This variation is low but is still important enough 255 to suggest that rapid infiltration waters participated to the Lez spring discharge, considering the isotopically enriched rainwaters during the same period ($\delta^{18}O = -3.78\%$) for the corresponding 4-day long event at 256 Montpellier station). Restinclières and Fleurette springs also presented dampening of the δ^{18} O signal which 257 258 denotes a residence-time at least equal to the period of the input function, i.e. one year. This indicates the 259 existence of an important storage component and an efficient mixing of infiltrated waters with stored waters, 260 which suggests an important autogenic recharge through diffuse percolation of precipitation waters deposited 261 directly onto the karst landscape. Consequently, the seasonal behaviours and recharges are difficult to 262 identify solely from the springs characteristics (Barbieri, Boschetti, Petitta and Tallini, 2005; Long and 263 Putnam, 2004; Négrel and Petelet-Giraud, 2005).

264 Unlike the other springs of the system, the Lirou spring showed a remarkable δ^{18} O variability, 265 especially during the storms of October 2008 (Fig. 4) characterized by a relatively large rainfall amount (121 266 mm registered during the first day of a storm that lasted 3 days). This important rainfall input has triggered a 267 large infiltration and an immediate hydrologic response of the Lirou spring, where a marked groundwater EC decrease was observed. This behaviour illustrates the great reactivity of Lirou spring compared to the other springs, indicating that it is effectively under a comparatively stronger influence of recent rainfalls, and presents short residence times through a shallow groundwater flow-path within the limestone bedrock.

- 271
- 272

4.2 - Carbon isotopes

273 The use of $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ as a natural tracer in karst systems can help differentiating water originated from 274 the unsaturated zone from water sourced from the saturated zone (Emblanch, Zuppi, Mudry, Blavoux and 275 Batiot, 2003). In the unsaturated zone, the system behaves like an open system with regard to biogenic CO₂ 276 from the soil (Clark and Fritz, 1997; Desmarais and Rojstaczer, 2002; Emblanch, Zuppi, Mudry, Blavoux 277 and Batiot, 2003; Gillon, Barbecot, Gibert, Corcho Alvarado, Marlin and Massault, 2009; Gonfiantini and 278 Zuppi, 2003; Yoshimura, Nakao, Noto, Inokura, Urata, Chen and Lin, 2001). Soil CO₂ was thus investigated 279 under three different environments across the basin in April 2010. The mean results obtained for three 280 different vegetal and pedogenic covers tested over the Lez system were as follow: (1) vineyards developed 281 on Quaternary deposits: $\delta^{13}C_{CO2} = -22.28\%_{0}$; (2) scrublands over Jurassic limestones: $\delta^{13}C_{CO2} = -21.69\%_{0}$; and 282 (3) olive grove developed on Tertiary deposits: $\delta^{13}C_{CO2} = -20.42$ %. At each site, values presented little 283 variability compared to the differences observed between different covers. Former studies over the 284 Mediterranean karst basin of Vaucluse showed low seasonal variations on $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ in soil covers (Batiot, 285 2002) (Emblanch, Zuppi, Mudry, Blavoux and Batiot, 2003). Thus, the input $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ signal can be considered constant. The mean $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ value of -21.06 % was used as the local biogenic soil CO₂ value. 286

287 The Lez spring displayed remarkable variations in $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ values in the range -10.06% to -14.73% (Table 1). The most $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ -enriched waters, associated with high EC, corresponded to the fall periods of 288 289 October 2008 and October 2009 (Fig. 5). A slow and progressive $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ enrichment was observed during 290 the dry season, extending from the 2008-2009 winter until the first recharge event in 2009 (autumn). Higher $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ values indicate a comparatively larger contribution of groundwater marked with water-rock 291 292 interaction (δ^{13} Crockcarbonate=0% to - 2%) occurring in closed condition within the saturated zone. The most 293 negative values were observed during the middle of the wet season, where $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ as well as E.C. presented 294 a sudden decrease (Fig. 5). These low $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ values measured in groundwaters at the Lez spring suggests 295 flushing of infiltration waters.

The variability of $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ at the Lez spring supports the hypothesis that different compartments of the system contribute to the flow, with an enhanced participation of groundwater associated with higher waterrock interaction signature during the dry season, but also during the first flood events of the wet season. The contribution of freshly infiltrated waters to the Lez spring flow was observed to be more important during the entire humid season, especially after recharge events.

- 301
- 302

4.3 - Reactions controlling water chemistry

303 Isotopic ratios of C and Sr were coupled with water chemistry to characterize the chemical evolution of 304 waters, comparing the behaviour of the various springs to one another and the chemical variations during 305 dissimilar hydrological situations. The $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ ratios in groundwater are affected by carbonate dissolution 306 that is linked to the degree of openness to soil CO₂. The CO₂ gas/water isotopic exchange is a very quick 307 process. Consequently, if the chemical equilibrium within the aquifer is attained under open conditions 308 regarding the gaseous phase, the soil $\delta^{13}C_{CO2}$ determines the $\delta^{13}C$ of groundwater (Appelo and Postma, 2005). 309 If the system is closed to soil CO₂, TDIC can be derived up to about equal proportions from the dissolution 310 of $CO_2(g)$ and from the CaCO₃ weathering (Appelo and Postma, 2005).

Covariance of $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ with Mg/Ca and with Sr/Ca (expressed as molar ratios in Fig. 6) indicates an 311 312 evolution trend for the Lez system: the $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ became more enriched as Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca increased (Fig. 313 6). $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ was comparatively more enriched in the Lez system samples (except Lirou spring) than in the 314 Valanginian ones, with the exception of Lauret and Boinet wells which were closer to typical Lez waters. 315 Lirou generally showed $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ values more depleted than other samples across the Lez system, which 316 together with the high variability of δ^{18} O confirms the shorter water residence time, with a flowpath taking 317 place in the shallow aquifer where the influence of soil CO₂ is comparatively more important than limestone 318 dissolution. The coupling between $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ and elemental ratios (Fig. 6) reflects different processes or 319 origins for the groundwater outflowing at the Lez system springs on one hand and Valanginian springs on the 320 other hand. The concomitant increases of $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$, Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca observed at the Lez spring (particularly 321 well demonstrated during "Piston-Flow waters") suggest that groundwater possibly evolve via carbonate 322 minerals dissolution under closed system conditions, i.e. with limited influence from surface waters. This 323 reflects the relative isolation of the reservoir feeding Lez spring waters from shallow groundwater, and indicates longer residence times. On the other hand, the relatively higher Mg/Ca and higher Sr/Ca ratios observed in Valanginian waters are most likely due to the lithology of the drained compartments: the Cretaceous marls and marly-limestones are richer in Mg²⁺ than the Jurassic limestones, causing comparatively higher Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios without coincident $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ enrichment (Harrington, Herczeg and Le Gal La Salle, 2008; Moral, Cruz-Sanjulián and Olías, 2008; Stuart, Maurice, Heaton, Sapiano, Micallef Sultana, Gooddy and Chilton, 2010).

330 Among the various spring waters draining the Lez aquifer, the water described at the beginning of section 3 as "Piston-flow Water" (characterized by EC>780 µS/cm) at the Lez spring has the highest Sr²⁺ 331 332 concentration. Strontium concentrations are highly correlated with $[SO_4^{2-}]$, [B] and [Li] ($R^2 = 0.70$, 0.80 and 333 0.76, respectively). It also shows a good correlation with [Cl⁻], but only when peaks of [Cl⁻] concentrations 334 are observed, which indicates a common origin for both elements in this specific case. Several possible parameters can have a control on Sr^{2+} concentrations in waters, e.g. initial (atmospheric) inputs, mineralogy 335 336 along flowpaths, mineral dissolution characteristics or residence time. Moreover, Sr²⁺ concentration could be 337 influenced by multiple reactions, e.g. re-crystallization, incongruent dissolution or celestite precipitation 338 (Bernasconi, 1999; Tellam, 1995). Two possible mechanisms can be proposed to describe the chemical reactions controlling Sr²⁺ concentration in Lez spring groundwater with high TDS. (i) The dissolution of 339 340 dolomite promoting calcite precipitation led by evaporite salts dissolution, which enriches the fluids in both Sr²⁺ and Mg²⁺ with respect to Ca²⁺. Indeed, during the calcite recrystallization, Sr/Ca increases in 341 342 groundwater because the calcite lattice favours Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ over Sr²⁺ during the precipitation (Jacobson, 343 Blum and Walter, 2002; McIntosh and Walter, 2006; Négrel and Petelet-Giraud, 2005; Nisi, Buccianti, 344 Vaselli, Perini, Tassi, Minissale and Montegrossi, 2008; Stuart, Maurice, Heaton, Sapiano, Micallef Sultana, 345 Gooddy and Chilton, 2010). In this case, high Sr/Ca ratios indicate that waters have been extensively altered 346 by the incongruent dissolution of carbonate minerals and the dissolution of evaporites (Jacobson and 347 Wasserburg, 2005; McIntosh and Walter, 2006; Samborska and Halas, 2010). (ii) The evaporite dissolution may enrich fluids in Sr^{2+} because they contain more Sr^{2+} than calcite and dolomite (Grobe and Machel, 2002; 348 349 Jacobson and Wasserburg, 2005; McIntosh and Walter, 2006; Petelet, Luck, Ben Othman, Negrel and Aquilina, 1998; Wu, Xu, Yang, Yin and Tao, 2009). As Sr²⁺ concentrations increase, Mg/Ca ratios also 350 351 increase, suggesting progressive water-rock interactions (McIntosh and Walter, 2006).

352 Sr isotopes were used to test these hypotheses, focusing on the highly mineralised waters of the Lez 353 spring. The combined use of major elements, mineral saturation state, elemental ratios and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr help 354 identifying the reactions that control the evolution of the waters chemistry, such as incongruent dissolution 355 of dolomites and calcite precipitation (Jacobson and Wasserburg, 2005; Katz, Catches, Bullen and Michel, 356 1998; Kloppmann, Négrel, Casanova, Klinge, Schelkes and Guerrot, 2001; Nisi, Buccianti, Vaselli, Perini, 357 Tassi, Minissale and Montegrossi, 2008; Oetting, Banner and Sharp, 1996; Wang, Guo, Su and Ma, 2006).

358 The upper panel of Figure 7 represents ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr as a function of 1/[Sr]. The water samples from the 359 Valanginian aquifer are clustered around a straight line pointing at an isotopic signature of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr between 360 0.7073 and 0.7075 for high [Sr] values, representative of Cretaceous and Jurassic formations. A few samples, 361 notably the Lez water sample identified as "Piston-flow" (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter 362 and Jourde, 2012), and to a lower extent the Lirou and Lez Low Waters (Fig. 7), presented a relatively 363 enriched ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio with high to median Sr concentrations. This behaviour indicates either possible extra 364 sources of Strontium with different Sr isotope ratios along the flowpath, or chemical reactions involving Sr 365 addition or removal (Grobe and Machel, 2002).

Meteoric waters generally have high 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratios but low Sr concentrations, while carbonate rocks usually present low 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratio (Négrel and Petelet-Giraud, 2005; Oetting, Banner and Sharp, 1996). However, according to the groundwaters' chemical properties and on the basis of Sr concentrations, the meteoric water influence can be ruled out. Sr²⁺ sources are therefore mostly related to the dissolution of Srrich minerals and/or the chemical evolution of waters, leading Sr²⁺ concentration to high values.

371 The Lez water samples corresponding to "Piston Flow" and to "Low Waters" also presented the highest Cl⁻ concentrations together with the highest ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios observed in the Lez system. The most radiogenic 372 ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr was observed at the Sauve spring, at the northern limit of the Lez catchment (Fig. 1), which is 373 374 connected to an adjacent granitic system. This isotopic ratio in this case is probably associated to a granitic 375 bedrock fingerprinting, this lithology being present on the upstream part of the Sauve catchment, unlike in 376 the Lez basin. The lithology that could explain a common origin for high Cl⁻ and radiogenic ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr at the Lez spring corresponds to the Triassic bedrock: the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr evolution curve peaked during Triassic (with a 377 ratio ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ~ 0.708) and then continuously decreased from Late Triassic to Late Cretaceous rocks 378 379 (Koepnick, Denison, Burke, Hetherington and Dahl, 1990). Oetting et al. (1996) also found higher ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in waters from carbonate and evaporite aquifers, indicating a source of Sr²⁺ from underlying units. 380

381 These elements confirm the hypothesis presented by Caetano Bicalho et al. (2012) of a piston flow

382 mechanism involving a deep water compartment, especially active at the onset of the humid season.

- 383
- 384
- 385

4.4 - Hydrograph separation at the Lez spring

Mass balance calculations were accomplished in an initial attempt to quantify mixing proportions at the 386 387 Lez spring using a simple mixing model. The resolution of the end-member mixing, taking into account 388 multiple hydrological reservoirs and water types is beyond the scope of the present paper. The intention of 389 this mass balance calculation is to estimate the proportion of deep waters participating to the Lez outflow 390 during the whole study period. Calculations were thus performed (Eq. 1 to 5) assuming a simple mixture of 391 two end-members (deep and main aquifer compartments) that could be unambiguously identified by one 392 parameter: EC. The choice of EC as tracer is justified by both the good representativeness of this parameter 393 and the high frequency of the available data (continuous EC monitoring at the hourly time-step at the Lez 394 spring).

The two end-members considered are defined as follows. (1) The main aquifer compartment, roughly corresponding to a water bearing the mean characteristics of the high stage season ("High Waters" and "Dilution waters" as defined by Caetano Bicalho et al. (2012)), with EC = 650μ S/cm, corresponding to the baseline value of EC at the Lez spring during the wet season (Fig. 4). (2) The deep waters compartment, characterized by an EC value of 1,840 μ S/cm corresponding to that of the Bajocian underlying aquifer which was measured (16-day average, corrected for temperature) in the 1200 m deep Antigone borehole (productive levels between 843 and 953 m) in the city of Montpellier (Chamayou and Auroux, 1992).

- 402
- 403 The contributions are calculated following Eq. 1 to 4:
- 404

$$Q_{spring} EC_{spring} = Q_{deep} EC_{deep} + Q_{main.aquifer} EC_{main.aquifer}$$
(1)

$$x_{deep} + x_{main.aquifer} = 1 \tag{2}$$

406

407

$$EC_{spring} = EC_{Main.aquifer} \times (1 - x_{deep}) + EC_{deep} \times x_{deep}$$
(3)

$$x_{deep} = \frac{EC_{spring} - EC_{main.aquifer}}{EC_{deep} - EC_{main.aquifer}}$$
(4)

409 Where:

410 Q_{spring}, Q_{main.aquifer}, Q_{deep} : water discharge at the spring, main compartment discharge, and deep 411 compartment discharge at the spring;

412 EC_{spring}, EC_{main.aquifer}, EC_{deep} : electrical conductivity measured at the spring; main compartment and 413 deep compartment electrical conductivities as defined above;

X_{main}, X_{deep} : end members contributions to the water discharge at the spring.

- 414
- 415

416 Figure 8 presents the two end-member separation achieved by the application of the equations 1 to 4, 417 using the water discharge at the Lez spring and the EC measured, on a daily time-step, from the beginning of 418 January 2008 until the end of May 2010. The mean contribution to the outflow at the Lez Spring is estimated 419 to be 92.6% for the main aquifer compartment and 7.4% for the deep aquifer compartment. Winters are 420 characterised by a lower participation of the deep compartment to the Lez outflow, about 5.3% compared to 421 10% during summer and about 6.2 % during autumn and spring. During the low stage, the average 422 contribution of the deep compartment is remarkably stable. During the high stage this contribution is more 423 variable and can reach peak values of 21.8%, immediately followed by a steep decrease. On average though, 424 high-stage deep compartment contributions are proportionally lower (Fig. 8).

This hydrograph separation illustrates the temporal distribution of both end-members under a wide range of hydrological conditions, denoting their strong variability. The limitation of this calculation relies on the definition of the so-called deep compartment. The middle Jurassic layer taken as a reference point may result from a mixing between water circulating in Inf. Jurassic layers and a deeper flux which seems to rise through faults from deeper Triassic levels, carrying Cl-rich waters with higher TDS. This may constitute an intermediate storage compartment prone to react quickly through a piston-flow mechanism to a steep recharge.

- 432
- 433

4.5 - Conceptual model: Groundwater circulation within the Lez karst

- 434 system
- 435

The results obtained in this work improve the argumentation presented by Caetano Bicalho *et al.* (2012) who suggested that the Lez spring water contains a small proportion of groundwater issued from deep layers. Apparently, a shallow buffering zone (Fig. 9) allows the storage of rising waters from deep origin. The water from this zone mainly participates to the outflow at Lez spring during high recharge events.

Water stable isotopes indicated that the Lez system springs (Lez, Lirou, Restinclières and Fleurettes) have a common recharge origin. The Lirou spring is the most sensitive to shallow infiltration waters among the springs. Groundwater flowpaths of Lirou spring are basically located on Jurassic limestones and outflow in the contact zone with the Valanginian layer. Thus it can be proposed that Lirou groundwaters do not flow bellow the Valanginian overlying unit in accord with the results obtained from chemical tracers (lower Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios), water stable isotopes (high variability), ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio (lower values) and depleted $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$.

447 The hydrochemical characteristics of Restinclières and Fleurettes springs are very similar in terms of 448 EC, elemental ratios and $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$, and can be mostly related to interaction with limestones and marly-449 limestones from Upper Jurassic and Early Cretaceous, indicating groundwater circulation bellow the 450 Valanginian covering of the aquifer.

451 Lez, Restinclières and Fleurettes springs have rather similar properties during Lez spring high-flow 452 periods, i.e., when all springs discharge simultaneously. The low-flow Lez waters tend to get progressively 453 enriched in $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$. Indeed, at the beginning of high-flow periods, groundwater at the Lez spring are distinct 454 from all the other springs, presenting higher chemical evolution, marked by longer water-rock interaction and possibly the presence of an evaporitic signature in a low percentage, i.e. a depleted ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr Triassic 455 456 signature. Finally, Lez spring waters corresponding to the "Piston Flow" waters (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-457 Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter and Jourde, 2012) have a specific hydrochemical fingerprint (high $\delta^{13}C_{\text{TDIC}}$, Mg/Ca 458 and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios), which clearly differentiate them from Restinclières and Fleurettes spring waters.

The results obtained from the hydrograph separation allowed to estimate the temperature of the deep end-member. Using a mean deep-water contribution of 7.4% (±1%); and considering the temperature of the high TDS waters at the Lez spring (17.4°C) and the annual air temperature average for the study area (16.1°C, at Montpellier Collège F.Rabelais meteorological station) (Hérault, 2009), by application of Eq. (4) and replacing EC with T, we obtain a temperature of the deep waters equivalent to 33.7°C (±2.1°C). Considering the average geothermic gradient of 1.8°C/100m for our study zone (Lucazeau, 1979), we obtain 465 a characteristic depth of about 978 (\pm 115) meters. This estimate is consistent with the hypothesis presented 466 earlier of a storage compartment located within the mid-Jurassic calcareous formation, and acting as a buffer 467 zone between the deep compartment and the main aquifer.

468

469

470

5 - Conclusion

471 In the present study, elemental geochemical tracers coupled with isotopic ratios (δ^{18} O, δ^{2} H, 87 Sr/ 86 Sr 472 and $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$) were used to understand groundwater origins and hydrochemical evolution in the Lez Karst 473 system (southern France). Groundwater samples were collected from the Lez spring and surrounding springs 474 and wells under various hydrological conditions during two years (from June 2008 until May 2010).

Water stable isotopes evidenced that the Lirou intermittent spring flow is more responsive to freshly infiltrated waters than the other springs of the system, denoting as a consequence shorter groundwater residence times. For the other springs, on the contrary, important signal attenuation is observed, indicating the existence of a mixing of infiltrated waters with longer residence time groundwater stored in the aquifer.

479 The similar fingerprinting of water stable isotopes measured at the various springs (Lez, Lirou, 480 Restinclières and Fleurettes) indicates that their recharge has the same origin. However, the relative disparity 481 of their water chemistry indicates that recharge waters flow along distinct flowpaths. The hydrochemical 482 characteristics of Restinclières and Fleurettes springs are very similar to one another and can be mostly 483 attributed to interaction with limestones and marly-limestones from Upper Jurassic and Early Cretaceous, 484 indicating groundwater circulation bellow the Valanginian overlying unit. At the Lez spring, the high-TDS 485 groundwaters ("Piston-Flow waters" with EC >780µS.cm⁻¹) issued from deep layers have probably been 486 extensively modified by evaporite dissolution, calcite precipitation and incongruent dissolution of dolomite. 487 This phenomenon was evidenced by: (i) high Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios concomitant with an increase of $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$, possibly via calcite dissolution and incongruent dissolution of carbonate minerals under closed 488 489 system conditions driven by evaporitic minerals dissolution; (ii) high Cl⁻ concentration positively correlated 490 with [Sr²⁺] and presumably of evaporitic origin; (iii) high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio of the Cl-rich waters at the Lez 491 spring, suggesting a Triassic signature, and (iv) high Sr/Ca ratio, which can be explained by calcite recrystallization involving a continuous addition of Sr^{2+} induced by Ca^{2+} depletion in water solution. 492

493 A simple 2-end member hydrograph separation was used to determine the relative proportions of the 494 deep water compartment and the main aquifer to the Lez spring over the study period, using EC as tracer. The deep compartment end-member was characterized by an EC value of 1,840 μ S/cm, corresponding to that of the Bajocian underlying aquifer, measured in a 1200 m deep borehole in the city of Montpellier. The mean contribution to the Lez outflow was estimated to be 92.6% for the main aquifer compartment and 7.4% for the deep compartment. This analysis showed that the deep waters end-member as defined may result from a mixing between water circulating in Inf. Jurassic layer and a deep flux which seems to rise through faults from deeper Triassic levels, constituting an intermediate compartment prone to react through piston-flow mechanism to a strong recharge.

502 This approach combining hydrodynamics, hydrochemistry and isotope tracers on a continuous 2-year 503 record appears to be a very efficient tool for characterizing groundwater flow on karst systems, and is 504 potentially applicable to other complex karst systems with deep karstification. This work was efficient on 505 shedding some light on the Lez system behaviour which is an intrinsically complex Vauclusian karst system. 506 Differently from ordinary karst systems, long residence-times and deep water rising seem to be associated 507 with this aquifer; such information being invaluable to the exploitation management of the Lez spring. The 508 core of such a complexity is related to a multiplicity of compartments and lithologies that confer different 509 chemical properties to the groundwaters.

510 Finally, the use of isotopes allowed refining the interpretation of the hydrochemical dataset, furnishing 511 details about the chemical evolution of waters. This combination of methods seems to be efficient for 512 characterizing groundwater flow on karst systems and is potentially applicable to other complex systems 513 with deep karstification.

514

515 **6 - Acknowledgments**516

517 This work was performed within the framework of the MEDYCYSS observation site, part of the 518 KARST observatory network (www.sokarst.org) initiative from the INSU/CNRS, which aims to strengthen 519 knowledge-sharing and promote cross-disciplinary research on karst systems. It was financed by a PhD 520 scholarship from *CNPq*, National Council of Technological and Scientific Development, of the Science and 521 Technology Ministry of Brazil. Analyses and samplings were financed by HydroSciences Montpellier, IFR 522 ILEE, Montpellier town Council and OSU OREME. Special thanks to the technical staff of AETE analytical 523 platform and the Hydrogeology Laboratory of Avignon, for the analytical work support.

525 **References**

- Appelo, C.A.J., Postma, D., 2005. Geochemistry, Groundwater and Pollution. A.A. Balkema
 Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands., 649p. pp.
- Aquilina, L., Ladouche, B., Dörfliger, N., 2006. Water storage and transfer in the epikarst of karstic
 systems during high flow periods. Journal of Hydrology, 327(3-4): 472-485.
- Bakalowicz, M., 2005. Karst groundwater: a challenge for new resources. Hydrogeol J 13: 148–
 160.
- Barbieri, M., Boschetti, T., Petitta, M., Tallini, M., 2005. Stable isotope (2H, 18O and 87Sr/86Sr)
 and hydrochemistry monitoring for groundwater hydrodynamics analysis in a karst aquifer
 (Gran Sasso, Central Italy). Applied Geochemistry, 20(11): 2063-2081.
- Batiot, C., 2002. Etude experimentale du cycle du carbone en régions karstiques, Université
 d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, Avignon, 247 pp.
- Bernasconi, S.M., 1999. Interstitial water chemistry in the western Mediterranean: results from leg
 161. Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, 161: 426-432.
- 540 Beven, K.J., 2001. Rainfall-Runoff Modelling: the Primer. Chichester, Wiley.
- 541 Blavoux, B., Gilli, É., Rousset, C., 2004. Alimentation et origine de la salinité de la source sous542 marine de Port-Miou (Marseille-Cassis). Principale émergence d'un réseau karstique hérité
 543 du Messinien. Comptes Rendus Geosciences, 336(6): 523-533.
- Boinet, N., 2002. Inventaire spéléologique du causse de l'Hortus Livre I, Club Loisir Plein Air,
 Montpellier.
- Bouchaou, L., Michelot, J.L., Qurtobi, M., Zine, N., Gaye, C.B., Aggarwal, P.K., Marah, H.,
 Zerouali, A., Taleb, H., Vengosh, A., 2009. Origin and residence time of groundwater in the
 Tadla basin (Morocco) using multiple isotopic and geochemical tools. Journal of Hydrology,
 379(3-4): 323-338.
- 550 Bousquet, J.C., 1997. Géologie du Languedoc-Roussillon, Montpellier, France.
- Caetano Bicalho, C., Batiot-Guilhe, C., Seidel, J.L., Van Exter, S., Jourde, H., 2012. Geochemical
 evidence of water source characterization and hydrodynamic responses in a karst aquifer.
 Journal of Hydrology, 450–451(0): 206-218.
- Celle-Jeanton, H., Travi, Y., Blavoux, B., 2001. Isotopic typology of the precipitation in the
 Western Mediterranean Region at three different time scales. Geophysical Research Letters,
 28(7): 1215-1218.
- Chamayou, J., Auroux, F., 1992. Les thermes du Lez Port Marianne Source Antigone. Gisement
 hydrominéral de la "source Antigone" dans son contexte hydrogéologique et structural.
 BRGM, Montpellier, pp. 25.
- Charlier, J.-B., Bertrand, C., Mudry, J., 2012. Conceptual hydrogeological model of flow and
 transport of dissolved organic carbon in a small Jura karst system. Journal of Hydrology,
 460–461(0): 52-64.
- 563 Cita, M.B., Ryan, W.B.F., 1978. Messinian erosional surfaces in the Mediterranean. Marine
 564 Geology, 27: 193-366.
- 565 Clark, I.D., Fritz, P., 1997. Environmental isotopes in hydrogeology, New York, p. 328 pp.
- 566 Clauzon, G., 1982. Le canyon messinien du Rhône: une preuve décisive du desiccated deep-basin
 567 model (Hsü, Cita and Ryan, 1973). Bulletin Society géol France, 24: 597–610.
- Desmarais, K., Rojstaczer, S., 2002. Inferring source waters from measurements of carbonate spring
 response to storms. Journal of Hydrology, 260(1-4): 118-134.
- 570 Drever, J., 1982. Geochemistry of Natural Waters Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs New
 571 Jersey, 388p pp.
- 572 Dubois, P., 1964. Les circulations souterraines dans les calcaires de la région de Montpellier. 2,
 573 BRGM, Montpellier.

- 574 Emblanch, C., Blavoux, B., Puig, J.-M., Couren, M., 1998. Le marquage de la zone non saturée du karst à l'aide du carbone 13The use of carbon 13 as a tracer of the karst unsaturated zone.
 576 Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Series IIA Earth and Planetary Science, 326(5): 327-332.
- 578 Emblanch, C., Zuppi, G.M., Mudry, J., Blavoux, B., Batiot, C., 2003. Carbon 13 of TDIC to
 579 quantify the role of the unsaturated zone: the example of the Vaucluse karst systems
 580 (Southeastern France). Journal of Hydrology, 279(1-4): 262-274.
- Fleury, P., 2005. Sources sous-marines et aquifères côtiers méditerranéens. Fonctionnement et caractérisation, Université Paris VI P. et M. Curie, Montpellier, 286 pp.
- Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M., de Marsily, G., 2007. Submarine springs and coastal karst aquifers: A
 review. Journal of Hydrology, 339(1-2): 79-92.
- Fleury, P., Ladouche, B., Conroux, Y., Jourde, H., Dörfliger, N., 2009. Modelling the hydrologic
 functions of a karst aquifer under active water management The Lez spring. Journal of
 Hydrology, 365(3-4): 235-243.
- Frondini, F., 2008. Geochemistry of regional aquifer systems hosted by carbonate-evaporite
 formations in Umbria and southern Tuscany (central Italy). Applied Geochemistry, 23(8):
 2091-2104.
- Garry, B., 2007. Etude des processus d'écoulement de la zone non saturée pour la modélisation des
 acquières karstiques Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, Avignon, 204 pp.
- Geyer, T., Birk, S., Liedl, R., Sauter, M., 2008. Quantification of temporal distribution of recharge
 in karst systems from spring hydrographs. Journal of Hydrology, 348(3-4): 452-463.
- Gillon, M., Barbecot, F., Gibert, E., Corcho Alvarado, J.A., Marlin, C., Massault, M., 2009. Open to
 closed system transition traced through the TDIC isotopic signature at the aquifer recharge
 stage, implications for groundwater 14C dating. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73(21):
 6488-6501.
- Gonfiantini, R., Zuppi, G.M., 2003. Carbon isotope exchange rate of DIC in karst groundwater.
 Chemical Geology, 197(1-4): 319-336.
- Grobe, M., Machel, H.G., 2002. Saline groundwater in the Münsterland Cretaceous Basin,
 Germany: clues to its origin and evolution. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 19(3): 307-322.
- Harrington, N.M., Herczeg, A.L., Le Gal La Salle, C., 2008. Hydrological and geochemical
 processes controlling variations in Na+-Mg2+-Cl--SO42- groundwater brines, south-eastern
 Australia. Chemical Geology, 251(1-4): 8-19.
- Hartmann, A., Weiler, M., Wagener, T., Lange, J., Kralik, M., Humer, F., Mizyed, N., Rimmer, A.,
 Barberá, J.A., Andreo, B., Butscher, C., Huggenberger, P., 2013. Process-based karst
 modelling to relate hydrodynamic and hydrochemical characteristics to system properties.
 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17(8): 3305-3321.
- 610 Hérault, D., 2009. La station météorologique MONTPELLIER Collège F.RABELAIS.
 611 http://www.m2ing.com/meteo/montpellier/alco.htm.
- Jacobson, A.D., Blum, J.D., Walter, L.M., 2002. Reconciling the elemental and Sr isotope
 composition of Himalayan weathering fluxes: insights from the carbonate geochemistry of
 stream waters. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66(19): 3417-3429.
- Jacobson, A.D., Wasserburg, G.J., 2005. Anhydrite and the Sr isotope evolution of groundwater in a
 carbonate aquifer. Chemical Geology, 214(3-4): 331-350.
- Joseph, C., Rodier, C., Soulte, M., Sinegre, F., Baylet, R., Deltour, P., 1988. Approche des transferts de pollution bactérienne dans une crue karstique par l'étude des paramètres physico-chimiques. Revue des sciences de l'eau, 1-2: 73-106.
- Jourde, H., Batiot-Guilhe, C., Bailly-Comte, V., Bicalho, C., Blanc, M., Borrell, V., Bouvier, C.,
 Boyer, J.F., Brunet, P., Cousteau, M., Dieulin, C., Gayrard, E., Guinot, V., Hernandez, F.,
 Kong, L., Siou, A., Johannet, A., Leonardi, V., Mazzilli, N., Marchand, P., Patris, N., Pistre,
 S., Seidel, J.L., Taupin, J.D., Van-Exter, S., 2011. The MEDYCYSS observatory, a Multi
 scalE observatory of flooD dYnamiCs and hYdrodynamicS in karSt (Mediterranean border

- 625 Southern France) Lambrakis, Nicolaos; Stournaras, George; Katsanou, Konstantina, pp. 626 p551-560.
- Karam, Y., 1989. Essais de modélisation des écoulements dans un aquifère karstique. Exemple de la
 source du Lez (Hérault, France). Université Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc,
 Montpellier, 286 pp.
- Katz, B.G., Catches, J.S., Bullen, T.D., Michel, R.L., 1998. Changes in the isotopic and chemical
 composition of ground water resulting from a recharge pulse from a sinking stream. Journal
 of Hydrology, 211(1-4): 178-207.
- Kilroy, G., Coxon, C., 2005. Temporal variability of phosphorus fractions in Irish karst springs.
 Environmental Geology, 47: 421–430.
- Kloppmann, W., Négrel, P., Casanova, J., Klinge, H., Schelkes, K., Guerrot, C., 2001. Halite
 dissolution derived brines in the vicinity of a Permian salt dome (N German Basin).
 Evidence from boron, strontium, oxygen, and hydrogen isotopes. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta, 65(22): 4087-4101.
- Koepnick, R.B., Denison, R.E., Burke, W.H., Hetherington, E.A., Dahl, D.A., 1990. Construction
 of the Triassic and Jurassic portion of the Phanerozoic curve of seawater 87Sr/86Sr.
 Chemical Geology: Isotope Geoscience section, 80(4): 327-349.
- Ladouche, B., Luc, A., Dörfliger, N., 2009. Chemical and isotopic investigation of rainwater in
 Southern France (1996-2002): Potential use as input signal for karst functioning
 investigation. Journal of Hydrology, 367(1-2): 150-164.
- Ladouche, B., Probst, A., Viville, D., Idir, S., Baqué, D., Loubet, M., Probst, J.L., Bariac, T., 2001.
 Hydrograph separation using isotopic, chemical and hydrological approaches (Strengbach catchment, France). Journal of Hydrology, 242(3-4): 255-274.
- Leonardi, V., Jourde, H., Dausse, A., N.Dörfliger, Brunet, P., Maréchal, J.C., 2013. Apport de nouveaux traçages et forages à la connaissance hydrogéologique de l'aquifère karstique du Lez. Karstologia, 62: 7-14.
- Long, A.J., Putnam, L.D., 2004. Linear model describing three components of flow in karst aquifers
 using 18O data. Journal of Hydrology, 296(1-4): 254-270.
- López-Chicano, M., Bouamama, M., Vallejos, A., Pulido-Bosch, A., 2001. Factors which determine
 the hydrogeochemical behaviour of karstic springs. A case study from the Betic Cordilleras,
 Spain. Applied Geochemistry, 16(9-10): 1179-1192.
- Lucazeau, F., 1979. Phénomènes de perturbations thermiques dues à des circulations karstiques
 dans la région de Montpellier. , U.S.T.L., Montpellier.
- Marjolet, G., Salado, J., 1976. Contribution à l'étude de l'aquifère karstique de la source du Lez
 (Hérault). Etude du chimisme des eaux de la source du Lez et de son bassin Tome IX –
 FASC II., Université des sciences et Techniques du Languedoc (Montpellier 2), Montpellier
 101 pp.
- Massei, N., Mahler, B.J., Bakalowicz, M., Fournier, M., Dupont, J.P., 2007. Quantitative
 Interpretation of Specif Conductance Frequency Distribution in karst. Ground Water, 45,
 (3): 288-293.
- McIntosh, J.C., Walter, L.M., 2006. Paleowaters in Silurian-Devonian carbonate aquifers:
 Geochemical evolution of groundwater in the Great Lakes region since the Late Pleistocene.
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70(10): 2454-2479.
- Mendizabal, I., Stuyfzand, P.J., 2010. Quantifying the vulnerability of well fields towards
 anthropogenic pollution: The Netherlands as an example. Journal of Hydrology, In Press,
 Accepted Manuscript.
- Millot, R., Petelet-Giraud, E., Guerrot, C., Négrel, P., 2010. Multi-isotopic composition (δ7Liδ11B-δD-δ18O) of rainwaters in France: Origin and spatio-temporal characterization.
 Applied Geochemistry, 25(10): 1510-1524.
- Moral, F., Cruz-Sanjulián, J.J., Olías, M., 2008. Geochemical evolution of groundwater in the
 carbonate aquifers of Sierra de Segura (Betic Cordillera, southern Spain). Journal of
 Hydrology, 360(1-4): 281-296.

- Négrel, P., Petelet-Giraud, E., 2005. Strontium isotopes as tracers of groundwater-induced floods:
 the Somme case study (France). Journal of Hydrology, 305(1-4): 99-119.
- Nisi, B., Buccianti, A., Vaselli, O., Perini, G., Tassi, F., Minissale, A., Montegrossi, G., 2008.
 Hydrogeochemistry and strontium isotopes in the Arno River Basin (Tuscany, Italy):
 Constraints on natural controls by statistical modeling. Journal of Hydrology, 360(1-4): 166183.
- 683 Oetting, G.C., Banner, J.L., Sharp, J.M., 1996. Regional controls on the geochemical evolution of
 684 saline groundwaters in the Edwards aquifer, central Texas. Journal of Hydrology, 181(1-4):
 685 251-283.
- Pane-Escribe, M., B., 1995. Utilisation des éléments en trace comme traceurs des circulations
 souterraines en milieu karstique (site du lamalou, Hérault), Université de Montpellier II,
 297p pp.
- Petelet-Giraud, E., Negrel, P., 2007. Geochemical flood deconvolution in a Mediterranean
 catchment (Hérault, France) by Sr isotopes, major and trace elements. Journal of Hydrology,
 337(1-2): 224-241.
- Petelet, E., Luck, J.-M., Ben Othman, D., Negrel, P., Aquilina, L., 1998. Geochemistry and water
 dynamics of a medium-sized watershed: the Hérault, southern France: 1. Organisation of the
 different water reservoirs as constrained by Sr isotopes, major, and trace elements. Chemical
 Geology, 150(1-2): 63-83.
- Ribolzi, O., Moussa, R., Gaudu, J.-C., Vallès, V., Voltz, M., 1997. Etude des crues de transition
 entre période sèche et période humide, par traçage naturel sur un bassin versant
 méditerranéen cultivé. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Series IIA Earth and
 Planetary Science, 324(12): 985-992.
- Rosenthal, E., Zilberbrand, M., Livshitz, Y., 2007. The hydrochemical evolution of brackish
 groundwater in central and northern Sinai (Egypt) and in the western Negev (Israel). Journal
 of Hydrology, 337(3-4): 294-314.
- Ryan, W.B., 1976. Quantitative evaluation of the depth of the western Mediterranean before, during
 and after the Late Miocene salinity crisis. Sedimentology, 23(6): 791-813.
- Samborska, K., Halas, S., 2010. 34S and 18O in dissolved sulfate as tracers of hydrogeochemical
 evolution of the Triassic carbonate aquifer exposed to intense groundwater exploitation
 (Olkusz-Zawiercie region, southern Poland). Applied Geochemistry, 25(9): 1397-1414.
- Stuart, M.E., Maurice, L., Heaton, T.H.E., Sapiano, M., Micallef Sultana, M., Gooddy, D.C.,
 Chilton, P.J., 2010. Groundwater residence time and movement in the Maltese islands A
 geochemical approach. Applied Geochemistry, In Press, Corrected Proof.
- Tellam, J.H., 1995. Hydrochemistry of the saline groundwaters of the lower Mersey Basin Permo Triassic sandstone aquifer, UK. Journal of Hydrology, 165(1-4): 45-84.
- Thierry, D., Bérard, P., 1983. Alimentation en eau de la ville de Montpellier Captage de la source
 du Lez études des relations entre la source et son réservoir aquifère, BRGM, Montpellier.
- Wang, Y., Guo, Q., Su, C., Ma, T., 2006. Strontium isotope characterization and major ion
 geochemistry of karst water flow, Shentou, northern China. Journal of Hydrology, 328(3-4):
 592-603.
- Wittenberg, L., Kutiel, H., Greenbaum, N., Inbar, M., 2007. Short-term changes in the magnitude,
 frequency and temporal distribution of floods in the Eastern Mediterranean region during the
 last 45 years -- Nahal Oren, Mt. Carmel, Israel. Geomorphology, 84(3-4): 181-191.
- Wong, C.I., Mahler, B.J., Musgrove, M., Banner, J.L., 2012. Changes in sources and storage in a karst aquifer during a transition from drought to wet conditions. Journal of Hydrology, 468–469(0): 159-172.
- Wu, W., Xu, S., Yang, J., Yin, H., Tao, X., 2009. Sr fluxes and isotopic compositions in the headwaters of the Yangtze River, Tongtian River and Jinsha River originating from the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Chemical Geology, 260(1-2): 63-72.
- Yoshimura, K., Nakao, S., Noto, M., Inokura, Y., Urata, K., Chen, M., Lin, P.W., 2001.
 Geochemical and stable isotope studies on natural water in the Taroko Gorge karst area,

- Taiwan--chemical weathering of carbonate rocks by deep source CO2 and sulfuric acid. Chemical Geology, 177(3-4): 415-430. 731 732

734 Fig. Captions

- Fig. 1 Hydrogeological map of the Lez karst system indicating sampled springs, wells, raingauges and
- ross-section locations (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel, Van Exter and Jourde, 2012).
- Fig. 2 NW-SE Interpretative cross-section over the study area (Caetano Bicalho, Batiot-Guilhe, Seidel,
 Van Exter and Jourde, 2012).
- Fig. 3 Top: $\delta^2 H$ (Deuterium) vs. $\delta^{18}O$ (%) for the Lez system spring waters (Lez, Lirou, Fleurettes and
- 740 Restinclières) and rainwater samples from the 3 raingauges (Saint Gély du Fesc, Viols le Fort and
- 741 Sauteyrargues). Bottom: zoom showing spring water data in detail.
- Fig. 4 Rainfall (Montpellier, Viols le Fort and Sauteyrargues stations); E.C., $\delta^{18}O(\%)$; zoomed $\delta^{18}O(\%)$
- and deuterium-excess for the Lez system springs (Lez, Lirou, Fleurettes and Restinclières).
- Fig. 5 Rainfall, E.C., water discharge and $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ at the Lez spring (Sept.2008-March 2010).
- Fig. 6 Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca vs. $\delta^{13}C_{TDIC}$ for Lez karst system springs and wells, and for springs and wells belonging to the surrounding karst systems.
- Fig. $7-8^7$ Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio vs 1/[Sr] and 8^7 Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio vs [Cl⁻] for the Lez karst system springs and wells, and for
- springs and wells belonging to the surrounding karst systems. ⁸⁷ Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio typical ranges are indicated for
- 749 Triassic, Jurassic and Late Cretaceous (Koepnick, Denison, Burke, Hetherington and Dahl, 1990) and granite
- and fresh waters (Kloppmann, Négrel, Casanova, Klinge, Schelkes and Guerrot, 2001).
- Fig. 8 Two end-member hydrograph separation from 2008 to 2010, using EC as tracer. The end-members are "Main aquifer compartment" with EC= 650μ S/cm, and "Deep aquifer compartment", with EC=
- 753 1,840µS/cm.
- Fig. 9 Conceptual model for groundwater circulation through the Lez karst system.
- 755

756 **Table Captions**

- 757
- 758 **Table 1–** T, EC, pH, major and trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios for the Lez spring.
- **Table 2 –** T, EC , pH, major and trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios for Lirou, Restinclières, and Fleurettes
 springs and other sampling points.
- Table 3 Average values and range of variations for T, EC, pH, major and trace element concentrations and isotopic
 ratios for the springs: Lez, Lirou, Restinclières and Fleurettes.
- Table 4 Water stable isotope range for the sampled springs and raingauges and water stable isotope weighted average
 for rainwater.
- 765

 Table 1 – T, EC, pH. major and trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios for the Lez spring.

		T°C	CE		HCO3 ⁻	CI.	NO ₃ ⁻	SO42-	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br'	B ³⁺	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²Η	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ ¹³ C	
Sampling date	Lez spring	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
13/06/08	1		773		379.2	50.5	5.6	32.4	143.2	7.7	25.1	1.1	1.2	3.4		18.8	442.4	13.1	-30.4	-5.1		
15/06/08	2		763		375.5	48.3	6.5	30.9	140.1	7.4	24.2	1.1	3.9	3.2		17.7	419.9	12.6	-30.0	-5.7		
07/07/08	3	16.1	747	7.16	372.0	49.2	4.6	29.7	127.4	8.3	26.2	1.3	1.0						-31.7	-5.7		
21/07/08	4	16.4	746	7.05	354.3	50.7	4.7	28.1	132.8	8.2	28.4	1.4	1.1	4.0			426.5	16.9	-32.4	-5.6		
05/08/08	5	16.7	763	7.15	368.1	56.0	5.5	29.1	125.7	9.7	33.6	1.7	1.2	4.2			388.9	17.7	-32.7	-5.7		
26/08/08	6	16.9	756	7.19	361.3	56.3	4.8	27.8	123.9	10.1	34.2	1.7	0.4	4.1			373.9	18.5	-31.1	-5.2		
10/09/08	7	17.2	761	7.14	365.1	56.9	5.0	26.7	128.3	10.1	36.2	1.9	0.6	4.1			369.1	19.0	-34.3	-6.0	-12.7	
22/09/08	8	17.2	753	6.97	365.7	53.2	6.1	26.3	121.1	9.0	30.6	1.2	0.4	3.9			358.9	18.7	-34.4	-5.9	-12.4	
07/10/08	9	17.3	762	7.05	368.8	55.8	6.7	26.1	120.2	9.3	32.0	1.3	0.3	4.2		6.2	356.4	18.9	-34.0	-5.9		
13/10/08	10	17.3	763	7.14	365.1	63.3	6.6	26.7	116.5	9.2	33.3	1.3	0.6	4.1		5.9	352.6	18.3	-34.3	-5.9		
21/10/08 N°1	11	16.6	704	7.26	339.0	43.0	4.8	22.9	120.5	6.9	24.2	1.2	2.2	3.2	109.1	13.7	320.5	15.5	-31.5	-5.6		
21/10/08 N°2	12	16.7	643	7.24	283.0	37.3	5.5	20.9	105.9	5.9	21.3	1.0	3.6	3.1	107.2	12.8	326.4	13.9	-31.1	-5.6		
21/10/08 N°3	13	17	748	7.14	358.7	50.0	4.3	23.7	114.8	8.0	29.4	1.3	5.3	3.8	117.6	14.7	346.3	17.3	-34.1	-5.8		
21/10/08 N°4	14	17	748	7.11	339.2	49.8	3.5	23.8	115.3	8.1	29.4	1.2	4.4	3.8	121.4	14.5	347.3	17.5	-27.1	-3.2		
22/10/08 N°1	15	16.6	682	7.10	344.0	36.7	6.2	22.2	111.7	6.2	20.9	1.2	2.9	0.2	112.5	<ld< td=""><td>62.1</td><td>6.7</td><td>-31.6</td><td>-5.5</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	62.1	6.7	-31.6	-5.5		
22/10/08 N°2	16	16.7	681	6.89	348.9	35.7	6.8	21.1	113.4	6.4	20.6	1.2	2.7	3.0	109.2	17.3	324.5	16.3	-31.4	-5.6	-13.3	
23/10/08 N°1	17	16.9	855	6.94	336.7	80.0	5.3	37.3	112.2	11.0	49.7	2.2	2.2	6.5	136.9	19.1	543.6	22.1	-31.9	-5.5	-12.2	
23/10/08 N°2	18	16.9	859	7.08	339.2	80.1	5.0	37.2	112.9	11.0	50.2	2.2	2.0	6.3	134.2	18.7	540.9	22.4	-31.1	-5.5	-12.0	
24/10/2008 N°1	19	16.7	739	7.01	352.6	46.2	5.7	28.9	113.4	8.9	26.7	1.4	1.7	4.6	117.6	16.6	537.6	19.8	-31.1	-5.6	-13.1	
24/10/2008 N°2	20	16.5	707	6.93	348.9	36.6	6.1	26.5	115.8	8.1	20.3	1.2	1.6	4.1	112.3	15.1	519.2	18.7	-31.1	-5.5		
22/10/08	21		753		351.4	51.4	3.7	24.3	115.4	8.3	30.5	1.3	2.7	4.0		13.9	327.9	17.7	-33.5	-5.7		
23/10/08	22		847		336.7	78.4	5.3	36.1	110.6	10.9	48.6	2.1	1.3	6.2		18.6	541.1	21.7	-30.6	-5.2		
23/10/08	23		842		339.2	78.4	5.4	36.5	111.1	10.8	48.8	2.2	3.0	6.2	103.6	18.5	541.9	21.2	-31.5	-5.5		
24/10/08	24		741		348.9	47.9	5.8	29.4	114.6	9.0	28.0	1.5	1.6	4.5		17.4	487.7	20.4	-30.9	-5.5		
24/10/08	25		706		341.6	38.5	5.1	27.0	113.7	8.1	21.3	1.5	1.6	4.2		16.6	513.0	19.8	-31.2	-5.2		
24/10/08	26		692		339.2	36.2	6.4	26.3	114.9	7.9	19.4	2.6	2.4	3.9	109.7	17.7	467.6	19.3	-31.5	-5.4		
26/10/08	27	15.9	645	7.02	334.3	26.4	4.7	20.5	113.0	6.3	14.4	0.9	1.8		105.9				-30.6	-5.2		
27/10/08	28	17	656	7.46	341.6	27.2	5.5	21.5	112.8	6.5	15.1	0.9	2.1	2.9	106.1	12.1	430.3	16.6	-29.4	-5.2		
29/10/08	29	15.9	683	7.04	356.2	30.4	6.3	22.8	115.7	6.7	16.9	1.2	1.7	3.3	112.7	15.6	466.5	17.9	-29.5	-5.4		
31/10/08	30	15.9	705	7.00	356.2	34.5	6.8	23.5	118.0	6.6	19.1	1.4	1.6	3.5	113.9	17.1	480.2	17.8	-29.9	-5.4		
03/11/08	31	15.9	699	7.09	339.2	37.3	6.3	24.3	116.9	6.0	20.8	1.5	1.7	3.6	109.6	17.8	462.1	16.7	-28.8	-5.3		
05/11/08	32	15.7	650	7.13	341.6	24.3	6.8	21.1	117.3	5.0	13.3	1.0	2.8	2.8	101.0	14.8	394.4	15.7	-28.4	-5.1	-12.1	0.70762
07/11/08	33	15.4	644	6.95	351.4	21.3	7.2	20.5	119.9	4.9	11.4	0.9	3.0	2.6	103.0	13.8	394.2	15.1	-27.2	-5.2	-14.7	
12/11/08	34	15.5	705	7.07	373.3	35.6	7.0	23.6	122.0	5.8	19.0	1.1	5.6	3.5	107.8	15.1	431.8	15.4	-29.8	-5.3		
14/11/08	35	15.5	722	7.18	393.6	42.6	7.5	26.6	132.6	6.9	23.6	1.3	4.0	3.7	110.1	13.1	464.4	15.9	-29.7	-5.4		

		TOC	CE		HCO ² .	CI.	NO ₂ ⁻	SO.2-	Ca ²⁺	Ma ²⁺	Na⁺	K+	toc	1.1*	Br'	B3+	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	\$ ² ⊔	8 ¹⁸ O	8 ¹³ C	
Sampling date	Lez spring	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(μg/l)	(μg/l)	(μg/l)	(μg/l)	(μg/l)	(% V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (%. V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
18/11/08	36	15.5	721	7.10	362.5	40.0	6.6	26.0	129.0	7.2	22.7	1.2	4.6	3.7	106.9	14.0	460.3	15.3	-28.8	-5.3	,	
21/11/08	37	15.5	721	7.15	346.0	42.2	6.8	27.3	124.9	6.8	22.7	1.2	1.7	3.9	<ld< td=""><td>13.1</td><td>486.8</td><td>15.5</td><td>-29.8</td><td>-5.4</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	13.1	486.8	15.5	-29.8	-5.4		
05/12/08	38	15.8	741	7.35	355.1	45.0	5.0	27.3	127.5	8.0	26.2	1.3	1.2	3.9	115.8	19.4	482.1	16.4	-30.6	-5.6	-14.3	
16/12/08	39	15.1	661	7.23	372.2	28.2	6.3	27.9	124.9	7.2	15.8	1.1	1.1	3.4		19.9	528.0	15.8	-32.1	-5.4		
09/01/09	40	14.9	721	7.20	368.6	41.5	6.9	27.0	130.1	7.2	23.5	1.2	1.0	3.6	110.6	17.3	472.4	14.7	-35.1	-5.7		
28/01/09	41	14.8	689	7.28	361.2	28.9	5.4	27.5	124.6	6.8	16.4	0.9	1.4	3.3	73.1	16.6	502.3	15.3	-35.3	-5.7		
02/02/09	42	14.5	673	7.35	355.1	27.8	5.4	24.6	118.6	5.7	16.1	0.9	1.9	2.9	103.2	15.3	428.9	13.6	-37.6	-6.0		
09/02/09	43	14	599	7.39	361.2	34.2	5.2	23.8	123.3	6.1	19.5	1.0	1.3	3.1	99.6	14.8	420.4	13.5	-39.6	-6.3		
12/03/09	44	15.3	707	7.39	349.0	33.3	4.0	25.1	115.1	8.7	22.5	1.2	0.9	3.8	103.6	15.5	480.4	15.2	-37.7	-6.0		
27/03/09	45	15.5	723	7.11	271.5	43.2	4.1	31.5	130.6	8.6	26.8	1.1	2.2	4.9	112.1	11.5	510.5	15.8	-37.3	-6.0	-13.8	
09/04/09	46	15.4	661	7.24	347.8	32.3	4.6	29.1	113.6	3.8	17.6		1.5	4.3	133.1	10.3	530.7	15.8	-37.4	-5.9		
22/04/09	47	15	710	7.52	355.1	37.1	4.9	27.3	117.3	6.6	22.0	1.0	2.0	4.6	126.0	6.2	517.8	15.0	-38.7	-6.0	-13.9	
06/05/09	48	15.2	706	7.53	355.1	34.2	3.8	26.2	122.7	6.8	21.0	0.8	1.6	4.1		22.5	516.7	15.5	-38.1	-6.0		
13/05/09	49	15.4	708	7.22	355.2	36.6	3.1	25.0	118.0	7.5	25.0	0.8	1.2	3.9		18.5	509.9	16.3	-37.5	-6.1		
25/05/09	50	16	721	7.18	361.2	40.6	3.6	25.5	121.1	7.7	26.1	1.2	1.5	4.1		18.2	499.9	15.4	-37.6	-5.9		
27/05/09	51	15.8	723	7.17	361.2	40.8	3.6	25.4	123.8	7.7	25.0	1.1	0.9	4.1		18.6	489.7	17.9	-37.8	-5.9	-14.0	
03/06/09	52	16	727	7.18	361.4	41.2	3.6	24.3	119.8	7.8	25.1	1.1	0.8	3.9		17.6	446.3	16.4	-37.2	-5.9	-13.9	
04/06/09	53	16	728	7.29	383.8	41.5	3.7	24.2	119.7	7.7	25.3	1.1	0.6	4.0		17.7	454.2	16.4	-37.3	-6.1	-10.1	
09/06/09	54	15.9	725	6.99	366.1	43.7	3.5	24.3	121.4	7.8	26.3	1.6	0.6	3.9		17.6	439.5	16.3	-37.1	-5.9	-13.6	
11/06/09	55	16.1	732	7.15	363.7	45.4	3.7	25.1	121.5	8.0	28.7	1.6	1.5	4.2		18.4	439.4	16.8	-36.8	-5.9	-13.7	
23/06/09	56	16.2	729	7.10	356.8	16.3	5.5	21.9	124.5	9.9	8.9	0.6	0.9	3.7		20.2	414.9	17.3	-36.0	-5.8	-13.9	
16/07/09	57	16.7	761	7.08	378.3	50.0	3.8	23.0	127.2	8.5	31.3	1.7	2.0	4.5	118.0	21.6	382.9	18.0	-35.4	-5.7	-13.2	0.70786
03/08/09	58	17	771		374.6	53.2	4.0	26.0	123.7	9.9	35.0	1.8	0.4	4.3		22.3	396.9	18.9	-35.2	-6.3	-13.4	
25/08/09	59	17.2	787	7.29	369.8	58.0	3.9	25.8	128.4	10.4	37.3	1.9	0.8	3.7		25.4	416.3	20.5	-35.3	-6.1	-13.2	
02/09/09	60	17.2	771	7.34	373.4	57.3	4.2	25.7	123.0	9.0	34.8	1.7	2.2	4.3	170.0	21.3	385.6	19.5	-35.3	-6.1	-13.1	
17/09/09	61	17.2	765	7.04	400.3	52.2	4.1	23.0	127.5	9.9	32.9	1.7	0.5	4.0	<ld< td=""><td>20.5</td><td>363.9</td><td>19.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>-13.1</td><td></td></ld<>	20.5	363.9	19.0			-13.1	
07/10/09	62	17.3	776	6.98	278.2	57.0	3.5	23.7	118.6	9.1	33.7	1.4	1.4	4.1		21.4	403.0	19.3	-35.1	-6.1		
22/10/09	63	17.2	749	7.00	361.2	52.7	3.5	23.1	115.5	8.9	31.2	1.4	0.4	4.2	88.9	20.5	367.3	19.5	-35.7	-6.3	-13.6	
24/10/09	64	15	811	7.15	341.7	74.5	4.9	32.5	111.4	10.8	44.5	2.1	<ld< td=""><td>5.4</td><td></td><td>25.8</td><td>514.0</td><td>21.8</td><td>-34.9</td><td>-6.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	5.4		25.8	514.0	21.8	-34.9	-6.1		
25/10/09	65	15	844	7.13	342.9	84.7	4.7	36.0	109.8	11.5	49.7	2.3		5.9	118.0	25.5	555.7	22.4	-34.5	-6.1		
26/10/09	66	17	898	6.98	342.9	98.6	4.2	40.4	110.4	12.9	58.9	2.5	1.6	5.0	118.0	25.2	649.1	21.1	-34.7	-6.2	-12.1	0.70792
29/10/09	67	16.5	705	6.97	349.0	40.3	4.1	29.0	111.9	10.1	23.5	1.4		4.2		24.9	585.0	19.5	-35.5	-6.2	-13.2	
05/11/09	68	16.2	698	6.97	346.6	37.4	5.6	24.6	113.4	8.5	22.0	1.6	0.8	7.3		26.6	625.9	23.9	-34.6	-5.9	-13.6	
01/12/09	69	16.8	636	6.90	360.0	56.1	5.5	25.3	116.5	8.4	33.4	1.5							-34.5	-6.0	-13.6	
16/12/09	70	17	639	7.18	363.7	41.8	3.9	18.9	112.7	9.3	33.2	1.6	0.6						-34.9	-6.0	-13.5	
07/01/10	71	15.8	702	7.17	336.8	41.4	8.6	26.4	107.9	7.8	24.3	1.8	1.3	3.7	50.0	22.0	637.6	17.5	-35.1	-5.8	-13.7	
25/01/10	72	15	712	7.24	350.3	42.1	8.3	26.7	123.7	6.0	24.4	1.6	1.3	3.3		18.4	548.8	14.4	-36.1	-6.2	-14.3	

		T°C	CE		HCO3 ⁻	CI.	NO ₃ -	SO42-	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br	B ³⁺	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²H	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ¹³C	
Sampling date	Lez spring	(°C)	(µS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
11/03/10	73	14.7	629	7.10	339.2	34.0	5.1	28.0	126.1	6.2	20.1	1.2	1.6	3.8		21.3	536.1	14.5	-37.2	-6.4	-14.0	
22/03/10	74	14.9	699	7.09	358.7	36.5	4.5	27.8	122.4	6.5	21.5	1.2	1.1	3.8		20.9	473.7	14.3	-36.7	-6.2		
07/04/10	75	14.9	631	7.20	370.9	33.5	4.6	27.4	126.7	6.9	20.2	1.1	1.4	3.6		20.0	518.6	14.0	-36.3	-6.2		
20/04/10	76	15.3	706	7.03	355.1	40.1	4.0	27.6	125.1	7.3	23.9	1.4	0.9	4.0		18.9	511.8	14.4	-35.8	-6.2		
03/05/10	77	15.4	717	7.01	353.8	39.4	4.9	29.6	127.8	6.7	24.8	1.5	1.3	4.1		25.3	491.7	15.6	-36.2	-5.9		
27/05/10	78	15.5	692	7.23	356.4	35.5	3.5	27.0	121.2	6.5	19.6	1.1	0.9	3.9		20.8	501.6	14.8			-14.0	

 Table 2 – T, EC, pH, major and trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios for Lirou, Restinclières, and Fleurettes springs and other sampling points.

		T°C	CE		HCO3 ⁻	CI	NO₃ ⁻	SO42-	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br	B3+	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²Η	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ ¹³ C	
Sampling date	Spring	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
02/06/08	Lirou	14.3	630	7.07	371.1	12.4	1.4	10.1	129.2	1.2	4.8	0.1	1.2	0.3		10.7	74.6	6.1	-28.7	-4.8		
05/06/08	Lirou	14.1	646	7.65	401.5	13.8	1.4	8.7	132.7	0.9	4.8	<ld< td=""><td>1.7</td><td>0.3</td><td></td><td>8.8</td><td>64.7</td><td>6.6</td><td>-30.4</td><td>-5.3</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	1.7	0.3		8.8	64.7	6.6	-30.4	-5.3		
24/06/08	Lirou			7.10	376.9	11.7	2.8	8.4	127.4	1.7	4.8	0.1	1.5						-30.8	-5.3		
21/10/2008 N°1	Lirou	14.8	613	7.07	375.8	11.1	2.7	10.7	111.7	10.3	5.7	0.5	3.2	1.1			138.9	8.8	-34.1	-5.8		
21/10/2008 N°2	Lirou	14.9	624	7.14	370.9	11.4	2.2	12.1	110.7	12.6	6.4	0.6	2.0	1.2	97.0		157.2	9.2	-34.6	-5.9		
23/10/08 N°1	Lirou	14.5	517	7.04	300.1	7.7	2.0	6.0	107.4	0.7	4.3	0.4	2.7	0.2	92.2		64.3	6.2	-23.3	-4.3		
23/10/08 N°2	Lirou	14.4	522	7.00	314.8	8.0	2.4	6.0	107.7	0.7	4.3	0.4	3.9	0.2	88.9		69.1	6.2	-23.3	-4.3	-14.9	
22/10/08	Lirou	14.6	544	7.09	327.0	10.2	4.2	7.2	109.3	1.3	5.0	0.5	4.1	0.4	103.8		79.5	6.1	-26.1	-4.7	-15.0	
24/10/08	Lirou	14.4	543	7.20	339.2	8.4	2.2	6.2	112.8	0.8	4.6	0.4	2.2	0.3	88.1	9.2	66.5	6.8	-24.5	-4.2		
27/10/08	Lirou	14.4	561	7.11	344.0	8.5	2.8	6.4	116.5	0.8	4.5	0.4	6.4	0.3	95.3		68.1	6.9	-24.3	-4.5		
29/10/08	Lirou	14.3	565	7.08	351.4	8.6	3.0	6.4	117.8	0.9	4.5	0.3	3.0	0.3	<ld< td=""><td></td><td>71.7</td><td>6.6</td><td>-24.9</td><td>-4.6</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>		71.7	6.6	-24.9	-4.6		
03/11/08	Lirou	14.3	552	7.30	339.2	9.0	1.8	6.5	116.1	0.7	4.5	0.3	1.5	0.2	96.7		69.6	6.4	-25.1	-4.4		
05/11/08	Lirou	14.3	590	7.15	363.6	10.7	1.7	7.5	124.7	0.9	5.0	0.2	2.8	0.2	94.0		73.8	6.2	-26.1	-4.7		
07/11/08	Lirou	14.1	618	7.04	378.2	11.4	1.5	7.5	131.0	0.8	5.3	0.2	12.0	3.1	99.5	17.1	364.2	17.1	-28.2	-4.9	-13.5	0.70786
12/11/08	Lirou	14.2	622	7.11	388.0	10.9	1.6	7.7	131.3	1.3	5.2	0.2	8.5	0.3	<ld< td=""><td></td><td>65.9</td><td>7.4</td><td>-29.5</td><td>-5.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>		65.9	7.4	-29.5	-5.1		
14/11/08	Lirou	14	631	7.18	388.1	11.7	2.0	9.0	131.8	1.7	5.3	0.2	2.5		101.4				-29.7	-5.0		
18/11/08	Lirou	14.2	619	7.12	369.8	11.4	1.8	8.2	136.6	2.4	5.4	0.2	1.5		96.9				-29.3	-5.2		
21/11/08	Lirou	14.2	631	7.14	375.3	11.1	2.1	8.7	132.1	2.4	5.3	0.1	0.9						-29.8	-5.1	-16.2	
09/01/09	Lirou	14.1	617	7.15	392.4	10.8	2.2	9.3	135.2	3.2	5.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	99.7	7.0	65.8	7.0	-35.5	-5.9	-15.8	
16/01/09	Lirou		590	7.20	387.5	10.4	1.9	8.3	133.1	3.6	5.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	104.9	6.9	61.6	6.8	-35.3	-5.8		
28/01/09	Lirou	14	593	7.30	367.3	8.9	1.8	7.6	126.0	1.5	5.2	0.2	1.3	0.2	88.6	6.6	64.2	6.5	-34.7	-6.2		
02/02/09	Lirou	13.3	522	7.83	324.6	7.5	1.1	7.0	106.4	0.9	4.5	0.2	2.1	0.2	80.3	7.2	60.1	6.0	-40.3	-6.9		
09/02/09	Lirou	14	599	7.39	374.4	8.9	1.1	6.0	128.7	1.8	5.1	<ld< td=""><td>1.8</td><td>0.3</td><td>88.6</td><td>5.9</td><td>50.6</td><td>6.6</td><td>-38.1</td><td>-6.5</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	1.8	0.3	88.6	5.9	50.6	6.6	-38.1	-6.5		
09/04/09	Lirou	15	556	6.99	349.0	9.3	3.5	8.1	111.6	<ld< td=""><td>4.7</td><td><ld< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>100.4</td><td>0.4</td><td>83.7</td><td>7.1</td><td></td><td></td><td>-15.8</td><td></td></ld<></td></ld<>	4.7	<ld< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>0.5</td><td>100.4</td><td>0.4</td><td>83.7</td><td>7.1</td><td></td><td></td><td>-15.8</td><td></td></ld<>	1.6	0.5	100.4	0.4	83.7	7.1			-15.8	
13/05/09	Lirou	14.3	593	7.11	373.4	8.7	1.3	8.2	121.7	3.8	5.1	<ld< td=""><td>1.0</td><td>0.4</td><td></td><td>9.7</td><td>79.1</td><td>7.1</td><td></td><td></td><td>-15.9</td><td></td></ld<>	1.0	0.4		9.7	79.1	7.1			-15.9	

Image Image <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th>1</th><th></th><th>1</th></th<>			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
add bit bit <th></th> <th></th> <th>T°C</th> <th>CE</th> <th></th> <th>HCO3⁻</th> <th>CI.</th> <th>NO₃⁻</th> <th>SO4²⁻</th> <th>Ca²⁺</th> <th>Mg²⁺</th> <th>Na⁺</th> <th>K⁺</th> <th>toc</th> <th>Li⁺</th> <th>Br</th> <th>B³⁺</th> <th>Sr²⁺</th> <th>Ba²⁺</th> <th>δ²Η</th> <th>δ¹⁸Ο</th> <th>δ¹³C</th> <th></th>			T°C	CE		HCO3 ⁻	CI.	NO ₃ ⁻	SO4 ²⁻	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br	B ³⁺	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²Η	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ ¹³ C	
Observe Lums 1.6 1.7 7.27 <t< th=""><th>Sampling date</th><th>Spring</th><th>(°C)</th><th>(μS.cm)</th><th>рН</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(mg/l)</th><th>(µg/l)</th><th>(µg/l)</th><th>(µg/l)</th><th>(µg/l)</th><th>(µg/l)</th><th>(‰ V- SMOW)</th><th>(‰ V- SMOW)</th><th>TDIC (‰ V-PDB)</th><th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr</th></t<>	Sampling date	Spring	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
07900 Uso 141 64 70 85 101 24 52 50 1.0	05/03/10	Lirou	15	517	7.29	358.7	9.0	0.9	8.0	113.0	2.9	5.0	0.4	0.8	0.5		10.2	81.0	7.1	-37.4	-6.6		
Deco Hot Hot So So So So So	07/04/10	Lirou	14.1	544	7.10	374.5	9.8	1.3	8.6	131.2	2.6	5.2	0.3	1.1	0.4		9.1	74.2	6.5	-37.9	-6.6		
1 1	03/05/10	Lirou	14.4	627	6.95	388.0	9.0	1.8	12.7	135.0	5.5	5.5	0.3	1.3	0.8		12.4	118.0	6.9	-35.5	-5.6		
1 1	27/05/10	Lirou	14.5	600	7.15	375.9	9.2	1.7	8.3	127.1	2.7	5.0	0.3	0.5	0.4		10.0	82.7	6.9			-15.9	
Indicate	12/11/08	Restinclières	17.6	669	6.61	395.3	13.3	7.3	16.7	130.1	5.2	6.0	0.3	5.2	2.4		15.3	406.4	25.6	-29.8	-5.2	-14.6	
Inter Inter< Int	14/11/08	Restinclières	17.1	674	7.05	375.8	14.9	7.8	19.1	131.6	5.8	6.8	0.4	4.8	2.5	101.5	15.8	398.8	26.6	-31.4	-5.1		0.70756
Phenome Particity	18/11/08	Restinclières	17.2	669	7.06	382.6	16.6	8.4	21.6	139.7	6.6	7.9	0.5	5.9	2.5	109.9	16.1	400.0	27.7	-31.1	-5.2		
OPCOM Presentative 166 94 7.7 82.5 10.5 12.8 65 18.0 0.5 2.3 2.2 14.1 10.0 30.0 5.2 1.3.8 161208 Pestindiver 17.1 77.0 7.20 30.2 2.7.7 9.4 17.0 <	21/11/08	Restinclières	16.7	655	7.17	360.6	17.9	8.6	22.4	129.5	6.8	8.8	0.6	1.3	2.4	110.4	15.3	348.6	21.1	-30.3	-5.3		
Instruction Processing Proces	05/12/08	Restinclières	16.6	634	7.17	362.5	16.5	6.9	19.9	121.8	6.5	8.3	0.5	2.3	2.2	114.4	14.0	306.4	19.0	-30.0	-5.2	-13.8	
OP0109 Restnatives 17.1 670 82.2 41.2 4.3 8.1 19.7 19.7 6.6 7.1 0.4 1.1 2.5 41.0 11.0 28.3 38.2 3.82 3.82 3.82 3.80 10.0 280109 Restnatives 15.8 677 7.07 397.8 12.8 7.0 8.4 0.4 0.2 4.0 14.1 31.3 15.0 35.5 5.9 1.0 202009 Restnatives 16.2 677 7.07 397.8 12.4 18.1 18.1 17.1 12.8 7.0 8.4 2.0 12.0 16.2 13.3 5.0 6.4 5.8 6.1 1.0 </td <td>16/12/08</td> <td>Restinclières</td> <td>17.6</td> <td>719</td> <td>7.20</td> <td>430.2</td> <td>27.7</td> <td>9.4</td> <td>27.7</td> <td>133.9</td> <td>8.5</td> <td>14.7</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>3.2</td> <td><ld< td=""><td>21.4</td><td>429.2</td><td>24.7</td><td>-32.2</td><td>-5.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<></td>	16/12/08	Restinclières	17.6	719	7.20	430.2	27.7	9.4	27.7	133.9	8.5	14.7	1.0	1.2	3.2	<ld< td=""><td>21.4</td><td>429.2</td><td>24.7</td><td>-32.2</td><td>-5.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	21.4	429.2	24.7	-32.2	-5.1		
Indications Resinctions ISS Gen Set	09/01/09	Restinclières	17.1	670	6.92	416.2	14.3	8.1	19.7	137.1	6.5	7.1	0.4	1.1	2.5	<ld< td=""><td>17.0</td><td>415.7</td><td>26.3</td><td>-33.2</td><td>-5.6</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	17.0	415.7	26.3	-33.2	-5.6		
Description Field	16/01/09	Restinclières	16.5	673	7.09	393.0	15.4	8.1	21.8	139.5	6.6	7.6	0.4	0.9	2.4	<ld< td=""><td>16.1</td><td>386.8</td><td>25.2</td><td>-34.0</td><td>-5.9</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	16.1	386.8	25.2	-34.0	-5.9		
Decode/Description Restrictives 16.8 6.7 7.07 9.70 9.70 12.5 6.8 17.7 12.0 6.4 6.5 0.0 1.7 2.1 4-D 1.4 9.72 2.31 3.56 4.5 3.55 4.5 4.55	28/01/09	Restinclières	15.9	611	7.29	336.8	16.3	6.8	21.3	128.5	7.0	8.4	0.4		2.2	<ld< td=""><td>14.1</td><td>313.7</td><td>19.3</td><td>-33.5</td><td>-5.9</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	14.1	313.7	19.3	-33.5	-5.9		
090209 Resinciáres 162 673 7.22 97.9 12.4 5.1 18.3 12.7 4.9 6.5 0.2 1.7 2.0 10.62 13.1 35.0 2.4 35.8 6.1 1 260209 Restinciáres 16.5 6.69 7.1 301.2 15.8 6.6 8.3 0.4 1.2 2.4 1.0 1.4.8 31.7 2.5 4.6 3.5 4.1 1.1 1.6 1.0 1.4.8 31.7 2.5 4.5 2.9 1.3 1.6 1.2 2.4 1.0 1.4.8 31.7 2.5 4.6 3.5 4.1 1.1 1.6 4.7 1.6.1 3.8 5.7 2.6 1.1 1.6 4.7 4.6 4.6 1.1 1.6 3.4 1.0 6.5 4.2 1.1 1.6 4.7 1.6.1 3.8 1.1 3.6 6.6 7.6 3.6 6.1 1.6 3.6 6.1 1.6.1	02/02/09	Restinclières	16.8	675	7.07	397.9	12.5	6.8	17.7	132.3	5.4	6.5	0.3	1.7	2.1	<ld< td=""><td>13.4</td><td>357.2</td><td>23.1</td><td>-35.9</td><td>-6.1</td><td>-15.0</td><td></td></ld<>	13.4	357.2	23.1	-35.9	-6.1	-15.0	
280200 Resinciews 165 669 7.1 918 13.1 6.1 199 136 5.6 6.7 0.3 12 2.2 10.8 13.0 92.7 24.2 3.2 <	09/02/09	Restinclières	16.2	673	7.22	397.9	12.4	5.1	18.3	132.7	4.9	6.5	0.2	1.7	2.0	106.2	13.1	355.0	26.4	-35.8	-6.1		
120009 Resincitives 16.5 649 7.38 93.12 15.8 8.5 2.29 12.5.3 6.6 8.3 0.4 1.2 2.4 4.D 14.8 97.7 2.31 V V M 270000 Restincitives 1.7 706 7.37 373.4 29.0 5.8 25.9 13.2 5.5 9.2 11.1 16. 4.7 11.6 13.8 51.7 27.5 5.6 6.2 1.1 16. 4.7 11.6 13.8 51.7 27.5 5.9 2.1 1.6 4.7 16.1 13.8 15.7 3.6 6.2 4.6 3.8 4.0 6.3 4.0.2 2.5 3.6 1.6 3.3 4.0 2.5 1.3.8 17.0 2.5 1.2.0 3.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.5 1.1.0 8.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	26/02/09	Restinclières	16.5	669	7.11	391.8	13.1	6.1	19.9	136.0	5.6	6.7	0.3	1.2	2.2	103.8	13.9	352.7	24.2	-38.2	-6.5		
270309 Resincières 17 706 7.8 37.4 21.9 7.0 25.2 138.2 9.3 12.4 1.0 1.8 2.7 116.0 18.3 389.5 24.6 35.8 6.1 Image: Constraint of the second of the	12/03/09	Restinclières	16.5	649	7.38	361.2	15.8	8.5	22.9	125.3	6.6	8.3	0.4	1.2	2.4	<ld< td=""><td>14.8</td><td>317.3</td><td>23.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	14.8	317.3	23.1				
090409 Restinctives 17.5 7.06 7.07 37.4 2.90 5.8 2.50 13.2 5.5 9.2 1.1 1.6 4.7 11.6 1.8 5.7 8.75 5.8 6.2 0.1 220409 Restinctives 16.7 6.63 7.4 5.8 2.2 116.0 3.9 7.4 0.2 3.2 3.0 6.3 40.2 2.9 3.61 6.2 -13.8 080509 Restinctives 16.7 6.64 7.12 3.4 14.0 5.8 12.2 12.2 7.4 0.2 1.2 1.8 3.4 1.0 1.0 1.2 2.8 1.9 3.4 2.6 -1.0 1.2 1.8 1.8 3.6 1.2 3.6 6.1 1.2 1.8 1.9 3.6 6.1 1.2 3.6 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8	27/03/09	Restinclières	17	706	7.38	373.4	21.9	7.0	25.2	138.2	9.3	12.4	1.0	1.8	2.7	116.0	18.3	389.5	24.6	-35.8	-6.1		
220409 Restincières 17.1 620 7.01 367.3 15.0 5.8 22.7 116.0 3.9 7.4 4.D 1.6 3.3 cLD 6.3 40.02 22.9 -3.6.1 -6.1 restincières 100509 Restincières 16.5 614 7.4 37.4 14.0 5.8 22.5 12.7 5.9 7.2 0.3 2.1 3.2 360.5 2.4 413.6 2.51 36.6 6.2 -13.8 130509 Restincières 16.5 614 7.4 3.7 2.8 7.4 0.2 2.6 7.4 0.2 2.8 17.9 343.6 0.2 36.6 4.6.2 - <td>09/04/09</td> <td>Restinclières</td> <td>17.5</td> <td>706</td> <td>7.07</td> <td>373.4</td> <td>29.0</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>25.9</td> <td>133.2</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>9.2</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>116.1</td> <td>13.8</td> <td>517.8</td> <td>27.5</td> <td>-35.5</td> <td>-6.2</td> <td></td> <td></td>	09/04/09	Restinclières	17.5	706	7.07	373.4	29.0	5.8	25.9	133.2	5.5	9.2	1.1	1.6	4.7	116.1	13.8	517.8	27.5	-35.5	-6.2		
060509 Restincières 16.7 658 7.42 373.4 14.0 5.8 22.5 122.7 5.9 7.2 0.3 2.1 3.2 360.5 2.4 413.6 25.1 -3.6.9 -6.2 -1.3.8 130509 Restincières 16.5 614 7.54 355.1 14.9 4.3 19.8 110.0 6.2 7.4 0.2 2.0 2.5 18.9 34.4 20.2 -36.4 -6.2 -10.8 250509 Restincières 17.5 702 7.14 375.5 26.8 5.3 24.5 123.2 86. 15.7 0.8 0.9 3.8 18.7 47.4 25.5 -36.2 -36.6 -1 - 0006009 Restincières 18 704 7.16 37.2 27.8 5.3 25.5 127.2 9.1 12.6 0.6 0.8 3.8 19.4 49.9 31.7 -36.3 -6.1 - 13.7 230609	22/04/09	Restinclières	17.1	620	7.01	367.3	15.0	5.8	22.7	116.0	3.9	7.4	<ld< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>3.3</td><td><ld< td=""><td>6.3</td><td>400.2</td><td>22.9</td><td>-36.1</td><td>-6.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<></td></ld<>	1.6	3.3	<ld< td=""><td>6.3</td><td>400.2</td><td>22.9</td><td>-36.1</td><td>-6.1</td><td></td><td></td></ld<>	6.3	400.2	22.9	-36.1	-6.1		
13.05/09 Restincières 16.5 614 7.54 35.1 14.9 4.3 19.8 118.0 6.2 7.4 0.2 2.0 2.5 18.9 34.4 20.2 36.4 -6.2 Image: constraints of the standing of the	06/05/09	Restinclières	16.7	658	7.42	373.4	14.0	5.8	22.5	122.7	5.9	7.2	0.3	2.1	3.2	360.5	2.4	413.6	25.1	-36.9	-6.2	-13.8	
25/05/09 Restincières 17.2 637 7.12 361.2 13.8 5.7 20.8 120.1 7.4 8.2 0.1 1.2 2.8 17.9 367.0 22.5 -3.6.5 -6.1 1 27/05/09 Restincières 17.5 702 7.14 375.5 26.8 5.3 24.5 123.2 8.6 15.7 0.8 0.9 3.8 18.7 471.4 25.3 -3.6.0 -5.8 1 03/06/09 Restincières 18 704 7.16 378.2 27.8 5.3 25.5 122.5 9.0 16.2 0.8 0.9 3.7 18.4 469.3 25.5 -36.2 -6.1 1 13.7 09/06/09 Restincières 18.3 693 6.92 391.1 21.9 5.9 25.5 127.2 9.1 12.6 0.6 0.8 3.8 19.4 49.3 31.7 -36.3 -6.1 1.3.7 250/01/10 Restincières 16.4 6.28 7.6 37.7 16.5 5.6 22.1 12.5 <t< td=""><td>13/05/09</td><td>Restinclières</td><td>16.5</td><td>614</td><td>7.54</td><td>355.1</td><td>14.9</td><td>4.3</td><td>19.8</td><td>118.0</td><td>6.2</td><td>7.4</td><td>0.2</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.5</td><td></td><td>18.9</td><td>343.4</td><td>20.2</td><td>-36.4</td><td>-6.2</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	13/05/09	Restinclières	16.5	614	7.54	355.1	14.9	4.3	19.8	118.0	6.2	7.4	0.2	2.0	2.5		18.9	343.4	20.2	-36.4	-6.2		
2705/09 Restincières 17.5 702 7.14 37.9.5 26.8 5.3 24.5 123.2 8.6 15.7 0.8 0.9 3.8 18.7 471.4 25.3 -36.0 -5.8 1 03/06/09 Restincières 17.5 697 7.12 379.5 26.9 5.2 24.4 123.1 8.4 15.7 0.8 0.9 3.7 18.4 469.3 25.5 -36.2 -6.1 1 </td <td>25/05/09</td> <td>Restinclières</td> <td>17.2</td> <td>637</td> <td>7.12</td> <td>361.2</td> <td>13.8</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>20.8</td> <td>120.1</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>2.8</td> <td></td> <td>17.9</td> <td>367.0</td> <td>22.5</td> <td>-36.5</td> <td>-6.1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	25/05/09	Restinclières	17.2	637	7.12	361.2	13.8	5.7	20.8	120.1	7.4	8.2	0.1	1.2	2.8		17.9	367.0	22.5	-36.5	-6.1		
03/06/09 Restincières 17.5 697 7.12 379.5 26.9 5.2 24.4 123.1 8.4 15.7 0.8 0.9 3.7 18.4 469.3 25.5 -36.2 -6.1 Image: constraints 09/06/09 Restincières 18 704 7.16 378.2 27.8 5.3 25.5 124.5 9.0 16.2 0.8 0.9 3.9 18.2 479.4 27.5 -36.1 -6.2 Image: constraints 23/06/09 Restincières 18.6 706 7.06 37.7 16.5 5.6 22.1 125.8 10.0 9.0 0.6 0.8 3.2 18.4 43.8 3.5.5 -36.0 -5.8 -13.3 08/02/10 Restincières 16.4 6.28 7.26 345.4 16.5 8.2 20.7 124.0 6.1 8.2 0.7 1.1 1.6 13.3 328.5 17.0 -36.8 -6.2 -10.7 11.0 14.9 442.7 </td <td>27/05/09</td> <td>Restinclières</td> <td>17.5</td> <td>702</td> <td>7.14</td> <td>379.5</td> <td>26.8</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>24.5</td> <td>123.2</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>15.7</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>3.8</td> <td></td> <td>18.7</td> <td>471.4</td> <td>25.3</td> <td>-36.0</td> <td>-5.8</td> <td></td> <td></td>	27/05/09	Restinclières	17.5	702	7.14	379.5	26.8	5.3	24.5	123.2	8.6	15.7	0.8	0.9	3.8		18.7	471.4	25.3	-36.0	-5.8		
09/06/09 Restincilères 18 704 7.16 378.2 27.8 5.3 25.5 124.5 9.0 16.2 0.8 0.9 3.9 18.2 479.4 27.5 -36.1 -6.2 (-6.2) 23/06/09 Restincilères 18.3 693 6.92 391.1 21.9 5.9 25.5 127.2 9.1 12.6 0.6 0.8 3.8 19.4 493.9 31.7 -36.3 -6.1 -13.7 25/01/10 Restincilères 16.4 628 7.26 345.4 16.5 5.6 22.1 125.8 10.0 9.0 0.6 0.8 3.2 18.4 433.8 33.5 -5.0 -5.8 -13.3 08/02/10 Restincilères 16.4 628 7.26 345.4 16.5 8.2 20.7 124.0 6.1 8.2 0.7 1.1 1.6 13.3 328.5 17.0 -35.8 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2 -6.2	03/06/09	Restinclières	17.5	697	7.12	379.5	26.9	5.2	24.4	123.1	8.4	15.7	0.8	0.9	3.7		18.4	469.3	25.5	-36.2	-6.1		
2306/09 Restincières 18.3 693 6.92 39.1 21.9 5.9 25.5 127.2 9.1 12.6 0.6 0.8 3.8 19.4 493.9 31.7 -36.3 -6.1 -13.7 25/01/10 Restincières 18.6 706 7.06 375.7 16.5 5.6 22.1 125.8 10.0 9.0 0.6 0.8 3.2 18.4 433.8 33.5 -35.0 -5.8 -13.3 08/02/10 Restincières 16.4 628 7.26 345.4 16.5 8.2 20.7 124.0 6.1 8.2 0.7 1.1 1.6 13.3 328.5 17.0 -35.8 -6.2 - - - - - - 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 -38.0 -6.2 - - - - - 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 -38.0 -6.2 - - - - 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 -38.0 -6.2 - - <td< td=""><td>09/06/09</td><td>Restinclières</td><td>18</td><td>704</td><td>7.16</td><td>378.2</td><td>27.8</td><td>5.3</td><td>25.5</td><td>124.5</td><td>9.0</td><td>16.2</td><td>0.8</td><td>0.9</td><td>3.9</td><td></td><td>18.2</td><td>479.4</td><td>27.5</td><td>-36.1</td><td>-6.2</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	09/06/09	Restinclières	18	704	7.16	378.2	27.8	5.3	25.5	124.5	9.0	16.2	0.8	0.9	3.9		18.2	479.4	27.5	-36.1	-6.2		
25/01/10 Restinctières 18.6 706 7.06 375.7 16.5 5.6 22.1 125.8 10.0 9.0 0.6 0.8 3.2 18.4 433.8 33.5 -35.0 -5.8 -13.3 08/02/10 Restinctières 16.4 628 7.26 345.4 16.5 8.2 20.7 124.0 6.1 8.2 0.7 1.1 1.6 13.3 328.5 17.0 -35.8 -6.2 13.3 22/02/10 Restinctières 16.6 661 6.91 390.5 13.3 7.3 19.8 142.4 5.6 7.4 0.5 1.4 1.9 14.9 454.7 21.4 1.4 1.1 11.0 14.9 454.7 21.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.9 14.9 454.7 21.4 1.4 1.1	23/06/09	Restinclières	18.3	693	6.92	391.1	21.9	5.9	25.5	127.2	9.1	12.6	0.6	0.8	3.8		19.4	493.9	31.7	-36.3	-6.1	-13.7	
08/02/10 Restincilières 16.4 628 7.26 345.4 16.5 8.2 20.7 124.0 6.1 8.2 0.7 1.1 1.6 13.3 328.5 17.0 -35.8 -6.2 0 22/02/10 Restincilières 16.9 681 6.91 390.5 13.3 7.3 19.8 142.4 5.6 7.4 0.5 1.4 1.9 14.9 454.7 21.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.9 14.9 454.7 21.4 <	25/01/10	Restinclières	18.6	706	7.06	375.7	16.5	5.6	22.1	125.8	10.0	9.0	0.6	0.8	3.2		18.4	433.8	33.5	-35.0	-5.8	-13.3	
22/02/10 Restincières 16.9 681 6.91 390.5 13.3 7.3 19.8 142.4 5.6 7.4 0.5 1.4 1.9 14.9 454.7 21.4 11/03/10 Restincières 16.6 656 6.96 386.7 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 .38.0 -6.2 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 21.0 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5	08/02/10	Restinclières	16.4	628	7.26	345.4	16.5	8.2	20.7	124.0	6.1	8.2	0.7	1.1	1.6		13.3	328.5	17.0	-35.8	-6.2		
11/03/10 Restincières 16.6 6.56 6.96 386.7 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 2.1 2.3 16.4 422.3 24.5 <	22/02/10	Restinclières	16.9	681	6.91	390.5	13.3	7.3	19.8	142.4	5.6	7.4	0.5	1.4	1.9		14.9	454.7	21.4				
22/03/10 Restincières 16.4 594 6.98 367.3 15.2 5.7 23.3 131.3 5.9 7.8 0.6 1.1 2.7 18.8 374.2 23.8 -37.9 -6.2 0 07/04/10 Restincières 16.2 616 352.6 14.9 5.3 21.1 125.8 6.5 8.1 0.6 0.8 2.3 18.2 308.3 19.0 -37.2 -6.0 0 20/04/10 Restincières 16.2 580 7.18 361.1 15.4 5.7 23.7 127.5 6.5 8.2 0.6 1.1 2.5 18.4 360.3 21.0 -36.0 -5.9 0.6 03/05/10 Restincières 17 665 7.02 36.0 21.1 8.4 27.6 129.2 7.7 11.3 1.0 1.5 3.1 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.6 03/05/10 Restincières 17.1 683 6.91 374.5 22.9 6.3 27.8 131.8 8.2 13.2 0.	11/03/10	Restinclières	16.6	656	6.96	386.7								2.1	2.3		16.4	422.3	24.5	-38.0	-6.2		
07/04/10 Restincières 16.2 616 352.6 14.9 5.3 21.1 125.8 6.5 8.1 0.6 0.8 2.3 18.2 308.3 19.0 -37.2 -6.0 0.6 20/04/10 Restincières 16.2 580 7.18 361.1 15.4 5.7 23.7 127.5 6.5 8.2 0.6 1.1 2.5 18.4 360.3 21.0 -36.0 -5.9 0.6 0.305/10 Restincières 17 665 7.02 360.0 21.1 8.4 27.6 129.2 7.7 11.3 1.0 1.5 3.1 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.6 0.6 1.4 3.7 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.6 0.6 1.4 3.7 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.6 0.6 1.4 3.7 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.6 0.6 1.4 3.7 22.5 464.6 23.8 -35.7 -5.8 0.6 0.6 1.4 2.7 19.0<	22/03/10	Restinclières	16.4	594	6.98	367.3	15.2	5.7	23.3	131.3	5.9	7.8	0.6	1.1	2.7		18.8	374.2	23.8	-37.9	-6.2		
20/04/10 Restincières 16.2 580 7.18 361.1 15.4 5.7 23.7 127.5 6.5 8.2 0.6 1.1 2.5 18.4 360.3 21.0 -36.0 -5.9 0.0 03/05/10 Restincières 17 665 7.02 360.0 21.1 8.4 27.6 129.2 7.7 11.3 1.0 1.5 3.1 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 0.0 20/05/10 Restincières 17.1 683 6.91 374.5 22.9 6.3 27.8 131.8 8.2 13.2 0.8 1.4 3.7 22.5 464.6 23.8 -35.6 -6.0 0.0 27/05/10 Restincières 16.6 624 7.00 357.6 15.3 5.1 24.3 134.2 6.9 8.4 0.6 1.4 2.7 19.0 355.5 21.2 V V V	07/04/10	Restinclières	16.2	616		352.6	14.9	5.3	21.1	125.8	6.5	8.1	0.6	0.8	2.3		18.2	308.3	19.0	-37.2	-6.0		
03/05/10 Restincières 17 665 7.02 360.0 21.1 8.4 27.6 129.2 7.7 11.3 1.0 1.5 3.1 23.9 420.5 24.3 -35.6 -6.0 20/05/10 Restincières 17.1 683 6.91 374.5 22.9 6.3 27.8 131.8 8.2 13.2 0.8 1.4 3.7 22.5 464.6 23.8 -35.7 -5.8 27/05/10 Restincières 16.6 624 7.00 357.6 15.3 5.1 24.3 134.2 6.9 8.4 0.6 1.4 2.7 19.0 355.5 21.2	20/04/10	Restinclières	16.2	580	7.18	361.1	15.4	5.7	23.7	127.5	6.5	8.2	0.6	1.1	2.5		18.4	360.3	21.0	-36.0	-5.9		
20/05/10 Restinctives 17.1 683 6.91 374.5 22.9 6.3 27.8 131.8 8.2 13.2 0.8 1.4 3.7 22.5 464.6 23.8 -35.7 -5.8 27/05/10 Restinctives 16.6 624 7.00 357.6 15.3 5.1 24.3 134.2 6.9 8.4 0.6 1.4 2.7 19.0 355.5 21.2	03/05/10	Restinclières	17	665	7.02	360.0	21.1	8.4	27.6	129.2	7.7	11.3	1.0	1.5	3.1		23.9	420.5	24.3	-35.6	-6.0		
27/05/10 Restinclières 16.6 624 7.00 357.6 15.3 5.1 24.3 134.2 6.9 8.4 0.6 1.4 2.7 19.0 355.5 21.2	20/05/10	Restinclières	17.1	683	6.91	374.5	22.9	6.3	27.8	131.8	8.2	13.2	0.8	1.4	3.7		22.5	464.6	23.8	-35.7	-5.8		
	27/05/10	Restinclières	16.6	624	7.00	357.6	15.3	5.1	24.3	134.2	6.9	8.4	0.6	1.4	2.7		19.0	355.5	21.2				

		T°C	CE		HCO3	CI.	NO ₃ ⁻	SO42-	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br	B ³⁺	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²H	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ ¹³ C	
Sampling date	Spring	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V-PDB)	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr
05/11/08	Fleurettes	15.5	649	6.98	385.5	11.5	3.4	21.0	132.2	2.3	5.1	0.2	3.3	1.5	89.0	12.7	231.6	10.2	-28.8	-5.0		
07/11/08	Fleurettes	14	677	6.98	405.0	12.4	5.1	20.1	138.1	3.3	5.7	0.3	2.3	1.9	102.4	13.5	302.4	15.7	-30.0	-5.1	-15.2	
09/11/08	Fleurettes	16.3	672	7.04	380.6	13.3	6.9	19.2	134.7	4.4	6.0	0.3	1.9	2.2	103.0	15.2	374.5	24.8	-31.7	-5.2		
12/11/08	Fleurettes	16.6	668	7.08	390.4	13.6	6.1	20.3	132.8	5.0	6.1	0.4	5.1	2.4	104.6	15.8	399.9	32.2	-32.0	-5.3		
14/11/08	Fleurettes	16.6	669	7.00	388.1	14.9	6.1	22.6	143.9	5.8	7.0	0.5	5.6	2.4	102.7	16.0	390.1	33.4	-31.9	-5.2		
18/11/08	Fleurettes	16.4	680	8.55	389.9	14.6	5.7	21.7	140.8	5.9	7.1	0.5	1.5	2.4	109.4	15.8	390.8	33.5	-31.6	-5.3	-14.5	
09/01/09	Fleurettes	16.4	662	7.06	356.4	14.6	6.0	22.5	140.5	5.9	7.2	0.4	1.1	2.4		16.0	397.9	31.4	-34.2	-6.0		
02/02/09	Fleurettes	15	568	7.22	336.8	7.8	2.5	16.8	114.4	1.7	4.9	0.4	2.1	1.1	84.3	12.0	185.1	9.9	-44.0	-7.4		
22/04/09	Fleurettes	16.1	668	7.17	385.7	13.2	4.7	23.3	129.0	5.4	6.7	0.4	1.8	3.0	102.2	1.6	418.9	31.1	-39.3	-6.5	-14.2	
09/02/09	Fleurettes	16	651	7.17	379.5	13.4	4.7	20.8	131.1	5.8	7.1	0.4	1.8	2.3	358.0	14.6	350.0	25.6	-37.0	-6.2		
08/02/10	Fleurettes	16.4	678	6.97	386.9	13.0	7.2	22.9	136.8	3.2	6.3	0.4	1.5	2.3		17.2	419.0	20.6	-36.1	-6.2		
22/02/10	Fleurettes	15.7	646	6.91	378.3								1.7	1.9		15.9	357.3	20.7	-38.4	-6.4		
11/03/10	Fleurettes	15.5	608	6.92	380.6	13.9	5.1	25.0	140.2	5.4	7.2	0.6	1.5	2.5		18.4	351.1	28.0	-37.7	-6.2		
07/04/10	Fleurettes	16.3	628	7.09	402.6	14.8	5.5	24.8	143.7	6.5	7.5	0.5	2.1	2.7		18.9	445.6	32.6	-37.1	-6.0		
03/05/10	Fleurettes	16.8	678	7.00	388.0	14.3	4.8	28.6	141.5	6.9	7.9	0.5	2.2	3.2		20.3	459.0	32.9	-35.7	-5.9		
20/05/10	Fleurettes	16	673	6.99	401.5	12.7	4.9	23.6	150.6	6.1	7.0	0.5	1.7	2.7		17.8	407.8	29.4				
16/07/09	Sauve spring	14.2	518	7.33	286.8	9.0	2.7	36.6	82.9	16.6	6.9	1.7	1.6	2.1	<ld< td=""><td>17.9</td><td>244.6</td><td>28.8</td><td>-34.8</td><td>-5.8</td><td>-13.9</td><td>0.70854</td></ld<>	17.9	244.6	28.8	-34.8	-5.8	-13.9	0.70854
16/07/09	Fontbonne spring	18	629	7.43	366.6	11.3	5.4	16.6	129.4	5.0	5.2	0.2	1.0	1.9	<ld< td=""><td>13.0</td><td>277.2</td><td>9.1</td><td>-35.8</td><td>-5.7</td><td>-13.2</td><td>0.70764</td></ld<>	13.0	277.2	9.1	-35.8	-5.7	-13.2	0.70764
21/07/09	Olivier well	18.6	677	7.84	341.8	17.2	25.9	28.7	107.2	20.3	8.8	1.3	1.3	9.3	154.9	56.5	1998.0	24.0	-35.9	-6.0	-10.9	0.70737
21/07/09	Boinet well	15.7	859	7.08	488.2	25.4	19.2	33.9	149.7	19.5	9.3	0.4	1.8	7.9	192.4	54.0	3266.0	16.0	-32.6	-6.3	-14.3	0.70733
21/07/09	Foux de Lauret	13.8	583	7.51	379.1	5.7	1.0	10.5	119.4	4.0	4.0	0.4	1.3	0.9	83.3	10.8	456.7	7.5	-38.5	-6.0	-15.0	0.70745
21/07/09	Dolgue spring	13.2	708	7.32	426.5	13.9	1.0	26.8	140.4	8.2	5.8	0.2	1.7	3.5	177.0	21.7	862.6	13.5	-35.6	-6.0	-16.0	0.70744
21/07/09	Lavabre spring	14	637	7.38	403.3	8.0		14.3	129.2	6.0	5.0	0.1	1.2	2.2	151.9	18.6	821.0	9.3	-36.8	-5.9	-16.4	0.70742
21/07/09	Lavabre well	18.5	640	7.16	424.0	10.8		19.0	126.1	6.5	6.0	0.7	1.0	2.5	140.0	15.6	666.5	9.4	-35.9	-6.0	-16.3	
31/08/09	Fontanes well	15.3	649	7.01	401.5	10.4	6.7	21.2	133.2	5.4	5.5	0.5	0.6	3.9	160.0	19.6	410.2	10.7	-34.1	-5.8	-13.5	0.70760
31/08/09	Laudou well	14.9	661	6.99	422.3	11.6		11.2	132.2	0.7	4.8	0.9	5.0	1.3	240.0	11.9	327.1	13.0	-36.2	-6.2	-15.8	0.70756
31/08/09	Bois Roziers well	15.8	605	7.07	375.9	10.4	3.5	16.0	128.2	3.1	6.5	0.6	1.0	1.6	150.0	13.8	329.4	9.2	-35.6	-6.0	-14.3	0.70761
02/09/09	Gour Noir well	17.4	664	7.23	407.6	13.0	3.4	14.8	137.5	3.4	7.5	0.8	1.8	2.2	<ld< td=""><td>16.2</td><td>296.8</td><td>15.3</td><td>-35.7</td><td>-5.9</td><td>-14.7</td><td>0.70764</td></ld<>	16.2	296.8	15.3	-35.7	-5.9	-14.7	0.70764

		T°C	CE		HCO3.	CI.	NO ₃ -	SO42-	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na⁺	K⁺	toc	Li⁺	Br	B ³⁺	Sr ²⁺	Ba ²⁺	δ²H	δ ¹⁸ Ο	δ ¹³ C
Spring	Average and range	(°C)	(μS.cm)	рН	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(µg/l)	(‰ V- SMOW)	(‰ V- SMOW)	TDIC (‰ V- PDB)
	Average	16.1	725	7.14	353.6	45.3	5.1	26.6	120.1	8.0	26.8	1.4	1.7	4.0	111.0	17.7	454.8	17.1	-33.6	-5.7	-13.3
Lez (78 samples)	Minimum	14.0	599	6.89	271.5	16.3	3.1	18.9	105.9	3.8	8.9	0.6	0.3	0.2	50.0	5.9	62.1	6.7	-39.6	-6.4	-14.7
(,,	Maximum	17.3	898	7.53	400.3	98.6	8.6	40.4	140.1	12.9	58.9	2.6	5.6	7.3	170.0	26.6	649.1	23.9	-27.1	-3.2	-10.1
	Average	14.3	585	7.17	363.5	10.0	2.0	8.1	122.6	2.5	5.0	0.3	2.6	0.5	95.1	8.7	90.0	7.2	-30.7	-5.3	-15.4
Lirou (29 samples)	Minimum	13.3	517	6.95	300.1	7.5	0.9	6.0	106.4	0.7	4.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	80.3	0.4	50.6	6.0	-40.3	-6.9	-16.2
(,,	Maximum	15.0	646	7.83	401.5	13.8	4.2	12.7	136.6	12.6	6.4	0.6	12.0	3.1	104.9	17.1	364.2	17.1	-23.3	-4.2	-13.5
	Average	17.0	661	7.1	375.9	17.8	6.6	22.4	129.3	6.8	9.3	0.6	1.7	2.8	137.6	16.2	395.6	24.1	-34.9	-5.9	-14.0
Restinclières (33 samples)	Minimum	15.9	580	6.6	336.8	12.4	4.3	16.7	116.0	3.9	6.0	0.1	0.8	1.6	101.5	2.4	306.4	17.0	-38.2	-6.5	-15.0
(Maximum	18.6	719	7.5	430.2	29.0	9.4	27.8	142.4	10.0	16.2	1.1	5.9	4.7	360.5	23.9	517.8	33.5	-29.8	-5.1	-13.3
	Average	16.0	655	7.1	383.5	13.2	5.3	22.2	136.7	4.9	6.6	0.4	2.3	2.3	128.4	15.1	367.6	25.8	-35.0	-5.9	-14.7
Fleurettes (16 samples)	Minimum	14.0	568	6.9	336.8	7.8	2.5	16.8	114.4	1.7	4.9	0.2	1.1	1.1	84.3	1.6	185.1	9.9	-44.0	-7.4	-15.2
(it campics)	Maximum	16.8	680	8.6	405.0	14.9	7.2	28.6	150.6	6.9	7.9	0.6	5.6	3.2	358.0	20.3	459.0	33.5	-28.8	-5.0	-14.2

Table 3 – Average values and range of variations for T, EC, pH, major and trace element concentrations and isotopic ratios for the springs: Lez, Lirou, Restinclières and Fleurettes.
 2

Table 4 – Water stable isotope range for the sampled springs and raingauges and water stable isotope weighted average for rainwater.

Springs / raingauges	δ ¹⁸ O range (‰ VSMOW)	δ²H range (‰ VSMOW)	δ ¹⁸ O (‰ VSMOW) Rainwater weighted average	δ ² H (‰ VSMOW) Rainwater weighted average
Lez spring	-6.38 to -4.94	-39.6 to -30.1		
Lirou spring	-6.92 to -4.21	-40.3 to -23.3		
Restinclières spring	-6.47 to -5.13	-38.2 to -29.8		
Fleurettes spring	-7.35 to -5.00	-44.0 to -28.8		
Viols le Fort raingauge (06/09 to 05/10)	-12.27 to -2.88	-53.6 to -14.2	-4.93	-28.3
Sauteyrargues raingauge (10/09 to 05/10)	-10.59 to -3.44	-63.4 to -14.5	-7.55	-46.7
Montpellier raingauge (monthly means, 06/08 to 05/10)	-9.05 to +0.67	-58.8 to +5.6	-6.76	-41.4



Lez karst system Springs

- ★ Fleurèttes
- ★ Gour Noir
- ★ Lez Spring
- ★ Lirou
- ★ Restinclières

Valanginian Springs

- ★ Dolgue
- ★ Lauret
- ★ Lavabre

Connexe Systems Springs

- ★ Fontbonne
- ★ Sauve Spring

Geology

Miocene:	Limestones

- Oligocene: Clay and conglomerates
- Eocene: Limestones
- Cretaceous: marls and marly limestones
- Jurassic: Limestones
- —— Cross-Section NW-SE
- Main river and tributaries
- Faults
- ----- Delimitation of the zone
- in hydraulic connexion with the Lez spring (pumping tests) Thierry and Bérard (1983)

Sampled wells

- Boinet
- Bois Rozier
- Fontanès
- Gour Noir
- Laudou
- Lavabre
- Olivier

Rainfall stations

- Prades
- St Martin de
- Londres
- Valflaunes



France

























