



HAL
open science

Interpreting ELISA analyses from wild animal samples: Some recurrent issues and solutions

Romain Garnier, Raül Ramos, Ana Sanz-Aguilar, Maud Poisbleau, Henri Weimerskirch, Sarah Burthe, Jérémy Tornos, Thierry Boulinier

► **To cite this version:**

Romain Garnier, Raül Ramos, Ana Sanz-Aguilar, Maud Poisbleau, Henri Weimerskirch, et al.. Interpreting ELISA analyses from wild animal samples: Some recurrent issues and solutions. *Functional Ecology*, 2017, 31 (12), pp.2255 - 2262. 10.1111/1365-2435.12942 . hal-01882627

HAL Id: hal-01882627

<https://hal.science/hal-01882627>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MR. ROMAIN GARNIER (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6583-3527)

Article type : Research Article

Section: Animal Physiological Ecology

Editor: Charles Fox

Interpreting ELISA analyses from wild animal samples: some recurrent issues and solutions

Romain Garnier¹, Raül Ramos², Ana Sanz-Aguilar³, Maud Poisbleau⁴, Henri Weimerskirch⁵, Sarah Burthe⁶, Jeremy Tornos⁷, Thierry Boulinier⁷

¹Department of Veterinary Medicine, Disease Dynamics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

²Departament de Biologia Evolutiva, Ecologia i Ciències Ambientals, Universitat de Barcelona, Av Diagonal 643, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

³Population Ecology Group, Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios Avanzados, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Miquel Marqués 21, E-07190 Esporles, Islas Baleares, Spain

⁴Department of Biology - Behavioural Ecology & Ecophysiology Group, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium

⁵Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS - Université de la Rochelle, Villiers en Bois, France

⁶Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12942

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Accepted Article

⁷CEFE CNRS Université Montpellier, Campus CNRS, Montpellier, France

Corresponding author: Romain Garnier, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Disease Dynamics Unit, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ES, UK. Email: rg535@cam.ac.uk

Summary

1. Many studies in disease and immunological ecology rely on the use of assays that quantify the amount of specific antibodies (immunoglobulin) in samples. Enzyme-Linked Immuno Sorbent Assays (ELISAs) are increasingly used in ecology due to their availability for a broad array of antigens and the limited amount of sampling material they require. Two recurrent methodological issues are nevertheless faced by researchers: (i) the limited availability of immunological assays and reagents developed for non-model species, and (ii) the statistical determination of the cut-off threshold used to distinguish individual samples that are likely to have or not to have antibodies against a specific antigen.

2. Here, we outline two solutions to deal with these issues. First, we show that implementing two assays with differing detection methods can help validate the use of reagents, such as antibodies, in species different from their intended target. We illustrate this by comparing the quantification of specific vaccinal antibodies against Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) using two ELISA approaches in four seabird species (Cory's shearwater, European shag, European storm petrel, and Southern rockhopper penguin).

3. Second, we provide a simple way to determine from the distribution of ELISA values whether the assayed samples are likely to be made of a single group of individuals (likely negative) or of two groups of individuals (negative and positive). We illustrate the use of this approach with two independent datasets: NDV antibody levels following vaccination and anti-*Borrelia* antibody levels following natural exposure.

4. The practical implementation of these methodological approaches could provide a way to efficiently apply ELISAs and other immune-based assays to address questions in the growing fields of ecological immunology and disease ecology.

Key-words: disease ecology, ELISA, ecological immunology, immuno-ecology, vaccination, *Borrelia*, Newcastle disease virus, seabirds

Introduction

Many studies in disease ecology rely on the use of assays that allow the quantification of specific antibodies in blood samples, but their application to non-model species and in natural settings raises specific methodological issues (Gardner, Hietala & Boyce 1996; Gilbert *et al.* 2013; Garnier & Graham 2014). In field eco-epidemiology surveys, determining the proportion of individuals of a given species that have circulating antibodies against specific infectious agents (seroprevalence) is notably valuable as a basic description of the proportion of the study population that has been exposed by the agent, but also to understand the dynamics of exposure and response of hosts to infection. This can be crucial

when suspecting some species to play the role of reservoirs (Haydon *et al.* 2002; Mandl *et al.* 2015). When repeated sampling is possible, it allows the estimation of the incidence (the number of newly seropositive individuals in the population) and access to the history of infection of individuals, which can be very useful for inferring the dynamics of the agents (Keeling & Rohani 2008; Smith *et al.* 2009; Borremans *et al.* 2016). In many cases, the infectious agents of interest are pathogens suspected to circulate in the sampled populations and to be potentially responsible for disease outbreaks and/or mass mortality events (e.g., Atkinson & Samuel 2010; Ward *et al.* 2010; Peel *et al.* 2012; Duignan *et al.* 2014; Gorsich *et al.* 2015). However, even when pathogens do not appear to have a direct effect in wild animals, serological studies may prove crucial to understand the dynamics of pathogens that may spillover to humans such as plague (Stenseth *et al.* 2006) or bat-borne viruses (e.g., Hayman *et al.* 2012; Peel *et al.* 2012; Ogawa *et al.* 2015).

In ecological immunology studies, the plasma concentration of specific antibodies is often quantified in the context of experimental challenges of the immune system, which are done using purified proteins, such as Keyhole Limpet Hemocyanine (KLH), or vaccines (Staszewski & Boulinier 2004). These approaches aim at exploring possible trade-offs between the humoral immune response and other traits (Sorci *et al.* 2009; Demas & Nelson 2011). In addition, such studies are generally carried out in the wild and involve non-model species (i.e. not mice or chickens; Pedersen & Babayan 2011). The availability of specific reagents may, in that case, impose some limitations on the immunological analyses to be carried out as well as on the analyses of the results of the available assays.

Most assays indeed rely on the use of conjugated antibodies that may be expected to bind to either antibodies of the considered species or to free antigens (Boughton, Joop & Armitage 2011). Most techniques require the use of enzyme-conjugated secondary antibodies. These secondary antibodies, when given the correct substrate, produce a colour whose intensity at a specific wavelength is correlated to the amount of antibodies in the original samples (Wild 2013). There are however significant differences in the specificity of antibodies required by different Enzyme-Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA) techniques. Competition assays rely on secondary antibodies specifically targeting the antigen of interest, for which they are competing with the antibodies of the species of interest present in the sample. These antibodies then saturate unused antigens, and higher colour intensities indicate lower antibody levels. In other words, the more colour is inhibited (referred to as 'percentage of inhibition', PI) the higher the concentration of antibodies in the original samples. The main limitation to set up competitive ELISAs is the lack of antigen-specific antibodies. These are not generally available, because ELISAs are rarely developed with wildlife infectious diseases as their main target. In indirect ELISAs (and in Western blots), the secondary antibodies target the antibodies of the species of interest that are bound to the antigen of interest. The intensity of the coloured reaction is then directly proportional to the amount of antibodies in the original sample. Indirect ELISAs are generally more widely commercially available than competitive ELISAs or easier to set up in the laboratory as they do not require antigen-specific secondary antibodies. However, ideally, the secondary antibody used should be specific of the species from which the sample originated to maximize the detection of antigen-antibody complexes.

This is unfortunately rarely the case in ecological immunology studies for which species-specific reagents are largely lacking. In some cases, reagents developed for other species have been used successfully (reviewed in Garnier & Graham 2014). In the case of antibodies, their ability to bind to non-target species is likely variable and makes their use for interspecies comparative studies challenging or the estimation of seroprevalence difficult in large scale serological surveys spanning a wide range of species. A way to circumvent this problem is to use non-specific binders such as protein M (Grover *et al.* 2014) or protein A (e.g., Baker *et al.* 2014), but again the determination of positivity thresholds is non-trivial and thus prevalence estimates may be difficult to obtain or compare between studies.

Two recurrent methodological issues are thus faced by researchers in disease and immunology: (i) the limited availability of assays measuring antigen-specific immunoglobulins that have been developed for non-model species, and (ii) the difficulty of statistically determining the proportion of individuals in a sample that have (or conversely do not have) detectable levels of antibodies against a specific antigen. Here, we investigate two simple practical solutions to deal with those issues. First, we show that the implementation of competitive ELISAs in a controlled setting can be used to validate the use of indirect ELISAs relying on conjugate antibodies that have been developed for a species phylogenetically related to the one studied, e.g., anti-chicken antibodies for wild bird species. We illustrate this by comparing the quantification of specific immunoglobulin Y (IgY; the avian functional equivalent of the mammalian immunoglobulin G) using two ELISA approaches in four seabird species. To control exposure, we vaccinated Cory's shearwaters (*Calonectris borealis*), European shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*), Mediterranean storm petrels

(Hydrobates pelagicus melitensis), and Southern rockhopper penguins (*Eudyptes chrysocome*) against Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV). We expected strong positive correlations between the two ELISAs to validate the use of the cross-reactive antibody. Second, in line with previous considerations (Hens *et al.* 2012), we provide a simple way to determine from the distribution of ELISA values whether the assayed samples are likely to be made of a single group of (likely negative) individuals or of two groups of (negative and positive) individuals. We use two independent serological datasets to illustrate the usefulness of this approach, one produced using vaccination of Cory's shearwaters against NDV and another tracking natural exposure of Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses (*Thalassarche carteri*) to *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato*. We believe that the practical implementation of these methodological approaches could provide an efficient way to improve the interpretation of ELISAs and other immunological assays in ecology and evolution.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Validating indirect ELISAs using competitive ELISAs

ELISAs are probably the most common immunological assay used in non-model species. Two main types of ELISAs exist, differing only in the way the level of antibodies in the serum (or plasma) is quantified: the secondary antibody binds either to antigen-antibody complexes (indirect ELISA) or to the remaining "free" antigen (competitive ELISA). We proposed to run a competitive and an indirect ELISAs on the same set of samples in order to validate the use of conjugated antibodies in wild species: if the non-species-specific secondary antibody can

Accepted Article

be used in a given species, then the results from the indirect ELISA should correlate with the results of a species-independent (but less widely available) competitive ELISA. For illustration, we used seabird species and an indirect ELISA assay using an anti-chicken conjugate, which we predicted would effectively quantify specific antibodies in plasma samples. An anti-bird secondary antibody is also available (e.g. Seidowski *et al.* 2010), but because it was only developed with a small set of wild birds, it would only represent another, less widely available, cross-binding secondary antibody.

Samples were obtained from control birds and from birds vaccinated using an inactivated vaccine commonly used in ecological immunology targeting NDV (Nobilis Paramyxo P201, Intervet), a pathogen thought to be *a priori* absent in the populations we considered, and for which long term persistence of specific antibody level had been established in seabird species before (Staszewski *et al.* 2007). As part of a comparative study on maternal antibody decay in seabirds (Garnier *et al.* 2012, Ramos *et al.* unpublished), birds were vaccinated with a single subcutaneous injection of 0.25 ml of the NDV vaccine (0.10 ml on Mediterranean storm petrels) about three months to a year prior to blood sampling and the competitive ELISA was used to estimate the levels of anti-NDV antibodies. The work was conducted on Cory's shearwaters in the Canary Islands (Spain), on European shags on the Isle of May (Scotland), on Mediterranean storm petrels on Benidorm Island (Spain), and on Southern rockhopper penguins in the Falkland/Malvinas Islands. Blood samples were taken using heparinized syringes. After centrifugation, the plasma was stored at -20°C until analyses. A subset of samples was chosen for analysis with both the indirect and competitive ELISAs (Southern rockhopper penguin: n = 20; Cory's shearwater: n = 28; European shag: n = 20; European storm petrel: n = 20) so that they would encompass the range of values of the

competitive ELISA. They were run by the same person over a single day. Optical Densities (OD) at 450 nm (in both cases) were read on a spectrophotometer. For the competitive ELISAs (ID Screen® Newcastle Disease Competition kit, IDVet, Montpellier, France), the Percentage of Inhibition (PI) values are used, while for the indirect ELISAs (ID Screen® Newcastle Disease Indirect kit, IDVet, Montpellier, France), the $\log_{10}[(S/P) + 3.520]$ are used following the manufacturer's instructions. PI and S/P ratios were calculated using the OD of the sample and the mean OD of the negative and positive controls (NC and PC, respectively) of respective kits as follows: $PI = [(OD_{NC} - OD_{sample}) / OD_{NC}] \times 100$ for the competition ELISAs and $S/P = [(OD_{sample} - OD_{NC}) / (OD_{PC} - OD_{NC})]$ for the indirect ELISAs.

Determining the proportion of samples that are seropositive

In many cases when assays are adapted to wild species and ecological settings, it is difficult to set an *a priori* seropositivity threshold because of the lack of positive controls. Here, similar to approaches used for the Luminex quantification of fruit bat antibodies against Hendra virus (Burroughs *et al.* 2016) or in wild rodents exposed to *Coxiella burnetii* (Meredith *et al.* 2015), we propose to apply a simple statistical approach to (i) determine whether there are likely seropositive and seronegative samples in a dataset coming from an ELISA conducted in samples from a species, and (ii) set a positivity threshold. The approach assumes that the OD/PI values of positive and negative individuals would be both normally distributed around two different mean ODs (indirect ELISA) or PIs (competitive ELISA) with different standard deviations. When using ODs from indirect ELISAs or PI for competitive ELISAs, the mean of negative individuals would be the lowest one; this would be reversed when using OD values from a competitive ELISA. Using the packages 'MASS' (Venables &

Ripley 2002) and 'mixtools' (Benaglia *et al.* 2009) in R, we propose to fit respectively one normal or a mixture of two normal distributions for the observed OD values. We then calculate the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for each fit and select the best fit accordingly (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Because the mixture model would capture either a bimodal population (if there is a sizeable part of the population positive) or a long tail due to several positive individuals, we expect the mixture model to provide a better fit than the single distribution model when there are positive individuals in the dataset. When the mixture model is statistically significantly different from the model assuming a single normal distribution (i.e. when the difference in AIC is over 2 points), we consider that positive individuals are detected above a threshold calculated as $\text{mean}[\text{negative normal}] + 3 \text{SD}[\text{negative normal}]$, which corresponds to a 99% confidence interval. If negative individuals have higher ODs (in the case of OD values of competitive ELISA), the standard deviation should be subtracted rather than added to their mean to obtain a cut-off value. For ease of understanding, we however recommend the use of PI with competitive ELISAs. Otherwise, we consider that there is no positive individual detected. It should be noted that the distributions may be influenced by other factors such as age or time since infection (especially if the pathogens are epizootics and exposure may be limited in time). However, such information is rarely available in the wild. It is thus important to demonstrate the usefulness of the method without such information being taken into account.

As an illustration of the approach, we apply it to data (i) on NDV antibody levels following vaccination or sham injection in adult female Cory's shearwaters (see details of this dataset in Garnier *et al.* (2012); $n = 94$) and (ii) on anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* (*Bbsl*) antibody levels in breeding Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses (*Thalassarche carteri*; $n = 49$), sampled in

2013 on Amsterdam Island (French Subantarctic territories) as part of an eco-epidemiology monitoring program. Lyme disease *Borrelia* transmitted by the seabird tick *Ixodes uriae* has been reported to circulate naturally in many seabird colonies (e.g., Olsen *et al.* 1995; Staszewski, McCoy & Boulinier 2008). Because of the large geographical range of this bacteria, we expected some level of exposure in the sampled population of yellow-nosed albatrosses. The anti-*Bbsl* antibodies kit (*Borrelia* + VisE IgG ELISA, IBL International, Hamburg, Germany) was manufactured for human use and designed to recognize mammalian antibodies: we thus replaced anti-human IgG antibodies by an anti-chicken IgY antibodies conjugated with peroxidase (A9046, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), as done in previous studies (e.g., Staszewski, McCoy & Boulinier 2008; Lobato *et al.* 2011). We then followed the kit manufacturer instructions and ODs were obtained at 450nm.

RESULTS

Validating indirect ELISAs using competitive ELISAs

Comparisons between indirect and competitive ELISAs were performed in four seabird species, each with distinct distributions of OD values (Figure 1). These values ranged from relatively continuous (in the Cory's shearwater) to almost bimodal with a group of high values and a group of low values (in the European storm petrel). The results of both ELISAs were nevertheless highly positively correlated for all of the four species considered with R^2 values ranging from 0.73 up to 0.88.

Determining the proportion of samples that are seropositive

We illustrate the statistical approach to determining cut-off values for indirect or direct ELISAs by presenting two contrasted situations (Figure 2). We first focus on the Cory's shearwaters - in which some females were vaccinated and others were sham injected as negative controls. The left panel of Figure 2 presents the distribution of PI values following this experiment. The statistical approach clearly selects the two normal distributions over the single normal fit ($\Delta AIC = -119$), and the probability density functions of these distributions are clearly non-overlapping (Figure 2, Shearwater panel). As a result, the predicted cut-off value (PI% = 37.6%) properly classifies all control females as negative and all vaccinated females as positive. It is of note that this classification is in full agreement with that provided by the kit's positive cut-off (PI% > 40%).

However, this ideal situation is unlikely to arise with natural infections and only serves as a proof of concept. The right panel of Figure 2 presents serological data from natural infection of 49 breeding Indian yellow-nosed albatross with *Bbsl* and because the technique used in this case is an indirect ELISA developed for humans, it is impossible to use the manufacturer's instructions to determine a cut-off value. In that case, such a statistical approach again favours the mixture of normal over the single normal distribution ($\Delta AIC = -40$). The two distributions are overlapping, but the one corresponding to the negative samples has a lower standard deviation and allows for the calculation of a cut-off value (broken line on figure 2, Yellow-nosed albatross panel). The predicted seroprevalence for *Bbsl* in Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses on Amsterdam Island is thus 12/49 individuals (24.5%). It should be noted that this prevalence estimate is conservative as the cut-off has been set to mean+3 SD, which corresponds to a 99% confidence interval. Reducing this cut-

off to 2 SD of the mean (which would be a usual 95% confidence interval) would increase the seroprevalence to 15/49 (30.6%).

DISCUSSION

Given the current interest in, and arguably need for, serological assays to detect specific antibodies in disease ecology (Gilbert et al. 2013) and ecological immunology (Garnier & Graham 2014), it is important that practical methodological approaches are made available. Here, we addressed two simple practical issues that are commonly met by ecologists attempting to use such assays. We proposed a simple way to validate the use of commercially available conjugate polyclonal antibodies developed against model species (such as anti-chicken antibodies for wild birds) and we illustrated this with the quantification of antibodies against NDV in four seabird species. We also outlined the use of an AIC-based maximum likelihood approach to discriminate seronegative and seropositive samples when no *a priori* threshold of positivity or positive controls are available.

The results we obtained regarding the validation of the NDV indirect ELISA using a competitive ELISA are in line with previous reports of the use of commercial anti-chicken antibody for quantification of IgY of various wild species (e.g., Martinez *et al.* 2003). However, variability can exist depending on the polyclonal set of antibodies used and the wild species considered, highlighting the necessity of a practical validation that can be implemented in a wide array of species. In addition to the validation of the technique, this result has specific implications for the Cory's shearwater in which maternal antibodies can

last much longer in nestlings than was known for chickens (Garnier *et al.* 2012).

Interestingly, our study sheds light on the mechanism behind this long persistence. It was indeed hypothesized by Garnier *et al.* (2012) that specific characteristics of the IgY in this species could have interfered with the binding to anti-chicken antibodies. This does not appear to be the case given the congruent results between the competitive and the indirect ELISA approaches. This would indicate some level of conservation in the structure of IgY between seabird species and chickens. Further analysis, particularly at the gene/genome level, would help shed more light on these evolutionary aspects. This is also important because commercially available conjugated antibodies (such as the anti-chicken IgY) may be more widely available and can be used to adapt a variety of immune-based assays that have been developed for non-closely related species (e.g. ELISA and Western Blot kits developed to analyse human samples to detect antibodies against Lyme disease bacteria; Staszewski, McCoy & Boulinier 2008).

If reagents can be validated using the process we describe, the criteria for positivity associated with the serological technique may be used directly. However, this is not always possible as it requires the existence (or the possibility to develop) both a competitive and an indirect assay. If no gold standard is available, the *ad hoc* method outlined to determine the proportion of samples that are positive and the likelihood that a given sample is positive may be especially useful. This may be important in several cases. ELISA developed for domestic or model species can be used in wild species which puts the validity of the kit's positive controls into question. Similarly, when individuals have unknown natural history of exposure to the infectious agent, the distribution of ODs may be insufficient to determine

the positivity in the absence of known positive individuals. This may be particularly important when several species have been sampled in a community and inter-species comparisons are made (e.g. Lobato *et al.* 2011; Miguel *et al.* 2013). Although it provides an objective way to assign individuals to a positive or negative status, it does not address the issue of false positives or false negatives (McClintock *et al.* 2010; Hens *et al.* 2012). The exact manner in which the cut-off is determined may also be of importance (Peel *et al.* 2013). For instance, Burroughs *et al.* (2016) use the intersection point of the distributions while we propose to use the mean + 3 SD as a cut-off point. We argue that our choice is more conservative as it ensures that 99% of possibly negative samples are placed below this cut-off (i.e. we minimize the risk of false positives). However, using the intersection point maximizes the correct assignment of individuals to either distribution and thus minimizes the risk of false negatives. This flexibility may be interesting depending on the context of the study. For instance, when screening wildlife for a possible emerging infectious disease, minimizing false negatives may be crucial. For prospective serological survey of endemic diseases, the reverse may be true. Another approach to setting the cut-off, particularly with bigger datasets, would rely on a bootstrap analysis to obtain confidence intervals around the cut-off value. Such issues can, and whenever possible should, be addressed via the analysis of samples using different assays and through modelling to account for potentially false negatives (for instance in a multistate occupancy framework; Elmore *et al.* 2014). When individuals can be recaptured over a series of sampling occasions, transition between immunological states can also be estimated together with their survival (Chambert *et al.* 2012; Choquet *et al.* 2013).

Accepted Article

One issue that we have not dealt with here regards the specificity of the ELISAs, which can be problematic if it is not acknowledged (Peel *et al.* 2014). In some cases, cross-reactive antibodies may result in false positive results and bias the estimates of seroprevalence. Assays that are more specific for a group of closely related infectious agents than for very specific pathogens may nevertheless be very useful as a first screening purpose or when it is independently confirmed that only one particular agent or strain of a group of closely related pathogens is circulating in the studied population. One example is that of serological studies on Avian Influenza viruses. An ELISA targeting the nucleoprotein, an antigen known to be shared by all the subtypes of the virus, is generally used to first screen efficiently all the samples. In a second step, the ELISA positive samples can be analysed using inhibition of haemagglutination assays specific to each viral subtype (Lebarbenchon *et al.* 2015) or increasingly available multiplex assays (Freidl *et al.* 2014). Another example deals with the circulation of flaviviruses in bird populations: ELISA screening using an assay specific for a broad range of flaviviruses has been efficiently implemented to detect populations with positive samples that have then been analysed using more specific virus neutralization assays (Arnal *et al.* 2014).

Serological assays that allow the detection of antibodies in sera or plasma (or egg yolks; Hammouda *et al.* 2014) have the potential to provide key information regarding the temporal dynamics of ecological exposure to infectious agents. They can represent complementary, and potentially more powerful, sources of information than direct detection of pathogens, as the latter can only be positive while the pathogen is being directly present in the blood or swab samples whereas the ability to detect antibodies can

last for months or years after exposure for many infectious agents. Provided careful sampling and experimental frameworks are followed (Garnier & Graham 2014), these methodological approaches will likely still remain useful tools in disease and immunology for many years, in complement to increasingly available molecular methods.

Authors contributions

RG, RR and TB conceived the experiments. RR, ASA, MP, HW, SB and JT performed the fieldwork. RG and RR performed the laboratory experiments. RG and RR analysed the data. RG and TB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments:

We thank Christophe Barbraud, Romain Bazire, Rémi Bigoneau, Emma Cunningham, Francis Daunt, Karine Delord, Laurent Demongin, Marcel Eens, Amandine Gamble, Hanna Granroth-Wilding, Jacob González-Solís, Audrey Jaeger, Guillermo Mayor, Teresa Militão, and Petra Quillfeldt for help and support at various stages of the work. Permits to conduct the field sampling and vaccination experiments were obtained for the Cory's shearwaters from Consejería de Medio Ambiente del Cabildo de Gran Canaria (nº 21471), for the Storm petrels from Conselleria d'Infraestructures, Territori i Medi Ambient de la Generalitat Valenciana (nº 283/12 [FAU12_031]), for the Yellow-Nosed albatrosses from Réserve Naturelle Nationale des Terres Australes/CEP and for the Rockhopper penguins from the Environmental Planning Department of the Falkland Islands Government (number

R16/2013, with ethical committee approval number 2013/29). We acknowledge support from OSU OREME; ZATA; the French Polar Institute ORNITHOECO (IPEV n°109), PARASITO-ARCTIQUE (IPEV n°333) and ECOPATH (IPEV n°1151) programs; the University of Antwerp and the FWO Flanders (grant numbers 1.2.619.10.N.00 and 1.5.020.11.N.00). R.R. was supported by a postdoctoral Beatriu de Pinós fellowship from the Catalan AGAUR (Generalitat de Catalunya, 2010-BPA-00173); MP was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO). We also thank Charles Fox and three anonymous reviewers for their comments that helped improve the manuscript.

Data and code availability

The datasets used in both the validation of the ELISA technique and the determination of the cut-off are available on Dryad: doi:10.5061/dryad.0qk1h (Garnier et al. 2017).

The annotated R code for the determination of the cut-off (in text format) is provided as a supplementary file.

References:

Arnal, A., Gomez-Diaz, E., Cerda-Cuellar, M., Lecollinet, S., Pearce-Duvet, J., Busquets, N., Garcia-Bocanegra, I., Pages, N., Vittecoq, M., Hammouda, A., Samraoui, B., Garnier, R., Ramos, R., Selmi, S., Gonzalez-Solis, J., Jourdain, E. & Boulinier, T. (2014) Circulation of a Meaban-like virus in yellow-legged gulls and seabird ticks in the western Mediterranean basin. *PLoS One*, **9**, e89601.

Atkinson, C.T. & Samuel, M.D. (2010) Avian malaria *Plasmodium relictum* in native Hawaiian forest birds: epizootiology and demographic impacts on `apapane *Himatione sanguinea*. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **41**, 357-366.

Baker, K.S., Suu-Ire, R., Barr, J., Hayman, D.T., Broder, C.C., Horton, D.L., Durrant, C., Murcia, P.R., Cunningham, A.A. & Wood, J.L.N. (2014) Viral antibody dynamics in a chiropteran host. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **83**, 415-428.

Benaglia, T., Chauveau, D., Hunter, D.R. & Young, D.S. (2009) mixtools: an R package for analyzing finite mixture models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **32**, 1-29.

Borremans, B., Hens, N., Beutels, P., Leirs, H. & Reijnders, J. (2016) Estimating Time of Infection Using Prior Serological and Individual Information Can Greatly Improve Incidence Estimation of Human and Wildlife Infections. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **12**, e1004882.

Boughton, R.K., Joop, G. & Armitage, S.A.O. (2011) Outdoor immunology: methodological considerations for ecologists. *Functional Ecology*, **25**, 81-100.

Burnham, K. & Anderson, D. (2002) *Model selection and multimodel inference. Practical information - Theoretic approach*. Springer, New York, NY.

Burroughs, A.L., Durr, P.A., Boyd, V., Graham, K., White, J.R., Todd, S., Barr, J., Smith, I., Baverstock, G., Meers, J., Crameri, G. & Wang, L.F. (2016) Hendra Virus Infection Dynamics in the Grey-Headed Flying Fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*) at the Southern-Most Extent of Its Range: Further Evidence This Species Does Not Readily Transmit the Virus to Horses. *PLoS One*, **11**, e0155252.

Chambert, T., Staszewski, V., Lobato, E., Choquet, R., Carrie, C., McCoy, K.D., Tveraa, T. & Boulinier, T. (2012) Exposure of black-legged kittiwakes to Lyme disease spirochetes:

dynamics of the immune status of adult hosts and effect on their survival. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **81**, 986-995.

Choquet, R., Carrie, C., Chambert, T. & Boulinier, T. (2013) Estimating transitions between states using measurements with imperfect detection: application to serological data. *Ecology*, **94**, 2160-2165.

Demas, G.E. & Nelson, R.J. (2011) *Ecoimmunology*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

Duignan, P.J., Van Bresseem, M.F., Baker, J.D., Barbieri, M., Colegrove, K.M., De Guise, S., de Swart, R.L., Di Guardo, G., Dobson, A., Duprex, W.P., Early, G., Fauquier, D., Goldstein, T., Goodman, S.J., Grenfell, B., Groch, K.R., Gulland, F., Hall, A., Jensen, B.A., Lamy, K., Matassa, K., Mazzariol, S., Morris, S.E., Nielsen, O., Rotstein, D., Rowles, T.K., Saliki, J.T., Siebert, U., Waltzek, T. & Wellehan, J.F. (2014) Phocine distemper virus: current knowledge and future directions. *Viruses*, **6**, 5093-5134.

Elmore, S.A., Huyvaert, K.P., Bailey, L.L., Milhous, J., Alisauskas, R.T., Gajadhar, A.A. & Jenkins, E.J. (2014) *Toxoplasma gondii* exposure in arctic-nesting geese: A multi-state occupancy framework and comparison of serological assays. *Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl*, **3**, 147-153.

Freidl, G.S., de Bruin, E., van Beek, J., Reimerink, J., de Wit, S., Koch, G., Vervelde, L., van den Ham, H.J. & Koopmans, M.P. (2014) Getting more out of less - a quantitative serological screening tool for simultaneous detection of multiple influenza A hemagglutinin-types in chickens. *PLoS ONE*, **9**, e108043.

Gardner, I.A., Hietala, S. & Boyce, W.M. (1996) Validity of using serological tests for diagnosis of diseases in wild animals. *Revue Scientifique et Technique Office International des Epizooties*, **15**, 323-335.

- Garnier, R. & Graham, A.L. (2014) Insights from parasite-specific serological tools in eco-immunology. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **54**, 363-376.
- Garnier, R., Ramos, R., Sanz-Aguilar, A., Poisbleau, M., Weimerskirch, H., Burthe, S., Tornos, J. & Boulinier, T. (2017) Data from: Interpreting ELISA analyses from wild animal samples: some recurrent issues and solutions. *Dryad Data Repository*, doi:10.5061/dryad.0qk1h.
- Garnier, R., Ramos, R., Staszewski, V., Militão, T., Lobato, E., González-Solís, J. & Boulinier, T. (2012) Maternal antibody persistence: a neglected life history trait with implications from albatross conservation to comparative immunology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **279**, 2033-2041.
- Gilbert, A.T., Fooks, A.R., Hayman, D.T.S., Horton, D., Müller, T., Plowright, R., Peel, A.J., Bowen, R., Wood, J.L.N., Mills, J., Cunningham, A.A. & Rupprecht, C.E. (2013) Deciphering serology to understand the ecology of infectious diseases in wildlife. *EcoHealth*, **10**, 298-313.
- Gorsich, E.E., Ezenwa, V.O., Cross, P.C., Bengis, R.G. & Jolles, A.E. (2015) Context-dependent survival, fecundity and predicted population-level consequences of brucellosis in African buffalo. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **84**, 999-1009.
- Grover, R.K., Zhu, X., Nieuwma, T., Jones, T., Boero, I., MacLeod, A.S., Mark, A., Niessen, S., Kim, H.J. & Kong, L. (2014) A Structurally Distinct Human Mycoplasma Protein that Generically Blocks Antigen-Antibody Union. *Science*, **343**, 656-661.
- Hammouda, A., Pearce-Duvel, J., Boulinier, T. & Selmi, S. (2014) Egg sampling as a possible alternative to blood sampling when monitoring the exposure of yellow-legged gulls (*Larus michahellis*) to avian influenza viruses. *Avian Pathology*, **43**, 547-551.

- Haydon, D.T., Cleaveland, S., Taylor, L.H. & Laurenson, M.K. (2002) Identifying reservoirs of infection: a conceptual and practical challenge. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, **8**, 1468-1473.
- Hayman, D.T.S., Yu, M., Cramer, G., Wang, L.-F., Suu-Ire, R., Wood, J.L.N. & Cunningham, A.A. (2012) Ebola virus antibodies in fruit bats, Ghana, West Africa. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, **18**, 1207-1209.
- Hens, N., Shkedy, Z., Aerts, M., Faes, C., Van Damme, P. & Beutels, P. (2012) *Modeling infectious disease parameters based on serological and social contact data: a modern statistical perspective*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Keeling, M.J. & Rohani, P. (2008) *Modeling infectious diseases in humans and animals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Lebarbenchon, C., Jaeger, A., Feare, C., Bastien, M., Dietrich, M., Larose, C., Lagadec, E., Rocamora, G., Shah, N., Pascalis, H., Boulinier, T., Le Corre, M., Stallknecht, D.E. & Dellagi, K. (2015) Influenza A virus on oceanic islands: host and viral diversity in seabirds in the Western Indian Ocean. *PLoS Pathog*, **11**, e1004925.
- Lobato, E., Pearce-DuVet, J., Staszewski, V., Gomez-Diaz, E., Gonzalez-Solis, J., Kitaysky, A., McCoy, K.D. & Boulinier, T. (2011) Seabirds and the circulation of Lyme borreliosis bacteria in the North Pacific. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, **11**, 1521-1527.
- Mandl, J.N., Ahmed, R., Barreiro, L.B., Daszak, P., Epstein, J.H., Virgin, H.W. & Feinberg, M.B. (2015) Reservoir Host Immune Responses to Emerging Zoonotic Viruses. *Cell*, **160**, 20-35.
- Martinez, J., Tomas, G., Merino, S., Arriero, E. & Moreno, J. (2003) Detection of serum immunoglobulins in wild birds by direct ELISA: a methodological study to validate the

technique in different species using antichickens antibodies. *Functional Ecology*, **17**, 700-706.

McClintock, B.T., Nichols, J.D., Bailey, L.L., MacKenzie, D.I., Kendall, W.L. & Franklin, A.B. (2010) Seeking a second opinion: uncertainty in disease ecology. *Ecology Letters*, **13**, 659-674.

Meredith, A.L., Cleaveland, S.C., Denwood, M.J., Brown, J.K. & Shaw, D.J. (2015) Coxiella burnetii (Q-Fever) Seroprevalence in Prey and Predators in the United Kingdom: Evaluation of Infection in Wild Rodents, Foxes and Domestic Cats Using a Modified ELISA. *Transbound Emerg Dis*, **62**, 639-649.

Miguel, E., Grosbois, V., Caron, A., Boulinier, T., Fritz, H., Cornélis, D., Foggin, C., Makaya, P.V., Tshabalala, P.T. & de Garine-Wichatitsky, M. (2013) Contacts and foot and mouth disease transmission from wild to domestic bovines in Africa. *Ecosphere*, **4**, art51.

Ogawa, H., Miyamoto, H., Nakayama, E., Yoshida, R., Nakamura, I., Sawa, H., Ishii, A., Thomas, Y., Nakagawa, E., Matsuno, K., Kajihara, M., Maruyama, J., Nao, N., Muramatsu, M., Kuroda, M., Simulundu, E., Changula, K., Hang'ombe, B., Namangala, B., Nambota, A., Katampi, J., Igarashi, M., Ito, K., Feldmann, H., Sugimoto, C., Moonga, L., Mweene, A. & Takada, A. (2015) Seroepidemiological Prevalence of Multiple Species of Filoviruses in Fruit Bats (*Eidolon helvum*) Migrating in Africa. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, **212 Suppl 2**, S101-108.

Olsen, B., Duffy, D.C., Jaenson, T.G.T., Gylfe, Å., Bonnedahl, J. & Bergström, J. (1995) Transhemispheric exchange of Lyme disease spirochetes by seabirds. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **33**, 3270-3274.

Pedersen, A.B. & Babayan, S.A. (2011) Wild immunology. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 872-880.

- Peel, A., Pulliam, J., Luis, A., Plowright, R., O'Shea, T., Hayman, D., Wood, J., Webb, C. & Restif, O. (2014) The effect of seasonal birth pulses on pathogen persistence in wild mammal populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20132962.
- Peel, A.J., Baker, K.S., Cramer, G., Barr, J.A., Hayman, D.T., Wright, E., Broder, C.C., Fernández-Loras, A., Fooks, A.R. & Wang, L.-F. (2012) Henipavirus neutralising antibodies in an isolated island population of African fruit bats. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e30346.
- Peel, A.J., McKinley, T.J., Baker, K.S., Barr, J.A., Cramer, G., Hayman, D.T., Feng, Y.R., Broder, C.C., Wang, L.F., Cunningham, A.A. & Wood, J.L. (2013) Use of cross-reactive serological assays for detecting novel pathogens in wildlife: assessing an appropriate cutoff for henipavirus assays in African bats. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **193**, 295-303.
- Seidowski, D., Ziegler, U., von Ronn, J.A., Muller, K., Huppopp, K., Muller, T., Freuling, C., Muhle, R.U., Nowotny, N., Ulrich, R.G., Niedrig, M. & Groschup, M.H. (2010) West Nile virus monitoring of migratory and resident birds in Germany. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, **10**, 639-647.
- Smith, M.J., Telfer, S., Kallio, E.R., Burthe, S., Cook, A.R., Lambin, X. & Begon, M. (2009) Host-pathogen time series data in wildlife support a transmission function between density and frequency dependence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **106**, 7905-7909.
- Sorci, G., Boulinier, T., Gauthier-Clerc, M. & Faivre, B. (2009) The evolutionary ecology of the immune response. *Ecology & Evolution of Parasitism* (eds F. Thomas, G. J.-F. & F. Renaud). Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Staszewski, V. & Boulinier, T. (2004) Vaccination: a way to address questions in behavioral and population ecology? *Trends in Parasitology*, **20**, 17-22.

Staszewski, V., Gasparini, J., McCoy, K.D., Tveraa, T. & Boulinier, T. (2007) Evidence of an interannual effect of maternal immunization on the immune response of juveniles in a long-lived colonial bird. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **76**, 1215-1223.

Staszewski, V., McCoy, K.D. & Boulinier, T. (2008) Variable exposure and immunological response to Lyme disease *Borrelia* among North Atlantic seabird species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **275**, 2101-2109.

Stenseth, N.C., Samia, N.I., Viljugrein, H., Kausrud, K.L., Begon, M., Davis, S., Leirs, H., Dubyanskiy, V.M., Esper, J., Ageyev, V.S., Klassovskiy, N.L., Pole, S.B. & Chan, K.S. (2006) Plague dynamics are driven by climate variation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **103**, 13110-13115.

Venables, W.N. & Ripley, B.D. (2002) *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Springer, New York.

Ward, M.P., Beveroth, T.A., Lampman, R., Raim, A., Enstrom, D. & Novak, R. (2010) Field-based estimates of avian mortality from West Nile virus infection. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, **10**, 909-913.

Wild, D.G. (2013) *The Immunoassay Handbook: Theory and applications of ligand binding, ELISA and related techniques*. Elsevier, Waltham, MA.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Correlation (and p-value) between the logarithm of the antibody level against NDV measured using an indirect ELISA with anti-chicken conjugated antibodies (X axis) and a competitive ELISA (Y axis) in four seabird species.

Figure 2. Distribution of Percentage of Inhibition (PI) for Cory's shearwaters females vaccinated against NDV (left panel) and of Optical Density (OD) values for Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses used to quantify natural exposure to *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (right panel). The histograms present the normalized counts of individuals and the curves correspond to the probability density function of the two normal distributions (negative: green curve; positive: red curve). The broken line corresponds to the cut-off value estimated by the statistical model (mean of negative normal + 3 standard deviations).



