

Early Language Acquisition in French Sign Language: preliminary data on the development of gestures and signs

Elise Guy-Guyenet, Caroline Bogliotti, Anne Lacheret-Dujour

▶ To cite this version:

Elise Guy-Guyenet, Caroline Bogliotti, Anne Lacheret-Dujour. Early Language Acquisition in French Sign Language: preliminary data on the development of gestures and signs. 8th Conference of the International Society for Gesture Studies – Gesture and Diversity, Jul 2018, Cape Town, South Africa. hal-01878678

HAL Id: hal-01878678 https://hal.science/hal-01878678v1

Submitted on 21 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Early Language Acquisition in French Sign Language: preliminary data on the development of gestures and signs

Guy-Guyenet, Bogliotti & Lacheret-Dujour Université Paris Nanterre & Modyco CNRS-UMR 7114

→LU + Sign-like

-GIVE + SHOW

PT (index + hand)

Background

Early language acquisition : same developmental sequence in speech- and sign-exposed children :

- The onset of gestures between 9 and 13 months precedes the onset of the first lexical units
- Children use both gestural and linguistic repertoires to communicate during the second year

Specificity of sign-exposed children language acquisition :

- Gestural and linguistic development occur in the same visual-gestural modality
- Both gestures and signs are produced by the manual articulators

Aim of the study

To collect developmental data on French Sign Language (LSF) acquisition from birth to 3 y.o :

- 1. To describe gestural and lexical development in LSF
- 2. To investigate the way children reorganize their gestural and linguistic repertoires during language development

Methodology

Corpus-based study: longitudinal design

Participants: 3 sign-exposed children

NAME	SEX	HEARING STATUS	BIRTH ORDER	NUMBER OF VISITS
Léna	female	hearing	1st	20
Soline	female	deaf	2nd	20
Paul	male	hearing	3rd	17

Data collection:

- Spontaneous child-caregiver interactions at home
- Video recording with 2 cameras
- 45-minute sessions at monthly intervals (for 2 years)
- ≈ 50 hours of parent-child interactions were recorded

Coding procedure: ELAN software: 10-minute sample per session Identifying and categorizing manual behaviours:


DEICTIC: *pointing, showing, giving, ritualized request* ۲

Results

711 manual behaviours were analyzed for the 9-18-month period.

Deictic Gestures	Referential Gestures			
59.4%	40.4%			
PT-index	UL	Sign-like	Others (CG + G/S ?)	
67.1%	50.5%	39.7%	9.8%	

Developmental pattern per type of manual behavior




Results can be discussed in terms of:

1. Stages of development for both communicative gestures and signs:

- LEXICAL UNIT: exact match or close to a form in the adult lexicon
- **SIGN-LIKE:** form that ressembles SL but is not part of the LSF lexicon
- **CONVENTIONAL:** codified gesture shared among a community
- G/S?: form whose meaning is unclear, could be a gesture or a sign

+ Annotation of functional aspects :





12;18

10;25

9;25

11;14



13;16

14;14

15;22

16;10

17;08

18;12

SHOWING/GIVING> POINTING > Lexical unit

2. Frequency and types of gestures and signs

Deictic gestures (mainly POINTING) are the most prominent type of manual behavior and are used productively not only in the early stage but also after the emergence of the first signs.

From 14 months on, POINTING and LU+SIGN-LIKE show the same developmental trajectories.

3. Variability across children and across sessions Children exhibit different patterns according to onset (first signs and first deictic gestures) and productivity per type of manual production.

Acknowlegments :

We thank the children and their families for their participation in this study









100 a ę Number 20 10;19 15:20 9;12 11;09 14;15 16:09 18;12 Age in months

Conclusion and perspectives

Number

20

The developmental progression of communicative gestures and signs observed is in line with previous research studying language development in both sign- and speech- exposed children. Further research is needed to investigate the SIGN-LIKE types. Annotations and analyzes of the parental input are ongoing.