

Inhibition of Proteasome Activity Induces Formation of Alternative Proteasome Complexes

Vanessa Welk, Olivier Coux, Vera Kleene, Claire Abeza, Dietrich Trümbach,

Oliver Eickelberg, Silke Meiners

▶ To cite this version:

Vanessa Welk, Olivier Coux, Vera Kleene, Claire Abeza, Dietrich Trümbach, et al.. Inhibition of Proteasome Activity Induces Formation of Alternative Proteasome Complexes. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2016, 291 (25), pp.13147-13159. 10.1074/jbc.M116.717652 . hal-01878034

HAL Id: hal-01878034 https://hal.science/hal-01878034

Submitted on 27 May 2021 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Inhibition of Proteasome Activity Induces Formation of Alternative Proteasome Complexes*

Received for publication, January 25, 2016, and in revised form, March 30, 2016 Published, JBC Papers in Press, April 18, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M116.717652

Vanessa Welk^{‡1}, Olivier Coux[§], Vera Kleene[‡], Claire Abeza[§], Dietrich Trümbach[¶], Oliver Eickelberg[‡], and Silke Meiners^{‡2}

From the [‡]Comprehensive Pneumology Center (CPC), University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Member of the German Center for Lung Research (DZL), 81377 Munich, Germany, the [§]Centre de Recherche de Biochimie Macromoléculaire (CRBM-CNRS UMR 5237), Université de Montpellier, 34293 Montpellier, France, and the [¶]Institute of Developmental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany

The proteasome is an intracellular protease complex consisting of the 20S catalytic core and its associated regulators, including the 19S complex, PA28 $\alpha\beta$, PA28 γ , PA200, and PI31. Inhibition of the proteasome induces autoregulatory de novo formation of 20S and 26S proteasome complexes. Formation of alternative proteasome complexes, however, has not been investigated so far. We here show that catalytic proteasome inhibition results in fast recruitment of PA28 γ and PA200 to 20S and 26S proteasomes within 2-6 h. Rapid formation of alternative proteasome complexes did not involve transcriptional activation of PA28 γ and PA200 but rather recruitment of preexisting activators to 20S and 26S proteasome complexes. Recruitment of proteasomal activators depended on the extent of active site inhibition of the proteasome with inhibition of β 5 active sites being sufficient for inducing recruitment. Moreover, specific inhibition of 26S proteasome activity via siRNA-mediated knockdown of the 19S subunit RPN6 induced recruitment of only PA200 to 20S proteasomes, whereas PA28 γ was not mobilized. Here, formation of alternative PA200 complexes involved transcriptional activation of the activator. Alternative proteasome complexes persisted when cells had regained proteasome activity after pulse exposure to proteasome inhibitors. Knockdown of PA28 γ sensitized cells to proteasome inhibitor-mediated growth arrest. Thus, formation of alternative proteasome complexes appears to be a formerly unrecognized but integral part of the cellular response to impaired proteasome function and altered proteostasis.

The proteasome, one of the main proteolytic systems of the cell, is essential for maintenance of protein homeostasis and thus of cellular functions. The proteolytic activity of this multicatalytic protease resides inside the 20S core particle, built of four stacked rings of seven α and β subunits with $\alpha_7\beta_7\beta_7\alpha_7$ organization. The internal cavity of the complex, defined by the

² To whom correspondence should be addressed: Max-Lebsche Platz 31, 81377 Munich, Germany. Tel.: 00498931874673; Fax: 0049893187194673; E-mail: silke.meiners@helmholtz-muenchen.de.

This is an Open Access article under the CC BY license.



two β rings, encloses three pairs of distinct active sites named according to their differential cleavage site specificity: chymotrypsin-like (CT-L),³ trypsin-like (T-L), and caspase-like (C-L) catalytic sites (1). Due to the closed conformation of the native 20S core complex, binding of different proteasome activators is required to induce opening of the 20S entry pores and allow injection of substrates into the catalytic chamber for degradation (2, 3). Three different families of activators, highly conserved during evolution, have been identified (4): the 19S regulatory particle recognizes polyubiquitylated proteins and targets them for degradation in an ATP-dependent manner (5). Binding of either one or two 19S regulators to the 20S core gives rise to the 26S and 30S proteasome, respectively. The proteasome activator 28 (PA28) family, which comprises the heptameric PA28 α/β and PA28 γ complexes, and the proteasome activator 200 (PA200), however, function in an ubiquitin- and ATP-independent manner unless they assemble into so-called hybrid proteasome complexes that also contain one 19S regulator attached to one side of the 20S core (3, 6-9). In addition to these three activators, another regulator (PI31) of the 20S complex has been identified but its functions for proteolysis remain obscure (10).

Formation of PA28 α/β heteroheptamers is induced by interferon- γ and LPS stimulation of cells. This activator is cytoplasmic and preferentially binds to the inducible 20S immunoproteasome (11–13 and 70). Both PA28 γ and PA200 are constitutively expressed in most cell types (7, 15). PA28 γ is exclusively found in the nucleus and associates as a homoheptamer with the 20S complex (16, 17). PA28 γ -containing proteasomes have been implicated in degradation of specific nuclear substrates involved in regulation of cell cycle progression and intranuclear dynamics (6, 18–21). The monomeric PA200 is mainly present in the nucleus (7). Its function is not well understood, but involvement in genomic stability, maintenance of glutamine homeostasis, and spermatogenesis have been reported (22–26).

Recruitment of proteasomal activators to the 20S catalytic core gives rise to a variety of different alternative proteasome complexes consisting of singly or doubly capped 20S proteasomes as well as hybrid complexes of different activators

^{*} This work was supported in part by the COST Action BM1307 PROTEOSTA-SIS, the European ITN program "UPSTREAM," and the Helmholtz Alliance ICEMED, Imaging and Curing Environmental Metabolic Diseases, through the Initiative and Network Fund of the Helmholtz Association. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

¹ Supported by the Helmholtz Graduate School, Lung Biology and Disease.

³ The abbreviations used are: CT-L, chymotrypsin-like; T-L, trypsin-like; C-L, caspase-like; PA28, proteasome activator 28; PA200, proteasome activator 200; RpI19, ribosomal protein L19; BZ, bortezomib; phLF, primary human lung fibroblasts; NRF1, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 1; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; OZ, oprozomib.

attached to 26S proteasomes (23, 27-29). Recent evidence indicates that the 20S and its activators may function as building blocks that assemble rapidly into different proteasome complexes with diverse functions and substrate specificities, allowing fast and dynamic adaptation of proteasome activity to cellular needs (30-32). Adaptation of 20S and 26S proteasome function has been particularly well studied in response to proteasome inhibition. Inactivation of the 20S catalytic sites by small molecule inhibitors results in concerted transcriptional up-regulation of proteasomal genes and augmented formation of 20S and 26S/30S proteasome complexes (33). Moreover, inhibition of 26S proteasomes by silencing of 19S regulatory subunits caused enhanced transcription of proteasomal genes and increased formation of 20S proteasomes in Drosophila (34). Recent publications suggested a protective effect of 19S reduction in response to catalytic proteasome inhibition, but involvement of the proteasome activators PA28y and PA200 in this response has not been investigated so far (35, 36). Recruitment of PA28 $\alpha\beta$ in response to proteasome inhibition has been shown in reticulocytes lysates in vitro (29). Here, we show a so far unknown dynamic recruitment of PA28 γ and PA200 to 20S and 26S proteasomes in cells in response to either proteolytic inhibition or siRNA-mediated 26S/30S proteasome impairment.

Experimental Procedures

Primary Cell Culture—Primary human lung fibroblasts (phLF) were isolated from organ donor lungs and cultured as previously described (37–39). 24 h prior to treatment phLF were synchronized by incubation in starvation medium containing 1% FBS. Cells were treated with bortezomib (Millennium, Takeda), oprozomib (Onyx Pharmaceuticals), and epoxomicin (APExBIO) at the indicated concentrations and times.

Gene Silencing—Knockdown of RPN6 and PA28 γ was performed by reverse transfection of RPN6 siRNA (*Silencer*[®] Select s11413, Ambion, Life Technologies), PA28 γ siRNAs (*Silencer*[®] Select s19871 and s19873, Ambion, Life Technologies), or scrambled siRNAs (*Silencer*[®] Select Negative Control No. 1, 4390843, and Negative Control No. 2, 4390847, Ambion, Life Technologies) at a final concentration of 0.5 nM for RPN6 silencing and 2 nM for PA28 γ silencing using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (13778150, Life Technologies) as previously reported (39).

Native Gel Analysis of Cell Lysates—phLF were lysed in TSDG buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.0, 10 mM NaCl, 1.1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaN₃, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM ATP, 10% (v/v) glycerol) with complete protease inhibitor mixture (11697498001, Roche) by seven freezing and thawing cycles in liquid nitrogen. Lysates were centrifuged at maximum speed for 20 min at 4 °C and subjected to electrophoresis using the XCell SureLock[®] Mini-Cell system (EI0001, Life Technologies). 15 μ g of protein were run on 3–8% Tris acetate gradient gels (EA0378BOX, Life Technologies) for 3–4 h at 150 V. Chymotrypsin-like activity was monitored as described previously (39). After denaturing in solubilization buffer (2% (w/v) SDS, 66 mM Na₂CO₃, 1.5% β -mercaptoethanol) proteasome complexes were blotted under normal conditions.

Western Blotting Analysis-Cells were lysed in TSDG or RIPA buffer as previously reported (40). Protein concentration was determined by Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (10056623, Life Technologies). SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed as described before (41). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with one of the following antibodies against PA28γ (sc-136025, 1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; BML-PW8190-0100, 1:2000, Enzo Life Sciences), PA200 (NBP2-22236, 1:3000, Novus Biologicals), PA28α (ab155091, 1:1000, Abcam), 20S subunit α4 (BML-PW8120, 1:2000, Enzo Life Sciences), 20S subunit β5 (ab90867, 1:1000, Abcam), proteasome 20S α 1–7 (ab22674, 1:1000, Abcam), Lys⁴⁸-specific ubiquitin (05-1307, 1:1000, Merck Millipore), 19S subunit RPT5 (A303-538A, 1:5000, Bethyl Laboratories), 19S proteasome subunit RPN6 (NBP1-46191, 1:2000, Novus Biologicals), cyclin D1 (2978, 1:500, Cell Signaling) and p21 (MAB88058, 1:3000, Merck Millipore). β-Actin HRP (A3854, 1:80,000, Sigma) was used to monitor equal protein loading and for subsequent normalization of densitometric signals. HRP-linked anti-mouse IgG (7076S, 1:40 000, Cell Signaling) and anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (7074S, 1:40 000, Cell Signaling) as well as Protein G HRP (10-1223, 1:30,000, Life Technologies) were used for detection using the Amersham Biosciences ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (RPN2232, GE Healthcare). Densitometric analysis in the linear range of exposure was performed and is presented for the protein of interest relative to the respective β -actin protein level.

Gel Filtration Assay-HeLa cells grown in DMEM (Lonza) containing 4.5 g/liter of glucose, 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biowest), 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml of penicillin, and 10 mg/ml of streptomycin (Lonza), treated or not with 10 nm bortezomib for 24 h, were harvested, washed with PBS, and kept frozen at -80 °C. Cells were lysed for 10 min at 4 °C in 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5% Igepal CA-630, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT. After centrifugation (15 min, 4 °C), the extract was filtered (0.2 μ m) using a Microspin Filter CA/1.9 Receiver (5000 rpm, 5 min, 4 °C) and 50 μ l were loaded on a Superose 6 PC 3.2/30 equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT. Fractions were resolved by 12% SDS-PAGE and blotted on a PVDF FL membrane (100 V, 90 min). The proteins of interest were immunoprobed using anti-RPT6 (BML-PW9265, 1:1000, Enzo Life Sciences), anti-β2 (BML-PW8145, 1:1000, Enzo Life Sciences), and anti-PA28γ (611180, 1:1000, BD Biosciences) antibodies, detected using an Odyssey scanner (Licor) and fluorescence was quantified using either Odyssey or ImageJ software.

Co-immunoprecipitation—Cells were lysed in TSDG buffer as described above. 3 μ l of antibody specific for 20S subunit α 4 (BML-PW8120, Enzo Life Sciences) were incubated with Protein G magnetic beads (Dynabeads, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min at 1200 rpm. For immunoprecipitation, 100 μ g of protein were incubated with antibody-coupled beads at a total volume of 250 μ l for 2 h on an overhead shaker at 4 °C. 10% of the total volume was removed as input control. After four times washing of the beads in 400 μ l of TSDG buffer containing 0.2% Nonidet P-40 co-immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted by



incubation of beads in Laemmli buffer at 95 °C for 10 min. Subsequently, eluted samples and input control were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Quantitative Real-time RT-PCR—RNA extraction was from phLF using the Roti[®]-Quick-Kit (Carl Roth), reverse transcription and quantitative PCR was performed as previously described (40). The 60S ribosomal protein L19 (*RPL19*) served as a housekeeping gene for relative expression analysis.

Proteasome Activity Assay—Proteasome activity was analyzed using fluorescent substrates specific for the chymotrypsin-like (Suc-LLVY-AMC, I-1395, Bachem), caspase-like (Z-Leu-Leu-Glu-AMC, I-1945, Bachem), and trypsin-like activities (Bz-Val-Gly-Arg-AMC, I-1085, Bachem). TSDG protein lysate (2 μ g of protein for chymotrypsin-like activity and 7 μ g for caspase- and trypsin-like activities) was subjected to a black flat-bottom 96-well plate (Greiner bio-one). Assay buffer (225 mM Tris, 45 mM KCl, 7.5 mM magnesium acetate, 7.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 units of creatine phosphokinase, 5 mM phosphocreatine, 6 mM ATP and 1 mM DTT) and a 200 μM concentration of the respective substrate were added and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Fluorescence intensity was measured using a TriStar LB 941 plate reader (Berthold Technologies).

MTT Assay—Metabolic activity of phLF in response to PA28γ silencing and bortezomib treatment was analyzed by 2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. 20,000 cells per well were cultured in a 24-well plate with transfection mixture for PA28γ silencing. After 24 h medium was exchanged to starvation medium containing 1% FBS. 48 h after silencing cells were treated with 10 nM bortezomib for 6 h. Cells were washed with fresh starvation medium and incubated for 24 h to allow recovery of the proteasome activity. For the MTT assay, the cells were incubated with a solution of thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (5 mg/ml in PBS, Sigma) for 1 h at 37 °C. Afterward medium was aspirated and the blue crystals were dissolved in isopropyl alcohol + 0.1% Triton X-100. Absorbance was measured at 570 nM in a SunriseTM plate reader (TECAN).

Cell Count—For analysis of cell growth via cell count the same protocol was used as described for the MTT assay with the only difference that cells were cultured in 6-well plates. Cells were trypsinized, mixed with trypan blue (Sigma), and the cell number was determined using a Neubauer counting chamber.

Bioinformatics-All sequences were derived from the promoter sequence retrieval database ElDorado 12-2013 (Genomatix), which is based on NCBI build 37. Promoter sequences of *PSME4* (PA200) and *PSME3* (PA28 γ) from six different species were aligned with the DiAlign TF program (42) in the Genomatix software suite GEMS Launcher to evaluate overall promoter similarity and identify conserved NRF1 (i.e. nuclear factor, erythroid 2-like 1 (NFE2L1)) binding sites. The corresponding position weight matrix V\$TCF11MAFG.01 was applied to promoter analyses according to the Matrix Family Library Version 9.2 (October 2014). The promoter sequences were defined as in ElDorado. The following Genomatix/Entrez Gene identifier were used for PSME4: GXP_90931/23198 (human); GXP_4967564/459229 (chimp); GXP_4841060/ 716580 (rhesus monkey); GXP 4346524/103554 (mouse); GXP_4713352/498433 (rat); GXP_3358724/100379940 (Western clawed frog). For PSME3 the corresponding identifiers used



FIGURE 1. Catalytic proteasome inhibition induces formation of alternative proteasome complexes. phLF were treated with 10 nm BZ for 24 h and native cell lysates were prepared. Active proteasome complexes were resolved by native gel electrophoresis with CT-L substrate overlay assay and immunoblotting for 20S α 1–7 subunits, 19S subunit RPT5, and the proteasomal activators PA28 α , PA28 γ , and PA200. Experiments were performed in distinct phLF lines of three different organ donors. Figures indicate representative results of one donor line.

were: GXP_122956/10197 (human); GXP_4318479/740582 (chimp); GXP_1055457/711900 (rhesus monkey); GXP_31562/19192 (mouse); GXP_162448/287716 (rat); GXP_3367214/EN-SXETG00000012591 (Western clawed frog). Binding sites were considered as conserved when the promoter sequences could be aligned in the region of the NRF1 binding site with help of the DiAlign TF program (using default settings).

Production and Purification of PA28γ—The PA28γ (human) cDNA was subcloned into the pET-14B plasmid. After expression in *Escherichia coli*, the protein complex was purified essentially as previously described for PA28 $\alpha\beta$ (43).

In Vitro Reconstitution Assay—Purified recombinant PA28 γ was mixed with 1 μ g of human 20S proteasome (purified from HeLa cells (43) and treated with 25 μ M epoxomicin or solvent) in activity buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol) (final volume 20 μ l). After incubation at 37 °C for 5 min, the samples were loaded onto a native gel as described (44). After electrophoresis, the gel was incubated with 100 μ M Suc-LLVY-AMC in activity buffer at 37 °C for 20 min to visualize peptidase activity (44). The gel was then transferred on a PVDF FL membrane (40 V, overnight) and the 20S proteasome and PA28 γ were immunoprobed simultaneously with mouse anti- α 7 (BML-PW8110-0100, Enzo Life Sciences) and rabbit anti-PA28 γ (BML-PW8190-0100, Enzo Life Sciences), respectively. The membrane was scanned using an Odyssey scanner (Licor) and the fluorescence was quantified using the ImageJ software.

Statistical Analysis—Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5. Tests used are indicated in the respective figure legends. *p* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001). Data represent mean \pm S.E.

Results

Catalytic Proteasome Inhibition Induces Formation of Alternative Proteasome Complexes—phLF were treated with a single dose of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (BZ, 10 nM) for 24 h and formation of proteasome complexes was analyzed via native gel electrophoresis. In-gel activity assays with a specific substrate for the chymotrypsin-like (CT-L) activity demonstrated effective inhibition of 20S and 26S/30S proteasome complexes (Fig. 1). Subsequent immunoblotting for α 1–7 20S





FIGURE 2. **Proteasome activators PA28** γ and PA200 are rapidly recruited to 20S and 26S complexes upon proteasome inhibition. *A*, time-dependent response of phLF to 10 nm BZ treatment for 2, 6, 16, and 24 h with regard to formation of alternative proteasome complexes as analyzed by native gel electrophoresis. In-gel activity assay shows the CT-L activity of the proteasome complexes. 20S and 26/30S proteasome complexes were detected using the β 5 antibody. Association of PA28 γ and PA200 with 20S and 26S proteasomes was detected via immunoblotting using the respective antibodies. All experiments were performed in primary human lung fibroblasts from three different organ donors. Native gels indicate representative results of one donor. *B*, proteasome activity assay of CT-L, C-L, and T-L activities of total cell lysates as used in *A*. *Bars* indicate % activity compared with the time matching control for three experiments using three different phLF lines (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3).

subunits and the 19S subunit RPT5 unambiguously identified the distinct proteasomes as 20S and 26S/30S complexes, respectively. Blotting of 20S α -subunits also revealed the formation of additional 20S-containing proteasome complexes of higher molecular weight suggesting recruitment of proteasomal activators. Indeed, immunostaining of native gels for PA28 α , PA28 γ , and PA200 proteasome activators demonstrated formation of alternative proteasome complexes, composed of 20S and 26S proteasomes bound to these activators, in response to proteasome inhibitor treatment.

Proteasome Activators PA28y and PA200 Are Rapidly Recruited to 20S and 26S Complexes upon Proteasome Inhibition-To determine the kinetics of activator recruitment, formation of alternative proteasome complexes was analyzed upon treatment with 10 nM BZ for 2, 6, 16, and 24 h, focusing on the activators PA28 γ and PA200. Immunoblotting for the 20S subunit β 5 indicated formation of additional 20S containing proteasome complexes at early time points of 2 to 6 h after addition of the proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 2A). Indeed, recruitment of PA28y and PA200 to 20S and 26S proteasomes was observed after 2 h, whereas non-treated controls exhibited low levels of alternative proteasome complexes. Assembly of alternative proteasome complexes increased further over time peaking after 16 h of BZ treatment. Measuring the three different catalytic activities of the proteasome with synthetic fluorescent substrates revealed an almost complete inhibition of the CT-L activity after only 2 h of treatment (Fig. 2B). The caspase-like (C-L) active sites were inhibited to a much lesser extent (by 10-40%) than the CT-L activity and with a delayed kinetic. In contrast, the trypsin-like (T-L) activity was significantly elevated after 6 h and peaked at 16 h of inhibitor treatment. Taken together, inhibition of mainly the chymotrypsin-like activity induced rapid and pronounced formation of alternative proteasome complexes.

Proteasomal Activators Interact with 20S and 26S Forming Alternative Proteasome Complexes-We confirmed our results on the proteasome inhibitor-induced formation of alternative proteasome complexes by two other methods, namely gel filtration and co-immunoprecipitation. Increased binding of PA28 γ to 20S and 26S proteasomes was observed in gel filtration assays of BZ-treated HeLa cell extracts and thus confirmed our native gel results in a different cell type (Fig. 3A). In solvent-treated control cells, PA28y mainly formed free multimers, which were recruited to 20S and 26S complexes in response to proteasome inhibition. Moreover, 20S pulldown experiments with an antibody directed against the 20S subunit α 4 revealed increased binding of proteasomal activators to the 20S proteasome in phLF upon treatment with 10 nM BZ for 6 h (Fig. 3B). Immunoblotting indicated enrichment of $\alpha 4$ via immunoprecipitation and comparable pulldown efficiencies. Altogether, these data confirm the increased interaction of the activators to 20S proteasomes complexes upon catalytic inhibition of the proteolytic sites in different cell types.

Early Recruitment of Proteasomal Activators Is Transcriptionally Independent—To investigate the underlying mechanism of recruitment, we analyzed the expression of PA28 γ and PA200 in response to proteasome inhibition. Of note, both PA28 γ and PA200 protein levels did not change until 16 h of proteasome inhibition as determined by Western blotting analysis of phLF (Fig. 4A). Only after longer time points, *i.e.* after 16





FIGURE 3. **Proteasomal activators interact with 20S/26S proteasomes forming alternative proteasome complexes.** *A*, gel filtration assay of PA28 γ proteasome complexes in BZ-treated HeLa cells compared with control. Western blotting analysis of RPT6, β 2, and PA28 γ in the *top panel* shows representative images of the protein distribution in the different fractions separated by gel filtration. The *bottom panel* shows the quantification of the signal for PA28 γ in BZ-treated cells and controls. For clarity, the intensity of the signal has been normalized to the maximum value of each curve, and the total areas under each curve were made equal. *B*, analysis of interaction of proteasomal activators with the 20S proteasome using co-immunoprecipitation (*IP*). Lysates of phLF were treated with BZ for 6 h or with solvent, lysed, and subjected to immunoprecipitation using an antibody against the 20S subunit α 4. Co-immunoprecipitated protein sa well as total protein lysate (input, 10% of total volume) were separated by SDS-PAGE. Direct interaction of proteasomal activators PA28 γ and PA20 γ with the 20S proteasome subunit α 4 was visualized via immunoblotting. Representative results of experiments in cells from three different donors are shown.

and 24 h, levels of the activators were significantly increased compared with time matched controls. For PA200, this corresponded to delayed induction of RNA transcripts after 16 h, whereas PA28y mRNA expression was not up-regulated suggesting differential transcriptional regulation in response to proteasome inhibition (Fig. 4B). Divergent transcriptional regulation of PA200 and PA28y after proteasome inhibition is supported by *in silico* promoter analysis of both genes for putative binding sites of NRF1 (nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 1) (Fig. 4*C*). NRF1 has been described as the critical transcription factor for regulation of proteasomal gene expression in response to proteasome inhibition (45, 46). A highly conserved NRF1 binding site was identified in close proximity to the transcription start of the *PSME4* promoter (gene name of PA200). In contrast, only one NRF1 binding site, which is only conserved in primates, was predicted far upstream of the transcriptional start site of the *PSME3* promoter (gene name of PA28 γ). These data thus support an early, transcriptionally independent recruitment of preexisting PA28y and PA200 activators to 20S and 26S proteasome complexes as part of a rapid cellular response to catalytic proteasome inhibition. At later time points, expression of both PA28y and PA200 is up-regulated on the protein level. Only PA200, however, is regulated on the transcriptional level, in a manner possibly involving NRF1.

Inhibition of 26S/30S Proteasome Activity Induces Formation of Only PA200 Alternative Proteasomes—To further assess whether formation of alternative proteasome complexes and induction of PA28 γ and PA200 expression at later time points involves ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation, we selectively inhibited 26S/30S ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation by partial knockdown of the 19S subunit RPN6 (39, 47, 48).

After 72 h of RPN6 silencing, we noted marked up-regulation of PA200 on mRNA as well as on protein levels, whereas expression of PA28 γ was not significantly altered (Figs. 5, A and B). Accumulation of K48-linked polyubiquitylated proteins proved efficient inhibition of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation by partial knockdown of RPN6 (Fig. 5B). In-gel activity and immunodetection of 20S and 19S subunits confirmed impaired assembly of 26S and 30S complexes (Fig. 5C). At the same time, the amount of active 20S was increased and alternative 20S proteasome complexes were formed. Of note, these alternative complexes contained only PA200 but not PA28y as demonstrated by Western blotting of the native gels. These data further support the notion that delayed transcriptional regulation and alternative proteasome formation are specific for PA200 and involve factors that are sensitive to impairment of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation such as NRF1, whereas





FIGURE 4. **Early recruitment of proteasomal activators is transcriptionally independent.** *A*, Western blotting analysis showing expression of total PA28 γ and PA200 in phLF treated with 10 nm BZ for 2, 6, 16, and 24 h and densitometric analysis of β -actin normalized signals relative to time matched untreated controls (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3). *B*, quantitative RT-PCR analysis of PA28 γ and PA200 in phLF in response to 10 nm BZ treatment for 2 to 24 h. *Bars* indicate fold-change of relative mRNA levels compared with time-matched controls (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3). *C*, promoter analysis of the *PSME4* and *PSME3* promoter for putative NRF1 binding sites and their conservation in vertebrates. Schematic representation of *PSME4* and *PSME3* promoter sequences from different species: human (*Homo sapiens*), chimp (*Pan troglodytes*), rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), and Western clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*). The *red arrow* indicates the transcription start site and positions are denoted relative to the transcription start site. The predicted NRF1 binding sites are indicated by *semicircles. Green semicircles* represent conserved binding sites, whereas *gray semicircles* indicate not conserved binding sites (above sequence: binding sites on the plus strand). The *PSME4* promoter contains two putative NRF1 binding sites, of which one is conserved in vertebrates. Functional relevance of the putative NRF1 binding site close to the transcription start site (in the range of -322 bp to +56 bp) within the *PSME4* promoter sequences is supported by evolutionary conservation across six vertebrate species. In contrast, for the *PSME3* promoter one NRF1 binding site is predicted, which is conserved only in primates.

 $PA28\gamma$ is regulated by mechanisms independent of 26S/30S proteasome activity.

Recruitment of Proteasomal Activators Depends on the Extent of Proteasome Inhibition—In a next step, we investigated whether acute formation of alternative proteasome complexes directly correlates with the degree of proteasome inhibition. Treatment of phLF with increasing doses of BZ for 6 h (1, 10, 50, and 100 nM) led to a dose-dependent inhibition of the CT-L and C-L catalytic sites, whereas the T-L activity was not inhibited. We observed dose-dependent accumulation of alternative proteasomes as shown by native gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting for PA28 γ and PA200 (Fig. 6*A*). The small molecule inhibitor oprozomib (OZ) was used to only inhibit the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome (Fig. 6*B*) (49). Again, dose-dependent inhibition of the CT-L catalytic site resulted in enhanced recruitment of PA28 γ and PA200 to 20S and 26S proteasomes. Interference with total proteasome activity by treatment with the proteasome inhibitor epoxomicin resulted in an even more pronounced formation of alternative proteasome complexes (Fig. 6*C*). Therefore, these results suggest first that inhibition of the chymotrypsin-like activity is sufficient for recruitment of PA200 and PA28 γ and second that formation of





FIGURE 5. Inhibition of 265/30S-mediated protein degradation induces formation of only PA200 alternative proteasomes. *A*, phLF were treated with RPN6 or scrambled control siRNAs for 72 h and mRNA expression of RPN6, PA28 γ , and PA200 upon RPN6 silencing was assessed by quantitative RT-PCR. *Bars* indicate fold-change of mRNA levels compared with control siRNA-transfected cells (one sample *t*-test, *n* = 3). *B*, Western blotting analysis for K48-polyubiquitylated (UbiK48) proteins, the 19S regulatory subunit RPN6, and proteasomal activators PA28 γ and PA200 in response to RPN6 knockdown. Densitometric analysis indicates β -actin normalized signals relative to the respective scrambled siRNA control (one sample *t*-test, *n* = 3). *C*, native gel analysis of RPN6 silencing. In-gel CT-L activity assays and immunoblotting for the 19S subunit RPT5, the 20S subunits α 1–7 and PA28 γ and PA200 indicate the activity and composition of proteasome complexes. Experiments were performed in phLF from three different organ donors and representative results of one donor are shown.

alternative proteasome directly correlates with the degree of proteasomal inhibition.

PA28y Recruitment to Purified 20S Proteasomes Does Not Depend on Active Site Modifications by Proteasome Inhibitors-Previous data suggested an allosteric opening of 20S core particles upon active site occupancy by peptidic ligands and allosteric stabilization of the 20S-19S interaction in inhibitortreated 26S proteasomes (50-53). We thus investigated whether recruitment of PA28y depended on active site modification of the 20S proteasome in vitro. In an in vitro reconstitution assay, purified 20S proteasomes were first inactivated by addition of epoxomicin, and binding of purified PA28 γ was investigated. Native gel analysis revealed activation of the CT-L activity upon addition of PA28y, which was completely blocked by epoxomicin treatment (Fig. 7). Immunoblotting of the native gels confirmed formation of PA28y-containing proteasomes. Importantly, binding of PA28 γ to the purified 20S proteasome was not enhanced upon active site inhibition as indicated by quantification of PA28y-bound 20S proteasomes (Fig. 7). Similar results were obtained using bortezomib instead of epoxomicin, and using PA28 γ either in limiting or excess molar ratios compared with the 20S proteasome (data not shown). These data suggest that recruitment of the proteasome activator PA28γ is not induced via allosteric reorganization of the 20S core complex *in vitro*.

PA28₂ Recruitment Allows Cells to Cope with Proteasome Inhibition-To further investigate the functional role of PA28y-containing alternative proteasome complexes, we performed recovery experiments where cells were first treated with BZ for 6 h and then allowed to recover in fresh medium for 24 h. In this setting, the chymotrypsin- and caspase-like activities, which had been considerably inhibited in response to a 6-h BZ treatment, recovered (Fig. 8A). The C-L and T-L active sites even showed increased activity compared with controls. Native gel analysis revealed that the CT-L activity was fully restored in 26S/30S proteasome complexes, whereas 20S complexes were still partially inhibited indicating that activity assays with total extracts are not fully reflecting the differential activity profiles of 26S and 20S proteasome complexes (Fig. 8B). Of note, PA28y-containing 20S proteasome complexes persisted upon recovery of proteasome activity suggesting that these alternative complexes may play a functional role for rescuing cells from proteasome inhibition (Fig. 8B). We tested this hypothesis by silencing PA28 γ and analysis of the cellular response to a 6 h pulse exposure of BZ and 24 h of recovery. Although phLF transfected with control siRNAs recovered well from protea-





FIGURE 6. **Recruitment of proteasomal activators depends on the extent of proteasome inhibition.** phLF were treated with different doses of proteasome inhibitors (bortezomib (*BZ*) in *A*; oprozomib (*OZ*) in *B*) for 6 h, lysed and CT-L, C-L, and T-L activities were measured using fluorescent substrates. *Bars* indicate % activity compared with the solvent-treated control for three experiments using three different phLF lines (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3). Dose-dependent recruitment of proteasomal activators was detected via native gel electrophoresis including in-gel CT-L activity assay and immunoblotting for PA28 γ and PA200 using the respective antibodies. *C*, analysis of proteasome activity and proteasome complex formation in response to treatment of phLF with 20 μ M epoxomicin for 4.5 h. *Bars* show % activity compared with the solvent-treated control. All experiments were performed in phLF from three different organ donors and native gels show representative results of one donor (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3).



FIGURE 7. **PA28** γ recruitment to purified 20S proteasomes does not depend on active site modifications by proteasome inhibitors. Purified recombinant PA28 γ (0 or 2 μ g) was mixed with 1 μ g of 20S proteasome pretreated on ice with 25 μ M epoxomicin (or the same volume of DMSO (vehicle) as control). After incubation at 37 °C for 5 min (final volume 20 μ I, final concentration of epoxomicin 12.5 μ M), the samples were resolved by native gel electrophoresis and the gel was incubated with 100 μ M Suc-LLVY-AMC to visualize chymotrypsin-like activity. Formation of PA28 γ proteasome complexes was analyzed via immunoblotting for PA28 γ and α 7. *Bar* diagram indicates quantification of PA28 γ -bound 20S. The 20S proteasome is in a latent state and thus has little activity by itself. Addition of PA28 γ results in pronounced activation of 20S activity, as expected.





Induction of Alternative Proteasome Complexes

FIGURE 8. **PA28** γ recruitment allows cells to cope with proteasome inhibition. *A*, analysis of proteasome activity in phLF after 6 h BZ treatment and recovery in fresh medium for 24 h by using fluorescent substrates specific for the three catalytic sites of the proteasome. *Bars* indicate % activity compared with the solvent-treated control for three experiments using three different phLF lines (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3). *B*, analysis of proteasome complex formation of lysates used in A via native gel analysis including in-gel CT-L activity assay and immunoblotting for 20S subunit β 5 and PA28 γ using the respective antibodies. *C*, metabolic activity of phLF after PA28 γ silencing and co-treatment with BZ. 48 h after transient silencing of PA28 γ , phLF were treated with 10 nm BZ for 6 h followed by 24 h recovery in fresh medium. Metabolic activity of BZ and siRNA co-treated cells was assessed using the MTT assay. *Bars* indicate % metabolic activity compared with control siRNA and solvent-treated control (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 3). *D*, determination of cell numbers of upon treatment as in *C*. The number of dead and living cells was determined by trypan blue exclusion. *Bar* diagram shows % cell count of living cells compared with control siRNA and solvent-treated control. The number of dead cells was negligible in all conditions (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 4). *E*, analysis of the accumulation of cyclin D1 and p21 protein levels were analyzed by Western blotting using the respective antibodies. *Bar* diagram indicates densitive to the scrambled siRNA control (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test, n = 4).

some inhibition, silencing of PA28 γ significantly decreased metabolic activity of bortezomib-challenged cells (Fig. 8*C*). Diminished metabolic activity was accompanied by reduced cell numbers in PA28 γ -deficient cells in response to BZ treat-

ment (Fig. 8*D*). Of note, the different treatments did not induce cell death but only significantly affected cell numbers of living cells as determined by trypan blue exclusion assay (data not shown). These data indicate that loss of PA28 γ results in cell



cycle arrest. In accordance with these findings, protein levels of cyclin D1, whose timely degradation is required for cell cycle progression, and expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p21, a substrate of PA28 γ , were significantly elevated upon loss of PA28 γ and BZ treatment compared with its controls (Fig. 8*E*) (19, 54). Although we cannot distinguish whether this increase is due to accumulation of p21 in the absence of functional PA28 γ or a secondary effect upon cell cycle arrest, these data indicate that cells become more sensitive to proteasome inhibition upon loss of PA28 γ and suggest that PA28 γ -containing alternative proteasome complexes may play an important role in the cellular stress response to impaired proteasome function.

Discussion

Our data demonstrate a novel and rapid regulation of alternative proteasome complex formation upon proteasome inhibition. Earlier studies by our group already unraveled enhanced *de novo* assembly of 20S and 26S proteasomes after catalytic 20S inhibition but regulation of alternative proteasomes in cells has not been investigated so far (33). Here, we show for the first time that preexisting proteasome activators PA28 γ and PA200 are rapidly recruited to 20S and 26S proteasomes in response to catalytic inhibition of the proteasome in different cell types. In contrast, only PA200 is recruited upon inhibition of 26S ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation. In this latter case, transcriptional activation of PA200 is observed at late stages. To our knowledge such rapid recruitment of alternative proteasomal activators is a novel finding that has only been described for PA28 $\alpha\beta$ in rabbit reticulocyte lysates *in vitro* (29).

Two central questions arise from this observation: how are proteasomal activators recruited to 20S and 26S proteasomes and why are alternative proteasome complexes formed in the cell? As proteasomal activators were rapidly recruited to the 20S and 26S proteasomes before any alteration in their expression levels was observed, this suggests the presence of a reservoir of free PA28 γ and PA200 in the cell. Indeed, in untreated cells, we observed low levels of both activators associated with 20S or 26S complexes and PA28 γ was mainly found as free heptamers, whereas 20S and 26S proteasomes were mostly present in a PA28 γ -free form (Fig. 3A). Similarly, Fabre *et al.* observed that the majority of 20S proteasomes are uncapped and that less than 5% of total 20S is associated with PA28 γ and PA200 (14). Moreover, proteasome subunits have been described as stable and long-lived proteins, supporting the presence of a backup pool of proteasomal subunits, which can quickly be regulated upon certain stimuli (55). Hence, this pool of available activators may serve as a reserve of building blocks enabling fast recruitment to 20S and 26S proteasomes upon cellular stimuli such as proteotoxic stress.

Rapid recruitment may involve allosteric effects of inhibited 20S core particles, posttranslational modifications, or other cofactors rather than induction of protein levels. In our study, recruitment of PA28 γ and PA200 directly correlated with the extent of catalytic inhibition with inhibition of the β 5 active site being sufficient for recruitment. This suggests that the decrease in catalytic activity allosterically regulates the association of the 20S core particle with the activators. An allosteric mechanism was proposed for purified yeast proteasomes where inhi-

bition of the β 5 active site stabilized the interaction between 20S and 19S complexes (50). Similarly, active site modifications were proposed to induce conformational changes to PA28 binding sites in Thermoplasma acidophilum (53). Binding of peptidic proteasome inhibitors to the catalytic active sites has been shown to induce an allosteric conformational shift of the α -subunits that propagates opening of the 20S pore (51, 52). However, we did not observe any effects of catalytic proteasome inhibition on the recruitment of purified PA28y to 20S proteasomes using an in vitro reconstitution assay. In addition, PA200-20S alternative proteasomes were formed upon specific inhibition of 26S/30S proteasomes when 20S active sites are not modified by proteasome inhibitors. The underlying mechanism for recruitment remains to be determined and may involve accumulation of substrates, dynamic posttranslational modifications, competing binding partners, and cofactors in a complex cellular environment. Cofactors might also be molecules that prevent recruitment of activators to the 20S core complex under normal conditions, but that dissociate upon proteasome inhibition. A similar mechanism has been shown for the endoplasmic reticulum resident chaperone immunoglobulin-binding protein (BiP), which binds to endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor proteins at baseline conditions but is recruited to misfolded proteins upon activation of the unfolded protein response thereby activating the sensors (56).

The cellular response to proteasome inhibition involves transcriptional up-regulation of 20S proteasome subunits and certain proteasome activators (57). NRF1 was identified as the critical transcription factor for this type of regulation (45, 46). Accordingly, in silico analysis of the PA200 promoter indicated one potential, highly evolutionary conserved NRF1 binding site close to the transcription start site, whereas only one poorly conserved binding site was detected in the extended promoter region of PA28y. This more reliable prediction of a NRF1 binding site in the promoter sequence of PA200 compared with PA28 γ is well in line with our observed specific transcriptional up-regulation of PA200 after 16 h of BZ treatment and after 72 h of RPN6 silencing, whereas PA28 γ was not regulated on the mRNA level at all. In the cell, a vast pool of free PA28 γ heptamers exists (Fig. 3A). This may function as a backup reservoir and therefore transcriptional induction might not be required for formation of new alternative proteasome complexes.

What is the function of alternative proteasome complexes in the cell that are formed upon proteasome inhibition? We reason that recruitment of proteasome activators to the 20S and 26S proteasomes is part of a protective cellular stress response to imbalanced protein homeostasis upon proteasome inhibition (30, 58–60). This notion is supported by the observed increased sensitivity of cells to proteasome inhibition upon silencing of PA28 γ . Proteasome activators may recruit distinct substrates for proteasomal degradation thereby facilitating cellular recovery after protein stress. In line with this concept, both, PA28 γ and PA200, have been shown to target specific proteins for degradation via the 20S core particle: steroid receptor coactivator-3 (SRC-3), cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p16, p19, and p21, as well as the deacetylase SIRT1 have been identified as substrates for PA28 γ -containing alternative com-



plexes (6, 19, 20, 54). Moreover, PA28 γ was shown to be involved in ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation of p53 (61, 62). PA200 has been speculated to contribute to the degradation of peptides and damaged or misfolded proteins arising from cellular stress, because its binding to the 20S core particle induces opening of its channel and facilitates the entry of substrates (7, 27, 63). So far acetylated core histones are the only specific substrates described for PA200 (26). This raises the exciting possibility that rapid formation of PA28 γ - and PA200-containing alternative proteasomes directs proteasomal protein degradation to specific sets of substrates to allow the cell to cope with conditions of proteotoxic stress upon proteasome inhibition. It is tempting to speculate that this is part of a conserved stress response to misfolded and damaged proteins.

Although proteasome inhibitor treatment of cells may not represent a physiologic condition, it is important to understand the therapeutic effects of proteasome inhibitors as bortezomib (Velcade) and carfilzomib (Kyprolis) are approved drugs for the treatment of multiple myeloma (64, 65). The reduction of 26S proteasome function is a physiologically relevant situation. Impairment of 26S proteasome activity has been reported for aging, (66, 67), neurodegeneration (68), and oxidative stress (69). In these studies, however, formation of alternative proteasome complexes as part of the cellular response to impaired 26S proteasome function was not investigated.

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate rapid remodeling of proteasome complexes and support the building block concept in which proteasome activators rapidly assemble into distinct proteasome complexes according to cellular needs (30). Identification of novel compounds that specifically interfere with PA28 γ - or PA200-mediated activation of 20S proteasomes should help to unravel the exact cellular roles of these alternative complexes and may also prove to be suitable to manipulate proteasome subcomplexes in a more specific fashion.

Author Contributions—V. W. and S. M. contributed to conception and design of research; V. W., O. C., V. K., C. A., and D. T. performed the experiments; V. W. and O. C. analyzed the data; V. W., O. C., and S. M. interpreted the data; V. W. prepared the figures; V. W. and S. M. drafted the manuscript; V. W., O. C., D. T., O. E., and S. M. revised the manuscript; V. W., C. O., V. K., C. A., D. T., O. E., and S. M. approved the final version.

Acknowledgments—We thank Christina Lukas for excellent technical assistance, Korbinian Berschneider for scientific support, Dr. Catherine Bonne-Andrea for cell cultures, and Dr. Martina Korfei and Professor Andreas Guenther for providing primary human lung fibroblasts.

References

- 1. Kish-Trier, E., and Hill, C. P. (2013) Structural biology of the proteasome. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **42**, 29–49
- Groll, M., Bajorek, M., Köhler, A., Moroder, L., Rubin, D. M., Huber, R., Glickman, M. H., and Finley, D. (2000) A gated channel into the proteasome core particle. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7, 1062–1067
- Stadtmueller, B. M., and Hill, C. P. (2011) Proteasome activators. *Mol. Cell.* 41, 8–19
- 4. Fort, P., Kajava, A. V., Delsuc, F., and Coux, O. (2015) Evolution of proteasome regulators in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **7**, 1363–1379

Induction of Alternative Proteasome Complexes

- Finley, D. (2009) Recognition and processing of ubiquitin-protein conjugates by the proteasome. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 78, 477–513
- Li, X., Lonard, D. M., Jung, S. Y., Malovannaya, A., Feng, Q., Qin, J., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M.-J., and O'Malley, B. W. (2006) The SRC-3/AIB1 coactivator is degraded in a ubiquitin- and ATP-independent manner by the REGγ proteasome. *Cell* 124, 381–392
- Ustrell, V., Hoffman, L., Pratt, G., and Rechsteiner, M. (2002) Pa200, a nuclear proteasome activator involved in DNA repair. *EMBO J.* 21, 3516–3525
- 8. Rechsteiner, M., and Hill, C. P. (2005) Mobilizing the proteolytic machine: cell biological roles of proteasome activators and inhibitors. *Trends Cell Biol.* **15**, 27–33
- 9. Dahlmann, B. (2016) Mammalian proteasome subtypes: their diversity in structure and function. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **591**, 132–140
- Li, X., Thompson, D., Kumar, B., and DeMartino, G. N. (2014) Molecular and cellular roles of PI31 (PSMF1) protein in regulation of proteasome function. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289, 17392–17405
- Groettrup, M., Soza, A., Eggers, M., Kuehn, L., Dick, T. P., Schild, H., Rammensee, H. G., Koszinowski, U. H., and Kloetzel, P. M. (1996) A role for the proteasome regulator PA28α in antigen presentation. *Nature* 381, 166–168
- Dick, T. P., Ruppert, T., Groettrup, M., Kloetzel, P. M., Kuehn, L., Koszinowski, U. H., Stevanović, S., Schild, H., and Rammensee, H. G. (1996) Coordinated dual cleavages induced by the proteasome regulator PA28 lead to dominant MHC ligands. *Cell* 86, 253–262
- Ossendorp, F., Fu, N., Camps, M., Granucci, F., Gobin, S. J., van den Elsen, P. J., Schuurhuis, D., Adema, G. J., Lipford, G. B., Chiba, T., Sijts, A., Kloetzel, P. M., Ricciardi-Castagnoli, P., and Melief, C. J. (2005) Differential expression regulation of the alpha and beta subunits of the PA28 proteasome activator in mature dendritic cells. *J. Immunol.* 174, 7815–7822
- Fabre, B., Lambour, T., Garrigues, L., Ducoux-Petit, M., Amalric, F., Monsarrat, B., Burlet-Schiltz, O., and Bousquet-Dubouch, M.-P. (2014) Labelfree quantitative proteomics reveals the dynamics of proteasome complexes composition and stoichiometry in a wide range of human cell lines. *J. Proteome Res.* 13, 3027–3037
- Yu, G., Zhao, Y., He, J., Lonard, D. M., Mao, C.-A., Wang, G., Li, M., and Li, X. (2010) Comparative analysis of REGγ expression in mouse and human tissues. J. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2, 192–198
- Realini, C., Jensen, C. C., Zhang, Z., Johnston, S. C., Knowlton, J. R., Hill, C. P., and Rechsteiner, M. (1997) Characterization of recombinant REG, REG, and REG proteasome activators. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 25483–25492
- Li, J., and Rechsteiner, M. (2001) Molecular dissection of the 11S REG (PA28) proteasome activators. *Biochimie* 83, 373–383
- Murata, S., Kawahara, H., Tohma, S., Yamamoto, K., Kasahara, M., Nabeshima, Y., Tanaka, K., and Chiba, T. (1999) Growth retardation in mice lacking the proteasome activator PA28. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 38211–38215
- Li, X., Amazit, L., Long, W., Lonard, D. M., Monaco, J. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (2007) Ubiquitin- and ATP-independent proteolytic turnover of p21 by the REGγ-proteasome pathway. *Mol. Cell.* 26, 831–842
- Dong, S., Jia, C., Zhang, S., Fan, G., Li, Y., Shan, P., Sun, L., Xiao, W., Li, L., Zheng, Y., Liu, J., Wei, H., Hu, C., Zhang, W., Chin, Y. E., Zhai, Q., Li, Q., Liu, J., Jia, F., Mo, Q., Edwards, D. P., Huang, S., Chan, L., O'Malley, B. W., Li, X., and Wang, C. (2013) The REGγ proteasome regulates hepatic lipid metabolism through inhibition of autophagy. *Cell Metab.* 18, 380–391
- Baldin, V., Militello, M., Thomas, Y., Doucet, C., Fic, W., Boireau, S., Jariel-Encontre, I., Piechaczyk, M., Bertrand, E., Tazi, J., and Coux, O. (2008) A novel role for PA28γ-proteasome in nuclear speckle organization and SR protein trafficking. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **19**, 1706–1716
- Blickwedehl, J., McEvoy, S., Wong, I., Kousis, P., Clements, J., Elliott, R., Cresswell, P., Liang, P., and Bangia, N. (2007) Proteasomes and proteasome activator 200 kDa (PA200) accumulate on chromatin in response to ionizing radiation. *Radiat. Res.* 167, 663–674
- Blickwedehl, J., Agarwal, M., Seong, C., Pandita, R. K., Melendy, T., Sung, P., Pandita, T. K., and Bangia, N. (2008) Role for proteasome activator PA200 and postglutamyl proteasome activity in genomic stability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 16165–16170



- Blickwedehl, J., Olejniczak, S., Cummings, R., Sarvaiya, N., Mantilla, A., Chanan-Khan, A., Pandita, T. K., Schmidt, M., Thompson, C. B., and Bangia, N. (2012) The proteasome activator PA200 regulates tumor cell responsiveness to glutamine and resistance to ionizing radiation. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 10, 937–944
- Khor, B., Bredemeyer, A. L., Huang, C. Y., Turnbull, I. R., Evans, R., Maggi, L. B., Jr., White, J. M., Walker, L. M., Carnes, K., Hess, R. A., and Sleckman, B. P. (2006) Proteasome activator PA200 is required for normal spermatogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26, 2999–3007
- Qian, M.-X., Pang, Y., Liu, C. H., Haratake, K., Du, B.-Y., Ji, D.-Y., Wang, G.-F., Zhu, Q.-Q., Song, W., Yu, Y., Zhang, X.-X., Huang, H.-T., Miao, S., Chen, L.-B., Zhang, Z.-H., Liang, Y.-N., *et al.* (2013) Acetylation-mediated proteasomal degradation of core histones during DNA repair and spermatogenesis. *Cell* 153, 1012–1024
- Ortega, J., Heymann, J. B., Kajava, A. V., Ustrell, V., Rechsteiner, M., and Steven, A. C. (2005) The axial channel of the 20S proteasome opens upon binding of the PA200 activator. *J. Mol. Biol.* 346, 1221–1227
- Tanahashi, N., Murakami, Y., Minami, Y., Shimbara, N., Hendil, K. B., and Tanaka, K. (2000) Hybrid proteasomes. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 14336–14345
- Shibatani, T., Carlson, E. J., Larabee, F., McCormack, A. L., Früh, K., and Skach, W. R. (2006) Global organization and function of mammalian cytosolic proteasome pools: implications for PA28 and 19S regulatory complexes. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 17, 4962–4971
- 30. Meiners, S., Keller, I. E., Semren, N., and Caniard, A. (2014) Regulation of the proteasome: evaluating the lung proteasome as a new therapeutic target. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **21**, 2364–2382
- Schmidt, M., and Finley, D. (2014) Regulation of proteasome activity in health and disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1843, 13–25
- Lokireddy, S., Kukushkin, N. V., and Goldberg, A. L. (2015) cAMP-induced phosphorylation of 26S proteasomes on Rpn6/PSMD11 enhances their activity and the degradation of misfolded proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E7176–7185
- Meiners, S., Heyken, D., Weller, A., Ludwig, A., Stangl, K., Kloetzel, P.-M., and Krüger, E. (2003) Inhibition of proteasome activity induces concerted expression of proteasome genes and *de novo* formation of mammalian proteasomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 21517–21525
- 34. Wójcik, C., and DeMartino, G. N. (2002) Analysis of *Drosophila* 26 S proteasome using RNA interference. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 6188–6197
- 35. Acosta-Alvear, D., Cho, M. Y., Wild, T., Buchholz, T. J., Lerner, A. G., Simakova, O., Hahn, J., Korde, N., Landgren, O., Maric, I., Choudhary, C., Walter, P., Weissman, J. S., and Kampmann, M. (2015) Paradoxical resistance of multiple myeloma to proteasome inhibitors by decreased levels of 19S proteasomal subunits. *Elife* 4, e08153
- 36. Tsvetkov, P., Mendillo, M. L., Zhao, J., Carette, J. E., Merrill, P. H., Cikes, D., Varadarajan, M., van Diemen, F. R., Penninger, J. M., Goldberg, A. L., Brummelkamp, T. R., Santagata, S., and Lindquist, S. (2015) Compromising the 19S proteasome complex protects cells from reduced flux through the proteasome. *Elife* **4**, 10.75541/eLife.08467
- Jordana, M., Newhouse, M. T., and Gauldie, J. (1987) Alveolar macrophage/peripheral blood monocyte-derived factors modulate proliferation of primary lines of human lung fibroblasts. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 42, 51–60
- Jordana, M., Befus, A. D., Newhouse, M. T., Bienenstock, J., and Gauldie, J. (1988) Effect of histamine on proliferation of normal human adult lung fibroblasts. *Thorax* 43, 552–558
- Semren, N., Welk, V., Korfei, M., Keller, I. E., Fernandez, I. E., Adler, H., Günther, A., Eickelberg, O., and Meiners, S. (2015) Regulation of 26S proteasome activity in pulmonary fibrosis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 192, 1089–1101
- Keller, I. E., Vosyka, O., Takenaka, S., Kloß, A., Dahlmann, B., Willems, L. I., Verdoes, M., Overkleeft, H. S., Marcos, E., Adnot, S., Hauck, S. M., Ruppert, C., Günther, A., Herold, S., Ohno, S., *et al.* (2015) Regulation of immunoproteasome function in the lung. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 10230
- van Rijt, S. H., Keller, I. E., John, G., Kohse, K., Yildirim, A. Ö., Eickelberg, O., and Meiners, S. (2012) Acute cigarette smoke exposure impairs proteasome function in the lung. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 303, L814–L823
- 42. Cartharius, K., Frech, K., Grote, K., Klocke, B., Haltmeier, M., Klingenhoff, A., Frisch, M., Bayerlein, M., and Werner, T. (2005) MatInspector and

beyond: promoter analysis based on transcription factor binding sites. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2933–2942

- 43. Le Feuvre, A. Y., Dantas-Barbosa, C., Baldin, V., and Coux, O. (2009) High yield bacterial expression and purification of active recombinant PA28 $\alpha\beta$ complex. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **64**, 219–224
- Glickman, M., and Coux, O. (2001) Purification and characterization of proteasomes from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr. Protoc. Protein Sci.* Chapter 21, Unit 21.5
- Radhakrishnan, S. K., Lee, C. S., Young, P., Beskow, A., Chan, J. Y., and Deshaies, R. J. (2010) Transcription factor Nrf1 mediates the proteasome recovery pathway after proteasome inhibition in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell.* 38, 17–28
- Steffen, J., Seeger, M., Koch, A., and Krüger, E. (2010) Proteasomal degradation is transcriptionally controlled by TCF11 via an ERAD-dependent feedback loop. *Mol. Cell.* 40, 147–158
- 47. Santamaria, P. G., Finley, D., Ballesta, J. P., and Remacha, M. (2003) Rpn6p, a proteasome subunit from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is essential for the assembly and activity of the 26 S proteasome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 6687–6695
- Vilchez, D., Boyer, L., Morantte, I., Lutz, M., Merkwirth, C., Joyce, D., Spencer, B., Page, L., Masliah, E., Berggren, W. T., Gage, F. H., and Dillin, A. (2012) Increased proteasome activity in human embryonic stem cells is regulated by PSMD11. *Nature* 489, 304–308
- Dick, L. R., and Fleming, P. E. (2010) Building on bortezomib: secondgeneration proteasome inhibitors as anti-cancer therapy. *Drug Discov. Today* 15, 243–249
- Kleijnen, M. F., Roelofs, J., Park, S., Hathaway, N. A., Glickman, M., King, R. W., and Finley, D. (2007) Stability of the proteasome can be regulated allosterically through engagement of its proteolytic active sites. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 1180–1188
- 51. Osmulski, P. A., Hochstrasser, M., and Gaczynska, M. (2009) A tetrahedral transition state at the active sites of the 20S proteasome is coupled to opening of the α -ring channel. *Structure* **17**, 1137–1147
- Arciniega, M., Beck, P., Lange, O. F., Groll, M., and Huber, R. (2014) Differential global structural changes in the core particle of yeast and mouse proteasome induced by ligand binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 9479–9484
- Ruschak, A. M., and Kay, L. E. (2012) Proteasome allostery as a population shift between interchanging conformers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, E3454–3462
- Chen, X., Barton, L. F., Chi, Y., Clurman, B. E., and Roberts, J. M. (2007) Ubiquitin-independent degradation of cell-cycle inhibitors by the REGγ proteasome. *Mol. Cell.* 26, 843–852
- Schwanhäusser, B., Busse, D., Li, N., Dittmar, G., Schuchhardt, J., Wolf, J., Chen, W., and Selbach, M. (2011) Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. *Nature* 473, 337–342
- Ron, D., and Walter, P. (2007) Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8, 519–529
- Sha, Z., and Goldberg, A. L. (2014) Proteasome-mediated processing of Nrf1 is essential for coordinate induction of all proteasome subunits and p97. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 1573–1583
- Meiners, S., Ludwig, A., Lorenz, M., Dreger, H., Baumann, G., Stangl, V., and Stangl, K. (2006) Nontoxic proteasome inhibition activates a protective antioxidant defense response in endothelial cells. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 40, 2232–2241
- Di Napoli, M., and McLaughlin, B. (2005) The proteasome ubiquitin system as a drug target in cerrebrovascular disease: the therapeutic potencial of proteasome inhibitors. *Curr. Opin. Investig. drugs.* 6, 686–699
- Wójcik, C. (1999) Proteasomes in apoptosis: villains or guardians? Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 56, 908–917
- Zhang, Z., and Zhang, R. (2008) Proteasome activator PA28γ regulates p53 by enhancing its MDM2-mediated degradation. *EMBO J.* 27, 852–864
- Liu, J., Yu, G., Zhao, Y., Zhao, D., Wang, Y., Wang, L., Liu, J., Li, L., Zeng, Y., Dang, Y., Wang, C., Gao, G., Long, W., Lonard, D. M., *et al.* (2010) REGγ modulates p53 activity by regulating its cellular localization. *J. Cell Sci.* 123, 4076–4084
- 63. Savulescu, A. F., and Glickman, M. H. (2011) Proteasome activator 200:



the heat is on. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 10, R110.006890

- Kane, R. C., Farrell, A. T., Sridhara, R., and Pazdur, R. (2006) United States Food and Drug Administration approval summary: bortezomib for the treatment of progressive multiple myeloma after one prior therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 2955–2960
- Herndon, T. M., Deisseroth, A., Kaminskas, E., Kane, R. C., Koti, K. M., Rothmann, M. D., Habtemariam, B., Bullock, J., Bray, J. D., Hawes, J., Palmby, T. R., Jee, J., Adams, W., Mahayni, H., Brown, J., *et al.* (2013) U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval: carfilzomib for the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **19**, 4559–4563
- Vernace, V. A., Arnaud, L., Schmidt-Glenewinkel, T., and Figueiredo-Pereira, M. E. (2007) Aging perturbs 26S proteasome assembly in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *FASEB J.* 21, 2672–2682
- 67. Tonoki, A., Kuranaga, E., Tomioka, T., Hamazaki, J., Murata, S., Tanaka, K., and Miura, M. (2009) Genetic evidence linking age-dependent atten-

uation of the 26S proteasome with the aging process. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **29**, 1095–1106

- Myeku, N., Clelland, C. L., Emrani, S., Kukushkin, N. V., Yu, W. H., Goldberg, A. L., and Duff, K. E. (2016) Tau-driven 26S proteasome impairment and cognitive dysfunction can be prevented early in disease by activating cAMP-PKA signaling. *Nat. Med.* 22, 46–53
- Livnat-Levanon, N., Kevei, É., Kleifeld, O., Krutauz, D., Segref, A., Rinaldi, T., Erpapazoglou, Z., Cohen, M., Reis, N., Hoppe, T., and Glickman, M. H. (2014) Reversible 26S proteasome disassembly upon mitochondrial stress. *Cell Rep.* 7, 1371–1380
- Fabre, B., Lambour, T., Garrigues, L., Amalric, F., Vigneron, N., Menneteau, T., Stella, A., Monsarrat, B., Van den Eynde, B., Burlet-Schiltz, O., and Bousquet-Dubouch, M.-P. (2015) Deciphering preferential interactions within supramolecular protein complexes: the proteasome case. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **11**, 771

