

CLASSICAL SCHWARZ REFLECTION PRINCIPLE FOR JENKINS-SERRIN TYPE MINIMAL SURFACES

Ricardo Sa Earp, Eric Toubiana

► To cite this version:

Ricardo Sa Earp, Eric Toubiana. CLASSICAL SCHWARZ REFLECTION PRINCIPLE FOR JENKINS-SERRIN TYPE MINIMAL SURFACES. 2018. hal-01873849v2

HAL Id: hal-01873849 https://hal.science/hal-01873849v2

Preprint submitted on 29 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CLASSICAL SCHWARZ REFLECTION PRINCIPLE FOR JENKINS-SERRIN TYPE MINIMAL SURFACES

RICARDO SA EARP AND ERIC TOUBIANA

ABSTRACT. We give a proof of the classical Schwarz reflection principle for Jenkins-Serrin type minimal surfaces in the homogeneous three manifolds $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for $\kappa \leq 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$. In our previous paper we proved a reflection principle in Riemannian manifolds. The statements and techniques in the two papers are distinct.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we focus the classical Schwarz reflection principle across a geodesic line in the boundary of a minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^3 and more generally in three dimensional homogeneous spaces $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$.

The Schwarz reflection principle was shown in some special cases. One kind of examples arise for the solutions of the classical Plateau problem in \mathbb{R}^3 containing a segment of a straight line in the boundary, see Lawson [8, Chapter II, Section 4, Proposition 10]. Another kind occur for vertical graphs in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ containing an arc of a horizontal geodesic, see [20, Lemma 3.6].

On the other hand, there is no proof of the reflection principle for general minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 containing a straight line in its boundary.

The goal of this paper is to provide a proof of the reflection principle about vertical geodesic lines for Jenkins-Serrin type minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 and other three dimensional homogeneous manifolds such as, for example, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, see Theorem 4.1. The proof also holds for horizontal geodesic lines.

We observe that this classical Schwarz reflection principle was used by many authors, including the present authors, in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Date: January 29, 2020.

Key words and phrases. Minimal surfaces, Jenkins-Serrin type surfaces, Schwarz reflection principle, curvature estimates, blow-up techniques.

Mathematics subject classification: 53A10, 53C42, 49Q05.

The first and second authors were partially supported by CNPq of Brasil.

We recall that the authors proved another reflection principle for minimal surfaces in general three dimensional Riemannian manifold with quite different statement and techniques, see [21].

We are grateful to the referee of our paper whose remarks greatly improved this work.

2. A brief description of the three dimensional homogeneous manifolds $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$

For any r > 0 we denote by $\mathbb{D}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the open disc of \mathbb{R}^2 with center at the origin and with radius r (for the Euclidean metric).

For any $\kappa \leq 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$ we consider the model of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ given by $\mathbb{D}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}) \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the metric

(1)
$$\nu_{\kappa}^{2}(dx^{2}+dy^{2})+(\tau\nu_{\kappa}(ydx-xdy)+dt)^{2}.$$

where $\nu_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}}$. We observe that $\mathbb{E}(-1, \tau) = \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$. By abuse of notations we set $\mathbb{D}(\frac{1}{0}) = \mathbb{D}(+\infty) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus $\mathbb{E}(0, \tau) = \mathrm{Nil}_3(\tau)$. Also, \mathbb{R}^3 equipped with the Euclidean metric is a model of $\mathbb{E}(0, 0)$.

We denote by $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ the complete, connected and simply connected Riemannian surface with constant curvature κ . Notice that for $\kappa < 0$ a model of $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ is given by the disc $\mathbb{D}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}})$ equipped with the metric $\nu_{\kappa}^2(dx^2 + dy^2)$.

We recall that $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ is a fibration over $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$, and the projection $\Pi : \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ is a Riemannian submersion, see for example [2]. Moreover the unit vertical field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is a Killing field generating a one-parameter group of isometries given by the vertical translations.

We have seen in [21, Example 2.2-(2)] that the horizontal geodesics and the vertical geodesics of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ admit a reflection. That is, for any such a geodesic L, there exists a non trivial isometry I_L of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ satisfying

- I_L is orientation preserving,
- $I_L(p) = p$ for any $p \in L$,
- $I_L \circ I_L = \text{Id.}$

Let Ω be any domain of $\mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ and let $u: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 -function. We say that the set $\Sigma := \{(p, u(p)), p \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{D}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}}) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a *vertical graph*. Note that the Killing field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is transverse to Σ . Thus, by the well-known criterium of stability, if Σ is a minimal surface then Σ is stable.

Consider some arbitrary local coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$. Let u be a C^2 function defined on a domain Ω contained in the x_1, x_2 plane of coordinates. Let $S \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ be the graph of u. Then S is a minimal surface if u satisfies an elliptic PDE (called *minimal surface equation*)

$$F(x, u, u_1, u_2, u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{22}) = 0,$$

see [21, Equation (13)]. Furthermore, if u has bounded gradient then the PDE is uniformly elliptic.

3. Jenkins-Serrin type minimal surfaces

The original Jenkins-Serrin's theorem was conceived in \mathbb{R}^3 , see [7, Theorems 1, 2 and 3]. It was extended in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by B. Nelli and H. Rosenberg [11, Theorem 3] and in $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by A.L. Pinheiro [15, Theorem 1.1] where \mathbb{M}^2 is a complete Riemannian surface. Later on it was established in $\widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by R. Younes [25, Theorem 1.1] and in Sol₃ by M. H. Nguyen [13, Section 3.6]. As a matter of fact the same proof also works in the homogeneous spaces $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for any $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$.

We state briefly below the Jenkin-Serrin type theorem in the homogeneous spaces $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$ (same statement holds in \mathbb{R}^3 and in $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$).

Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$, thus for any point $p \in \Gamma := \partial \Omega$ there is a complete geodesic line $\Gamma_p \subset \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ such that Ω remains in one open component of $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \setminus \Gamma_p$.

We assume that the C^0 Jordan curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ is constituted of two families of open geodesic arcs $A_1, \ldots, A_a, B_1, \ldots, B_b$ and a family of open arcs C_1, \ldots, C_c with their endpoints. We assume also that no two A_i and no two B_j have a common endpoint.

On each open arc C_k we assign a continuous boundary data g_k .

Let $P \subset \Omega$ be any polygon whose vertices are chosen among the endpoints of the open geodesic arcs A_i, B_j , we call P an *admissible* polygon. We set

$$\alpha(P) = \sum_{A_i \subset P} \|A_i\|, \ \beta(P) = \sum_{B_j \subset P} \|B_j\|, \ \gamma(P) = \text{perimeter of } P.$$

With the above notations the Jenkins-Serrin's theorem asserts the following:

If the family $\{C_k\}$ is not empty then there exists a function $u: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose graph is a minimal surface in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ and such

that

$$u_{|A_i|} = +\infty, \ u_{|B_i|} = -\infty, \ u_{|C_k|} = g_k$$

if and only if

(2)
$$2\alpha(P) < \gamma(P), \ 2\beta(P) < \gamma(P)$$

for any admissible polygon P. In this case the function u is unique.

If the family $\{C_k\}$ is empty such a function u exists if and only if $\alpha(\Gamma) = \beta(\Gamma)$ and condition (2) holds for any admissible polygon $P \neq \Gamma$. In this case the function u is unique up to an additive constant.

We denote by $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ the graph of u over Ω and we call such a surface a *Jenkins-Serrin type minimal surface*.

Remark 3.1. We observe that when the family $\{C_k\}$ is empty, the boundary of Σ is the union of vertical geodesic line $\{q\} \times \mathbb{R}$ for any common endpoint q between geodesic arcs A_i and B_j .

Suppose that the family $\{C_k\}$ is not empty and let x_0 be a common vertex between A_i and C_k , if any. If g_k has a finite limit at x_0 , say α , then the half vertical line $\{x_0\} \times [\alpha, +\infty[$ lies in the boundary of Σ . Now if x_0 is a common vertex between B_j and C_k and if g_k has a finite limit at x_0 , say β , then the half vertical line $\{x_0\} \times] - \infty, \beta]$ lies in the boundary of Σ . At last, if x_0 is a common vertex between C_i and C_k and if g_i and g_k have different finite limits at x_0 , say $\alpha < \beta$, then the vertical segment $\{x_0\} \times [\alpha, \beta]$ lies in the boundary of Σ .

4. MAIN THEOREM

For any vertical geodesic line L of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, we denote by I_L the reflection about the line L.

Theorem 4.1. Using the notations of section 3 and under the assumptions of Remark 3.1, let $\gamma \subset \{x_0\} \times \mathbb{R} := L \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ be a vertical component of the boundary of the open minimal vertical graph $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, where $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$.

Then, we can extend minimally Σ by reflection about L. More precisely, $S := \Sigma \cup \gamma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$ is a smooth minimal surface invariant by the reflection about Γ , containing $int(\gamma)$ in its interior.

Furthermore the same statement and proof hold for $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ or $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that the possible cases for γ are the following: the whole line L, a half line of L or a closed geodesic arc of L.

Observe also that, since we are under the assumptions of Remark 3.1, if x_0 is an endpoint of some arc C_i , then g_i has a finite limit at x_0 .

Remark 4.2. We use the same notations as in Theorem 4.1. Suppose that the boundary of Σ contains an open arc δ (graph over an arc C_k) of a horizontal geodesic line Υ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$.

We denote by I_{Υ} the reflection in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ about Υ .

We can prove as in [20, Lemma 3.6] (in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$) that we can extend Σ by reflection about $\Upsilon: \Sigma \cup \delta \cup I_{\Upsilon}(\Sigma)$ is a connected smooth minimal surface containing δ in its interior. The same observation holds also in Heisenberg space and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, we can verify that the proof of Theorem 4.1 also works for reflection about horizontal geodesic lines.

Proof. For the sake of clarity and simplicity of notations, we provide the proof in $\widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau) = \mathbb{E}(-1, \tau)$. Nevertheless, all arguments and constructions hold in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for any $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$, in \mathbb{R}^3 , that is for $\kappa = \tau = 0$ and in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, that is for $\kappa = 1$ and $\tau = 0$.

We assume that the family C_k is not empty. The other situation can be handled in a similar way.

Recall that, by assumption, if x_0 is an endpoint of some arc C_i (if any), then g_i has a finite limit at x_0 .

We suppose that all functions g_k admit also a finite limit at the endpoints of C_k different of x_0 (if any). It is possible to carry out a proof without this assumption but the details are cumbersome, as we can see in the following.

Suppose for instance that $x_1 (\neq x_0)$ is an endpoint of some arc C_k , that g_k has no limit at x_1 and that g_k is bounded near x_1 . Setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\liminf_{x \to x_1} g_k(x) + \limsup_{x \to x_1} g_k(x))$, we can find a sequence (p_n) on C_k such that

 $p_n \to x_1$ and $g_k(p_n) = \alpha$ for any n.

Then we consider the new function $g_{k,n}$ on C_k setting $g_{k,n}(x) = \alpha$ on the segment $[x_1, p_n]$ of C_k and $g_{k,n} = g_k$ outside this segment. Now the continuous function $g_{k,n}$ has a limit at x_1 . Observe that for any $x \in C_k$ we have $g_{k,n}(x) = g_k(x)$ for any n large enough.

If g_k is not bounded near x_1 , we first truncate, for any n > 0, the function g_k above by n and below by -n. We obtain a new continuous and bounded function $h_{k,n}$ on C_k . Then we proceed as above.

For any integer n we consider the Jordan curve Γ_n obtained by the union of the geodesic arcs A_i at height n, the geodesic arcs B_j at height -n, the graphs of functions g_k over the open arcs C_k (or $g_{k,n}$ if g_k has no finite limit at some endpoint of C_k), and the vertical segments necessary to form a Jordan curve. Thus Γ is the projection of Γ_n on \mathbb{H}^2 . Let $\Sigma_n \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ be the embedded area minimizing disc with boundary Γ_n given by Proposition 6.1 in the Appendix. We have

- $\Sigma_n \subset \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$,
- $\mathring{\Sigma}_n := \Sigma_n \setminus \Gamma_n = \Sigma_n \cap (\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ is a vertical graph over Ω .

We set $\gamma_n := \Sigma_n \cap L$, where $L := \{x_0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, thus $\gamma_n \subset \gamma$ for any n. Due to the fact that Σ_n is area minimizing we can apply the reflection principle about the vertical line L, this is proven in detail in [21, Proposition 3.4]. Thus, $S_n := \Sigma_n \cup I_L(\Sigma_n)$ is an embedded minimal surface containing $int(\gamma_n)$ in its interior. By construction S_n is invariant under the reflection I_L and is orientable.

Let $u_n : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function whose the graph is Σ_n . Thus u_n extends continuously by n on the edges $int(A_i)$, by -n on the edges $int(B_j)$ and by g_k (or $g_{k,n}$) over the open arcs C_k . Using the lemmas derived in [25], following the original proof of [7, Theorem 2], it can be proved that, up to considering a subsequence, the sequence of functions (u_n) converges to a function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the C^2 -topology, uniformly over any compact subset of Ω .

Let d_n be the intrinsic distance on S_n . For any $p \in S_n$ and any r > 0we denote by $B_n(p,r) \subset S_n$ the open geodesic disc of S_n centered at pwith radius r. By construction, for any $p \in int(\gamma)$ there exist $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ and a real number $c_p > 0$ such that for any integer $n \ge n_p$ we have $p \in int(\gamma_n) \subset int(S_n)$ and $d_n(p, \partial S_n) > 2c_p$.

We assert that the Gaussian curvature K_n of the surfaces S_n is uniformly bounded in the neighborhood of each point of $int(\gamma)$, independently of n.

Proposition 4.3. For any $p \in int(\gamma)$ there exist $R_p, K_p > 0$, and there exists $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $p \in int(\gamma_{n_p}) \subset S_{n_p}$ and $d_{n_p}(p, \partial S_{n_p}) > 2R_p$, such that for any integer $n \ge n_p$ we have $p \in int(\gamma_n) \subset S_n$ and

 $|K_n(x)| \leqslant K_p,$

for any $x \in B_n(p, R_p)$.

We postpone the proof of Proposition 4.3 until Section 5.

Assuming Proposition 4.3 we will prove that for any $p \in int(\gamma)$ there exists an embedded minimal disc D(p), containing p in its interior, such that $D(p) \subset \Sigma \cup \gamma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$. This will prove that $\Sigma \cup \gamma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$ is a minimal surface, that is smooth along $int(\gamma)$.

Let $p \in int(\gamma)$, we deduce from Proposition 4.3 that there exist real numbers $R_p, K_p > 0$ and $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $n \ge n_p$ and for any point $x \in B_n(p, R_p)$ we have $|K_n(x)| \le K_p$. By construction, $B_n(p, R_p)$ is an embedded minimal disc. Therefore it can be proved as in [18], using [18, Proposition 2.3, Lemma 2.4] and the discussion that follows, that up to taking a subsequence, the geodesic discs $B_n(p, R_p)$ converge for the C^2 -topology to a minimal disc $D(p) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ containing p in its interior. We recall that each geodesic disc $B_n(p, R_p)$ is embedded, contains an open subarc $\gamma(p)$ of γ (which does not depend on n) passing through p, and $B_n(p, R_p)$ is invariant under the reflection I_L . Thereby the minimal disc D(p) also is embedded, contains the subarc $\gamma(p)$ and inherits the same symmetry.

We set $S := \Sigma \cup \gamma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$.

By construction the surfaces $\operatorname{int}(S_n) \setminus L$ converge to $\Sigma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$. We observe that $B_n(p, R_p) \setminus L \subset S_n \setminus L$ and then $D(p) \setminus L \subset \Sigma \cup I_L(\Sigma)$. Then we have $D(p) \subset S$. We conclude henceforth that S is a smooth minimal surface invariant under the reflection I_L , this accomplishes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.1 holds also in case where x_0 is and endpoint of some arc C_i and g_i has an infinite limit at x_0 .

Indeed, assume that $\lim_{x\to x_0} g_i(x) = +\infty$. We denote by $g_{i,n}$ the new function on C_i obtained by truncating the function g_i above by n. Then, in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we consider the embedded area minimizing disc Σ_n constructed with the function $g_{i,n}$ on C_i (instead of g_i). Then we can proceed the proof in the same way.

Remark 4.5. We don't know if the Jenkins-Serrin type theorem was established in the Heisenberg spaces $Nil_3(\tau) = \mathbb{E}(0,\tau)$ for $\tau > 0$. Assuming the Jenkins-Serrin type theorem, the proof of Theorem 4.1 works to establish the same reflection principle for vertical geodesic lines in $Nil_3(\tau)$.

5. Proof of Proposition 4.3

We argue by absurd.

Suppose by contradiction that there exists $p \in int(\gamma)$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exist an integer $n_k > k$ and $x_k \in B_{n_k}(p, \frac{1}{k})$ such that $|K_{n_k}(x_k)| > k^2$.

There exist c > 0 and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any integer $k \ge k_0$ we have $p \in int(\gamma_{n_k})$ and $d_{n_k}(p, \partial S_{n_k}) > 2c$. Thus $\overline{B}_{n_k}(p, c) \subset int(S_{n_k})$.

Moreover there exists an integer $k_1 > k_0$ such that for any integer $k \ge k_1$ we have $d_{n_k}(x_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c)) > c/2$.

From now on, we are going to use classical blow-up techniques.

Define the continuous function $f_k : \overline{B}_{n_k}(p,c) \longrightarrow [0,+\infty[$ for any $k \ge k_1$, setting: $f_k(x) = \sqrt{|K_{n_k}(x)|} d_{n_k}(x, \partial B_{n_k}(p,c)).$

Clearly $f_k \equiv 0$ on $\partial B_{n_k}(p,c)$ and

$$f_k(x_k) = \sqrt{|K_{n_k}(x_k)|} \, d_{n_k}(x_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c)) \ge k \frac{c}{2}$$

We fix a point $p_k \in B_{n_k}(p, c)$ where the function f_k attains its maximum value, hence

(3)
$$f_k(p_k) \ge k\frac{c}{2}.$$

We deduce therefore

(4)
$$\lambda_k := \sqrt{|K_{n_k}(p_k)|} \ge \frac{kc}{2d_{n_k}(p_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c))} \ge \frac{kc}{2c} = \frac{k}{2}.$$

Notation 5.1. We set $\rho_k = d_{n_k}(p_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c))$ and we denote by $D_k \subset B_{n_k}(p, c) \subset S_{n_k}$ the open geodesic disc with center p_k and radius $\rho_k/2$. Notice that D_k is embedded.

For further purpose we emphasize that D_k is an orientable minimal surface of $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$.

Let us consider the model of $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau) = \mathbb{E}(-1, \tau)$ given by (1) for $\kappa = -1$, that is the product set $\mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the metric

(5)
$$ds^{2} := \mu^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2}) + \left(\tau\mu(ydx - xdy) + dt\right)^{2}$$

where $\mu = \mu(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}}$.

For any integer $k \ge k_1$ we set $\mu_k = \mu_k(u, v) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{4\lambda_k^2}}$. We

consider, as in the Nguyen's thesis [13, Section 2.2.3], the product set $\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the metric

(6)
$$ds_k^2 := \mu_k^2 (du^2 + dv^2) + \left(\frac{\tau}{\lambda_k} \mu_k (vdu - udv) + dw\right)^2.$$

Thus $(\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}, ds_k^2)$ is a model of $\mathbb{E}(\frac{-1}{\lambda_k^2}, \frac{\tau}{\lambda_k})$.

Remark 5.2. Since $\widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ is a homogeneous space, for any integer $k \ge k_1$, up to considering an isometry of $\widetilde{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ which sends p_k to the origin $0_3 := (0, 0, 0)$, we can assume that $p_k = 0_3$. See for example [14, Chapter 5] or [13, Proposition 1.1.7].

Let us consider the homothety

$$H_k : \mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$$
$$(x, y, t) \longmapsto (u, v, w) = \lambda_k (x, y, t).$$

We have $H_k^*(ds_k^2) = \lambda_k^2 ds^2$, see (5) and (6). Then, it follows that $\widetilde{D}_k := H_k(D_k)$ is an embedded minimal surface of $(\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}, ds_k^2)$.

By construction, D_k is a geodesic disc with center the origine 0_3 of $\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$: $0_3 \in \widetilde{D}_k \subset \mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover the radius of \widetilde{D}_k is $\widetilde{\rho}_k = \lambda_k \cdot (\text{radius of } D_k)$, that is $\widetilde{\rho}_k = \lambda_k \rho_k/2$.

Using the estimate (3) we get

(7)
$$\widetilde{\rho}_k = \lambda_k \, \rho_k / 2 = \sqrt{|K_{n_k}(p_k)|} \, d_{n_k}(p_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c)) / 2 = \frac{f_k(p_k)}{2} \ge \frac{kc}{4},$$

thus $\widetilde{\rho}_k \to \infty$ if $k \to \infty$.

Let $g_{\text{euc}} = du^2 + dv^2 + dw^2$ be the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . We observe that $(\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}, ds_k^2)$ converges to $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, g_{\text{euc}})$ for the C^2 -topology, uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 .

We denote by \widetilde{K}_{n_k} the Gaussian curvature of \widetilde{D}_k . For any $x \in D_k \subset \mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$, setting $X = H_k(x) \in \widetilde{D}_k \subset \mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$, we get $\widetilde{K}_{n_k}(X) = \frac{K_{n_k}(x)}{\lambda_k^2}$. Hence for any $X \in \widetilde{D}_k$ we obtain

(8)

$$\sqrt{|\widetilde{K}_{n_k}(X)|} = \frac{\sqrt{|K_{n_k}(x)|}}{\lambda_k} \leqslant \frac{f_k(p_k)}{\lambda_k d_{n_k}(x, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c))} \\
= \frac{d_{n_k}(p_k, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c))}{d_{n_k}(x, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c))} < 2$$

since $d_{n_k}(x, \partial B_{n_k}(p, c)) > \frac{\rho_k}{2}$.

Furthermore, for any integer $k \ge k_1$ we have

(9)
$$\sqrt{|\widetilde{K}_{n_k}(0_3)|} = \frac{\sqrt{|K_{n_k}(p_k)|}}{\lambda_k} = 1$$

We summarize some facts derived before:

- **Lemma 5.3.** each \widetilde{D}_k is an embedded and orientable minimal surface of $(\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}, ds_k^2) = \mathbb{E}(-\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2}, \frac{\tau}{\lambda_k}),$
 - there is a uniform estimate of Gaussian curvature, see (8),
 - the radius $\widetilde{\rho}_k$ of the geodesic disc D_k go to $+\infty$ if $k \to \infty$, see (7),
 - the metrics ds²_k converge to g_{euc} for the C²-topology, uniformly on any compact subset of ℝ³, see (6).

Therefore it can be proved as in [18] (using [18, Proposition 2.3, Lemma 2.4] and the discussion that follows), that up to considering a subsequence, the \tilde{D}_k converge for the C^2 -topology to a complete, connected and orientable minimal surface \tilde{S} of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Remark 5.4. From the construction described in [18], the surface S has the following properties.

There exist $r, r_0 > 0$ such that for any $q \in \widetilde{S}$, a piece $\widetilde{G}(q)$ of \widetilde{S} , containing the geodesic disc with center q and radius r_0 , is a graph over the open disc D(q,r) of $T_q\widetilde{S}$ with center q and radius r (for the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3). Furthermore:

- for k large enough, a piece $\widetilde{G}_k(q)$ of $\widetilde{D}_k \subset \mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$ is also a graph over D(q,r) and the surfaces $\widetilde{G}_k(q)$ converge for the C^2 -topology to $\widetilde{G}(q)$,
- for any $y \in \widetilde{G}(q)$ there exists $k_y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \ge k_y$ we can choose the piece $\widetilde{G}_k(y)$ of \widetilde{D}_k such that $\widetilde{G}_k(q) \cup \widetilde{G}_k(y)$ is connected.

By construction we have $0_3 \in \widetilde{S}$ and, denoting by \widetilde{K} the Gaussian curvature of \widetilde{S} in (\mathbb{R}^3, g_{euc}) , we deduce from (9)

$$(10) \qquad \qquad |\tilde{K}(0_3)| = 1$$

For any integer $k \ge k_1$ we set $\widetilde{L}_k := H_k(L)$. Thus, \widetilde{L}_k is a vertical straight line of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Definition 5.5. Let δ_k be the distance in $\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$ induced by the metric ds_k^2 .

We say that the sequence of vertical lines (\tilde{L}_k) in \mathbb{R}^3 disappears to infinity if $\delta_k(0_3, \tilde{L}_k) \to +\infty$ when $k \to +\infty$

There are two possibilities: the sequence (\tilde{L}_k) disappears or not to infinity. We are going to show that either case cannot occur, we will find therefore a contradiction.

First case: (L_k) disappears to infinity.

Observe that, by construction, the geodesic discs $B_{n_k}(p,c)$ are invariant under the reflection I_L and $f_k(q) = f_k(I_L(q))$ for any $q \in B_{n_k}(p,c)$. Since $p_k = 0_3$ by assumption, we can assume that $0_3 \in \Sigma_{n_k} \subset S_{n_k}$ for any $k \ge k_1$.

Let $q \in \widetilde{S}$, and consider a minimizing geodesic arc $\delta \subset \widetilde{S}$ joining 0_3 to q. It follows from Remark 5.4 that there exist a finite number of points $q_1 = 0_3, \ldots, q_n = q$ belonging to δ , and there exists $k_q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

- for any integer $k \ge k_q$ the subset $\cup_j \widetilde{G}_k(q_j) \subset \widetilde{D}_k$ is connected and converges for the C^2 -topology to the subset $\cup_j \widetilde{G}(q_j) \subset \widetilde{S}$,
- for any integer $k \ge k_q$ we have $\left(\bigcup_j \widetilde{G}_k(q_j)\right) \cap \widetilde{L}_k = \emptyset$.

Thus for any integer $k \ge k_q$ we obtain that $H_k^{-1}(\cup_j \widetilde{G}_k(q_j)) \cap L = \emptyset$, that is $H_k^{-1}(\cup_j \widetilde{G}_k(q_j)) \subset D_k \cap \Sigma_{n_k}$.

Setting $\widehat{D}_k := H_k(D_k \cap \Sigma_{n_k})$ we deduce that the sequence (\widehat{D}_k) converges to \widetilde{S} for the C^2 -topology too. Observe that $\widehat{D}_k \cap \widetilde{L}_k \subset \partial \widehat{D}_k$. Therefore any minimal surface $\widehat{D}_k \setminus \widetilde{L}_k$ is a Killing graph and thus \widehat{D}_k is a stable minimal surface of $\mathbb{E}(\frac{-1}{\lambda_k^2}, \frac{\tau}{\lambda_k})$.

Therefore it can be proved as in the discussion following Lemma 2.4 in [18] that \tilde{S} is a connected, complete, orientable and stable minimal surface of \mathbb{R}^3 . Thanks to results of do Carmo and Peng [3], Fischer-Colbrie and Schoen [4] and Pogorelov [16], \tilde{S} is a plane. But this gives a contradiction with the curvature relation (10).

Second case: (\widetilde{L}_k) does not disappear to infinity.

We will prove that the Gauss map of \tilde{S} omits infinitely many points, hence \tilde{S} would be a plane (see [5, Corollary 1.3] or [24]), contradicting the curvature relation (10).

Let $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$ be the interior angle of Γ at vertex x_0 , (α exists since Γ is the boundary of a convex domain). Observe that the case where $\alpha = \pi$ is under consideration.

Since Ω is convex, there exists a geodesic line $C_{x_0} \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ at x_0 such that $C_{x_0} \cap \Omega = \emptyset$. Let Π be the product $C_{x_0} \times \mathbb{R}$ in $(\mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R}, ds^2) = \mathbb{E}(-1, \tau)$. When $\tau = 0$ notice that Π , is a vertical totally geodesic plane in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. We recall that there are no totally geodesic surfaces in $\mathbb{E}(-1, \tau)$ if $\tau \neq 0$, see [23, Theorem 1].

Under our assumption, up to considering a subsequence, we can assume that the sequence (\widetilde{L}_k) converges to a vertical straight line $\widetilde{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and that $(H_k(\Pi))$ converges to a vertical plane $\widetilde{\Pi} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ containing \widetilde{L} . Let us denote by $\widetilde{\Pi}^+$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}^-$ the two open halfspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 bounded by $\widetilde{\Pi}$.

Lemma 5.6. We have $(\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}) \setminus \widetilde{L} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Otherwise assume there exists a point $q \in \widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}$ such that $q \notin \widetilde{L}$. From the structure of the intersection of two minimal surfaces tangent at a point, see [1, Theorem 7.3] or [22, Lemma, p. 380], we may suppose that $\widetilde{\Pi}$ is transverse to \widetilde{S} at q. Thus there is an open piece $\widetilde{F}(q)$ of \widetilde{S} containing q which is transverse to the plane $\widetilde{\Pi}$. Hence, for any integer k large enough, a piece $\widetilde{F}_k(q)$ of $\widetilde{D}_k \subset \mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$ is so close to $\widetilde{F}(q)$ that it is transverse to $\widetilde{\Pi}$ too. Consequently we would have $\operatorname{int}(\widetilde{D}_k) \cap (H_k(\Pi) \setminus \widetilde{L}_k) \neq \emptyset$, that is $\operatorname{int}(D_k) \cap (\Pi \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$.

But by construction we have $int(S_{n_k}) \cap (\Pi \setminus L) = \emptyset$, which leads to a contradiction since $D_k \subset S_{n_k}$.

Lemma 5.7. We have $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{L}$.

Proof. Assume first that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} = \emptyset$. Hence \widetilde{S} stay in an open halfspace, say $\widetilde{\Pi}^+$, of \mathbb{R}^3 bounded by $\widetilde{\Pi}$. Observe that the halfspace $\widetilde{\Pi}^+$ is the limit of open subspaces $H_k(\Pi^+)$ of $\mathbb{D}(2\lambda_k) \times \mathbb{R}$ where Π^+ is one of the two open halfspaces of $\mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded by Π . Consequently \widetilde{S} is the limit of the graphs $\widetilde{D}_k \cap H_k(\Pi^+)$. Therefore, as in the first case, we obtain that \widetilde{S} is stable and thus is a plane, giving a contradiction with (10). We obtain therefore $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $q \in \widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}$. We deduce from Lemma 5.6 that $q \in \widetilde{L}$. If $\widetilde{\Pi}$ were the tangent plane of \widetilde{S} at q, then the intersection $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}$ would consist in a even number ≥ 4 of arcs issued from q, see [1, Theorem 7.3] or [22, Lemma, p. 380]. Then we infer that $\widetilde{S} \cap (\widetilde{\Pi} \setminus \widetilde{L}) \neq \emptyset$ which is not possible due to Lemma 5.6.

Thus $\widetilde{\Pi}$ is transverse to \widetilde{S} at q. Since $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} \subset \widetilde{L}$, we deduce from Lemma 5.6 that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}$ contains an open arc of \widetilde{L} containing q. This proves that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi}$ contains a segment of \widetilde{L} . It is well known that if a complete minimal surface of \mathbb{R}^3 contains a segment of a straight line then it contains the whole straight line, see Proposition 6.3 in the Appendix. We conclude that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{L}$ as desired. \Box

Remark 5.8. To prove that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} \neq \emptyset$ we can alternatively argue as follows. Assume that $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} = \emptyset$. By construction \widetilde{S} is a complete and connected minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^3 without self-intersection. Furthermore we deduce from the estimates (8) that \widetilde{S} has bounded curvature. It follows from [17, Remark] that \widetilde{S} is properly embedded. Since \widetilde{S} lies in a halfspace, we deduce from the halfspace theorem [6, Theorem 1] that \widetilde{S} is a plane, which gives a contradiction with (10). Thus $\widetilde{S} \cap \widetilde{\Pi} \neq \emptyset$.

We deduce from Lemma 5.7 that $\widetilde{S} \setminus \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{S} \setminus \widetilde{L}$ has two connected components, say $\widetilde{S}^- \subset \widetilde{\Pi}^-$ and $\widetilde{S}^+ \subset \widetilde{\Pi}^+$. In the same way we denote by Π^+ and Π^- the two open halfspaces of $\mathbb{D}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded by Π . We have that $\widetilde{\Pi}^+$ (resp. $\widetilde{\Pi}^-$) is the limit of $H_k(\Pi^+)$ (resp. $H_k(\Pi^-)$).

We set $D_k^{\pm} := D_k \cap \Pi^{\pm}$ and $\widetilde{D}_k^{\pm} := H_k(D_k^{\pm}) = \widetilde{D}_k \cap H_k(\Pi^{\pm})$. We observe that \widetilde{D}_k^+ and \widetilde{D}_k^- are vertical graphs and that \widetilde{S}^+ (resp. \widetilde{S}^-) is the limit of (\widetilde{D}_k^+) (resp. (\widetilde{D}_k^-)) for the C^2 -topology.

For any integer $k \ge k_1$ we denote by \widetilde{N}^k a smooth unit normal vector field on \widetilde{D}_k with respect to the metric ds_k^2 , see (6). Let \widetilde{N}_3^k be the *vertical component* of \widetilde{N}^k , this means that $\widetilde{N}^k - \widetilde{N}_3^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are orthogonal vector fields along D_k .

Since (\widetilde{D}_k) converges to \widetilde{S} for the C²-topology, we can define a unit normal field \widetilde{N} on \widetilde{S} as the limit of the fields \widetilde{N}^k .

Lemma 5.9. We have $\widetilde{N}_3 \neq 0$ on $\widetilde{S}^+ \cup \widetilde{S}^-$. Furthermore \widetilde{S}^+ and $\widetilde{S}^$ are vertical graphs.

Proof. Indeed, we know that \widetilde{D}_k^+ is a vertical graph. So we can assume that $\widetilde{N}_3^k > 0$ along \widetilde{D}_k^+ for any $k \ge k_1$. By considering the limit of the fields \widetilde{N}^k we get that $\widetilde{N}_3 \ge 0$ on \widetilde{S}^+ .

Let $q \in \widetilde{S}^+$ be a point such that $\widetilde{N}_3(q) = 0$, if any. Recall that the Gauss map of a non planar minimal surface of \mathbb{R}^3 is an open map. Therefore, in any neighborhood of q in S^+ it would exist points $y \in S^+$ such that $N_3(y) < 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Thus we have $\widetilde{N}_3 \neq 0$ on \widetilde{S}^+ . We prove in the same way that $\widetilde{N}_3 \neq 0$ on S^- too.

Assume by contradiction that \widetilde{S}^+ is not a vertical graph. Then there exist two points $q, \overline{q} \in \widetilde{S}^+$ lying to same vertical straight line. As the tangent planes of \widetilde{S}^+ at q and \overline{q} are not vertical, there exists a real number $\delta > 0$ such that a neighborhood $V_{\overline{q}} \subset \widetilde{S}^+$ of \overline{q} and a neighborhood $V_q \subset \widetilde{S}^+$ of q are vertical graphs over an Euclidean disc of radius δ in the (u, v)-plane.

But, by construction, for k large enough a piece $U_{\overline{q}}$ of \widetilde{D}_k^+ is C^2 -close of $V_{\overline{q}}$ and a piece U_q of \widetilde{D}_k^+ is C^2 -close of V_q . Clearly this would imply that the vertical projections of $U_{\overline{q}}$ and U_q on the (u, v)-plane have non empty intersection. But this is not possible since D_k^+ is a vertical graph. We conclude therefore that \widetilde{S}^+ is a vertical graph.

We can prove in the same way that \tilde{S}^- is a vertical graph.

End of the proof of the proposition

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be any vertical plane verifying $\widetilde{L} \subset P$ and $P \neq \widetilde{\Pi}$. We deduce from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.9 that $(\widetilde{S} \cap P) \setminus \widetilde{L}$ is a vertical graph. Therefore, the structure of the intersection of two minimal surfaces tangent at a point, see [1, Theorem 7.3] or [22, Lemma, p. 380], shows that there cannot be two distinct points of L where the tangent plane of S is P.

Let ν and $-\nu$ be the two unit vectors orthogonal to P. Since $\widetilde{N}_3 \neq 0$ on $\widetilde{S} \setminus \widetilde{L}$ we deduce that ν and $-\nu$ are not both assumed by the Gauss map of \widetilde{S} . By varying the vertical planes P, we obtain that the Gauss map of \widetilde{S} omits infinitely many points (belonging to the equator of the 2-sphere). Then \widetilde{S} must be a plane, see [24, Theorem] or [5, Theorem I]. On account of (10) we arrive to a contradiction. This accomplishes the proof of the proposition.

Observe that, actually, the proof of Proposition 4.3 demonstrates the following result.

Proposition 5.10. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$, $\kappa \leq 0$, be a convex domain. Let $C_1, C_2 \subset \partial \Omega$ be two open arcs admitting a common endpoint $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. Let $(\Sigma_n) \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, $\tau \geq 0$, be a sequence of minimal surfaces satisfying for any n:

- Σ_n is a minimal surface with boundary, whose interior is the graph of a function u_n defined on Ω ,
- the function u_n extends continuously up to the open arcs C_1 and C_2 ,
- the restrictions of the map u_n to C_1 and C_2 have both a finite limit at x_0 ,
- there exists a fixed open vertical segment $\gamma := x_0 \times (a, b) \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ such that $\gamma \subset \partial \Sigma_n$ and γ is independent of n.

Then the Gaussian curvature K_n of the surfaces S_n is uniformly bounded in the neighborhood of each point of γ . Namely, for any $p \in \gamma$ there exist $R_p, K_p > 0$, satisfying for any n

$$d_n(p, \partial \Sigma_n \setminus \gamma) > 2R_p;$$
 and $|K_n(x)| \leq K_p$

for any $x \in \Sigma_n$ such that $d_n(p, x) < R_p$, where d_n denotes the intrinsic distance on Σ_n .

Outline of the proof. We first choose points $p_i \in C_i$, i = 1, 2, and we denote by \widetilde{C}_i the open arc of C_i with endpoints p_i and x_0 , i = 1, 2. Then we choose an open arc $\widetilde{C}_3 \subset \Omega$ with endpoints p_1 and p_2 such that the bounded domain $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ with boundary $\widetilde{C}_1 \cup \widetilde{C}_2 \cup \widetilde{C}_3 \cup \{x_0, p_1, p_2\}$, is convex.

Let $\Gamma_n \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ be the Jordan curve constituted with the graph of u_n over \widetilde{C}_i , i = 1, 2, 3, the points $(p_j, u_n(p_j))$, j = 1, 2, and a vertical closed segment $\widetilde{\gamma}_n$ above x_0 . Thus $\gamma \subset \widetilde{\gamma}_n$ for any n.

Since Ω is convex we deduce from Proposition 6.1 in the Appendix, that $\widetilde{\Sigma}_n := \Sigma_n \cap \overline{\widetilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}}$ is an area minimizing disc, solution of the Plateau problem for the Jordan curve $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$. Thus we can apply the

reflection principle around γ to $\widetilde{\Sigma}_n$, see [21, Proposition 3.4]. Then we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.

Observe that the same result holds also for $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

6. Appendix

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}(\kappa)$, $\kappa \leq 0$, be a bounded convex domain bounded by a C^0 Jordan curve $\Gamma := \partial \Omega$, and let $f : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise continuous function, allowing a finite number of discontinuities.

We denote by $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau), \tau \geq 0$, the graph of f. Namely, if f is continuous then $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a Jordan curve with a one-to-one projection on Γ . If f has discontinuity points then Γ is constituted of a finite number of simple arcs admitting a one-to-one vertical projection on some subarc of Γ , and a vertical segment over each point of Γ where f is not continuous.

We consider also a C^0 bounded convex domain Ω in \mathbb{S}^2 . In this case $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.$

Proposition 6.1. There exists an embedded area minimizing disc Σ in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau), \ \kappa \leq 0, \ \tau \geq 0$, (or in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$) with boundary $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Furthermore

- int(Σ) = Σ \ Γ is a vertical graph over Ω,
 If Σ₀ ⊂ 𝔼(κ, τ) (or in 𝔅² × ℝ) is any minimal surface bounded by Γ such that Σ₀ \ Γ is a vertical graph over Ω, then Σ₀ = Σ.

Remark 6.2. For the existence of an embedded minimal disc in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, we cannot use the well-known result of Meeks-Yau [9, Theorem 1], since the boundary of $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ does not have the required regularity.

Proof. We perform the proof in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, the proof in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is analougous.

Assume first that f has no discontinuity points. Since $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ is homogeneous, we deduce from a result of Morrey [10] that there exists a minimizing area disc Σ bounded by Γ . Since Ω is a convex domain, we deduce from the maximum principle that $int(\Sigma) \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ (we use also the fact that if $\gamma \subset \mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ is a geodesic line, then $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ is a minimal surface of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$).

Furthermore as Γ has a one-to-one vertical projection on Γ , we infer that $int(\Sigma)$ is a vertical graph over Ω , as in Rado's theorem [8, Theorem 16.

Thus Σ is an embedded area minimizing disc bounded by Γ and is a vertical graph over $\overline{\Omega}$. Clearly, Σ is the unique minimal graph bounded by Γ . The same affirmation holds in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Assume now that f has a finite number of discontinuity points, let $x_0 \in \Gamma$ be such a point. Giving an orientation to Γ , we have therefore

$$\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ x < x_0}} f(x) \neq \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ x > x_0}} f(x)$$

Let (p_n) be a sequence on Γ such that

- $p_n \to x_0$ and $p_n > x_0$,
- for any n, the function f is continuous on the arc $(x_0, p_n]$ of Γ .

Now we modify f on the closed arc $[x_0, p_n]$ in such a way that the new function f_n is strictly monotonous on $[x_0, p_n]$ and satisfies

$$f_n(x_0) = \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ x < x_0}} f(x), \qquad f_n(p_n) = f(p_n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_n(x) \neq f(x)$$

for any $x \in (x_0, p_n)$.

We assume that we have modified in the same way f in a neighborhood of each point of discontinuity and we continue denoting f_n the new function. Clearly, we have

- for each point $x \in \Gamma$ where f is continuous: $f_n(x) \to f(x)$,
- the function f_n is continuous on Γ .

We denote by Γ_n the graph of f_n .

We know from the beginning of the proof, that for any n there exists an (unique) embedded area minimizing disc Σ_n bounded by the Jordan curve $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$, which is a vertical graph over $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $u_n : \overline{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function whose the graph is Σ_n .

Since the sequence (f_n) is uniformly bounded on Γ , we deduce from the maximum principle that the sequence (u_n) is uniformly bounded on $\overline{\Omega}$ too. In [12, Section 4.1] it is stated a compactness principle in the case where the ambient space is Heisenberg space, but it can be stated and proved in the same way in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau), \kappa \leq 0, \tau \geq 0$, and also in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore, up to considering a subsequence, we can assume that the sequence of restricted maps $(u_{n|\Omega})$ converges on Ω , for the topology C^2 and uniformly on any compact subset of Ω , to a function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the minimal surface equation. Thus the graph of u is a minimal surface $\mathring{\Sigma}$ which has a one-to-one projection on Ω .

Now we recall a result in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ for $\kappa < 0$ and $\tau > 0$ proved in [25, Lemma 5.3], but which holds more generally for $\kappa \leq 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$, and also in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $T \subset \mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ be an isosceles geodesic triangle and let $U \subset \mathbb{M}(\kappa)$ be the bounded convex domain with boundary T. We denote by A, B, Cthe open sides of T and by a, b, c the vertices of T. Assume that A and B have same length and that c is the common endpoint of A and B.

Then, for any real number α there exists a continuous function $v : U \cup (T \setminus \{a, b\}) \longrightarrow [0, \alpha] \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) v is C^2 on U and satisfies the minimal surface equation,
- (2) v = 0 on $A \cup B \cup \{c\}$, and $v = \alpha$ on C.

Using those surfaces as barrier at each point $x \in \Gamma$ where f is continuous, as in the proof of [19, Theorem 3.4], we infer that u extends continuously up to x setting u(x) = f(x). We deduce that the topological boundary of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ is the Jordan curve $\widetilde{\Gamma}$.

Setting $\Sigma := \mathring{\Sigma} \cup \widetilde{\Gamma}$, we have

- Σ is an embedded minimal disc in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$,
- $\partial \Sigma = \Gamma$,
- $\operatorname{int}(\Sigma) = \check{\Sigma} \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\operatorname{int}(\Sigma)$ is a vertical graph over Ω .

Now we want to prove that Σ is an area minimizing disc.

Observe first that $(Area(\Sigma_n))$ is a bounded sequence. Thus, up to considering a subsequence, we can assume that $(Area(\Sigma_n))$ is a convergent sequence. Recall that the sequence (u_n) converges for the C^2 topology to u, uniformly on any compact subset of Ω . Therefore for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ we have

 $Area(graph(u_{|K})) = \lim Area(graph(u_{n|K})) \leq \lim (Area(\Sigma_n)).$

Thus we get

$$Area(\Sigma) \leq \lim(Area(\Sigma_n)).$$

Suppose by contradiction that Σ is not a minimizing area disc. Thus, considering a solution of the Plateau problem for $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, there exists a minimal immersed disc $S \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ (or in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$), with

- $\partial S = \widetilde{\Gamma},$
- $Area(S) < Area(\Sigma)$.

Using the maximum principle we get

- $S \subset \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$,
- $S \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let again $x_0 \in \Gamma$ be a point where f is not continuous, we use the same notations as in the beginning of the proof. For any n we denote by s_n the bounded subset of the cylinder $\Gamma\times\mathbb{R}$ bounded by the following curves:

- the graph of f_n over the arc $[x_0, p_n]$ of Γ ,
- the graph of f over the arc $(x_0, p_n]$,
- the vertical closed segment above x_0 , with endpoints $(x_0, \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \ x < x_0}} f(x))$ and $(x_0, \lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \ x > x_0}} f(x))$.

Clearly we have $Area(s_n) \to 0$. Furthermore, by the foregoing discussion there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $Area(S) < Area(\Sigma_n) - \varepsilon$ for any n large enough.

Let $\{x_i\} \subset \Gamma$ be the finite set of points where f is not continuous. For any point x_i we denote by $s_n(x_i)$ the piece of $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ constructed as above, corresponding to x_i .

Observe now that $S_n := S \cup (\cup_i s_n(x_i))$ is a disk with boundary the Jordan curve $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$. By construction we have $Area(S_n) < Area(\Sigma_n)$ for any *n* large enough, contradicting the fact that Σ_n is a minimizing area disc with boundary $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$.

Thus Σ is an embedded minimizing area disc with boundary $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\Sigma \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a vertical graph over Ω .

It remains to prove that if $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ (or in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$), is a minimal surface bounded by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\Sigma_0 \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a vertical graph over Ω , then $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$.

Let $u_0 : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function whose the graph is $\Sigma_0 \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}$. In [15, Theorem 1.3] A.L. Pinheiro proves a general maximum principle in a Riemannian product $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{M} is Riemannian surface. The proof can be adapted to $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau), \ \kappa \leq 0, \ \tau \geq 0$, thus $u_0 = u$, that is $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$.

Observe also that in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}), R. Younes proved directly that $u_0 = u$, see [25, Theorem 1.1]. The proof can be adapted in $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$, $\kappa \leq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, and also in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Thus $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$, which concludes the proof.

Proposition 6.3. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a complete minimal surface containing a segment of a straight line D. Then the whole line D belongs to $M: D \subset M$.

Proof. We denote by x, y, z the coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 . Up to an isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 we can assume that D is the x-axis: $D = \{(x, 0, 0), x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

By assumption there exist real numbers a < b such that $(x, 0, 0) \in M$ for any $x \in [a, b]$.

We set

$$B := \sup\{t > a, (x, 0, 0) \in M \text{ for any } x \in [a, t]\},\$$

$$A := \inf\{t < b, (x, 0, 0) \in M \text{ for any } x \in [t, b]\}.$$

We are going to prove that $A = -\infty$ and $B = +\infty$ to conclude that $D \subset M$.

We have $B \ge b$. Assume by contradiction that $B \ne +\infty$. Since M is a complete surface we have $(B, 0, 0) \in M$. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the plane containing D and the orthogonal direction of M at (B, 0, 0).

Since the surfaces M and P are transverse at (B, 0, 0), their intersection in a neighborhood of (B, 0, 0) is an analytic arc γ . Furthermore, up to choosing a smaller arc, we can assume that γ is the graph, in P, of an analytic function f over the interval $[B - \varepsilon, B + \varepsilon]$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Since f is an analytic function satisfying f(x) = 0 for any $x \in [B - \varepsilon, B]$, we deduce that f(x) = 0 for any $x \in [B - \varepsilon, B + \varepsilon]$. Therefore we have $(x, 0, 0) \in M$ for any $x \in [a, B + \varepsilon]$, contradicting the definition of B.

Thus we have $B = +\infty$. We prove in the same way that $A = -\infty$, concluding the proof.

References

- T. H. COLDING, W. P. MINICOZZI. A course in minimal surfaces. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 121. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [2] B. DANIEL. Isometric immersions into 3-dimensional homogeneous manifolds. Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007), 87–131.
- [3] M. DO CARMO, C.K. PENG, Stable complete minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 are planes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.) 1, no. 6 1979, 903–906.
- [4] D. FISCHER-COLBRIE, R. SCHOEN, The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33, no. 2 1980, 199–211.
- [5] H. FUJIMOTO, On the number of exceptional values of the Gauss maps of minimal surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 40, No. 2, 1988, 235–247.
- [6] D. HOFFMAN, W.H. MEEKS, The strong halfspace theorem for minimal surfaces, *Invent. math.* 101, 1990, 373–377.
- [7] H. JENKINS, J. SERRIN, Variational problems of minimal surface type. II. Boundary value problems for the minimal surface equation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 21 (1966) 321–342
- [8] H.B. LAWSON, Lectures on minimal submanifolds, *Publish or Perish Press*, Berkeley, 1971.
- [9] W.W. MEEKS, S.T. YAU, The existence of embedded minimal surfaces and the problem of uniqueness, *Math. Z.* 179 (1982), no. 2, 151–168.
- [10] C. B. MORREY, The problem of Plateau on a Riemannian manifold, Ann. Math. No. 2, 49 (1948) 807–851.

- [11] B. NELLI, H. ROSENBERG, Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **33** (2002) 263–292.
- [12] B. NELLI, R. SA EARP, E. TOUBIANA. Minimal graphs in Nil₃: existence and non-existence results. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 56 (2017), no. 2, Paper No. 27, 21 pp.
- [13] M.H. NGUYEN, Surfaces minimales dans des variétés homogènes de dimension 3, Doctorat de l'Université de Toulouse, 2016.
- [14] C PEÑAFIEL, Surfaces of Constant Mean Curvature in Homogeneous Three Manifolds with Emphasis in $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$, Doctoral Thesis, PUC-Rio, 2010.
- [15] A.L. PINHEIRO, A Jenkins-Serrin theorem in $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 40 (2009), no. 1, 117–148.
- [16] A. POGORELOV, On the stability of minimal surfaces, Soviet Math. Dokl., 24 1981, 274–276.
- [17] H. ROSENBERG, Intersection of minimal surfaces of bounded curvature, Bull. Sci. math. 125, 2 (2001) 161–168.
- [18] H. ROSENBERG, R. SOUAM, E. TOUBIANA, General curvature estimates for stable *H*-surfaces in 3-manifolds and applications, *Journal of Differential Geometry* 84 (2010), 623–648.
- [19] R. SA EARP, E. TOUBIANA, Existence and uniqueness of minimal graphs in hyperbolic space, Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), 669–694.
- [20] R. SA EARP AND E. TOUBIANA, Minimal graphs in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , Annales de l'Institut Fourier **60** (7) (2010), 2373–2402.
- [21] R. SA EARP AND E. TOUBIANA, A reflection principle for minimal surfaces in smooth three manifolds, arxiv:1711.00759v2 [math.DG].
- [22] J. SERRIN, A priori estimates for solutions of the minimal surface equation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 14 (1963), 376–383.
- [23] R. SOUAM, E. TOUBIANA, Totally umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 84 (2009), no. 3, 673–704.
- [24] F. XAVIER, The Gauss map of a complete nonflat minimal surface cannot omit 7 points of the sphere, Ann of math. (2) 113 (1981), no. 1, 211–214.
- [25] R. YOUNES, Minimal surfaces in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, *Illinois J. Math.* 54 (2010), no. 2, 671–712.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDADE CATÓLICA DO RIO DE JANEIRO RIO DE JANEIRO 22451-900 RJ BRAZIL *E-mail address*: rsaearp@gmail.com

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT - PARIS 7 EQUIPE GÉOMÉTRIE ET DYNAMIQUE, UMR 7586 BÂTIMENT SOPHIE GERMAIN CASE 7012 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13 FRANCE E-mail address: eric toubiana@imi-prg fr