

# Group-I PAKs-mediated phosphorylation of HACE1 at serine 385 regulates its oligomerization state and Rac1 ubiquitination

Maria I. Acosta, Serge Urbach, Anne Doye, Yuen-Wai Ng, Jérôme Boudeau, Amel Mettouchi, Anne Debant, Edward Manser, Orane Visvikis, Emmanuel Lemichez

### ▶ To cite this version:

Maria I. Acosta, Serge Urbach, Anne Doye, Yuen-Wai Ng, Jérôme Boudeau, et al.. Group-I PAKs-mediated phosphorylation of HACE1 at serine 385 regulates its oligomerization state and Rac1 ubiquitination. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8 (1), 10.1038/s41598-018-19471-2. hal-01872974

## HAL Id: hal-01872974 https://hal.science/hal-01872974v1

Submitted on 25 May 2021  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 4 July 2017 Accepted: 28 December 2017 Published online: 23 January 2018

# **OPEN** Group-I PAKs-mediated phosphorylation of HACE1 at serine 385 regulates its oligomerization state and Rac1 ubiquitination

Maria I. Acosta<sup>1</sup>, Serge Urbach<sup>2</sup>, Anne Doye<sup>1</sup>, Yuen-Wai Ng<sup>4</sup>, Jérôme Boudeau<sup>3</sup>, Amel Mettouchi<sup>1</sup>, Anne Debant<sup>3</sup>, Edward Manser<sup>4</sup>, Orane Visvikis<sup>1</sup> & Emmanuel Lemichez<sup>1</sup>

The regulation of Rac1 by HACE1-mediated ubiguitination and proteasomal degradation is emerging as an essential element in the maintenance of cell homeostasis. However, how the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of HACE1 is regulated remains undetermined. Using a proteomic approach, we identified serine 385 as a target of group-I PAK kinases downstream Rac1 activation by CNF1 toxin from pathogenic E. coli. Moreover, cell treatment with VEGF also promotes Ser-385 phosphorylation of HACE1. We have established in vitro that HACE1 is a direct target of PAK1 kinase activity. Mechanistically, we found that the phospho-mimetic mutant HACE1(S385E), as opposed to HACE1(S385A), displays a lower capacity to ubiquitinate Rac1 in cells. Concomitantly, phosphorylation of Ser-385 plays a pivotal role in controlling the oligomerization state of HACE1. Finally, Ser-385 phosphorylated form of HACE1 localizes in the cytosol away from its target Rac1. Together, our data point to a feedback inhibition of HACE1 ubiquitination activity on Rac1 by group-I PAK kinases.

E3 ubiquitin ligases (E3s) are critical gatekeepers of cell homeostasis<sup>1</sup>. While we have begun to appreciate their structure and the diversity of their targets, we fall short on knowledge about their general integration in cell signaling. One essential question in particular is to better understand the cross-talk between kinases and ubiquitin ligases<sup>2</sup>. This is particularly true for HACE1, which is a critical regulator of active Rac1 flux for which we still lack identified regulators<sup>3</sup>.

Rac1, together with Cdc42 and RhoA, are the most extensively studied members of the Rho GTPase family, which are intracellular signaling proteins that control a variety of cellular processes, such as actin remodeling and transcription<sup>4</sup>. Rho GTPases act as molecular switches that cycle between an inactive form bound to GDP and an active form bound to GTP. In response to various environmental stimuli, inactive Rho proteins are charged with GTP, which induces conformational changes allowing Rho proteins to bind to effector proteins. These effectors, in turn, either relay or directly execute cellular responses driven by the Rho-activating environmental stimuli. More than 100 effector proteins of Rho GTPases have been described; some correspond to scaffold proteins, while others harbor enzymatic activities, notably kinases<sup>4</sup>. The first identified and best characterized effectors activated by Rac1 are the family of P-21 Activated serine/threonine Kinases (PAKs)<sup>5</sup>. In mammals, the PAK family consists of six members classified into two groups. Rac1 and Cdc42 activate group-I, which comprises PAK1, PAK2 and PAK3. Group-II, which comprises PAK4, PAK5 and PAK6, are only regulated by Cdc42<sup>6</sup>. Group-I PAKs are highly homologous but show different profiles of tissue expression. While PAK2 is found in virtually all tissues, PAK1 and PAK3 display a more restricted expression patterns; PAK1 is expressed in the mammary gland, muscle, spleen and brain tissues, while PAK3 expression is restricted to the brain<sup>7</sup>. Genetics studies have established that PAKs play a significant role in tissue development and that PAK expression deregulation is linked to cancer progression<sup>8,9</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>C3M, Inserm, Equipe labellisée Lique contre le Cancer, University of Côte d'Azur, Nice, F-06204, France. <sup>2</sup>FPP at IGF, CNRS, Inserm, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, F-34094, France. <sup>3</sup>CRBM, CNRS, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, F-34293, France. <sup>4</sup>sGSK Group, IMCB, A\*STAR, Singapore, 138673, Singapore. Orane Visvikis and Emmanuel Lemichez contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.L. (email: emmanuel.lemichez@inserm.fr)

The regulated activity of Rac1 allows the integration of the many signals involved in the maintenance of cell homeostasis and cellular dynamics. The GDP/GTP cycle is controlled by the following regulatory proteins: (i) Guanine nucleotide Exchange Factors (GEFs), which facilitate the exchange of GDP and GTP; (ii) GTPase activating Proteins (GAPs), which increase the intrinsic rate of GTP hydrolysis; and (iii) RhoGDIs, which sequester Rho proteins in the cytosol. Additionally, the control of active Rac1 flux by the E3 ubiquitin ligase HACE1 is emerging as an important aspect of Rac1 signaling<sup>3</sup>. We and others have shown that HACE1 ubiquitinates Rac1 once it is activated, either by using point mutants (Q61L, Q61E, and G12V), by over-expressing the GEF domain of Dbl, or in response to growth factors such as Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF), Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) and Heregulin (HRG)<sup>3,10,11</sup>. The regulation of Rac1 by ubiquitination was first revealed in cells intoxicated by Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 (CNF1)<sup>12</sup>, which is produced by pathogenic strains of *E. coli* from phylogenetic group B2. After endocytosis into the host cells, the toxin CNF1 translocates its catalytic domain into the cytosol, where it deamidates RhoA glutamine residue Q63 (Q61 in Rac1 and Cdc42) into a glutamic acid<sup>13,14</sup>. Because this residue is essential for the GTP hydrolysis, its deamidation by CNF1 impairs the GTPase activity of Rho proteins and locks them in a GTP-bound state. As a consequence of its permanent activation, Rac1 gets ubiquitinated by HACE1 and is subsequently targeted by the 26 S proteasome degradation machinery<sup>3,12</sup>.

HACE1 is an important tumor suppressor whose expression is lost in a variety of human cancers, including Wilm's tumor, B-cell lymphoma, and colorectal, gastric and breast cancers<sup>11,15–19</sup>. The repression of HACE1 expression has been shown to be a consequence of epigenetic silencing or chromosomal alterations<sup>15–19</sup>. A major demonstration of HACE1 tumor suppressor activity came a decade ago from the observation that *hace1* KO mice develop spontaneous late-onset cancers from the three germ-layers<sup>16</sup>. Since then, several studies have converged to the idea that HACE1 is a guardian of cell homeostasis by controlling ROS levels and the autophagy of protein aggregates<sup>20–22</sup>. Moreover, HACE1 also controls cell growth, migration and invasion, which are key features of cancer progression<sup>10,11</sup>. Interestingly, the deregulation of Rac1 ubiquitination due to the loss of HACE1 contributes to higher NADPH-dependent ROS production, which leads to DNA damage and cell hyper-proliferation<sup>20</sup>. Additionally, a recent study has revealed that HACE1-induced ubiquitination of Rac1 in mammary gland epithelial cells (MCF12A) plays a major protective role against HER2/Neu-mediated breast tumorigenesis<sup>11</sup>. More recently, several cancer-associated missense mutations in the *hace1* gene that inhibit Rac1 ubiquitination and impair cell growth have been identified, indicating that HACE1 activity can be altered in cancer<sup>23</sup>.

Despite its importance in cell homeostasis, nothing is known about HACE1 regulation at the post-translational level. HACE1 possesses an N-terminal ankyrin-repeat domain (ANK) and a C-terminal catalytic HECT domain. The ANK and HECT domains are separated by a Middle region (MID) that does not harbor any structural homology with other known domains. Several phospho-proteomics studies have identified residues in HACE1 that are phosphorylated<sup>24</sup>. Nevertheless, the context of these post-translational modifications and their consequences on the activity of this essential regulator remain to be defined. Here, we show the essential roles of group-I PAK kinases on the phospho-regulation of HACE1 E3 ubiquitin ligase activity and its oligomerization state.

#### Results

**CNF1 increases HACE1 Ser-385 phosphorylation.** To explore the possible cross-talk between Rho GTPases and HACE1, we conducted a study aimed at characterizing the phosphorylation status of HACE1 upon activation of Rho GTPases. We undertook an unbiased proteomics approach to identify HACE1 amino acid residues that were differentially phosphorylated in cells treated with the Rho-activating toxin CNF1. This was performed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) comparative analysis of trypsin-digested immuno-purified HA-HACE1 wild-type (WT) from primary Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) that were either untreated or treated with CNF1 for 24 hours. Following MS/MS analysis, we performed a database search using phosphorylated residues: Ser-337 and Ser-385 (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Figure S1a–d). Quantification of phospho-peptide occurrences in CNF1-treated cells compared to control cells revealed a specific increase of Ser-385-containing peptides with a cut off of >2-fold (Supplementary Figure S1e).

To validate these results and to study the phospho-modulation of HACE1, we generated a Ser-385 and a Ser-337 phospho-specific polyclonal antibodies (referred to as pS385 and pS337) and measured the levels of phosphorylated HACE1 by immunoblot assays. In agreement with the proteomics analysis, we found that CNF1 treatment of HUVECs expressing HACE1 leads to a significant increase in Ser-385 phosphorylation levels compared to control cells but doesn't significantly impact the phosphorylation levels of Ser-337 (Fig. 1b and c and Supplementary Figure S1f and g). To ascertain the specificity of the pS385 antibody towards phosphorylated HACE1, protein extracts were incubated with  $\lambda$ -phosphatase. As shown in Fig. 1b, signals detected using the pS385 antibody disappeared after  $\lambda$ -phosphatase treatment, indicating that the pS385 antibody specifically recognizes the phosphorylated form of HACE1. Additionally, we found that the pS385 antibody detects HACE1(WT) but not the phospho-resistant mutant HACE1(S385A), indicating that the pS385 antibody specifically recognizes the phosphorylated Ser-385 in this context (Fig. 1d). The kinetics of HACE1 Ser-385 phosphorylation in CNF1-treated cells showed an increase in phosphorylation of up to 24 hours (Fig. 1e). Even though endogenous HACE1 is expressed at very low levels in HUVECs, we detected the increase in endogenous HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 in CNF1-treated cells (Fig. 1f). Collectively, our data establish that HACE1 phosphorylation at Ser-385 is more abundant in cells treated with CNF1 than in control cells.

**Rac1/Cdc42 controls HACE1 Ser-385 phosphorylation.** Because CNF1 triggers the constitutive activation of several Rho GTPases<sup>13,14</sup>, we assessed whether HACE1 phosphorylation in CNF1-treated cells is mediated by Rho GTPase activation. Using the pS385 antibody, we first analyzed the levels of HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 in HUVECs co-expressing active forms of Rac1, Cdc42 and RhoA. The expression of both Rac1(Q61L) and Cdc42(Q61L) induced higher levels of Ser-385 phosphorylation compared to control conditions





**Figure 1.** CNF1 increases phosphorylation of HACE1 on Ser-385. (a) Fragmentation spectra of the DS(p) TEITSILLK(+2) peptide showing that Ser-385 is phosphorylated. (b) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with HA-HACE1(WT) and treated with CNF1 at  $10^{-9}$  M for 24 hours were treated or not with  $\lambda$ -phosphatase ( $\lambda$ -PPase) and analyzed by immunoblot (IB) using the indicated antibodies. IB: actin is used as a loading control. (c) Graph showing levels of pSer-385HACE1 relative to HACE1 total protein levels quantified by densitometry from the IB analysis. Data correspond to the mean  $\pm$  SD of >3 biological replicates. p value was determined by one-sample t-test. (d) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with HA-HACE1(WT) or HA-HACE1(S385A), treated with CNF1 at  $10^{-9}$  M for 24 hours and analyzed by IB. (e) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with HA-HACE1(WT), treated with CNF1 at  $10^{-9}$  M for 24 hours. IBs in (b,d,e,f) are cropped from the full-length blots shown in Supplemental Figure S9.

(Fig. 2a,b). Conversely, the expression of RhoA(Q63L) had no effect (Fig. 2a,b). The expression of Rac1(Q61L) also induced a clear increase in endogenous HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 (Fig. 2c). Over-expression of the dominant negative forms Rac1(T17N) or Cdc42(T17N) before CNF1 treatment revealed the major role of Rac1 in the CNF1 signaling leading to HACE1 phosphorylation of Ser-385 (Fig. 2d,e). Altogether, these results show that CNF1 treatment promotes the phosphorylation of HACE1 on Ser-385 in a Rac1-dependent manner in HUVECs. To determine whether phosphorylation of HACE1 can also be induced by physiological activation



**Figure 2.** Activation of Rac1 or Cdc42 mediates the phosphorylation of HACE1 at Ser-385. (**a**) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with myc-HACE1(WT) together with HA-tagged active mutant of Rho-GTPases were analyzed by immunoblot (IB) using the indicated antibodies. IB: actin is used as a loading control. (**b**) Graph showing levels of pS385 relative to HACE1 total protein levels quantified by densitometry from the IB analysis described in A. Data correspond to the mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 biological replicates. p values were determined by unpaired one-sample t-test. (**c**) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with HA-Rac1(Q61L) and analyzed as in (**a**). (**d**) Protein lysates from HUVECs transfected with HA-HACE1(WT) alone or together with dominant negative myc-Rac1(T17N) or myc-Cdc42(T17N), treated with CNF1 at 10<sup>-9</sup> M for 24 hours and analyzed as in (**a**). (**e**) Graph showing levels of pS385 relative to HACE1 total protein levels quantified by densitometry from the IB analyses described in (**d**). Data correspond to the mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 biological replicates. p values were determined by densitometry from the IB analyzed as in (**a**). (**e**) Graph showing levels of pS385 relative to HACE1 total protein levels quantified by densitometry from the IB analyses described in (**d**). Data correspond to the mean  $\pm$  SD of 3 biological replicates. p values were determined by unpaired two-sample t-test. (**f**) Protein lysates from HUVECs treated with VEGF 20ng/ml for 10 min and analyzed as in (**a**). IBs in (**a**, **c**, **d**, **f**) are cropped from the full-length blots shown in Supplemental Figure S10.

of Rac1, we treated HUVEC cells with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a potent activator of Rac1<sup>25</sup>. Remarkably, this revealed that VEGF treatment strongly induces phosphorylation of endogenous HACE1 on Ser-385, indicating that HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 occurs in response to a physiological stimulus (Fig. 2f).

We then sought to determine whether this phosphorylation of HACE1 is specific to endothelial cells or whether it can be observed in other cell types. We analyzed the phosphorylation of HACE1 in mammary gland epithelial MCF12A cells, a cell type in which the HACE1/Rac1 signaling axis is functional<sup>11</sup>. Interestingly, we found that CNF1 treatment or the expression of Rac1(Q61L) greatly increased the phosphorylation of Ser-385 in MCF12A cells (Supplementary Figure S2a,b). This result shows that the induction of HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 is not restricted to endothelial cells and might be a broader mechanism of HACE1 regulation. Altogether, these results show that CNF1 and Rac1 induce HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 in various cell types.

**Group-I p-21 activated kinases phosphorylate HACE1 on Ser-385.** We then sought to determine the kinases responsible for the phosphorylation of HACE1 on Ser-385. Two independent phospho-proteomics screens performed in HEK293 cells have previously identified the phosphorylation of Ser-385 in HACE1 but found that it is independent of Protein Kinase D1 (PKD1) or mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) activ-ity<sup>26,27</sup>. Accordingly, inhibition of mTOR using rapamycin or Torin1 had no impact on the level of Ser-385 phosphorylation in Rac1(Q61L)-expressing cells (Supplementary Figure S3). Moreover, we found equal levels of Ser-385 phosphorylation in control and PKD1-depleted cells (data not shown). To narrow down the potential kinases responsible for Ser-385 phosphorylation, we screened consensus kinase recognition motifs in the sequence encompassing Ser-385 using the prediction tool NetPhorest<sup>28</sup>. As depicted in Supplementary Figure S4a,

our analysis identified a site of recognition by PAKs. Together with our finding that both Rac1 and Cdc42, but not RhoA, induce the phosphorylation of HACE1 on Ser-385, this in silico prediction strongly suggested a role for group-I PAKs in HACE1 phosphorylation. To assess whether group-I PAKs directly target HACE1, we performed in vitro kinase assays with recombinant PAK1 and HACE1. As shown in Fig. 3a, we found that HACE1(WT) gets phosphorylated in the presence of PAK1. This revealed that HACE1 is a direct substrate of PAK1. We then analyzed the role of group-I PAK kinases in HUVECs and MCF12A cells. Because PAK3 expression is restricted to the brain<sup>5</sup>, we focused on PAK1, which is notably expressed in mammary glands, and on PAK2, which is ubiquitously expressed. We first verified PAK1 and PAK2 expression in HUVECs and MCF12A cells using specific anti-PAK1 and anti-PAK2 antibodies. This established that PAK2 is expressed both in HUVECs and MCF12A cells, while PAK1 is only expressed in MCF12A cells (Fig. 3b). Using three independent siRNAs specifically targeting PAK2, we found that depletion of PAK2 markedly reduced Rac1(Q61L)-induced phosphorylation of Ser-385 in HUVECs and MCF12A cells (Fig. 3c,d). Additionally, depletion of PAK2 also reduced the CNF1and Cdc42(Q61L)-mediated phosphorylation of Ser-385 (Supplementary Figure S4b,c). This result suggests that PAK2 acts downstream of Rac1 and Cdc42 to induce HACE1 phosphorylation. Because PAK1 is expressed in MCF12A cells, we assessed its role on HACE1 phosphorylation in these cells and found that silencing of PAK1 reduced Rac1(Q61L)-induced phosphorylation of HACE1 (Fig. 3e). Using an antibody recognizing the phospho-activation sites in the kinase domain of PAK1 and PAK2, we verified that CNF1 treatment or expression of Rac1(Q61L) and Cdc42(Q61L) induced a bona fide phospho-activation of PAK1 and/or PAK2 (Supplementary Figure S4d,e). Together, these data establish that PAK1 and PAK2 kinases both regulate the phosphorylation of HACE1 on Ser-385 downstream of Rac1 and Cdc42 activation. Consistently, we found that treatment of MCF12A cells with the group-I PAK inhibitor FRAX597 suppresses HACE1 Ser-385 phosphorylation induced by Rac1(Q61L) and CNF1 (Fig. 3f). Similarly, expression of the dominant negative Kinase Inhibitory Domain of PAK2 (KID2) reduces the levels of Ser-385 phosphorylation induced by Rac1(Q61L) (Fig. 3g). Finally, we found that expression of a constitutively active PAK1(K141A), which is mutated in the auto-inhibited domain, could promote HACE1 phosphorylation on Ser-385 (Fig. 3h). Similar results were obtained with two other constitutively active PAK1 mutants<sup>29,30</sup> (Supplementary Figure S4f). Thus, PAK1 activation is sufficient to induce HACE1 phosphorylation of Ser-385 in MCF12A cells. Altogether, these results indicate an essential role for group-I PAK kinases in regulating HACE1 phosphorylation at Ser-385 downstream of Rac1 in a variety of cell types.

Phospho-mimetic mutation S385E hampers HACE1 ability to ubiquitinate Rac1 in cells. We next sought to determine the subcellular localization of Ser-385 phosphorylated HACE1. Using a cell fractionation assay, we found that the phosphorylated form of HACE1 is located in the cytosol while total HACE1 is found both in cytosol and in membrane fractions (Fig. 4a). Since active Rac1 is located at the membrane, Ser-385 phosphorylation likely segregates HACE1 away from its target therefore pointing for an inhibitory effect of this post-translational modification. We went on to determine whether Ser-385 phosphorylation could alter HACE1 capacity to ubiquitinate active Rac1. This question was addressed by conducting an assay based on the purification of cellular proteins cross-linked to 6His-tagged ubiquitin<sup>31</sup>. In accordance with our hypothesis, we found that the phospho-mimetic mutant HACE1(S385E) displays a reduced capacity to ubiquitinate Rac1(Q61L) while the phospho-resistant mutant HACE1(S385A) induces Rac1(Q61L) ubiquitination as efficiently as HACE1(WT) (Fig. 4b). Since the cytosolic phosphorylated form of HACE1 likely segregates away from active Rac1, we explored the impact of Ser-385 phosphorylation on HACE1 association with Rac1(Q61L) by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay using phospho-mutants of HACE1. We found that HACE1(S385E) as well as HACE1(S385A) interact with Rac1(Q61L) to the same extent as HACE1(WT) (Fig. 4c). In accordance with this result, we found that HACE1(S385E) did not recapitulate the spatial regulation triggered by phosphorylation of Ser-385 as HACE1(S385E) displays both a cytosolic and a membrane localization (data not shown). Thus, the reduced capacity of HACE1(S385E) to ubiquitinate active Rac1 is not due to a reduced association with its target but might instead be explained by a modulation of its enzymatic activity.

We thus next explored whether phosphorylation on Ser-385 down-regulates the intrinsic catalytic activity of HACE1. A classical way to assess the catalytic activity of an E3 ligase is to measure its auto-ubiquitination levels. However, we could not detect the specific auto-ubiquitination of HACE1 (Supplementary Figure S5), indicating that HACE1 does not induce its own ubiquitination in MCF12A cells, which prevented us from using this approach. To overcome this technical obstacle, we performed in vitro ubiquitination experiments using purified recombinant 6His-tagged HACE1(WT) and mutants. With this assay, we could detect auto-ubiquitination of HACE1, as evidenced by the ubiquitination of HACE1(WT) and the absence of ubiquitination of the catalytic inactive mutant HACE1 (C876S) (Fig. 4d). This indicated that the in vitro ubiquitination assay is a reliable method to examine HACE1 catalytic activity. Measuring HACE1 auto-ubiquitination using this assay showed no drastic decrease in HACE1(S385E) activity compared to HACE1(WT). Indeed, we measured a proportional increase in auto-ubiquitination with both forms of HACE1 by using increasing amounts of HACE1(WT) and HACE1(S385E) (Fig. 4d). We also found that HACE1(WT) and HACE1(S385E) display similar auto-ubiquitination kinetics (Fig. 4e). Taken collectively, these results indicate that the phospho-mimetic mutation S385E does not alter the intrinsic catalytic activity of HACE1 in vitro. In good agreement with our findings that HACE1(S385E) retains its catalytic activity, we also determined that HACE1(S385E) induces the ubiquitination of recombinant Rac1 loaded with GTP<sub>7</sub>S in vitro (Fig. 4f). Altogether, these results established the paradoxical impact of the S385E mutation on HACE1 activity measured in vitro versus in vivo. Indeed, we found that HACE1(S385E) is catalytically active on Rac1 in vitro (Fig. 4f), though it displays low activity in cells (Fig. 4b), suggesting the combined involvement of Ser-385 phosphorylation and an inhibitory cellular factor that has yet to be identified to down-modulate HACE1 activity.



**Figure 3.** Group-I PAKs induce direct phosphorylation of HACE1. (a) *In vitro* [ $\gamma^{32}$ P]-ATP kinase assay using recombinant 6His-HACE1 and recombinant 6His-PAK1 analyzed by autoradiography and Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining. (b) MCF12A and HUVEC protein lysates analyzed by immunoblot (IB) using anti-PAK1 and anti-PAK2 antibodies. IB: actin is used as a loading control. (c-e) Protein lysates from (c) HUVECs and (d,e) MCF12A cells transfected with siRNAs targeting (c,d) PAK2 or (e) PAK1 and plasmid expressing HA-HACE1 and myc-Rac1(Q61L) and analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (f) Protein lysates from MCF12A cells transfected with FRAX597 at the indicated concentration for 16 hours before IB analysis. (g,h) Protein lysates from MCF12A cells transfected with FRAX597 at the indicated with HA-HACE1, myc-Rac1(Q61L) and GST-KID2 or Flag-PAK1K141A and analyzed by IB. IBs in (a–h) are cropped from the full-length blots shown in Supplemental Figure S11.



**Figure 4.** Ser-385 phosphorylation modifies HACE1 properties in cells. (a) Cytosol and membrane fractions from MCF12A cells transfected with HA-HACE1 and myc-Rac1(Q61L) were analyzed by immunoblot (IB) using the indicated antibodies. Anti-RhoGDI $\alpha$  and anti-Transferrin-R antibodies are used as specific markers of cytosol and membrane fraction, respectively. (b) Protein lysates from MCF12A cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to His pull-down (His-PD) prior to immunoblot analysis (IB). Whole cell lysate (WCL) IB analysis showing total protein expression. (c) Protein lysates from MCF12A cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using Ctrl or HA antibodies prior to immunoblot analysis (IB). Whole cell lysate (WCL) IB analysis (IB). Whole cell lysate (WCL) IB analysis shows total protein expression. (d) *In vitro* ubiquitination assay using recombinant 6His-tagged HACE1(WT), catalytic inactive mutant C876S (CS) and HACE1(S385E) (SE) analyzed 30 min post-reaction by immunoblot using the indicated antibodies. IB at t = 0 min shows the input protein levels. (e) *In vitro* ubiquitination assay using recombinant 6His-tagged HACE1(WT), catalytic inactive mutant C876S (CS) and HACE1(S385E) (SE) analyzed by IB at the indicated time points. (f) *In vitro* ubiquitination assay using recombinant 6His-tagged HACE1(C876S) (CS), HACE1(S385A) (SA), HACE1(S385E) (SE) and Rac1 loaded with GTP $\gamma$ S and analyzed 30 min post-reaction by immunoblot using the indicated shown in Supplemental Figure S12.

а





**Figure 5.** Ser-385 phosphorylation modulates HACE1 oligomerization. (**a**,**d**-**e**) Protein lysates from MCF12A cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using HA or Flag antibodies prior to immunoblot analysis (IB). Whole cell lysate (WCL) IB analysis shows the total protein expression. SA is S385A and SE is S385E. (**b**) Superposition of the size exclusion chromatograms from recombinant 6His-HACE1(WT) and the molecular weight markers suggests that HACE1 oligomerizes as a dimer or a trimer. Absorbance at 280 nm is expressed in arbitrary units (A.U.). \*Non-specific picks. (**c**) Elution fractions from 6His-HACE1 SEC analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (CBB). \*Non-specific contaminants of 6His-HACE1 purification fractions corresponding to the non-specific picks seen in (**b**). IBs in (**a**,**d**,**e**) are cropped from the full-length blots shown in Supplemental Figure S13.

.....

**Phosphorylation of Ser-385 modulates HACE1 homo-oligomerization.** It has previously been shown that several HECT-E3 ligases are regulated by phospho-dependent intra- or inter-molecular interactions<sup>32,33</sup>. To determine whether HACE1 undergoes such regulation in cells, we first performed co-IP experiments using a combination of epitope-tagged HACE1 constructs. We found that HA-HACE1(WT) binds to myc-HACE1(WT) (Fig. 5a), suggesting that HACE1 can form oligomers through inter-molecular interactions. To establish that HACE1 can oligomerize, we next performed size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using recombinant 6His-HACE1 purified from *E. coli*. By comparing the elution profiles of 6His-HACE1 with molecular weight markers, we found that 6His-HACE1 is efficiently eluted at an apparent molecular weight of  $\geq$ 200 KDa (Fig. 5b). With a theoretical molecular weight of monomeric 6His-HACE1 of 106 KDa, this SEC result indicates

that HACE1 can directly form homo-oligomers of at least two proteins. To narrow down the HACE1 domains that are engaged in the oligomerization, we performed co-IP experiments using different tagged versions of full length (FL) HACE1 or deletion mutants as depicted in Supplementary Figure S6a. As shown in Supplementary Figure S6b, we confirmed that HACE1 FL binds to HACE1 FL. We also detected an efficient interaction between HACE1 FL and HACE1 ANK + MID (Supplementary Figure S6b). A weaker binding of HACE1 FL with the HECT domain alone was also detected. To confirm these results, we performed the reverse co-IP and found a similar binding of HACE1 ANK + MID with HACE1 FL. In these conditions, we also detected a strong interaction between HACE1 ANK + MID with the HECT domain alone (Supplementary Figure S6c,d). Altogether, these results indicate that HACE1 can form homo-oligomers that most likely involve interactions between the ANK + MID region and the HECT domain.

We next sought to determine whether phosphorylation of Ser-385 can interfere with HACE1 homo-oligomerization. We found that HA-HACE1 binds to myc-HACE1 to the same extent as HA-HACE1(S385A) with myc-HACE1(S385A) (Fig. 5d). This suggests that HACE1 homo-oligomerization occurs in the absence of Ser-385 phosphorylation. Interestingly, we found that HACE1(S385E) displays higher inter-molecular interaction levels than HACE1(WT) or HACE1(S385A), suggesting that phosphorylation modifies the state of HACE1 homo-oligomerization (Fig. 5d). In accordance with this result, we found that overexpression of active PAK1(K141A) greatly increases HACE1 inter-molecular interaction levels (Fig. 5e). This is specific to Ser-385 phosphorylation as PAK1(K141A) overexpression did not modify the extent of HACE1(S385A) inter-molecular interactions (Fig. 5e). Altogether, these results indicate that the phosphorylation at Ser-385 modulates HACE1 homo-oligomerization properties.

#### Discussion

Using an unbiased proteomics approach, we have identified HACE1 Ser-385 as a pivotal amino acid residue that is phosphorylated in response to CNF1 or VEGF treatments. We have shown that expression of activated Rac1 or Cdc42 is sufficient to induce HACE1 phosphorylation and that Rac1 plays a major role in the phosphorylation of HACE1 downstream CNF1. Our data point to group-I PAKs as being responsible for the phosphorylation of HACE1 downstream of Rac1. Indeed, we found that inhibition of PAK1 or PAK2 is sufficient to block Rac1- or Cdc42-induced phosphorylation of Ser-385. In addition, we demonstrated that PAK1 phosphorylates directly HACE1 *in vitro*. Finally, we report that the phosphorylation of Ser-385 is critical for the modulation of the capacity of HACE1 to ubiquitinate Rac1 as well as its oligomerization state. Our data support a model where Rac1 down-regulates the activity of its own E3 ubiquitin ligase likely as a feedback mechanism. Future studies using genome editing approaches will help to determine the signaling impact of the Ser-385 phosphorylation of HACE1 on endogenous Rac1 stability and activity as well as its consequences on cellular homeostasis.

How can phosphorylation of Ser-385 reduce the capacity of HACE1 to ubiquitinate Rac1? Phosphorylation sites can serve as recognition sites for adaptor proteins such as the 14-3-3 family of proteins that modify localization of the phosphorylated proteins and/or keep them away from their protein partners<sup>34</sup>. For instance, phosphorylation of the E3-HECT Nedd4-2 has been shown to trigger its association with cytosolic 14-3-3 proteins, which prevents Nedd4-2 from binding to its transmembrane target — the epithelial Na<sup>+</sup> channel (ENaC)<sup>35</sup>. Here, we have observed that the phosphorylated form of HACE1 is mainly located in the cytosol while total HACE1 is present in both in the cytosol and at the membrane (Fig. 4a). This cytosolic localization of phosphorylated HACE1 seems to be independent of 14-3-3 proteins as we failed to detect a specific association between the Ser-385-phosphorylated form of HACE1 at the membrane where Rac1 ubiquitination by HACE1 occurs<sup>3</sup>. We found that HACE1(S385E) localized both in membranes and cytosol (data not shown) indicating that an additional mechanism might confer inhibitory properties to the phosphor-mimetic mutant.

To determine how the phospho-mimetic mutant HACE1(S385E) has a reduced capacity to ubiquitinate Rac1(Q61L), we have investigated whether the phosphorylation of HACE1 might directly affect its binding to active Rac1. Indeed, this is the case for the HECT E3 ligase Smurf1 whose phosphorylation modulates its own affinity for its substrates Par6 and RhoA<sup>36</sup>. However, we found that the HACE1(S385E) phospho-mimetic mutant binds to Rac1 as efficiently as HACE1(WT). Therefore, we hypothesized that the phosphorylation of Ser-385 might regulate HACE1 catalytic activity. Indeed, such a regulation has been previously reported for Itch/AIP4 and Nedd4-1, whose activities are regulated upon JNK- and Src-dependent phosphorylation, respectively<sup>32,33</sup>. However, the absence of HACE1 auto-ubiquitination activity in our cellular model precluded us from testing this possibility. Nevertheless, in vitro ubiquitination experiments indicate that HACE1(S385E) is functional, as it can ubiquitinate itself and Rac1. This important result allowed us to discard the possibility that the lowered HACE1(S385E) activity measured in cells is due to intrinsic alterations in the protein. The paradoxical differences between HACE1(S385E) activities in vitro and in vivo suggest that, in addition to Ser-385 phosphorylation, a cellular adaptor mediates HACE1 inhibition. Such regulation requiring both the phosphorylation of the HECT E3 ligase and an adaptor protein has been recently described for HUWE1 (aka MULE, ARF-BP1)<sup>37</sup>. Indeed, although it works in the opposite direction, it was shown that phosphorylation of HUWE1 disrupts the interaction with its inhibitory co-factor p14-ARF and ultimately activates the E3 ligase<sup>37</sup>. A similar scenario involving the phospho-dependent binding of a regulatory adaptor to HACE1 is likely to occur here. One could hypothesize that an activator can bind the non-phosphorylated form of HACE1 to trigger its activity or, conversely, that an inhibitor binds the phospho-form of HACE1 to abrogate its activity. Therefore, our work opens new avenues to search for regulatory adaptors whose binding is modulated by HACE1 phosphorylation at Ser-385.

In most cases, the regulation of HECT E3 ligases involving phosphorylation and/or adaptors is the consequence of structural modifications. This has been particularly well-described in the Nedd4 family, which undergoes inhibitory intra- or intermolecular interactions between their C-terminal HECT domain and C2 or WW N-terminal domains<sup>38,39</sup>. These interactions, whether they induce a closed monomeric conformation or the formation of an inactive homodimer, are relieved by phosphorylation or binding to an adaptor protein<sup>32,33,40-44</sup>.

Similarly, it has recently been proposed that the HUWE1 E3 ligase can adopt an inhibitory asymmetric homodimeric conformation that leads to its inhibition and that binding of p14-ARF shifts the dynamic conformational equilibrium of HUWE1 toward the inhibitory dimer<sup>45</sup>. Our co-IP data indicate that HACE1 forms inter-molecular interactions that involve the binding of the HECT domain to the ANK + MID region. Interestingly, the HECT domain does not bind to either the ANK domain or the MID region alone. This suggests that either the HECT domain binds a motif intersecting the ANK and MID regions or that the ANK + MID region adopts a particular conformation that enables its binding to the HECT domain. In line with this second hypothesis, we have recently demonstrated that the cooperation of ANK and MID regions is important for the selective binding of HACE1 to the active form of Rac1<sup>23</sup>. Here, we found that recombinant HACE1 can form a homo-oligomer in vitro by SEC analysis. This indicates that the HACE1 inter-molecular interactions found in cells are likely direct. Moreover, our SEC data also indicates that HACE1 homo-oligomers correspond to dimers or trimers. The formation of trimers of HECT E3 ligases has been reported for Ube3A/E6AP, which promotes full activity of the ligase<sup>46</sup>. Interestingly, an independent study has shown that phosphorylation of threonine 485 inhibits Ube3A/E6AP catalytic activity<sup>47</sup>. Whether this phosphorylation alters Ube3A/E6AP trimerization has not been explored. However, mutation of this specific threonine is associated with autism, demonstrating the importance of this phospho-regulation in physiology. A recent study has shed light on the importance of post-translational modifications in the trimerization of several Nedd4 proteins<sup>48</sup>. It was shown that the formation of Nedd4 trimers is promoted by ubiquitination of their HECT domains, which leads to their inactivation<sup>48</sup>. Our data indicate that homo-dimerization or homo-trimerization of HACE1 occurs in the absence of Ser-385 phosphorylation as the phospho-resistant mutant HACE1(S385A) and HACE1(WT) display similar levels of inter-molecular interactions. Interestingly, our results suggest that phosphorylation of Ser-385 modifies HACE1 oligomerization properties. Indeed, we found higher levels of HACE1(S385E) inter-molecular interactions compared with HACE1(WT). Similarly, specific phosphorylation of Ser-385 induced by PAK1(K141A) increases HACE1(WT) inter-molecular interactions. This increase of interactions may thus reflect an increase in the stability of HACE1 oligomer or an increase in the extent of oligomerization, i.e., number of units per oligomer. Moreover, our data indicate that an adaptor is likely required to account for the decrease in HACE1(S385E) activity in cells. Although it remains to be strictly demonstrated that HACE1 phospho-oligomerization plays a direct inhibitory role on its activity, one could hypothesize that an adaptor binds to the phospho-oligomer to mediate HACE1 inhibition or that an adaptor binds to HACE1 once it is phosphorylated on Ser-385, which in turn modifies the properties of HACE1 to oligomerize into an inactive complex. Interestingly, we found that Ser-385 is located within an intrinsically disordered region (IDR)<sup>49</sup> (Supplementary Figure S8). These IDRs, which lack stable tertiary structures, are phosphorylation hotspots<sup>50</sup>; thanks to their flexibility, IDRs allow easy access and recognition of their phosphorylated residue to binding surfaces<sup>51</sup>. Further work remains to be completed to determine how phosphorylation of Ser-385 modifies HACE1 oligomerization properties. In conclusion, we have uncovered a pivotal role for Ser-385 in the regulation of HACE1 that sets the basis for deciphering the relationship between the structure and activity of HACE1.

#### Methods

Plasmid constructs, primers, siRNAs, antibodies and reagents. All plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Site-directed mutagenesis were performed using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2 and the QuickChange Lighting (Agilent) and QuickChange II (Agilent) kits for HACE1 and PAK1 plasmids, respectively. The pSY5M-6His-PAK1 plasmid was obtained by subcloning the rat PAK1 sequence<sup>52</sup> into the pET21d + vector. pXJ-GST-KID2 was obtained by subcloning the rat PAK2 kinase inhibitory domain (85-144 aa) into the pXJ40-GST vector using the XhoI/KpnI restriction sites. All the plasmid sequences were verified by sequencing. SMART-pool siRNA mixes against PAK1 (#5058) and PAK2 (#5062) were acquired from GE Dharmacon. The silencer select siRNAs against PAK2 were labeled as siPAK2#1 (s10022), siPAK2#2 (s10023), and siPAK2#3 (s10024) and were purchased from Ambion® ThermoFisher Scientific. All the antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The rabbit anti-phospho-HACE1S385 (pS385) and antiphospho-HACE1S337 (pS337) antibodies were raised against the phospho-peptide sequences KNKRD[pS]TEITS and FRIGPS(pS)PSNGID and purified by positive and negative affinity purification using the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated peptide sequences, respectively (Perbio Science France SAS). The CNF1 toxin was purified as described in Doye et al., 2006<sup>53</sup>. The PAK1 kinase inhibitor FRAX597 (Selleckchem) was used at 2 or 5 µM for 16 hours and the mTOR inhibitors Torin1 (Tocris) and Rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) were used at 0.1 µM and 0.1 nM respectively, for 4 hours. VEGF (Peprotech) was used at 20ng/ml for 10 min.

**Cell culture, transfection and lysis.** HUVECs were obtained from PromoCell and maintained in human endothelial SFM medium (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), 20 ng/ml FGF-2, 10 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech), 1 µg/ml heparin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>). MCF-12A mammary gland epithelial cells (CRL-10782, ATCC) were maintained in DMEM/F12 (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>) supplemented with 7.5% horse serum (Biowest), 20 ng/ml recombinant human EGF (Peprotech), 10 µg/ml human recombinant insulin (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>), 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>). Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells were maintained in DMEM (Gibco<sup>TM</sup>).

Plasmid DNAs and siRNAs were transfected into MCF12A cells using Lipofectamine LTX and Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, respectively, according to manufacturer's procedures (Invitrogen). For DNA transfection, cells were seeded at 70% confluence 16–24 hours before DNA transfection. For DNA and siRNA co-transfection,  $1.3 \times 10^6$  cells were directly reverse transfected with a 150 nM final concentration of siRNA in a 12-well plate, incubated for 48 hours, transfected with plasmid DNA and incubated an additional 24 hours before lysis. HUVECs were transfected with plasmid DNA by electroporation or using the PolyMag reagent (OZ Biosciences) as described previously<sup>53</sup> 24 hours before lysis unless otherwise stated. HUVECs were transfected with siRNAs using the

PolyMag reagent at a final concentration of 50 mM and incubated for 72 hours before lysis. For DNA and siRNA co-transfection, cells were first transfected with siRNA using PolyMag, incubated for 48 hours, transfected with plasmid DNA using PolyMag and incubated an additional 24 hours before lysis. HEK293 cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer's procedure (Invitrogen).

For the analysis of the total protein levels by immunoblot, cells were lysed in  $1 \times$  RIPA buffer (Bio Basic) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce) and the lysates were analyzed immunoblot.

For cytosol – membrane fractionation, cells were lysed in SI buffer (Sucrose 250 mM, Imidazole 3 mM) and centrifuged at 10.000 g 4 °C for 10 min to precipitate the nucleus. The supernatant was recovered, part (10%) was kept for a post-nuclear "input" control and the rest was centrifuged at 100.000 g 4 °C for 1 hour. The supernatant corresponds to the cytosolic fraction and the pellet to the membrane (and organelles) fraction. Both fractions and the input control were analyzed by immunoblot.

**Immunoblot analysis.** Protein lysates were resolved using NuPAGE 3–8% Tris Acetate, 4–12% Bis-Tris pre-cast gels (Invitrogen) or 8%, 10% or 12% Tris Glycine SDS-PAGE gels. Separated proteins were transferred onto Immobilon-PVDF membranes (Millipore) using a semi-dry method (Trans-blot<sup>®</sup> Turbo<sup>TM</sup> transfer, Biorad) or by overnight transfer in carbonate buffer (1.25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.37 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and 20% v/v ethanol, pH 9.9). Membranes were probed with the indicated primary and secondary antibodies (Supplementary Table S3), and then incubated with the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Millipore). The emitted chemiluminescent signals were detected with a Syngene Pxi4 imaging system (ThermoFisher Scientific). When appropriate, immunoblot signals were quantified by densitometry using the Image Studio 3.1.4 software.

**Tandem mass spectrometry analysis.** HUVECs transfected with HA-HACE1 expressing plasmid were left untreated or were treated with CNF1 at  $10^{-9}$  M for 24 hours. Following lysis and an immunoprecipitation assay, immuno-purified HA-HACE1 from both conditions were separated on an SDS–PAGE gel, and trypsin-digested samples obtained from the HA-HACE1 cut gel slices were analyzed as described previously<sup>54</sup>. Briefly, proteins were digested in-gel using trypsin (Gold, Promega). The generated peptides were analyzed online using an LTQ Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to an Ultimate 3000 HPLC (Dionex, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Desalting and pre-concentration of the samples was performed online on a Pepmap<sup>®</sup> pre-column (0.3 mm × 10 mm, Dionex). A gradient consisting of 0–40% B in A for 60 min, followed by 80% B and 20% A for 15 min (A was 0.1% formic acid with 2% acetonitrile in water and B was 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at 300 nl/min was used to elute peptides from the capillary reverse-phase column (0.075 mm × 150 mm, Pepmap<sup>®</sup>, Dionex). Eluted peptides were electrosprayed online at a voltage of 1.9kV into an LTQ Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer. A single full-scan mass spectrum cycle (400–2000 *m/z*) at a resolution of 120,000 (at 400 *m/z*) in the orbitrap, followed by twenty data-dependent MS/MS spectra were repeated continuously throughout the nanoLC separation. All the MS/MS spectra (acquired using the linear trap quadrupole) were recorded using a normalized collision energy (33%, activation Q of 0.25 and activation time of 10 ms).

Spectral data were analyzed using the MaxQuant software<sup>55</sup> with standard settings and the following variable modifications: (1) Acetyl (Protein N-term), (2) Oxidation (M), and (3) Phosphorylation (STY). For quantification, signal extraction of the identified peptides was performed using Skyline<sup>56</sup>.

**Co-Immunoprecipitation and His-Ub pull-down.** For co-immunoprecipitation, MCF12A cells were scraped 20 hours post-transfection in 1 ml of immunoprecipitation buffer (SLB) (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Triton-X100, and 0.27 M sucrose) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce) and lysed by a freeze-thaw cycle in liquid nitrogen. The cleared lysates were incubated with  $30 \,\mu$ l of Ezview Red Anti-HA or anti-Flag Affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hours at 4 °C. Beads were washed at least twice with 1 ml of SLB and resuspended in  $30 \,\mu$ l of 1 × LDS buffer with 50 mM DTT. For the His-Ub pull-down experiments, MCF12A cells were lysed 7 hours post-transfection in ULB (8 M Urea, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, and 0.1% Triton X-100). The proteins that covalently bound to 6His-tagged ubiquitin were pulled-down by incubating 95% of the cleared lysate with  $30 \,\mu$ l cobalt-chelated resin (Clontech), which was previously blocked in ULB + 5% bovine serum albumin (RIA grade, Sigma) for 1 hour. After lysate incubation, the beads were washed four times in ULB and resuspended in one volume of Laemmli's buffer.

*In vitro* kinase assays. Purified PAK1 (2 ng/µl) was incubated with recombinant purified HACE1 (40 ng/µl) and 30 µM ATP (10 µCi of [ $\gamma$  32 P]-ATP) in kinase buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 0.02% Triton X-100, 25 mM NaCl, 5 mM  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate, 2.5 mM NaF, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>) at 30 °C for 30 min in a final volume of 50 µl. Samples were analyzed by immunoblot followed by autoradiography and Coomassie blue staining.

**Purification of recombinant proteins by IMAC.** *E. coli* BL21 strains transformed with pET-28a plasmids encoding 6His-HACE1 (WT) and mutants were grown for 24 hours at 37 °C in 1 L of Luria-Bertani (LB) Broth with kanamycin 50 µg/ml. Isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added at a final concentration of 100 µM and the culture was grown for another 8 hours at 30 °C. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and lysed using a French Press in 20 ml of Buffer A (Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 200 mM NaCl) with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). The cleared lysate was subjected to Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography (IMAC) using a Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow column (GE healthcare Life Science) charged with NiSO<sub>4</sub> in an AKTA system with the UNICORN software (GE healthcare Life Science). Elution was performed with Buffer A containing increasing concentrations of imidazole. The approximately 15 ml fraction eluted with 250 mM imidazole that contained most of the 6His-HACE1 was dialyzed overnight in 25 mM Tris-HCl with 125 mM NaCl and concentrated the next morning to 1 µg/µl using an Amicon Ultra-15 50 K (Millipore). *E. coli* BL21 strains transformed with pSY5M plasmids encoding 6His-PAK1 were grown overnight at 30 °C in 50 ml of LB with 50 µg/ml chloramphenicol and 50 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated overnight (ON) at 30 °C. The next morning, 200 ml of LB with antibiotics was inoculated with 20 ml of the overnight culture. When 0.6 < OD600 < 1, IPTG was added to a final concentration of  $500 \mu$ M and the culture was grown another 4 hours at room temperature with shaking. The bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by sonication in 10 ml of cold bacterial lysis buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 0.5% Triton-X100, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF and 1× Protease Inhibitors Cocktail (Roche)). The cleared lysate was incubated with 250 µl of Ni-NTA-agarose slurry and roll at 4 °C for 2 hours. The 6His-PAK1 bound to the Ni-NTA-agarose beads was transferred into a 10-ml disposable column, washed and eluted in  $5 \times 1$  ml of elution buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 0.5% Triton-X100, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mg/ml of 6His-PAK1 were pooled, aliquoted and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

**Size-exclusion chromatography.** Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) was performed using 500 µl of IMAC-purified 6His-HACE1 (WT) at 1 µg/µl using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL with an AKTA system and the UNICORN software program (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). A mix of *gel filtration marker kit for protein molecular weights 12,000–200,000 Da* (Sigma-Aldrich) with *apoferritin from equine spleen* (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the control.

*In vitro* ubiquitination assay. HACE1 auto-ubiquitination and HACE1-induced ubiquitination of Rac1 reactions were performed in a 40 µl final volume containing 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM ATP, and 1 mM DTT using 250 ng of recombinant human 6His-Ube1 (RD system), 500 ng of recombinant human UbcH7 (RD system), 1µg of ubiquitin (RD system), 100 ng to 1µg of 6His-HACE1 and 1µg of Rac1–6His that was previously loaded with GTP- $\gamma$ S. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min unless otherwise indicated and were stopped by the addition of 1× LDS and 1× reducing agent (ThermoFisher Scientific).

**Lambda phosphatase treatment.** One thousand units of  $\lambda$ -Protein Phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich) were used to dephosphorylate 50 µg of proteins from whole cell lysates. Samples were incubated for 40 min at 30 °C, and the reactions were stopped by the addition of 1× LDS and 1× Reducing Agent (ThermoFisher Scientific).

**Bioinformatics analysis.** Prediction of IDRs was performed using the online tool PONDR predictor with the VL-XL, XL1-XT and CaN-XT algorithms http://www.pondr.com<sup>49</sup>. The PAK consensus kinase sequence was obtained using the online tool NetPhorest http://www.netphorest.info/index.shtml<sup>28</sup>.

**Statistical analysis.** The data were analyzed with the statistical software Graphpad Prism 6.0 f. Statistical significance was evaluated by one- or two-sample unpaired t-tests.

#### References

- 1. Buetow, L. & Huang, D. T. Structural insights into the catalysisand regulation of E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Nature Publishing Group* 17, 626–642 (2016).
- 2. Hunter, T. The age of crosstalk: phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and beyond. *Mol Cell* 28, 730–738 (2007).
- 3. Torrino, S. et al. The E3 ubiquitin-ligase HACE1 catalyzes the ubiquitylation of active Rac1. Dev Cell 21, 959–965 (2011).
- 4. Hall, A. Rho family GTPases. Biochem Soc Trans 40, 1378-1382 (2012).
- 5. Manser, E., Leung, T., Salihuddin, H., Zhao, Z. S. & Lim, L. A brain serine/threonine protein kinase activated by Cdc42 and Rac1. *Nature* **367**, 40–46 (1994).
- 6. Zhao, Z.-S. & Manser, E. PAK family kinases: Physiological roles and regulation. Cell Logist 2, 59–68 (2012).
- 7. Rane, C. K. & Minden, A. P21 activated kinases. smallgtpases 5, e28003 (2014).
- 8. Kelly, M. L. & Chernoff, J. Mouse models of PAK function. Cell Logist 2, 84-88 (2012).
- 9. Radu, M., Semenova, G., Kosoff, R. & Chernoff, J. PAK signalling during the development and progression of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 14, 13–25 (2014).
- 10. Castillo-Lluva, S., Tan, C.-T., Daugaard, M., Sorensen, P. H. B. & Malliri, A. The tumour suppressor HACE1 controls cell migration by regulating Rac1 degradation. *Oncogene* **32**, 1735–1742 (2012).
- Goka, E. T. & Lippman, M. E. Loss of the E3 ubiquitin ligase HACE1 results in enhanced Rac1 signaling contributing to breast cancer progression. Oncogene. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.468 (2015).
- 12. Doye, A. *et al.* CNF1 exploits the ubiquitin-proteasome machinery to restrict Rho GTPase activation for bacterial host cell invasion. *Cell* **111**, 553–564 (2002).
- 13. Flatau, G. et al. Toxin-induced activation of the G protein p21 Rho by deamidation of glutamine. Nature 387, 729-733 (1997).
- 14. Schmidt, G. et al. Gln 63 of Rho is deamidated by Escherichia coli cytotoxic necrotizing factor-1. Nature 387, 725-729 (1997).
- Anglesio, M. S. et al. Differential expression of a novel ankyrin containing E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase, Hace1, in sporadic Wilms' tumor versus normal kidney. Human Molecular Genetics 13, 2061–2074 (2004).
- 16. Zhang, L. *et al.* The E3 ligase HACE1 is a critical chromosome 6q21 tumor suppressor involved in multiple cancers. *Nat Med* **13**, 1060–1069 (2007).
- 17. Bouzelfen, A. *et al.* HACE1 is a putative tumor suppressor gene in B-cell lymphomagenesis and is down-regulated by both deletion and epigenetic alterations. *Leuk. Res.* **45**, 90–100 (2016).
- HIBI, K. et al. Aberrant methylation of the HACE1 gene is frequently detected in advanced colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res. 28, 1581–1584 (2008).
- 19. Sakata, M. et al. Methylation of HACE1 in gastric carcinoma. Anticancer Res. 29, 2231-2233 (2009).
- 20. Daugaard, M. et al. Hace1 controls ROS generation of vertebrate Rac1-dependent NADPH oxidase complexes. Nature Communications 4, 2180 (2013).
- Rotblat, B. et al. HACE1 reduces oxidative stress and mutant Huntingtin toxicity by promoting the NRF2 response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 3032–3037 (2014).
- Zhang, L. et al. HACE1-dependent protein degradation provides cardiac protection in response to haemodynamic stress. Nature Communications 5, 3430 (2014).
- Andrio, E. et al. Identi cation of cancer-associated missense mutations in hace1 that impair cell growth control and Rac1 ubiquitylation. Sci. Rep. 1–11 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44779 (2017).

- 24. Hornbeck, P. V. et al. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. Nucleic Acids Research 43, D512-20 (2015).
- Garrett, T. A., van Buul, J. D. & Burridge, K. VEGF-induced Rac1 activation in endothelial cells is regulated by the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Vav2. *Experimental Cell Research* 313, 3285–3297 (2007).
- 26. Hsu, P. P. *et al.* The mTOR-regulated phosphoproteome reveals a mechanism of mTORC1-mediated inhibition of growth factor signaling. *Science (New York, NY)* **332**, 1317–1322 (2011).
- Franz-Wachtel, M. et al. Global detection of protein kinase D-dependent phosphorylation events in nocodazole-treated human cells. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 11, 160–170 (2012).
- 28. Horn, H. et al. KinomeXplorer: an integrated platform for kinome biology studies. Nat Meth 11, 603-604 (2014).
- Sells, M. A. *et al.* Human p21-activated kinase (Pak1) regulates actin organization in mammalian cells. *CURBIO* 7, 202–210 (1997).
  Xiao, G.-H., Beeser, A., Chernoff, J. & Testa, J. R. p21-activated kinase links Rac/Cdc42 signaling to merlin. *J Biol Chem* 277, 883–886 (2002).
- Doye, A., Mettouchi, A. & Lemichez, E. Assessing ubiquitylation of Rho GTPases in mammalian cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 827, 77–86 (2012).
- Gallagher, E., Gao, M., Liu, Y.-C. & Karin, M. Activation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch through a phosphorylation-induced conformational change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103, 1717–1722 (2006).
- 33. Persaud, A. et al. Tyrosine phosphorylation of NEDD4 activates its ubiquitin ligase activity. Science Signaling 7, ra95 (2014).
- 34. Tzivion, G. & Avruch, J. 14-3-3 proteins: active cofactors in cellular regulation by serine/threonine phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* 277, 3061–3064 (2002).
- 35. Snyder, P. M. Down-regulating destruction: phosphorylation regulates the E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-2. *Science Signaling* **2**, pe41 (2009).
- Cheng, P.-L., Lu, H., Shelly, M., Gao, H. & Poo, M.-M. Phosphorylation of E3 Ligase Smurf1 Switches Its Substrate Preference in Support Axon Development. *Neuron* 69, 231–243 (2011).
- Lee, C. K., Yang, Y., Chen, C. & Liu, J. Syk-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation of mule promotes TNF-induced JNK activation and cell death. Oncogene 35, 1988–1995 (2016).
- 38. Rotin, D. & Kumar, S. Physiological functions of the HECT family of ubiquitin ligases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10, 398-409 (2009).
- 39. Kee, Y. & Huibregtse, J. M. Regulation of catalytic activities of HECT ubiquitin ligases. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 354, 329–333 (2007).
- Ogunjimi, A. A. *et al.* Regulation of Smurf2 ubiquitin ligase activity by anchoring the E2 to the HECT domain. *Mol Cell* 19, 297–308 (2005).
  Oberst, A. *et al.* The Nedd4-binding partner 1 (N4BP1) protein is an inhibitor of the E3 ligase Itch. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104, 11280–11285 (2007).
- Lu, K. *et al.* Targeting WW domains linker of HECT-type ubiquitin ligase Smurf1 for activation by CKIP-1. *Nat Cell Biol* 10, 994-1002 (2008).
- Wan, L. et al. Cdh1 Regulates Osteoblast Function through an APC/C-Independent Modulation of Smurf1. Mol Cell 44, 721–733 (2011).
- 44. Mund, T. & Pelham, H. R. B. Control of the activity of WW-HECT domain E3 ubiquitin ligases by NDFIP proteins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 501–507 (2009).
- 45. Sander, B., Xu, W., Eilers, M., Popov, N. & Lorenz, S. A conformational switch regulates the ubiquitin ligase HUWE1. eLife 6 (2017).
- Ronchi, V. P., Klein, J. M., Edwards, D. J. & Haas, A. L. The active form of E6-associated protein (E6AP)/UBE3A ubiquitin ligase is an oligomer. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289, 1033–1048 (2014).
- 47. Yi, J. J. et al. An Autism-Linked Mutation Disables Phosphorylation Control of UBE3A. Cell 162, 795–807 (2015).
- Attali, I. et al. Ubiquitylation-dependent oligomerization regulates activity of Nedd4 ligases. EMBO J e201694314 https://doi. org/10.15252/embj.201694314 (2017).
- Romero, O. & Dunker, K. Sequence Data Analysis for Long Disordered Regions Prediction in the Calcineurin Family. Genome Inform Ser Workshop Genome Inform 8, 110–124 (1997).
- 50. Iakoucheva, L. M. et al. The importance of intrinsic disorder for protein phosphorylation. Nucleic Acids Research 32, 1037–1049 (2004).
- 51. van der Lee, R. et al. Classification of intrinsically disordered regions and proteins. Chem. Rev. 114, 6589-6631 (2014).
- Zhao, Z. S. et al. A conserved negative regulatory region in alphaPAK: inhibition of PAK kinases reveals their morphological roles downstream of Cdc42 and Rac1. Mol Cell Biol 18, 2153–2163 (1998).
- Doye, A., Boyer, L., Mettouchi, A. & Lemichez, E. Ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of Rho proteins by the CNF1 toxin. Methods in Enzymology 406, 447–456 (2006).
- Skorupa, A. et al. Angiogenin induces modifications in the astrocyte secretome: relevance to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Proteomics 91, 274–285 (2013).
- Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteomewide protein quantification. Nature Biotechnology 26, 1367–1372 (2008).
- Schilling, B. et al. Platform-independent and label-free quantitation of proteomic data using MS1 extracted ion chromatograms in skyline: application to protein acetylation and phosphorylation. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 11, 202–214 (2012).

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Melissa Sivaneson, Rohan Wakade, Patrick Munro and Serge Roche for their technical help and fruitful discussions. We are grateful to Jacqueline Cherfils for kindly providing the purified Rac1-6His recombinant protein. This work was supported by INSERM and funding from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer (LNCC, équipe labellisée), a fellowship from the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer to O.V. (ARC-PDF20131200654), and a PhD fellowship from the LABEX-Signalife program (ANR-11-LABX-0028-01) and from the "Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale" to M.I.A. (FRM-FDT20160435533).

#### **Author Contributions**

Conception or design of the work: E.L., O.V., E.M., J.B., A.M. Data collection: M.I.A., O.V., A. Do., S.U., Y.W.N. Analysis and Interpretation of the data: M.I.A., O.V., E.L., S.U., J.B., Y.W.N., E.M. Drafting the article: O.V., E.L., M.I.A., S.U. Critical revision of the article: S.U., J.B., A.M., A. De, E.M., Y.W.N. Approved manuscripts: M.I.A., A. Do., S.U., Y.W.N., J.B., A.M., A. De, E.M., O.V., E.L.

#### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19471-2.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018