

# Behaviour of the Landau-Lifschitz equation in a periodic thin layer

David Sanchez

#### ▶ To cite this version:

David Sanchez. Behaviour of the Landau-Lifschitz equation in a periodic thin layer. Asymptotic Analysis, 2005, 41 (1), pp.41–69. hal-01872106

HAL Id: hal-01872106

https://hal.science/hal-01872106

Submitted on 11 Sep 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Behaviour of Landau-Lifschitz Equation in a periodic thin layer

#### DAVID SANCHEZ

Mathématiques Appliquées de Bordeaux, UMR 5466, Université Bordeaux 1, 351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France.

Tel: 05 57 96 21 46 Fax: 05 56 84 26 26 E-mail: david.sanchez@math.u-bordeaux.fr

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the behaviour of Landau-Lifschitz equation in a thin layer. As the thickness of the domain and the exchange coefficient of the equation simultaneously tend to zero we perform an asymptotic expansion to precise the solution for well prepared initial condition.

#### 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a periodic thin layer  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$  of ferromagnetic material and we study the behaviour of magnetic moment u when  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero. The magnetic moment u, defined on the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  in which the material is confined, satisfies  $|u| \equiv 1$  and links the magnetic field H and the magnetic induction B by the relation  $B = H + \overline{u}$ , where  $\overline{u}$  is the extension of u by zero outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ .

The magnetic field H satisfies curl H=0 by static Maxwell's equations, and by the law of Faraday we have div  $B=\operatorname{div}(H+\overline{u})=0$ . Hence the magnetic moment u induces a magnetic field H(u) given by:

$$\begin{cases}
H(u) \in \mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \\
\operatorname{curl} H(u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \\
\operatorname{div} (H(u) + \overline{u}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{3}).
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

We will consider a quasi-stationary model in which the behaviour of u is governed by the following Landau-Lifschitz type equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u \wedge (\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + H(u)) - u \wedge (u \wedge (\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + H(u))) & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\
u(0, .) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega_{\varepsilon},
\end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where n denotes the outward unitary normal on  $\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . The coefficient  $\varepsilon^2$  is an exchange coefficient. As it is smaller than all other characteristic sizes of the problem, it is intended to tend to zero.

When the exchange coefficient is fixed and the domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ , Carbou and Fabrie prove in [5] the local existence of a regular solution u of (1.2):

**Theorem 1.1 (Carbou, Fabrie).** If  $u_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  and  $|u_0| \equiv 1$ , there exists a time  $T^* > 0$  and there exists an unique  $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathbb{L}^2(0,T;\mathbb{H}^3(\Omega))$  for all  $T < T^*$  such that u satisfies (1.2).

But when the exchange coefficient  $\varepsilon^2$  goes to zero (and the domain still does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ ), the time interval given by the previous theorem also tends to zero. In [7], Carbou, Fabrie and Guès prove that the time of existence goes in fact to infinity when  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero for the initial data in  $\mathbb{H}^5(\Omega)$  by showing that a boundary layer appears. Moreover they get that the solution u tends to the solution of the hyperbolic system formally obtained by taking  $\varepsilon = 0$  in (1.2).

We are interested here in the case of thin layers. In [4], Carbou has studied the limit of the magnetic moment u when the thickness  $\varepsilon$  of the domain goes to 0 and the exchange coefficient is fixed. We want to precise here the behaviour of u when the exchange coefficient is  $\varepsilon^2$  and in an  $\varepsilon$ -thick sheet  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$  which is periodic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by making an asymptotic expansion of u. We will have to take into account an interaction between the boundary layer, whose characteristic thickness is  $\varepsilon$ , and the thin layer. We note  $I_{\varepsilon} = (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$  and  $\omega = (0,1)^2$ , the periodic domain.  $\mathbb{H}_p^l(\omega)$  is then the subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^l(\omega)$  whose functions are periodic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{L}_p^2(\omega)$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{H}_p^1(\omega)$  in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\omega)$ . The index p denotes each time the periodicity on  $\omega$ . The equations we will consider are:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u \wedge \left(\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + H(u)\right) - u \wedge \left(u \wedge \left(\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + H(u)\right)\right) & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}, \\ u(0,.) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ u & \text{periodic on } \omega \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases}
H(u) \in \mathbb{L}_p^2 (\omega \times \mathbb{R}), \\
\operatorname{curl} H(u) = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times \mathbb{R}, \\
\operatorname{div} (H(u) + \overline{u}) = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}$$
(1.4)

As we will study independently H inside and outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  we need some transmission conditions that we can deduce from (1.4):

$$\begin{cases}
[H(u) \wedge e_3] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}, \\
[(H(u) + \overline{u}) \cdot e_3] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\},
\end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where  $e_3$  denotes the unitary normal to  $\{z=0\}$  i.e.  $e_3=(0,0,1)$  and  $[v]=v\left(z^+\right)-v\left(z^-\right)$  denotes the jump of v at an interface  $\omega\times\{\pm\varepsilon\}$ . We also note  $I_1=(-1,1)$ .

In order to perform the asymptotic expansion and get the profiles we work on the rescaled equation.

**Assumptions 1.** The initial data  $u_0^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies  $\frac{\partial u_0^{\varepsilon}}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  and can be written in the form:

$$u_{0}^{\varepsilon}(x,z) = U_{0}^{0}(x) + \varepsilon U_{0}^{1}\left(x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^{2}U_{0}^{2}\left(x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^{2}r_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(x,z\right), \quad \forall (x,z) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon},$$

where

- $U_0^0 \in \mathbb{W}_n^{6,\infty}(\omega)$  such that  $|U_0^0| \equiv 1$ ,
- $U_0^1 \in \mathbb{H}_p^5(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^5(-1,1)$ ,
- $U_0^2 \in \mathbb{H}_p^4(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^5(-1,1)$ ,
- $r_0^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}_p^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  is the initial data for the remainder term  $r^{\varepsilon}$  and fulfills the additional condition:

$$||r_0^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 + ||\nabla r_0^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 + \varepsilon^2 ||\Delta r_0^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 \le C,$$

where C is an  $\varepsilon$ -independent constant.

We get the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the assumptions 1, if  $T^{\varepsilon}$  is the maximum time of existence of the regular solution  $u^{\varepsilon}$  of the equation (1.3) then  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T^{\varepsilon} = +\infty$  and for all  $T < T^{\varepsilon}$  we have:

$$u^{\varepsilon}(t,x,z) = U^{0}(t,x) + \varepsilon U^{1}\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^{2}U^{2}\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^{2}r^{\varepsilon}(t,x,z), \quad (x,z) \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \ t < T^{\varepsilon},$$

where

•  $U^0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^+_t; \mathbb{W}^{6,\infty}_p\left(\omega\right)\right)$  is solution of the equation (1.3) with  $\varepsilon = 0$ , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} = U^0 \wedge H^0 - U^0 \wedge (U^0 \wedge H^0) & in \ \omega, \\ U^0(0,.) = u_0 & in \ \omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $H^0 = -U_3^0 e^3$  is a local operator,

- $\bullet \ U^{1} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k} (I_{1}) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k} (I_{1}) \right) \right] \ for \ all \ 0 < T < T^{\varepsilon},$
- $U^{2} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k} \left( I_{1} \right) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k} \left( I_{1} \right) \right) \right]$  for all  $0 < T < T^{\varepsilon}$ ,
- for all  $T < T^{\varepsilon}$  the function  $r^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})\right)$  and the function  $\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})\right) \cap \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(0, T, \mathbb{H}^{3}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})\right)$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The space  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  is supplied with the classical norm  $\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u|^2 \ dx$ .

This paper is organized in the following way. The first part consists in the reminder and proof of some technical lemmas and in the study of the operator H outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . In the second part we formally build the asymptotic expansion of  $u^{\varepsilon}$  and we prove its existence for all time and the regularity of its terms. The equations satisfied by the remainder term of  $u^{\varepsilon}$  and  $H^{\varepsilon}$  are explained in the third part and the fourth part contains the energy estimates which conclude the proof of theorem 1.2.

## 2 Preliminary results

#### 2.1 Some results of regularity

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . There exists a constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$||u||_{\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le c_0 \left( ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 + ||\Delta u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0$  on  $(0,1)^2 \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}$  and u is periodic in  $x \in (0,1)^2$ . Moreover if  $u \in \mathbb{H}^3(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ ,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c_{0} \left( \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\Delta u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Proof:** we take the Fourier coefficient of u and the proof follows for the first estimate. For the second one, we want to apply the inequality (see [8])

$$||u||_{\mathbb{H}^{m}(\Omega)} \leq c(\Omega) \left[ ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\operatorname{div} u||_{\mathbb{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)} + ||\operatorname{curl} u||_{\mathbb{H}^{m-1}(\Omega)} + ||u \cdot n||_{\mathbb{H}^{m-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right]$$

Since we need the dependence of  $c(\Omega)$  in  $\varepsilon$  we make the proof for the open set we consider:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} = \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \int_{\omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}} \left[\operatorname{curl} u \cdot (u \wedge n) - (u \cdot n) \operatorname{div} u + u \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right] d\gamma$$

First step: We assume that  $u \cdot n = u \cdot e_3 = 0$ . The boundary term then satisfies:

$$I_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}} = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left[ \operatorname{curl} u \cdot (u \wedge n) + u \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right] d\gamma = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left( u_1 \partial_x + u_2 \partial_y \right) u_3 d\gamma.$$

Since  $u_3 = 0$  on  $\omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}$ ,  $I_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} = 0$  and

$$||u||_{\mathbb{H}^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{div} u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{curl} u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$
(2.1)

**Second step:** We assume that  $u \cdot n = g \in \mathbb{H}^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon})$  on  $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . We then take a lifting  $\varphi = Rg \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  of g such that  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = g \in \mathbb{H}^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon})$ . So  $v = u - \nabla \varphi$  fulfills  $v \cdot n = 0$ . We apply (2.1) and we get:

$$||u||_{\mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{div} u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{curl} u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\nabla \varphi||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\nabla \varphi||_{\mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$

Since  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$  we have:

$$\|u\|_{\mathbb{H}^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + C(\Omega_\varepsilon)\|u \cdot n\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_\varepsilon)}$$

**Third step:** We now apply this inequality to all partial derivatives of u to get the inequality in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . Since  $(\partial_i u) \cdot n = (\partial_i u) \cdot e_3 = \partial_i (u \cdot e_3)$  we finally get:

$$||u||_{\mathbb{H}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c_{0} \left( ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{div} u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + ||\operatorname{curl} u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \right) + C(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) ||u \cdot n||_{\mathbb{H}^{3/2}(\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon})},$$

where  $c_0$  is independent of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . We get the result by applying this inequality to  $\nabla u$  (such that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ ).  $\square$ 

In the following  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  denotes the derivative in  $x_i$  in the anisotropic inequalities where the three coordinates are  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . In the thin domains  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  is the derivative in  $x_i$  where  $(x_1, x_2)$  belongs to  $(0, 1)^2$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  is the derivative in the thickness z of the thin layer.

Theorem 2.2 (Anisotropic Agmon's inequality). (cf [17])

Let  $\Omega_0 = (0,1)^3$  then there exists an absolute constant  $c_0$  such that

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\Omega_{0})} \leq c_{0} ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})} + ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_0)$ .

Corollary 2.3 (Agmon's inequality in thin domains). (cf [17])

Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$ . There exists a positive constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ ,

$$\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c_{0} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . There exists a positive constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  and for all  $2 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq c_{0} \varepsilon^{-(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2p}} ||u||_{\mathbb{H}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{2p}}.$$

Theorem 2.5 (Anisotropic Ladyzhenskaya's inequality). (cf [17])

Let  $\Omega_0 = (0,1)^3$ . There exists an absolute constant  $c_0$  such that

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{6}(\Omega_{0})} \leq c_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})} + \left| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right| \right|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{0}).$$

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . There exists a positive constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  and for all  $2 \le p \le 6$ ,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le c_0 \varepsilon^{-(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} ||u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{3}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} ||u||_{H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{p}}.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0,1)^2 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . There exists a positive constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\forall u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ ,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{12}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le c_0 \varepsilon^{-\frac{5}{12}} ||u||_{\mathbb{H}^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{3}{4}} ||u||_{\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

**Proof:** This theorem is an anisotropic version in thin layers of the classical embeddings from  $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{5}{4}}(\Omega)$  into  $\mathbb{L}^{12}(\Omega)$ . We prove in the appendix A the anisotropic embedding. The proof follows the scheme of that of theorem 2.2 given in [17], the proof of the thin layers version is the same as that of corollary 2.3 also given in [17].  $\square$ 

We also need a 1D estimate:

**Proposition 2.8.** There exists a constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^1(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  we have:

$$\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \leq c_0 \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|\partial_x u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}.$$

#### **2.2** Behaviour of H outside $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$

We denote now by  $H^+$  (resp.  $H^-$ ) the restriction of the function H on  $\omega \times (\varepsilon, +\infty)$  (resp.  $\omega \times (-\infty, -\varepsilon)$ ) and by  $J_{\varepsilon}^+$  (resp.  $J_{\varepsilon}^-$ ) the domain  $(\varepsilon, +\infty)$  (resp.  $(-\infty, -\varepsilon)$ ). We are interested in the behaviour of H outside  $\omega \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . H fulfills:

$$\begin{cases}
H^{\pm} \in \mathbb{L}_{p}^{2} \left( \omega \times J_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} \right), \\
\operatorname{curl} H^{\pm} = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times J_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}, \\
\operatorname{div} H^{\pm} = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times J_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}, \\
H^{\pm} \cdot e_{3} = f^{\pm} \text{ or } H^{\pm} \wedge e_{3} = g^{\pm} \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\},
\end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where  $f^{\pm} \in \mathbb{H}_p^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $g^{\pm} \in \mathbb{H}_p^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $g^{\pm}$  is orthogonal to  $e_3$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We want to get an expression of  $H^{\pm} \wedge e_3$  (resp.  $H^{\pm} \cdot e_3$ ) thanks to  $H^{\pm} \cdot e_3$  (resp.  $H^{\pm} \wedge e_3$ ) on  $\omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** For  $f \in \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega; \mathbb{R})$  we consider  $H \in \mathbb{L}_p^2(\omega \times \mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^3)$ , solution of

$$\begin{cases}
\operatorname{curl} H = 0 & \operatorname{in} \omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\
\operatorname{div} H = 0 & \operatorname{in} \omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\
H \cdot e_3 = f & \operatorname{on} \omega \times \{0\},
\end{cases}$$
(2.3)

and we define the operator  $\varphi: \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega; \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$  which maps  $(H \cdot e_3)_{|z=0}$  to  $(H \wedge e_3)_{|z=0}$ .  $\varphi$  is continuous and given by (in Fourier):

$$c_k\left(\varphi(f)\right) = c_k(f) \frac{i}{|k|} \begin{pmatrix} -k_2 \\ k_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \qquad c_0\left(\varphi(f)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the same way for  $g \in \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega;\mathbb{R}^3)$ , g orthogonal to  $e_3$  we consider H solution of

$$\begin{cases}
\operatorname{curl} H = 0 & \operatorname{in} \omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\
\operatorname{div} H = 0 & \operatorname{in} \omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\
H \wedge e_3 = g & \operatorname{on} \omega \times \{0\},
\end{cases}$$
(2.4)

and we define the operator  $\Psi: \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3\right) \mapsto \mathbb{H}_p^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\omega; \mathbb{R}\right)$  which maps  $(H \wedge e_3)_{|z=0}$  to  $(H \cdot e_3)_{|z=0}$ .  $\Psi$  is continuous and given by (in Fourier):

$$c_k\left(\Psi\begin{pmatrix}g_1\\g_2\\0\end{pmatrix}\right)=\frac{i}{|k|}\left(k_2c_k(g_1)-k_1c_k(g_2)\right)\quad\forall k\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\},\qquad c_0\left(\Psi\begin{pmatrix}g_1\\g_2\\0\end{pmatrix}\right)=0.$$

**Proof:** As the problem is linear and the boundary conditions are periodic, we consider the Fourier series of H, f and g:

$$V = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} c_k e^{2\pi i (k \cdot x)} \text{ in } \mathbb{L}_p^2 \left(\omega\right),$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} V(x) e^{-2\pi i (k \cdot x)} dx, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

We then get for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  a linear differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dz}c_k(H) + Ac_k(H) = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where 
$$A = 2i\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -k_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_2 \\ k_1 & k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Let us diagonalize  $A$ :  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi|k| & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\pi|k| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

for all  $k \neq (0,0)$  with the eigenvectors

$$e_1' = \left(-i\frac{k_1}{|k|}, -i\frac{k_2}{|k|}, 1\right), \ e_2' = \left(i\frac{k_1}{|k|}, i\frac{k_2}{|k|}, 1\right) \ \text{and} \ e_3' = \left(\frac{-k_2}{|k|}, \frac{k_1}{|k|}, 0\right).$$

As we search solutions in  $\mathbb{L}_p^2$  ( $\omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ) only the component of  $e_1'$  does not vanish. Finally we get:

• if  $(H \cdot e_3)_{|z=0} = f$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ 

$$c_k(H) = c_k(f) e^{-2\pi z |k|} egin{pmatrix} -irac{k_1}{|k|} \ -irac{k_2}{|k|} \ 1 \end{pmatrix}, ~~ c_0(H) = 0,$$

• if  $(H \wedge e_3)_{|z=0} = g = (g_1, g_2, 0)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  (as  $k_1 c_k(H_2) = k_2 c_k(H_1)$ , cf(2.3))

$$c_k(H) = e^{-2\pi z |k|} \begin{pmatrix} -c_k(g_2) \\ c_k(g_1) \\ \frac{i}{|k|} \left( k_2 c_k(g_1) - k_1 c_k(g_2) \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_0(H) = 0.$$

So we have the

Lemma 2.10. There exists some applications

- $\varphi^{\pm}: \mathbb{H}_{p}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega;\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{H}_{p}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\omega;\mathbb{R}^{3}) \text{ which maps } (H \cdot e_{3})_{|z=\pm\varepsilon/2} \text{ to } (H \wedge e_{3})_{|z=\pm\varepsilon/2},$
- $\bullet \ \Psi^{\pm} \ : \mathbb{H}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}_{p}\left(\omega;\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \mapsto \mathbb{H}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}_{p}\left(\omega;\mathbb{R}\right) \ which \ maps \ (H \wedge e_{3})_{|z=\pm\varepsilon/2} \ to \ (H \cdot e_{3})_{|z=\pm\varepsilon/2},$

where H is solution of (2.2). Moreover for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$c_k\left(arphi^\pm(f)
ight) = \pm c_k(f)rac{i}{|k|} egin{pmatrix} -k_2 \ k_1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_k\left(\Psi^\pmegin{pmatrix} g_1 \ g_2 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}
ight) = \pmrac{i}{|k|}\left(k_2c_k(g_1)-k_1c_k(g_2)
ight).$$

$$and \qquad c_0\left(arphi^\pm(f)
ight) = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_0\left(\Psi^\pm egin{pmatrix} g_1 \ g_2 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}
ight) = 0.$$

**Proof:** We deduce these relationships from the previous lemma.  $\square$ 

## 3 Formal asymptotic expansion

We will now search an asymptotic expansion of  $u^{\varepsilon}$  and  $H^{\varepsilon}$  in the form:

• in  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, z) = U^{0}\left(t, x, \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon U^{1}\left(t, x, \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \dots$   $H^{\varepsilon}(t, x, z) = H^{0}\left(t, x, \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon H^{1}\left(t, x, \frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \dots$ (3.1)

• in 
$$(\omega \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}$$
,  

$$H^{\varepsilon}(t, x, z) = H^{0}(t, x, z) + \varepsilon H^{1}(t, x, z) + \dots$$
(3.2)

where  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \omega$ .

#### 3.1 Equations of the profiles

As it is proved in [5], the equation (1.3) is equivalent for regular enough solutions to:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \varepsilon^2 \Delta u = \varepsilon^2 |\nabla u|^2 u + \varepsilon^2 u \wedge \Delta u + u \wedge H(u) - u \wedge (u \wedge H(u)). \tag{3.3}$$

Since this equation isolates the dissipative term we will use it in the following.

We substitute to u and H their asymptotic expansion in (1.3)-(1.4) in order to get the expression satisfied by the term of the expansion for  $(x, z) \in \omega \times I_1$  or  $(x, z) \in (\omega \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ .

As the equations (1.4) are linear, each  $H^i$  fulfills (1.4) outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . By lemma 2.10 we have then a relationship between the normal and the tangential component of  $H^i$  outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . The transmission conditions allow then us to fully determine  $H^i$  since the conditions become for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{cases}
 \left[H^{i} \wedge e_{3}\right] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}, \\
 \left[\left(H^{i} + \overline{U^{i}}\right) \cdot e_{3}\right] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}.
\end{cases}$$
(3.4)

The Neumann condition on u also becomes  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}U^i\right)_{|z=+1}=0$  for all  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ .

#### Preliminary calculus:

In  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ , we have the following formal expansion of curl V and div V (with  $V=(V_1,V_2,V_3)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{curl} \, V(t,x,z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e_3 \wedge V^0 \right) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \varepsilon^i \left( \operatorname{curl}_x \, V^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e_3 \wedge V^{i+1} \right) \right), \\ \\ & \operatorname{div} \, V(t,x,z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} V_3^0 + \sum_{i \geq 0} \varepsilon^i \left( \operatorname{div}_x \, V^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} V_3^{i+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\operatorname{div}_x V = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x_2}$  and  $\operatorname{curl}_x V = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, 0\right)^t \wedge V$ . In the following  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$  is denoted by  $V_z$ . Back in (1.3)-(1.4) the terms of the equations of the different order in  $\varepsilon$  vanish:

Terms in  $\varepsilon^{-1}$ : in  $\omega \times I_1$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (H_3^0 + U_3^0) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (e_3 \wedge H^0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

So  $H^0+U^0_3$   $e_3$  does not depend on z. By applying lemma 2.10 we get

$$c_k(H^0 \wedge e_3) = \pm c_k \left(H_3^0 + U_3^0\right) rac{i}{|k|} egin{pmatrix} -k_2 \ k_1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} ext{ for } k 
eq 0 ext{ and } c_0(H^0 \wedge e_3) = 0,$$

So 
$$H^0 \wedge e_3 = 0$$
 and  $H_3^0 + U_3^0 = 0$ , 
$$H^0 = -U_3^0 e_3 \tag{3.5}$$

**Remark 3.1.** Although H fulfills a global equation in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$ , it becomes a local operator at the 0-order.

**Terms in**  $\varepsilon^0$ : in  $\omega \times I_1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} - U^0_{zz} = |U^0_z|^2 U^0 + U^0 \wedge U^0_{zz} + U^0 \wedge H^0 - U^0 \wedge (U^0 \wedge H^0), \qquad (3.6)$$

As we assume that  $U_0^0$  does not depend on z, we can prove formally that  $U^0$  does not depend on z and is solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} = U^0 \wedge H^0 - U^0 \wedge (U^0 \wedge H^0) & \text{on } \omega, \\ U^0(t=0) = U_0^0 & \text{on } \omega, \end{cases}$$

as a solution of the previous equation.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( H_3^1 + U_3^1 \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( H^0 + U^0 \right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e_3 \wedge H^1 \right) + \operatorname{curl}_x H^0 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

**Terms in**  $\varepsilon$ : in  $\omega \times I_1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial U^{1}}{\partial t} - U_{zz}^{1} = |U_{z}^{0}|^{2} U^{1} + 2(U_{z}^{0} \cdot U_{z}^{1}) U^{0} + U^{0} \wedge U_{zz}^{1} + U^{1} \wedge U_{zz}^{0} 
+ U^{1} \wedge H^{0} + U^{0} \wedge H^{1} - U^{0} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{1}) 
- U^{0} \wedge (U^{1} \wedge H^{0}) - U^{1} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{0}).$$
(3.8)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( H_3^2 + U_3^2 \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( H^1 + U^1 \right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e_3 \wedge H^2 \right) + \operatorname{curl}_x H^1 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Terms in  $\varepsilon^2$ : in  $\omega \times I_1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial U^{2}}{\partial t} - U_{zz}^{2} = |U_{z}^{0}|^{2}U^{2} + 2(U_{z}^{0} \cdot U_{z}^{2})U^{0} + U^{0} \wedge U_{zz}^{2} + U^{2} \wedge U_{zz}^{0} 
+ U^{2} \wedge H^{0} + U^{0} \wedge H^{2} - U^{0} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{2}) 
- U^{0} \wedge (U^{2} \wedge H^{0}) - U^{2} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{0}) 
+ \Delta_{x}U^{0} + |U_{z}^{1}|^{2}U^{0} + 2(U_{z}^{0} \cdot U_{z}^{1})U^{1} + |\nabla_{x}U^{0}|^{2}U^{0} 
+ U^{0} \wedge \Delta_{x}U^{0} + U^{1} \wedge U_{zz}^{1} + U^{1} \wedge H^{1} - U^{0} \wedge (U^{1} \wedge H^{1}) 
- U^{1} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{1}) - U^{1} \wedge (U^{1} \wedge H^{0}),$$
(3.10)

#### 3.2 Existence of the asymptotic expansion

#### 3.2.1 Existence of $U^0$

**Theorem 3.1.** Given  $U_0^0$  such that  $|U_0^0| \equiv 1$  and  $U_0^0 \in \mathbb{W}_p^{6,\infty}(\omega)$ , there exists an unique solution to (3.11):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} = U^0 \wedge H^0 - U^0 \wedge (U^0 \wedge H^0) & in \ \omega, \\ U^0(0) = U^0_0 & in \ \omega \\ H^0 = -(U^0 \cdot e_3)e_3 & in \ \omega, \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

such that  $|U^0| = 1$  and  $U^0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{W}_p^{6,\infty}\left(\omega\right)\right)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** If we choose an initial data  $U_0^0$  which depends on z and if we want to get the existence of the solution on  $\mathbb{R}_t^+$  we need the z-dependent part of  $U_0^0$  i.e.

$$\widetilde{U_0^0}=U_0^0-\overline{U_0^0}=U_0^0-\int_{-1}^1 U_0^0(x,z)\ dz$$
 to be smaller than  $\overline{U_0^0}$ . In order to perform the estimates

on the remainder term of u we will need that  $\widetilde{U_0^0} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  which gives us a term of the same order as  $U^1$  and which fulfills the same equation. We take then a z-independent initial data for  $U_0^0$ .

**Proof:** As  $\mathbb{W}_{p}^{6,\infty}(\omega;\mathbb{R}^{3})$  is an algebra we have the existence and uniqueness of  $U^{0}$ , solution of the previous ordinary differential equation, in  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{W}_{p}^{6,\infty}(\omega)\right)$ . As |U(t)|=1 for all t we get the result.  $\square$ 

## 3.2.2 Existence of $U^1$

As  $U^0$  and  $H^0$  are known, we are now able to determine  $H^1$ . We also remark that

$$\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\omega) \subset \mathbb{L}^{2}(\omega)$$
.

We have the

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $U_0^0$  satisfies the assumptions of theorem 3.1, (i.e.  $U_0^0 \in \mathbb{W}^6$  ( $\omega$ ),  $|U_0^0| \equiv 1$ ) and  $U^1 \in \mathbb{H}_p^l(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{l'}(I_1)$  there exists then  $H^1 \in \mathbb{H}_p^k(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{l'}(I_1)$  with  $k = \min(5, l)$ , solution of (3.7), and:

$$H^1 = -U_3^1 e_3 + q$$

where  $q \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(I_{1})\right)$ .

**Proof:** The equations (3.7) give:

$$\begin{cases} (H_3^1 + U_3^1) + (z+1) (\operatorname{div}_x U^0) (z') = a(x), \\ e_3 \wedge H^1 + (z+1) (\operatorname{curl}_x H^0) (z') = b(x), \end{cases}$$

where a and b are two periodic functions that we determine thanks to lemma 2.10. For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$c_k(a) = 2i\pi \left[ k \cdot c_k(U^0) - i|k|c_k(H^0) \cdot e_3 \right],$$

$$c_k(b) = 2i\pi \left[ k \wedge c_k(H^0) - irac{k}{|k|} \cdot c_k(U^0) egin{pmatrix} -k1 \ k2 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} 
ight],$$

$$c_0(a) = 0, \quad c_0(b) = 0. \quad \Box$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Under the assumptions of theorem 3.1 and with the additional assumptions that  $U_0^1 \in \mathbb{H}^5(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^5(I_1)$  and  $U_{0,z}^1 = 0$  on  $\omega \times \{\pm 1\}$ , there exists an unique solution to

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial U^{1}}{\partial t} - U_{zz}^{1} &= U^{0} \wedge U_{zz}^{1} + U^{1} \wedge H^{0} + U^{0} \wedge H^{1} - U^{0} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{1}) \\
- U^{0} \wedge (U^{1} \wedge H^{0}) - U^{1} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{0}) & in \ \omega \times I_{1},
\end{cases}$$

$$U_{z}^{1} = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm 1\},$$

$$U^{1}(0,.) = U_{0}^{1}(.) \text{ in } \omega \times I_{1}.$$
(3.12)

such that for all T > 0,

$$U^{1} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k} \left( I_{1} \right) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5} \left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k} \left( I_{1} \right) \right) \right].$$

**Proof:** According to lemma 3.2 let  $H^1 = p(U^1) + q(z, x)$ , where  $p(V) = -V_3$   $e_3$  and  $q \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{H}_p^5(\omega))$ . We have then:

$$\frac{\partial U^{1}}{\partial t} - U^{1}_{zz} = U^{0} \wedge U^{1}_{zz} + U^{1} \wedge H^{0} + U^{0} \wedge p(U^{1}) - U^{0} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge p(U^{1})) 
- U^{0} \wedge (U^{1} \wedge H^{0}) - U^{1} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{0}) + f(t, x, z) \text{ in } \omega \times I_{1},$$
(3.13)

where  $f(t,x,z) = U^0 \wedge q(t,z,x) - U^0 \wedge \left(U^0 \wedge q(t,x,z)\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{H}_p^5(\omega) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(-1,1)\right)$ . The variable  $x \in \omega$  is only a parameter here. We use Galerkin's method on  $I_1$ : let  $(w_i)_i$  be a basis of eigenfunctions of the operator  $A = I - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$  with domain  $D(A) = \mathbb{H}^2(I_1)$  and Neumann conditions on the boundary. Let  $W_N = Vect(w_1, \dots w_n)$ . We have then the existence of solutions  $v^N$  in  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_p^5(\omega)\otimes W_N\right)$  for all T>0. Let us make a priori estimates on the approximated solution:

 $\mathbb{L}^2$  estimate: we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega\times I_1)}^2 + \|v_z^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega\times I_1)}^2 \le C\|v^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega\times I_1)}^2 + \|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega\times I_1)}\|v^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega\times I_1)},$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega \times I_1)}^2 + \|v_z^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega \times I_1)}^2 \le C \left(1 + \|v^N\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\omega \times I_1)}^2\right).$$

By applying Gronwall's lemma we get

$$v^N \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty} \left( 0, T; \mathbb{L}_p^2(\omega \times I_1) \right), \quad v_z^N \in \mathbb{L}^2 \left( 0, T; \mathbb{L}_p^2(\omega \times I_1) \right).$$

 $\mathbb{H}^1$  estimate: we take the product by  $v_{zz}^N$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_z^N\|_2^2 + \|v_{zz}^N\|_2^2 \le C \|v^N\|_2 \|v_{zz}^N\|_2 + \|v_{zz}^N\|_2 \|f\|_2.$$

By the same way we get

$$v_z^N \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(0,T; \mathbb{L}_p^2\left(\omega \times I_1\right)\right), \quad \ v_{zz}^N \in \mathbb{L}^2\left(0,T; \mathbb{L}_p^2\left(\omega \times I_1\right)\right).$$

and finally 
$$v^N \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty} \left(0, T; \mathbb{L}_p^2 \left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^1 \left(I_1\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{L}^2 \left(0, T; \mathbb{L}_p^2 \left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^2 \left(I_1\right)\right)$$
.

The variable x was as far as here only a parameter. We will now get some regularity for  $x \in \omega$ . We can derivate in x the equation (3.13) and perform the same a priori estimates for z since the equation is linear in the term with the higher derivative in x. We get:

$$v^{N} \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}\left(0, T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(0, T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right).$$

We will now derivate in time the equation (3.13). We get in  $\omega \times I_1$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2 v^N}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial v_{zz}^N}{\partial t} = U^0 \wedge \frac{\partial v_{zz}^N}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t} \wedge H^0 + U^0 \wedge p \left( \frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t} \right) - U^0 \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge p \left( \frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t} \right) \right)$$

$$- U^0 \wedge \left( \frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t} \wedge H^0 \right) - \frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t} \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge H^0 \right) + A(v^N) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x, z),$$

where

$$\begin{split} A(v^N) &= \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge v^N_{zz} + v^N \wedge \frac{\partial H^0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge p(v^N) - \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge p(v^N) \right) \\ &- U^0 \wedge \left( \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge p(v^N) \right) - \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge \left( v^N \wedge H^0 \right) - U^0 \wedge \left( v^N \wedge \frac{\partial H^0}{\partial t} \right) \\ &- v^N \wedge \left( \frac{\partial U^0}{\partial t} \wedge H^0 \right) - v^N \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge \frac{\partial H^0}{\partial t} \right). \end{split}$$

By using the previous estimates on  $v^N$  and the same method we can deduce estimates on  $\frac{\partial v^N}{\partial t}$ :

$$v^{N} \in \mathbb{W}^{1,\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)\right)\cap\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right).$$

By the same way we get for  $0 \le k \le 2$  (we are limited by the regularity of  $U_0^1$ ):

$$v^{N} \in \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)\right)\cap\mathbb{H}^{k}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right),$$

By using the weak-\* compactness of  $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}$  and the weak compactness of  $\mathbb{L}^2$  we can take the limit in N. We have for  $0 \le k \le 2$ :

$$U^{1} \in \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)\right)\cap\mathbb{H}^{k}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right).$$

If we rewrite now the equation (3.13) we get

$$\begin{array}{lcl} U^1_{zz} + U^0 \wedge U^1_{zz} & = & \frac{\partial U^1}{\partial t} - U^1 \wedge H^0 - U^0 \wedge p(U^1) + U^0 \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge p(U^1) \right) \\ & + U^0 \wedge \left( U^1 \wedge H^0 \right) + U^1 \wedge \left( U^0 \wedge H^0 \right) - f(t,x,z) \text{ in } \omega \times I_1. \end{array}$$

As  $(I + U^0 \wedge)$  is invertible and its inverse is  $I - \frac{1}{2}(U^0 \wedge .) + \frac{1}{2}U^0 \wedge (U^0 \wedge .)$ , we get for k = 0, 1

$$U_{zz}^{1} \in \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(I_{1}\right)\right)\cap\mathbb{H}^{k}\left(0,T;\mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right).$$

We finally get for all T > 0 that

$$U^{1} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k}\left(I_{1}\right) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k}\left(0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{5}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k}\left(I_{1}\right) \right) \right].$$

#### 3.2.3 Existence of $U^2$

**Theorem 3.4.** Under the assumptions of theorems 3.1 and 3.3 and with the extra assumptions  $U_0^2 \in \mathbb{H}^1(\omega) \otimes \mathbb{H}^5(I_1)$  and  $U_{0,z}^2 = 0$  on  $\omega \times \{\pm 1\}$ , there exists an unique solution to

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial U^{2}}{\partial t} - U_{zz}^{2} &= U^{0} \wedge U_{zz}^{2} + U^{2} \wedge H^{0} + U^{0} \wedge H^{2} - U^{0} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{2}) \\
- U^{0} \wedge (U^{2} \wedge H^{0}) - U^{2} \wedge (U^{0} \wedge H^{0}) + F \text{ in } \omega \times I_{1},
\end{cases}$$

$$U_{z}^{2} = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm 1\},$$

$$U^{2}(0, .) = U_{0}^{2}(.) \text{ in } \omega \times I_{1},$$
(3.14)

where

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( H_3^2 + U_3^2 \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( H^1 + U^1 \right) = 0, \\ \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e_3 \wedge H^2 \right) + \operatorname{curl}_x H^1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$F = \Delta_x U^0 + |U_z^1|^2 U^0 + |\nabla_x U^0|^2 U^0 + U^0 \wedge \Delta_x U^0 + U^1 \wedge U_{zz}^1 + U^1 \wedge H^1 - U^0 \wedge (U^1 \wedge H^1) - U^1 \wedge (U^0 \wedge H^1) - U^1 \wedge (U^1 \wedge H^0),$$

such that for all T > 0,

$$U^{2} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4}\left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k}\left( I_{1} \right) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4}\left( \omega \right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k}\left( I_{1} \right) \right) \right].$$

**Proof:** As we did in lemma 3.2 we get that

$$H^2 = -U_3^2 e_3 + q',$$

where  $q' \in \mathbb{H}^2 \left(0, T; \mathbb{H}_p^4 \left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^2(I_1)\right)$ .

According to theorems 3.1, 3.3 and lemma 3.2 we get that

$$F \in \mathbb{H}^{2}\left(0, T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right).$$

The proof of theorem 3.3 gives that for all T > 0,

$$U^{2} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{2} \left[ \mathbb{W}^{k,\infty} \left( 0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{5-2k}\left(I_{1}\right) \right) \cap \mathbb{H}^{k}\left(0,T; \mathbb{H}_{p}^{4}\left(\omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{6-2k}\left(I_{1}\right) \right) \right].$$

## 4 Equations satisfied by the remainder term

• In  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(t,x,z) = U^0(t,x) + \varepsilon U^1\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2 U^2\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2 r^\varepsilon(t,x,z), \\ \\ H(t,x,z) = H^0(t,x) + \varepsilon H^1\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2 H^2\left(t,x,\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2 Q^\varepsilon(t,x,z), \end{array} \right.$$

• In  $(\omega \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$H(t,x,z) = H^0(t,x) + \varepsilon H^1(t,x,z) + \varepsilon^2 H^2(t,x,z) + \varepsilon^2 Q^{\varepsilon}(t,x,z),$$

where  $r^{\varepsilon}$  and  $Q^{\varepsilon}$  are the remainder terms of u and H.

#### 4.1 Remainder term of H

Back in the equations (1.4)-(1.5) we simplify by using the equations satisfied by the profiles and we get:

**Lemma 4.1.** Under the assumptions of theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 and lemma 3.2, if  $r^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}^p$  then there exists an unique  $Q^{\varepsilon}$ , remainder term of H which fulfills

$$\begin{cases}
Q^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{L}^{2} (\omega \times \mathbb{R}), \\
\operatorname{curl} Q^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{curl}_{x} H^{2} = 0 \text{ in } w \times \mathbb{R}, \\
\operatorname{div} (Q^{\varepsilon} + \overline{r^{\varepsilon}}) + \operatorname{div}_{x} (H^{2} + \overline{U^{2}}) = 0 \text{ in } \omega \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}$$
(4.1)

$$\begin{cases}
[Q^{\varepsilon} \wedge e_{3}] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}, \\
[(Q^{\varepsilon} + \overline{r^{\varepsilon}}) \cdot e_{3}] = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\},
\end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Moreover  $Q^{\varepsilon}$  can be written under the form  $R^{\varepsilon} + S^{\varepsilon}$  where  $R^{\varepsilon}$  is linear in  $r^{\varepsilon}$  and  $S^{\varepsilon}$  does not depend on  $r^{\varepsilon}$  and there exists some  $\varepsilon$ -independent constant  $c_0$  such that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$||R^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{H}_p^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le c_0 ||r^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{H}_p^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})},$$

$$\|S^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{4}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{i}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \leq c_{0}\left(\|H^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{4}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{i-1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} + \|U^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{4}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{i-1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}\right).$$

**Proof:** outside  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  we can solve (4.2) by using lemma 2.10. In  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  we take the Fourier coefficients of the equations (4.2) and we get:

$$\frac{d}{dz}c_k(V) + Ac_k(V) = -\left(B_1c_k(r^{\varepsilon}) + Ac_k(H^1) + B_2c_k(U^1)\right),\tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{split} V &= Q^{\varepsilon} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ r_3^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \ A &= 2i\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -k_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_2 \\ k_1 & k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ B_1 &= 2i\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & k_1 \\ 0 & 0 & k_2 \\ k_1 & k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{and} \ B_2 &= 2i\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_1 & k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

According to theorem 3.3 the second member of (4.3) has the regularity of  $r^{\varepsilon}$  in z. Let us assume that  $r^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ . We consider now the basis of eigenvectors of A which was determined in 2.2. The index i will here denote the component of  $e'_{i}$ . We get:

$$\frac{d}{dz}c_k \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix} + 2\pi|k| \begin{pmatrix} c_k(V_1) \\ -c_k(V_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2\pi|k| \begin{pmatrix} c_k(r_2^{\varepsilon}) - c_k(H_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}(c_k(U_2^2) - c_k(U_1^2)) \\ -c_k(r_1^{\varepsilon}) + c_k(H_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}(c_k(U_2^2) - c_k(U_1^2)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. (4.4)$$

Thanks to lemma 2.10 we know that  $c_k(V)$  is colinear to  $e_2'$  in  $-\varepsilon$  and to  $e_1'$  in  $\varepsilon$ . So  $c_0(V) = 0$ . Let  $2\pi|k|L = (2\pi|k|L_1, 2\pi|k|L_2, 0)$  be the second member of (4.4). For all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$c_k(V) = egin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi|k|z}a_1 \ e^{2\pi|k|z}a_2 \ a_3 \end{pmatrix} + 2\pi|k| \int_{-arepsilon}^z egin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')}L_1(z') \ e^{2\pi|k|(z-z')}L_2(z') \ 0 \end{pmatrix} dz',$$

then  $a_1=a_3=0,\ a_2=-2\pi|k|\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}e^{-2\pi|k|z'}L_2(z')\ dz'$  (since  $c_k(V)$  is colinear to  $e_1'$  in  $\varepsilon$ ) and

$$c_k(V) = -2\pi |k| \left( egin{array}{c} \int_{-arepsilon}^z e^{-2\pi |k|(z-z')} (c_k(r_2^arepsilon) - c_k(H_1^2) + rac{1}{2} (c_k(U_2^2) - c_k(U_1^2))) \ dz' \ - \int_z^arepsilon^arepsilon e^{2\pi |k|(z-z')} (-c_k(r_1^arepsilon) + c_k(H_2^2) + rac{1}{2} (c_k(U_2^2) - c_k(U_1^2))) \ dz' \ 0 \end{array} 
ight)$$

We are now interested in the regularity in z of the Fourier's coefficient:

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |c_{k}(V_{1})(z)|^{2} dz = 4\pi^{2}|k|^{2} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{-\varepsilon}^{z} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')} L_{1}(z') dz' \right)^{2} dz \\
\leq 4\pi^{2}|k|^{2} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{-\varepsilon}^{z} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')} dz' \right) \left( \int_{-\varepsilon}^{z} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')} |L_{1}(z')|^{2} dz' \right) dz \\
\leq 4\pi^{2}|k|^{2} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\pi|k|} \left( \int_{-\varepsilon}^{z} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')} |L_{1}(z')|^{2} dz' \right) dz \\
\leq 4\pi|k| \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |L_{1}(z')|^{2} \left( \int_{z'}^{\varepsilon} e^{-2\pi|k|(z-z')} dz \right) dz' \\
\leq 4 \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} |L_{1}(z')|^{2} dz'.$$

The same result stands for  $c_k(V_2)$ .

We derivate  $c_k(V)$  in z and we use the estimates made on  $c_k(V)$  to get the regularity.  $\square$ 

#### 4.2 Remainder term of u

According to lemma 4.1 we let

$$a^{arepsilon}(t,x,z) = U^{0}(t,x) + \varepsilon U^{1}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) + \varepsilon^{2}U^{2}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) ext{ in } \Omega_{arepsilon},$$
  $b^{arepsilon}(t,x,z) = U^{1}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) + \varepsilon U^{2}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) ext{ in } \Omega_{arepsilon},$   $Q^{arepsilon} = R^{arepsilon} + S^{arepsilon} ext{ where } R^{arepsilon} ext{ is linear in } r^{arepsilon},$   $K^{arepsilon}(t,x,z) = H^{0}(t,x) + \varepsilon H^{1}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) + \varepsilon^{2}H^{2}\left(t,x,rac{z}{arepsilon}
ight) + \varepsilon^{2}S^{arepsilon} ext{ in } \Omega_{arepsilon}.$ 

Back in the equation (3.3) we simplify by using the equations of the profiles. We get:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial r^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \varepsilon^{2} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} = T_{1} + \dots + T_{8} + F^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\
\frac{\partial r^{\varepsilon}}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \\
r^{\varepsilon}(0, x, z) = r_{0}^{\varepsilon}(.) \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon},
\end{cases}$$
(4.5)

where

here 
$$\begin{cases} T_1 = \varepsilon^6 |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 r^\varepsilon, \\ T_2 = \varepsilon^4 \left( |\nabla r^\varepsilon|^2 a^\varepsilon + 2(\nabla r^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla a^\varepsilon) r^\varepsilon \right), \\ T_3 = \varepsilon^2 \left( |\nabla a^\varepsilon|^2 r^\varepsilon + 2(\nabla r^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla a^\varepsilon) a^\varepsilon \right), \\ T_4 = \varepsilon^2 \left( r^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta a^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta r^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 r^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta r^\varepsilon \right), \\ T_5 = a^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon + r^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 r^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon, \\ T_6 = -\left[ a^\varepsilon \wedge (a^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) + a^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon) + r^\varepsilon \wedge (a^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon) \right], \\ T_7 = -\varepsilon^2 \left[ r^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon) + r^\varepsilon \wedge (a^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) + a^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) \right], \\ T_8 = -\varepsilon^4 r^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon) + r^\varepsilon \wedge (a^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) + a^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) \right], \\ T_8 = -\varepsilon^4 r^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon) + r^\varepsilon \wedge (a^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) + a^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon) \right], \\ T_8 = -\varepsilon^4 r^\varepsilon \wedge (r^\varepsilon \wedge R^\varepsilon), \\ F^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \left[ \Delta_x b^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 |\nabla b^\varepsilon|^2 b^\varepsilon - \varepsilon |U_z^\varepsilon|^2 a^\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon (U_z^2 \cdot a_z^\varepsilon) U^2 + \varepsilon^2 |U_z^\varepsilon|^2 b^\varepsilon + |\nabla_x a^\varepsilon|^2 b^\varepsilon - \varepsilon |\nabla_x b^\varepsilon|^2 a^\varepsilon \right. \\ \left. + (U_z^2 \cdot a_z^\varepsilon) b^\varepsilon + 2(\nabla_x a^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x b^\varepsilon) a^\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon (\nabla_x a^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x b^\varepsilon) b^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon^2 (b_z^\varepsilon \cdot U_z^\varepsilon) U^2 - 4\varepsilon^2 (b_z^\varepsilon \cdot U_z^\varepsilon) b^\varepsilon \right. \\ \left. + 2(U_z^2 \cdot b_z^\varepsilon) a^\varepsilon + 2(a_z^\varepsilon \cdot b_z^\varepsilon) U^2 - 2\varepsilon |b_z^\varepsilon|^2 U^2 - \varepsilon b^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta_x b^\varepsilon - \varepsilon U^2 \wedge U_{zz}^\varepsilon + a^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta_x b^\varepsilon \right. \\ \left. + b^\varepsilon \wedge U_{zz}^2 + U^2 \wedge b_{zz}^\varepsilon + b^\varepsilon \wedge \Delta_x a^\varepsilon + U^2 \wedge H^1 + b^\varepsilon \wedge H^2 + a^\varepsilon \wedge S^\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 U^2 \wedge \left( U^2 \wedge H^1 \right) \right. \\ \left. - \varepsilon^3 U^2 \wedge \left( U^2 \wedge H^2 \right) - a^\varepsilon \wedge \left( U^2 \wedge H^1 \right) - U^2 \wedge \left( a^\varepsilon \wedge H^1 \right) + \varepsilon U^2 \wedge \left( U^2 \wedge K^\varepsilon \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2\varepsilon U^2 \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge H^1 \right) + \varepsilon^3 b^\varepsilon \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge H^2 \right) - a^\varepsilon \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge H^2 \right) - U^2 \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge K^\varepsilon \right) \right. \\ \left. - b^\varepsilon \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge H^1 \right) + \varepsilon b^\varepsilon \wedge \left( b^\varepsilon \wedge H^2 \right) - a^\varepsilon \wedge \left( a^\varepsilon \wedge S^\varepsilon \right) \right].$$

According to the regularity results we get on the profiles we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.2.** Let us assume that  $U^0 \in \mathbb{W}^{6,\infty}(\omega)$ .

For all  $p, 1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  and for all T > 0 there exists some  $\varepsilon$ -independent constants  $C_p$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \| a^{\varepsilon}(t,.) \|_{\mathbb{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C_p,$$

$$\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \| D^2 a^{\varepsilon}(t,.) \|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C_p.$$

For all  $p,\ 2 \leq p < +\infty$  and for all T>0 there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -independent constant  $C_p$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{2-\frac{1}{p}} \|\nabla \Delta a^{\varepsilon}(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C_p.$$

For all  $p, 1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  and for all T > 0 there exists some  $\varepsilon$ -independent constants  $C_p$  such

that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$||K^{\varepsilon}(t,.)||_{\mathbb{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C_p,$$
$$||F^{\varepsilon}(t,.)||_{\mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \le C_2.$$

**Proof:** as we assume that  $u_0 \in \mathbb{W}^{6,\infty}(\omega)$  the regularity results on the profiles (Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and the classical Sobolev's embeddings give that

$$\|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{W}^{1,\infty}(\omega\times I_{1})} \leq C, \text{ so}$$

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|a^{\varepsilon}(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq C_{p}, \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq +\infty,$$

$$\|D^{2}a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \|D^{2}a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{p}(\omega\times I_{1})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}-1}C, \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq +\infty,$$

$$\|D^{3}a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \|D^{3}a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{p}(\omega\times I_{1})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}-2}C, \quad \forall 2 \leq p < +\infty.$$

The estimates on  $K^{\varepsilon}$  are easily deduced from the previous estimates except for  $\varepsilon^2 S^{\varepsilon}$  where we use the lemma 4.1 and 2.8:

$$\begin{split} \|S^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} & \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|S^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \|U^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{L}^{2}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} + \|H^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{L}^{2}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \right] \\ & \leq C \left[ \|U^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{L}^{2}(-1,1)} + \|H^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{L}^{2}(-1,1)} \right] \\ & \leq C \ , \end{split}$$

$$\|\nabla S^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} & \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla S^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \|U^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{3}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} + \|H^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{3}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} \right] \\ & \leq C\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \|U^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{3}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-1,1)} + \|H^{2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{3}_{p}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{H}^{1}(-1,1)} \right] \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} . \end{split}$$

The estimates on  $F^{\varepsilon}$  are easily deduced from the previous results.  $\square$ 

## 5 Proof of theorem 1.2 – Estimate on the remainder term

We will now give an estimate on the remainder term  $r^{\varepsilon}$ . We will so get another proof of the existence of u for an initial data with regularity  $\mathbb{W}^{6,\infty}(\omega)$  instead of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . This loss of regularity is typical of the asymptotic expansion methods (see [9], [3], [7]). These estimates are made on an approximation of Galerkin which will allow us to justify all integrations by parts. The approximation space we consider is built on the basis of eigenfunctions of the Laplace's operator with domain  $\left\{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \omega \times \{\pm \varepsilon\}\right\}$  for Landau-Lifschitz' equation. For the magnetic field we only introduce  $R_n$  the projection of  $R(r_n)$  on the approximation space, but we will not detail this step. In the following we let

$$\mathbf{Q}(t) = \left( \|r_n^{\varepsilon}(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla r_n^{\varepsilon}(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon \Delta r_n^{\varepsilon}(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right).$$

As we consider the Galerkin's approximation of  $r^{\varepsilon}$  we get the existence of  $\mathbf{Q}$  on a maximum interval  $\left[0,T_{n,\varepsilon}^*\right[$  where  $0< T_{n,\varepsilon}^* \leq +\infty$ . We want to prove here the existence of  $\varepsilon_T$  small enough

such that we have the existence of **Q** on [0,T] independently of n if  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_T$ . We also show the estimates of the main result. We will proceed as it follows:

We let T > 0 and thanks to the energy estimates we get by integrations by parts, we show that  $\mathbf{Q}$  fulfills on  $[0,T] \cap [0,T_{n,\varepsilon}^*[$  a differential inequality:

$$\mathbf{Q}'(t) \le C_T(1 + \mathbf{Q}(t)) + \varepsilon P_T(\mathbf{Q}(t)), \quad t \in [0, T] \cap [0, T_{n,\varepsilon}^*], \tag{5.1}$$

where  $C_T$  is a constant and  $P_T$  a polynomial, both independent from n and  $\varepsilon$  (but not from T). This inequality implies that  $T_{n,\varepsilon}^* \geq T$  as soon as  $\varepsilon \in ]0, \varepsilon_T]$  where  $\varepsilon_T$  is a constant small enough.  $\mathbf{Q}(t)$  fulfills then (5.1) on the interval [0,T] and we get the main theorem.

**Remark 5.1.** The remainder term  $r^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies the same equation as the remainder that Carbou, Fabrie and Guès get in [7]. Although the thin layer induces some changes in the proof we will follow the same scheme.

In the following estimates we will use some of the inequalities reminded in the lemma 2.1, 2.4 and 2.6.

 $\mathbb{L}^2$ -estimate: we multiply (4.5) by  $r^{\varepsilon}$  and we integrate on  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . The integrations by parts are licit since we are considering Galerkin's approximation. We get:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|r^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}\leq\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(T_{1}+\cdots+T_{8}+F^{\varepsilon}\right)\cdot v^{\varepsilon}.$$

We estimate each  $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_i r^{\varepsilon}$  thanks to Hölder's inequalities then by anisotropic Sobolev's injections:

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{1} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{6} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}}^{2} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{7/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{5/2} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{3/2} \leq C \varepsilon^{7/2} \mathbf{Q}^{2} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{4} \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}}^{2} + 2 \varepsilon^{4} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}}^{2} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{5/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{1/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/2} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \\ & + C \varepsilon^{3} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/2} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{1/2} \leq C (\varepsilon^{5/2} + \varepsilon^{3}) \mathbf{Q}^{3/2} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{3} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} + 2 \varepsilon^{2} \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \mathbf{Q} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{4} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \mathbf{Q} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{5} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{6} r^{\varepsilon} \right| \leq \|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}}^{2} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|R^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + \|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \|K^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq C \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|R^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \leq C \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{7} r^{\varepsilon} \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}}^{2} \|R^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{3/2} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{1/2} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/2} \|R^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{3/2} \mathbf{Q}^{3/2}$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{8} r^{\varepsilon} \right| = 0$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} F^{\varepsilon} r^{\varepsilon} \right| \leq \|F^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}$$

$$\leq C(1 + \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2})$$

By using the proposition 4.2 we get that there exists a constant C such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \le C \left(1 + \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2}\right) + \varepsilon^{3/2} P(\mathbf{Q}), \tag{5.2}$$

where P is a function which increases polynomially.

 $\mathbb{H}^1$ -estimate: By multiplying Galerkin's approximation of the equation (4.5) by  $\Delta r^{\varepsilon}$  and by integrating by parts we get:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\left\|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left\|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2}\leq\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(T_{1}+\cdots+T_{8}+F^{\varepsilon}\right)\cdot\Delta r^{\varepsilon}$$

and we estimate each term of the second member:

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{1} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{6} \, \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \, \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \, \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}}^{2} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}} \, \|\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{3} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \, \mathbf{Q}^{2} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{2} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{4} \, \|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \, \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}}^{2} \, \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + 2\varepsilon^{4} \, \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \, \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \, \|\nabla a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \, \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{1/2} \, \|\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{5/2} + C\varepsilon^{2} \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/2} \|\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{3/2} \\ & \leq C \, \left(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2}\right) \, \mathbf{Q}^{3/2} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{3} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{2} \, \|r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \, \|\nabla a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \, \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + 2\varepsilon^{2} \, \|a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \, \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \, \|\nabla a^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \, \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \, \varepsilon \, \mathbf{Q} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{\mathbf{i}} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{8}} \| \nabla \Delta a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{2} \\ & \leq C \mathbf{Q} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} T_{5} \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla K^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{0}} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \\ & \leq C \| \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \Big| \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \| \nabla A^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \| \nabla A^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{$$

$$|J_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}| = \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \mathbf{1} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \|_{\mathbb{$$

By summing the previous inequalities we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \le C(1 + \mathbf{Q}) + \varepsilon P(\mathbf{Q}), \tag{5.3}$$

where P is a polynomial.

 $\mathbb{H}^2$ -estimate: We will now estimate  $\|\varepsilon\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$  by multiplying 4.5 by  $\varepsilon^2\Delta^2 r^{\varepsilon}$ . On each term we use at first Hölder's inequalities then anisotropic Sobolev's injections and finally Young's inequality in order to isolate the term  $\varepsilon^2 \|\varepsilon\nabla\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$ . Finally we get:

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^2 T_1 \Delta^2 r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq & \varepsilon^8 \left( \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{9}}^3 \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{12}} \| \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{12}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \right) \\ & \leq & C \varepsilon^2 \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{3} + C \varepsilon^2 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/4} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}}^{3/2} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{7/4} \\ & \leq & \frac{1}{18} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} + C \varepsilon^4 \| \mathbf{Q}^3 + C \varepsilon^{16} \| \mathbf{Q}^9 \\ \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^2 T_2 \Delta^2 r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq & 2 \varepsilon^6 \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| D^2 r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| D^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| D^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| D^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^6 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + C \varepsilon^{5/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \left( \varepsilon^{3/2} + \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{5/2} \right) \mathbf{Q} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \left( \varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^4 \right) \mathbf{Q}^3 \\ \\ & \Big| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^2 T_3 \Delta^2 r^{\varepsilon} \Big| \leq 2 \varepsilon^4 \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{18} \| \varepsilon \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^4 \| a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| D^2 a^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C (1 + \varepsilon^4 \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C (1 + \varepsilon^{1/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^2 \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_$$

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} T_{4} \Delta^{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| &\leq & \varepsilon^{4} \left\| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \left\| \Delta a^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{4} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \left\| \nabla \Delta a^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{4} \left\| \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{6} \left\| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \left\| \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{6} \left\| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \left\| \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq & C \left\| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \varepsilon \left\| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^{3}}^{3/2} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq & C \left( 1 + \varepsilon \right) \mathbf{Q}^{1/2} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{3/4} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq & \frac{1}{18} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} + C \left( 1 + \varepsilon^{2} \right) \mathbf{Q} + C \varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}^{3} \\ \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} T_{5} \Delta^{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| &\leq & \varepsilon^{2} \left\| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| K^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &+ \varepsilon^{2} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq C \left\| \mathbf{Q}^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \left\| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq C \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq C \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \left\| r^{\varepsilon} \right\|_{\mathbb{L$$

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} T_{7} \Delta^{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| & \leq \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \, \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \, \| K^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}}^{2} \| \nabla K^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}}^{2} \| \nabla K^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}}^{2} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{4}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{4} \, \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{3}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{3/2} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} + C \varepsilon^{3/2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}}^{2} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{18} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} + C (\varepsilon^{2} + \varepsilon^{3}) \mathbf{Q}^{2} \end{split}$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} T_{8} \Delta^{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| \leq 2 \varepsilon^{6} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & + \varepsilon^{6} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}}^{2} \| \nabla R^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{6}} \| \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \| r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{1}} \| \varepsilon r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{H}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{18} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} + C \varepsilon^{4} \mathbf{Q}^{3} \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{2} F^{\varepsilon} \Delta^{2} r^{\varepsilon} \right| \leq \| \nabla F^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \| \varepsilon^{2} \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \\ & \leq C \| \varepsilon \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon} \|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

By adding the previous inequalities we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\varepsilon \Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \right) + \varepsilon^{2} \|\varepsilon \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}^{2} \le C(1 + \mathbf{Q}) + \varepsilon^{2} P(\mathbf{Q}), \tag{5.4}$$

where P is an  $\varepsilon$ -independent polynomial.

By summing (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) we finally have:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{Q} + \varepsilon^2 \left( \|\nabla r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\varepsilon \nabla \Delta r^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \le C(1+\mathbf{Q}) + \varepsilon P(\mathbf{Q}),$$

which implies the inequality (5.1). Classically we have the existence of a time  $T_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T_{\varepsilon} = +\infty$  and  $r^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded independently from  $\varepsilon$  in  $\mathbb{L}^{\infty} \left(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})\right)$  and  $\varepsilon r^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded independently from  $\varepsilon$  in  $\mathbb{L}^{\infty} \left(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})\right)$  for all  $0 < T < T_{\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$ 

**Remark 5.2.** By applying Poincaré's lemma we also get that for all T > 0,

$$||r^{\varepsilon}||_{\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

i.e. we get a real asymptotic expansion at the second order in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  for finite times.

change coefficient  $\varepsilon^2$  in a thin layer of thickness  $\varepsilon$ . That is the case where the thickness of the boundary layer (if there were any, cf [7]) and the domain's thickness are of the same size. If we instead consider a thin layer with a thickness  $\varepsilon^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbf{Q}$ ), we perform now an asymptotic expansion at the scale  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and we see two different behaviour. If  $\alpha > 1$  we get the same type of behaviour than that of the case  $\alpha = 1$ , i.e. the behaviour of a thin layer and the localization of H at the low orders. If  $\alpha < 1$ , the layer's thickness is bigger than the characteristic thickness of the boundary layer. We then obtain a boundary layer in the thin layer but with a smaller thickness ( $\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$ ) and we also get at the low orders the localization of H.

Remark 5.3. We have studied here the behaviour of Landau-Lifschitz equations with an ex-

#### A Proof of theorem 2.7

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\Omega_0 = (0,1)^3$ . Then there exists an absolute constant c such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega_0)$ ,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{12}(\Omega_0)} \le c \prod_{i=1}^3 \left( \sum_{j=0}^1 \left\| \frac{\partial^j u}{\partial x_i^j} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^j u}{\partial x_i^j} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$

**Proof:** We have the classical Sobolev's injection:  $\mathbb{H}^{5/4}(\Omega_0) \subset \mathbb{L}^{12}(\Omega_0)$ . We will prove this theorem in three steps.

Step 1. We replace  $\Omega_0$  by  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and assume that  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We write, using the Sobolev's inclusion  $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{5}{4}}(\Omega_0) \subset \mathbb{L}^{12}(\Omega_0)$ ,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{12}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le c_0 ||u||_{\mathbb{H}^{\frac{5}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = c_0 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|^{\frac{5}{2}}) |\hat{u}|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{A.1}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  is the Fourier transform of u. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0$  and set  $y_i = \lambda_i x_i$  for all i = 1, 2, 3. We define the function  $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  as follows:

$$v_{\lambda}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = u\left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{y_2}{\lambda_2}, \frac{y_3}{\lambda_3}\right).$$

We have immediately

$$\begin{cases} \|v_{\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{L}^{1_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i\right)^{\frac{1}{1_2}} \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{1_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \\ \hat{v}_{\lambda}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i\right) \hat{u}(\lambda_1 \xi_1, \lambda_2 \xi_2, \lambda_3 \xi_3). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\xi' = (\lambda_1 \xi_1, \lambda_2 \xi_2, \lambda_3 \xi_3)$ . We have

$$\|v_{\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\frac{5}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}\right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\hat{u}(\xi')|^{2} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\xi|^{\frac{5}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi')|^{2} d\xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\frac{\xi'_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi')|^{2} d\xi'\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz' lemma gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (\xi_{i}')^{\frac{5}{2}} |\hat{u}(\xi')|^{2} d\xi' \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\xi_{i}|^{2} |\hat{u}|^{2} d\xi_{i} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\xi_{i}|^{4} |\hat{u}|^{2} d\xi_{i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Inequality (A.1) applied to  $v_{\lambda}$  yields

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{12}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i\right)^{\frac{5}{12}} \left[||u||_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\|\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (A.2)$$

and since (A.2) is valid for all choices of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ , we can take

$$\lambda_{i} = C^{\frac{2}{5}} \left[ \frac{\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{\frac{3}{4}} \left\| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}} \right]^{\frac{4}{5}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

Hence,

$$||u||_{\mathbb{L}^{12}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le c_1 \prod_{i=1}^3 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$

Step 2. We will show that there exists an absolute constant  $c_2 > 0$ , such that if  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\overline{\Omega_0})$ , then there exists  $\overline{u} \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\overline{\Omega_1})$ , where  $\Omega_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right)^3$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{j} u}{\partial x_{i}^{j}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{1})} \leq c_{2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{j} \overline{u}}{\partial x_{i}^{j}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega_{0})}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2; \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We use the classical Babitch extension operators (see [1], [13]); we first extend u to the domain  $\left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right) \times (0, 1)^2$  using

$$E_k^1 u(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{for } x_1 \in [0, 1], \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (-j)^l \alpha_j \ u(-jx_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) & \text{for } x_1 \in \left[ -\frac{1}{3}, 0 \right), \\ \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 (-j)^l \alpha_j \ u(1-j(x_1-1), x_2, \dots, x_d) & \text{for } x_1 \in \left( 1, \frac{4}{3} \right], \end{cases}$$

where  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  is the unique solution to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} (-j)^k \alpha_j = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$
(A.3)

We have immediately

$$\frac{\partial^l E_0^1 u}{\partial x_i^l} = E_l^1 \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x_i^l}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2; \ i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and (A.3) implies that  $E_0^1 u \in \mathcal{C}^2 \left( [-1/3, 4/3] \times [0, 1]^2 \right)$  if  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega_0})$ . Moreover

$$\begin{split} & \int_{(-1/3,4/3)\times(0,1)^2} \left| \frac{\partial^k E_0^1 u}{\partial x_i^k} \right|^2 \ dx = \int_{(-1/3,4/3)\times(0,1)^2} \left| E_k^1 \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_i^k} \right|^2 \ dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_i^k} \right|^2 \ dx + \int_{(-1/3,0)\times(0,1)^2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 (-j)^k \alpha_j \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_i^k} (-jx_1,x_2,\ldots,x_d) \right|^2 \ dx \\ & + \int_{(1,4/3)\times(0,1)^2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 (-j)^k \alpha_j \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_i^k} (1-j(x_1-1),x_2,\ldots,x_d) \right|^2 \ dx \le C_1 \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_i^k} \right|^2 \ dx. \end{split}$$

The extension is complete in the direction  $x_1$ . The same argument can be applied successively in the directions  $x_2, x_3$  so that we reach the domain  $\Omega_1$  and the extended function satisfies

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^l \overline{u}}{\partial x_i^l}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_1)}^2 \leq C_1^3 \left\|\frac{\partial^l u}{\partial x_i^l}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_0)}^2 \quad l = 0, 1, 2; \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Step 3. We will show that we can localize without mixing the directions. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be such that supp  $\varphi \subset (-1/3,4/3), \ 0 \le \varphi \le 1$  and  $\varphi = 1$  on [0,1]. Set  $\Phi(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \varphi(x_i)$ . We have immediately that  $\Phi \overline{u} \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^r(\Omega_0)} \le \|\Phi \overline{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le c_3 \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}^r(\Omega_0)}$  for all  $1 \le r \le +\infty$ , where  $\overline{u}$  is defined in step 2 and  $c_3$  is an absolute constant. Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi \overline{u}}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_{i=0}^2 C_l^2 \frac{\partial^i \overline{u}}{\partial x_i^i} \frac{\partial^{2-i} \Phi}{\partial x_i^{2-i}}$$

Let  $K_2 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^2 C_2^i |\varphi^{(i)}| \right)$ , we have

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^2 \Phi \overline{u}}{\partial x_i^2}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq K_l \left[\sum_{i=0}^2 \left\|\frac{\partial^i \overline{u}}{\partial x_i^i}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_1)}\right] \leq K_{1,l} \left[\sum_{i=0}^2 \left\|\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x_i^i}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_0)}\right],$$

which concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark A.1.** This proof can be generalized straightforward to the case of an embedding from  $\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$  into  $\mathbb{L}^q(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega = (0,1)^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $q = \frac{2d}{d-2s}$ .

**Acknowledgments:** The author wishes to warmly thank Gilles Carbou and Pierre Fabrie for their help and their advice.

### References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Pure and Applied Math., Vol 65, Academic press, 1975.
- [2] H. Brezis, Analyse fonctionnelle, Masson, 1993.
- [3] V. Bruneau, G. Carbou, Spectral asymptotic in the large coupling limit, Asymptotic Anal. **29**(2) (2002), 91-113.
- [4] G. Carbou, Thin layers in micromagnetism, M3AS 11(9) (2001), 1529-1546.
- [5] G. Carbou, P. Fabrie, Regular Solutions for Landau-Lifschitz Equation in a Bounded domain, *Differential and Integral Equations* 14 (2001), 213-229.
- [6] G. Carbou, P. Fabrie, Time average in Micromagnetism, Journal of Differential Equations 147 (1998), 383-409.
- [7] G. Carbou, P. Fabrie, O. Guès, Couche limite dans un modèle de ferromagnétisme, Commun. Partial Differ. Equations 27(7-8) (2002), 1467-1495.
- [8] C. Foias, R. Temam, Remarque sur les équations de Navier-Stokes stationnaires et les phénomènes successifs de bifurcation, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci.* IV., Ser. 5 (1978), 29-63.
- [9] E. Grenier, O. Guès, Boundary layers for viscous perturbations of noncharacteristic quasilinear hyperbolic problems, *Journal of Differential Equations* **143** (1998), 110-146.
- [10] H. Haddar, Modèle asymptotique en ferromagnétisme: Couches minces et homogénéisation. Thèse, ENPC, 2000.
- [11] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, The boundary value problems of mathematical physics, Springer-Verlag 49, 1985.
- [12] J.L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Gauthier-Villars, 1969.
- [13] J. Necas, Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Masson, 1967.
- [14] D. Sanchez, Boundary layer on a high-conductivity domain, CPAA 1(4) (2002), 547-564.
- [15] D. Sanchez, Phénomène de couche limite dans un modèle de ferromagnétisme, soumis aux Annales Mathématiques de Toulouse.
- [16] R. Temam, Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Applied Mathematical Sciences 68, Springer Verlag, New-York (1988).
- [17] R. Temam, M. Ziane, Navier-Stokes equations in three-dimensional thin domains with various boundary conditions, Adv. Differential Equations 1(4), 499-546.