



**HAL**  
open science

## Two-Dimensional Halide Perovskites Incorporating Straight Chain Symmetric Diammonium Ions,

$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{PbnI}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4-9$ ;  $n = 1-4$ )

Xiaotong Li, Justin Hoffman, Weijun Ke, Michelle Chen, Hsinhan Tsai, Wanyi Nie, Aditya D Mohite, Mikael Kepenekian, Claudine Katan, Jacky Even, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Xiaotong Li, Justin Hoffman, Weijun Ke, Michelle Chen, Hsinhan Tsai, et al.. Two-Dimensional Halide Perovskites Incorporating Straight Chain Symmetric Diammonium Ions,  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{PbnI}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4-9$ ;  $n = 1-4$ ). Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2018, 140 (38), pp.12226-12238. <10.1021/jacs.8b07712>. <hal-01865697>

**HAL Id: hal-01865697**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01865697v1>**

Submitted on 28 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Two-dimensional Halide Perovskites Incorporating Straight Chain Symmetric Diammonium Ions, $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ( $m = 4 - 9$ ; $n = 1 - 4$ )

Xiaotong Li, † Justin Hoffman, †§ Weijun Ke, † Michelle Chen, † Hsinhan Tsai, § Wanyi Nie, § Aditya D. Mohite, § Mikael Kepenekian, ‡ Claudine Katan, ‡ Jacky Even, ∇ Michael R. Wasielewski, † Constantinos C. Stoumpos, \*† and Mercouri G. Kanatzidis \*†

† Department of Chemistry and Argonne-Northwestern Solar Energy Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, United States

§ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, United States

‡ Univ Rennes, ENSCR, INSA Rennes, CNRS, ISCR – UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France

∇ Univ Rennes, INSA Rennes, CNRS, Institut FOTON – UMR 6082, F-35000 Rennes, France

## Abstract

Low-dimensional halide perovskites have recently attracted intense interest as alternatives to the three-dimensional (3D) perovskites because of their greater tunability and higher environmental stability. Herein, we present the new homologous 2D series  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4 - 9$  /  $n = 1 - 4$ ), where  $m$  represents the carbon-chain number and  $n$  equals layer-thickness number. Multilayer ( $n > 1$ ) 2D perovskites incorporating diammonium cations were successfully synthesized by the solid-state grinding method for  $m = 4$  and 6, and by the solution method for  $m = 7 - 9$ . Structural characterization by single-crystal X-ray diffraction for the  $m = 8$  and  $m = 9$  series ( $n = 1 - 4$ ) reveals that these compounds adopt the  $Cc$  space group for even  $n$  members and  $Pc$  for odd  $n$  members. The optical bandgaps are 2.15 eV for two-layer ( $n = 2$ ), 2.01 eV for three-layer ( $n = 3$ ) and 1.90 eV for four-layer ( $n = 4$ ). The materials exhibit excellent solution processability and casting thin-films of the  $n = 3$  members was successfully accomplished. The films show a clear tendency for the higher- $m$  members to have preferred orientation on the glass substrate, with  $m = 8$  exhibiting almost perfect vertical layer orientation and  $m = 9$  displaying both vertical and parallel layer orientation, as confirmed by grazing-incidence wide angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) measurements.

1  
2  
3 The vertical layer orientation for the  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  member results in the best  
4 thermal, light and air stability within this series, thus showing excellent potential for solar cell  
5 applications.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Keywords: 2D perovskite, diammonium cation, excitons, unconventional semiconductors, solar cells,  
11 light emitting diodes, film stability  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

Hybrid halide perovskites are emerging high-performance semiconductors as demonstrated by their superior performance in photovoltaic and optoelectronic devices.<sup>1-10</sup> Even though the most explored systems are the three-dimensional (3D) perovskite materials, reaching solar cell power conversion efficiencies (PCE) of more than 20%,<sup>6-11</sup> they still suffers from long-term stability challenges. Among them, poor heat and light stability, which are intrinsic drawbacks of its hybrid organic-inorganic composition, pose the more serious obstacles for its technological exploitation.<sup>12-14</sup> Recently, another type of emerging halide perovskite, the two-dimensional (2D) halide perovskites, have been demonstrated as light absorbers for solar cells<sup>15-24</sup> and light emitting diodes (LED)<sup>25-27</sup> because they offer wider structural diversity and superior stability. These systems offer greater resistance to moisture, light-induced degradation and heating stress. This property is believed to arise from hydrophobic interactions, which block moisture diffusion in the material, and also the spatial confinement that inhibits ion diffusion through the inorganic layers. It is therefore of great importance to expand the diversity of 2D perovskites and explore how the different varieties influence these desirable properties in optoelectronic devices.

2D perovskites can be obtained with slicing 3D perovskite across different planes by inserting additional halide ions in the structure, resulting in (100), (110) and (111)-oriented perovskites. The (100)-oriented 2D perovskites are the most common ones, with general formula of  $(A')_2(A)_{n-1}M_nX_{3n+1}$  or  $(A')(A)_{n-1}M_nX_{3n+1}$  where  $A'$  is 1+ or 2+ organic cation acting as a spacer between the perovskite layers, and  $A = Cs^+$ ,  $CH_3NH_3^+$  (MA) or  $HC(NH_2)_2^+$  (FA);  $M = Ge^{2+}$ ,  $Sn^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ;  $X = Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$ ,  $I^-$ . The 2D  $[(A)_{n-1}M_nX_{3n+1}]^{2-}$  network consists of inorganic perovskite layers of corner-sharing  $[MX_6]^{4-}$  octahedra. The large organic spacer cations are bound to the inorganic layers by electrostatic interactions between the ammonium groups and the halide anions. There are two factors that can be controlled in 2D perovskites: one is the spacer which can be aliphatic or aromatic ammonium cation, and the shape and size of which can influence the structure type of the perovskite; the other is the layer-number  $n$ , which defines the layer thickness and largely decides the bandgap of the material. Specifically, as layer-number increases, the bandgap decreases because electronic band broadening reduces quantum confinement, approaching that of three-dimensional (3D)  $AMX_3$  whose layer-number can be seen as infinite.<sup>19</sup> Despite the large amount of recent new 2D perovskite reports, very few have been structurally characterized using single crystal refinements. Well-defined crystal structures have only

1  
2  
3 been reported in specific homologous series, including  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{M}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 2 - 5$ ,  $\text{M} = \text{Pb}$ ,  
4  $\text{Sn}$ ),<sup>19-20, 28</sup>  $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 2, 3$ ),<sup>15, 29</sup>  $(\text{CH}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3)_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ ,<sup>30</sup>  
5  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_3\text{SCH}_2\text{NH}_3)_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ ,<sup>31</sup>  $(\text{HOCC}_3\text{H}_6\text{NH}_3)_2\text{MAPb}_2\text{I}_7$ ,<sup>32</sup>  $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 2 - 3$ ),<sup>33</sup>  
6  $\text{Cs}_2(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)\text{Pb}_2\text{Br}_7$ ,<sup>34</sup>  $(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Pb}_3\text{X}_{10}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ ),<sup>27</sup>  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{Br}_{10}$ ,<sup>35</sup> where the  
7 organic spacers are mainly monoammonium cations. So far, the record in layer thickness reported  
8 with well-refined crystal structure is  $n = 5$ .<sup>20</sup>

9  
10  
11 An alternative to the cations used so far is the employment of diammonium cations to form 2D  
12 perovskites. These are ditopic cations with two positive ends interacting with both inorganic slabs by  
13 which they are sandwiched. Unlike the monoammonium cations which leave a van der Waals gap in  
14 the interlayer space, the ditopic diammonium cations can act as bridges to electrostatically connect the  
15 slabs in the stacking direction. Therefore, they may provide stronger links between the layers and  
16 increase the overall rigidity of the structure, giving rise to enhanced stability. Among the few  
17 examples incorporating diammonium cations into 2D perovskites, most of them contain the thinnest  
18 possible layer ( $n = 1$ ).<sup>36-40</sup> Recently, we reported the structures of  $n = 1 - 4$  for 3-AMP and 4-AMP  
19 cations (AMP=(aminomethyl)piperidinium).<sup>41</sup> Lemmerer and Billing reported  $n = 1$  structures of  
20  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)\text{PbI}_4$  ( $m = 4, 8, 10, 12$ ) and found that diamines with even carbon-chain can form 2D  
21 perovskite structures but those with odd ( $m = 5, 7$ ) carbon-chain form lower-dimensionality  
22 structures.<sup>36</sup> So far there are no reports on the multilayer 2D perovskites incorporating primary  
23 diammonium cations, and it is uncertain whether odd carbon-chain diammonium cations can form 2D  
24 structures with higher layer-number or not. There are a number of experimental challenges in the  
25 synthesis of 2D halide perovskites using the ditopic diammonium cations, compared to the more  
26 commonly used monoammonium cations, stemming mainly for their dissolution behavior.

27  
28 In this work, we report successful synthesis and crystal growth of a broad series of 2D perovskites,  
29  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4 - 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ). In this system, both the  $n$  and  $m$   
30 parameters can be tuned: i) the layer thickness  $n$  can be tuned for  $m = 7 - 9$  by using the concentrated  
31 solution method; ii) the spacing between the inorganic layers is determined by the length of  
32 carbon-chain,  $m$ , and these materials can be accessed by the solid-state grinding methods across the  
33 whole series ( $m = 4 - 9$ ) for  $n = 3$ . The crystal structures for perovskites of even ( $m = 8$ ) and odd ( $m =$   
34  $9$ ) straight carbon-chain diammonium cations were solved and refined as representative examples. We  
35 present the structural, optical and electronic properties of the materials and we demonstrate highly

oriented thin-film fabrication. We also report stability trends of the materials under simulated operating conditions for solar cells. Stable films obtained for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  ( $n = 3$ ,  $m = 8$ ) exhibit a series of desirable properties such as nearly perfect perpendicular crystallite orientation and superior thermal, light and air stability compared to  $\text{MAPbI}_3$  films.

## Experimental section

**Starting materials.**  $\text{PbO}$  (99.9%), hydroiodic acid (57 wt % in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , distilled, stabilized, 99.95%), and hypophosphorous acid solution (50 wt % in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The following diamines, 1,4-diaminobutane (99%), hexamethylenediamine (98%), 1,7-diaminoheptane (98%), 1,8-diaminooctane (98%), 1,9-diaminononane (98%), were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Methylammonium iodide (MAI) was synthesized by neutralizing equimolar amounts of a 57% w/w aqueous hydroiodic acid (HI) and 40% w/w aqueous methylamine ( $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2$ ) ( $\text{pH} \approx 7$ ). The white precipitate was collected by evaporation of the solvent using rotary evaporation at  $90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  under reduced pressure.

**Preparation of diammonium diiodide salts.** Diammonium diiodide salts were synthesized by neutralizing stoichiometric ratios of each diamine (10 mmol) with HI to  $\text{pH} = 7$ . The white precipitate was collected after most of the solvent was rotary evaporated under reduced pressure at  $90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . For example, 10 mmol 1,8-diaminooctane (1442.6 mg) was neutralized with 20 mmol HI (2633  $\mu\text{l}$ ) under stirring to  $\text{pH}=7$ . The solvent was rotary evaporated under reduced pressure until the white precipitate came out. Then the precipitate was collected and further dried in vacuum oven in  $110\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . Yield: 3.87 g, 96.8%.

## Solid-state Synthesis

Polycrystalline powders of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  ( $m = 4, 6, 7, 8, 9$ ) were synthesized by the solid-state grinding method.

Stoichiometric ratios of  $\text{PbI}_2$  (0.3 mmol, 138.3 mg),  $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{I}$  (0.2 mmol, 31.8 mg) and 0.1 mmol of each diammonium diiodide salts (34.4 mg for  $\text{NH}_3\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{NH}_3\text{I}_2$ , 37.2 mg for  $\text{NH}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{NH}_3\text{I}_2$ , 38.6 mg for  $\text{NH}_3\text{C}_7\text{H}_{14}\text{NH}_3\text{I}_2$ , 40 mg for  $\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3\text{I}_2$ , 41.4 mg for  $\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3\text{I}_2$ , respectively) for  $n = 3$  were weighed carefully and ground for 30 min to homogeneous brown mixture. Subsequently, the samples were annealed at  $150\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h in air, resulting in a color change to black. The resulting black powders were analyzed by powder XRD to ensure the completion of the reaction by monitoring the

PbI<sub>2</sub> diffraction peaks. The above process was repeated iteratively until the desired product could be obtained.

### Solution Synthesis

$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 7 - 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ) can also be synthesized from solution method using HI as solvent.

*(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)PbI<sub>4</sub>*: PbO (0.5 mmol, 111.6 mg) powder was dissolved in a mixture of 1.5 ml HI solution and 0.3 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol, 193 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as orange plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 413.5 mg, 97.6% based on total Pb.

*(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)Pb<sub>2</sub>I<sub>7</sub>*: PbO (1 mmol, 223.2 mg) and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (0.5 mmol 33.75 mg) powders were dissolved in a mixture of 1.5 ml HI solution and 0.3 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol, 193 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as cherry red irregular crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 699.7 mg, 95.4% based on total Pb.

*(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb<sub>3</sub>I<sub>10</sub>*: PbO (1.5 mmol, 334.8 mg) and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (1 mmol 67.5 mg) powders were dissolved in a mixture of 2.5 ml HI solution and 0.5 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub>

(0.375 mmol, 144.8 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as dark red irregular crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 779.5 mg, 74.7% based on total Pb.

*(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)PbI<sub>4</sub>*: PbO (0.5 mmol, 111.6 mg) powder was dissolved in a mixture of 2.5 ml HI solution and 0.5 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mmol, 200 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as yellow plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 421.1 mg, 97.8% based on total Pb.

*(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>)Pb<sub>2</sub>I<sub>7</sub>*: PbO (1 mmol, 223.2 mg) and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (0.5 mmol 33.75 mg) powders were dissolved in a mixture of 1.5 ml HI solution and 0.3 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (0.3 mmol, 120 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as red plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 422.1 mg, 57.0% based on total Pb.

1  
2  
3  
4  $(NH_3C_8H_{16}NH_3)(CH_3NH_3)_2Pb_3I_{10}$ : PbO (1.5 mmol, 334.8 mg) and  $CH_3NH_2 \cdot HCl$  (1 mmol 67.5 mg)  
5  
6 powders were dissolved in a mixture of 2.5 ml HI solution and 0.5 ml  $H_3PO_2$  solution by heating to  
7  
8 boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid  $NH_3C_8H_{16}NH_3I_2$   
9  
10 (0.28 mmol, 112 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder,  
11  
12 which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was  
13  
14 stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept  
15  
16 constant until most of the product precipitated as dark red plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of  
17  
18 the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate  
19  
20 was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was  
21  
22 isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 554.3 mg,  
23  
24 52.8% based on total Pb.

24  $(NH_3C_8H_{16}NH_3)(CH_3NH_3)_3Pb_4I_{13}$ : PbO (2 mmol, 446.4 mg) and  $CH_3NH_2 \cdot HCl$  (1.5 mmol 101.28  
25  
26 mg) powders were dissolved in a mixture of 4 ml HI solution and 0.8 ml  $H_3PO_2$  solution by heating to  
27  
28 boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid  $NH_3C_8H_{16}NH_3I_2$  (0.2  
29  
30 mmol, 80 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder,  
31  
32 which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was  
33  
34 stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept  
35  
36 constant until most of the product precipitated as black plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the  
37  
38 temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate  
39  
40 was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was  
41  
42 isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 465.2 mg,  
43  
44 34.2% based on total Pb.

45  $(NH_3C_9H_{18}NH_3)PbI_4$ : PbO (0.2 mmol, 44.6 mg) powder was dissolved in a mixture of 2 ml HI  
46  
47 solution and 0.4 ml  $H_3PO_2$  solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow  
48  
49 solution was obtained, solid  $NH_3C_9H_{18}NH_3I_2$  (0.2 mmol, 82.8 mg) was added to the hot solution,  
50  
51 causing the precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous  
52  
53 heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C,  
54  
55 right below the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as orange  
56  
57 plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool  
4 to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the  
5 filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 163.3 mg, 93.3% based on total Pb.

6  
7  
8 *(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)Pb<sub>2</sub>I<sub>7</sub>*: PbO (1 mmol, 223.2 mg) and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (0.5 mmol 33.75 mg)  
9  
10 powders were dissolved in a mixture of 2 ml HI solution and 0.4 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to  
11 boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub>  
12 (0.18 mmol, 74.5 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the precipitation of a light-yellow  
13 powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and stirring. After 15 min the stirring  
14 was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below the boiling point of HI, and kept  
15 constant until most of the product precipitated as red plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the  
16 temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate  
17 was turned off and the solution was left to cool to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was  
18 isolated by suction filtration and dried on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 255.6 mg,  
19 34.2% based on total Pb. *(NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb<sub>3</sub>I<sub>10</sub>*: PbO (1.5 mmol, 334.8 mg) and  
20 CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>·HCl (1 mmol 67.5 mg) powders were dissolved in a mixture of 3.5 ml HI solution and 0.7  
21 ml H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>2</sub> solution by heating to boiling under vigorous stirring. After a clear yellow solution was  
22 obtained, solid NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I<sub>2</sub> (0.14 mmol, 58 mg) was added to the hot solution, causing the  
23 precipitation of a light-yellow powder, which could be redissolved under continuous heating and  
24 stirring. After 15 min the stirring was stopped, and the temperature was lowered to 125 °C, right below  
25 the boiling point of HI, and kept constant until most of the product precipitated as dark red  
26 plate-shaped crystals. Further decrease of the temperature to 75 °C resulted in the complete  
27 precipitation of the crystals, after which the hot plate was turned off and the solution was left to cool  
28 to room temperature. After 30 min, the product was isolated by suction filtration and dried on the  
29 filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 204.1 mg, 19.3% based on total Pb.

30  
31  
32 **Optical Absorption Spectroscopy.** Optical di • use reflectance measurements were performed using a  
33 Shimadzu UV-3600 UV–vis NIR spectrometer operating in the 200–2500 nm region at room  
34 temperature. BaSO<sub>4</sub> was used as the reference of 100% reflectance for all measurements. The  
35 reflectance versus wavelength data generated were used to estimate the band gap of the material by  
36 converting reflectance to absorption data according to the Kubelka–Munk equation:  $\alpha/S = (1-$   
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

1  
2  
3  $R)^2(2R)^{-1}$ ,<sup>42</sup> where R is the reflectance and  $\alpha$  and S are the absorption and scattering coefficients,  
4  
5 respectively.

6  
7 **Steady-state and Time-Resolved Photoluminescence (PL) Spectroscopy.** Steady-state PL spectra  
8  
9 were collected using HORIBA LabRAM HR Evolution confocal RAMAN microscope. 473 nm laser  
10  
11 (0.1% power) was used to excite all samples at 50 $\times$  magnification. TRPL data was collected with a 1  
12  
13 ns window using a streak camera system (Hamamatsu C4334 Streakscope). The instrument response  
14  
15 function (IRF) of the experiment is  $\sim$ 38 ps for the 1 ns window. A 100 kHz amplifier Spirit 1040-4  
16  
17 (Spectra-Physics) with a 1040 nm fundamental beam was used to pump a non-collinear optical  
18  
19 parametrical amplifier (Spirit-NOPA, Spectra-Physics). 400 nm pulses were generated via frequency  
20  
21 doubling of 800 nm by the built-in second harmonic generation module in the Spirit-NOPA. Samples  
22  
23 were excited with 400 nm, 1.0 nJ pulses. Single-wavelength kinetic analysis was performed on the  
24  
25 TRPL datasets at the maximum of each PL feature.

26  
27 **Single crystal structure.** Single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were performed using a STOE  
28  
29 IPDS II or IPDS 2T diffractometer with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) and operating at 50 kV and  
30  
31 40 mA. Integration and numerical absorption corrections were performed using the X-AREA, X-RED,  
32  
33 and X-SHAPE programs. The structures were solved by charge flipping and refined by full-matrix  
34  
35 least-squares on F<sup>2</sup> using the Jana 2006 package.<sup>43</sup> The PLATON<sup>44</sup> software was used to identify the  
36  
37 twinning domains and validate the space groups of the compounds.

38  
39 **Computational details.** First-principles calculations are based on density functional theory (DFT) as  
40  
41 implemented in the SIESTA<sup>45-46</sup> package. Calculations have been carried out on experimental  
42  
43 structures with the GGA functional in the revPBE form.<sup>47</sup> Core electrons are described with  
44  
45 Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials,<sup>48</sup> while valence wavefunctions are developed over double- $\zeta$   
46  
47 polarized basis set of finite-range numerical pseudoatomic orbitals.<sup>49</sup> In our calculations, spin-orbit  
48  
49 coupling is taken into account through the on-site approximation as proposed by Fernández-Seivane et  
50  
51 al.<sup>50</sup> In all cases, an energy cutoff of 150 Ry for real-space mesh size has been used. The Brillouin  
52  
53 zone was sampled with 1 k-point in the stacking direction and 5 k-points in each direction of the  
54  
55 halide perovskites plane.

## 56 **Film fabrication**

57 *Substrate cleaning:* Microscope glass substrates were cleaned by sequential sonication in aqueous  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 detergent, deionized water, isopropanol, and acetone for 20 min each. Substrates were blown dry with  
4 nitrogen after sonication. Then the substrates were treated by UV ozone (Jelight Inc., Model 42) for  
5 10 min. For solution processing, 1M solutions of  $n = 3$  compounds were prepared by dissolving  
6 desired powders in 100  $\mu$ l anhydrous DMF solvent. After all the materials were dissolved, 10  $\mu$ l HI  
7 was added to the precursor solutions. The films were fabricated by spin coating at 2000 rpm for 1 min,  
8 followed by annealing at 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. Films fabricated by directly dissolving precursors (PbI<sub>2</sub>,  
9 MAI and diammonium diiodide salts) gave comparable results for  $n = 3$ . Films for GIWAXS studies  
10 were fabricated by the same procedure on Si wafer substrate.  
11

12 For the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film, a 1M solution of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> was prepared by mixing CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>I and PbI<sub>2</sub> in a  
13 1:1 stoichiometric ratio in anhydrous DMF. The film was fabricated by spin coating at 2000 rpm for 1  
14 min, followed by annealing at 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min.  
15

### 16 **Grazing Incidence Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (GIWAXS)**

17 GIWAXS measurements were performed at Complex Materials Scattering (CMS, 11-BM) beamline  
18 of the National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS II) at Brookhaven National Laboratory. Thin-film  
19 samples were exposed to an X-ray beam ( $\lambda = 0.918$   $\text{\AA}$ ) with energy of 13.5 keV at an incident angle of  
20 0.15 $^{\circ}$  for 15 s inside vacuum chamber ( $\sim 10^{-5}$  torr). The scattered light was collected by a pixel-array  
21 detector (Dectris Pilatus 300k) with  $\sim 236$  mm distance to detector and the images.  
22

## 23 **Results and discussion**

### 24 **Synthetic Aspects**

25 The synthesis of pure high layer-number 2D halide perovskites featuring the ditopic diammonium  
26 cations is far more challenging compared to the more commonly studied 2D perovskites bearing  
27 monoammonium cations. The main challenge in accessing the pure multilayer 2D perovskites is that  
28 the traditional solution method using hydroiodic acid (HI) as solvent presents certain limitations. For  
29 example, when using ditopic diammonium cations the least soluble  $n = 1$  member usually precipitates  
30 out first and precludes the formation of higher  $n$  members. This is possibly because the diammonium  
31 cation, which has two primary -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> groups, has stronger electrostatic affinity towards the inorganic  
32 perovskite (lower lattice energy), thus forming stronger hydrogen bonds that lead to poor solubility of  
33 the  $n = 1$  compound. Further evidence for the strong hydrogen bonding in the diammonium cations  
34 comes from the undesirable light-yellow phases (likely to be the hydrate phases resulting from the  
35

1  
2  
3 strong H-bonding interactions between  $\text{-NH}_3^+$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) which tend to precipitate out when the  
4 solution is too dilute or cooled down too rapidly. To mitigate these synthetic hurdles, we used two  
5 approaches, that involve both solution and solid-state synthesis, to achieve better kinetic control and  
6 successful synthesis of multilayer diammonium 2D perovskites, as discussed below. These two  
7 methods are complementary in providing a full picture on the synthetic strategies of accessing this  
8 family of compounds. All perovskite members synthesized in this report are summarized in Table 1.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 **Tuning of  $n$  by solution method.** A closer examination of the previously reported solution synthesis  
15 of  $n = 1$ <sup>36</sup> reveals that a relatively dilute solution (0.3 mmol Pb in 3 ml HI (0.1M)) was used to obtain  
16  $n = 1$ . Using that concentration to obtain multilayer perovskites results in the  $n = 1$  or light-yellow  
17 hydrate phases which are the least soluble products. Note that the solubility limit for  $\text{MAPbI}_3$  in HI is  
18  $\sim 0.6\text{M}$  at boiling point. So we need to control that the concentration of  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$  ions is  
19 close to the solubility limit of  $\text{MAPbI}_3$  and the amount of solvent is enough to dissolve the  $n = 1$   
20 compound. This achieves a nearly saturated solution for a given multilayer compound, and it is  
21 subsequently possible to precipitate the multilayer perovskite from solution in pure form. We can thus  
22 successfully isolate the  $n > 1$  perovskites for cations with  $m = 7 - 9$ . In a typical experiment of  
23 synthesizing  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ , stoichiometric amounts of  $\text{PbO}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2\cdot\text{HCl}$   
24 were dissolved in HI, according to the chemical formula, followed by addition of the diammonium  
25 diiodide salts in sub-stoichiometric ratios, depending on the solubility of the final products.<sup>19</sup> If full  
26 stoichiometry amount of diiodide salts were used, lower layer-thickness compounds would be  
27 obtained because the solubility decreases with decreasing thickness of inorganic layers. A key  
28 synthetic condition to obtain the desired compound is to maintain the concentration of the solution  
29 near the saturation point under boiling. After a clear solution was obtained, the temperature was  
30 lowered to just below the boiling point of HI ( $125\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and held there for several hours under reflux to  
31 prevent the undesirable light-yellow phase precipitation. On the other hand, since the solution is very  
32 concentrated, the desired product can still precipitate in a reasonable rate even though the solution is  
33 hot. Once the first deeply colored crystals (corresponding to the target compound) precipitate, the  
34 solution was cooled slowly to room temperature at  $\sim 2\text{K}/\text{min}$  rate.  
35

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
The color of the crystals varies from orange ( $n = 1$ ) to cherry red ( $n = 2$ ) to dark red ( $n = 3$ ) and black  
( $n = 4$ ). Successful synthesis of the desired layer-thickness was confirmed by powder X-ray

1  
2  
3 diffraction (PXRD) (Figure S1, S2), with the number of low angle Bragg peaks below  $\sim 2\theta=14^\circ$   
4 (incidentally) coinciding with the number of perovskite layers, as described previously for the  
5  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  series.<sup>19</sup> It is worth mentioning that the  $n = 1$  for the odd  
6 carbon-chain members ( $m = 7, 9$ ), which have not been reported previously, can also be obtained  
7 when using more concentrated solution than that used in the Billing's procedure.<sup>36</sup> Plate-shaped single  
8 crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 8, 9 / n =$   
9  $1 - 4$ ) compounds can be obtained from this process regardless of odd and even carbon-chain diamines  
10 and the crystal structures will be discussed below.

11  
12 The use of carbon chains with  $m = 4$  and  $m = 6$ , however, still presents a synthetic challenge using the  
13 solution method described above, since the  $n = 1$  phases are so insoluble they always precipitate out  
14 first, irrespective of the amount of the spacer cation and the  $\text{Pb}^{2+}/\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$  input ratio. To bypass this  
15 problem, solid-state grinding method<sup>51-52</sup> was employed in order to obtain the bulk materials ( $m = 4,$   
16  $6 - 9, n = 3$ ).

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 ***Tuning of chain length  $m$  using solid-state grinding.*** Since it is challenging to synthesize  
30 multilayer perovskites for shorter carbon-chain members ( $m = 4$  and  $6$ ) because of the poor solubility  
31 of  $n = 1$ , solid-state grinding method is utilized to fully explore diamines with all the carbon-chain  
32 numbers. Solid-state grinding, which has been used before for synthesizing 3D perovskites,<sup>51-52</sup> is an  
33 ideal method for this, since all the starting materials must stay within the system and react, the low  
34 solubility issue of  $n = 1$  prevalent in the solution method can be overcome. Also, since there is no  
35 water in the reaction, the hydrated phase can be avoided. Here, because of the very large number of  
36 members involved, we chose to focus only on the  $n = 3$  compounds with different carbon-chain  
37 lengths ( $m = 4, 6 - 9$ ) as proof-of-principle examples. Because the reaction during grinding depends  
38 on ionic diffusion, the reaction progress needs to be monitored periodically using PXRD and  
39 completion can be judged by the consumption of  $\text{PbI}_2$  before and after annealing.

40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 Direct evidence that the proper 2D perovskite has formed with this method is provided by the  
50 existence of low angle Bragg peaks in PXRD corresponding to the number of layers below  $\sim 2\theta=14^\circ$ ,  
51 which is the same as what we have observed in PXRD of crystals from the solution method. In  
52 Figure S3, all  $n = 3$  compounds obtained from solid-state grinding show the characteristic three low  
53 angle Bragg peaks below  $2\theta=14^\circ$ . As the carbon-chain length  $m$  increases, the first peak shifts to  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 lower  $2\theta$ , confirming that the d-spacing between the inorganic layers also increases. Another  
4 supporting evidence that a 2D layered structure is indeed obtained by the solid-state grinding method  
5 is the morphology of the crystals shown by SEM images in Figure S4. Even though the crystal sizes  
6 (1-5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) are smaller than those obtained by the solution method, plate-shape and stacked-layer  
7 morphology can be clearly observed in the SEM images of the obtained powders, which are  
8 characteristic of 2D structured compounds.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

### 16 **Description of crystal structures**

17 Crystals from solution method  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 8, 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ) were  
18 selected and studied by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Because of the similarity of  $m = 8$  and  $m = 9$   
19 series, only crystal structures of the  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 4$ ) compounds are  
20 shown in Figure 1, and structures of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 3$ ) are shown in  
21 Supporting Information (Figure S6). Selected crystallographic information is presented in Table 2,  
22 with detailed crystallographic data provided in the Supporting Information (Table S1 - 6). All  
23 compounds crystallize in non-centrosymmetric monoclinic space groups, with  $Cc$  space group for  
24 even  $n$  members and  $Pc$  space group for odd  $n$  members. The difference in odd and even  $n$  members  
25 of the homologous 2D perovskites arises from the changes in the crystallographic symmetry element  
26 that bisects the perovskite layers themselves. For even  $n$  members a crystallographic mirror plane is  
27 present whereas for odd  $n$  numbers this is replaced by a glide plane.<sup>53</sup> The unit cells have two short  
28 axes and one longer  $a$  axis, along which the 2D layers stack. For a primitive cell, the length of the  
29 longer axis can be estimated by the formula:  $a=6.3*n+x$ , where  $n$  is the layer-number and  $x$  is the  
30 length of the carbon chain in the cation (Table S7).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The inorganic layers with corner-sharing octahedra are separated by the organic spacers in the (100)  
44 direction, and the organic and inorganic parts are bound together by electrostatic interactions between  
45 the ammonium end group and the iodide anions. The organic spacer is long enough to tilt and allow  
46 the inorganic layers to slide in a staggered configuration, offset by half  $[\text{PbI}_6]^{4-}$  octahedron ( $\sim 3.2 \text{ \AA}$ ),  
47 so they belong to the so-called Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) structure type. The organic spacer itself,  
48 adopts a folded conformation (Figure 2a), resembling a compressed spring pressed against two  
49 adjacent inorganic layers. This conformation is distinctively different from the interdigitating motif of  
50 the monoammonium cations the carbon chains of which adopt a stretched out conformation (Figure  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

2d).

When comparing the  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  and  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_2)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  series, we find they have similar unit cells, since the d-spacing for one eight-carbon-chain diamine is close to that of two four-carbon-chain monoamines. The  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_2)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  series adopt orthorhombic space groups  $Cc2m$  and  $C2cb$  for even and odd  $n$  members, respectively, and the organic parts are restricted by the symmetry elements. For even  $n$  members, the organic atoms must be placed on the crystallographic mirror plane, while for odd layer-number the carbon atoms are related by the glide plane and the periodicity doubles. For  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 8, 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ), if the structure is refined in the orthorhombic space group, the inorganic part can be satisfactorily modeled, but it is more challenging to define the position of the diammonium cations as these connect to both sides of adjacent inorganic layers. For even layer-number  $n = 2, 4$ , if the organic part is restrained along the mirror plane ( $Cc2m$ ), the carbon-chain can only stretch in two dimensions, which results in conformation higher in energy (Figure 2b). For odd layer-number on the other hand, the glide plane between the two symmetry-equivalent spacer cations always generates even number of carbons, which creates a conflict for  $m = 9$  when the orthorhombic space group ( $C2cb$ ) is considered. Because of this, the symmetry needs to be lowered to the monoclinic space group of  $Cc$  for even  $n$ -members and  $Pc$  for odd  $n$ -members, so that the organic atoms can be independently refined in three dimensions, resulting in conformations of lower energy (Figure 2a). The lower symmetry allows for more degrees of freedom for the diammonium cations and this results in the folding of the carbon chain which, arguably, is a preferable conformation to the expanded straight carbon chain observed in other systems (Figure 2c).<sup>54</sup> The folding of the diammonium cations, leads to a decrease in the interlayer spacing (compared to a straight chain) so that a monolayer of eight-carbon-chain diammonium cations is roughly equivalent in width to a bilayer of four-carbon-chain monoammonium cations (Figure 2d). The decrease in symmetry is statistically justified by the Hamilton significance test.<sup>55</sup> An additional validation of the space group assignment comes from DFT calculations (see below).

### Optical properties

The optical properties for crystals from the solution method,  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 7 - 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ), are shown in Figure 3, and the bandgaps and photoluminescence (PL) peaks are

1  
2  
3 summarized in Table 3. The optical absorption spectra are typical of 2D perovskites, with a  
4 high-energy absorption edge and a low-energy excitonic peak. The excitonic behavior at room  
5 temperature, arises as a result of dielectric confinement between the insulating organic layers and the  
6 inorganic semiconducting layers to form a quantum well electronic structure, whereas a finite  
7 quantum confinement effect is observed due to the reduction of the perovskite dimensionality to few  
8 nanometers.<sup>56-57</sup> Because of the existence of the exciton peaks, determination of the bandgaps for  
9 these materials is not as straightforward as in other semiconductors. In this case, we estimate the  
10 bandgap by extrapolating the high-energy absorption edge to imaginary axis parallel to the x axis  
11 where the absorption edge is interrupted by the low energy exciton peak.<sup>19, 58</sup> The multilayer  
12 compounds tend to show similar bandgaps for  $m = 7 - 9$ , while for  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 8$  compound exhibits  
13 higher bandgap than the  $m = 7$  and  $9$  compounds by 0.15 eV. This is because for  $n = 1$  the inorganic  
14 layers of  $m = 8$  compound is much more distorted than those of  $m = 9$ , with Pb-I-Pb angle for  $m = 8$   
15 ( $147.44^\circ$ ) smaller than that of  $m = 9$  ( $153.75^\circ$ ), so the bandgap of  $m = 8$  are higher in energy than  
16 those of  $m = 9$ , following the same trend as previously reported.<sup>59</sup> (more detailed discussion below).  
17 This shows that the organic cations can influence the distortion of the perovskite structure and  
18 consequently the optical properties.

19 Like other 2D perovskites, these compounds also display photoluminescence at room temperature  
20 (Figure 3), whose energy is close to the energy value of the excitonic peak in the absorption spectra  
21 and matches the PL peak position of the same layer-thickness in  $(C_4H_9NH_3)_2(CH_3NH_3)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$   
22 system.<sup>19</sup> The lifetimes of the series were determined using time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL)  
23 spectroscopy and were generally found to be shorter than 0.1 ns (Figure S7), which is close to the  
24 instrument response function (IRF) of the experiment ( $\sim 38$  ps) for the 1 ns window. The short  
25 recombination lifetime is approximately 3-5 times shorter than the values reported for  
26  $(PEA)_2(CH_3NH_3)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$  (PEA=phenethylammonium) and  $(N-AMP)(CH_3NH_3)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$  (N=3,4,  
27 AMP= (aminomethyl)piperidinium) which have shown recombination lifetimes in the order of  
28 100-200ps.<sup>41, 60</sup>

29 The optical properties of compounds from the solid-state grinding method (Figure 4a, b) are similar to  
30 those from solution method within the same layer-thickness (Figure 4c, d), except that the excitonic  
31 peaks are significantly suppressed. This may result from lower crystallinity and larger number of  
32 defects and grain boundaries of samples obtained from the solid-state grinding method. In fact, even  
33

1  
2  
3 for crystals from the solution method, if the bandgaps are measured after grinding them in a mortar  
4 and pestle, the excitonic peaks become less obvious. The fact that the exciton peaks in the absorption  
5 spectra for crystals from solution method are more pronounced than those from the solid-state  
6 grinding method suggests that the excitons are better expressed in long-range ordered 2D lattices  
7 where the coherence of the quantum well is maintained.<sup>56, 61</sup>  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

### 13 **Band structure calculations**

14 We calculated the electronic band structures using DFT for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1$   
15 - 4) (Figure 5). For all  $n$  values, the compounds exhibit a quasi-direct bandgap (see below) at the  $\Gamma$   
16 point and features of 2D-like electronic structures with flat dispersions in the stacking direction.  
17 Around the gap, one can see the formation of  $n$  sub-bands for  $n = 1 - 4$  indicating the slow decrease of  
18 quantum confinement with increasing  $n$ . Additionally, for  $n = 2 - 4$ , one can observe a band splitting  
19 around the valence band maximum and conduction band minimum as well as a slight shift away from  
20  $\Gamma$ . Such a splitting is characteristic of a Rashba coupling arising from the simultaneous presence of  
21 large spin-orbit coupling and the polarity of the crystal lattice.<sup>62</sup> Besides, it is well known that DFT  
22 tends to largely underestimate band gaps. However, trends are usually correctly described. The  
23 calculated bandgaps are  $E_g=1.54$  eV for  $n = 1$ ,  $E_g=1.15$  eV for  $n = 2$ ,  $E_g=0.69$  eV for  $n = 3$  and  
24  $E_g=0.08$  eV for  $n = 4$ , following the experimental trend, as well as the trend observed in the RP  
25  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  series, as the layer-number increases the bandgap decreases. The  
26 electronic structures obtained here for diammonium cations are similar to those computed for  
27 monoammonium-based 2D perovskites, suggesting that the introduction of the diammonium cations  
28 with long enough alkyl chains (here  $m = 8$ ) between the layers does not alter the basic qualitative  
29 electronic features of the 2D perovskite.<sup>63,64</sup>  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

### 47 **Comparing different classes of 2D perovskites**

48 Comparing the optical properties of the present perovskites incorporating the diammonium cations  
49 with other 2D perovskites, we find that the optical properties are very close to the RP phase  
50  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  (summarized in Table 4). For  $n = 3$ , the PL emission peaks for  
51  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  are similar with those of the reported perovskites  
52  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(\text{PEA})_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  at 2.00 eV, while for other reported  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

diammonium spacers such as  $(3\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  (AMP=(aminomethyl)piperidinium), they are at 1.90 eV and 1.97 eV, respectively (Figure 6). This is expected because the structures of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  are the same as the RP phase, which indicates that it is the structure that determines the optical properties.

The precise distortion of the inorganic layer can also influence the bandgap, where the less distorted the structure, the larger the Pb–I–Pb bond angles, and the greater the Pb s and I p orbitals overlap. This causes larger bandwidths and consequently lowers the bandgap.<sup>59, 65</sup> The Pb–I–Pb bond angles can be classified in two categories, equatorial along the inorganic plane and axial along the stacking axis. Equatorial Pb–I–Pb bonds are in the direction along the inorganic layer, where the charges transport across, thus should be more relevant to the bandgap. Axial Pb–I–Pb bonds are in the direction of the stacking axis, and they are likely to influence the excitonic behavior. Therefore, we mainly focus our discussion on equatorial Pb–I–Pb bond angles. We can easily observe that  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  have similar structures (average equatorial Pb–I–Pb bond angles -  $168.7^\circ$  and  $169.4^\circ$ , respectively) and interlayer spacing (Table 4), and therefore it is not surprising that their bandgaps are almost the same.

For compounds with different structures, the Pb–I–Pb bond angles may not be the only determinant factor. Even though  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  have comparable average Pb–I–Pb angles ( $168.6^\circ$  and  $166.3^\circ$ , respectively),  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  has smaller equatorial Pb–I–Pb angles than  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ , but it also exhibits smaller bandgap because the interlayer spacing between inorganic layers for the AMP compounds are much smaller than  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ . Between  $(3\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ,  $(3\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  has larger equatorial Pb–I–Pb angles resulting in even lower bandgap than  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ . But both are more distorted than  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and have lower bandgaps probably because of the shorter interlayer spacing.<sup>41</sup>

Other series of compounds with similar Pb–I–Pb angles and small d-spacing,  $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ <sup>33</sup> and  $\text{Cs}(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)\text{PbX}_4$  (X=Br and I)<sup>34</sup>, also exhibit smaller bandgaps relative to widely spaced 2D perovskites for a given layer-number. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that as the interlayer spacing decreases, such as in the case of AMP and  $\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3$  series, the interaction between the inorganic layers becomes stronger across the layers, which may decrease the

bandgap. However, more experimental crystal structures and optical spectra for the pure compounds as well as theory studies are needed before we can draw definitive conclusions on the role of the interlayer spacing to the evolution of bandgap in 2D perovskites.

### Film fabrication

To test the potential of these materials for future device applications, we studied the formation of films using spin-coating of DMF solutions of all  $n = 3$  compounds derived from the solid-state grinding method on glass substrates. If the solution was spin-coated directly by the one-step method,<sup>66</sup> the Bragg intensities in the PXRD pattern were weak and their bandgaps varied significantly (Figure S8), suggesting they are likely to be mixtures of different layer-thickness numbers. It has been reported that adding HI to the precursor solution can disrupt the strong hydrogen bonding between solvent molecules and ammonium cations, affecting the evaporation rate and the perovskite crystallization rate.<sup>67</sup> Therefore, in this work, we added 10% HI to the precursor solutions, with all the other details of film fabrication remaining the same as the method without the HI additive. After adding HI, the film morphology (Figure S9, S10) and intensity of PXRD patterns (Figure 7a) improved significantly. These films exhibited three low angle basal Bragg peaks below  $\sim 2\theta = 14^\circ$ , consistent with the  $n = 3$  perovskite. The existence of low angle peaks for most of the films also indicates that the orientations of the films are not perfectly perpendicular to the substrate, with the exception of the  $m = 8$  compound which exhibited the best preferred vertical orientation (discussed below). The optical absorption edges of these films were also much sharper (Figure 7b).

### Crystal orientation in the thin-film examined by GIWAXS

Because the understanding of thin-film crystal orientation and stability is necessary for future work on devices we assessed the crystallinity and orientation of the thin-films with GIWAXS analysis using synchrotron radiation. The GIWAXS maps for  $m = 4$ ,  $m = 6$  and  $m = 7$  films show Bragg diffraction rings with some stronger intensities along certain extended arc segments (Figure S11), which suggests the thin-films are polycrystalline with almost random orientations. On the contrary,  $m = 8$  and  $m = 9$  films exhibit discrete Bragg spots (Figure 8b, 8c) indicating near-single-crystalline strong orientation vertical to the substrate. A closer comparison of  $m = 8$  and  $m = 9$  thin-films reveals the difference more clearly. The  $m = 8$  thin-film shows weak intensity of the three low-angle diffraction peaks between  $q_z = 0 - 1$ , whereas the intensity of these peaks is much higher for  $m = 9$  in the same range.

1  
2  
3 The GIWAXS data are consistent with the conventional PXRD results (Figure 8d) obtained from the  
4 same thin-films, where the  $m = 9$  film shows ( $h00$ ), (011) and (-122) peaks, while the  $m = 8$  shows  
5 only (011) and (-122) peaks (see Figure 8a for the labelling of the diffraction planes). The ( $h00$ ) peaks  
6 correspond to the spots below  $q_z=1$  in GIWAXS map, while (011) and (-122) peaks correspond to the  
7 spots at  $q_z=1$  and  $q_z=2$ , respectively (Figure 8a). The fact that the intensity of the (-122) peak is higher  
8 than that of (011) for the  $m = 8$  thin-film suggests the inorganic layers are highly aligned  
9 perpendicular to the substrate. The occurrence of all the peaks indicate that  $m = 9$  thin-film has  
10 orientations both parallel and perpendicular to the substrate (Figure 8a). The perpendicular film  
11 orientation is important for high performance solar cell applications because it allows the carriers to  
12 travel through the conducting inorganic layers across electrode, without being interrupted by the  
13 insulating organic layers.<sup>17, 68</sup> The solar cell devices work is still ongoing and will be reported  
14 separately.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 **Film stability**

28 Thermal, light and air stability were tested for all  $n = 3$  films (Figure S12) and all the tests were  
29 conducted with the perovskite side of the film facing up. Thermal stability was tested on hot plates  
30 of 100 °C inside a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere glove box. After continuous heating of 48 h, two low angle peaks  
31 appeared in PXRD pattern for the  $m = 4$  and  $m = 6$  films, which suggests starting of decomposition.  
32 For  $m = 7$  and  $m = 9$ , the three low angle peaks changed to a mixture of different layer numbers. The  
33 PXRD pattern for  $m = 8$  remained intact after the thermal stability test, as well as after the light  
34 stability test under constant LED light in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 10 days and air stability (23.5 °C and 10%  
35 (nominal) humidity) in the dark for 7 days. This shows that the  $m = 8$  film has the best thermal, light  
36 and air stability among all  $n = 3$  films.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Next, we compare the relative stability of the 3D MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film and the  $m = 8$  film under the same  
46 conditions monitored by PXRD. While the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film starts to decompose to PbI<sub>2</sub> from the fourth  
47 hour under continuous heating at 100 °C and N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (Figure S13), the  $m = 8$  film remained  
48 stable for over 350 hours. We also conducted light stability tests in ambient condition under a solar  
49 simulator (1-sun intensity with 10% (nominal) humidity at 28 °C) with the perovskite sides of the  
50 films facing up. After six hours, the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film already turned yellow and PbI<sub>2</sub> peaks began to  
51 appear in the PXRD pattern (Figure 9a). After twelve hours, the MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film was completely yellow,  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and the perovskite Bragg peaks almost disappeared. We have also tested the stability  
4  
5  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  in order to establish the relative stability among the 2D perovskite  
6  
7 classes. After 6 hours, the PXRD for  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  film remained almost intact but  
8  
9 the surface of the film started turning yellow. After 12 hours, the film turned completely yellow and  
10  
11 the perovskite peaks almost disappeared (Figure 9b). By comparison the PXRD pattern of the  $m = 8$   
12  
13 film remained intact for at least 24 hours and the film barely showed any color change (Figure 9c),  
14  
15 clearly demonstrating that the perovskite film incorporating the diammonium cations has superior  
16  
17 light stability over both 3D  $\text{MAPbI}_3$  perovskite films and 2D monoamines films. Note that these light  
18  
19 stability tests in air is a reliable way to decide which material is most stable under the ambient  
20  
21 laboratory atmosphere, and it does not necessarily scale with the stability times reported previously  
22  
23 for complete *devices* in the literature.<sup>17</sup>  
24

## 25 Conclusions

26  
27 The use of ditopic cations with two positive ends can act as effective spacers to stabilize the new 2D  
28  
29 RP perovskite family. We have demonstrated the successful synthesis of  
30  
31  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4 - 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ) series with complementary solid-state  
32  
33 grinding and solution methods. The inorganic perovskite anionic slabs in these phases are held  
34  
35 together in the third dimension by the electrostatic attractions of the ditopic cations rather than the  
36  
37 weak van der Waals interactions of mono-cation organic spacers. In this sense the  
38  
39  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  are bridged in the third direction and are stronger than the more  
40  
41 familiar monocationic spacer perovskites. The solution method provides high-quality crystals for  
42  
43 structural determination of the longer carbon-chain members ( $m = 7 - 9$ ) while the solid-state grinding  
44  
45 method works for all the carbon-chain numbers ( $m = 4 - 9$ ). Structural characterization by  
46  
47 single-crystal X-ray diffraction for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 8, 9 / n = 1 - 4$ ) reveals  
48  
49 that they belong to the Ruddlesden-Popper type, with space groups of  $Cc$  for even  $n$  members and  $Pc$   
50  
51 for odd  $n$  members. Optical properties of these materials are also similar to other 2D  
52  
53 Ruddlesden-Popper perovskites. Successful fabrication of thin-films ( $n = 3$ ) from solution was  
54  
55 demonstrated. The  $m = 8$  and  $m = 9$  films show different orientations, with  $m = 8$  having the almost  
56  
57 perfect orientation perpendicular to the substrate. Film stability tests reveal that  $m = 8$  film exhibits  
58  
59 the best thermal, light and air stability within this series and far superior to the prototypical  $\text{MAPbI}_3$   
60

itself. This finding sets the stage for future investigations of optoelectronic devices using this material.

### Associated Content

#### Supporting Information

More experimental details for X-ray diffraction, crystallographic details, thermal analysis (DSC and TGA), SEM images for powders, TRPL data and additional film characterization.

X-ray crystallographic data for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 2 - 4$ ).

X-ray crystallographic data for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 3$ ).

### Author information

#### Corresponding Author

\*[m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu](mailto:m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu).

\*[konstantinos.stoumpos@northwestern.edu](mailto:konstantinos.stoumpos@northwestern.edu)

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research, under Grant N00014-17-1-2231 (synthesis, structural characterization of materials, stability studies M.G.K.). PL lifetime measurements were supported by the ANSER Center, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, and Office of Basic Energy Sciences under award DESC0001059 (W.K., M.C., M.R.W., film fabrication and PL lifetime measurements). Work at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research & Development program. This work was performed in part at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the US DOE Office of Science. LANL, an affirmative-action equal opportunity employer, is operated by Los Alamos National Security for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the US DOE under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. DFT calculations were performed at the Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, which received funding from Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (TRANSHYPERO project) and the work was granted access to the HPC resources of TGCC/CINES/IDRIS under the allocation 2017- A0010907682 made by GENCI. This work made use of the SPID (confocal microscopy) and EPIC (scanning electron microscopy) facilities of Northwestern University's NUANCE Center, which has received support from the Soft and Hybrid

1  
2  
3 Nanotechnology Experimental Resource (NSF ECCS1542205), the Materials Research Science and  
4 Engineering Centers (NSF DMR-1121262), the International Institute for Nanotechnology (IIN), the  
5 Keck Foundation, and the State of Illinois through the IIN. Use of the Advanced Photon Source at  
6 Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the Basic Energy Sciences program of the US DOE  
7 Office of Science under contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.  
8  
9  
10  
11

## 12 13 **References**

- 14
- 15
- 16 1. Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2791.
- 17 2. Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 5778.
- 18 3. Kojima, A.; Teshima, K.; Shirai, Y.; Miyasaka, T., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6050.
- 19 4. Lee, M. M.; Teuscher, J.; Miyasaka, T.; Murakami, T. N.; Snaith, H. J., *Science* **2012**, *338*, 643.
- 20 5. Zhou, H.; Chen, Q.; Li, G.; Luo, S.; Song, T.-b.; Duan, H.-S.; Hong, Z.; You, J.; Liu, Y.; Yang, Y., *Science*  
21 **2014**, *345*, 542.
- 22 6. Yang, W. S.; Park, B.-W.; Jung, E. H.; Jeon, N. J.; Kim, Y. C.; Lee, D. U.; Shin, S. S.; Seo, J.; Kim, E. K.;  
23 Noh, J. H.; Seok, S. I., *Science* **2017**, *356*, 1376.
- 24 7. Arora, N.; Dar, M. I.; Hinderhofer, A.; Pellet, N.; Schreiber, F.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Grätzel, M., *Science*  
25 **2017**, *358*, 768.
- 26 8. Wang, N.; Zhao, K.; Ding, T.; Liu, W.; Ahmed, A. S.; Wang, Z.; Tian, M.; Sun, X. W.; Zhang, Q., *Adv.*  
27 *Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1700522.
- 28 9. Gu, P.-Y.; Wang, N.; Wang, C.; Zhou, Y.; Long, G.; Tian, M.; Chen, W.; Sun, X. W.; Kanatzidis, M. G.;  
29 Zhang, Q., *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 7339.
- 30 10. Wang, N.; Liu, W.; Zhang, Q., *Small Meth.* **2018**, *2*, 1700380.
- 31 11. Tsai, H.; Asadpour, R.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Durand, O.; Strzalka, J. W.; Chen, B.; Verduzco,  
32 R.; Ajayan, P. M.; Tretiak, S.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Nie, W.; Mohite, A. D., *Science* **2018**,  
33 *360*, 67.
- 34 12. Park, N.-G.; Grätzel, M.; Miyasaka, T.; Zhu, K.; Emery, K., *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16152.
- 35 13. Slavney, A. H.; Smaha, R. W.; Smith, I. C.; Jaffe, A.; Umeyama, D.; Karunadasa, H. I., *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**,  
36 *56*, 46.
- 37 14. Domanski, K.; Alharbi, E. A.; Hagfeldt, A.; Grätzel, M.; Tress, W., *Nat. Energy* **2018**, *3*, 61.
- 38 15. Smith, I. C.; Hoke, E. T.; Solis-Ibarra, D.; McGehee, M. D.; Karunadasa, H. I., *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*,  
39 11414.
- 40 16. Cao, D. H.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*,  
41 7843.
- 42 17. Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Asadpour, R.; Harutyunyan, B.; Neukirch, A. J.;  
43 Verduzco, R.; Crochet, J. J.; Tretiak, S.; Pedesseau, L.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Gupta, G.; Lou, J.; Ajayan, P. M.;  
44 Bedzyk, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D., *Nature* **2016**, *536*, 312.
- 45 18. Blancon, J.-C.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Pedesseau, L.; Katan, C.; Kepenekian, M.; Soe, C. M.  
46 M.; Appavoo, K.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Tretiak, S.; Ajayan, P. M.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Even, J.; Crochet, J. J.; Mohite, A.  
47 D., *Science* **2017**, *355*, 1288.
- 48 19. Stoumpos, C. C.; Cao, D. H.; Clark, D. J.; Young, J.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Jang, J. I.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis,  
49 M. G., *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2852.
- 50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 20. Stoumpos, C. C.; Soe, C. M. M.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Cao, D. H.; Liu, F.; Traoré, B.; Katan,  
4 C.; Even, J.; Mohite, A. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *Chem* **2017**, *2*, 427.  
5 21. Mao, L.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Ma, L.; Im, J.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Malliakas, C. D.; Hao, F.; Wasielewski, M. R.;  
6 Mohite, A. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 7781.  
7 22. Ma, C.; Shen, D.; Ng, T.-W.; Lo, M.-F.; Lee, C.-S., *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1800710.  
8 23. Yan, J.; Qiu, W.; Wu, G.; Heremans, P.; Chen, H., *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6*, 11063.  
9 24. Chen, Y.; Sun, Y.; Peng, J.; Zhang, W.; Su, X.; Zheng, K.; Pullerits, T.; Liang, Z., *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**,  
10 7, 1700162.  
11 25. Yuan, M.; Quan, L. N.; Comin, R.; Walters, G.; Sabatini, R.; Voznyy, O.; Hoogland, S.; Zhao, Y.;  
12 Beaugregard, E. M.; Kanjanaboos, P.; Lu, Z.; Kim, D. H.; Sargent, E. H., *Nat. Nano.* **2016**, *11*, 872.  
13 26. Mao, L.; Wu, Y.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*,  
14 5210.  
15 27. Mao, L.; Wu, Y.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Traore, B.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G.,  
16 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11956.  
17 28. Mitzi, D. B.; Feild, C. A.; Harrison, W. T. A.; Guloy, A. M., *Nature* **1994**, *369*, 467.  
18 29. Calabrese, J.; Jones, N. L.; Harlow, R. L.; Herron, N.; Thorn, D. L.; Wang, Y., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*,  
19 2328.  
20 30. Papavassiliou, G. C.; Mousdis, G. A.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A., *Z. Naturforsch. B* **2000**, *55*, 536.  
21 31. Zhu, X.-H.; Mercier, N.; Riou, A.; Blanchard, P.; Frere, P., *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2160.  
22 32. Mercier, N., *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 429.  
23 33. Soe, C. M. M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kepenekian, M.; Traoré, B.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Wang, B.; Katan, C.;  
24 Seshadri, R.; Mohite, A. D.; Even, J.; Marks, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 16297.  
25 34. Nazarenko, O.; Kotyrba, M. R.; Wörle, M.; Cuervo-Reyes, E.; Yakunin, S.; Kovalenko, M. V., *Inorg. Chem.*  
26 **2017**, *56*, 11552.  
27 35. Li, L.; Sun, Z.; Wang, P.; Hu, W.; Wang, S.; Ji, C.; Hong, M.; Luo, J., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*,  
28 12150.  
29 36. Lemmerer, A.; Billing, D. G., *CrystEngComm* **2012**, *14*, 1954.  
30 37. Lemmerer, A.; Billing, D. G., *CrystEngComm* **2010**, *12*, 1290.  
31 38. Tang, Z.; Guan, J.; Guloy, A. M., *J. Mater. Chem.* **2001**, *11*, 479.  
32 39. Mousdis, G. A.; Papavassiliou, G. C.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A., *J. Mater. Chem.* **2000**, *10*, 515.  
33 40. Rayner, M. K.; Billing, D. G., *Acta Crystallogr. E* **2010**, *66*, m660.  
34 41. Mao, L.; Ke, W.; Pedesseau, L.; Wu, Y.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Stoumpos, C. C.;  
35 Kanatzidis, M. G., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 3775.  
36 42. Gate, L. F., *Appl. Opt.* **1974**, *13*, 236.  
37 43. Petříček, V.; Dušek, M.; Palatinus, L., *Z. Kristallogr.* **2014**, *229*, 345.  
38 44. Spek, A., *Acta Crystallogr. D* **2009**, *65*, 148.  
39 45. José, M. S.; Emilio, A.; Julian, D. G.; Alberto, G.; Javier, J.; Pablo, O.; Daniel, S.-P., *J. Phys.: Condens.*  
40 *Matter* **2002**, *14*, 2745.  
41 46. Emilio, A.; Anglada, E.; Diéguez, O.; Gale, J. D.; García, A.; Junquera, J.; Martín, R. M.; Ordejón, P.;  
42 Pruneda, J. M.; Sánchez-Portal, D.; Soler, J. M., *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2008**, *20*, 064208.  
43 47. Zhang, Y.; Yang, W., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *80*, 890.  
44 48. Troullier, N.; Martins, J. L., *Phys. Rev. B* **1991**, *43*, 1993.  
45 49. Artacho, E.; Sánchez-Portal, D.; Ordejón, P.; García, A.; Soler, J. M., *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* **1999**, *215*, 809.  
46 50. Fernández-Seivane, L.; Oliveira, M. A.; Sanvito, S.; Ferrer, J., *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2006**, *18*, 7999.

- 1  
2  
3 51. Stoumpos, C. C.; Malliakas, C. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 9019.  
4 52. Prochowicz, D.; Franckevicius, M.; Cieslak, A. M.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Gratzel, M.; Lewinski, J., *J. Mater.*  
5 *Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 20772.  
6 53. Aleksandrov, K. S., *Crystallogr. Rep.* **1995**, *40*, 251.  
7 54. Kessentini, A.; Belhouchet, M.; Mhiri, T., *X-ray Str. Anal. Online.* **2011**, *27*, 31.  
8 55. Hamilton, W., *Acta Crystallogr.* **1965**, *18*, 502.  
9 56. Muljarov, E. A.; Tikhodeev, S. G.; Gippius, N. A.; Ishihara, T., *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *51*, 14370.  
10 57. Blancon, J. C.; Stier, A. V.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Traoré, B.; Pedesseau, L.; Kepenekian, M.;  
11 Katsutani, F.; Noe, G. T.; Kono, J.; Tretiak, S.; Crooker, S. A.; Katan, C.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Crochet, J. J.; Even,  
12 J.; Mohite, A. D., *Nat. Comm.* **2018**, *9*, 2254.  
13 58. Saouma, F. O.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wong, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Jang, J. I., *Nat. Comm.* **2017**, *8*, 742.  
14 59. Knutson, J. L.; Martin, J. D.; Mitzi, D. B., *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 4699.  
15 60. Peng, W.; Yin, J.; Ho, K.-T.; Ouellette, O.; De Bastiani, M.; Murali, B.; El Tall, O.; Shen, C.; Miao, X.; Pan,  
16 J.; Alarousu, E.; He, J.-H.; Ooi, B. S.; Mohammed, O. F.; Sargent, E.; Bakr, O. M., *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 4759.  
17 61. Shimizu, M.; Fujisawa, J.-I.; Ishi-Hayase, J., *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *71*, 205306.  
18 62. Kepenekian, M.; Robles, R.; Katan, C.; Saponi, D.; Pedesseau, L.; Even, J., *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 11557.  
19 63. Pedesseau, L.; Saponi, D.; Traore, B.; Robles, R.; Fang, H.-H.; Loi, M. A.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.;  
20 Neukirch, A.; Tretiak, S.; Mohite, A. D.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Kepenekian, M., *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 9776.  
21 64. Traore, B.; Pedesseau, L.; Assam, L.; Che, X.; Blancon, J.-C.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.;  
22 Kanatzidis, M. G.; Tretiak, S.; Mohite, A. D.; Even, J.; Kepenekian, M.; Katan, C., *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 3321.  
23 65. Katan, C.; Pedesseau, L.; Kepenekian, M.; Rolland, A.; Even, J., *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 9232.  
24 66. Kim, H.-S.; Lee, C.-R.; Im, J.-H.; Lee, K.-B.; Moehl, T.; Marchioro, A.; Moon, S.-J.; Humphry-Baker, R.;  
25 Yum, J.-H.; Moser, J. E.; Grätzel, M.; Park, N.-G., *Sci. Rep.* **2012**, *2*, 591.  
26 67. Soe, C. M. M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Harutyunyan, B.; Manley, E. F.; Chen, L. X.; Bedzyk, M. J.; Marks, T. J.;  
27 Kanatzidis, M. G., *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 2656.  
28 68. Zhang, X.; Wu, G.; Fu, W.; Qin, M.; Yang, W.; Yan, J.; Zhang, Z.; Lu, X.; Chen, H., *Adv. Energy Mater.*  
29 **2018**, *8*, 1702498.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** Compounds reported here and synthesis methods for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4 - 9 ; n = 1 - 4$ ) series.

	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$
$m = 4$	-	-	solid-state grinding/solution	-
$m = 6$	-	-	solid-state grinding /solution	-
$m = 7$	solution	solution	solid-state grinding /solution	-
$m = 8$	solution	solution	solid-state grinding /solution	solution
$m = 9$	solution	solution	solid-state grinding /solution	solution

**Table 2.** Crystal and structure refinement data for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 2 - 4$ ) and  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_2)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 3$ ).

	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{MA})\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{13}$
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>Cc</i>	<i>Pc</i>	<i>Cc</i>
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> = 38.089(5) Å	<i>a</i> = 25.686(5) Å	<i>a</i> = 63.186(6) Å
	<i>b</i> = 8.9053(18) Å	<i>b</i> = 8.9106(13) Å	<i>b</i> = 8.9126(5) Å
	<i>c</i> = 8.9109(13) Å	<i>c</i> = 8.9159(13) Å	<i>c</i> = 8.9043(8) Å
	$\beta$ = 90.000(12)°	$\beta$ = 100.0240(15)°	$\beta$ = 90.015(8)°
Volume	3022.5(9) Å <sup>3</sup>	2009.5(6) Å <sup>3</sup>	5014.5(7) Å <sup>3</sup>
<i>Z</i>	4	2	4
Density (calculated)	3.2547 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	3.4723 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	3.6042 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Independent reflections	3549 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.1092]	5309 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.1455]	7799 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.1149]
Completeness to 25°	98%	98%	98%
Data / restraints / parameters	3549 / 18 / 122	5309 / 19 / 165	7799 / 20 / 208
Goodness-of-fit	1.41	3.02	3.80
Final <i>R</i> indices [ <i>I</i> > 2σ( <i>I</i> )]	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0647	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0836	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.1223,
	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.1187	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.1650	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.2598
<i>R</i> indices [all data]	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1536	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1204	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1995
	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1267	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1705	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.2745
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.97 and -1.26 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	6.97 and -2.95 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	6.44 and -3.51 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>
	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)\text{PbI}_4$	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{MA})\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>Cc</i>	<i>Cc</i>	<i>Pc</i>
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> = 26.918(3) Å	<i>a</i> = 39.581(6) Å	<i>a</i> = 26.4807(9) Å
	<i>b</i> = 8.7853(7) Å	<i>b</i> = 8.9068(18) Å	<i>b</i> = 8.915(7) Å
	<i>c</i> = 8.7627(9) Å	<i>c</i> = 8.9084(13) Å	<i>c</i> = 8.9145(8) Å
	$\beta$ = 92.835(9)°	$\beta$ = 90.047°	$\beta$ = 99.6902°
Volume	2069.7(4) Å <sup>3</sup>	3140.5(9) Å <sup>3</sup>	2074.5(15) Å <sup>3</sup>
<i>Z</i>	4	4	2
Density (calculated)	2.8084 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	3.1621 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	3.386 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Independent reflections	3489 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.037]	5348 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.0645]	9270 [ <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.0907]
Completeness to $\theta$	99%	98%	98%
Data / restraints / parameters	3489 / 19 / 80	5348 / 20 / 125	9270 / 21 / 168
Goodness-of-fit	2.07	1.53	1.66
Final <i>R</i> indices [ <i>I</i> > 2σ( <i>I</i> )]	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0367	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0578	<i>R</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0480
	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0721	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0910	<i>wR</i> <sub>obs</sub> = 0.0854
<i>R</i> indices [all data]	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.0434	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.1168	<i>R</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.0810
	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.0729	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.0981	<i>wR</i> <sub>all</sub> = 0.0904
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.09 and -0.83 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.84 and -1.91 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>	2.14 and -1.11 e·Å <sup>-3</sup>


$$^a R = \frac{\sum ||F_o| - |F_c||}{\sum |F_o|}, wR = \left\{ \frac{\sum [w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)]^2}{\sum [w(F_o^4)]} \right\}^{1/2} \text{ and } w = 1/(\sigma^2(I) + 0.0004I^2).$$

**Table 3.** Summary of bandgap/ PL emission peak (eV) for compounds synthesized in  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 4 - 9$ ;  $n = 1 - 4$ ) series.


	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$
$m = 4$	-	-	2.00/1.96	-
$m = 6$	-	-	2.00/1.97	-
$m = 7$	2.43/2.41	2.15/2.14	2.00/1.99	-
$m = 8$	2.58/2.50	2.15/2.14	2.01/2.00	1.90/1.89
$m = 9$	2.43/2.34	2.16/2.14	2.02/2.00	1.80/1.89

**Table 4.** Comparison of optical properties and selected structural information for different 2D perovskites at 293K.  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  (this work),  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ <sup>19</sup>,  $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ <sup>33</sup>,  $(3\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ <sup>41</sup>.


Compound	$(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2$ $(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$	$(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)$ $(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$	$(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)$ $(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$	$(3\text{AMP})$ $(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$	$(4\text{AMP})$ $(\text{MA})_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$
Space group	<i>C2cb</i>	<i>Imma</i>	<i>Pc</i>	<i>Pa</i>	<i>Pc</i>
Bandgap (eV)	2.02	1.73	2.01	1.92	1.99
PL emission (eV)	2.00	1.96	2.00	1.90	1.97
d-spacing (Å)	7.10	2.99	6.47	4.01	4.11
Axial angles (°)	169.5	167.7	168.0 168.5	179.8 179.9	179.6 179.6
Equatorial angles (°)	<i>Inner layer</i>	171.3	180.1 167.5	165.7 156.6	157.7 157.8
	<i>Outer layer</i>	172.3	-	164.5 166.3	157.0 157.0
Ave axial Pb-I-Pb angle (°)	169.5	167.7	166.4 173.4	168.0 167.8	157.4 157.4
Ave equatorial Pb-I-Pb angle (°)	169.4	170.0	168.3	179.9	179.6
Ave Pb-I-Pb angle (°)	169.4	169.4	168.7	163.5	157.4
Ave Pb-I-Pb angle (°)	169.4	169.4	168.6	170.1	166.3




**Figure 1.** Crystal structures for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 4$ ) from solution method.




**Figure 2.** Zoomed-in structure of organic parts for (a)  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$  solved in  $Cc$  space group (b)  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$  solved in  $Cc2m$  space group (c)  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{66}\text{Cl}_{10}\text{Co}_2\text{N}_6$  (d)  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$ .




**Figure 3.** Optical properties for compounds synthesized from solution method. (a) Optical absorption spectra and (b) steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_7\text{H}_{14}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 7$ ,  $n = 1 - 3$ ). (c) Optical absorption spectra and (d) PL spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 8$ ,  $n = 1 - 4$ ). (e) Optical absorption spectra and (f) PL spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $m = 9$ ,  $n = 1 - 4$ ).




**Figure 4.** Comparison of optical properties for compounds synthesized from solid-state grinding method and solution method. (a) Optical absorption spectra and (b) PL spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  ( $m = 4, 6, 7, 8, 9$ ) from solid-state grinding method. (c) Optical absorption spectra and (d) PL spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  ( $m = 7 - 9$ ) from solution method.




**Figure 5.** DFT calculations of band structures for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$  ( $n = 1 - 4$ ).




**Figure 6.** Comparison of (a) optical absorption spectra and (b) PL spectra among the  $n = 3$  members for  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ,  $(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ,  $(3\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ,  $(4\text{AMP})(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  and  $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ .



**Figure 7.** (a) X-ray diffraction patterns (b) optical absorption spectra of  $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$  ( $m = 4, 6, 7, 8, 9$ ) films on glass substrate. (Cu  $K\alpha$  graphite,  $\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$ ).



**Figure 8.** (a) Different thin-film growth orientations of  $n = 3$  materials: ( $h00$ ) parallel oriented, (011) almost perpendicular oriented, (-122) perfectly perpendicular oriented. Synchrotron GIWAXS maps for (b)  $m = 8$  film and (c)  $m = 9$  film. (d) X-ray diffraction patterns of same films, with peaks labeled.



**Figure 9.** Light stability (1 sun) in air (10% (nominal) humidity, 28 °C) for (a) 3D MAPbI<sub>3</sub> film (b) (NH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Pb<sub>3</sub>I<sub>10</sub> film.

## TOC Graphic

