



Sup x inf inequality for an elliptic equation.

Samy Skander Bahoura

► To cite this version:

| Samy Skander Bahoura. Sup x inf inequality for an elliptic equation.. 2018. hal-01864284v2

HAL Id: hal-01864284

<https://hal.science/hal-01864284v2>

Preprint submitted on 10 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SUP × INF INEQUALITY FOR AN ELLIPTIC EQUATION.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give a sup × inf inequality for an equation with nonlinear perturbation with Serrin exponent.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We are on Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$. In this paper we denote $\Delta_g = -\nabla^j(\nabla_j)$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator and $N = \frac{2n}{n-2}$.

We consider the following equation

$$(1) \quad \Delta_g u = Vu^{N-1} + Wu^{n/(n-2)}, \quad u > 0.$$

Where V is a function.

For $a, b, c, d, (A_i), (B_i) > 0$, we consider a sequence $(u_i, V_i, W_i)_i$ of solutions of the previous equation with the following conditions:

$$0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty,$$

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_\infty \leq A_i \rightarrow 0.$$

$$0 < c \leq W_i \leq d < +\infty,$$

$$\|\nabla W_i\|_\infty \leq B_i.$$

Here we study some properties of this nonlinear elliptic equation. We try to find some estimates of type sup × inf. We denote by S_g the scalar curvature.

There are many existence and compactness results which concern this type of equations, see for example [1-21]. In particular in [1], we can find some results about the Yamabe equation and the Prescribed scalar curvature equation. Many methods were used to solve these problems, as a variationnal approach and some other topological methods. Note that the problems come from the nonlinearity of the critical Sobolev exponent. We can find in [1] some uniform estimates for various equations on the unit sphere or for the Monge-Ampere equation. Note that Tian and Siu proved uniform upper and lower bounds for the sup + inf for the Monge-Ampere equation under some condition on the Chern class, see [1]. In the case of the Scalar curvature equation and in dimension 2 Shafir used the isoperimetric inequality of Alexandrov to prove an inequality of type sup + inf with only L^∞ assumption on the prescribed curvature, see [21]. The result of Shafir is an extention of a result of Brezis and Merle, see [4] and later, Brezis-Li-Shafir proved a sharp sup + inf inequality for the same equation with Lipschitzian assumption on the prescribed scalar curvature, see [3]. Li in[17] extend the previous last result to compact Riemannian surfaces. In the higher dimensional case, we can find in [15] a proof of the sup × inf inequality in the constant case for the scalar curvature equation on open set of \mathbb{R}^n . We have various estimates in [2] when we consider the nonconstant case. To prove our result, we use a blow-up analysis and the moving-plane method, based on the maximum principle and the Hopf Lemma as showed in [2, 3, 15, 17], and a condition on the scalar curvature is sufficient to prove the estimate.

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $S_g > 0$ on M , then, for every compact K of M , there exist a positive constant $c' = c'(a, b, c, d, (A_i), (B_i), K, M, n, g)$ such that:*

$$\sup_K u_i \times \inf_M u_i \leq c.$$

Remark: in the case where $(M, g) = (\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \delta)$ an open set of the euclidean space with the flat metric, we have the same inequality on compact sets of Ω in this case the scalar curvature $S_\delta \equiv 0$, see a previous print.

If we consider the Green function G of the Laplacian with Dirichlet condition on small balls of M , we can have a positive lower bound for G and we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. Assume $S_g > 0$ on M , then, for every compact K of M , there exist a positive constant $c'' = c''(a, b, c, d, (A_i), (B_i), K, M, n, g)$ such that:

$$\int_K u_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \leq c''.$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

Let us consider $x_0 \in M$, by a conformal change of the metric $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{4/(n-2)} g$ with $\varphi > 0$ we can consider an equation of type:

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{\tilde{g}} u + R_{\tilde{g}} u = Vu^{N-1} + W\varphi^{-2/(n-2)}u^{n/(n-2)} + R_g\varphi^{2-N}u, \quad u > 0.$$

with,

$$Ricci_{\tilde{g}}(x_0) = 0.$$

Here; $R_g = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}S_g$ and $R_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}S_{\tilde{g}}$

Part I: The metric in polar coordinates.

Let (M, g) a Riemannian manifold. We note $g_{x,ij}$ the local expression of the metric g in the exponential map centered in x .

We are concerning by the polar coordinates expression of the metric. Using Gauss lemma, we can write:

$$g = ds^2 = dt^2 + g_{ij}^k(r, \theta)d\theta^i d\theta^j = dt^2 + r^2 \tilde{g}_{ij}^k(r, \theta)d\theta^i d\theta^j = g_{x,ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

in a polar chart with origin x , $]0, \epsilon_0[\times U^k$, with (U^k, ψ) a chart of \mathbb{S}_{n-1} . We can write the element volume:

$$dV_g = r^{n-1} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} dr d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^{n-1} = \sqrt{[\det(g_{x,ij})]} dx^1 \dots dx^n,$$

then,

$$dV_g = r^{n-1} \sqrt{[\det(g_{x,ij})]} [\exp_x(r\theta)] \alpha^k(\theta) dr d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^{n-1},$$

where, α^k is such that, $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} = \alpha^k(\theta) d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^{n-1}$. (Riemannian volume element of the sphere in the chart (U^k, ψ)).

Then,

$$\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} = \alpha^k(\theta) \sqrt{[\det(g_{x,ij})]}.$$

Clearly, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. Let $x_0 \in M$, there exist $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and if we reduce U^k , we have:

$$|\partial_r \tilde{g}_{ij}^k(x, r, \theta)| + |\partial_r \partial_{\theta^m} \tilde{g}_{ij}^k(x, r, \theta)| \leq Cr, \quad \forall x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1) \quad \forall r \in [0, \epsilon_1], \quad \forall \theta \in U^k.$$

and,

$$|\partial_r |\tilde{g}^k|(x, r, \theta)| + \partial_r \partial_{\theta^m} |\tilde{g}^k|(x, r, \theta) \leq Cr, \quad \forall x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1) \quad \forall r \in [0, \epsilon_1], \quad \forall \theta \in U^k.$$

Remark:

$\partial_r[\log \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}]$ is a local function of θ , and the restriction of the global function on the sphere \mathbb{S}_{n-1} , $\partial_r[\log \sqrt{\det(g_{x,ij})}]$. We will note, $J(x, r, \theta) = \sqrt{\det(g_{x,ij})}$.

Part II: The laplacian in polar coordinates

Let's write the laplacian in $[0, \epsilon_1] \times U^k$,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}]\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde{g}^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We have,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log J(x, r, \theta)]\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde{g}^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We write the laplacian (radial and angular decomposition),

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log J(x, r, \theta)]\partial_r - \Delta_{S_r(x)},$$

where $\Delta_{S_r(x)}$ is the laplacian on the sphere $S_r(x)$.

We set $L_\theta(x, r)(...) = r^2\Delta_{S_r(x)}(...)[\exp_x(r\theta)]$, clearly, this operator is a laplacian on \mathbb{S}_{n-1} for particular metric. We write,

$$L_\theta(x, r) = \Delta_{g_{x,r,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}},$$

and,

$$\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[J(x, r, \theta)]\partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x, r).$$

If, u is function on M , then, $\bar{u}(r, \theta) = u[\exp_x(r\theta)]$ is the corresponding function in polar coordinates centered in x . We have,

$$(3) \quad -\Delta u = \partial_{rr}\bar{u} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r\bar{u} + \partial_r[J(x, r, \theta)]\partial_r\bar{u} - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x, r)\bar{u}.$$

Part III: "Blow-up" and "Moving-plane" methods

The "blow-up" analysis

Let, $(u_i)_i$ a sequence of functions on M such that,

$$(4) \quad \Delta_{\tilde{g}}u_i + R_{\tilde{g}}u_i = V_iu_i^{N-1} + W_i\varphi^{-2/(n-2)}u_i^{n/(n-2)} + R_g\varphi^{2-N}u_i, \quad u_i > 0, \quad N = \frac{2n}{n-2},$$

We argue by contradiction and we suppose that $\sup \times \inf$ is not bounded.

We assume that:

$\forall c, R > 0 \exists u_{c,R}$ solution of (E) such that:

$$R^{n-2} \sup_{B(x_0, R)} u_{c,R} \times \inf_M u_{c,R} \geq c. \quad (H)$$

Proposition 2.2. There exist a sequence of points $(y_i)_i$, $y_i \rightarrow x_0$ and two sequences of positive real number $(l_i)_i$, $(L_i)_i$, $l_i \rightarrow 0$, $L_i \rightarrow +\infty$, such that if we consider $v_i(y) = \frac{u_i[\exp_{y_i}(y)]}{u_i(y_i)}$, we have:

- i) $0 < v_i(y) \leq \beta_i \leq 2^{(n-2)/2}$, $\beta_i \rightarrow 1$.
- ii) $v_i(y) \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$, uniformly on every compact set of \mathbb{R}^n .
- iii) $l_i^{(n-2)/2}[u_i(y_i)] \times \inf_M u_i \rightarrow +\infty$

Proof:

Without loss of generality, we can assume that:

$$V(x_0) = n(n-2).$$

We use the hypothesis (H). We can take two sequences $R_i > 0$, $R_i \rightarrow 0$ and $c_i \rightarrow +\infty$, such that,

$$R_i^{(n-2)} \sup_{B(x_0, R_i)} u_i \times \inf_M u_i \geq c_i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let, $x_i \in B(x_0, R_i)$, such that $\sup_{B(x_0, R_i)} u_i = u_i(x_i)$ and $s_i(x) = [R_i - d(x, x_i)]^{(n-2)/2} u_i(x)$, $x \in B(x_i, R_i)$. Then, $x_i \rightarrow x_0$.

We have,

$$\max_{B(x_i, R_i)} s_i(x) = s_i(y_i) \geq s_i(x_i) = R_i^{(n-2)/2} u_i(x_i) \geq \sqrt{c_i} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Set :

$$l_i = R_i - d(y_i, x_i), \bar{u}_i(y) = u_i[\exp_{y_i}(y)], v_i(z) = \frac{u_i[\exp_{y_i}(z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)})]}{u_i(y_i)}.$$

Clearly, $y_i \rightarrow x_0$. We obtain:

$$L_i = \frac{l_i}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}} [u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)} = \frac{[s_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}} \geq \frac{c_i^{1/(n-2)}}{c_i^{1/2(n-2)}} = c_i^{1/2(n-2)} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If $|z| \leq L_i$, then $y = \exp_{y_i}[z/[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}] \in B(y_i, \delta_i l_i)$ with $\delta_i = \frac{1}{(c_i)^{1/2(n-2)}}$ and $d(y, y_i) < R_i - d(y_i, x_i)$, thus, $d(y, x_i) < R_i$ and, $s_i(y) \leq s_i(y_i)$, we can write,

$$u_i(y)[R_i - d(y, y_i)]^{(n-2)/2} \leq u_i(y_i)(l_i)^{(n-2)/2}.$$

But, $d(y, y_i) \leq \delta_i l_i$, $R_i > l_i$ and $R_i - d(y, y_i) \geq R_i - \delta_i l_i > l_i - \delta_i l_i = l_i(1 - \delta_i)$, we obtain,

$$0 < v_i(z) = \frac{u_i(y)}{u_i(y_i)} \leq \left[\frac{l_i}{l_i(1 - \delta_i)} \right]^{(n-2)/2} \leq 2^{(n-2)/2}.$$

We set, $\beta_i = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \delta_i}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$, clearly $\beta_i \rightarrow 1$.

The function v_i is solution of:

$$-g^{jk}[\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_{jk}v_i - \partial_k \left[g^{jk} \sqrt{|g|} \right] [\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_j v_i = \frac{W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}}{[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)}} v_i^{n/(n-2)} + \frac{\mu \varphi^{-4/(n-2)}}{[u_i(y_i)]^{4/(n-2)}} v_i + V_i v_i^{N-1},$$

By elliptic estimates and Ascoli, Ladyzenskaya theorems, $(v_i)_i$ converge uniformly on each compact to the function v solution on \mathbb{R}^n of,

$$(5) \quad \Delta v = n(n-2)v^{N-1}, \quad v(0) = 1, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1 \leq 2^{(n-2)/2},$$

By using maximum principle, we have $v > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , the result of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck (see [6]) give, $v(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{(n-2)/2}$. We have the same properties for v_i in the previous paper [2].

Polar coordinates and "moving-plane" method

Let,

$$w_i(t, \theta) = e^{(n-2)/2}\bar{u}_i(e^t, \theta) = e^{(n-2)t/2}u_i \circ \exp_{y_i}(e^t\theta), \quad \text{et } a(y_i, t, \theta) = \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta).$$

Lemma 2.3. *The function w_i is solution of:*

$$(6) \quad -\partial_{tt}w_i - \partial_t a \partial_t w_i - L_\theta(y_i, e^t) + cw_i = V_i w_i^{N-1} + e^t W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} w_i^{n/(n-2)} + \mu e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} w_i,$$

with,

$$c = c(y_i, t, \theta) = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n-2}{2} \partial_t a + R_g e^{2t}.$$

Proof:

We write:

$$\partial_t w_i = e^{nt/2} \partial_r \bar{u}_i + \frac{n-2}{2} w_i, \quad \partial_{tt} w_i = e^{(n+2)t/2} \left[\partial_{rr} \bar{u}_i + \frac{n-1}{e^t} \partial_r \bar{u}_i \right] + \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 w_i.$$

$$\partial_t a = e^t \partial_r \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta), \quad \partial_t a \partial_t w_i = e^{(n+2)t/2} [\partial_r \log J \partial_r \bar{u}_i] + \frac{n-2}{2} \partial_t a w_i.$$

the lemma is proved.

$$\text{Now we have, } \partial_t a = \frac{\partial_t b_1}{b_1}, \quad b_1(y_i, t, \theta) = J(y_i, e^t, \theta) > 0,$$

We can write,

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}} \partial_{tt} (\sqrt{b_1} w_i) - L_\theta(y_i, e^t) w_i + [c(t) + b_1^{-1/2} b_2(t, \theta)] w_i = V_i w_i^{N-1} + e^t W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} w_i^{n/(n-2)} + \mu e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} w_i,$$

$$\text{where, } b_2(t, \theta) = \partial_{tt} (\sqrt{b_1}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}} \partial_{tt} b_1 - \frac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}} (\partial_t b_1)^2.$$

Let,

$$\tilde{w}_i = \sqrt{b_1} w_i,$$

Lemma 2.4. *The function \tilde{w}_i is solution of:*

$$-\partial_{tt} \tilde{w}_i + \Delta_{g_{y_i, e^t, \mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} (\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta (\tilde{w}_i) \cdot \nabla_\theta \log (\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2} b_2 - c_2) \tilde{w}_i =$$

$$(7) \quad = V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} + e^t W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{1/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i^{n/(n-2)} + \mu e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i,$$

$$\text{where, } c_2 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}} \Delta_{g_{y_i, e^t, \mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} (\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log (\sqrt{b_1})|^2].$$

Proof:

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i - \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_\theta w_i + (c + b_2)\tilde{w}_i &= V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} + \\ &+ e^t W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right)^{1/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i^{n/(n-2)} + \mu e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\Delta_\theta(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} w_i - 2\nabla_\theta w_i \cdot \nabla_\theta \sqrt{b_1} + w_i \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}),$$

and,

$$\nabla_\theta(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = w_i \nabla_\theta \sqrt{b_1} + \sqrt{b_1} \nabla_\theta w_i,$$

we deduce than,

$$\sqrt{b_1}\Delta_\theta w_i = \Delta_\theta(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i) \cdot \nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) - c_2 \tilde{w}_i,$$

with $c_2 = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2]$. The lemma is proved.

The "moving-plane" method:

Let ξ_i a real number, and suppose $\xi_i \leq t$. We set $t^{\xi_i} = 2\xi_i - t$ and $\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t, \theta) = \tilde{w}_i(t^{\xi_i}, \theta)$.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + \Delta_\theta(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}) \cdot \nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + [c(t^{\xi_i}) + b_1^{-1/2}(t^{\xi_i}, \cdot) b_2(t^{\xi_i}) - c_2^{\xi_i}] \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} &= \\ = V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}} \right)^{(N-2)/2} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} + & \\ + e^t W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right)^{1/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i^{n/(n-2)} + \mu e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i. & \end{aligned}$$

By using the same arguments than in [2], we have:

Proposition 2.5. *We have:*

$$1) \quad \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i, \theta) - \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + 4, \theta) \geq \tilde{k} > 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}.$$

For all $\beta > 0$, there exist $c_\beta > 0$ such that:

$$2) \quad \frac{1}{c_\beta} e^{(n-2)t/2} \leq \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + t, \theta) \leq c_\beta e^{(n-2)t/2}, \quad \forall t \leq \beta, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}.$$

We set,

$$\bar{Z}_i = -\partial_{tt}(\dots) + \Delta_\theta(\dots) + 2\nabla_\theta(\dots) \cdot \nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)(\dots)$$

Remark: In the operator \bar{Z}_i , by using the proposition 3, the coefficient $c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2$ satisfies:

$$c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2 \geq k' > 0, \quad \text{pour } t \ll 0,$$

it is fundamental if we want to apply Hopf maximum principle.

Goal:

Like in [2], we have elliptic second order operator. Here it is \bar{Z}_i , the goal is to use the "moving-plane" method to have a contradiction. For this, we must have:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq 0, \text{ if } \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i \leq 0.$$

We write:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) = (\Delta_{\theta}^{\xi_i} - \Delta_{\theta})\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + \\
& + 2(\nabla_{\theta, e^{t\xi_i}} - \nabla_{\theta, e^t})(w_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta, e^{t\xi_i}} \log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) + 2\nabla_{\theta, e^t}(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta, e^{t\xi_i}} [\log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) - \log \sqrt{b_1}] + \\
& + 2\nabla_{\theta, e^t} w_i^{\xi_i}.(\nabla_{\theta, e^{t\xi_i}} - \nabla_{\theta, e^t}) \log \sqrt{b_1} - [(c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)^{\xi_i} - (c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)]\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + \\
& + V_i^{\xi_i} \left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}} \right)^{(N-2)/2} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} - V_i \left(\frac{1}{b_1} \right)^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{w}_i^{N-1} + \\
& + e^{t\xi_i} (W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)})^{\xi_i} b_1^{\xi_i-1/(n-2)} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} - e^t (W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}) b_1^{-1/(n-2)} (\tilde{w}_i)^{n/(n-2)} + \\
& + \mu(e^{2t\xi_i} (\varphi^{-4/(n-2)})^{\xi_i} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - e^{2t} \varphi^{-4/(n-2)} \tilde{w}_i) \quad (***) \\
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have:

Lemma 2.6.

$$b_1(y_i, t, \theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} Ricci_{y_i}(\theta, \theta) e^{2t} + \dots,$$

$$R_g(e^t \theta) = R_g(y_i) + \langle \nabla R_g(y_i) | \theta \rangle e^t + \dots$$

According to proposition 1 and lemma 3,

Proposition 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq \tilde{A}_i(e^t - e^{t\xi_i})(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} + (1/2)(e^{t\xi_i} - e^t)(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} + \\
& + C|e^{2t} - e^{2t\xi_i}| \left[|\nabla_{\theta} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}| + |\nabla_{\theta}^2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})| + o(1)[\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1}] + \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} + \mu \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

We use proposition 1, we have:

$$a(y_i, t, \theta) = \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta) = \log b_1, |\partial_t b_1(t)| + |\partial_{tt} b_1(t)| + |\partial_{ttt} a(t)| \leq C e^{2t},$$

and,

$$|\partial_{\theta_j} b_1| + |\partial_{\theta_j, \theta_k} b_1| + |\partial_{t, \theta_j} b_1| + |\partial_{t, \theta_j, \theta_k} b_1| \leq C e^{2t},$$

then,

$$|\partial_t b_1(t^{\xi_i}) - \partial_t b_1(t)| \leq C' |e^{2t} - e^{2t\xi_i}|, \text{ on }]-\infty, \log \epsilon_1] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}, \forall x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_1)$$

Locally,

$$\Delta_{\theta} = L_{\theta}(y_i, e^t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t, \theta)|}} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t, \theta)|} \partial_{\theta^j}].$$

Thus, in $[0, \epsilon_1] \times U^k$, we have,

$$A_i = \left[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}} \partial_{\theta^l} (\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} \partial_{\theta^j}) \right]^{\xi_i} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}} \partial_{\theta^l} (\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j} \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} \partial_{\theta^j}) \right] (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})$$

then, $A_i = B_i + D_i$ with,

$$B_i = \left[\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta) - \tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \right] \partial_{\theta^l \theta^j} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t, \theta),$$

and,

$$D_i = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta)} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}}, \theta)] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^t, \theta)} \partial_{\theta^l} [\tilde{g}^{\theta^l \theta^j}(e^t, \theta) \sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}(e^t, \theta)] \right] \partial_{\theta^j} \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t, \theta),$$

we deduce,

$$A_i \leq C_k |e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}| \left[|\nabla_\theta \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}| + |\nabla_\theta^2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})| \right],$$

We take $C = \max\{C_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}$ and if we use $(***)$, we obtain proposition 4.
We have:

$$\frac{\partial_{\theta_j} w_i^\lambda(t, \theta)}{w_i^\lambda} = \frac{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]/2} e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]} (\partial_{\theta_j} v_i)(e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]}\theta)}{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]/2} v_i [e^{(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)}\theta]} \leq \bar{C}_i,$$

and,

$$\frac{\partial_{\theta_j, \theta_l} w_i^\lambda(t, \theta)}{w_i^\lambda} = \frac{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]/2} e^{2[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\xi_i-t)]} (\partial_{\theta_j, \theta_l} v_i)(e^{[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]}\theta)}{e^{(n-2)[(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)]/2} v_i [e^{(\lambda-\lambda_i)+(\lambda-t)}\theta]} \leq \bar{C}_i,$$

with \bar{C}_i tending to 0 and does not depend on $\lambda \leq \lambda_i + 2$.
We have,

$$c(y_i, t, \theta) = \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{n-2}{2} \partial_t a + R_g e^{2t}, \quad (\alpha_1)$$

$$b_2(t, \theta) = \partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}} \partial_{tt} b_1 - \frac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}} (\partial_t b_1)^2, \quad (\alpha_2)$$

$$c_2 = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}} \Delta_{g_{y_i, e^t, \mathbb{S}_{n-1}}} (\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2 \right], \quad (\alpha_3)$$

Then,

$$\partial_t c(y_i, t, \theta) = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \partial_{tt} a,$$

by proposition 1,

$$|\partial_t c_2| + |\partial_t b_1| + |\partial_t b_2| + |\partial_t c| \leq K_1 e^{2t}.$$

We have:

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = w_i[(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \lambda_i - 2) + (\lambda_i + 2)],$$

Thus,

$$w_i(2\xi_i - t, \theta) = e^{[(n-2)(\xi_i - t + \xi_i - \lambda_i - 2)]/2} e^{n-2} v_i [\theta e^2 e^{(\xi_i - t) + (\xi_i - \lambda_i - 2)}] \leq 2^{(n-2)/2} e^{n-2} = \bar{c}.$$

We set $\delta = \frac{(n+2)-(n-2)\alpha}{2}$.

The left right side are denoted Z_1 et Z_2 , we can write:

$$Z_1 = (\bar{V}_i^{\xi_i} - \bar{V}_i)(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} + \bar{V}_i[(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{N-1} - \tilde{w}_i^{N-1}],$$

and,

$$Z_2 = e^t \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} [(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} - (\tilde{w}_i)^{n/(n-2)}] + e^{t^{\xi_i}} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} [(W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)})^{\xi_i} - W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}] + \varphi^{-2/(n-2)} (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)} (e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t).$$

We can write the part with nonlinear terms as:

$$(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)}[(A_i w_i^{\xi_i})^{2/(n-2)} + o(1))(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + c(e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t)].$$

where $o(1) = e^{t^{\xi_i}} \rightarrow 0$ come from the difference $[W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}]^{\xi_i} - [W_i \varphi^{-2/(n-2)}]$. Because $w_i^{\xi_i} \leq \bar{c}$, we have:

$$-\bar{Z}_i((\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i} - (\tilde{w}_i)) \leq (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)}[(A_i \bar{c}^{2/(n-2)} + o(1))(e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + c(e^{t^{\xi_i}} - e^t)] + (\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i}(\mu/2)(e^{2t^{\xi_i}} - e^{2t})$$

where $o(1) = e^{t^{\xi_i}} \rightarrow 0$.

Finally:

$$-\bar{Z}_i((\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i} - (\tilde{w}_i)) \leq (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^{n/(n-2)}[-1 + A_i \bar{c}^{2/(n-2)} + o(1)](e^t - e^{t^{\xi_i}}) + (\tilde{w}_i)^{\xi_i}(\mu/2)(e^{2t^{\xi_i}} - e^{2t})$$

We apply proposition 3. We take $t_i = \log \sqrt{l_i}$ with l_i like in proposition 2. The fact $\sqrt{l_i}[u_i(y_i)]^{2/(n-2)} \rightarrow +\infty$ (see proposition 2), implies $t_i = \log \sqrt{l_i} > \frac{2}{n-2} \log u_i(y_i) + 2 = \lambda_i + 2$. Finally, we can work on $]-\infty, t_i]$.

We define ξ_i by:

$$\xi_i = \sup\{\lambda \leq \lambda_i + 2, \tilde{w}_i(2\lambda - t, \theta) - \tilde{w}_i(t, \theta) \leq 0 \text{ on } [\lambda, t_i] \times \mathbb{S}_{n-1}\}.$$

If we use proposition 4 and the similar technics that in [2] we can deduce by Hopf maximum principle,

$$\min_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} \tilde{w}_i(t_i, \theta) \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} \tilde{w}_i(2\xi_i - t_i, \theta),$$

which implies,

$$l_i^{(n-2)/2} u_i(y_i) \times \min_M u_i \leq c.$$

It is in contradiction with proposition 2.

Then we have,

$$\sup_K u \times \inf_M u \leq c = c(a, b, A, K, M, g, n).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998
- [2] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type $\sup u \times \inf u \leq c$ pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$. J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.
- [3] H. Brezis, YY. Li Y-Y, I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [4] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V e^u$ in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [5] M-F. Bidaut-Veron, L. Veron. Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics od Emden equations. Invent.Math. 106 (1991), no3, 489-539.
- [6] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [7] A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [8] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.
- [9] O. Druet, E. Hebey, F.Robert, Blow-up theory in Riemannian Geometry, Princeton University Press 2004.
- [10] B. Gidas, W-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle. Commun. Math. Phys. 68, 209-243 (1979).
- [11] Gidas, J. Spruck. Global and Local Behavior of Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no 4, 525-598.
- [12] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second order, Berlin Springer-Verlag, Second edition, Grundlehern Math. Wiss.,224, 1983.
- [13] E. Hebey, Analyse non linéaire sur les Variétés, Editions Diderot.
- [14] E. Hebey, M. Vaugon. The best constant problem in the Sobolev embedding theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds. Duke Math. J. 79 (1995), no. 1, 235-279.

- [15] N. Korevaar, F. Pacard, R. Mazzeo, R. Schoen. Refined asymptotics for constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities. *Invent. Math.* 135 (1999), no. 2, 233–272.
- [16] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. *Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S)* 17 (1987), no.1, 37 -91.
- [17] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 200,421-444 (1999).
- [18] YY. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}_n and related Problems. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: *J. Differ. Equations* 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. *Comm. Pure Appl.Math.* 49 (1996) 541-597.
- [19] YY. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (2004), no. 2, 133–151.
- [20] F.C. Marques. A Priori Estimates for the Yamabe Problem in the non-locally conformally flat case. *J. Diff. Geom.* 71 (2005) 315-346.
- [21] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. *C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE UNIVERSITY, 75005 PARIS FRANCE.

E-mail address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, bahoura@ccr.jussieu.fr