

# Feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear composite systems

Abdelhak Ferfera, Abderrahman Iggidr

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Abdelhak Ferfera, Abderrahman Iggidr. Feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear composite systems. Applied Mathematics Letters, 1994, 7 (3), pp.45–49. 10.1016/0893-9659(94)90111-2. hal-01860808

HAL Id: hal-01860808

https://hal.science/hal-01860808

Submitted on 30 Aug 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Feedback stabilization of stochastic nonlinear composite systems

A. Ferfera A. Iggidr

CONGE Project, INRIA Lorraine & University of Metz 4, rue Marconi 57070 METZ –FRANCE

Phone: (33) 87 20 35 14 - Fax: (33) 87 76 39 77 e.mail: ferfera@ilm.loria.fr; jggidr@ilm.loria.fr

August 30, 2018

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the global stabilization, by means of smooth state feedback, of partially linear composite stochastic systems.

Keywords: nonlinear stochastic systems, feedback, global stabilization, Lyapunov's function.

#### 1 Introduction

Many recent papers (see [1, 2, 3] and references therein) addressed the problem of The global stabilization, by means of state feedback, of deterministic nonlinear control systems of the form :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x, y) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \dot{y} = Ay + Bu & y \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control,  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R}), B \in \mathcal{M}_{p,k}(\mathbb{R})$  and f is a smooth vector field such that :

- **(h1)** The pair (A, B) is stabilizable.
- **(h2)** The equilibrium x = 0 of  $\dot{x} = f(x, 0)$  is globally asymptotically stable (G.A.S).

In [3], the authors assumed that the dependence of f(x,y) on y is of the form :

**(h3)** 
$$f(x,y) = f(x,0) + G(x,y).Cy.$$

with  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$ . They gave conditions on the linear subsystem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{y} = Ay + Bu \\ \tilde{y} = Cy \,, \qquad \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k \end{array} \right.$$

under which there exist a matrix  $K \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$  and a symmetric positive definite matrix  $P \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- **(H1)**  $P(A+BK) + (A+BK)^T P = -Q$ , with Q symmetric positive ( $^T = transpose$ ).
- **(H2)**  $(Q^{1/2}, A + BK)$  detectable.
- $(\mathbf{H3}) \qquad B^T P = C.$

Using the above assumptions, they proved that the system (1) is globally asymptotically stabilizable and they gave the stabilizing feedback

$$u(x,y) = Ky - \frac{1}{2} \left( G(x,y) \right)^T \nabla V(x)$$

where V is a smooth Lyapunov function satisfying

$$\langle \nabla V, f(x,0) \rangle < 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x \neq 0$$
 (2)

The goal of our work is to show that the result of [3] can be extended when the nonlinear part of the system (1) is corrupted by a noise which satisfies the same hypothesis (h3) as f. We prove that the stochastic system

$$\begin{cases} dx_t = f(x_t, y_t)dt + g(x_t, y_t)dw_t \\ dy_t = (Ay_t + Bu)dt \end{cases}$$

where both f and g are of the form (h3), is globally asymptotically stabilizable in probability, if (H1), (H2), (H3) and the condition

(h'2) the solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  of  $dx_t = f(x_t, 0)dt + g(x_t, 0)dw_t$  is globally asymptotically stable in probability, hold.

Notice that the systems of the form

$$\begin{cases}
dx_t = f(x_t, y_t)dt + g(x_t)dw_t \\
dy_t = (Ay_t + Bu)dt
\end{cases}$$
(3)

have been studied in [4]. Under conditions on the dependence on y of the vector field f, the authors proved that (3) is exponentially stabilizable in mean square if

(h"2) the solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  of  $dx_t = f(x_t, 0)dt + g(x_t)dw_t$  is exponentially stable in mean square. Remark that (h"2) is stronger than (h'2).

### 2 Stochastic stability

The aim of this section is to recall the main definitions and results proved by Has'minskii (see [5], chapter V) for the zero state of a stochastic differential equation to be stable in probability.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be an usual probability space and denote by w a standard  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued Wiener process defined on this space. Denote by  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$  the complete right-continuous filtration generated by the standard Wiener process w. Let  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the stochastic process solution of the stochastic differential equation written in the sense of Itô,

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(x_s) \, ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \sigma_k(x_s) \, o \, dw_s^k \tag{4}$$

where b and  $\sigma_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , are Lipschitz functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- 1. b(0) = 0,  $\sigma_k(0) = 0$ , 1 < k < m.
- 2. There exists a non-negative constant K such that

$$|b(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\sigma_k(x)| \le K(1+|x|)$$

for every x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Furthermore, for any  $t \ge 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , denote by  $x_t(x_0)$ ,  $t \le t$ , the solution at time t of the equation (4) starting from the state  $x_0$ .

Then, the main notions of stochastic stability we are dealing with in this paper may be defined by

**Definition 1** The solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  of the stochastic differential equation (4) is said to be stable in probability if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow P\left(\sup_{t>0} |x_t(x_0)| > \epsilon\right) = 0.$$

If, in addition, there exists a neighbourhood D of the origin such that

$$P\left(\lim_{t \to +\infty} |x_t(x_0)| = 0\right) = 1, \ \forall x_0 \in D$$

the solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  of the stochastic differential equation (4) is said to be asymptotically stable in probability. It is globally asymptotically stable in probability (G.A.S.P) if

$$P\left(\lim_{t \to +\infty} |x_t(x_0)| = 0\right) = 1, \ \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Therefore, denoting by L the infinitesimal generator associated with the stochastic differential equation (4) defined for any function  $\Psi$  in  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$L\Psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^{i}(x) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_{i}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(x)$$
 (5)

where  $a^{i,j}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k^i(x) \sigma_k^j(x)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , one can prove the following stochastic Lyapunov Theorem (see [5], [6]).

**Theorem 1** Let D be a neighbourhood of the point x = 0 which is contained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  together with its boundary, and assume that there exists a Lyapunov function V defined in D (i.e. a proper function V positive definite mapping D into  $\mathbb{R}$ ) such that

$$LV(x) \le 0$$
 (respectively  $LV(x) < 0$ ),  $\forall x \in D, x \ne 0$ 

Then, the solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  of the stochastic differential equation (4) is stable (respectively asymptotically stable) in probability. It is G.A.S.P if

$$LV(x) < 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x \neq 0$$

In this paper, we shall make use of the latter Theorem and a stochastical version of Lassalle's invariance principle (see [7]), in order to prove that the class of nonlinear stochastic control systems introduced in the following section is globally asymptotically stabilizable in probability.

#### 3 Main result

The systems considered here are of the form

$$\begin{cases} x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x_s, y_s) \, ds + \int_0^t g(x_s, y_s) \, dw_s \\ y_t = y_0 + \int_0^t (Ay_s + Bu) \, ds \end{cases}$$
 (6)

where the dependance of g on y is analogous to the one of f given by (h3), that is

$$g(x,y) = g(x,0) + H(x,y) Cy$$
 (7)

We assume that the solution  $x_t \equiv 0$  is G.A.S.P for

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x_s, 0) ds + \int_0^t g(x_s, 0) dw_s$$

and a positive definite and proper function satisfying

$$L.V(x) = \langle f(x,0), \nabla V(x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( g(x,0) \left( g(x,0) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right) < 0$$

is known. Then we can state:

**Theorem 2** If there exist a matrix  $K \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$  and a symmetric positive definite matrix  $P \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  such that **(H1)**, **(H2)** and **(H3)** hold then the system (6) is globally asymptotically stabilizable in probability thanks to the following feedback

$$u = Ky - (G(x,y))^T \nabla V(x) - \frac{1}{2} (H(x,y))^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)^T H(x,y) Cy$$
 (8)

**Proof** Let  $W(x,y) = V(x) + \frac{1}{2}y^T P y$ . Denoting by  $\mathcal{L}$  the infinitesimal generator associated with the stochastic differential equation (6-8), and setting  $z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Z(z) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x,y) \\ Ay + Bu(x,y) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{g}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} g(z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , one has

$$\mathcal{L}.W(z) = Z.W(z) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( \tilde{g}(z) \left( \tilde{g}(z) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial z^2}(z) \right)$$
$$= Z.W(z) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( g(z) \left( g(z) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)$$

According to the decomposition of f given by (h3) and the one of g given by (7), one get

$$Z.W(x,y) = \langle f(x,0), \nabla V(x) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} y^T Q y + \langle \nabla V(x), G(x,y) C y \rangle$$
$$+ \langle y, -PB \left( \left( G(x,y) \right)^T \nabla V(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( H(x,y) \right)^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)^T H(x,y) C y \right) \rangle$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \, \left( g(z) \left( g(z) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(z) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \, \left( g(x,0) \left( g(x,0) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) + H(z) C y \, y^T C^T \left( H(z) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)$$

So, from  $PB = C^T$  one has

$$\mathcal{L}.W(z) = \langle f(x,0), \nabla V(x) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} y^T Q y + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( g(x,0) \left( g(x,0) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\langle y, C^T \left( H(x,y) \right)^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)^T H(x,y) C y \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( H(z) C y y^T C^T \left( H(z) \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(x) \right)$$

and using the fact that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(H(z)Cy\,y^{T}C^{T}\big(H(z)\big)^{T}\,\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial x^{2}}(x)\right) = \left\langle H(z)Cy\,,\,\left(\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial x^{2}}(x)\right)^{T}H(z)Cy\,\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle y\,,\,C^{T}\big(H(z)\big)^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial x^{2}}(x)\right)^{T}H(z)Cy\,\right\rangle$$

it follows

$$\mathcal{L}.W(z) = L.V(x) - \frac{1}{2}y^T Q y \le 0$$

According to the stochastical version of Lassale's invariance principle (see [7]), the processus  $z_t$  converges in probability to  $\Omega$  the largeste invariant set whose support is contained in the locus  $\mathcal{L}.W(z_t) = 0$ . Let  $(x_t, y_t)$  be a complete solution of the closed-loop system (6) along which  $\mathcal{L}.W(x_t, y_t) = 0$ , we must show that  $(x_t, y_t) = (0, 0)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}.W(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$  and  $y^TQy = 0$ ,  $x_t$  must be zero for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $y_t$  will be a solution of  $\dot{y}_t = (A + BK)y_t$  and must satisfy  $y_t^TQy_t = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . By the detectability assumption (H2) this implies  $y_t = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and, hence,  $(x_t, y_t) = (0, 0)$ . This completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] P.V. Kokotovic and H.J. Sussmann. A positive real condition for global stabilization of nonlinear systems. Systems & Control Letters, (13):125–133, (1989).
- [2] P.V. Kokotovic and H.J. Sussmann. The peaking Phenomenon and the global Stabilization of nonlinear systems. *I.E.E.E Trans. Automat. Control*, Vol.36, No 4:424–440, (1991).
- [3] A. Saberi, P.V. Kokotovic, and H.J. Sussmann. Global stabilization of partially linear composite systems. SIAM J. Control and Optimisation, (28):1491–1503, (1990).
- [4] R. Chabour and P. Florchinger. Exponential mean square stability of partially linear stochastic systems. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, Vol.6, No 6:91–95, (1993).
- [5] R.Z. Khasminskii. Stochastic stability of differential equations. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, (1980).
- [6] L. Arnold. Stochastic differential equations: Theory and applications. Wiley-New York, (1974).
- [7] H.J. Kushner. Stochastic stability. In Stability of Stochastic Dynamical Systems (R. Curtain ed.). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 294:97–124, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1972).