

Test of Vandiver's conjecture with Gauss sums – Heuristics

Georges Gras

▶ To cite this version:

Georges Gras. Test of Vandiver's conjecture with Gauss sums – Heuristics. 2018. hal-01856083v4

HAL Id: hal-01856083 https://hal.science/hal-01856083v4

Preprint submitted on 25 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Test of Vandiver's conjecture with Gauss sums – Heuristics

Georges Gras

ABSTRACT. The link between Vandiver's conjecture and Gauss sums is well known since the papers of Iwasawa (1975), Thaine (1995-1999) and Anglès–Nuccio (2010). This conjecture is required in many subjects and we shall give such examples of relevant references. In this paper, we recall our interpretation of Vandiver's conjecture in terms of minus part of the torsion of the Galois group of the maximal abelian *p*-ramified pro-*p*-extension of the *p*th cyclotomic field (1984). Then we provide a specific use of Gauss sums of characters of order *p* of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ and prove new criteria for Vandiver's conjecture to hold (Theorem 1.2 (a) using both the sets of exponents of *p*-irregularity and of *p*-primarity of suitable twists of the Gauss sums, and Theorem 1.2 (b) which does not need the knowledge of Bernoulli numbers or cyclotomic units). We propose in § 5.2 new heuristics showing that any counterexample to the conjecture leads to excessive constraints modulo *p* on the above twists as ℓ varies and suggests analytical approaches to evidence. We perform numerical experiments to strengthen our arguments in direction of the very probable truth of Vandiver's conjecture. All the calculations are given with their PARI/GP programs.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Pseudo-units – Notion of p -primarity	4
3.	Abelian <i>p</i> -ramification	5
4.	Twists of Gauss sums associated to primes $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$	8
5.	Heuristics – Probability of a counterexample	19
6.	Conclusion	28
Re	ferences	29

1. Introduction

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ be the field of *p*th roots of unity for a given prime p > 2 and let K_+ be its maximal real subfield. Put $G := \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}\ell$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell_+$ the *p*-class groups of K and K_+ , then by $\mathcal{C}\ell_-$ the relative *p*-class group, so that $\mathcal{C}\ell = \mathcal{C}\ell_+ \oplus \mathcal{C}\ell_-$.

Let E and E_+ be the groups of units of K and K_+ ; then $E = E_+ \oplus \mu_p$ (Kummer).

The conjecture of Vandiver (or Kummer–Vandiver) asserts that \mathcal{C}_+ is trivial. This statement is equivalent to say that the group of real cyclotomic units of K is of prime to p index in E_+ [52, Theorem 8.14]. One may refer to numerical tables using this property in [4, 9] (verifying the conjecture up to $2 \cdot 10^9$), and to more general results in [50, 51] where some relations

Date: June 25, 2019.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R18, 11L05, 11R37, 11R29, 08-04.

Key words and phrases. Cyclotomic fields; Vandiver's conjecture; Gauss sums; Jacobi sums; Kummer theory; Stickelberger's theorem; Class field theory; p-ramification.

with Gauss and Jacobi sums are used to express the order of the isotypic components of \mathcal{C}_+ (e.g., [50, Theorem 4]).

Many heuristics are proposed about this conjecture; see Washington's book [52, § 8.3, Corollary 8.19] for some history, criteria, and for probabilistic arguments, then [38] assuming Greenberg's conjecture [22] for K_+ .

We have also given a probabilistic study in [15, II.5.4.9.2]. All these heuristics lead to the fact that the number of primes p less than x, giving a counterexample, can be of the form $O(1) \cdot \log(\log(x))$.

These reasonings, giving the possible existence of infinitely many counterexamples to Vandiver's conjecture, are based on standard probabilities associated with the Borel–Cantelli heuristic, but many recent p-adic heuristics and conjectures (on class groups and units) may contradict such unfounded approaches.

In this paper, we shall work in another direction, in the framework of "abelian *p*-ramification", using Gauss sums together with the "Main Theorem on abelian fields" restricted to \mathcal{C}_{-} , and giving the order of its isotypic components by means of generalized Bernoulli numbers (this aspect is related by Ribet in [40, 41] and we shall call it "Main Theorem" for short).

Such a link of Vandiver's conjecture with Gauss sums and abelian *p*-ramification has been given first by Iwasawa [28], then by Anglès–Nuccio [1], and encountered by many authors in various directions (Iwasawa's theory, Galois cohomology, Fermat curves, Galois representations,...), then often assuming Vandiver's conjecture (e.g., [8, 23, 24, 26, 27, 31, 44, 45, 46, 47, 53, 54]).

This link does exist also in the context of the classical conjecture of Greenberg [22] considered as a generalization of Vandiver's conjecture (e.g., [35], [16]). We propose, in Section 3.1, to explain the links with p-ramification and prove again the reflection theorem (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2).

Then we shall interpret a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture in terms of non-trivial "*p*-primary pseudo-units" stemming from Gauss sums:

$$\tau(\psi) = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \psi(x) \,\xi_{\ell}^{x},$$

for ψ of order p, ξ_{ℓ} of prime order $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Indeed, if $\#\mathcal{C}_{+} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, there exists a class $\gamma = c\ell(\mathfrak{A}) \in \mathcal{C}_{-}$, of order p, such that $\mathfrak{A}^{p} = (\alpha)$, with α p-primary (to give the unramified extension $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$, decomposed over K_{+} into a cyclic unramified extension L_{+}/K_{+} of degree p predicted by class field theory); the reciprocal being obvious.

Since α can be obtained explicitly by means of twists (giving products of Jacobi sums) of the above Gauss sums:

(1)
$$g_c(\ell) = \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c} \in K,$$

with Artin automorphisms σ_c attached to a primitive root c modulo p, this will yield the main test verifying the validity of the conjecture at p; this result is the object of the Theorem 4.7, Corollary 4.8 and Theorem 4.9, that we can summarize, in the Theorem 1.2 below, after the reminder of some notations and classical definitions.

Definition 1.1. (i) Let ζ_p be a primitive *p*th root of unity. We denote by ω the Teichmüller character of *G* (the *p*-adic character with values in $\mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\zeta_p^s = \zeta_p^{\omega(s)}$ for all $s \in G$).

The irreducible *p*-adic characters of *G* are the $\theta = \omega^m$, $1 \le m \le p - 1$. (ii) Let $e_{\theta} := \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{s \in G} \theta(s^{-1}) s$ be the associated idempotents in $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

(iii) Let $g_c(\ell)_{\theta}$ denotes the θ -component of the twist $g_c(\ell)$ defined by (1), as representative in K^{\times} of the class of $\overline{g_c(\ell)}^{e_{\theta}} \in K^{\times}/K^{\times p}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Main theorem). For a prime $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, let $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ be the set of exponents of *p*-primarity of ℓ (even integers $n \in [2, p-3]$, such that $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^{p-n}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). Then let $\mathscr{E}_0(p)$ be the set of exponents of *p*-irregularity of *K* (even integers $n \in [2, p-3]$, such that *p* divides the nth Bernoulli number B_n).

(a) Vandiver's conjecture holds for K if and only if there exists $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_{0}(p) = \emptyset$.

(b) Vandiver's conjecture holds for K if and only if there exist $N \ge 1$ primes $\ell_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathscr{E}_{\ell_i}(p) = \emptyset$.

Test (b) is numerically very frequent for N = 1 or N very small, and does not need the knowledge of Bernoulli's numbers; in fact, it does not need to know if p is irregular or not (see Theorem 4.9).

We show that some assumption of *independence*, of the congruential properties (mod p) of these twists, as ℓ varies, is an obstruction to any counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture.

This method is different from that needed to prove that some cyclotomic unit is not a global pth power, which does not give obvious probabilistic approach (nevertheless, see § 5.2.4 for some complements).

Finally, we propose, in \S 5.2, 5.3, new heuristics (to our knowledge) and give substantial numerical experiments confirming them.

Definition 1.3. (i) We denote by \mathscr{X}_+ the set of even characters $\theta \neq 1$ (i.e., $\theta = \omega^m$, $m \in [2, p-3]$ even), and by \mathscr{X}_- the set of odd characters distinct from ω (i.e., $\theta = \omega^m$, $m \in [3, p-2]$ odd).

If $\theta = \omega^m$, we put $\theta^* := \omega \theta^{-1} = \omega^{p-m}$. This defines an involution on the group of characters which applies \mathscr{X}_+ onto $\mathscr{X}_+^* = \mathscr{X}_-$.

(ii) For a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module M, we put $M_{\theta} := M^{e_{\theta}}$. The operation of the complex conjugation $s_{-1} \in G$ gives rise to the obvious definition of the components M_+ and M_- such that $M = M_+ \oplus M_-$.

(iii) We denote by $\operatorname{rk}_p(A) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A/A^p)$ the *p*-rank of any abelian group *A*.

(iv) For $\alpha \in K^{\times}$, prime to p, considered modulo $K^{\times p}$, we denote by α_{θ} a representative in K^{\times} of the class $\overline{\alpha}^{e_{\theta}} \in K^{\times}/K^{\times p}$ (e.g., $\alpha_{\theta} = \alpha^{e'_{\theta}}$ where $e'_{\theta} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ approximates $e_{\theta} \mod p$).

(v) Let I be the group of prime to p ideals of K. For any $\mathfrak{A} \in I$ such that $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{A}) \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an approximation $e'_{\theta} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ of e_{θ} modulo a sufficient high power of p such that $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} := \mathfrak{A}^{e'_{\theta}}$ is defined up to a principal ideal of the form $(x^p), x \in K^{\times}$.

(vi) We say that $\mathfrak{A} \in I$ is *p*-principal if it is principal in $I \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$; thus $\mathfrak{A} = (\alpha)$, with $\alpha \in K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, defined up to the product by $\varepsilon \in E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$.¹

(vii) For $\chi =: \omega^n \in \mathscr{X}_+$, let $B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} = B_{1,\omega^{n-1}} := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} (\chi^*)^{-1} (s_a) a$ be the generalized Bernoulli number of character $(\chi^*)^{-1}$ (where $s_a \in G$ is the Artin automorphism attached to a; it is the restriction of the Artin automorphism σ_a defined above in larger extensions).

¹The distinction between $\mathfrak{A}^{e_{\theta}} \in I \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathfrak{A}^{e'_{\theta}} \in I$ $(e'_{\theta} \equiv e_{\theta} \pmod{p^N \mathbb{Z}_p[G]})$, N large enough) has some importance in practice and programming, provided of a definition of $\mathfrak{A}^{e'_{\theta}}$ up to a principal ideal of the form (x^p) , for deciding, for instance in the writing $\mathfrak{A}^{e_{\theta}} =: (\alpha_{\theta})$, of the "*p*-primarity" of $\alpha_{\theta} \in K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$; whence $\mathfrak{A}^{e'_{\theta}} =: (\alpha')$ where $\alpha_{\theta} \cdot \alpha'^{-1} \in (K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^p \cdot E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. This will be used for $\theta \in \mathscr{X}^*_+$ where θ -components of units do not intervenue, giving $(\alpha_{\theta}) = (x)^p \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{\theta} \in K^{\times p}$.

The Bernoulli number $B_{1,\omega^{n-1}}$ is an element of \mathbb{Z}_p congruent modulo p to $\frac{B_n}{n}$, where B_n is the *n*th ordinary Bernoulli number; see [52, Proposition 4.1, Corollary 5.15].

(viii) We say that a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module M is monogenous if it is generated, over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$, by a single element; this is equivalent to $\operatorname{rk}_p(M_\theta) \leq 1$ for all irreducible *p*-adic character θ of G.

The index of p-irregularity i(p) is the number of even $n \in [2, p-3]$ such that $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$; thus $i(p) = \#\mathcal{E}_0(p)$. See [52, §5.3 & Exercise 6.6] giving statistics and the heuristic $i(p) = O\left(\frac{\log(p)}{\log(\log(p))}\right)$.

For a general history of Bernoulli–Kummer–Herbrand–Ribet, then Mazur–Wiles–Thaine–Kolyvagin–Rubin–Greither works on cyclotomy see [13, 41, 52]; in this context, if for $\theta \in \mathscr{X}_{-}$, $B_{1,\theta^{-1}}$ is of *p*-valuation *e*, we shall have (Main Theorem):

$$#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\theta} = \left|B_{1,\,\theta^{-1}}\right|_p^{-1} = p^e.$$

2. Pseudo-units – Notion of *p*-primarity

Definition 2.1. (i) We call *pseudo-unit* any $\alpha \in K^{\times}$, prime to p, such that (α) is the pth power of an ideal of K.

(ii) We say that an arbitrary $\alpha \in K^{\times}$, prime to p, is *p*-primary if the Kummer extension $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$ is unramified at the unique prime ideal \mathfrak{p} above p in K (but possibly ramified elsewhere).

Remark 2.2. (i) Let A be the group of pseudo-units of K. If $\alpha \in A$, there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{a}^p$; then if we associate with $\alpha K^{\times p}$ the class of \mathfrak{a} , we obtain the exact sequence, where ${}_{p}\mathcal{C}\!\ell := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}\!\ell, \ \gamma^p = 1\}$:

$$1 \longrightarrow E/E^p \longrightarrow AK^{\times p}/K^{\times p} \longrightarrow {}_p\mathcal{C}\ell \longrightarrow 1,$$

giving $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(AK^{\times p}/K^{\times p}) = \frac{p-1}{2} + \operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C})$. Thus the computation of $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((AK^{\times p}/K^{\times p})_{\theta})$ is immediate from the value of $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\theta})$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((E/E^p)_{\theta}) = 1$ (resp. 0) if $\theta \in \mathscr{X}_+ \cup \{\omega\}$ (resp. $\theta \in \mathscr{X}_+^* \cup \{1\}$).

(ii) The general condition of *p*-primarity for any $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ (α prime to *p* but not necessarily a pseudo-unit) is " α congruent to a *p*th power modulo $\mathfrak{p}^p = (p)\mathfrak{p}$ " (e.g., [15, Ch. I, §6, (b), Theorem 6.3]). Since in any case (replacing α by α^{p-1}) we can assume $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, the above condition is then equivalent to $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p}$ (indeed, for any $x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$) we get $x^p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p}$).

For the pseudo-units of K, the *p*-primarity may be characterized as follows:

Proposition 2.3. Let $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ be a pseudo-unit. Then α is p-primary if and only if it is a local pth power at \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. One direction is trivial. Suppose that $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} ; since $\alpha = \mathfrak{a}^p$, this extension is unramified as a global extension and is contained in the *p*-Hilbert class field H of K. The Frobenius automorphism in H/K of the *principal ideal* $\mathfrak{p} = (\zeta_p - 1)$ is trivial; so \mathfrak{p} totally splits in H/K, thus in $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$, proving the proposition.

When α is not necessarily a pseudo-unit, we have a similar result provided we only look at the *p*-primarity of α_{θ} for $\theta \neq 1, \omega$:

Proposition 2.4. Let $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $m \in [2, p-2]$ and let α_{θ} for $\theta = \omega^m$. Then $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^m}$; moreover α_{θ} is p-primary if and only if $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, in which case one gets $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{m+p-1}} = (p)\mathfrak{p}^m$.

Proof. Consider the Dwork uniformizing parameter ϖ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\mu_p]$ which has the following properties:

(i)
$$\varpi^{p-1} = -p$$
,
(ii) $s(\varpi) = \omega(s) \cdot \varpi$, for all $s \in G$.

Put $\alpha_{\theta} = 1 + \varpi^{k} u$, where u is a unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\varpi]$ and $k \geq 1$; let $u_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ be such that $u \equiv u_{0}$ (mod ϖ) giving $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 + \varpi^{k} u_{0} \pmod{\varpi^{k+1}}$. Since $\alpha_{\theta}^{s} = \alpha_{\theta}^{\theta(s)}$ in $K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we get, for all $s \in G$:

$$1 + s(\varpi^k) u_0 = 1 + \omega^k(s) \, \varpi^k u_0 \equiv (1 + \varpi^k u_0)^{\theta(s)} \equiv 1 + \omega^m(s) \, \varpi^k u_0 \pmod{\pi^{k+1}},$$

which implies $k \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$ and $\alpha_{\theta} = 1 + \varpi^k u$, $k \in \{m, m+p-1, \ldots\}$; whence the first claim. The *p*-primarity condition for α_{θ} is $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^p}$ giving the obvious direction " $\alpha_{\theta} p$ -primary $\Rightarrow \alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ " since $(\varpi^p) = (p \varpi)$.

Suppose $\alpha_{\theta} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{p-1}}$; so k = m does not work in the writing $\alpha_{\theta} = 1 + \varpi^k u$ since $m \leq p-2$, and necessarily k is at least $m+p-1 \geq p+1$, because $m \geq 2$ (which is also the local *p*th power condition).

3. Abelian *p*-ramification

Let's give an overview of the theory of abelian *p*-ramification, which is not our main purpose, but the natural framework for Vandiver's conjecture and Gauss sums.

3.1. Vandiver's conjecture and abelian *p*-ramification. Let *U* be the group of principal local units at *p* of *K* and let \overline{E} be the closure of the image of *E* in *U*. Let \mathcal{T} be the torsion group of the Galois group of the maximal abelian *p*-ramified (i.e., unramified outside *p*) pro-*p*-extension H^{pr} of *K*. This extension contains the *p*-Hilbert class field *H* and the compositum \widetilde{K} of the \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of *K*. In the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$, the theory is summarized by the following exact sequences (since Leopoldt's conjecture holds for abelian fields):

$$1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(U/\overline{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow 1$$
$$1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(U)/\operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\overline{E}) = 1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(U/\overline{E}) \xrightarrow{\log} \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ corresponds, by class field theory, to the subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}(H/H \cap \widetilde{K})$, and where $\mathcal{R} := \operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\log(U)/\log(\overline{E}))$ is the normalized *p*-adic regulator [19, Proposition 5.2]. Taking the θ -components, we obtain the exact sequences (where $\mathcal{R}_{\theta} = 1$ for all odd θ):

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\theta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\theta} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}\!\ell_{\theta} \longrightarrow 1.$$

For more information, see [15, 17, 19]. We then have $\operatorname{Gal}(H^{\operatorname{pr}}/K) \simeq \Gamma \oplus \mathcal{T} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ where $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K}/K)$ is such that $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\Gamma_- \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G]_-$ giving $\Gamma_\theta \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all odd θ .

Write $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_+ \oplus \mathcal{T}_-$ and define $H_-^{\mathrm{pr}} \subseteq H^{\mathrm{pr}}$ (fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}(H^{\mathrm{pr}}/K)_+$), then $H_+^{\mathrm{pr}} \subseteq H^{\mathrm{pr}}$ (fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}(H^{\mathrm{pr}}/K)_-$). Thus $\operatorname{Gal}(H_+^{\mathrm{pr}}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathcal{T}_+$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(H_-^{\mathrm{pr}}/K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{T}_-$.

One defines in the same way the fields H_{θ}^{pr} for which $\operatorname{Gal}(H_{\theta}^{\mathrm{pr}}/K) \simeq \Gamma_{\theta} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\theta}$ (reduced to \mathcal{T}_{θ} , finite, for all $\theta \in \mathscr{X}_+$). We have $H_{\theta} \subset H_{\theta}^{\mathrm{pr}}$ in terms of components of H.

Note that $H_+^{\rm pr}/K$ is decomposed over K_+ to give the maximal abelian *p*-ramified pro-*p*-extension of K_+ .

Theorem 3.1. For all irreducible p-adic character θ of K, we have $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_{\theta^*}) = \operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\theta})$.

Proof. We will give an outline of this famous reflection result as follows from classical Kummer duality between radicals and Galois groups (see, e.g., [15, Theorem I.6.2 & Corollary I.6.2.1]), using the fact that $K(\sqrt[p]{\beta})/K$, $\beta \in K^{\times}$, is *p*-ramified if and only if $(\beta) = \mathfrak{p}^e \cdot \mathfrak{A}^p$, $e \geq 0, \mathfrak{A} \in I$. We shall have to take the θ or θ^* -components for each object considered in $K^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, $I \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \dots$, modulo *p*th powers:

Let θ be even. The Kummer radical of the compositum of the cyclic extensions of degree p of K, contained in $H_{\theta^*}^{\mathrm{pr}}$, is generated (modulo $K^{\times p}$) by the part E_{θ} of real units, giving a p-rank 1 for $\theta \neq 1$ (and 0 for $\theta = 1$), by p (of character 1), and by the pseudo-units α_{θ} comming from the elements of order p of \mathcal{C}_{θ} , which gives a radical of p-rank $1 + \mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\theta})$. Since $\mathrm{rk}_p(\mathrm{Gal}(H_{\theta^*}^{\mathrm{pr}}/K)) = 1 + \mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_{\theta^*})$, we get $\mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_{\theta^*}) = \mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\theta})$. Similarly, we have $\mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_{\theta}) = \mathrm{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\theta^*})$.

Corollary 3.2. One has $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_\omega = \mathcal{C}_\omega = \mathcal{C}_1 = 1$ and for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, we have $\mathcal{R}_{\chi*} = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\chi*} = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\chi*} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\chi*}$, which establishes the Hecke reflection theorem or Leopoldt spiegelungssatz $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}) = \operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi}) + \delta_{\chi}, \ \delta_{\chi} \in \{0,1\}$ since $\Gamma_{\chi*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ (particular case of [15, Theorem II.5.4.5, 5.4.9.2]).

Remark 3.3. (i) One says that K is p-rational if $\mathcal{T} = 1$ (same definition for any number field fulfilling the Leopoldt conjecture at p; see [17, 21] for more details and programs testing the p-rationality of any number field). For the pth cyclotomic field K this is equivalent to its "p-regularity" in the more general context of "regular kernel" given in [12, Théorème 4.1] ($\mathcal{T}_{-} = 1$ may be interpreted as the conjectural "relative p-rationality" of K).

(ii) As we have seen, at each unramified cyclic extension L_+ of degree p of K_+ is associated a p-primary pseudo-unit $\alpha \in (K^{\times}/K^{\times p})_-$ such that $L_+K = K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})$. Put $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{A}^p$, where $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{A}) \in \mathscr{C}_-$; moreover \mathfrak{A} is not principal, otherwise α should be, up to a pth power factor, a unit ε such that $\varepsilon^{1+s_{-1}} = 1$, which gives $\varepsilon \in \mu_p$ (absurd). In the same way, if G operates via χ on $\operatorname{Gal}(L_+/K_+)$ then by Kummer duality G operates via χ^* on $\langle \alpha \rangle K^{\times p}/K^{\times p}$.

(iii) As explained in the Introduction, we shall prove in Section 4 that such pseudo-units α may be found by means of twists $g_c(\ell) := \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c}$ associated to primes $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and Artin automorphisms σ_c .

3.2. Vandiver's conjecture and Gauss sums. Recall the formula [15, Corollary III.2.6.1]:

$$#\mathcal{T}_{-} = \frac{#\mathcal{C}\ell_{-}}{#(\mathbb{Z}_p \log(I) / \mathbb{Z}_p \log(U))_{-}},$$

where I is the group of prime to p ideals of K and $U = 1 + \varpi \mathbb{Z}_p[\varpi]$. For any $\mathfrak{A} \in I$, let $m \geq 1$ be such that $\mathfrak{A}^m = (\alpha)$, then $\log(\mathfrak{A}) := \frac{1}{m} \log(\alpha)$ where log is the p-adic logarithm; taking the minus parts, $\log(\mathfrak{A})$ becomes well-defined since $\mathbb{Q}_p \log(E)_- = 0$. We obtain:

(2)
$$\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi*} = \frac{\#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}}{\#\left(\mathbb{Z}_p \log(I)/\mathbb{Z}_p \log(U)\right)_{\chi*}}, \text{ for all } \chi =: \omega^n \in \mathscr{X}_+$$

The following reasonning (from [14, §3]) gives another interpretation of the result of Iwasawa [28]. Consider the Stickelberger element $S := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} a s_a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$; it is such that $S \cdot e_{\chi*} = B_{1, (\chi^*)^{-1}} \cdot e_{\chi*} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$; then $\chi^* = \omega^{p-n}$ for which $\#\mathscr{C}\ell_{\chi*}$ corresponds to the ordinary Berrnoulli numbers B_n giving the "exponents of *p*-irregularity" *n* for $B_n \equiv 0$ (mod *p*) (see Definitions 1.3 (vii)). Let ℓ be a prime number totally split in K (thus $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). Let ψ be a character of order p of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$. We define the Gauss sum (where ξ_{ℓ} is a primitive ℓ th root of unity):

(3)
$$\tau(\psi) := -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \psi(x) \,\xi_{\ell}^{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p\,\ell}].$$

Lemma 3.4. We have $\tau(\psi)^{\sigma_a} = \psi(a)^{-a} \tau(\psi^a)$, where σ_a is the Artin automorphism attached to a in $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p\,\ell})/\mathbb{Q})$, and $\tau(\psi)^p \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$; then $\tau(\psi) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p\,\ell}]}$.

Proof. By definition of σ_a , one has $\tau(\psi)^{\sigma_a} = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \psi(x)^a \xi_{\ell}^{ax} = -\psi^a(a^{-1}) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \psi^a(y) \xi_{\ell}^y$; whence the second claim taking $\sigma_a \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p\ell})/K)$ (i.e., $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). Then $\tau(\psi) \equiv -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \xi_{\ell}^x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p\ell}]$); since ℓ is prime, $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \xi_{\ell}^x = -1$.

We then have the fundamental classical relation in K (see [52, §§ 6.1, 6.2, 15.1]):

(4)
$$\mathfrak{L}^{pS} = \tau(\psi)^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],$$

for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ such that ψ is defined on the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/\mathfrak{L} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$.

Remark 3.5. (i) Since various choices of $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell, \xi_{\ell}$ and ψ , from a given ℓ , correspond to Galois conjugations and/or products by a *p*th root of unity, we denote simply $\tau(\psi)$ such a Gauss sum, where ψ is for instance the canonical character of order *p*; for convenience, we shall have in mind that ℓ defines such a $\tau(\psi)$ (and some other objects) in an obvious way. One verifies that the forthcoming properties (*p*-primarities, Kummer radicals...) do not depend on these choices especially because of the action of the θ -components.

(ii) If we consider $\alpha := \tau(\psi)^p \in K^{\times}$ as the Kummer radical of the cyclic extension $M_{\ell} := K(\tau(\psi))$ of K, we have $\alpha^{c-s_c} =: g_c(\ell)^p$, where $g_c(\ell) := \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c} \in K^{\times}$; which gives $M_{\ell} = K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha}) = F_{\ell}K$, where F_{ℓ} is the subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell})$ of degree p (the character of $\langle \alpha \rangle K^{\times p}/K^{\times p}$ is ω and that of $\operatorname{Gal}(M_{\ell}/K)$ is 1). Thus p is unramified in M_{ℓ}/K (which is coherent with $\tau(\psi) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{p+1}}$) implying $\tau(\psi)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p}$; it splits if and only if $\tau(\psi)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{p+1}}$.

Taking the logarithms in (4), we obtain, for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$:

$$(S \cdot e_{\chi*}) \cdot \log(\mathfrak{L}) = B_{1, (\chi^*)^{-1}} \cdot \log(\mathfrak{L}) \cdot e_{\chi*} = \log(\tau(\psi)) \cdot e_{\chi*},$$

where $\log(\tau(\psi)) := \frac{1}{p} \log(\tau(\psi)^p) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\varpi]$. Put $B_{1, (\chi^*)^{-1}} \sim p^e, e \ge 1$, where \sim means equality up to a *p*-adic unit. Then $p^e \mathbb{Z}_p \log(\mathfrak{L}) \cdot e_{\chi^*} = \mathbb{Z}_p \log(\tau(\psi)) \cdot e_{\chi^*}$, thus, from (2), since I/Pmay be represented by prime ideals of degree 1:

(5)
$$\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi*} = \frac{p^e}{\#\left(\mathbb{Z}_p \log\left(\mathcal{G}\right)/p^e \log\left(U\right)\right)_{\chi*}}$$

where \mathcal{G} is the group generated by all the previous Gauss sums.

So, the "Vandiver conjecture at $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$ " is equivalent to $(\mathbb{Z}_p \log (\mathcal{G})/\log(U))_{\chi*} = 1$, and is, as expected, obviously fulfilled if e = 0. The whole Vandiver conjecture is equivalent to the fact that the images of the Gauss sums in U generate the minus part of this \mathbb{Z}_p -module giving again Iwasawa's result [28].

We shall from now make the following working hypothesis which corresponds to the more subtle case for testing Vandiver's conjecture with Theorems 4.7, 4.9 (or Theorem 1.2), the case where some $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}$ are not cyclic being obvious for all the forthcoming statements, as soon as one knows that $B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} \sim p^e$ gives the order of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}$ thus its annihilation and identities of the form $\mathfrak{a}^{p^e} = (\beta^p), \beta \in K^{\times}$. So, this will give $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_0(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (see § 4.2):

Hypothesis 3.6 (Cyclicity hypothesis). We assume that, for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, the component $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}$ of the p-class group is cyclic (which implies the cyclicity of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi}$); in other words, we restrict ourselves to the case where $\mathcal{C}\ell$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -monogenous (cf. Definition 1.3 (viii)), giving $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}\ell_-) = i(p)$.

3.3. Vandiver's conjecture and ray class group modulo (p). Assume the Hypothesis 3.6 and let $\chi = \omega^n \in \mathscr{X}_+$ be such that $B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} \sim p^e$, $e \geq 1$ (i.e., $\mathscr{C}_{\chi^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$); thus, from (5), we have $\mathcal{T}_{\chi^*} = 1$ (i.e., $\mathscr{C}_{\chi} = 1$) if and only if there exists a prime number $\ell \equiv 1$ (mod p) such that the corresponding $\log(\tau(\psi)_{\chi^*})$ generates $\log(U_{\chi^*}) = \log(1 + \varpi^{p-n}\mathbb{Z}_p[\varpi]) = \varpi^{p-n}\mathbb{Z}_p[\varpi]$ (Proposition 2.4), which indicates analytically the non-p-primarity of $\tau(\psi)_{\chi^*}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ since n > 1.

There is also the fact that the Gauss sums (or the $g_c(\ell)$), considered modulo *p*th powers and computed modulo *p*, are indexed by infinitely many ℓ ; in other words there are some non-obvious large periodicities in the results as ℓ varies since numerical data are finite in number.

This may be explained as follows (giving also an interesting criterion which will imply new heuristics):

Theorem 3.7. Let $\mathcal{C}^{(p)}$ be the p-subgroup of the ray class group $I/\{(x), x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$ of modulus $p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Then for any $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, we have (under the Hypothesis 3.6) the following properties:

 $(i) # \mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}^{(p)} = p \cdot # \mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}.$

(ii) The condition $\mathcal{C}_{\chi} = 1$ is equivalent to the cyclicity of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}^{(p)}$.

Proof. Let $V := \{x \in K^{\times}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ and $W := \{x \in K^{\times}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$. Since $E_{\chi*} = 1$, we have the exact sequence (using Proposition 2.4):

$$1 \to (V/W)_{\chi*} \simeq \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}^{(p)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*} \to 1,$$

giving (i). The statement (ii) is obvious if $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*} = 1$. Suppose $\#\mathcal{C}_{\chi*} = p^e$, with $e \ge 1$.

Then $\mathcal{C}_{\chi} = 1$ implies $\mathcal{T}_{\chi*} = 1$ (from Theorem 3.1) which implies $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}^{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{e+1}\mathbb{Z}$: indeed, the χ^* -part $H_{\chi*}^{\mathrm{pr}}/K$ of the pro-*p*-extension H^{pr}/K is a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension, thus the *p*-ray class field corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}^{(p)}$, contained in $H_{\chi*}^{\mathrm{pr}}$, is a cyclic extension of K.

Reciprocally, if $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}^{(p)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{e+1}\mathbb{Z}$, $e \geq 1$ (thus $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$), there exists \mathfrak{A} (whose class generates $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}^{(p)}$) such that $\mathfrak{A}_{\chi*}^{p^e} = (\alpha_{\chi*})$ (where $\alpha_{\chi*}$ is unique up to a *p*th power since $E_{\chi*} = 1$) with $\alpha_{\chi*} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{p-n}}$ ($\chi =: \omega^n, n \in [2, p-3]$ even), but $\alpha_{\chi*} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Note that $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{T}_{\chi}) = \operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}) = 1$. Thus $\alpha_{\chi*}$ defines the radical of the unique *p*-ramified (but not unramified) cyclic extension of degree *p* of *K* decomposed over K_+ into L_+/K_+ and contained in $H_{\chi}^{\operatorname{pr}}$ (its Galois group is a quotient of order *p* of the *cyclic group* \mathcal{T}_{χ} since $\Gamma_{\chi} = 1$ for an even $\chi \neq 1$); thus $\mathcal{C}_{\chi} = 1$.

4. Twists of Gauss sums associated to primes $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Let \mathscr{L}_p be the set of primes ℓ totally split in K (namely, $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$). For $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, let $\psi : \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times} \to \mu_p$ be a multiplive character of order p; if g is a primitive root modulo ℓ , we put $\psi(g \pmod{\ell}) = \zeta_p$. Let ξ_{ℓ} be a primitive ℓ -th root of unity; then the Gauss sum associated to ℓ may be written in $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_p_\ell]$:

(6)
$$\tau(\psi) := -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}} \psi(x) \cdot \xi_{\ell}^{x} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-2} \zeta_{p}^{k} \cdot \xi_{\ell}^{g^{k}}.$$

4.1. Computation and properties of the twists $\mathbf{g}_c(\ell) := \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c}$. Let $c \in [2, p-2]$ be a primitive root modulo p; to get an integer of K (a PARI/GP program in $\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p\ell}]$ overflows as ℓ increases, even if $\tau(\psi)_{\chi*} = \tau(\psi)^{e'_{\chi*}}$ makes sense in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, a posteriori), one uses the twist $\tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c}$, where σ_c is the Artin automorphism attached to c in $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p\ell})/\mathbb{Q})$. We define for $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ (cf. Lemma 3.4):

(7)
$$g_c(\ell) := \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$$
 (see formulas (3), (4) and Remark 3.5).

giving for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, up to $K^{\times p}$ for the generators of ideals:

$$\mathfrak{L}^{S_c} = \mathfrak{g}_c(\ell) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \& \mathfrak{L}^{(c-\chi*(s_c)) \cdot B_{1, \, (\chi^*)^{-1}}}_{\chi*} = \mathfrak{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi*} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$$

(see Definitions 1.3), where $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ in K, $S_c := (c - s_c) \cdot S \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is the corresponding twist of the Stickelberger element and where $g_c(\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Put:

(8)
$$b_c(\chi^*) := (c - \chi^*(s_c)) \cdot B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} \sim B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}}$$
, for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$.

Then we obtain the main relation that will be of a constant use:

(9)
$$\mathfrak{L}^{b_c(\chi*)}_{\chi*} = g_c(\ell)_{\chi*} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$$

Remark 4.1. (i) In the above definition (7) of $g_c(\ell)$, $\tau(\psi)^{\sigma_c} = \tau(\psi^c) \cdot \psi^{-c}(c)$ (Lemma 3.4); but for all $\chi \neq 1$, $\mu_p^{e_{\chi^*}} = 1$, defining $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ without ambiguity up to $K^{\times p}$, which does not change the *p*-primarity properties. But in some sense the best definition of the twists should be $\psi^{-c}(c) \cdot g_c(\ell) = \psi^{-c}(c) \cdot \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c}$.

(ii) Note that, since $\tau(\psi)^{1+s_{-1}} = \ell$, this yields $g_c(\ell)_{\chi} \in K^{\times p}$ for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ be given. Then $\psi^{-c}(c) \cdot g_c(\ell)$ is a product of Jacobi sums and $\psi^{-c}(c) \cdot g_c(\ell) \equiv g_c(\ell) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. The classical formula [52, § 6.1] for Jacobi sums (with $\psi \psi' \neq 1$) is:

$$J(\psi,\psi') := \tau(\psi) \cdot \tau(\psi') \cdot \tau(\psi\,\psi')^{-1} = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \setminus \{0,1\}} \psi(x) \cdot \psi'(1-x).$$

Whence $\tau(\psi)^c = J_1 \cdots J_{c-1} \cdot \tau(\psi^c)$, where $J_i = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_\ell \setminus \{0,1\}} \psi^i(x) \cdot \psi(1-x)$, thus:

$$\tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c} = J_1 \cdots J_{c-1} \cdot \tau(\psi^c) \, \tau(\psi)^{-\sigma_c} = J_1 \cdots J_{c-1} \cdot \psi^c(c),$$

from Lemma 3.4; then $\tau(\psi) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}[\mu_{p\ell}]}$ implies the result for $g_c(\ell)$.

Thus, in the numerical computations, we shall use the relation:

(10)
$$g_c(\ell)_{\chi*} = (J_1 \cdots J_{c-1})_{\chi*} \text{ for any } \chi \in \mathscr{X}_+.$$

The following definitions will be of constant use in the paper:

Definition 4.3 (exponents of *p*-primarity and *p*-irregularity). (i) We call set of exponents of *p*-primarity, of a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, the set $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ of even integers $n \in [2, p-3]$ such that $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^{p-n}}$ is *p*-primary, thus $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^{p-n}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (Definition 2.1 (ii), Proposition 2.4).

(ii) We call set of exponents of *p*-irregularity, the set $\mathscr{E}_0(p)$ of even integers $n \in [2, p-3]$ such that $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, thus, $B_{1,\omega^{n-1}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (see Definitions 1.3 (vii)).

Remark 4.4. Let $\chi =: \omega^n \in \mathscr{X}_+$ and $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$. If $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_*}$ is *p*-primary $(n \in \mathscr{E}_\ell(p))$ this does not give necessarily a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture for the two following possible reasons considering $S_c e_{\chi_*} = b_c(\chi^*) e_{\chi_*}$; recall that from (8),

$$b_c(\chi^*) = (c - \chi^*(s_c)) \cdot B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} \sim B_{1,(\chi^*)^{-1}} = B_{1,\omega^{n-1}}.$$

(i) The number $b_c(\chi^*)$ is a *p*-adic unit $(n \notin \mathscr{E}_0(p))$, so the radical $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is not the *p*th power of an ideal (thus not a pseudo-unit, even if Proposition 2.4 applies) and leads to a cyclic ℓ -ramified Kummer extension of degree p of K_+ .

For instance, for p = 11 (c = 2), $\ell = 23$, the exponent of 11-primarity is n = 2 so that $\alpha := g_c(\ell)_{\chi*}$ is the integer (where $x = \zeta_{11}$):

```
-8491773970656065727678427465045288222*x^{9}-1963231019856677733688722439078492228*x^{8}+11757523232198873159205810348854526320*x^{7}-5860674150310922200348907606983566648*x^{6}-644088006192816851608142123579276962*x^{5}-611074014289231284308386817199658010*x^{4}+2673005955545675004066087284224877298*x^{3}+15023028737838809151251842166615658188*x^{2}+1520229819300797188419125563036321734*x+17836238554732163868933693789025679469
```

for which $K(\sqrt[1]{\alpha})/K$ is decomposed over K_+ into L_+/K_+ , ℓ -ramified; then (α) is a product of prime ideals above ℓ $(s = s_2)$: $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}^{1+2s+2^2s^2+2^3s^3+2^4s^4+2^5s^5+2^6s^6+2^7s^7+2^8s^8+2^9s^9}$, up to the 11th power of an ℓ -ideal. We get $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \ell^{275}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha - 1) \sim 11^{13}$. In fact the program gives $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_1^{25} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_2^{27} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_3^{31} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_4^{24} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_5^{28} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_6^{15} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_3^{30} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_8^{23} \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{10}^{32}$ and one must discover the significance given above ! Here $b_c(\chi^*) \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$.

(ii) The number $b_c(\chi^*)$ is divisible by p, but the ideal \mathfrak{L}_{χ^*} is p-principal and then $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is a pth power in K^{\times} (numerical examples in § 4.4.1).

4.2. First main theorem. So, from the previous Remark 4.4, a sufficient condition for the existence of a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture is the existence of $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$ and $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ such that the three following conditions are fulfilled:

(a) b_c(χ*) ≡ 0 (mod p),
(b) g_c(ℓ)_{χ*} is p-primary,
(c) g_c(ℓ)_{χ*} is not a global pth power.

We make here a fundamental remark:

Remark 4.5. If $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}) \geq 2$ for $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0} \in \mathscr{X}_+$ (giving a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture), we get, from the "Main Theorem", $\#\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \sim b_c(\chi_0^*)$; then the *p*-part of $b_c(\chi_0^*)$ is strictely larger than the exponent of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}$ so that, in any relation $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{b_c(\chi_0^*)} = (g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*})$ where $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ define a generating class of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}$, necessarily $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is a global *p*th power (condition (c) is never fulfilled), whence the property $n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_\ell(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_0(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$; thus Theorems 4.7 and 4.9 will apply for trivial reasons and we can go back to the cases $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}) < 2$ (Hypothesis 3.6) for the reciprocal.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$ such that $\mathscr{C}_{\chi} \neq 1$. There exists a totally split prime ideal \mathfrak{L} such that $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi*}$ represents a generator of $\mathscr{C}_{\chi*}$. Then $\mathfrak{L}^{S_c e_{\chi*}} = \mathfrak{L}_{\chi*}^{b_c(\chi*)} = (\alpha_{\chi*})$, where $\alpha_{\chi*}$ is unique (up to a pth power), thus equal to $\mathfrak{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi*}$ which is p-primary and not a global pth power.

Proof. From the Chebotarev density theorem in H/\mathbb{Q} , there exists a prime ℓ and $\overline{\mathfrak{L}} \mid \ell$ in H such that the Frobenius $\left(\frac{H/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$ generates the subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(H/K)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*}$ by class field theory. So ℓ splits completely in K/\mathbb{Q} ($\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$) and the ideal \mathfrak{L} of K under $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}$ is (as $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi*}$) a representative of a generator of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p/b_c(\chi^*)\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi*}^{b_c(\chi^*)} = (\alpha_{\chi*})$ where $\alpha_{\chi*} \notin K^{\times p}$; $\alpha_{\chi*}$ is unique since $E_{\chi*} = 1$ for $\chi^* \neq \omega$. In terms of Gauss sums, $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi*}^{b_c(\chi^*)} = (\mathfrak{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi*})$, thus $\alpha_{\chi*} = \mathfrak{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi*}$. The *p*-primarity of $\alpha_{\chi*}$ is necessary to obtain the unique (still thanks to Hypothesis 3.6) unramified Kummer extension $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_{\chi*}})/K$ of degree p, decomposed over K_+ into the unramified extension L_+/K_+ of degree p in H_{χ} , associated to $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi}/\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi}^p$ by class field theory, whence the *p*-primarity of $\mathfrak{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi*}$.

Drawing the consequences of the above, we get, unconditionally, the main test for Vandiver's conjecture stated in the Introduction (Theorem 1.2(a)). We refer to the relations (7), (8), (9) and the Definition 4.3.

Theorem 4.7. Vandiver's conjecture holds for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ if and only if there exists $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_{0}(p) = \emptyset$.

Proof. As explained in the Remark 4.5, we may assume the cyclicity Hypothesis 3.6. Suppose $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_{0}(p) = \emptyset$ and consider, for $\chi =: \omega^{n} \in \mathscr{X}_{+}$, and $\chi^{*} = \omega^{p-n}$, the relation $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi^{*}}^{b_{c}(\chi^{*})} = (\mathfrak{g}_{c}(\ell)_{\chi^{*}})$ for the prime ℓ under consideration, and examine the two possibilities:

(i) If n is not an exponent of p-irregularity (namely, $b_c(\chi^*) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $B_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$), then $\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\chi} = 1$ from reflection theorem (Corollary 3.2).

(ii) If n is an exponent of p-irregularity, then $b_c(\chi^*) \sim p^e$, $e \geq 1$, giving, for some p-adic unit u, $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi^*}^{p^e u} = (g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*})$ (Lemma 4.6); if $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi^*}^{p^{e-1}u}$ is p-principal, then $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is a global pth power, hence p-primary (absurd by assumption). So \mathfrak{L}_{χ^*} defines a class of order p^e in \mathcal{C}_{χ^*} for which the pseudo-unit $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is not p-primary by assumption; since $\operatorname{Gal}(H_{\chi}^{\mathrm{pr}}/K_+) = \mathcal{T}_{\chi}$ is cyclic, from relation (3.1), by Kummer duality $K(\sqrt[p]{g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}})$ is the unique extension cyclic of degree p, decomposed over K_+ and contained in H_{χ}^{pr} . Since it is ramified at p and since H_{χ}^{pr} contains the χ -component of the p-Hilbert class field of K_+ , this implies $\mathcal{C}_{\chi} = 1$.

Reciprocally, if Vandiver's conjecture holds, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{-}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -monogenous, thus the direct sum of non-trivial cyclic isotypic components generated by some *p*-classes $\gamma^{(n_i)} = c\ell(\mathfrak{L}_{\omega^{p-n_i}}^{(n_i)}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega^{p-n_i}}(n_i \in \mathscr{E}_0(p))$ related to non-*p*-primary $g_c(\ell^{(n_i)})_{\omega^{p-n_i}}$; thus there exists, from density theorem, $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ and $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ such that $c\ell(\mathfrak{L})_{\omega^{p-n_i}} = \gamma^{(n_i)}$ for all *i* (e.g., $\mathfrak{L} = (z) \cdot \prod_i \mathfrak{L}_{\omega^{p-n_i}}^{(n_i)})$. So each $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^{p-n_i}} = g_c(\ell^{(n_i)})_{\omega^{p-n_i}}$ (up to a *p*th power) is non-*p*-primary, whence $\mathscr{E}_\ell(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_0(p) = \emptyset$ for this prime ℓ .

Corollary 4.8. Let $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$. If, for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, the numbers $g_c(\ell)_{\chi*}$ are not p-primary (i.e., $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) = \emptyset$), then the Vandiver conjecture holds for p.

4.2.1. Program computing $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$. For $p \in [3, 199]$ and for the least $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, the program computes $g_c(\ell)$ in Mod(J, P), with $\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{polcyclo}(\mathsf{p})$, where $\mathsf{J} = \mathsf{J}_1 \cdots \mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{c}-1}$ is written in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ modulo $p\mathbb{Z}[x]$; **c** is a primitive root (mod **p**) (see the relation (10)).

For the computation of J_i we use the discrete logarithm znlog to interpret the $1 - g^k$ in $g^{\mathbb{Z}/(\ell-1)\mathbb{Z}}$. We put $\chi = \omega^n \& \chi^* = \omega^{1-n}$, taking n = 2 * m for m in [1, (p-3)/2].

The program takes into account the relation $J^{1+s_{-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ in the action of the idempotents and drops the coefficient $\frac{1}{p-1}$ in $e_{\chi*}$ (in which $\chi^*(s_a^{-1})$ is replaced by the residue of a^{n-1} modulo p), thus computes in reality $g_c(\ell)^{-1/2}$ up to pth powers. Then the polynomials Jj give, in the list LJ, the powers J^j modulo $p, j = 1, \ldots, p-1$.

The result is given in

$$\mathsf{Sn} = \prod_{\mathsf{a}=1}^{(\mathsf{p}-1)/2} \mathsf{s}_{\mathsf{a}}(\mathsf{J}^{\mathsf{an}}), \quad \text{from} \quad \mathsf{g}_{c}(\ell)_{\chi*}^{-1/2} = \prod_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} s_{a}(\mathsf{g}_{c}(\ell)^{\omega^{n-1}(a)})$$

(up to a *p*th power), where $\omega^{n-1}(a) \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{p}$ is computed in an and J^{an} is given by component(LJ, an). The conjugate $s_a(J^{an})$ is computed in sJan via the conjugation $x \mapsto x^a$ in J^{an} , whence the product in Sn (the exponents of *p*-primarity are denoted expp):

Note: To copy and past the programs in verbatim text, one must perhaps replace the symbol of power (in a^b) by the PARI/GP symbol (= that of the keyboard); otherwise the program does not work (this is due to the character font used by some Journals).

```
{forprime(p=3,200,c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p)+Mod(0,p);
X=Mod(x,P);el=1;while(isprime(el)==0,el=el+2*p);g=znprimroot(el);
print("p=",p," el=",el," c=",c," g=",g);J=1;for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;
for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));Ji=Ji-X^e);J=J*Ji);
LJ=List;Jj=1;for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));
for(m=1,(p-3)/2,n=2*m;Sn=Mod(1,P);for(a=1,(p-1)/2,
an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));Jan=component(LJ,an);sJan=Mod(0,P);
for(j=0,p-2,aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));sJan=sJan+x^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));
Sn=Sn*sJan);if(Sn==1,print(" exponents of p-primarity: ",n))))}
p=3
            el=7
                         c=2 g=3
                                                                       p=97 el=389 c=5 g=2 expp:26
            el=11 c=2 g=2
                                                                       p=101 el=607 c=2 g=3 expp:10
p=5
                                                                    p=103 el=619 c=5 g=3
p=7
            el=29 c=2 g=2
                                                                   p=107 el=643 c=2 g=11
p=11 el=23 c=3 g=5 expp:2
p=13 el=53 c=2 g=2
                                                                    p=109 el=1091 c=6 g=2 expp:14,86
p=17 el=103 c=3 g=5
                                                                   p=113 el=227 c=3 g=2
p=19 el=191 c=4 g=19
                                                                   p=127 el=509 c=3 g=2
p=23 el=47 c=2 g=5
                                                                   p=131 el=263 c=2 g=5 expp:16

      p=23
      el=47
      c=2
      g=0
      p=137
      el=823
      c=3
      g=3
      expp:78

      p=31
      el=311
      c=7
      g=17
      p=139
      el=557
      c=2
      g=2

      p=37
      el=149
      c=2
      g=2
      p=149
      el=1190
      c=2
      g=3

      p=41
      el=83
      c=6
      g=2
      p=151
      el=907
      c=6
      g=2

      p=43
      el=173
      c=9
      g=2
      expp:26
      p=157
      el=1571
      c=5
      g=2
      expp:94

      p=47
      el=283
      c=2
      g=3
      p=163
      el=653
      c=2
      g=2
      expp:42

      p=53
      el=107
      c=2
      g=2
      expp:34,10
      p=167
      el=2339
      c=5
      g=2
      expp:122

      c=2
      c=2
      c=2
      p=173
      el=347
      c=2
      g=2

p=37 el=149 c=2 g=2
                                                                   p=149 el=1193 c=2 g=3

      p=50
      e1=107
      c=2
      g=2
      cappion,10
      p=107
      c=2
      g=2

      p=59
      e1=709
      c=3
      g=2
      p=173
      e1=347
      c=2
      g=2

      p=61
      e1=367
      c=2
      g=6
      p=179
      e1=359
      c=2
      g=7
      expp:138

      p=67
      e1=269
      c=4
      g=2
      p=181
      e1=1087
      c=2
      g=3
      expp:114,164

      p=71
      e1=569
      c=2
      g=3
      p=191
      e1=383
      c=19
      g=5

      p=73
      e1=293
      c=5
      g=2
      p=193
      e1=773
      c=5
      g=2
      expp:108,172

p=79 el=317 c=2 g=2
                                                                   p=197 el=3547 c=2 g=2 expp:62
p=83 el=167 c=3 g=5
                                                                    p=199 el=797 c=3 g=2
p=89 el=179 c=3 g=2
```

4.2.2. Minimal prime $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) = \emptyset$. The following program examines, for each p, the successive prime numbers $\ell_i \in \mathscr{L}_p$, $i \geq 1$, and returns the first one, ℓ_N (in L with its index N), such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell_N}(p) = \emptyset$. Its existence is of course a strong conjecture, but the numerical results are extremely favorable to the existence of such primes; which strengthens the conjecture of Vandiver. Moreover, since the integer $i(p) = \#\mathscr{E}_0(p)$ is rather small regarding p, as doubtless for $\#\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$, and can be both in $O(\frac{\log(p)}{\log(\log(p))})$, the intersection $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_0(p)$ may be easily empty if these sets are independent. The experiments give the impression that the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ are random when ℓ varies and have no link with $\mathscr{E}_0(p)$.

```
{forprime(p=3,100,c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p)+Mod(0,p);
N=0;T=1;el=1;while(T==1,el=el+2*p;if(isprime(el)==1,N=N+1;g=znprimroot(el);
J=Mod(1,P);for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);
e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));Ji=Ji-x^e);J=J*Ji);LJ=List;Jj=1;
for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));T=0;for(m=1,(p-3)/2,n=2*m;
Sn=Mod(1,P);for(a=1,(p-1)/2,an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));Jan=component(LJ,an);
sJan=0;for(j=0,p-2,aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));sJan=sJan+x^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));
Sn=Sn*sJan);if(Sn==1,T=1;break));if(T==0,print(p," ",el," ",N);break))))}
```

For p < 400, we only write the primes p, ℓ_N for which N > 1, then a complete list for $p \in [409, 683]$:

р	el	Ν	р	el	N	р	el	Ν	р	el	Ν	р	el	Ν
11	67	2	197	4729	2	409	4091	2	499	1997	1	601	25243	5

12

29	233	2	211	10973	4	419	839	1	503	3019	1	607	20639	3
43	431	2	223	6691	2	421	4211	1	509	4073	2	613	6131	1
53	743	2	227	5903	2	431	863	1	521	16673	1	617	30851	3
97	971	2	229	5039	2	433	5197	2	523	6277	2	619	17333	3
101	809	2	233	1399	2	439	4391	1	541	9739	1	631	6311	1
109	2399	2	251	4519	2	443	887	1	547	5471	1	641	1283	1
131	1049	3	277	4987	3	449	3593	1	557	24509	3	643	10289	2
137	1097	2	337	6067	3	457	21023	3	563	7883	1	647	9059	1
157	7537	5	349	8377	2	461	9221	2	569	6829	1	653	1307	1
163	5869	3	367	3671	2	463	5557	1	571	5711	1	659	1319	1
167	7349	3	383	16087	4	467	2803	1	577	3463	2	661	14543	3
179	1433	2	389	14783	2	479	3833	1	587	8219	1	673	2693	1
181	1811	2	397	6353	2	487	1949	1	593	1187	1	677	5417	1
193	1931	2	401	10427	4	491	983	1	599	4793	1	683	4099	2

The comparison with the table of exponents of *p*-irregularity does not show any relation.

4.3. Second main theorem. Let n_0 be an exponent of *p*-irregularity; put $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0}$ and let $b_c(\chi_0^*) \sim p^e$, $e \geq 1$. If $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}$ is not cyclic, Remark 4.5 implies $n_0 \in \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p} \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \neq \emptyset$ and Theorem 4.9 will hold. Then we may assume $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$. We shall examine what happens when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ varies.

Let $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ and let $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ with $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$. There are two cases as we have seen previously in the monogenous case:

(i) $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{p^{e^{-1}}}$ is *p*-principal. Since $b_c(\chi_0^*) \sim p^e$, $e \geq 1$, $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is a global *p*th power in K^{\times} , whence $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is *p*-primary and $n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$, but this does not lead to an unramified cyclic extension of degree *p* of K_+ of character χ_0 ;

(ii) $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{p^{e^{-1}}}$ is not *p*-principal (from density theorem, such primes ℓ always exist). Thus it defines a generator of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}$ and Vandiver's conjecture "holds for $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0}$ " if and only if $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is not *p*-primary (Theorem 4.7).

If $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture), we fix this ℓ once for all, and whatever the ideal $\mathfrak{L}' \mid \ell', \ell' \in \mathscr{L}_p$, we have $\mathfrak{L}'_{\chi_0^*} = (z) \cdot \mathfrak{L}^r_{\chi_0^*}$, with $z \in K^{\times}$ and $r \in [0, p^e - 1]$, so:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{p^e u} = (z^{p^e u}) \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{rp^e u} \quad \& \quad \mathbf{g}_c(\ell')_{\chi_0^*} \equiv \mathbf{g}_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Whence, the exponent n_0 of *p*-irregularity is a common exponent of *p*-primarity for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, giving $n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_0(p) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p} \mathscr{E}_\ell(p) \right) \neq \emptyset$. In other words, the existence of an empty intersection $\mathscr{E}_{\ell_1}(p) \cap \cdots \cap \mathscr{E}_{\ell_N}(p)$ implies Vandiver's conjecture. We shall also prove the reciprocal, that gives the new criterion:

Theorem 4.9. Vandiver's conjecture holds if and only if there exist $N \ge 1$ and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N \in \mathscr{L}_p$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell_1}(p) \cap \cdots \cap \mathscr{E}_{\ell_N}(p) = \emptyset$.

Proof. It remains to prove that Vandiver's conjecture implies such an empty intersection. Assume, on the contrary, that for all $N \ge 1$ and all sets $\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_N\} \subset \mathscr{L}_p$, one has $\mathscr{E}_{\ell_1}(p) \cap \cdots \cap \mathscr{E}_{\ell_N}(p) \ne \emptyset$.

Since \mathscr{X}_+ is finite, there exists such an n_0 in $\bigcap_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p} \mathscr{E}_\ell(p)$ (if $\bigcap_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p} \mathscr{E}_\ell(p) = \emptyset$ then for all even $n \in [2, p-3]$ there exists $\ell(n)$ such that $n \notin \mathscr{E}_{\ell(n)}(p)$ whence $\bigcap_{n \in [2, p-3] \text{ even }} \mathscr{E}_{\ell(n)}(p) = \emptyset$ (absurd)). This means that for the fixed character $\chi_0 := \omega^{n_0}$, we have the property:

$$g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
, for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$.

To simplify, put $\alpha(\ell) := g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ and consider the extensions $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha(\ell)})/K$; these extensions, with Galois groups of character χ_0 , are decomposed over K_+ into cyclic extensions $L_+(\ell)/K_+$

(possibly trivial), and are ℓ -ramified since $(\alpha(\ell)) = \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{b_c(\chi_0^*)}$ with $\alpha(\ell) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ (non-ramification at p). Examine the two possibilities about $b_c(\chi_0^*)$:

(i) $b_c(\chi_0^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $\alpha(\ell)$ is, for all ℓ , a *p*-primary pseudo-unit, and choosing ℓ such that $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ generates $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}$ (which is cyclic since $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0} = 1$), the extension $L_+(\ell)/K_+$ is unramified of degree *p* (absurd).

(ii) $b_c(\chi_0^*) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $L_+(\ell)/K_+$ is, for all ℓ , a ℓ -ramified degree p cyclic extension of character χ_0 . We restrict ourselves to primes $\ell \neq 1 \pmod{p^2}$ and consider the p-ray class fields, $H_+(\ell)$ over K_+ , of modulus (ℓ) ; we have $L_+(\ell) \subseteq H_+(\ell)$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\ell+} = 1$, $\operatorname{Gal}(H_+(\ell)/K_+) \simeq (P_+/P_+(\ell)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where P_+ is the group of principal ideals prime to ℓ of K_+ and $P_+(\ell)$ the subgroup of P_+ of ideals generated by an element $x \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

From the *G*-modules exact sequence $1 \to E_+/E_+(\ell) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}_+|\ell} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times} \to P_+/P_+(\ell) \to 1$, where $E_+(\ell) := \{\varepsilon \in E_+, \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}\}$, we get (for $\ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$):

$$1 \to (E_+/E_+(\ell))_{\chi_0} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{\chi_0} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(H_+(\ell)/K_+)_{\chi_0} \to 1.$$

Since $\operatorname{Gal}(H_+(\ell)/K_+)_{\chi_0}$ is, at least, of order p, the generating χ_0 -unit, $\varepsilon_{\chi_0} =: \varepsilon$, is in $E_+(\ell)_{\chi_0}$, thus locally a pth power at ℓ , for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, $\ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Thus ℓ totally splits in $K(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon})/K$. Let M be the compositum $K(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon}) \cdot K_1$, where $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^2})$; this Galois field M only depends on p and χ_0 and the primes $\ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ are inert in K_1/K . Then choose ℓ such that the decomposition group of $\mathcal{L} \mid \ell$ does not fix $K(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon})/K$ (contradiction).

Whence the reciprocal.

Remark 4.10. This theorem suggests that if the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ are random when ℓ varies and independent, the (conjectural) triviality of \mathscr{C}_{ℓ} is a consequence of a natural *p*-adic property of Gauss sums and the statement does exist with N = 1.

On the contrary, the structure of \mathcal{C}_{-} is independent of the Gauss sums because the *even* components $g_c(\ell)_{\chi}$ are global *p*th powers for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ (Remark 4.1 (ii)) and do not yield any obstruction ! Thus the cases of non-triviality of \mathcal{C}_{-} may follow standard probabilities under the monogenous case.

4.4. Study of the case p = 37. So it is fundamental to see if the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ are independent (or not) of the choice of $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ for $\mathscr{E}_0(p) \neq \emptyset$. We analyse the case of p = 37 ($n_0 = 32$) giving $\#\mathscr{C}_{\omega^5} = 37$ and compute (in expp) the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(37)$ when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{37}$ varies. If $n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(37)$, this means that \mathfrak{L}_{χ^*} is necessarily 37-principal and then $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^5} \in K^{\times 37}$:

```
{p=37;c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p)+Mod(0,p);X=Mod(x,P);
for(i=1,100,el=1+2*i*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);g=znprimroot(el);
print("el=",el," g=",lift(g));J=1;for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);
e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));Ji=Ji-X^e);J=J*Ji);LJ=List;Jj=1;for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);
listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));for(m=1,(p-3)/2,n=2*m;Sn=Mod(1,P);for(a=1,(p-1)/2,
an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));Jan=component(LJ,an);sJan=Mod(0,P);
for(j=0,p-2,aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));sJan=sJan+x^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));
Sn=Sn*sJan);if(Sn==1,print(" exponent of p-primarity: ",n))))}
```

el=149	g=2			el=3331	g=3	expp:	22
el=223	g=3			el=3701	g=2		
el=593	g=3			el=3923	g=2		
el=1259	g=2			el=4219	g=2	expp:	18,16
el=1481	g=3	expp:	30	el=4441	g=21		
el=1777	g=5			el=4663	g=3		
el=1999	g=3			el=5107	g=2		
el=2221	g=2			el=5477	g=2		

el=2591 el=2887 el=3109 el=3257	g=7 g=5 g=6 g=3	expp:	34	el=6143 el=6217 el=6661 el=6883	g=5 g=5 g=6 g=2	expp:	28
el=742073	g=3	expp:	12	el=768343	g=11	expp:	18
el=742369	g=7			el=768491	g=10		
el=742591	g=3			el=768787	g=2	expp:	20
el=743849	g=3			el=769231	g=11	expp:	24
el=743923	g=3	expp:	16	el=769453	g=2	expp:	30
el=744071	g=22			el=772339	g=3		
el=744811	g=10			el=773153	g=3	expp:	14
el=744959	g=13	expp:	10	el=774337	g=5	expp:	28
el=745033	g=10	expp:	16	el=774929	g=3	expp:	18
el=/45181	g=2			e1=//5669	g=10	expp:	18
e1=/454//	g=2			e1=776483	g=2		00
e1=745699	g=2			el=//655/	g=2	expp:	20
e1=746069	g=2			el=777001	g=31	expp:	10,20
e1 = 740957	g-2 g=3			01=778333	g=11 g=2	ovnn:	28
ol=747919	g=3			e1=778777	g=2 g=5	erbb.	20
e1=748807	g=0 g=6	expp	22	e1=779221	g=0 g=2		
e1=749843	g=2	expp:	34	e1=779591	σ=7		
el=750287	g=5	onpp.		el=779887	g=10	expp:	18
el=750509	g=2	expp:	14.22	el=780257	g=3	expp:	8
el=751027	g=3	11	,	el=780553	g=10	11	
el=751841	g=3	expp:	14,16,24	el=781367	g=5	expp:	34
el=752137	g=10	expp:	8	*el=781589 g=	2 ex	pp: 32	
el=752359	g=3	expp:	18	el=782107	g=2		
el=752581	g=2	expp:	16	el=782329	g=13	expp:	18
el=752803	g=2	expp:	22,32	el=782921	g=3	expp:	20
el=753617	g=3			el=783143	g=5		
el=753691	g=11	expp:	16	el=783661	g=2		
el=753839	g=7	expp:	4,22	el=784327	g=3		
el=754283	g=2			el=784697	g=3		
el=755171	g=6			el=784919	g=7		
e1=755393	g=3	expp:	22	e1=785363	g=2		
e1=756281	g=3	expp:	2	e1=786251	g=2		
e1=757042	g=15 m=2	expp:	18	el=/8054/	g=2		20
01=757000	g-2 g=2	ovnn:	16	01=787361	g-2 g=6	expp.	20
e1=758279	g=2 g=7	erbb.	10	e1=787879	g=0 g=6	evnn	10 18 20
e1=758501	g=2	expp:	18	e1=788027	g=2	expp:	34
el=759019	g=2	onpp.	10	el=789137	g=3	expp:	24
el=759167	g=5	expp:	12	el=790099	g=2	11	
el=759463	g=3			el=791209	g=7		
el=759833	g=3	expp:	4	el=791431	g=12		
el=760129	g=11			el=791801	g=3		
el=760499	g=2			*el=792023	g=5	expp:	32
el=762053	g=2			el=792689	g=3		
el=762571	g=10			el=793207	g=5		
el=763237	g=2			el=795427	g=2		
el=764051	g=2			*el=795649	g=22	expp:	2,32
el=764273	g=3			el=795797	g=2		
el=764717	g=2	expp:	2	el=795871	g=3		
el=765383	g=5			el=796759	g=3		
e⊥=765827	g=2	expp:	34	el=796981	g=7		
e1=766049	g=3	expp:	22	e⊥=797647	g=3		
eT=100331	g=3	expp:	34	eT=181868	g=10		

el=767381	g=2	expp: 18	el=798461	g=2	
el=767603	g=5	expp: 34	el=798757	g=2	
el=767677	g=5		el=800089	g=7	expp: 20

For $\ell = 149, 223, 593, 1259, 1777, \ldots, \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(37) = \emptyset$, which proves the Vandiver conjecture for p = 37 a great lot of times. For $\ell = 1481$ one finds a *p*-primarity for $\chi^* = \omega^7 \ (\chi = \omega^{30} \neq \omega^{32})$. Theorem 4.9 applies at will.

It remains to give statistics about the *p*-principality (or not) of the $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ varies. For p = 37, $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ is 37-principal if and only if \mathfrak{L} is principal since the class number of K is h = 37.

4.4.1. Table of the classes of \mathfrak{L} for p = 37. We give a table with a generator of \mathfrak{L} in the principal cases (indicated by *). Otherwise, the class of \mathfrak{L} is of order 37 in K. We only write the cases $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(37) \neq \emptyset$:

```
{p=37;c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p);K=bnfinit(P,1);P=P+Mod(0,p);
X=Mod(x,P);Lsplit=List;N=0;for(i=1,2000,el=1+2*i*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);
N=N+1;listinsert(Lsplit,el,N));for(j=1,N,el=component(Lsplit,j);
F=bnfisintnorm(K,el);if(F!=[],print("el=",el," ",component(F,1)));
g=znprimroot(el);J=1;for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);
e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));Ji=Ji-X^e);J=J*Ji);LJ=List;
Jj=1;for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));for(m=1,(p-3)/2,
n=2*m;Sn=Mod(1,P);for(a=1,(p-1)/2,an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));
Jan=component(LJ,an);sJan=Mod(0,P);for(j=0,p-2,aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));
sJan=sJan+x^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));Sn=Sn*sJan);
if(Sn==1,print("el=",el," expp:",n))))}
```

el=1481	expp:	30	el=56167	expp:	10,14,	26
el=2591	expp:	34	el=57203	expp:	34	
el=3331	expp:	22	el=58313	expp:	28	
el=4219	expp:	16,18	el=58757	expp:	16,18	
el=6143	expp:	28	el=58831	expp:	24,30	
el=7993	expp:	16,20	el=59497	expp:	28	
el=8363	expp:	8	el=61051	expp:	10	
el=9769	expp:	20	el=62383	expp:	2	
el=10657	expp:	4,18,26	el=62753	expp:	2	
el=12433	expp:	20	el=63493	expp:	2	
el=13099	expp:	28	el=64381*	expp:	6,32	[x^20+x^9+x]
el=14431	expp:	4,14,22	el=66749	expp:	30	
el=17021	expp:	6	el=67489*	expp:	30,32	[x^24-x^3-x^2]
el=17909	expp:	30	el=67933	expp:	6	
el=18131	expp:	22	el=68821*	expp:	32	[x^15-x^9+x^4]
el=19463	expp:	6	el=69931	expp:	12	
el=20129	expp:	6	el=71411	expp:	4	
el=21017	expp:	2,4	el=72817	expp:	28	
el=21313	expp:	18	el=74149	expp:	2	
el=21757	expp:	8	el=75407	expp:	10	
el=22349	expp:	8	el=75629	expp:	12, 20)
el=23459	expp:	6	el=76961	expp:	14	
el=23977	expp:	26	el=78737	expp:	28	
el=25087	expp:	26	el=79181	expp:	10	
el=25457	expp:	30	el=80513	expp:	16, 26	5
el=29009	expp:	8,24	el=81031	expp:	18, 34	Ł
el=30859	expp:	2	el=82067	expp:	34	
el=32783*	expp:	32 [x ¹¹⁺ x ³⁺ x]	el=83621	expp:	34	
el=33301	expp:	30	el=83843	expp:	2	
el=33967	expp:	26	el=84731	expp:	6	
el=36187	expp:	8	el=85027	expp:	26	

16

el=37889	expp:	16	el=86729	expp:	22	
el=38629	expp:	22	el=86951	expp:	8	
el=40627	expp:	30	el=87691	expp:	24	
el=40849	expp:	6	el=91243	expp:	22,	34
el=42773	expp:	4	el=91909	expp:	30	
el=45289	expp:	8	el=94351	expp:	10	
el=45659	expp:	26	el=94573	expp:	18	
el=48619	expp:	8	el=95239	expp:	18,	28
el=48989	expp:	20	el=96497	expp:	10	
el=51283	expp:	14,16	el=98347	expp:	28	
el=51431	expp:	20	el=98939	expp:	30	
el=53281	expp:	16	el=99679	expp:	10,	22
el=55057	expp:	20	el=100049	expp:	14	

Give some examples $(\mathfrak{L}^{1+s_{-1}})$ is always principal giving an easy characterization:

(ii) Non-principal case $\mathfrak{L} \mid 149$. The instruction $\mathsf{bnfisintnorm}(\mathsf{K}, \mathsf{149^k})$:

{P=polcyclo(37);K=bnfinit(P,1);for(k=1,2,print(bnfisintnorm(K,149^k)))}

yields an empty set for k = 1 (since \mathfrak{L} is not principal) and, for k = 2, it gives (with $x = \zeta_{37}$) the 18 conjugates of the real integer:

(i) Principal case $\mathfrak{L} \mid 32783$. The principal \mathfrak{L} are rare (which comes from density theorems); the first one is $\mathfrak{L} = (\zeta_{37}^{11} + \zeta_{37}^3 + \zeta_{37})$ where $\ell = 32783$. Thus in that case, in the relation $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{b_c(\chi_0^*)} = (g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*})$, the number $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ must be a global 37th power (which explains that one shall find the exponent of 37-primarity $n_0 = 32$ equal to that of 37-irregularity in the table); unfortunately, the data are too large to be given.

Nevertheless, the reader can easily compute $factor(norm(Sn)) = 32783^{37\cdot 16\cdot 9}$ and use $K = bnfinit(\mathsf{P},1); idealfactor(K,Sn),$ which gives the 37th power of $\mathfrak{L} \mid 32783.$

We obtain the following excerpts of the table (up to 10^6) of principal cases:

el=32783	expp:32	el=64381	expp:6,32	el=67489	expp:30,32
el=68821	expp:32	el=108929	expp:32	el=132313	expp:32
el=325379	expp:10,32	el=332039	expp:6,10,14,32	el=351797	expp:32
el=364451	expp:28,32	el=387169	expp:32	el=396937	expp:32
el=960151	expp:32	el=973397	expp:32	el=983239	expp:32
el=1000777	expp:32	el=1002109	expp:2,32	el=1040959	expp:20,32

4.4.2. Densities of the exponents of p-primarity. The following program intends to show that all exponents of p-primarity are obtained, with (perhaps) some specific densities, taking sufficiently many $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ (each even $n \in [2, p-3]$, such that $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^{p-n}}$ is p-primary for some new ℓ , is counted in the (n/2)th component of the list Eel).

```
{p=37;c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p)+Mod(0,p);X=Mod(x,P);Nel=0;Npp=0;Eel=List;
for(j=1,(p-3)/2,listput(Eel,0,j));for(i=1,1000,el=1+2*i*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);
g=znprimroot(el);Nel=Nel+1;J=1;for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);
e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));Ji=Ji-X^e);J=J*Ji);LJ=List;Jj=1;for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);
listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));for(m=1,(p-3)/2,n=2*m;Sn=Mod(1,P);for(a=1,(p-1)/2,
an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));Jan=component(LJ,an);sJan=Mod(0,P);for(j=0,p-2,
aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));sJan=sJan+x^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));Sn=Sn*sJan);
if(Sn==1,Npp=Npp+1;listput(Eel,1+component(Eel,n/2),n/2);
print(Nel," ",Npp," ",el," ",Eel))))}
```

In the first column, one shall find the index i (in Nel) of the prime ℓ_i considered; if some index i is missing, this means that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell_i}(p) = \emptyset$. The second integer gives the whole number

of exponents of *p*-primarity obtained at this step (in Npp); then the third one is ℓ_i (in el). In some cases, a prime ℓ gives rise to several exponents of *p*-primarity

```
(i) Results for p = 37. The end of the table for the selected interval is:
```

```
Nel Npp
            el
                 [83,95,84,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,97,76,83,78,85,74,76]
3015 1426 1414067
3015 1427 1414067
                   [83,95,84,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,97,76,83,78,86,74,76]
3027 1428 1419839
                  [83,95,84,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,98,76,83,78,86,74,76]
3030 1429 1420949 [83,95,84,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,98,76,83,78,86,75,76]
3032 1430 1421911 [83,95,85,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,98,76,83,78,86,75,76]
3033 1431 1422133 [83,95,86,91,80,80,86,83,92,83,98,76,83,78,86,75,76]
3042 1432 1428127 [83,96,86,91,80,86,83,92,83,98,76,83,78,86,75,76]
3889 1819 1863913[106,114,108,113,99,111,115,100,117,113,116,93,103,97,108,103,103]
3894 1820 1865911[106,114,108,114,99,111,115,100,117,113,116,93,103,97,108,103,103]
3898 1821 1868501[106,114,108,114,100,111,115,100,117,113,116,93,103,97,108,103,103]
3900 1822 1869389[106,114,108,114,100,112,115,100,117,113,116,93,103,97,108,103,103]
3900 1823 1869389[106,114,108,114,100,112,115,101,117,113,116,93,103,97,108,103,103]
3900 1824 1869389[106,114,108,114,100,112,115,101,117,113,116,93,104,97,108,103,103]
```

The penultimate column corresponds to the exponent of 37-irregularity $n_0 = 32$; since there is no counterexamples to Vandiver's conjecture, when this component increases, this means that the new ℓ gives rise to a principal \mathfrak{L} for which $g_c(\ell)_{\omega^5}$ is a 37th power.

(ii) Results for p = 157. For p = 157 (exponents of *p*-irregularity 62, 110), one finds the partial analogous information after 590 distinct primes $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ tested (proving also Vandiver's conjecture for a lot of times):

```
Nel Npp el

590 309 1161487 [9,3,2,6,8,3,1,4,5,10,3,1,3,1,6,3,4,4,2,2,1,2,5,

5,3,2,2,1,5,7,6,2,2,1,5,5,5,4,4,3,3,4,5,4,5,5,5,5,5,3,6,1,6,3,5,4,5,

0,2,3,5,7,3,3,3,2,4,4,7,6,6,5,6,1,7,4,7]

590 310 1161487 [9,3,2,6,8,3,1,4,5,10,3,1,3,1,6,3,4,4,2,2,1,2,5,

5,3,2,2,1,5,7,6,2,2,1,5,5,5,4,4,3,3,4,5,4,5,6,5,5,5,3,6,1,6,3,5,4,5,

0,2,3,5,7,3,3,3,2,4,4,7,6,6,5,6,1,7,4,7]

590 311 1161487 [9,3,2,6,8,3,1,4,5,10,3,1,3,1,6,3,4,4,2,2,1,2,5,

5,3,2,2,1,5,7,6,2,2,1,5,5,5,4,4,3,3,4,5,4,5,6,5,5,5,3,6,1,6,3,5,4,5,

0,2,3,5,7,3,3,3,2,4,5,7,6,6,5,6,1,7,4,7]

590 311 1161487 [9,3,2,6,8,3,1,4,5,10,3,1,3,1,6,3,4,4,2,2,1,2,5,

5,3,2,2,1,5,7,6,2,2,1,5,5,5,4,4,3,3,4,5,4,5,6,5,5,5,3,6,1,6,3,5,4,5,

0,2,3,5,7,3,3,3,2,4,5,7,6,6,5,6,1,7,4,7]

The remaining column of zeros (for n/2 = 58) stops at the following lines:
```

These numbers may depend on the orders of ω^n and/or ω^{p-n} , but this needs to be clarified taking much $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$.

4.4.3. Vandiver's conjecture and *p*-adic regulator of K_+ . We return to the case p = 37 and $n_0 = 32$. We see that ω^{32} is a character of order 9, hence a character of the real subfield k_9 of degree 9, which is such that $\mathcal{T}_{k_9} \neq 1$ from the reflection relation (3.1); so, k_9 admits a cyclic 37-ramified extension of degree 37 which is not unramified. To verify, we use [17, Program I], for real fields, which indeed gives $\#\mathcal{T}_{k_9} = 37$ (nt must verify $p^{nt} > p^t$, the exponent of \mathcal{T}):

```
{p=37;n=32;d=(p-1)/gcd(p-1,n);P=polsubcyclo(p,d);K=bnfinit(P,1);nt=6;
Kpn=bnrinit(K,p^nt);Hpn=component(component(Kpn,5),2);L=List;
e=component(matsize(Hpn),2);R=0;for(k=1,e-1,c=component(Hpn,e-k+1);
if(Mod(c,p)==0,R=R+1;listinsert(L,p^valuation(c,p),1)));if(R>0,
print("rk(T)=",R," K is not ",p,"-rational ",L));
if(R==0,print("rk(T)=",R," K is ",p,"-rational"))}
```

```
rk(T)=1 K is not 37-rational List([37])
```

We find here another interpretation of the reflection theorem since we have the typical formula $\#\mathcal{T}_+ = \#\mathcal{C}_+ \cdot \#\mathcal{R}_+$, where the *p*-group \mathcal{R}_+ is the normalized *p*-adic regulator of K_+ [19, Proposition 5.2]. Whence $\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi} = \#\mathcal{C}_{\chi} \cdot \#\mathcal{R}_{\chi}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\chi*} = 1$, for all $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$; but we have $\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi*} = \#\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\chi*}$ for the subgroup $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\chi*}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*}$. The above data shows that the relation $\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi_0} = 37$ comes from $\#\mathcal{R}_{\chi_0} = 37$, which is not surprising:

Remark 4.11. We have the analytic formula $\#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0} = \#(E_{\chi_0}/\langle \eta_{\chi_0} \rangle)$, where η is a suitable cyclotomic unit; so a classical method (explained in [52, Corollary 8.19], applied in [4, 9] and developped in [50, 51]) consists in finding $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ such that η_{χ_0} is not a local *p*th power at ℓ proving Vandiver's conjecture at χ_0 ; so when we find that $\mathcal{R}_{\chi_0} \neq 1$ (with $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0} = 1$), this means that η_{χ_0} generates E_{χ_0} and is a local *p*th power at *p* by *p*-primarity, so that $K(\sqrt[p]{\eta_{\chi_0}})$ is contained in the χ_0^* -component of the *p*-Hilbert class field of *K*.

We shall give in § 5.2.4 some insights in this direction to state new heuristics for the probability of p-primarity of $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ to be at most $\frac{O(1)}{r^2}$.

5. Heuristics – Probability of a counterexample

5.1. Use of classical standard probabilities. We may suppose in a first approximation that, for a given p, the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ of exponents of p-primarity of primes $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, are random with the same behavior as for the set $\mathscr{E}_0(p)$ of exponents of p-irregularity. More precisely, assume, as in Washington's book (see in [52], the Theorem 5.17 and some statistical computations), that for given primes p and $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, the probabilities of a cardinality k is $\binom{N}{j} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{N-j} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^j$ (where $N := \frac{p-3}{2}$). This would imply that, for p given, $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \neq \emptyset$ for many $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, but that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) = \emptyset$ in a proportion close to $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is in accordence with previous tables. Then the probability, for p and ℓ given, of $\mathscr{E}_0(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \neq \emptyset$ with cardinalities $j \in [0, N]$ and $k \in [0, N]$ fixed, is:

$$1 - \frac{(N-k)! \cdot (N-j)!}{N! \cdot (N-k-j)!}.$$

So, an approximation of the whole probability of $\mathscr{E}_0(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) \neq \emptyset$ is:

(11)
$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0} \binom{N}{j} \binom{N}{k} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2N-j-k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{j+k} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{(N-k)! \cdot (N-j)!}{N! \cdot (N-k-j)!}\right).$$

The computations show that this expression is around $\frac{1}{2p}$, which does not allow to conclude easily for a single ℓ , but *this does not take into account* the "infiniteness" of \mathscr{L}_p giving, a priori, *independent informations*, but limited by the Theorem 3.7 on periodicities due to the density theorem (see the Weil interpretation of Jacobi sums defining Hecke Grössencharacters [55, Theorem, p. 489] where a module of definition of our Jacobi sums is p^2 , which may give an order of magnitude of the cardinality of this "infiniteness"). So this must be put in relation with the Theorem 4.7 to characterize "non-Vandiver".

5.2. New heuristics and probabilities. Many reasons imply that the generic probability $\frac{1}{n}$ must be replaced by a much lower one:

5.2.1. Results from K-theory. For some characters $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, of the form $\chi =: \omega^{p-(1+h)}$, for small $h = 2, 4, \ldots$, one may prove that $\mathscr{C}_{\omega^{p-(1+h)}} = 1$, as the case of $\mathscr{C}_{\omega^{p-3}} = 1$ proved unconditionally by Kurihara [30]; then Soulé proved in [48] that for $n \in [2, p-3]$ even, $\mathscr{C}_{\omega^{p-n}} = 1$ for all p large enough (see also [11, 49, 3] among other references applying the same approach via K-theory). Unfortunately these bounds are not usable in practice, but demonstrate the existence of a fundamental general principle.

5.2.2. Archimedean aspects. At the opposite, for $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$ of small order, \mathscr{C}_{χ} may be trivial because of the "archimedean" order of magnitude of the whole class number of the subfield of K_+ fixed by $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$ (which is proved for the quadratic case when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the cubic case when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$); see the tables of Schoof [42] for serious arguments about the order of magitude of the whole class number. Moreover, we have the *p*-rank ϵ -conjecture for *p*-class groups [10] that we state for the real abelian fields k_d of constant degree *d*, of discriminant $D = p^{d-1}$, when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ increases:

For all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{p,\epsilon}$ such that $\log(\#(\mathcal{C}\ell_{k_d}/\mathcal{C}\ell_{k_d}^p)) \le \log(C_{p,\epsilon}) + \epsilon \cdot \log(p)$,

which would give $\mathcal{C}_{k_d} = 1$ for $\log(p) > \frac{\log(C_{p,\epsilon})}{1-\epsilon}$ and any $\epsilon < 1$. But this does not apply for any p with "small" d and the constant $C_{p,\epsilon}$ is not effective.

5.2.3. Heuristics about Gauss sums. The standard probabilities (11) assume that when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ varies, the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ are random and independent, giving probabilities close to 0, which is not the case when p is irregular at some $\chi_0^* = \omega^{p-n_0}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$, $e \ge 1$, and when $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is a global pth power because $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{p^{e-1}}$ is p-principal.

Fix $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ such that $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$ generates $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e \mathbb{Z}$ (thus $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is not a global *p*th power); put (Proposition 2.4) $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} = 1 + \beta_0(\ell) \cdot \varpi^{p-n_0}, \ \beta_0(\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\varpi]$, where $\beta_0(\ell)$ is invertible modulo ϖ if and only if $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is not *p*-primary.

Whatever $\ell' \in \mathscr{L}_p$ and $\mathfrak{L}' \mid \ell'$, one has, from $\S 4.3$ (ii), $g_c(\ell')_{\chi_0^*} \equiv g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}^r \pmod{p}$, with $r \in [0, p^e - 1]$ (r = 0 if $\mathfrak{L}'_{\chi_0^*}$ is *p*-principal, thus $g_c(\ell')_{\chi_0^*} \in K^{\times p}$, giving:

(12)
$$g_c(\ell')_{\chi_0^*} =: 1 + \beta_0(\ell') \cdot \varpi^{p-n_0}, \ \beta_0(\ell') \equiv r \cdot \beta_0(\ell) \pmod{\varpi}.$$

Contrary to the classical idea that $\beta_0(\ell) \pmod{\varpi}$ follow standard probabilities $\frac{O(1)}{p}$ (even under the condition $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \notin K^{\times p}$), we propose the following heuristic:

For each $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, the mod p values, at $\chi^* = \omega \chi^{-1}$, of the Gauss sums (more precisely of the $\psi^{-c}(c) \cdot g_c(\ell) = J_1 \cdots J_{c-1}$), are uniformly distributed (or at least with explicit non-trivial densities), when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ varies.

Because of the density theorems on the ideal classes when ℓ varies in \mathscr{L}_p and χ in \mathscr{X}_+ , we must examine two cases concerning the χ -components of \mathscr{C} when there exists $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0} \in \mathscr{X}_+$ such that $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e \mathbb{Z}, e \geq 1$:

(a) $\chi \neq \chi_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\chi*} = 1$. The numerical experiments show that when $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ varies, $g_c(\ell)_{\chi*} = 1 + \beta(\ell) \cdot \varpi^{p-n}$, with random $\beta(\ell) \pmod{\varpi}$ (probabilities $\frac{O(1)}{p}$).

(b) $\chi = \chi_0$ (and $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \neq 1$). If $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is *p*-primary for some given generator $\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}$, then from (12) all the $g_c(\ell')_{\chi_0^*}$ are *p*-primary, whatever the class of $\mathfrak{L}'_{\chi_0^*}$ (p^e possibilities) because $\beta_0(\ell') \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi}$. So, n_0 is always in $\mathscr{E}_\ell(p)$ and $\mathscr{E}_0(p) \cap \mathscr{E}_\ell(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, which corresponds to $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0} \neq 1$ and the non-cyclicity of $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*}^{(p)}$ (Theorem 3.7).

Thus, to have analogous densities of *p*-primarity on \mathscr{L}_p (as for the *p*-principal case (a)), $\beta_0(\ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi}$ (under the condition $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \notin K^{\times p}$) must occur at least *p* times less, giving a probability in $\frac{O(1)}{p^2}$ instead of $\frac{O(1)}{p}$; it is even possible that such a circumstance be of probability 0 depending on more accurate properties of Gauss or Jacobi sums; for this, the computation of $\beta(\ell)$ should be very interesting (see [51] where, for any $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, the coefficients $d_{i,k}$ of $J_i := \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_{i,k} \zeta_p^k$, with $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_{i,k} = 1$, are studied and the starting point of future investigations, in relation with the other heuristics).

5.2.4. Use of *p*th power residue symbols and cyclotomic units. We refer to [52, § 8.3] for the classical *p*-adic interpretation of the numbers $\#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi}$, for $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, as indices of the form $(E_{\chi} : F_{\chi})$, where *F* is the group of cyclotomic units.

We need the following pth power criterion (from [15, II.6.3.8]):

Lemma 5.1. Let $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ be a pseudo-unit (namely, α is prime to p and $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{a}^{p}$). Let any set \mathscr{S} of places \mathfrak{q} of K such that $\langle \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathscr{C}$ (or such that $\langle \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} = \mathscr{C}$ if $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois).

Then $\alpha \in K^{\times p}$ if and only if α is p-primary and locally a pth power at \mathscr{S} (i.e., $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times p}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathscr{S}$ where $K_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the \mathfrak{q} -completion of K).

Proof. Consider the non-trivial direction, in the Galois case, assuming that α is *p*-primary and such that $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\times p}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathscr{S}$. So $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$ is unramified and \mathscr{S} -split; thus, due to the Galois condition, all the conjugates of $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathscr{S}$ split and the Galois group of $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$ corresponds, by class field theory, to a quotient of $\mathscr{C}/\langle \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$, trivial by assumption. \Box

Theorem 5.2. Let $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0} \in \mathscr{X}_+$ with $n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_0(p)$ and $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^e \mathbb{Z}$, $e \geq 1$ (i.e., $b_c(\chi_0^*) \sim p^e$). Let $\eta := \zeta_p^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{1-\zeta_p^c}{1-\zeta_p}$ be a generating real cyclotomic unit, where c is a primitive root modulo p (cf. [52, Proposition 8.11]).

(i) There exists an infinite subset $\mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0) \subseteq \mathscr{L}_p$ of primes ℓ such that the G-module generated by the p-class of $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ is $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_0} \oplus \mathscr{C}_{\chi_0^*}$.

- (ii) $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0} \neq 1$ if and only if $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ is locally a pth power at \mathfrak{p} but not at \mathfrak{L} $(\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0))$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0} \neq 1$ if and only if η_{χ_0} is locally a pth power at \mathfrak{p} and at \mathfrak{L} ($\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0)$).

Proof. (i) In the $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -monogenous case, the ideals \mathfrak{L} are of the form $(z) \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{A}^*$, $z \in K^{\times}$, where $\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{A})$ generates $\mathscr{A}_{\chi_0^*}$.²

(ii) & (iii) Define the *p*th power residue symbol $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) := \alpha^{\frac{\ell-1}{p}} \pmod{\mathfrak{L}}$ for $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$ when $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ is prime to \mathfrak{L} . By abuse of notation, we shall write $\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) = 1$ if α is *p*-primary and $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = 1$ if $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\times p}$ is not prime to \mathfrak{L} . Take $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0)$:

(a) Consider $\alpha = g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$, where $(g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*}^{b_c(\chi_0^*)}$. This gives rise to a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture at χ_0 if and only if α is *p*-primary since $c\ell(\mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0^*})$ is a generator of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0^*}$; it follows that $(\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{L}}) \neq 1$, otherwise, from Lemma 5.1 applied in H_{χ_0} , $\alpha = g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ should be a global *p*th power (contradiction).

²If, for instance, $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, these prime ideals \mathfrak{L} have density $\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2$; otherwise, if $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0} = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_0^*} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, the density is $1 - \frac{1}{p}$.

(b) Consider $\alpha = \eta_{\chi_0}$. Thus $b_c(\chi_0^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to the *p*-primarity of η_{χ_0} ; so a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture at χ_0 , equivalent to $\eta_{\chi_0} \in E_{\chi_0}^p$, is equivalent to $\left(\frac{\eta_{\chi_0}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = 1$ since $\left(\frac{\eta_{\chi_0}}{p}\right) = 1$. Whence, with a prime $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell \in \mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0)$: $\mathscr{C}_{\chi_0} \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) \neq 1 \& \left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{p}\right) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\eta_{\chi_0}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = \left(\frac{\eta_{\chi_0}}{p}\right) = 1.$

Let $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$ and $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p(\chi)$ fixed. If $\operatorname{Prob}\left(\left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) \neq 1\right)$ is close to 1, this suggests a probability around $\frac{O(1)}{p^2}$ for the *p*-primarity of $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ if the two above symbols of η_{χ} are independent with probabilities $\frac{O(1)}{p}$ for a single ℓ .

So it is necessary to compute the symbol $\left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$ since $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ and \mathfrak{L} are non-independent data. For $\chi_0 = \omega^{n_0}, n_0 \in \mathscr{E}_0(p)$, the primes ℓ of the theorem are not effective, but experiments with random ℓ seem sufficient for statistics. Then a first condition for $\left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = 1$ is that $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ be the *p*th power of an ℓ -ideal, which is fulfilled since $b_c(\chi_0^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Then, using the general program computing $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}$ in $\mathsf{Sn} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ (in other words not reduced modulo p), we divide this integer by the maximal power ℓ^v , so that there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ which does not divide this new integer (still denoted Sn and pth power of an ℓ -ideal); the computation reduces to R, prime to \mathfrak{L} and most likely random, whose symbol $\left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = R^{\frac{\ell-1}{p}}$ (mod \mathfrak{L}), computed in u , is immediate and gives the statistics:

```
{p=37;n=32;print("p=",p," n=",n);c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p);X=Mod(x,P);
for(i=1,100,el=1+2*i*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);g=znprimroot(el);M=(el-1)/p;J=1;
for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));
Ji=Ji-X<sup>e</sup>);J=J*Ji);LJ=List;Jj=1;for(j=1,p-1,Jj=lift(Jj*J);listinsert(LJ,Jj,j));
Sn=1;for(a=1,p-1,an=lift(Mod(a,p)^(n-1));Jan=component(LJ,an);sJan=Mod(0,P);
for(j=0,p-2,aj=lift(Mod(a*j,p));sJan=sJan+X^(aj)*component(Jan,1+j));Sn=Sn*sJan);
Sn=lift(Sn);s=valuation(Sn-1,p);v=valuation(Sn,el);Sn=Sn/el^v;ro=g^M;
for(b=1,p-1,r=lift(ro^b);R=0;for(k=0,p-2,R=R+component(Sn,k+1)*r^k);
if(valuation(R,el)==0,y=R;break));u=lift(Mod(y,el)^M);
print("p=",p," el=",el," v=",v," u=",u);if(s!=0,print("Sn local pth power at P"));
if(Mod(v,p)==0 & u==1,print("Sn local pth power at L"));
if(Mod(v,p)!=0 || u!=1,print("Sn NON local pth power at L"));
if(Mod(v,p)==0 & u==1 & s!=0,print("Sn GLOBAL pth power")))}
p=37 n=32
          v=259 u=102
el=149
                           Sn NON local pth power at L
el=223
          v=259 u=132
                           Sn NON local pth power at L
el=6883
          v=259 u=6850
                           Sn NON local pth power at L
          v=259 u=4947
                           Sn NON local pth power at L
el=7253
el=32783
          v=259 u=1
                            Sn local pth power at P
el=32783
          v=259 u=1
                           Sn local pth power at L
el=32783
          v=259 u=1
                           Sn GLOBAL pth power
```

We found $u = \left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right) = 1$ for the following ℓ (including the underlined numbers corresponding to primes $\ell \notin \mathscr{L}_p(\chi_0)$ such that $g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \in K^{\times p}$, i.e., \mathcal{L} *p*-principal):

 $\ell \in \{22571; \underline{32783}; 46103; 53503; 57943; \underline{64381}; \underline{67489}; \underline{68821}; 79847; 83177; 96497; 98939; 104933; \underline{108929}; 64383; \underline{108929}; 643833; \underline{108929}; 64383; \underline{108929}; 64383; \underline{108929}; 643833; \underline{10892$

 $117883; \underline{132313}; 146521; \underline{146891}; 151553; 151849; 158657; \ 158731; \underline{167759}; \ \underline{172717}; 197359; \underline{198839}, \underline{207497} \}$

confirming existence and rarity of primes ℓ in the interval [149; 207497] such that u = 1 by accident $(g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*} \notin K^{\times p}, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{L} \text{ non-}p\text{-principal}).$

For $n = 22 \notin \mathscr{E}_0(37)$, we found u = 1 for the few examples (up to $2 \cdot 10^5$):

but $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is not the *p*th power of an ℓ -ideal, whence it is never in $K_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\times p}$. One finds an exponent of *p*-primarity 22 for $\ell = 3331$, then 14, 16 for $\ell = 51283$, 10 for $\ell = 147779$, and 28 for $\ell = 164503$. In the exceptional case $\ell = 3331$, $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ is *p*-primary.

This confirms the expected properties of the symbol $\left(\frac{g_c(\ell)_{\chi_0^*}}{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$. A similar program computing the two symbols of η_{χ_0} gives all expected results.

5.2.5. Classical heuristics on class groups. A first important reason for a very rare occurrence of the non-cyclic case for $\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}^{(p)}$ may come from classical heuristics on *p*-class groups, assuming that they can be applied to ray class groups as $\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}^{(p)}$ when it is, for instance, of order p^2 .

Whatever the (numerous) references concerning this subject and independently of some improvements or questions on the relevance of the formulas giving $\operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{rk}_p(C) = r)$ for such a *p*-group *C*, we observe that the quotient of the two probabilities for r = 2 and r = 1(for instance under the condition $\#C = p^2$) is at most $\frac{O(1)}{p}$ giving probabilities in $\frac{O(1)}{p^2}$ to have $\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}^{(p)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$. Since $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi}) = 1$ splits in the two cases of the reflection theorem, $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}) = 2$ or $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}) = 2$, the above applies. As Nguyen Quang Do pointed out, this may come from the relation $\operatorname{H}^2(\mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}, (V/W)_{\chi^*}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$, assuming the uniform randomness of the exact sequences $1 \to (V/W)_{\chi^*} \simeq \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathcal{C}_{\chi^*}^{(p)} \to \mathcal{C}_{\chi^*} \simeq \mathbb{F}_p \to 1$ (proof of Theorem 3.7), the non-cyclic case corresponding to the single cohomology class 0.

5.2.6. Heuristics from *p***-ramification theory.** Another investigation is about the groups $\mathcal{T}_{\chi}, \chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$, and the formula $\#\mathcal{T}_{\chi} = \#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi} \cdot \#\mathcal{R}_{\chi}$ with the equivalence (3.1) of reflection, $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi*} \neq 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{\chi} \neq 1$ (illustrated in §4.4.3).

Indeed, it is interesting to estimate in what proportions the relation $\#\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi} \cdot \#\mathcal{R}_{\chi} \neq 1$ is due to $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi}$ or \mathcal{R}_{χ} . Of course, it is impossible to experiment with the cyclotomic fields K; so, since this problem must be considered as general and may result from some insights in *p*-ramification theory as done in a number of our articles (see [20] and its bibliography), we give some examples with quadratic and cyclic cubic fields.

(a) **Real quadratic fields and** $p \geq 3$ **fixed.** For each of the ND real quadratic field of discriminant $D \in [bD, BD]$, for which $\mathcal{T} \neq 1$ (counted in Nt), we compute the proportions of cases for which this is due to $\#\mathcal{C}$ or $\#\mathcal{R}$; we privilegiate the case $\mathcal{C} \neq 1$ (counted in Nh) even if the two groups \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{R} are both non-trivial; this may give a slightly larger proportion for $\frac{Nh}{Nt}$ but a much faster program:

```
{p=3;bD=10^6;BD=10^6+5*10^4;ND=0;Nh=0;Nt=0;for(D=bD,BD,e=valuation(D,2);M=D/2^e;
if(core(M)!=M,next);if((e==1||e>3)||(e==0&Mod(M,4)!=1)||(e==2&Mod(M,4)==1),next);
m=D; if(e!=0, m=D/4); ND=ND+1; P=x^2-m; K=bnfinit(P, 1); Kpn=bnrinit(K, p^2);
C5=component(Kpn,5);Hpn0=component(C5,1);Hpn=component(C5,2);
Hpn1=component(Hpn,1);vptor=valuation(Hpn0/Hpn1,p);
if(vptor>=1,Nt=Nt+1;C8=component(K,8);h=component(component(C8,1),1);
vph= valuation(h,p);if(vph>=1,Nh=Nh+1)));print("[",bD,", ",BD,"]");print
("p=",p," ND=",ND," Nt=",Nt," Nh=",Nh," Nh/Nt=",Nh/Nt+0.," 1/p=",1./p)}
[bD, BD]=[1000000, 1050000]
p=3
      ND=15204
                 Nt=7308
                           Nh=2050
                                     Nh/Nt=0.28051450 1/p=0.33333333
                                     Nh/Nt=0.18001135 1/p=0.2000000
p=5
      ND=15204
                 Nt=3522
                           Nh=634
                           Nh=331
                                     Nh/Nt=0.13433441 1/p=0.14285714
p=7
      ND=15204
                 Nt=2464
     ND=15204
                 Nt=1497
                           Nh=97
                                     Nh/Nt=0.06479625 1/p=0.09090909
p=11
```

[bD, BD]=[10000000, 10050000]

```
p=3
      ND=15198
                Nt=7516
                          Nh=2161
                                    Nh/Nt=0.28751995 1/p=0.33333333
p=5
      ND=15198
                Nt=3597
                          Nh=720
                                    Nh/Nt=0.20016680 1/p=0.2000000
                                    Nh/Nt=0.14203847 1/p=0.14285714
     ND=15198
                Nt=2443
                          Nh=347
p=7
p=11 ND=15198
                Nt=1512
                          Nh=122
                                    Nh/Nt=0.08068783 1/p=0.09090909
[bD, BD]=[100000000, 100100000]
     N=30410
                Nt=15133 Nh=4456
                                    Nh/Nt=0.29445582 1/p=0.33333333
p=3
```

The proportion Nh/Nt becomes close to $\frac{1}{n}$ for intervals with large discriminants.

(b) Cyclic cubic fields and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ fixed. We obtain analogous results with the same rough calculation (e.g., we may have $\mathcal{C}_{\chi_1} \neq 1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\chi_1} \neq 1$ or $\mathcal{R}_{\chi_2} \neq 1$), but this does not affect the statistics (f \in [bf, Bf] denotes the conductor):

```
{p=7;bf=10^5;Bf=5*10^5;Nf=0.0;Nh=0;Nt=0;for(f=bf,Bf,e=valuation(f,3);
if(e!=0 & e!=2,next);F=f/3^e;if(Mod(F,3)!=1||core(F)!=F,next);F=factor(F);
D=component(F,1);d=component(matsize(F),1);for(j=1,d-1,l=component(D,j);
if(Mod(1,3)!=1,break));for(b=1,sqrt(4*f/27),if(e==2 & Mod(b,3)==0,next);
A=4*f-27*b^2;if(issquare(A,&a)==1,if(e==0,if(Mod(a,3)==1,a=-a);
P=x^3+x^2+(1-f)/3*x+(f*(a-3)+1)/27);if(e==2,if(Mod(a,9)==3,a=-a);
P=x^3-f/3*x-f*a/27);Nf=Nf+1;K=bnfinit(P,1);Kpn=bnrinit(K,p^2);
C5=component(Kpn,5);Hpn0=component(C5,1);Hpn=component(C5,2);
Hpn1=component(Hpn,1);vptor=valuation(Hpn0/Hpn1,p);
if(vptor>=1,Nt=Nt+1;C8=component(K,8);h=component(component(C8,1),1);
vph=valuation(h,p);if(vph>=1,Nh=Nh+1))));print("[",bf,", ",Bf,"]");print
("p=",p," Nf=",Nf," Nt=",Nt," Nh=",Nh," Nh/Nt=",Nh/Nt," 1/p=",1./p)}
[bf, Bf]=[50000, 100000]
```

L,	,				
p=7	Nf=7928	Nt=2302	Nh=344	Nh/Nt=0.14943527	1/p=0.14285714
[bf,	Bf]=[100000	, 500000]			
p=7	Nf=63427	Nt=18533	Nh=2690	Nh/Nt=0.14514649	1/p=0.14285714
[bf,	Bf]=[100000	, 500000]			
p=13	Nf=63427	Nt=9979	Nh=754	Nh/Nt=0.07555867	1/p=0.07692307
[bf,	Bf]=[100000	, 500000]			
p=19	Nf=63427	Nt=6850	Nh=389	Nh/Nt=0.05678832	1/p=0.05263157
[bf,	Bf]=[100000	, 500000]			
p=31	Nf=63427	Nt=4316	Nh=139	Nh/Nt=0.03220574	1/p=0.03225806

The fact that \mathcal{R}_{χ} is much often non-trivial than \mathcal{C}_{χ} , in a computable proportion, is a positive argument for Vandiver's conjecture. We suggest that for totally real fields (like K_+), abelian *p*-ramification is essentially governed by the normalized *p*-adic regulator and that the *p*-class group is in some sense a "secondary" invariant.

5.2.7. Folk heuristic. Consider the Gauss sum $\tau(\psi) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-2} \zeta_p^k \cdot \xi_\ell^{g^k}$ (where g is a primitive root modulo ℓ , $\zeta_p := \psi(g)$, see (6)), and put k = a p + b, $0 \le a \le \frac{\ell-1}{p} - 1$, $0 \le b \le p - 1$. Whence:

(13)
$$\tau(\psi) = -\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^b \cdot \left[\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_\ell)/F_\ell}(\xi_\ell) \right]^{\sigma(b)},$$

where F_{ℓ} is the cyclic subextension of degree p of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{\ell})$, where $\sigma(b)$ is the automorphism acting trivially on ζ_p and such that $\xi_{\ell} \mapsto \xi_{\ell}^{g^b}$, giving an exact system of representatives for $\operatorname{Gal}(F_{\ell}/\mathbb{Q})$ independent of the choice of g.

From Remark 3.5 (ii), we know that F_{ℓ} is obtained as the decomposition over \mathbb{Q} of the extension $K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K$, with $\alpha = \tau(\psi)^p \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, and it is immediate to see that the *p*-class group of

24

 F_{ℓ} is trivial because of Chevalley's formula on invariant classes giving here $\# \mathcal{C}_{F_{\ell}}^{\operatorname{Gal}(F_{\ell}/\mathbb{Q})} = 1$ since ℓ is the unique ramified prime in F_{ℓ}/\mathbb{Q} .

(i) The first observation is that the *p*-class group of F_{ℓ} does not depend on that of K as ℓ varies ! Indeed, this context is neither more nor less than class field theory over \mathbb{Q} giving the existence of a unique cyclic extension F_{ℓ} of conductor $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for which one considers the set of conjugates of the relative trace of ξ_{ℓ} which moreover defines a normal basis of F_{ℓ} ; then the unique link with the arithmetic of K is the linear combination (13) involving the traces to built α , but the character of $\langle \alpha \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} K^{\times p}/K^{\times p}$ is ω which gives, as we know, a "poor" information on the arithmetic of K.

Thus, the relationship of $\alpha = \tau(\psi)^p$ (whence of $\tau(\psi)$) with class field theory over K (namely, with *p*-classes and units of K) is tenuous, possibly empty; which is quite the opposite for the twists $g_c(\ell)$ because of the relations $\alpha^{c-s_c} = g_c(\ell)^p$ and the fact that the $g_c(\ell)_{\chi^*}$ are radicals defining non-trivial (arithmetically) cyclic extensions of degree p of K_+ for any even character χ .

(ii) In another direction, suggested by the work of Lecouturier [33] generalizing results of Calegari–Emerton and limura, consider the non-Galois extension $\widetilde{F}_{\ell} := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{\widetilde{\alpha}})$, where $\widetilde{\alpha} := \ell$; of course, $K(\sqrt[p]{\widetilde{\alpha}})/K$ is a cyclic extension of degree p (undecomposed over a strict subfield of K), ramified at the p-1 primes $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ and at p if and only if $\ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. On the contrary, as shown by many results of [33], the p-class group of \widetilde{F}_{ℓ} strongly depends on the arithmetic of K while the radical $\widetilde{\alpha}$ does not.

This second observation comes from the fact that, for $\widetilde{M} := K(\sqrt[p]{\widetilde{\alpha}})$:

$$#\mathcal{C}\!\ell_{\widetilde{M}}^{\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{M}/K)} = #\mathcal{C}\!\ell_K \cdot \frac{p^{p-2+\delta}}{(E_K : E_K \cap \operatorname{N}_{\widetilde{M}/K}(\widetilde{M}^{\times}))} \le #\mathcal{C}\!\ell_K \cdot p^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$

where $\delta = 1$ or 0 according as p ramifies or not and where ζ_p is norm for $\delta = 0$; but the non-abelian Galois structure yields various non-trivial p-class groups for \widetilde{F}_{ℓ} as ℓ varies, and genera theory implies $\operatorname{rk}_p(\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}_{\ell}}) \geq 1$ for all ℓ (for the metabelian genera theory, see [29]). However, for $M = K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha}) = F_{\ell} K$:

$$#\mathcal{C}\ell_M^{\operatorname{Gal}(M/K)} = #\mathcal{C}\ell_K \cdot \frac{p^{p-2}}{(E_K : E_K \cap \operatorname{N}_{M/K}(M^{\times}))} \le #\mathcal{C}\ell_K \cdot p^{\frac{p-1}{2}},$$

and we left to the reader the computation of the (non-trivial) order of the minus part; but M/K decomposes into F_{ℓ}/\mathbb{Q} and only the isotopic component for the unit character is concerned, which gives in fact a trivial part of the above Chevalley's formula (contrary to the metabelian case \widetilde{M}/\mathbb{Q}). So the "folk heuristic" should be:

Because of F_{ℓ} defined by the radical $\alpha = \tau(\psi)^p$, the p-adic properties of the Gauss sums are independent of the arithmetic of K as ℓ varies (despite the apparent complexity of the radical $\alpha = \tau(\psi)^p$), while the properties of \widetilde{F}_{ℓ} are strongly dependent (despite the obvious simplicity of the radical $\widetilde{\alpha} = \ell$).

In other words we have probably some "dualities" about the arithmetic complexity of Kummer theory in the comparison "radicals versus extensions".

5.3. Additive *p*-adic statistics. Of course, we are only concerned with the multiplicative *p*-adic properties of the Gauss sums $\tau(\psi)$ and mainly of the twists $g_c(\ell)$, and these are given by their χ^* -components for $\chi \in \mathscr{X}_+$. Nevertheless, the additive properties seem to follow more explicit rules, which is an interesting information about the numerical repartition and the independence as ℓ varies, and this probably has an impact on the multiplicative properties regarding the results of § 4.3. We shall examine the case of the twists $g_c(\ell)$ (more precisely

of $\psi^{-c}(c) g_c(\ell)$, then the case of the original Gauss sums $\tau(\psi)$ from the arithmetic of the fields F_{ℓ} .

5.3.1. \mathbb{Z} -rank of the family $(\psi^{-c}(c) \mathbf{g}_{c}(\ell))_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{p}}$. Put, for p and c fixed:

(14)
$$\mathbf{J}(\ell) := \psi^{-c}(c) g_c(\ell) = \psi^{-c}(c) \tau(\psi)^{c-\sigma_c} = J_1 \cdots J_{c-1} \text{ (see (10))}$$

written on the basis $\{1, \zeta_p, \ldots, \zeta_p^{p-2}\}$, under the form $\mathbf{J}(\ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} a_k(\ell) \zeta_p^k$, the integers $a_k(\ell)$ being considered modulo p. A first information, about the p-adic repartition of the $\mathbf{J}(\ell)$ as ℓ varies, is to compute the \mathbb{F}_p -rank of the \mathbb{F}_p -matrix $(a_k(\ell))_{k,\ell}$. The following program gives systematically:

$$\operatorname{Rank}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\left[\left(a_k(\ell)\right)_{\ell,k}\right] = p - 4,$$

for all the primes $p \ge 7$ tested (rank 1 for p = 3 and rank 2 for p = 5), despite the fact that the lines are not canonical (up to circular permutations of their elements since $\mathbf{J}(\ell)$ is defined up to conjugation). We have verified it up to $p \le 331$, an interval which contains 16 irregular primes. The program gives p, the \mathbb{F}_p -rank of the matrix (in rank) and the least ℓ_p (in elp) for which the sub-matrix built from $\{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p, \ell \le \ell_p\}$ has rank p - 4:

```
{forprime(p=7,500,c=lift(znprimroot(p));P=polcyclo(p)+Mod(0,p);M=matrix(0,p-1);
r=0;for(i=1,10<sup>8</sup>,el=1+2*i*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);g=znprimroot(el);J=1;
for(i=1,c-1,Ji=0;for(k=1,el-2,kk=znlog(1-g^k,g);e=lift(Mod(kk+i*k,p));
Ji=Ji-x^e); J=J*Ji); J=lift(Mod(J,P)); V=vector(p-1,j,component(J,j));
A=concat(M,V);rr=matrank(A);if(rr==r,next);r=rr;M=A;
if(r==p-4,print("p=",p," r=",r," elp=",el);break)))}
                                  p rank
p rank elp
                  p rank elp
                                                  elp
                                                                 rank
                                                                        elp
7
   3
         113
                             397
                                            9
                                                  599
                                                                  13
                   11
                       7
                                       13
                                                             17
                                                                        1259
(...)
71 67
         42743
                   73
                        69
                             48473
                                       79
                                            75
                                                  50087
                                                             83
                                                                  79
                                                                        65239
151 147 247943 157 153 273181
                                       163 159
                                                  294053
                                                            167 163
                                                                        305611
```

We have $\mathbf{J}(\ell) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, in other words $\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} a_k(\ell) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and we can write $\mathbf{J}(\ell) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} a_k(\ell) (\zeta_p^k - 1)$ depending on p-2 parameters; then, due to the relations $\mathbf{J}(\ell)^{1+s_{-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\mathbf{J}(\ell)^{e_{\omega}} \in K^{\times p}$ (because $\omega(c-s_c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$), this yields the

three relations of "derivation" (for $p \ge 7$) $\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} k^{\delta} \cdot a_k(\ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $\delta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, for any $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$. Whence a \mathbb{F}_p -rank at most p-4, but we have no proof of the equality.

The order of magnitude of ℓ_p seems to be $O(1) p^2 \log(p^2)$, which is in agreement with a "conductor" p^2 for these Hecke Grössencharacters [55, Theorem, p. 489], but the program slows down very much, as p increases, to be more accurate.

Moreover, the number of consecutive primes ℓ needed to reach the rank p-4 is equal to p-4, except probably for finitely many cases, which confirms the above order. Give now the end of the above table with an estimation of the O(1):

0(1)elp 0(1)р elp elp 0(1)р p р elp 0(1)211 517373 1.0856 223 628861 227 604729 1.0816 229 631583 1.1082 1.1693 239 695491 1.1116 241 684923 1.0750 251 784627 1.1269 233 642149 1.0849 257 862493 1.1766 263 819509 1.0631 269 928051 1.1461 271 906767 1.1019 277 925181 1.0719 281 1055437 1.1853 283 979747 1.0834 293 988583 1.0136 307 1174583 1.0881 311 1214767 1.0941 313 1203799 1.0692 317 1276243 1.1026

The \mathbb{F}_p -rank $r_p(\ell)$ of the p-1 conjugates of $\mathbf{J}(\ell) \pmod{p}$, $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, is close to p-4 (e.g., for $p = 37, r_{37}(\ell) \in \{33, 32, 31, 30\}$ in similar proportions, and we only have the local minimum $(r_{37}(\ell), \ell) = (29, 2591)$ for ℓ up to 37000.

5.3.2. Repartition of the conjugates of the traces $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\ell})/F_{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\ell})$. Let $Z_{F_{\ell}}$ be the ring of integers of F_{ℓ} and let $Z_{F_{\ell}}/p Z_{F_{\ell}}$ be the residue ring modulo p. These residue rings are isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{p}}$ or to \mathbb{F}_{p}^{p} , but there is no canonical map between them as $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{p}$ varies.

Thus, in the expression (13) giving $\tau(\psi) = -\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^b \cdot \left[\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_\ell)/F_\ell}(\xi_\ell) \right]^{\sigma(b)}$, the images in $Z_{F_\ell}/p Z_{F_\ell}$ of the conjugates of the relative traces $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi_\ell) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_\ell)/F_\ell}(\xi_\ell)$ may be easily analysed and compared, for $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, by means of the image R_ℓ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of the polynomial $Q_\ell = \prod_{\overline{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}(F_\ell/\mathbb{Q})} (x - \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_\ell)^{\overline{\sigma}}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$

Proposition 5.3. Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathscr{L}_p$ and let $\tau(\psi_1), \tau(\psi_2)$ be the corresponding Gauss sums normalized via $\psi_1(g_1) = \psi_2(g_2) = \zeta_p$. Let $F = F_{\ell_1}F_{\ell_2}$. If $R_{\ell_1} \neq R_{\ell_2}$, then for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(FK/\mathbb{Q}), \tau(\psi_2) \not\equiv \tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p Z_{FK}}$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(FK/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\tau(\psi_2) \equiv \tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p Z_{FK}}$; recall that $\tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} = \zeta_{\sigma} \tau(\psi_1^e), \, \zeta_{\sigma} \in \mu_p, \, e \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Then: $\tau(\psi_2) = -\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^b \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_2})^{\sigma_2(b)} \text{ and } \tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} = -\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^b \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_1})^{\pi(\sigma_1(b))},$

where π is a permutation of the $\sigma_1(b)$. Using $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{\ell_i})/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi_{\ell_i}) = -1$, we get:

$$\tau(\psi_2) = 1 - \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^b - 1) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_2})^{\sigma_2(b)}, \ \tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} = 1 - \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^b - 1) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_1})^{\pi(\sigma_1(b))}, \text{ whence:}$$

$$\tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} - \tau(\psi_2) = \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^b - 1) \cdot \left(\operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_2})^{\sigma_2(b)} - \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_1})^{\pi(\sigma_1(b))} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p Z_{FK}}.$$

Since the $\zeta_p^b - 1$, $b \in [1, p - 1]$, define a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathfrak{p} Z_K$, then a Z_F -basis of $\mathfrak{p} Z_{FK}$, this relation implies $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_2})^{\sigma(b)} \equiv \operatorname{Tr}(\xi_{\ell_1})^{\pi'(\sigma(b))} \pmod{p}$ for all b, which yields $R_{\ell_1} = R_{\ell_2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ (contradiction).

Since $\tau(\psi_2) \not\equiv \tau(\psi_1)^{\sigma} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p}$ for all σ implies $g_c(\ell_2) \not\equiv g_c(\ell_2)^{\sigma} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^p}$ for all σ (except for the ω -components because $\omega(c-\sigma_c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$), we can say that the number of distinct polynomials R_ℓ , $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, gives a partial idea of the repartition modulo p of the sets $\mathscr{E}_\ell(p)$ as ℓ varies. As p increases, the number of distinct R_ℓ seems to be $O(p^2 \cdot \log(p^2))$.

The following program, computing the monic polynomial $\mathsf{R} = R_{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ returns: $\mathsf{el} = \ell$, the residue degree $\mathsf{f} = f$ of p in F_{ℓ}/\mathbb{Q} , and R .

```
{p=7;B=5*10^3;el=1;while(el<B,el=el+2*p;if(isprime(el)!=1,next);g=znprimroot(el);
h=g^p;g=lift(g);h=lift(h);P=polcyclo(el);z=Mod(x,P);Q=1;e=1;for(k=1,p,Tr=0;e=e*g;
for(j=1,(el-1)/p,e=e*h;e=lift(Mod(e,el));Tr=Tr+z^e);Q=Q*(T-Tr));
Q=component(lift(Q),1);R=0; for(i=0,p,C=component(Q,i+1);C=lift(Mod(C,p));
R=R+x^i*C);F=znorder(Mod(p,el));f=1;v=valuation(F,p);w=valuation(el-1,p);
if(w==v,f=p);print("el=",el," f=",f," R=",R))}
```

```
el=29
         f=7
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 2*x^5 + 5*x + 1
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 3*x^5 + 3*x^3 + 6*x^2
e1 = 43
         f=1
         f=7
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 5*x^5 + 3*x^4 + 2*x^3 + 6*x^2 + 4
el=71
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 3*x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 3*x + 5
el=4943 f=7
         f=7
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 4*x^5 + 2*x^4 + 5*x^3 + 3*x^2 + 2*x + 1
el=4957
el=4999
                 R=x^7 + x^6 + 4*x^3 + 5*x^2 + 2*x + 6
        f=7
```

It is hopeless to write wide lists of polynomials R_{ℓ} for large p, but any experiment suggests a random distribution of the (non-independent) coefficients (except that of x^{p-1} since $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{\ell})/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi_{\ell}) = -1$). For p = 3 the six possible polynomials are of the form R_{ℓ} . For p = 5 (resp. p = 7) there are 150 (resp. 17192) possible polynomials.

(i) For p = 5, we obtain the following end of the calculations (two days of computer; it seems that only 35 distinct polynomials R_{ℓ} are available):

el=5591	f=5	R=x^5	+	x^4	+	4*x^3	+	x^2	+	4*	х+	2	
el=6211	f=1	R=x^5	+	x^4	+	x^3 +	х́	`2 +	x				
el= 6271	f=1	R=x^5	+	x^4	+	2*x^3	+	4*x´	`2	+	3*x	+	4
el=1345	f=1	R=x^5	+	x^4									

(ii) For p = 7, ℓ up to 17977, we get painfully a little more than 250 distinct R_{ℓ} , but the exact number is unknown.

Remark 5.4. It is clear that a large number of polynomials R_{ℓ} strengthens Vandiver's conjecture since the corresponding $\mathbf{J}(\ell) = \psi^{-c}(c) g_c(\ell)$ cover sufficiently possibilities modulo p, especially since we know that the \mathbb{F}_p -rank associated to the family of $(\mathbf{J}(\ell))_{\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p}$ is probably always p - 4, but these informations are not "equivalent". Moreover, an assumption about the order of magnitude of $\mathscr{N}_p := \#\{R_\ell, \ell \in \mathscr{L}_p\}$ is not necessary to obtain Vandiver's conjecture for p; indeed, a single suitable ℓ may ensure a positive test for Vandiver's conjecture as shown by the table given in § 4.2.2.

We propose the following heuristic, about the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ of exponents of *p*-primarity for which the reference [25] may be usefull:

The probability of $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) = \emptyset$, for a single $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, is $(1 + o(1)) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$; that of at least a counterexample to Vandiver's conjecture is of the form $O(1) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\mathscr{N}_p}$, where $\mathscr{N}_p := \#\{R_\ell, \ \ell \in \mathscr{L}_p\}$, with the polynomial $R_\ell = \prod_{\overline{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Gal}(F_\ell/\mathbb{Q})} \left(x - \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_\ell)/F_\ell}(\xi_\ell)^{\overline{\sigma}}\right)$ seen in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

6. Conclusion

Under these experiments and heuristics, the existence of sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$, disjoint from $\mathscr{E}_{0}(p)$, or probably the existence of primes $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{p}$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p) = \emptyset$, may occur conjecturally for all p. Possibly, our computations in § (4.2.2) show the existence of general properties of the sets $\mathscr{E}_{\ell}(p)$ coming from the fact that all $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{p}$ intervene (and that these primes are probably independent), which is a new argument compared with classical ones. This is strengthened by the computation of the conjugates of the traces $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{\ell})/F_{\ell}}(\xi_{\ell})$, as $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_{p}$ varies (coefficients of the Gauss sums), the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell})$ being, a priori, independent of the arithmetic of K.

Remark 6.1. There are two constraints, for the Gauss and Jacobi sums that we have considered, but they only concern the auxiliary prime numbers $\ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$:

(i) The *p*-classes of ideals $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell, \ell \in \mathscr{L}_p$, are all represented with standard densities.

(ii) The ideal factorization of $\tau(\psi)^p$ is related to congruences modulo the conjugates of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{L} \mid \ell$ and is canonical (this yields Stickelberger's theorem and its consequences [52, § 15.1], [6, 55], and [43] for the annihilation of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\chi_0^n}^{(p)}$ with generalizations of the Stickelberger ideal). A similar context is that of the ℓ -adic Γ -function of Morita.

However, since we consider characters ψ of order p, the *p*-adic congruential properties of Gauss sums (or Jacobi sums) do not follow any known law (in our opinion, the classical literature being mute about this).

These fundamental *p*-adic properties of Gauss sums may have crucial consequences in various domains since Vandiver's conjecture is often required; for instance:

In [8] about the Galois cohomology of Fermat curves, in [47] for the root numbers of the Jacobian varieties of Fermat curves, then in several papers on Galois *p*-ramification theory as in [36, 44, 45, 46], or [53, 54] in relation with modular forms, then in numerous papers

and books on the theory of deformations of Galois representations as in [2, 37], Iwasawa's theory context and cyclotomy, as in [7] on Ihara series, [5] for μ -invariants in Hida families, [32] for the main conjecture of the Iwasawa theory).

Then it may be legitimate to think that all these numerous basic congruential aspects are (logically) governing principles of a wide part of algebraic number theory, as follows, beyond the case of the *p*th cyclotomic field (not to mention all the geometrical aspects as the theory of elliptic curves where some analogies can be found, and all the generalizations of the present abelian case over a number field $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$):

Gauss and Jacobi sums \longrightarrow Hecke Grössencharacters \longrightarrow Stickelberger element \longrightarrow p-adic L-functions \longrightarrow Herbrand-Ribet theorem \longrightarrow Main Theorem on abelian fields \longrightarrow annihilation of the p-torsion group \mathcal{T} of real abelian fields \longrightarrow universal isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathrm{H}^2(G_{S_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^* \longrightarrow$ p-rationality of fields $(\mathcal{T} = 1) \longrightarrow$ cohomological obstructions in Galois theory $\longrightarrow \cdots$

Which gives again an example of a *basic p-adic problem*, analogous to those we have analysed about deep conjectures: Greenberg's conjectures (on Iwasawa theory over totally real fields [22] and on representation theory [24]), *p*-rationalities of a number field as $p \to \infty$, generalizations of the conjecture of Ankeny–Artin–Chowla from the conjectural existence of a *p*-adic Brauer–Siegel theorem [20]...

As shown by the evidences given in §5.2, Vandiver's conjecture may be justified, for $p \gg 0$, by the Borel–Cantelli heuristic, on exceptional features of Gauss sums; but this point of view allows cases of failure of the conjecture, which is not satisfactory for the theoretical foundations of the above quoted fundamental subjects.

To be optimistic (but not very rigorous), one can say that Vandiver's conjecture is true because it holds for sufficiently many prime numbers [4, 9] since probabilities may be in $\frac{O(1)}{p^{\lambda(p)}}$,

 $\lambda(p) \to \infty$. In a more serious claim, we can say that Vandiver's conjecture holds for almost all prime numbers; the accurate cardinality of the finite set of counterexamples (\emptyset or not) is (in our opinion) not of algebraic nature nor enlightened by class field theory, Galois cohomology or Iwasawa's theory, but is perhaps accessible by the way of analytical/geometrical techniques or depends on a more general hypothetic "complexity theory" in number theory.

References

- Anglès B and Nuccio F A E, On Jacobi Sums in Q(ζ_p), Acta Arithmetica 142(3) (2010) 199–218 https://perso.univ-st-etienne.fr/nf51454h/PDF/jacobi.pdf
- Berger T, Oddness of residually reducible Galois representations, Int. J. Number Theory 14(5) (2018) 1329–1345 https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118500835
- [3] Bayer-Fluckiger E, Emery V and Houriet J, Hermitian Lattices and Bounds in K-Theory of Algebraic Integers, *Documenta Math* Extra Volume Merkurjev (2015) 71-83 https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta/vol-merkurjev/
- Buhler J P and Harvey D, Irregular primes to 163 million, Math. Comp. 80(276) (2011) 2435-2444 https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2011-02461-0
- [5] Bellaïche J and Pollack R, Congruences with Eisenstein series and mu-invariants (2018) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.04240
- [6] Conrad K, Jacobi sums and Stickelberger's congruence, Enseign. Math. 41 (1995) 141-153 http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/articles/jacobistick.pdf
- [7] Coleman R F, Anderson-Ihara theory: Gauss sums and circular units., Algebraic number theory, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 17 (1989) Academic Press, Boston, MA, 55–72 https://doi.org/10.2969/aspm/01710055
- [8] Davis R and Pries R, Cohomology groups of Fermat curves via ray class fields of cyclotomic fields (2018) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.08352.

- [9] Hart W, Harvey D and Ong W, Irregular primes to two billion, Math. Comp. 86(308) (2017) 3031-3049 https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3211
- [10] Ellenberg J S and Venkatesh A, Reflection principles and bounds for class group torsion, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2007(1) (2007)

https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnm002

- [11] Ghate E, Vandiver's Conjecture via K-theory, Summer School on Cyclotomic fields, Pune (1999) http://www.math.tifr.res.in/%7Eeghate/vandiver.pdf
- [12] Gras G and Jaulent J-F, Sur les corps de nombres réguliers, Math. Z. 202(2) (1989) 343-365 https://eudml.org/doc/174095
- [13] Gras G, Étude d'invariants relatifs aux groupes des classes des corps abéliens, Journées Arithmétiques de Caen (Univ. Caen, Caen, 1976) pp. 35–53. Astérisque No. 41–42, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1977) http://www.numdam.org/book-part/AST_1977_41-42_35_0/
- [14] Gras G, Sur la p-ramification abélienne, Conférence donnée à l'University Laval, Québec, Mathematical series of the department of mathematics 20 (1984) 1-26 https://www.dropbox.com/s/fusia63znk0kcky/Lectures1982.pdf?dl=0
- [15] Gras G, Class Field Theory: from theory to practice, corr. 2nd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer (2005) xiii+507 pages
 - https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-11323-3
- [16] Gras G, Approche p-adique de la conjecture de Greenberg pour les corps totalement réels, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal 24(2) (2017) 235–291

http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2017__24_2_235_0

- [17] Gras G, On p-rationality of number fields. Applications PARI/GP programs, Publ. Math. Fac. Sci. Besançon (Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres) (2017/2018) (to appear) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1709.06388
- [18] Gras G, Annihilation of tor_{Zp}(G^{ab}_{K,S}) for real abelian extensions K/Q, Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences 1(1) (2018) 5-34 http://dergipark.gov.tr/download/article-file/543993
- [19] Gras G, The p-adic Kummer-Leopoldt Constant: Normalized p-adic Regulator, Int. J. Number Theory 14(2) (2018) 329–337

https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118500203

- [20] Gras G, Heuristics and conjectures in direction of a p-adic Brauer-Siegel theorem, Math. Comp. 88(318) (2018-2019) 1929-1965 https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3395
- [21] Gras G, Practice of the incomplete p-ramification over a number field History of abelian p-ramification (2019) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.10707
- [22] Greenberg R, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields, Amer. J. Math. 98(1) (1976) 263-284 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2373625?
- [23] Greenberg R, On the jacobian variety of some algebraic curves, Compositio Math. 42(3) (1980) 345-359 http://www.numdam.org/article/CM_1980_42_3_345_0.pdf
- [24] Greenberg R, Galois representations with open image, Annales de Mathématiques du Québec 40(1) (2016) 83–119
 - https://doi.org/10.1007/s40316-015-0050-6
- [25] Gupta S and Don Zagier, On the coefficients of the minimal polynomials of Gaussian periods, Math. Comp. 60(201) (1993) 385–398

https://www.jstor.org/stable/2153175

- [26] Ichimura H, Local Units Modulo Gauss Sums, Journal of Number Theory 68(1) (1998) 36-56 https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1997.2206
- [27] Ichimura H and Kaneko M, On the Universal Power Series for Jacobi Sums and the Vandiver Conjecture, Journal of Number Theory 31(3) (1989) 312–334

https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/81986387.pdf

- [28] Iwasawa K, A note on Jacobi sums, Symposia Mathematica 15, Academic Press (1975) 447–459.
- [29] Jaulent J-F, Unités et classes dans les extensions métabéliennes de degré nl^s sur un corps de nombres algébriques, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 31(1) (1981) 39-62. http://www.numdam.org/article/AIF_1981_31_1_39_0.pdf/
- [30] Kurihara M, Some remarks on conjectures about cyclotomic fields and K-groups of Z, Compositio Math. 81(2) (1992) 223–236

http://www.numdam.org/item/CM_1992__81_2_223_0

[31] Kersten I and Michaliček J, On Vandiver's conjecture and \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$, Journal of Number Theory **32**(3) (1989) 371–386

https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(89)90091-7

- [32] Kakde M and Wojtkowiak Z, A note on the main conjecture over Q (2018) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.04360
- [33] Lecouturier E, On the Galois structure of the class group of certain Kummer extensions, J. London Math. Soc. 98(2) (2018) 35–58

https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12123

- [34] Maire C, Genus theory and governing fields, New York J. Math. 24 (2018) 1056-1067 https://www.emis.de/journals/NYJM/NYJM/nyjm/j/2018/24-50v.pdf
- [35] McCallum W G, Greenberg's conjecture and units in multiple Z_p-extensions, American Journal of Mathematics 123(5) (2001) 909–930
 - https://www.jstor.org/stable/25099088
- [36] McCallum W G and Sharifi R T, A Cup Product in the Galois Cohomology of Number Fields, Duke Math. J. 120(2) (2003) 269–310
 - http://math.ucla.edu/~sharifi/pairing.pdf
- [37] Mézard A, Obstructions aux déformations de représentations galoisiennes réductibles et groupes de classes, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeau 17(2) (2005) 607-618 https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.510
- [38] Mihăilescu P, Turning Washington's Heuristics in Favor of Vandiver's Conjecture, In: Essays in Mathematics and its Applications in Honor of Stephen Smale's 80th Birthday, P. Pardalos, T. Rassias (Eds.), Springer-Verlag (2012) pp. 287–294
 - http://poivs.tsput.ru/en/Biblio/Publication/11335
- [39] The PARI Group, PARI/GP, version 2.9.0, Université de Bordeaux (2016)
- [40] Ribet K A, A modular construction of unramified *p*-extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$, Invent. Math. **34**(3) (1976) 151–162

https://math.berkeley.edu/~ribet/Articles/invent_34.pdf

- [41] Ribet Κ Α, Bernoulli numbers and ideal classes, In: L'héritage scientifique deJacques Herbrand Gaz. Math. 118(2008)42 - 49https://smf.emath.fr/publications/la-gazette-des-mathematiciens-118-octobre-2008
- [42] Schoof R, Class numbers of real cyclotomic fields of prime conductor, Math. Comp. 72(242) (2003) 913–937

https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-02-01432-1

- [43] Schmidt C G, On ray class annihilators of cyclotomie fields, Invent. math. 66(2) (1982) 215-230 https://eudml.org/doc/142878
- [44] Sharifi R T, On Galois groups of unramified pro-p extensions, Mathematische Annalen 342(2) (2008) 297–308

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-008-0236-1

- [45] Sharifi R T, A reciprocity map and the two-variable p-adic L-function, Ann. of Math. (2) 173(1) (2011) 251-300 https://www.jstor.org/stable/29783202
- [46] Sharifi R T, Relationships between conjectures on the structure of pro-p Galois groups unramified outside p, in: Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1999), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **70** (2002), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 275–284
- [47] Shu J, Root numbers and Selmer groups for the Jacobian varieties of Fermat curves (2018) https://arxiv.org/pdf/1809.09285
- [48] Soulé C, Perfect forms and the Vandiver conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 517 (1999) 209-221 https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1999.095
- [49] Soulé C, A bound for the torsion in the K-theory of algebraic integers, Documenta Math. Extra, vol. Kato (2003) 761–788

http://preprints.ihes.fr/M02/M02-82.pdf

[50] Thaine F, On the *p*-part of the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ and Vandiver's conjecture, *Michigan Math. J.* **42**(2) (1995) 311–344

https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.mmj/1029005231

 [51] Thaine F, On the coefficients of Jacobi sums in prime cyclotomic fields, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc. 351(12) (1999) 4769–4790

https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02223-0

- [52] Washington L C, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xiv+487 pp
- [53] Wake P and Erickson C W, Ordinary pseudorepresentations and modular forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B 4 (2017) 53–71

https://doi.org/10.1090/bproc/29

- [54] Wake P and Erickson C W, Pseudo-modularity and Iwasawa theory, Amer. J. Math. 140(4) (2018) 977–1040 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1505.05128
- [55] Weil A, Jacobi sums as "Grössencharaktere", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1952) 487-495 https://www.jstor.org/stable/1990804

VILLA LA GARDETTE, 4 CHEMIN CHÂTEAU GAGNIÈRE, F-38520, LE BOURG D'OISANS. g.mn.gras@wanadoo.fr