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#### Abstract

The simultaneous study of species distribution modelling (SDM) and genetic imprints left by range dynamics is appropriate when examining the biogeographical processes that have favoured the survival of plants through past climate changes. Nevertheless, such an approach is rarely performed on the scale of the entire Mediterranean and almost never concerns widespread thermophilous plants. Here, we examine the biogeographical responses of an important Mediterranean shrub, Myrtus communis (Myrtaceae) to severe Quaternary climate conditions. Our analysis combines SDM and phylogeography based on plastid/nuclear DNA sequences and AFLP data. Palaeoclimatic models using MaxEnt and levels of genetic diversity in M. communis are used to infer drastic changes in areas of climatic suitability during the last 130,000 years, with a southward range contraction during the Last Glacial Maximum. Modelling of past suitability areas for myrtle identifies a few relatively small long-term refugia, suggesting that it survived in temporary refugia during glacial periods. Myrtus communis is characterized by a higher genetic diversity and originality in the southern part of its range, where it was less impacted by glaciations. Structure of genetic diversity reveals stronger range expansions in the Western part of the range whereas migration processes remained much more restricted in the Eastern Mediterranean.
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## INTRODUCTION

Mediterranean-climate ecosystems harbour the world's richest extra-tropical floras, but contemporary ecological factors and environmental heterogeneity do not entirely explain their remarkable biodiversity and endemism or their spatial patterns (Rundel et al., 2016). It has been suggested for the Cape Region and south-western Australia that long-term environmental stability allowed ancient lineages to continue their diversification (Cowling et al., 2015). By contrast, clades are generally younger in the Mediterranean region, leading to the hypothesis that environmental instability has prevented the long-term accumulation of diversity (Valente \& Vargas, 2013; Cowling et al., 2015). Indeed, several old lineages, i.e. those of early to middle Cenozoic origins, were pruned by extinction around 3.2 Ma , during the transition from a tropical to a Mediterranean climate (Postigo Mijarra et al., 2009; Rodríguez-Sánchez et al., 2010). The Quaternary glaciations starting at ca. 2.7 Ma subsequently led to an increased climatic severity characterized by more arid conditions and a mean temperature of the coldest month about $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooler than at present ( Wu et al., 2007). These drastic climatic conditions have favoured open landscapes of grasslands and steppe vegetation to the detriment of more thermophilous and woody vegetation (Quézel \& Médail, 2003; Thompson, 2005). Nevertheless, recent phylogenetic evidence suggest that most of plant clades of the Mediterranean region diversified between the Miocene and Pliocene (from 23 Ma ), i.e. before the onset of the Mediterranean climate (Vargas, Fernández-Mazuecos, \& Heleno, 2018), so that extinction of older lineages was counteracted by diversifying processes in younger lineages.

Species Distribution Models (SDM) fitted with palaeoclimatic explanatory variables can provide insights into the processes shaping modern genetic and species diversity while tracking the contraction/expansion of populations in response to successive Quaternary glacial/interglacial oscillations (Waltari et al., 2007; Carnaval et al., 2009). The hypothesis of long-term refugia proposes the stability of suitable areas that allow viable populations to persist through climatic oscillations (Ashcroft, 2010; Stewart et al., 2010). Within the framework of refuge theory, we should expect to find a positive correlation between the stability of suitable areas and genetic singularity, since long term populations tend to harbour more diversity than recently expanding ones (Svenning et al., 2011; Hampe et al., 2013; Gavin et al., 2014). These long-term refugia have been defined as 'phylogeographical hotspots', i.e. significant reservoirs of unique genetic diversity of Mediterranean plant species that probably played a key role in speciation processes (Médail \& Diadema, 2009).

The complex spatio-temporal trajectories of species and populations in and out of refugia need to be better integrated (Gavin et al., 2014). Combining palaeoclimatic SDM and phylogeography facilitates inferring the existence of putative Quaternary long-term refugia. This was the case for the olive tree (Olea europaea L.), of which a large part of its populations located in the south-western and the coastal eastern Mediterranean persisted under continuously suitable areas during the Last Inter-Glacial (LIG, ca. 116-130 ka BP), the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, ca. 1926.5 ka ), and current climatic conditions (Besnard et al., 2013).

The simultaneous analysis of both SDM and genetic imprints left by range dynamics have been rarely performed on the scale of the entire Mediterranean and even less concerning widespread thermophilous plants. In this context, we have chosen to focus on the myrtle, or Myrtus communis L. (Myrtaceae), because it is one of the few Mediterranean thermophilous evergreen shrubs occurring in coastal and lowland environments, below an altitude of 500 m . Indeed, a few common woody plants of the thermo-Mediterranean shrublands have been studied using a phylogeographical approach (Pinus pinea L.: Vendramin et al., 2008; Cistus monspeliensis L.: Fernandez-Mazuecos \& Vargas, 2010; Chamaerops humilis L.: García-Castaño et al., 2014;

Guzmán et al., 2017). The myrtle is characterized by a long biogeographical history, having persisted in the Mediterranean region through the Cenozoic since at least the Neogene period (Migliore et al., 2012). Found primarily in low-altitude habitats, this shrub has probably been highly impacted both by climatic and habitat changes through latitudinal shifts of climatic conditions and eustatic oscillations of the Mediterranean sea (Pirazzoli, 2005). Thus, the framework of the expansion/contraction model (Bennett \& Provan, 2008; Gavin et al., 2014) could be relevant for past Myrtus range dynamics, especially during the Quaternary climatic oscillations.

To examine the biogeographical responses of this Mediterranean shrub to severe Quaternary climate conditions, we used MAXEnt species distribution models, and detected the current and past suitable areas for $M$. communis on the scale of its entire Mediterranean and Macaronesian distribution range. From this we inferred potential range shifts and identified refugia on the basis of bioclimatic suitability during the Last Inter-Glacial, the Last Glacial Maximum, and the Mid-Holocene (ca. 6 ka BP). We compared the implications of the SDM study with the phylogeography of $M$. communis based on plastid and nuclear DNA sequences as well as multilocus AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) markers.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## modelling of suitable areas for Myrtus communis

## Occurrence data

The study area was divided into a grid of $3,0191 \mathrm{~km} \times 1 \mathrm{~km}$ cells using ArcGis 10.2 (ESRI, Redlands, USA). All the cells under consideration were at least $75 \%$ emerged land area. An occurrence dataset, consisting of 4,168 observations of $M$. communis, was (Figure 1A), collected from the following sources: the Global Biodiversity Information Facility data portal ( 2,011 occurrences; http://www.gbif.org/), and our own field GPS data (179 Mediterranean occurrences), GPS data from the French CBNMED database (758 occurrences; http://flore.silene.eu), the Atlas of the Aegean Flora (462 occurrences; A. Strid, unpubl. data), Flora Croatica (660 occurrences; Nikolić, 2015), and scientific literature on the flora of northern Africa and Italy (98 occurrences). For modelling purposes, the dataset was reduced to one occurrence per unit cell ( $n=3019$ ).

## Bioclimatic variables

Six variables related to the ecological requirements of the species were chosen and used to fit the bioclimatic distribution model of $M$. communis. Isothermality (BIO3) reflects the influence of larger or smaller temperature fluctuations within a month, relative to the year (generally useful for insular and maritime environments). Minimal temperature of the coldest month (BIO6) quantifies potentially lethal frost events and generalized stress due to low temperatures. Annual temperature range ( BIO ) is useful for examining the effect of the ranges of annual temperature extremes. Annual precipitation (BIO12) approximates average water availability, and precipitation of the driest month (BIO14) describes the extremes associated with potentially lethal drought events and quantifies stress due to low water availability. Finally, because M. communis requires warmer temperatures during the wettest period of year for its germination, mean temperature in the wettest quarter (BIO8) was also considered. These bioclimatic variables were extracted from the Bioclim dataset, provided by WorLDCLIM 1.4 in a GIS-based raster format ( $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~km}$ resolution; Hijmans et al., 2005; O'Donnell \& Ignizio, 2012). As a safeguard against redundancy among our variables, we checked that all pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients between any two of the six selected variables were below 0.71 .
Modelling method
Maximum Entropy algorithm as implemented in MAXENT 3.3.1 was used to define suitable areas for M. communis (Phillips, Anderson, \& Schapire, 2006; Phillips \& Dudík, 2008). MaxEnt estimates
species' suitable areas by finding the distribution of maximum entropy (i.e. closest to uniform) subject to the constraint that the expected value of each environmental variable (or its transform and/or interactions) under the estimated distribution matches its empirical average. The method has been shown to be well adapted to presence-only data and has consistently demonstrated performance that is competitive with other methods (Elith et al., 2006; but see Yackulic et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2017). Several models were fitted by investigating all feature types (linear, quadratic, and product) and all combinations of features, except for the threshold feature, which is known to fit overly conservative models. Ten replicates were performed for each model (crossvalidation method). The machine learning fitting process was set to end when training gain fell below the threshold value of 0.0001 , or after 3,000 iterations. The user-defined number of background points was set to 50,000, and individual points were chosen to correct for latitudinal and sampling biases (i.e. an oriented random selection of 50,000 points across the entire study area, paying attention to cell area, which is a function of latitude, and to sampling bias). The extrapolate function was set to 'no'.

## Model selection

The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was calculated for all models and averaged by combination to define the most parsimonious combination of features. Area Under the Curve (AUC) and standard deviation metrics were checked for congruence with AIC results. The final model of the current suitable areas for $M$. communis was fitted using the selected combination of features, with 50 replicates (identical parameters to the fitting procedure). A Jackknife analysis was performed to evaluate variable contributions to the model, and response curves were created to assess how each variable influences the level of suitability.
Model projections and persistence assessment
The final model was projected using prior climate history to determine the suitable areas for $M$. communis during the Mid-Holocene, LGM and LIG periods. It was assumed that the ecological requirements of $M$. communis have remained similar over the last Pleistocene climatic cycles (Valiente-Banuet et al., 2006; Nogués-Bravo, 2009). Reconstructed bioclimatic variables were provided by: the recently updated Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (WorldCLIM 1.4); testing data from Global Climate Models (GCM) CCSM4 (Community Climate System Model); MIROC-ESM (Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate); and the MPI-ESM-P (Max Planck Institute Earth system model) for the Mid-Holocene ( 30 second resolution, i.e. approximately $1 \times 1$ km ) and LGM periods ( 2.5 min resolution, i.e. approximately $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~km}$; this layer was resampled to a $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~km}$ resolution raster but not interpolated, meaning the resolution of interpretation for the LGM projected model and derived inferences must be $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~km}$ ). For the LIG chronozone, data from Otto-Bliesner et al. (2006) were used ( 30 second resolution).

Suitability values as modelled by MAXENT were converted into presence/absence, using the ten-percentile threshold of 0.305571 , the minimum probability for presence cells after discarding the lowest $10 \%$ of the suitability distribution (Pearson et al., 2007). Long-term persistence areas were inferred as continuously suitable areas (> ten-percentile value) over the LIG, LGM and MidHolocene periods.

## Phylogeographical reconstruction of Myrtus communis

Genetic sampling strategy and molecular methods
We collected 118 samples from the entire Mediterranean and Macaronesian distribution area of M. communis. One randomly-sampled individual per site was newly genotyped using AFLP (Table S1; Vos et al., 1995). The AFLP reaction was performed on 300 to 500 ng of DNA extract, as described in Migliore et al. (2011). After screening selective primers, three primer combinations
giving clearly visible band profiles were chosen (EcoRI-AAGG/Msel-CCAG, EcoRI-AAC/Msel-CAC, EcoRI-AAC/Msel-CAA). Polyacrylamide electrophoreses ( $0.4 \%$ ) were performed using a 96 -capillary automated sequencer (Megabace 1000, Amersham Bioscience), with manual scoring used to detect error-prone markers that had no reliable peak. The reproducibility of the AFLP markers was checked by repeating the complete analysis on 20 samples for each pair of primers (Bonin et al., 2004). A genotyping error rate of $5.7 \%$ was obtained, due to the unreliability of some markers, which were subsequently removed from the dataset.

We also included sequence data for the plastid DNA trnL-trnF and rp/2-trnH intergenic regions, the nuclear DNA external transcribed spacer (ETS) region, and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) region, ( $n=309$ and 176, respectively; Table S1). The methods used to extract DNA and to sequence DNA markers are described in Migliore et al. (2012), since these sequences were previously generated for phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. Genetic analyses
AFLP genotypes were assigned to genetically homogeneous clusters via the model-based clustering algorithm provided by Structure 2.3.3 (Pritchard, Stephens, \& Donnelly, 2000; Falush, Stephens, \& Pritchard, 2007). Bayesian analysis was run for $1,000,000$ generations (burn-in of 100,000 ), and for $K$ between 2 and 21 with ten iterations for each $K$-value. The admixture and recessive allele models were then chosen. The most likely number of clusters was determined using the criteria $\operatorname{In} \operatorname{Pr}(X / K)$ and $\Delta K$ (Evanno, Regnaut, \& Goudet, 2005).

After independently concatenating the two plastid and the two nuclear regions, plastid haplotype and nuclear ribotype median-joining networks were constructed, using Network 4.613 (Bandelt, Forster, \& Röhl, 1999).

To check the congruence of the genetic patterns from the same samples studied with AFLP and DNA sequences, a Mantel test was undertaken, comparing inter AFLP genotype distances (Jaccard index) to nucleotidic divergence of plastid DNA haplotypes and nuclear DNA ribotypes ( $p$ distance). The Mantel test was based on 10,000 permutations (GenAlEx 6.5; Peakall \& Smouse, 2012).

Genetic diversity and singularity were summarized by several indices calculated using GenAlEx 6.5: the number of plastid DNA haplotypes ( $N H$ ) and nuclear DNA ribotypes ( $N R$ ) including private haplotypes and ribotypes; the unbiased diversity ( $u h$ ) for all the markers; the mean genetic distance within each group of samples sequenced (GD); the number of AFLP bands (NB); the number of private AFLP bands (PB); and the percentage of AFLP polymorphic loci (\%P). All these indices were computed according to (i) the main AFLP genetic clusters detected, and (ii) the main geographical trends of climatic suitability found according to SDMs, excluding the Macaronesian genetic cluster whose sampling was too low.

## RESULTS

## Modelled current and past suitable areas for Myrtus communis

The most parsimonious fitted model (based on AIC, Table S2, Figure 1B) was a combination of linear and quadratic features, with a True Skill Statistics (TSS) value of 0.73 (calculated using the 10-percentile threshold for a binary transformation; and an AUC value for training data of $0.88 \pm$ 0.0068 (gain threshold reached after 1,040 iterations). Jackknife and response curves indicated that higher suitability values were inferred with high values of "minimal temperature of coldest month" with an optimum at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (BIO6; test gain when used in isolation ( Tg ) of 0.88 ). Suitability also increased with moderate values of "mean temperature in the wettest quarter" with an optimum at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(B I O 8 ; T g=0.49)$ and low values of "annual temperature range" (optimum $=8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for $\mathrm{BIO7} ; \mathrm{Tg}=0.31$ ). Annual precipitation values also positively influenced the suitability level ( $\mathrm{Tg}=$ $0.25)$, with an inferred maximum suitability of 825 mm of annual precipitation. The variables "precipitation in the driest month" (BIO14) and "isothermality" (BIO3) slightly influenced the current model ( $T g=0.025$ and 0.023 respectively). In summary, $M$. communis appeared to be particularly sensitive to cold stress, with suitable areas characterized by mean minimal temperature above frost threshold and mild winter temperatures. Areas with low level of precipitation (from 220 mm ) are suitable for $M$. communis, but its optimum is around the maximum average annual precipitation value that defines the Mediterranean climate.


Figure 1. Known occurrences of Myrtus communis in the Mediterranean Basin, gathered from botanical databases and literature in addition to field collected data ( $n=4,168$ ) (A). Current suitable areas for the species, applying species model distribution (SDM) from actual occurrences using MAXENT with six bioclimatic variables (see Methods) (B).


Figure 2. Suitable areas for Myrtus communis (projection of the most parsimonious MaxEnt model fitted to current climatic conditions from actual occurrences) during the Last Inter-Glacial (LIG) period (A), the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) using the MIROC-ESM climate model ( $B$ ), the Mid-Holocene using the MIROC-ESM climate model ( $C$ ), and the Present ( $D$ ). Continuous suitability values modelled with MAXENT were converted into presence/absence, using the ten-percentile threshold $\geq 0.3$ (see Methods and Figures S1-4). Shading refers to palaeodistributions modelled just before the chronozone represented.


Figure 3. Long-term persistence areas inferred as continuously suitable areas over the Last Inter-Glacial (LIG) period, the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and Mid-Holocene using the CCSM4 (A), MIROC-ESM (B) and MPI-ESM-P (C) climate models (ten-percentile threshold $\geq 0.3 ; 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~km}$ resolution).

The low number of available Myrtle fossils from the LGM and Mid-Holocene periods (Migliore et al., 2012) makes it difficult to choose between one of the three past climate models (CCSM4, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-P) for projecting SDM. The myrtle range dynamics over time using the MIROC-ESM climate model is the only one presented in this manuscript (Figure 2; results with the two other past climate models are presented as Supplementary Information). However, the SDM inference of long-term persistence areas is presented using all three past-climate model projections (Figure 3).

During the LIG period, M. communis was inferred to be potentially widespread throughout the entire Mediterranean Basin, albeit with a suitable area along the European and northern African coasts that was narrower than the current one (Figure 2A). The total inferred size of suitable areas approached $4,468,025 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ during the LIG versus $13,482,343 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ at present. A high level of suitability was suggested along the Atlantic coast (Portugal, Gibraltar, the south-western Iberian Peninsula, and western Morocco). In the eastern Mediterranean, the spatial range was similar to the current one, with a slight southward shift of suitable areas.

During the LGM, the whole study area was much less suitable for $M$. communis than it is under current climate conditions (Figure 2B). A drastic restriction of its potential suitable range is suggested: on average, the climate models showed $4,343,671 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of suitable areas lost between the LIG and the LGM (Figures S1-2). We have to point out that the physiography of the Mediterranean Basin was quite different during these periods from how it is at present: in particular, the Adriatic Gulf had seen a sea-level drop of 100-120 m relative to the present. Using the LIG map as a baseline for analysing the changes occurring during the LGM, we can infer that the suitable areas for M. communis could have moved down towards lower altitudes and southward towards lower latitudes. This was apparent on western and central Mediterranean islands, along the Atlantic coastline, and, to a lesser degree, in Greek and Turkish areas (Figure 2B). Among the climate models used for the LGM, the CCSM4 model was the most restrictive with only $91,052 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of suitable area, in contrast to $120,428 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the MIROC-ESM (with higher suitability in Iberian Peninsula and the Gibraltar Strait), and $161,585 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for the MPI-ESM-P models (with higher suitability in western Mediterranean islands) (Figures S1-2).

During the Mid-Holocene, the climatic conditions were inferred to be more suitable than during the LGM, thus allowing for a M. communis range expansion (Figures 2C, S3, S4). Averaging over the three climate models, this gain in area comes to $196,412 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in total.

An important but unexpected result was the low number and small size of inferred longterm refugia (i.e. continuously suitable areas over the LIG, LGM and Mid-Holocene), whatever past-climate model is used (Figure 3). We infer drastic changes in the spatial range of the species between these periods together with a quasi-absence of overlap between suitable areas during the three contrasted climatic periods, apart from parts of the Macaronesian islands (Azores and Madeira), around the Gibraltar Strait, on the island of Crete, and in the Levant (Figure 3). Above the $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ parallel, no long-term persistence areas over the LIG, LGM and Mid-Holocene were detected in the northern part of the Mediterranean Basin (Figure 3).


Figure 4. Spatial genetic structure of Myrtus communis through its Mediterranean distribution range (A), based on the AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) data summarized from STRUCTURE, based on $K=4$ genetic clusters $(B)$, and the median-joining networks from concatenated plastid DNA sequences $t r n L-$ $t r n \mathrm{~F}$ and $r p / 2-t r n H$ intergenic regions $(C)$ and concatenated nuclear DNA sequences external transcribed spacer (ETS) region and internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) (D). Colour chart is based on the four main genetic clusters identified by AFLP: EM (Eastern Mediterranean in orange), WM (Western Mediterranean in green), and CM (circum-Mediterranean in blue), and Macaronesia (in purple). Numbers in the panel ( $A$ ) referred to spatially-closed populations whose samples have the same genetic clusters identified. Haplotype and ribotype identifiers are detailed in Figure S6; circle sizes in networks are proportional to haplotype/ribotype frequencies.

## Multi-markers genetic diversity of Myrtus communis

The AFLP analysis on 118 individuals of $M$. communis resulted in 199 scored fragments, 191 of which were found to be polymorphic, with a length varying from 66 to 460 bp (dataset available on request). The most probable partitioning of the genetic variation of $M$. communis according to Structure was in $K=2$ and $K=4$ genetic clusters (Figures 4A-B, S5).

The genetic distances calculated from AFLP, plastid and nuclear DNA sets of data were positively correlated and the Mantel tests were significant for the Mediterranean samples ( $n=$ 110: plastid DNA vs AFLP: $R^{2}=0.20, P=0.0001$; plastid DNA vs nuclear DNA: $R^{2}=0.19, P=0.0001$; nuclear DNA vs AFLP: $R^{2}=0.20, P=0.0001$ ). Genetic diversity indices were computed for each AFLP genetic cluster: for the three molecular markers, the Western Mediterranean (WM) and Eastern Mediterranean (EM) clusters had similar levels of diversity when accounting for the different levels of sampling (uh; Table 1). The circum-Mediterranean (CM) cluster had the lowest diversity of plastid DNA markers but had the highest diversity of nuclear DNA, and it also had the highest percentage of polymorphic markers for AFLP (\%P; Table 1). This contrast could be due to the intermediate geographical position of the CM cluster providing a higher admixture of the WM or EM clusters, i.e. contact zones (see below).

The plastid DNA network was characterized by 12 haplotypes (Figures 4A-C, S6A-C) geographically structured within the Mediterranean Basin and between the Macaronesian and Mediterranean regions. The simultaneous analysis of ETS and ITS nuclear DNA data revealed a similar genetic pattern to that obtained with plastid DNA data; 41 ribotypes were distributed through the three main genetic clusters (Figure 4A-D and Figure S6B-D).

Higher values of genetic singularity and diversity were detected south of the $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ parallel (Figure 3), as follows: 9 plastid DNA haplotypes (vs 4 to the north) of which 5 (vs 0) are private; 26 ribotypes (vs 19) of which 17 (vs 11) are private; 175 AFLP bands (vs 135) of which 50 (vs 10) are private (Table 1). Higher genetic diversity in the southern Mediterranean was detected similarly for plastid DNA, nuclear DNA and AFLP molecular markers (see uh and \%P in Table 1). Southern France and Corsica, which were the most-sampled areas in the northern Mediterranean, appeared to have a low genetic originality (no private markers) even though France was a contact zone between the WM and CM genetic clusters (Figures 4 and S6). Considering each of these clusters independently, we found that the areas harbouring the highest number of plastid DNA haplotype were situated in North Africa (WM, Algeria and Tunisia), in Sicily (CM), and in the Levant and Cyprus (EM). The number of ribotypes was also higher in North Africa (WM), the Levant, and Cyprus (EM) (Figure S6).

At the scale of the Mediterranean Basin, the contact zones between genetic clusters occurred longitudinally, and originated from WM and CM clusters, whereas plastid haplotypes and
ribotypes of the EM cluster remained isolated (Figure 4). The major plastid DNA contact zone was detected between EM and CM clusters in Turkey and the Levant, implying widespread haplotypes 4 and 12 (Figure S6). The same pattern was observed for nuclear DNA markers (AFLP and sequences), except that the WM cluster was detected in Turkey and the Levant. In summary, the three molecular markers are consistent in indicating that the Western and Central parts of the Mediterranean could have been stronger sources of range expansions than the Eastern parts.

Table 1. Genetic (plastid DNA sequences, nuclear DNA sequences and AFLP data) features for Mediterranean samples of Myrtus communis in relation with the three detected genetic clusters (Eastern, Circum and Western Mediterranean) and with the distribution of samples in the North or in the South of the $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ parallel: sample size ( $n$ ), number of plastid DNA haplotypes ( $N H$ with number of private haplotypes in brackets), unbiased diversity (uh with standard errors in brackets), mean genetic distance $(G D)$, number of nuclear DNA ribotypes ( $N R$ with number of private nrDNA ribotypes in brackets), number of AFLP bands (NB), number of private AFLP bands (PB), percentage of polymorphic loci (\%P).

|  | Plastid DNA sequences |  |  |  | Nuclear DNA sequences |  |  |  | AFLP data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | NH | uh | GD | $n$ | $N R$ | uh | GD | $n$ | $N B$ | PB | uh | \%P |
| Cluster EM Eastern Mediterranean | 60 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.051 \\ & (0.051) \end{aligned}$ | 0.463 | 26 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.042 \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | 6.108 | 10 | 110 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.145 \\ & (0.013) \end{aligned}$ | 43.72 |
| Cluster CM Circum Mediterranean | 86 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | 0.333 | 52 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 0.091 \\ (0.030) \end{gathered}$ | 7.177 | 48 | 151 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 0.124 \\ (0.011) \end{gathered}$ | 67.34 |
| Cluster WM Western <br> Mediterranean | 155 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055 \\ & (0.032) \end{aligned}$ | 0.491 | 94 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 0.041 \\ (0.018) \end{gathered}$ | 3.831 | 55 | 132 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 0.130 \\ (0.013) \end{gathered}$ | 54.27 |
| All data | 301 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.212 \\ & (0.057) \end{aligned}$ | / | 172 | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.294 \\ & (0.035) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | / | 113 | 199 | / | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.278 \\ & (0.018) \end{aligned}$ | / |
| NM Northern Mediterranean ( $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) | 133 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.085 \\ (0.048) \end{gathered}$ | 0.768 | 86 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.186 \\ (0.032) \end{gathered}$ | 8.332 | 43 | 135 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 0.161 \\ (0.014) \end{gathered}$ | 56.78 |
| SM Southern Mediterranean (41${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) | 168 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.267 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | 2.407 | 86 | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.340 \\ (0.036) \end{gathered}$ | 11.844 | 70 | 175 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 0.173 \\ (0.013) \end{gathered}$ | 82.41 |

## DISCUSSION

Palaeoclimatic SDM and levels of genetic diversity suggest drastic changes in suitable areas for $M$. communis during the last 130,000 years. In contrast to the phylogeography of Olea europaea (Besnard et al., 2013), past suitability modelling hindcasts the persistence of myrtle populations in a few small long-term refugia.

Projected past suitable areas for $M$. communis indicated a strong signature of the last glaciation on the potential geographical range of the species. On average $97 \%$ of suitable areas were inferred to be lost between the LIG and the LGM (Figure 2). The decrease in genetic diversity and singularity revealed by molecular markers (Table 1) is consistent with the idea that northern populations along the Mediterranean coastline were the most affected by LGM climate change, as also evidenced by Laurus nobilis (Rodríguez-Sánchez \& Arroyo, 2008), Erica scoparia (Désamoré et al., 2012), and Chamaerops humilis (García-Castaño et al., 2014). Furthermore, dramatic consequences of glaciations with major bottlenecks, or even complete extinction in the Mediterranean, were suggested for some thermophilous plants, as Nerium oleander L. (MateuAndrés et al., 2015), and Ceratonia siliqua L. (Ramón-Laca \& Mabberley, 2004).

The strong range contraction inferred for $M$. communis during the LGM raises the issue of its long-term survival through the Pleistocene. Since fossil data are often too scarce for formally validating SDM, the identification of refugia using SDM approaches remains tentative (Ashcroft, 2010). According to SDM, the size of the myrtle's long-term refugia is highly variable with (i) two main poles of persistence in the western Mediterranean (Atlantic coast and Baetic-Rifan complex) and in the east (Levantine coast), and (ii) small pockets along the mainland and island coastlines (Figure 3). The reduced overlap of suitable areas among the three palaeomaps (LIG, LGM, and Mid-Holocene) leads to a few very reduced long-term refugia compared to the size of the glacial refugia (Figures 2-3). These results, rarely found in the literature, question the long-term refugia hypothesis and suggest the possibility of a regional persistence of $M$. communis by range shifts toward temporary refugia, where conditions became suitable. It must be noted that the projections of SDM at the LIG, LGM and Mid-Holocene periods do not aim to infer M. communis distribution at those times, but rather to identify potential suitable areas considering the environmental variables selected. Distribution and suitable areas would be consistent only under the assumption of unlimited dispersal of the species.

At this juncture, we may examine our capacity to reject the possibility of long-term and in situ refugia for $M$. communis that could be cryptic for our methods. The role of local microrefugia may have played a more important role than expected, as the heterogeneity of micro-habitats may have allowed species to cope with environmental stress at finer spatial scales (Stewart \& Lister, 2001; Serra-Diaz et al., 2015; Meineri \& Hylander, 2017). However, it is worth considering the studies conducted on $M$. communis at small scales. Studies in southern Spain are consistent with a low likelihood of long-term persistence of $M$. communis within small remnant patches of woodlands (González-Varo et al., 2015). The regeneration and genetic diversity of M. communis are generally lower in small populations, and have a high sensitivity to fragmentation (e.g. González-Varo, Arroyo, \& Aparicio, 2009; Nora, Albaladejo, \& Aparicio, 2015). Though places such as Corsica can harbour conditions for local microrefugia (Médail \& Diadema, 2009; Gavin et al., 2014), local persistence is unlikely, perhaps due to the severe effects of glaciations (Conchon, 1986). Despite its abundance in the lowlands of Corsica up to 500 m of altitude, and irrespective of
which molecular marker was used, we found a lack of genetic differentiation, with for example only two plastid DNA haplotypes $(8,9)$ detected after an extensive sampling. These haplotypes are not private to Corsica and belong to the WM genetic cluster, occurring in Sardinia, South-east France, Italy and Eastern Algeria (Figures 4 and S6). Moreover, all the 12 Corsican palaeoecological records for Myrtus correspond to the late Holocene (Reille, 1977, 1984, 1992; Vella, 2010). Reille (1992) suggested that $M$. communis is "the latest arrival in the thermophilous vegetation directly related to human action".

There are caveats to our questioning of long-term in situ refugia for M. communis. Specifically, reports that fleshy-fruited myrtle berries are mainly dispersed by frugivorous birds (Herrera, 1984; Traveset, Riera, \& Mas, 2001; Gonzalez-Varo, 2010) are consistent with an active role for migration. Moreover, widespread plastid DNA haplotypes and ribotypes (Figure S6) suggest episodes of frequent and/or long-distance migrations of $M$. communis in the western and central parts of the Mediterranean Basin. Our analyses revealed that migrations have been less pronounced in the eastern Mediterranean. Genetic data also suggest that migrations occurred rapidly, recently, and/or over long distances to prevent molecular divergence between remote sites (e.g. the CM genetic cluster).

The phylogeography of Smilax aspera L., a climbing plant with sclerophyllous leaves and red berries dispersed by birds, is also congruent with the pattern described for M. communis with a longitudinal rather than a latitudinal structure of genetic diversity (Chen et al., 2014). Such a longitudinal organization of phylogroups more or less isolated, but rarely totally disjunct constitutes thus one major phylogeographical trend of the circum-Mediterranean woody species (see the review of Nieto Feliner, 2014). As for M. communis, the phylogeography of Erica arborea (Désamoré et al., 2011), Arbutus unedo (Santiso et al., 2016), and Laurus nobilis (RodriguezSanchez et al. 2009) support a stronger diversification within the western Mediterranean, and inferred eastward migrations for Myrtus and Arbutus (see Santiso et al., 2016).

## Conclusion

Documentation of past range dynamics of Mediterranean woody taxa supports the idea that glaciations led to significant changes in Mediterranean vegetation, such as in the abundance of most thermophilous plants. Our results are in accordance with recent findings indicating that the Mediterranean Basin was less stable from a palaeoenvironmental point of view, having experienced higher extinction rates of species and lineages compared to other Mediterranean-type-ecosystems (Valente \& Vargas, 2013; Cowling et al., 2015). Myrtus communis is representative of a Tertiary cold-sensitive lineage and we propose here that the severe effects of Pleistocene glaciations were faced despite a relatively reduced role of long-term refugia. Myrtus communis seems to have survived the Quaternary glaciations by regional range shifts towards temporary refugia, a response which has, to our knowledge, not been considered in previous Mediterranean phylogeographical or SDM studies. This hypothesis is an important issue regarding conservation or restoration of connectivity between Myrtus populations, and more generally between fragments of woodlands to ensure the future resilience of Mediterranean thermophilous shrublands.
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Figure S1. Palaeodistribution of Myrtus communis during the LIG and LGM.
MaxEnt projection of the model of current distribution of Myrtus communis during the Last InterGlacial period (ca. 116-130 ka BP) (A), and the Last Glacial Maximum (ca. 19-26.5 ka BP) according to the CCSM4 (B), MIROC-ESM (C) and MPI-ESM-P (D) climate models.

Figure S2. Presence/absence of Myrtus communis during the LIG and LGM.
Potential distribution of Myrtus communis fitted to current climatic conditions from actual occurrences using MaxEnt and projected during the Last Inter-Glacial period (A), and the Last Glacial Maximum using the CCSM4 (B), MIROC-ESM (C) and MPI-ESM-P (D) climate models. Continuous suitability values modelled with MAxENT were converted into presence/absence, using the ten percentile threshold method (see Methods). Shades referred to palaeodistributions modelled just before the chronozone represented.

Figure S3. Palaeodistribution of Myrtus communis during the Mid-Holocene.

MaxEnt projection of the model of current distribution of Myrtus communis during the MidHolocene (ca. 6 ka BP) according to the CCSM4 (A), MIROC-ESM (B) and MPI-ESM-P (C) climate models, and during the Present (D).

## Figure S4. Presence/absence of Myrtus communis during the Mid-Holocene.

Potential distribution of Myrtus communis fitted to current climatic conditions from actual occurrences using MaxEnt and projected during the Mid-Holocene using the CCSM4 (A), MIROCESM (B) and MPI-ESM-P (C) climate models, and during the Present ( $D$ ). Continuous suitability values modelled with MAXENT were converted into presence/absence, using the ten percentile threshold method (see Methods). Shades referred to palaeodistributions modelled just before the chronozone represented.

Figure S5. Analysis of Structure results for AFLP data.
Representation of the Log probability of data $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{K})(A)$ and the magnitude of $\Delta \mathrm{K}(B)$ as a function of K for the AFLP dataset of Myrtus communis, according to Evanno et al. (2005) and the software StructureHarvester (Earl et al., 2012).

Figure S6. Spatial genetic structure with haplotypes identifiers of Myrtus communis, based on plastid DNA and nuclear DNA.

Spatial genetic structure with haplotypes identifiers of Myrtus communis through its Mediterranean distribution range, based on the median-joining networks from plastid DNA sequences trnL-trnF and rp/2-trnH intergenic regions ( $A, C$ ) and nuclear DNA sequences external transcribed spacer (ETS) region and internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) (B,D). When more than one individual was sequenced per population circles on the maps are horizontally divided in two, and if spatially-closed populations have the same haplotypes or ribotypes they are separated by the symbol "/". Circle sizes in networks are proportional to haplotype/ribotype frequencies.

Table S1. List of samples of Myrtus communis used for genetic analyses (plastid and nuclear sequencing, and AFLP genotyping).

Table S2. AIC scores and number of parameters for fitted MAXENT models.
Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) scores and number of parameters for all fitted MaxEnt models using all possible simple and combination of features except "threshold": L = linear, Q = quadratic, $\mathrm{P}=$ product.

Table S3. Bibliographic review of the main imprints left by past environmental changes on Mediterranean widespread, Tertiary-originated, and/or thermophilous woody species, combining genetic, distribution modelling and palaeoecological data.

Bibliographic review of the main imprints left by past environmental changes on Mediterranean widespread, Tertiary-originated, and/or thermophilous woody species, combining genetic, distribution modelling and palaeoecological data. Mean values of cold resistance for vegetative organs in adult specimens are those causing 50\% damage to leaf buds and cambium (based on Larcher, 1981, 2000; Quézel \& Médail, 2003; Flexas et al., 2014).
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MaxEnt projection of the model of current distribution of Myrtus communis during the Last InterGlacial period (ca. 116-130 ka BP) (A), and the Last Glacial Maximum (ca. 19-26.5 ka BP) according to the CCSM4 (B), MIROC-ESM (C) and MPI-ESM-P (D) climate models.
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MaxEnt projection of the model of current distribution of Myrtus communis during the MidHolocene (ca. 6 ka BP) according to the CCSM4 (A), MIROC-ESM (B) and MPI-ESM-P (C) climate models, and during the Present ( $D$ ).
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Figure S5. Analysis of Structure results for AFLP data.
Representation of the Log probability of data $L(K)(A)$ and the magnitude of $\Delta K(B)$ as a function of K for the AFLP dataset of Myrtus communis, according to Evanno et al. (2005) and the software StructureHarvester (Earl et al., 2012).


Figure S6. Spatial genetic structure with haplotypes identifiers of Myrtus communis, based on plastid DNA and nuclear DNA.

Spatial genetic structure with haplotypes identifiers of Myrtus communis through its Mediterranean distribution range, based on the median-joining networks from plastid DNA sequences trnL-trnF and rp/2-trnH intergenic regions ( $A, C$ ) and nuclear DNA sequences external transcribed spacer (ETS) region and internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) (B,D). When more than one individual was sequenced per population circles on the maps are horizontally divided in two, and if spatially-closed populations have the same haplotypes or ribotypes they are separated by the symbol "/". Circle sizes in networks are proportional to haplotype/ribotype frequencies.



Table S1. List of samples of Myrtus communis used for genetic analyses (plastid and nuclear sequencing, and AFLP genotyping).

| Samples | Locations | X | Y | AFLP clusters | Plastid DNA haplotypes | ```GenBank trnL- trnF``` | GenBank <br> rpl2- <br> trnH | Nuclear DNA ribotypes | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GenBank } \\ \text { ITS1- } \\ \text { 5,8S- } \\ \text { ITS2 } \end{gathered}$ | GenBank ETS-18S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALBA1 | Albania | 19.483458 | 40.98658 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| ALBA9 | Albania | 19.483458 | 40.98658 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| ABEJ1 | Algeria | 4.98194444 | 36.80777778 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | JF304836 | JF304896 |
| ABEJ5 | Algeria | 4.98194444 | 36.80777778 | WM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| AKED1 | Algeria | 3.447559 | 36.633195 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 26 | GU984342 | JF304896 |
| AKED9 | Algeria | 3.447559 | 36.633195 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| ANAB1 | Algeria | 7.730648 | 36.924454 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| ANAB9 | Algeria | 7.730648 | 36.924454 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 |  | / | / |
| AOTE1 | Algeria | 8.61805556 | 36.88611111 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304871 |
| AOTE5 | Algeria | 8.61805556 | 36.88611111 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| ASKI1 | Algeria | 6.913462 | 36.849758 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| ASKI9 | Algeria | 6.913462 | 36.849758 | WM | 10 | FJ611338 | HM014152 | / | / | / |
| ATIP1 | Algeria | 2.48250000 | 36.69055556 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 9 | JF304815 | JF304895 |
| ATIP5 | Algeria | 2.48250000 | 36.69055556 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| CRBA1 | Croatia | 13.759879 | 44.915334 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 31 | JF304826 | JF304897 |
| CRBA5 | Croatia | 13.759879 | 44.915334 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| CRBB1 | Croatia | 13.765167 | 44.913663 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 20 | JF304843 | HM106406 |
| CRBB5 | Croatia | 13.765167 | 44.913663 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| CRBC1 | Croatia | 13.762508 | 44.910714 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 20 | JF304844 | JF304884 |
| CRBC5 | Croatia | 13.762508 | 44.910714 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| CRDU1 | Croatia | 14.83429 | 44.14579 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |
| CRDU5 | Croatia | 14.83429 | 44.14579 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| CRKO1 | Croatia | 17.04223 | 43.28286 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 17 | JF304852 | JF304876 |
| CRKO5 | Croatia | 17.04223 | 43.28286 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| CRLE1 | Croatia | 15.233333 | 43.831111 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | JF304845 | HM106406 |
| CRLE9 | Croatia | 15.233333 | 43.831111 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| CAKB1 | Cyprus | 32.950222 | 34.590694 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | JF304857 | HM106405 |


| CAKB9 | Cyprus | 32.950222 | 34.590694 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAKC1 | Cyprus | 32.944028 | 34.596639 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 1 | GU984349 | HM106405 |
| CAKR1 | Cyprus | 32.971111 | 34.6005 | EM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 18 | JF304849 | HM106407 |
| CAKR9 | Cyprus | 32.971111 | 34.6005 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | 1 | $/$ |
| CLYS1 | Cyprus | 32.56634000 | 35.00402200 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | JF304858 | JF304877 |
| CLYS6 | Cyprus | 32.56634000 | 35.00402200 | CM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | / |
| CPAF1 | Cyprus | 32.60440100 | 34.94194800 | 1 | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| CPAF7 | Cyprus | 32.60440100 | 34.94194800 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | 1 | 1 |
| CTRO1 | Cyprus | 32.83269000 | 34.91617900 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 4 | GU984351 | JF304885 |
| CTRO9 | Cyprus | 32.83269000 | 34.91617900 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | 1 | 1 |
| CXYL1 | Cyprus | 33.03866400 | 35.00752100 | 1 | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 1 | JF304818 | HM106405 |
| CXYL5 | Cyprus | 33.03866400 | 35.00752100 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | 1 | 1 |
| CYIA1 | Cyprus | 32.52331700 | 35.09252500 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | JF304818 | JF304877 |
| CYIA9 | Cyprus | 32.52331700 | 35.09252500 | EM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | 1 | / |
| FANT1 | France | 7.13218 | 43.564051 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FANT6 | France | 7.13218 | 43.564051 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FAUZ1 | France | 3.09650000 | 43.13469444 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 23 | GU984356 | JF304887 |
| FBAG1 | France | 6.36269200 | 43.01325600 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FBAG9 | France | 6.36269200 | 43.01325600 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | 1 | 1 |
| FBAT1 | France | 5.94361111 | 43.68416667 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FBER1 | France | 6.29772222 | 43.16180556 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 24 | JF304865 | HM106410 |
| FBLA1 | France | 6.655833 | 43.514722 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| FCAS1 | France | 5.77444444 | 43.19744444 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 19 | GU984344 | JF304886 |
| FCAV1 | France | 6.41998800 | 43.16439000 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 27 | GU984342 | JF304896 |
| FCEM9 | France | 6.39295700 | 43.00514800 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304840 | HM106410 |
| FCLA1 | France | 6.37194444 | 43.14555556 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 24 | GU984359 | JF304896 |
| FCPA12 | France | 6.384974 | 43.012266 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FCSU4 | France | 6.381605 | 43.00709 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FCYR1 | France | 5.75916667 | 43.18761111 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| FESC1 | France | 5.04878823 | 43.44322673 | 1 | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FFIG1 | France | 5.04878823 | 43.44322673 | CM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 24 | JF304865 | HM106410 |
| FGAL1 | France | 6.95048091 | 43.49886753 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FGAR1 | France | 3.81638889 | 43.52900000 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |


| FMAL1 | France | 5.70861111 | 43.19891667 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 16 | JF304817 | JF304884 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FMAR3 | France | 7.04962200 | 43.51886200 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FMAR7 | France | 7.04962200 | 43.51886200 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FNAR1 | France | 4.74138889 | 43.93086111 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FNDA1 | France | 6.93951872 | 43.50078637 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FPAG1 | France | 6.186999 | 43.004228 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FPCO9 | France | 6.216625 | 43.007214 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304840 | HM106410 |
| FPEY1 | France | 6.93330136 | 43.52349017 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FPOC1 | France | 6.93524569 | 43.50712009 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | JF304899 |
| FPRA1 | France | 6.45155556 | 43.15933333 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 24 | JF304865 | JF304896 |
| FPSA15 | France | 6.22675600 | 42.99911000 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FREA1 | France | 5.931973 | 43.518862 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 11 | JF304821 | JF304869 |
| FREB2 | France | 5.921137 | 43.178475 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FROQ7 | France | 7.462141 | 43.761449 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| FROU1 | France | 5.17899984 | 43.33968554 | WM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | JF304817 | JF304884 |
| FSAU1 | France | 5.09154350 | 43.34054369 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 14 | JF304822 | JF304884 |
| FSOU1 | France | 5.55000000 | 43.19876111 | CM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 25 | GU984359 | HM106411 |
| FSTA1 | France | 8.82637600 | 42.67348100 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FSTM1 | France | 5.91706944 | 43.07143333 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 13 | GU984366 | JF304870 |
| FVAU1 | France | 5.49916667 | 43.20611111 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 12 | JF304864 | JF304888 |
| FVER1 | France | 8.774733 | 41.813683 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FVER9 | France | 8.774733 | 41.813683 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | 1 | / |
| FVIG1 | France | 3.11052778 | 43.14902778 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| FAGA1 | France (Corsica) | 9.107883 | 42.64935 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FAGA9 | France (Corsica) | 9.107883 | 42.64935 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | $/$ | / |
| FAGB2 | France (Corsica) | 9.161183 | 42.556533 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FAGB9 | France (Corsica) | 9.161183 | 42.556533 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FAGH1 | France (Corsica) | 8.630917 | 42.249267 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FAGH9 | France (Corsica) | 8.630917 | 42.249267 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FANG1 | France (Corsica) | 8.693083 | 42.40615 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |


| FANG9 | France (Corsica) | 8.693083 | 42.40615 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FARG1 | France (Corsica) | 8.679583 | 42.47105 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FARG9 | France (Corsica) | 8.679583 | 42.47105 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FARI1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.346317 | 42.7381 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | JF304826 | JF304886 |
| FARI9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.346317 | 42.7381 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FBAR3 | France (Corsica) | 9.500233 | 43.00765 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FBAR9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.500233 | 43.00765 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | / | / |
| FCAM2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.423367 | 42.962217 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FCAM5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.423367 | 42.962217 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | / | / |
| FCAP1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.721833 | 42.064183 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | JF304896 |
| FCAP5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.721833 | 42.064183 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FCAR1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.34433333 | 41.57481389 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 30 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FCAR5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.34433333 | 41.57481389 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FCIG1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.720283 | 41.739583 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| FCIG9 | France (Corsica) | 8.720283 | 41.739583 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| FCUC1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.26945 | 42.627367 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FCUC9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.26945 | 42.627367 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FERB1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.455683 | 42.756667 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 30 | GU984372 | HM106410 |
| FERB9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.455683 | 42.756667 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FEVE1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.726376 | 42.573481 | / | / | FJ611337 | / | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FGIO1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.34505 | 42.8665 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FGIO9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.34505 | 42.8665 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FLUC1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.374 | 42.90895 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304826 | JF304898 |


| FLUC9 | France (Corsica) | 9.374 | 42.90895 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLUR3 | France (Corsica) | 9.560433 | 42.890167 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | JF304833 | JF304886 |
| FLUR9 | France (Corsica) | 9.560433 | 42.890167 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | 1 |
| FMAC1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { France } \\ \text { (Corsica) } \end{gathered}$ | 9.450333 | 42.968 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |
| FMAC5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.450333 | 42.968 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FMUS1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.19558611 | 41.40493611 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| FMUS9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.19558611 | 41.40493611 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FNIC1 | France (Corsica) | 8.758683 | 42.0345 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FNIC4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 8.758683 | 42.0345 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FNON1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.341683 | 42.8031 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FNON9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.341683 | 42.8031 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | 1 | 1 |
| FOLC1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.36635 | 42.810033 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 7 | JF304832 | JF304886 |
| FOLC9 | France (Corsica) | 9.36635 | 42.810033 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | 1 | 1 |
| FOSC1 | France (Corsica) | 8.72637600 | 42.57348100 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FOSC9 | France (Corsica) | 8.72637600 | 42.57348100 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | 1 |
| FPAL1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 8.664817 | 42.3667 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| FPAL5 | France (Corsica) | 8.664817 | 42.3667 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FPIE1 | France (Corsica) | 9.484233 | 42.83105 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FPIE5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.484233 | 42.83105 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | 1 | / |
| FPIN1 | France (Corsica) | 9.44856 | 42.03714 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FPIN9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.44856 | 42.03714 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FSOB1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.30121667 | 41.83389444 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| FSOB9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { France } \\ & \text { (Corsica) } \end{aligned}$ | 9.30121667 | 41.83389444 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |


| FTOL1 | France (Corsica) | 9.384417 | 43.007983 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FTOL9 | France (Corsica) | 9.384417 | 43.007983 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FTRA1 | France (Corsica) | 8.70015 | 42.28985 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106410 |
| FTRA9 | France (Corsica) | 8.70015 | 42.28985 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| FTRI1 | France (Corsica) | 8.66345 | 42.106783 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | HM106411 |
| FTRI9 | France (Corsica) | 8.66345 | 42.106783 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| GDEK1 | Greece | 23.797778 | 38.15 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 21 | GU984343 | HM106423 |
| GDEK9 | Greece | 23.797778 | 38.15 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| GEUB1 | Greece | 23.35410000 | 38.72628333 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| GEUB5 | Greece | 23.35410000 | 38.72628333 | CM | 3 | FJ611338 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| GFRA1 | Greece | 21.37250000 | 37.76666700 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 18 | JF304830 | JF304870 |
| GFRA9 | Greece | 21.37250000 | 37.76666700 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | 1 | / |
| GKARO | Greece | 22.231514 | 36.893082 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| GLOU1 | Greece | 21.138889 | 37.871111 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | JF304847 | HM106407 |
| GLOU9 | Greece | 21.138889 | 37.871111 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | / | / |
| GPEL1 | Greece | 23.20383 | 39.3679 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |
| GPEL9 | Greece | 23.20383 | 39.3679 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | / | / |
| GCFA1 | Greece (Crete) | 23.581238 | 35.499497 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 19 | JF304847 | JF304884 |
| GCFA5 | Greece (Crete) | 23.581238 | 35.499497 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| GCKA1 | Greece (Crete) | 23.761636 | 35.52886 | CM | 3 | FJ611338 | HM014154 | 5 | JF304859 | HM106405 |
| GCKA9 | Greece (Crete) | 23.761636 | 35.52886 | / | 3 | FJ611338 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| GCPA1 | Greece (Crete) | 23.677819 | 35.23479 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |
| GCPA9 | Greece (Crete) | 23.677819 | 35.23479 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| GCTH1 | Greece (Crete) | 24.642903 | 35.258242 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 20 | GU984343 | HM106406 |
| GCTH9 | Greece (Crete) | 24.642903 | 35.258242 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| GREP1 | Greece <br> (Rhodes) | 28.112613 | 36.256984 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | JF304828 | JF304878 |
| GREP9 | Greece | 28.112613 | 36.256984 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |


|  | (Rhodes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRLA1 | Greece <br> (Rhodes) | 27.94726 | 36.15648 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | JF304860 | JF304878 |
| GRLA9 | Greece (Rhodes) | 27.94726 | 36.15648 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| GRMO1 | Greece <br> (Rhodes) | 27.714646 | 36.124133 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 35 | GU984364 | HM106414 |
| GRMO9 | Greece <br> (Rhodes) | 27.714646 | 36.124133 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| GRPE1 | Greece (Rhodes) | 28.057702 | 36.339223 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | GU984349 | JF304879 |
| GRPE9 | Greece (Rhodes) | 28.057702 | 36.339223 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| HCARO | Israel | 35.045817 | 32.726517 | CM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | GU984350 | JF304878 |
| HHER1 | Israel | 35.80517200 | 33.42368600 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | GU984349 | JF304878 |
| HHER5 | Israel | 35.80517200 | 33.42368600 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | 1 | 1 |
| IBON1 | Italy | 15.900045 | 39.577808 | 1 | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| IBON5 | Italy | 15.900045 | 39.577808 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| ICAS1 | Italy | 16.259126 | 39.758471 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | JF304855 | HM106407 |
| ICAS5 | Italy | 16.259126 | 39.758471 | CM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IMON1 | Italy | 10.91294444 | 43.43627778 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 26 | JF304842 | HM106410 |
| IMON9 | Italy | 10.91294444 | 43.43627778 | WM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| ISTI1 | Italy | 11.14244900 | 42.92284000 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | JF304901 |
| ISTI5 | Italy | 11.14244900 | 42.92284000 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IALG3 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.36624000 | 40.53540500 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | JF304837 | JF304896 |
| IALG7 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.36624000 | 40.53540500 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IARC1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.870255 | 39.1611435 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| IARC4 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.870255 | 39.1611435 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IDOR3 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.61492800 | 40.32195000 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | JF304896 |
| IDOR7 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.61492800 | 40.32195000 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IFIU1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 12.231762 | 41.792589 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | JF304856 | JF304870 |
| IFIU9 | Italy (Sardinia) | 12.231762 | 41.792589 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IGEN1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 11.154399 | 42.372302 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 32 | JF304837 | HM106408 |


| IGEN9 | Italy (Sardinia) | 11.154399 | 42.372302 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ILOI3 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.49695100 | 40.84990400 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | JF304841 | HM106410 |
| 1 LOI7 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.49695100 | 40.84990400 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IOSU1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.66244 | 39.99546 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| IOSU9 | Italy (Sardinia) | 9.66244 | 39.99546 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| IPOR1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.414084 | 39.449174 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 33 | GU984340 | HM106411 |
| IPOR5 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.414084 | 39.449174 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| ISAN1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.791598 | 39.098521 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | JF304896 |
| ISAN9 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.791598 | 39.098521 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| ITEU1 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.75824 | 38.975794 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| ITEU9 | Italy (Sardinia) | 8.75824 | 38.975794 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| ICEF1 | Italy (Sicily) | 13.99276700 | 38.02900600 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| ICEF5 | Italy (Sicily) | 13.99276700 | 38.02900600 | CM | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| IPAL1 | Italy (Sicily) | 13.14523300 | 38.02748300 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | GU984346 | HM106407 |
| IPAL5 | Italy (Sicily) | 13.14523300 | 38.02748300 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| ISYR1 | Italy (Sicily) | 14.89486500 | 37.18398200 | / | 3 | FJ611338 | HM014154 | 16 | JF304817 | HM106407 |
| ISYR9 | Italy (Sicily) | 14.89486500 | 37.18398200 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| JKAR1 | Jordan | 35.617803 | 31.266779 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 22 | GU984344 | HM106405 |
| JKAR5 | Jordan | 35.617803 | 31.266779 | EM | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 |  | / | / |
| LDMI1 | Lebanon | 35.497611 | 33.686722 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | JF304861 | JF304880 |
| LDMI9 | Lebanon | 35.497611 | 33.686722 | CM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | / |
| LMAR1 | Lebanon | 35.568139 | 33.862972 | EM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 36 | JF304827 | JF304874 |
| LMAR9 | Lebanon | 35.568139 | 33.862972 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | 1 |
| MALTO | Malta | 14.394169 | 35.916833 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | JF304848 | JF304884 |
| MRIA1 | Morocco | $-5.72654300$ | 34.80016500 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| MRIA5 | Morocco | $-5.72654300$ | 34.80016500 | WM | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | / | / | / |


| MRIB1 | Morocco | -5.69763000 | 34.79624500 | / | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRIB5 | Morocco | -5.69763000 | 34.79624500 | WM | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | / | / | / |
| PALE1 | Portugal | -7.65744 | 37.653408 | WM | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| PALE9 | Portugal | -7.65744 | 37.653408 | / | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | / | / | / |
| ASMA1 | Portugal (Azores) | -25.161912 | 36.967147 | Mac | 6 | FJ611338 | HM014147 | 38 | JF304834 | HM106419 |
| ASMA9 | Portugal (Azores) | -25.161912 | 36.967147 | / | 7 | FJ611338 | HM014146 | / | / | / |
| ASMP1 | Portugal (Azores) | -25.105245 | 36.953679 | Mac | 7 | FJ611338 | HM014146 | 39 | GU984370 | HM106421 |
| ASMP9 | Portugal (Azores) | -25.105245 | 36.953679 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | / | / |
| MABO1 | Portugal (Madeira) | -16.771892 | 32.752919 | Mac | 13 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 40 | GU984370 | HM106417 |
| MABO5 | Portugal <br> (Madeira) | -16.771892 | 32.752919 | / | 13 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| MAFA1 | Portugal <br> (Madeira) | -16.851667 | 32.78445 | Mac | / | / | / | 1 | / | / |
| MAVIO | Portugal (Madeira) | -17.023961 | 32.731635 | Mac | 13 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 41 | JF304831 | JF304872 |
| ECAD1 | Spain | 3.28451100 | 42.30609200 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| ECAD5 | Spain | 3.28451100 | 42.30609200 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | / | / |
| ECRE1 | Spain | 3.29008333 | 42.31738889 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | JF304841 | HM106410 |
| ECRE5 | Spain | 3.29008333 | 42.31738889 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 1 | 1 | / |
| EDEV1 | Spain | $-0.31230556$ | 39.34511111 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 16 | JF304853 | JF304884 |
| EDEV5 | Spain | -0.31230556 | 39.34511111 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| EGUA10 | Spain | -6.68666700 | 37.07972200 | / | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | 1 | / | / |
| EGUA5 | Spain | -6.68666700 | 37.07972200 | WM | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| EMUR1 | Spain | $-0.35805556$ | 39.12916667 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| EMUR5 | Spain | $-0.35805556$ | 39.12916667 | CM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 1 | / | / |
| EROS1 | Spain | 3.20763889 | 42.24469444 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 30 | JF304838 | HM106410 |
| EROS5 | Spain | 3.20763889 | 42.24469444 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | 1 | / |
| ESAL1 | Spain | -0.32838889 | 39.38594444 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 7 | JF304819 | JF304890 |
| ESAL5 | Spain | -0.32838889 | 39.38594444 | CM | 14 | FJ611338 | HM014150 | 1 | 1 | / |
| ETOU1 | Spain | -0.66052778 | 39.16016667 | 1 | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 20 | JF304844 | JF304884 |
| ETOU5 | Spain | $-0.66052778$ | 39.16016667 | CM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 1 | 1 | / |
| EESP1 | Spain (Majorca) | 3.37327831 | 39.70425638 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 10 | GU984353 | JF304886 |


| EESP9 | Spain (Majorca) | 3.37327831 | 39.70425638 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERAC1 | Spain (Majorca) | 2.56862274 | 39.66801409 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| ERAC9 | Spain (Majorca) | 2.56862274 | 39.66801409 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| EALG1 | Spain (Menorca) | 3.93701406 | 40.04956480 | / | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| EALG9 | Spain (Menorca) | 3.93701406 | 40.04956480 | WM | 8 | FJ611338 | HM014153 | / | / | / |
| EMES1 | Spain (Menorca) | 4.28374941 | 39.91190183 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 26 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| EMES9 | Spain (Menorca) | 4.28374941 | 39.91190183 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| EMIT1 | Spain (Menorca) | 3.97092814 | 39.93779193 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| EMIT9 | Spain (Menorca) | 3.97092814 | 39.93779193 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| SALA1 | Syria | 35.895833 | 35.813333 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 1 | GU984349 | HM106405 |
| SALA9 | Syria | 35.895833 | 35.813333 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | 1 |
| SALB1 | Syria | 35.99556 | 35.913889 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| SALB9 | Syria | 35.99556 | 35.913889 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | / |
| SALC1 | Syria | 35.89556 | 35.813889 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 11 | JF304820 | JF304894 |
| SALC9 | Syria | 35.89556 | 35.813889 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | 1 | 1 |
| SBRM1 | Syria | 36.36000000 | 34.99444400 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | JF304862 | JF304881 |
| SBRM5 | Syria | 36.36000000 | 34.99444400 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| SKAA1 | Syria | 36.05313900 | 34.98622200 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | GU984350 | JF304882 |
| SKAA5 | Syria | 36.05313900 | 34.98622200 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| SKAB1 | Syria | 36.15313900 | 35.08622200 | / | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| SKAB9 | Syria | 36.15313900 | 35.08622200 | EM | 1 | FJ611337 | HM014156 | / | / | / |
| TFAH1 | Tunisia | 10.12916700 | 36.38583300 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 31 | GU984340 | HM106410 |
| TFAH5 | Tunisia | 10.12916700 | 36.38583300 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 |  | / | / |
| TGAL1 | Tunisia | 8.938711 | 37.52724 | / | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | 29 | GU984342 | HM106410 |
| TGAL9 | Tunisia | 8.938711 | 37.52724 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 | / | / | / |
| TKRO1 | Tunisia | 8.67625 | 36.691667 | WM | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| TZAG1 | Tunisia | 10.12916667 | 36.38583333 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| TZAG5 | Tunisia | 10.12916667 | 36.38583333 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 34 | JF304866 | JF304898 |
| TZEM1 | Tunisia | 10.81144444 | 37.12844444 | / | 12 | FJ611337 | HM014149 | 28 | JF304825 | JF304886 |


| TZEM3 | Tunisia | 10.81144444 | 37.12844444 | WM | 9 | FJ611338 | HM014149 |  | / | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TUAC1 | Turkey | 27.349717 | 37.746183 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 16 | JF304857 | JF304884 |
| TUAC2 | Turkey | 27.349717 | 37.746183 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| TUAG1 | Turkey | 29.690783 | 36.218967 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| TUAG2 | Turkey | 29.690783 | 36.218967 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| TUAR1 | Turkey | 30.09125 | 36.484433 | / | 10 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | JF304850 | JF304889 |
| TUAR2 | Turkey | 30.09125 | 36.484433 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| TUBR1 | Turkey | 29.947633 | 36.249167 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 14 | GU984358 | JF304885 |
| TUBR2 | Turkey | 29.947633 | 36.249167 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | 1 | / |
| TUCE1 | Turkey | 27.176267 | 37.6998 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | JF304851 | JF304891 |
| TUCE2 | Turkey | 27.176267 | 37.6998 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | 1 | / |
| TUDA1 | Turkey | 27.85855 | 36.767567 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | JF304854 | JF304878 |
| TUDA2 | Turkey | 27.85855 | 36.767567 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| TUDE1 | Turkey | 29.943783 | 36.229083 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 18 | JF304816 | JF304885 |
| TUDE2 | Turkey | 29.943783 | 36.229083 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | 1 | / |
| TUDL1 | Turkey | 28.668417 | 36.836367 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 37 | JF304823 | JF304875 |
| TUDL2 | Turkey | 28.668417 | 36.836367 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| TUFE1 | Turkey | 29.112733 | 36.475867 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 20 | JF304844 | JF304884 |
| TUFE2 | Turkey | 29.112733 | 36.475867 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | 1 | 1 |
| TUGO1 | Turkey | 28.963717 | 36.746333 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| TUGO2 | Turkey | 28.963717 | 36.746333 | CM | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | 1 | / |
| TUKA1 | Turkey | 27.56005 | 37.434117 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 15 | JF304856 | HM106406 |
| TUKA2 | Turkey | 27.56005 | 37.434117 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | / |
| TUKR1 | Turkey | 27.89656700 | 37.30085000 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 2 | GU984350 | HM106405 |
| TUKR2 | Turkey | 27.89656700 | 37.30085000 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | 1 | / |
| TUMA1 | Turkey | 29.295883 | 36.9858 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 3 | GU984351 | JF304877 |
| TUMA2 | Turkey | 29.295883 | 36.9858 | 1 | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | / | / | / |
| TUME1 | Turkey | 27.577917 | 36.70145 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 11 | GU984353 | JF304892 |
| TUME2 | Turkey | 27.577917 | 36.70145 | 1 | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | / | / | 1 |
| TUSA1 | Turkey | 33.298983 | 42.007267 | CM | 1 | / | / | / | / | / |
| TUSB1 | Turkey | 34.176556 | 41.940595 | CM | / | / | / | / | 1 | 1 |
| TUSI1 | Turkey | 34.950833 | 42.087594 | CM | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 8 | JF304846 | JF304893 |
| TUSI3 | Turkey | 35.050833 | 42.187594 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 8 | JF304835 | JF304893 |


| TUSI5 | Turkey | 35.150833 | 42.287594 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 1 | JF304863 | JF304883 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TUSI7 | Turkey | 35.250833 | 42.387594 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 10 | JF304829 | JF304873 |
| TUSI9 | Turkey | 35.350833 | 42.487594 | / | 4 | FJ611337 | HM014154 | 10 | JF304830 | JF304873 |
| TUTE1 | Turkey | 28.69545 | 36.866983 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 6 | JF304824 | JF304900 |
| TUTE2 | Turkey | 28.69545 | 36.866983 | / | 2 | FJ611337 | HM14157 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table S2. AIC scores and number of parameters for fitted MAXEnt models.
Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) scores and number of parameters for all fitted MaxEnt models using all possible simple and combination of features except "threshold": $\mathrm{L}=$ linear, $\mathrm{Q}=$ quadratic, $\mathrm{P}=$ product.

| Features combination | Number of parameters | Delta AIC | AIC score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L Q | 12 | 0 | 85779.3 |
| Q | 6 | 10139.8 | 95919.1 |
| L QP | 6 | 10931.8 | 96711.1 |
| LP | 26 | 20735.3 | 106514.6 |
| QP | 21 | 29335.3 | 115114.6 |

Table S3. Bibliographic review of the main imprints left by past environmental changes on Mediterranean widespread, Tertiary-originated, and/or thermophilous woody species, combining genetic, distribution modelling and palaeoecological data.

Bibliographic review of the main imprints left by past environmental changes on Mediterranean widespread, Tertiary-originated, and/or thermophilous woody species, combining genetic, distribution modelling and palaeoecological data. Mean values of cold resistance for vegetative organs in adult specimens are those causing $50 \%$ damage to leaf buds and cambium (based on Larcher, 1981, 2000; Quézel \& Médail, 2003;

Flexas
et
al.,
2014).

| Taxa | Data available | Phylogeographical patterns | 1. Main inferences from phylogeography | 2. Main predictions from SDM | Cold resistance | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - Tertiary divergence between $A$. unedo (WM) / A. andrachne (EM) |  |  |  |
| Arbutus unedo L. <br> (Ericaceae) | 4 pDNA (2,401-2,449 bp: $\operatorname{trnH}(\mathrm{GUG})-p s b \mathrm{~A}, \quad \operatorname{trnL}(\mathrm{UAG})-r p / 32$, $\operatorname{trnT}(\mathrm{UGU})-\operatorname{trnL}(\mathrm{UAA})$ and Intron L; $n$ $=207,23$ pops) $>28$ haplotypes <br> - Molecular dating <br> - (Map of LGM climatic conditions) | 2 main genetic clusters | - Western diversification of $A$. unedo during the last 700 ka (hardest Pleistocene glaciations) and eastward migrations. <br> - Key role of glacial refugia (WM + NAfrica) + Refugia within refugia model. <br> - Recent post-glacial migration to Ireland from Iberia. <br> - Partial gene flow barriers Straits of Sicily and Gibraltar | Not available | - Leaf buds: $-17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> - Cambium: $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Santiso et al., 2015, } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| Buxus balearica Lam. (Buxaceae) | - nrDNA (662 bp: ITS; $n=15,15$ pops with cloning) > 19 ribotypes |  | - Poor phylogeographical resolution of nrDNA ITS sequences. EM (Anatolia) retained ancestral ribosomal sequences. | Not available | - Not a cold-tolerant taxon: in unglaciated southern refugia, not beyond $39^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | - Rosselló et al., 2007 |
| Celtis australis L. <br> (Cannabaceae) | - 3 pDNA (3,134 bp: rps16, rp/32trnL, trnQ-5'-rps16; $n=328,39$ pops) <br> -20 pDNA SSRs ( $n=328$, 39 pops) |  | - Recent recolonization after drastic contraction or even disappearance in the Mediterranean is supposed. <br> - A high sensitivity of riparian corridors to frost during the LGM is suggested. | Not available |  | - Mateu-Andrés et al., 2015 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chamaerops humilis L . <br> (Palmae) |  | $-3 \text { AFLP ( } n=220,22 \text { pops })$ <br> - Molecular dating (not based on sequence divergence) <br> - PMIP2 Worldclim Maxent: LIG, LGM, Present <br> - Eocene fossils | 4 main genetic clusters <br> (but weakly sampled) | - Strong divergence in the WM, potentially related to a Miocene W/E vicariance (5.83-8.32 Ma). <br> - Evidence for Pleistocene expansion and admixture. | - Range contraction stronger for LIG than LGM, mainly for the continental areas of France-Italy and E Spain-Sardinia-NE Morocco. <br> - Very low likelihood of occurrence for Corsica contrary to other islands. | - Leaf buds: $-11.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - García-Castaño et al., 2014 |
| Erica arborea L. <br> (Ericaceae) |  | - 3 pDNA (1,876 bp: atpB-rbcL, MatK, trnH-psbA and rp/16; $n=$ 105) > 19 haplotypes <br> - Molecular dating <br> - PMIP2 Worldclim Maxent (GPS $n=$ 135): LGM, Present (Macaronesia and WM only: Erica scoparia) $\text { - Fossils }(n=4)$ | 3 main genetic clusters | - Pliocene divergence of 3 genetic clusters (5.821.49 Ma ) with a E/W bipolarisation of genetic diversity. <br> - Two waves of expansions in the Miocene and Pleistocene. <br> - One widespread haplotype supports recent events of long distance dispersal. <br> - Migrations to Macaronesia and Sahara (Tibesti Mt ). | - Range contraction during the LGM. <br> - No suitable area predicted for LGM in W Europe but refugia along the African Atlantic coast. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Désamoré et al., } \\ & \text { 2011,2012 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Laurus nobilis L. <br> (Lauraceae) |  | - 2 pDNA ( 2,562 bp: trnK-MatK and trnD-T; $n=57)>6$ haplotypes $-2 \text { AFLP ( } n=62,11 \text { pops) }$ <br> - Haywood \& Valdes 2004 simulations and PMIP models, MaxEnt (GPS $n=925$ ): Middle Pliocene (3 Ma), LGM, Present, Future <br> - Pliocene fossils ( $n=36$ ) | 3 main genetic clusters | - Longitudinal geographical structure related to isolation of WM and EM genetic clusters with strong barriers in the East (Floristic Rechinger's line). <br> - The WM genetic cluster is more diverse and expanded eastward. <br> - One widespread haplotype supports recent events of long distance dispersal. | - Large suitable areas during the Pliocene (niche conservatism) and strong LGM contraction. <br> - Partial recolonisation during suitable interglacial periods. | - Leaf buds: $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> - Cambium: $-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Arroyo-García et al., 2001 <br> - Rodríguez-Sánchez \& Arroyo, 2008 <br> - Rodríguez-Sánchez et al., 2009 |




|  |  | - Haplotype sharing between $Q$. suber and $Q$. cerris in Pleistocene Italian glacial refugia, as hypothesis. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quercus coccifera L . <br> / Q. calliprinos <br> Webb (Fagaceae) | - 5 pDNA PCR-RFLP $(n=420,50$ pops) <br> -1 nrDNA (594 bp: $/ \mathrm{IS} ; n=6$ ) <br> $-5 \operatorname{AFLP}(n=19,19$ pops $)$ <br> 2 main genetic clusters <br> -7 allozymes ( $n=609,24$ pops) | - Genetic clusters are corresponding to $Q$. calliprinos in EM / Q. coccifera in WM. <br> - EM genetic cluster: higher genetic diversity and stronger genetic structure. <br> Not available <br> - Bottleneck during the LGM followed by rapid post-glacial recolonisations suggested to explain the lower diversity and differentiation in the WM. | - Leaf buds: $-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> - Cambium: $-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Lopez de Heredia et <br> al., 2007 <br> - Toumi \& Lumaret, <br> 2010 |
| Quercus ilex L. <br> (Fagaceae) |  | - Strong imprint of glaciations with two main genetic clusters corresponding to the main peninsula refugia. <br> Not available <br> - Admixture and post-glacial contact zone of lineages in the Rhône Valley (France). Extensive hybridization with $Q$. coccifera and $Q$. suber. | - Leaf buds: $-17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> - Cambium: $-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Lumaret et al., 2002 <br> - Lopez de Heredia et al., 2007 |
| Quercus suber <br> L. <br> (Fagaceae) | -14 pDNA SSRs ( $n=508,110$ pops) $>5$ haplotypes <br> $-7 / 5$ pDNA PCR RFLP ( $n \approx 365$, 91 pops $/ n=854,94$ pops) <br> -1 nrDNA ( $617 \mathrm{bp}: I T S ; n=17$ ) $-5 \text { AFLP ( } n=34,32 \text { pops })$ <br> 3 main genetic clusters <br> - PMIP3 Worldclim, openModeller algorithms (GPS $n=63,733$ ): LIG, LGM, Mid-Holocene, Present <br> - Pleistocene pollen and tree fossils ( $n=17$ ) | - 3 genetic clusters (W. Iberia, Morocco / Algeria, Tunisia, Tyrrhenian islands / Italy, Sicily) considered under a hypothesis of deep divergence that could be related to Oligocene-Miocene palaeogeography, but a molecular dating approaches is lacking to test it. <br> - Long-term persistence in Mediterranean without striking range contraction or shift, even during the LGM. | - Leaf buds: $-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> - Cambium: $-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | - Lumaret et al., 2005 <br> - Lopez de Heredia et al., 2007 <br> - Magri et al., 2007 <br> - Vessella et al., 2015 |
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