

Local production and long-distance procurement of beads and pendants with high mineralogical diversity in an early Saladoid settlement of Guadeloupe (French West Indies)

Alain Queffelec, Pierrick Fouéré, Céline Paris, Christian Stouvenot, Ludovic Bellot-Gurlet

▶ To cite this version:

Alain Queffelec, Pierrick Fouéré, Céline Paris, Christian Stouvenot, Ludovic Bellot-Gurlet. Local production and long-distance procurement of beads and pendants with high mineralogical diversity in an early Saladoid settlement of Guadeloupe (French West Indies). Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports, 2018, 21, pp.275-288. 10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.07.011. hal-01850088v2

HAL Id: hal-01850088 https://hal.science/hal-01850088v2

Submitted on 11 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Local production and long-distance procurement of beads

² and pendants with high mineralogical diversity in an early

- ³ Saladoid settlement of Guadeloupe (French West Indies)
- 4
- 5 Alain QUEFFELEC¹, Pierrick FOUERE^{2,3}, Céline PARIS⁴, Christian STOUVENOT^{5,6}
- 6 Ludovic BELLOT-GURLET⁴
- 7 1. CNRS UMR5199 PACEA, Université de Bordeaux, Ministère de la Culture, Bât B18
- 8 Allée Geoffroy St Hilaire, 33615 Pessac Cedex, France
- 9 2. INRAP Grand-Sud-Ouest et Dom Tom, 140 avenue du Maréchal Leclerc, CS50036
- 10 33323 Begles Cedex, France
- 11 3. CNRS UMR5608 TRACES, Université Toulouse Jean Jaurès, Ministère de la Culture, 5
- 12 allée Antonio Machado, 31058 Toulouse cedex, France
- 13 4. Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR8233 MONARIS, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris,
- 14 France
- 15 5. DAC Guadeloupe, Ministère de la Culture, 28 rue Perrinon, 97100 Basse-Terre, France
- 16 6. CNRS UMR8096 ARCHAM, Université Panthéon-Sorbonne, Ministère de la Culture, 21
- 17 Allée de l'Université, 92023 Nanterre cedex, France
- 18 Link to the published article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.07.011</u>
- 19 © <2018>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0
- 20 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
- 21

22 Abstract

23 The preventive excavation of an archaeological site located at the Gare Maritime of 24 Basse-Terre (Guadeloupe, French West Indies) revealed a series of stone artifacts including 25 finished beads and pendants, as well as pieces representing several stages of the chaîne opératoire. This work is an integrated study of the mineralogy and typo-technology of these 26 objects. The artifacts have been recovered from layers interpreted as midden deposits of an 27 early Saladoid coastal settlement dated to 250 – 400 A.D. Non-invasive analyses by Raman 28 29 spectroscopy have shown that the 50 artifacts belong to 13 different gemstones which are, 30 in decreasing order of frequency: serpentine, amethyst, turquoise, sudoite, rock crystal, calcite, feldspar, diorite, jasper, aventurine, chlorite, paragonite and nephrite. All these 31 materials' mineralogy, and in particular the great diversity of the so called "green rocks", 32 33 could only be reliably determined through an analytical characterization. The diversity of

lithic materials used and abandoned in the Gare Maritime site dump is the largest known to 34 date in the Caribbean archipelago. The presence of seven objects in turquoise is particularly 35 36 noteworthy in view of its rarity in the other known sites in the region. The chaîne opératoire for each of these raw materials could be approached for the first time in the Caribbean area 37 by emancipating ourselves from the "greenstone" category, which has been too often used 38 in the past because of the lack of reliable mineralogical identification. These results make it 39 possible to integrate the Gare Maritime site into the group of Saladoid sites which have 40 41 delivered a large set of ornamental elements. On the one hand, the various shapes of 42 artifacts fit the regional cultural pattern, both for beads and pendants shapes, the latter being 43 mainly stylized frogs. On the other hand, the use of 13 semi-precious stones in the lapidary 44 production is exceptional for the region and confirms the use at this period of many exotic 45 raw materials. The provenance of these materials, although difficult to pinpoint properly due to the incomplete mapping of regional resources, documents a mixture of regional and even 46 47 distant (continental) origins, thus strengthening the idea of a pan-Caribbean network for the 48 exchange of raw materials for lapidary art.

49

50 Keywords

51 Caribbean archaeology; Beads technology; Raman spectroscopy; Stone beads; Lapidary

52 art; Raw materials; Gemstones

53

54 Highlights

- 55 An early saladoid archaeological site in Guadeloupe yielded 50 lapidary artifacts
- 56 Thirteen different raw materials were identified through Raman spectroscopy
- 57 The *chaînes opératoires* for each material has been documented
- 58 Raman spectroscopy was mandatory to identify eight different *greenstone* gems
- 59 The highlighted use of exotic gemstones fits the Saladoid pan-Caribbean network 60 model
- 61

62 **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Saladoid series, also considered as the Saladoid phenomenon, is the first series of the Ceramic Age in the Antilles (Rouse, 1992). It develops inland and coastlands in South America, probably since 2500 BC (Berard, 2013; Rouse and Cruxent, 1963) and expands towards the Antilles from 500 BC until ca. 1000 AD, depending on the islands. Theses migrating groups replaces and probably acculturate mutually with the former preceramic occupants of the Antilles. Their subsistence is based on horticulture associated with hunting, fishing and foraging, while their material production is based on highly decorated ceramic, a simple lithic industry and polished axes produced from rocks and shells. The coastal and maritime area of dispersion of this culture embraces the Northeastern coast of South America and the whole of the Antilles as far north as Puerto Rico (Berard, 2013; Bonnissent, 2010; Hofman et al., 2007; Rouse, 1992).

Several Saladoid archaeological sites have yielded mineral beads and pendants in the 74 Lesser Antilles during the past decades (Bullen and Bullen, 1972; Cody, 1991; Crock and 75 76 Bartone, 1998; Fewkes, 1903; Gent and de Mille, 2003; Harris, 1980; Haviser, 1991; Henocq 77 et al., 1995; Mattioni, 1979; Murphy et al., 2000; Watters and Scaglion, 1994) and these 78 special artifacts have been the basis of numerous hypothesis regarding diffusion networks 79 and sociopolitical organization. Indeed, the diffusion of these artifacts made in "exotic" 80 material among the West Indies during the Saladoid period (500 BC-1000 AD) has been 81 one of the bases for the idea of a pan-Caribbean network (Cody, 1993; Hofman et al., 2007; 82 Knippenberg, 2007; Rodriguez, 1993; Rodriguez Ramos, 2010; Watters, 1997). The 83 lapidary production, estimated as highly valuable for several reasons such as rarity of 84 suitable raw material, time and technological investment to produce them, has also been 85 used for reflection on the "big man collectivities" vs. "complex tribe" models (Boomert, 1999; Righter, 2003). 86

87 Exotic provenance of most of the West Indian lapidary artifacts is frequently underlined, but with poor arguments on the exact provenance of their raw material which is generally 88 89 related to an incomplete mineralogical description (Cody, 1993; Crock and Bartone, 1998; 90 Righter, 2003; Roobol and Lee, 1976). The only mineralogical study being the one by Murphy et al. (2000) for three sites in Antigua. Stylistic observation and ethnographical 91 92 observations have also entered the discussion, pointing to different geographical 93 provenance (Boomert, 1987; Narganes Storde, 1995; Rodriguez Ramos, 2011) but with no 94 formal evidence. Among the sites yielding mineral beads, some of them are also considered 95 as lapidary sites dedicated to the transformation of one material, like Pearls (Grenada) for the amethyst (Cody, 1991), Trants (Montserrat) or sites from Antigua for the cornelian 96 97 (Murphy et al., 2000; Watters and Scaglion, 1994). As Roobol & Lee (1976) already pointed out, precise greenstone attribution "could provide an estimate of inter-island trade or 98 99 migration within the Greater Antilles", this statement obviously also stands for Lesser Antilles. Recent geological discoveries have renewed the knowledge on the geographical 100 101 distribution of natural occurrences of some symbolically used minerals/rocks and especially jadeitite (Cárdenas-Párraga et al., 2010; García-Casco et al., 2009; Harlow et al., 2006; 102 103 Rodriguez Ramos, 2011; Schertl et al., 2012). It offers the opportunity of some more precise 104 artifact provenance definition.

105 In this context, the excavation of the Gare Maritime Saladoid site in Basse-Terre (Guadeloupe, French West Indies), during preventive archeological excavation, yielded a 106 107 large collection of beads and pendants. It gives us the opportunity of an exhaustive mineralogical and technological study in order to document their chaîne opératoire. This 108 109 knowledge, indeed, lacks for Guadeloupe, a large territory of the Leeward Islands, which had not previously yielded lapidary site and for which the beads and pendants are known 110 only by the impressive discoveries at Morel (Durand and Petitiean Roget, 1991; Hamy, 111 112 1884) or of recent excavations in Basse-Terre (Bonnissent and Romon, 2004; Etrich, 2003a). The precise mineralogical identification of the Gare Maritime collection was reached 113 114 thanks to a non-invasive analytical approach based on Raman spectroscopy. Applying an integrative technological study and an accurate mineralogical characterization to this new 115 116 collection is thus of great interest to improve both our knowledge about the chaîne opératoire of beads and pendants and the diffusion of exotic minerals in the Lesser Antilles during the 117 118 early Saladoid.

119 **2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT**

120 The archaeological site of Gare Maritime is located in the present-day commercial 121 harbor of Basse-Terre city, the regional capital of Guadeloupe (Fig. 1). Nowadays it is ca. 122 one hundred meters from the actual harbor dock, but during pre-Columbian times it was 123 located just behind the littoral pebble bank and on a river bank (Fig. 2). Although its stratigraphy is separated into several units, the geoarchaeological, lithic and ceramic studies 124 125 converge towards a rather long but single occupation of the site (Romon et al., 2013). The multiple layers are interpreted as a Huecan Saladoid (or Huecoid depending of the authors) 126 127 midden separated in two main stratigraphic units by a very short event of sand deposition, possibly related to a violent storm. The excavation corresponds to a small part of the site, 128 129 only represented by its coastal zone. The settlement area was presumably located further 130 inland, and could be preserved under a modern square. The pre-Columbian occupation is well dated to 250-400 cal. A.D. with several radiocarbon dates related to the different 131 132 stratigraphic units, only the very top layer being slightly more recent (Romon et al., 2013). This study concerns the 50 mineral artifacts which have been recovered during the manual 133 excavation of 28 m² of the pre-Columbian layers. 134



Figure 1. Map of the regional and local position of the archaeological site of Gare Maritime, in Basse-Terre city. The islands hosting the archaeological sites mentioned in the text are also indicated.



Figure 2. Interpretative section of the Gare Maritime site representative of the Pre-columbian period (modified after Bertran P. in Romon, 2013).

141

138

142

143 **3. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All the fifty mineral artifacts related to beads or pendants production recovered from the site have been studied in this work (Table 1, Fig. 3). They come from different layers and most of them have been recovered thanks to the systematic wet sieving with a 3 mm mesh of the full amount of sediment manually excavated during the archaeological operation.

149

	Dood longth	Dood diamotor	Perforation		Perforation						
Artefact reference	Pendant	beau ulameter	Pendant/blank	Weigth	type	Final object	State	Gemological	Identified minerals		
number	height	Pendant width	thickness					name			
(mm) (m		(mm)	(mm)	(g)							
GD-01-001	7,3	5,9	4,2	0,28	-	Tablet	Raw material	Feldspar	Albite + Paragonite		
GD-01-002	9,1	4,2	1,8	0,12	Drilled from both ends	Long tubular bead	Finished / broken	Amethyst	Quartz		
GD-01-003	41,7	16	2 - 3	15,96	Drilled from both ends	Long barrel bead	Finished	Amethyst	Quartz		
GD-01-004	17	11,5	10,5	3,06	-	- Tubular Blank Arr			Quartz		
GD-01-005	10,4	7	2	0,78	Drilled from both ends	Long barrel bead	Finished	Amethyst	Quartz		
GD-01-006	12,4	7	2	1	Drilled from both ends	Long tubular bead with convex ends	Finished	Amethyst	Quartz		
GD-01-007	3,7	5,6	5,6 1,8		Drilled from both ends	Short barrel bead	Finished	Diorite	Anorthite + Diopside		
GD-01-008	3	6	6 -		-	Polygonal	Blank	Aventurine	Quartz + Muscovite + Surfacic calcite		
GD-01-009	16,3	9,2	7,5	1,52	-	Droplet bead/pendant?	Blank	Calcite	Calcite		
GD-01-010	2	4,6	1,8	0,05	Double cone	Discoid tubular bead	Finished	Calcite	Calcite		
GD-01-011	18	12	7	2,26	-	Droplet bead/pendant?	Blank	Calcite	Calcite		
GD-01-012	3,2	8,3	-	0,29	Drilled from both ends	Discoid barrel bead	Finished / broken	Chlorite	Clinochlore		
GD-01-013	11,7	5,9	5,7	0,51	-	Barrel	Blank	Cornelian	Quartz + Moganite + Hematite		
GD-01-014	2,8	6,9	2	0,15	Chamfered	Discoid barrel bead	Finished / broken	Rock crystal	Quartz		
GD-01-015	12,9	7,7	2	1,32	Drilled from both ends	Long tubular bead	Finished	Rock crystal	Quartz		
GD-01-016	3	7,1	1,8	0,27 Chamfered		Discoid tubular bead	Finished	Rock crystal	Quartz		
GD-01-017	13,9	7,2	4,2	0,48	Double cone + Plain	Pendant	Finished	Sudoite	Sudoite		
GD-01-018	9	6	3,1	0,25	Drilled from both ends	Pendant	Finished / broken	Paragonite	Paragonite		
GD-01-019	6,2	7,9	2	0,5	Drilled from both ends	Short barrel bead, wedge-shaped	Finished	Sudoite	Sudoite + Gypsum + Clinochlore		
GD-01-020	2,1	4,2	1,5	0,06	Drilled from both ends	Short tubular bead	Finished	Sudoite	Sudoite + Gypsum + Clinochlore		
GD-01-021	2,1	4	-	- 0,06 -		Polygonal	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite		

GD-01-022	11	4,3	4,3 - 0,33 -		-	Droplet bead/pendant?	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite + Magnetite inclusions				
GD-01-023	6,1	5,8	2,4	0,13	-	Polygonal	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite + Microcharcoals				
GD-01-024	19,9	9,7	6, 2	1,55	-	Droplet bead/pendant?	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-025	13,4	6,5	4,5	0,39	Double cone	Pendant	Finished	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-026	15	9,2	4,5	1	-	Oval	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite + Hematite				
GD-01-027	2	4	1	0,05	Drilled from both ends	Short tubular bead	Finished	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-028	13,9	4,8	4	0,46	-	Tubular	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite + Magnetite inclusions				
GD-01-029	1,7	3,2	1	0,02	Drilled from both ends	Short tubular bead, wedge-shaped	Finished	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-030	1,6	3,7	0,9	0,04	Drilled from both ends	Discoid tubular bead, wedge-shaped	Finished	Serpentine	Antigorite Turquoise + Gypsum + Labradorite + Charcoal Turquoise				
GD-01-031	2,1	5,7	-	0,13	-	Discoid	Blank	Turquoise					
GD-01-032	7,7	5,3	2,1	0,31	Drilled from both ends	Long tubular bead	Finished	Turquoise					
GD-01-033	"6	"3,9	3,6	0,11	Double cone	Pendant	Finished / broken	Turquoise	Turquoise				
GD-01-034	4,2	12	1,9	0,76	Drilled from both ends	Discoid plano-convex bead	Finished	Turquoise	Turquoise				
GD-01-035	2,9	3,1	1,4	0,05	Drilled from both ends	Short tubular bead	Finished	Turquoise	Turquoise				
GD-01-036	1,7	3,7	1	0,03	Drilled from both ends	Discoid tubular bead	Finished	Turquoise	Turquoise + Gypsum				
GD-01-037	2,9	6,5	2,9	0,18	-	Polygonal	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite + Clinochlore				
GD-01-038	1,1	4,2	0,9	0,1	Drilled from both ends	Discoid	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-039	2,9	7	-	0,22	-	Discoid	Blank	Serpentine	Antigorite				
GD-01-040	2	2,8	1	0,02	Drilled from both ends	Short barrel bead	Finished	Turquoise	Turquoise + Magnetite inclusions				
GD-01-041	10,4	4	1,4	0,08	-	Chip	Raw material	Serpentine	Antigorite + Charcoal + Surfacic iron/manganese oxide				
GD-01-042	30	12	5	2,08	-	Chip	Raw material	Serpentine	Antigorite + Magnetite inclusions + Surfacic calcite				
GD-01-043	5,7	5,1	3,7	0,09	-	Chip	Raw material	Amethyst	Quartz				
GD-01-046	5,4	5,6	1,5	0,05	_	Chip	Raw material	Feldspar	Albite + Quartz + Titanite				
GD-01-047	"7.5	"5	2	0,09	-	Chip	Raw material	Serpentine	Antigorite				

GD-01-050	36,4	11,3	7,9	6,35	-	Pendant?	Finished / broken?	Nephrite	Actinolite
GD-01-051	4,3	11,4	-	0,74	-	Fragment	Finished / broken?	Serpentine	Antigorite
GD-01-056	-	-	-	0,1	-	Chip	Raw material	Amethyst	Quartz
GD-01-057	-	-	-	0,1	-	Chip	Raw material	Amethyst	Quartz
GD-01-058	-	-	-	0,1	-	Chip	Raw material	Amethyst	Quartz

Table 1. Summary of the typological measurements, technical facts and the mineralogical characterization of the studied lapidary artifacts.



Figure 3. Photographic documentation of the 47 main mineral artifacts related to lapidary production recovered from the Gare Maritime site. Three tiny amethyst chips are not represented. The classification by raw material types is achieved thanks to the Raman spectroscopy characterization (see table 1).

The artifacts were typologically classified according to the terminology exposed in Figure 4a. Technological description and artifacts measurements were performed on the basis of the terminology and the characteristic dimensions presented in Figure 4b. Terminology is adapted from both Beck (1928) and Carter and Helmer (2015).

A first material classification was proposed via macroscopic observations, underlining a highly diverse raw material selection calling for a more detailed mineralogical determination. Especially 26 artifacts were classified as "*greenstones*", a category related to an aspect rather than a rock type which can be linked to a specific geological source. It is therefore mandatory to analytically define the nature of raw materials in order to specify their geographic distribution and, when possible, their geographic origin.

167 Every object has been analyzed via Raman spectroscopy with a confocal Raman microspectrometer SENTERRA (Bruker Optics) equipped with 532 nm excitation line. 168 169 Spectra were recorded between 100 and 1555 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 3-5 cm⁻¹. Some 170 pieces were also analyzed with a confocal Raman microspectrometer HR800 (Horiba Jobin Yvon) using the 488 nm emission of a Ar⁺ Laser, a 600 lines/mm grating giving a spectral 171 172 resolution of about 3 cm⁻¹. For all measurements a 50x objective was used and spectra were collected on several locations for each artifact to explore the heterogeneity of the materials. 173 174 All spectra were baseline corrected to subtract the fluorescence background.

Mineral identification was achieved mainly by comparison with the Rruff database (Lafuente et al., 2015) completed by some specific publications, and a strict mineralogical denomination was used according to the International Mineralogical Association list (Nickel and Nichols, 2009). Rock names for polymineralic artifacts and/or gemological appellations were also indicated to be consistent with names commonly used in archaeology.

180



181
 182
 Figure 4. Terminology used for the beads and pendants typological description (A) and characterization (B).

184 **4. RESULTS**

The mineralogical analysis of the Gare Maritime beads and pendants allowed us to confirm most of the macroscopic determination, and, as initially assumed, to refine the mineralogical composition of the *greenstones* (Table 1). Quartz and amethyst artifacts were obviously correctly determined macroscopically and thus confirmed by analysis, as well as calcite beads.

The major intake of Raman spectroscopy is clearly the mineralogical or petrographic attribution of the so called *greenstones* to eight different kinds of rocks or minerals (Table 1). The remarkable amount of turquoise (7 artifacts) was confirmed (Fig. 5A), each of the light green-blue object having been correctly identified with the naked eye.

One blank (GD-01-008) was identified as the gem aventurine since it is green and composed of quartz and muscovite. Two greenish chips (GD-01-001 and GD-01-046) were identified as albite and albite + muscovite. A miniature celt shaped artifact is made of nephrite jade, the gemological/archaeological term for the rock composed primarily of the

198 amphibole actinolite (Fig. 5B). One broken discoid bead is made of clinochlore (Fig. 6A) and 199 would be called chlorite as a gem. One frog-shaped pendant presenting clearly visible 200 cleavage planes was identified as paragonite (Fig. 6B). Another frog-shaped pendant (GD-201 01-017), one sub-spherical (GD-01-019) and one discoid (GD-01-020) bead, were 202 unexpectedly characterized as sudoite (Fig. 6C). Finally, seventeen of these greenstones were classified as serpentine rock, the main mineralogical component of which being 203 204 antigorite (Fig. 7). Its straightforward identification by Raman spectroscopy is achieved by the presence of the specific band at 1045 cm⁻¹ and the shape and position of the O-H 205 206 stretching bands at 3670 and 3697 cm⁻¹ (Groppo et al., 2006; Petriglieri et al., 2015; Rinaudo 207 et al., 2003) observed in every serpentine artifact analyzed in this study.



208

Figure 5. Representative Raman spectra of a turquoise artifact (GD-01-033) compared to a Rruff database turquoise reference (A) and of the celt-shaped pendant GD-01-050 compared with actinolite (B).





Figure 6. Spectroscopic identification for some of the greenstones. Raman spectra of the discoid bead GD-01-012 compared with clinochlore (A), the frog-shaped pendant GD-01-018 compared with Paragonite, (B)







216 In Gare Maritime's site, relations between the raw material and typology can be 217 inferred from this guite large set of objects (Fig. 3 and 8, Table 1). The minerals represented 218 by few artifacts mostly show their use for different types of lapidary products. For example, 219 turquoise is used for discoid, tubular, plano-convex beads and small pendants. Serpentine 220 is used for beads and pendants, as well as sudoite and probably calcite if the droplet blanks 221 are meant to become pendants. The only consistency underlined in the corpus is the use of 222 quartz (as rock crystal or amethyst) only for beads and particularly tubular beads. This is in 223 opposition to the other two common materials, serpentine and turquoise, which have been 224 used for beads and pendants. The other rare materials (albite, anorthite, clinochlore, 225 carnelian, guartz + muscovite) are only used for beads, with the exception of nephrite which 226 is only used for a very specific artifact which could be a pendant. As regards to the color of 227 the material, the only connection with shape is the consistency in using the various green 228 materials to produce the frog pendants.

Although we have a truncated view of the production, linked to the partial excavation of the dump and the site, and a modest collection of 50 pieces, it is possible to estimate the ornaments that can result from an on-site processing and those that could be imported as finished or semi-finished products (Fig. 8).





For rock crystal, there are no elements showing that the raw material was imported unprocessed. For amethyst, three small chips show that the raw material has been cut onsite although the corresponding preforms are missing. Only a partially polished cylindrical blank has been discovered. Production based on amethyst seems to be limited to tubular and barrel beads.

237 Serpentine is the most represented mineral, from raw material to finished products. 238 All the steps of shaping small discoid beads are represented. It is more difficult to interpret 239 the cylindrical preforms in terms of their becoming, as they could be used for tubular or 240 barrel-shaped beads, which are absent from the series, as well as for figurative pendants, or to produce several discoid beads. The oval plate GD-01-026 could provide a good support 241 242 for a frog pendant for example. However, there are no intermediate processing steps for these ornaments and it cannot be excluded that the artifact GD-01-025 has been imported 243 244 in finished form. No element of the sudoite artifacts production chain is present in the series, only finished ornaments, beads and pendants, indicate that they were probably imported as 245 246 such.

Although it has only seven pieces, the turquoise set offers quite an exceptional series for the Lesser Antilles. It is absent in the form of raw material, but a discoid preform with polished faces and still faceted edges shows that the first stages of these beads were made at the site. The other pieces include finished shapes, with the exception of a fragment of a 251 pendeloque, which may have been broken during its manufacture.

Calcite ornament is curiously little represented, since this raw material may have a local origin (in Grande-Terre). Only two drop-shaped blanks, of which we do not know what type of ornament it was intended for, and a finished discoid bead, are formed in this material.

Blanks, unfinished objects, broken objects are present for aventurine, jasper, serpentine, turquoise and paragonite, suggesting that all these minerals have been worked, at least partially, on site. But most materials do not display the "raw material" and "reduction chips" stages. We could therefore hypothesize that preforms could have been the stage at which the Saladoids would have acquired most of these exotic minerals.

The details of each object's chaîne opératoire are difficult to infer from the Gare 260 261 Maritime corpus, because most are finished and only document the last stages of the 262 manufacturing process while some blanks do not seem to be connected to finished objects. 263 The exception concerns discoid beads for which the full process can be studied by 264 combining clues from the different gemstones. They are shaped from small chips (Fig. 8). 265 Blanks are obtained by polishing both faces and edges to shape it from polygon into discoid, which diameter ranges between 5.5 and 6.5 mm. The blank is then given to its final thickness 266 prior to perforation, as visible by the different sizes of blanks opposed to the homogeneous 267 size of the finished objects (Fig. 9). A final polishing step, giving the luster, is probably the 268 final step to make the finished bead after drilling. One can notice that the only bead falling 269 270 in the "disc bead" category of Beck (1928) is a blank (Fig. 9). This bead is still not perfectly rounded and still not polished, as scratches are visible on its surface. The final steps would 271 272 have probably made it smaller in diameter and would thus fall as a finished object into the 273 "short bead" category. Only rock crystal beads and the chlorite artifact are bigger in size 274 than those made from other material. Despite this bigger size of some artifacts, it is noteworthy that the proportions of the discoid tubular beads are very homogeneous, as 275 276 showed by the regression line calculated on their dimensions (Fig. 9).



Figure 9: Dimension pattern for cylindrical and barrel beads from Gare Maritime. Limits between the different types of beads are from Beck (1928). Only Bead GD-01-003 (excessively bigger than the others) is not presented in this graph. The regression line is calculated on the basis of the discoid and short beads from table 1.

The specific equipment used for the manufacturing of beads is difficult to identify. 282 283 Gare Maritime site delivered several pebbles used as hammers and some stone polishers 284 which were able to participate in the manufacture of ornaments. Three polishers with groove, 285 made of volcanic rock, were likely used for calibrating small discoid or tubular beads, 286 polished in a row on a link or on the unit (Fig. 10a). At Gare Maritime site, six drills made of 287 flint have been discovered (Fig. 10b). They could have been used to start a first hole, but another technique was necessary to drill small diameter holes, sometimes several 288 289 centimeters long, in very hard materials. Hence these flint tools were probably more suitable 290 for soft materials such as shell; also knowing that more that over a thousand shell beads 291 have been recovered during the excavation (Romon et al., 2013)





Figure 10. Tools that can be part of the beads production *chaîne opératoire* at Gare Maritime site. A – Polishers with groove, made of volcanic rock. B – Flint drills.

295 **5. DISCUSSION**

296 Gare Maritime site has proved to be one of the richest excavated West Indian site for beads and pendants and especially one which displays a great diversity of raw materials. 297 Indeed, 50 artifacts from 13 different raw materials have been recovered during the manual 298 299 excavation of 28 m² of pre-Columbian layers. One has to keep in mind that it is not a surface 300 collection and even if it seems limited, it is one of the largest excavations of this kind of 301 production place. It could be argued, however, that this is probably a limited production case, 302 reduced to the needs of the local population only and not surplus production for export as 303 has been shown at Golden Grove (Mones 2007).

With regard to the occupation of the site, neither geological observations, nor the complete study of the archaeological material, nor radiometric dating has allowed chronocultural differentiation between the different layers. The analysis of the set of beads and pendants itself does not support any stratigraphic differentiation either. Mineralogical species, shapes, states of production, are dispersed homogeneously through the archaeological levels of the midden. The full amount of symbolic items has therefore to be considered as a single set.

311

312 5.1 Raw materials, their distribution and their potential origin

313 The accurate mineralogical determination by Raman spectroscopy applied to the 314 complete set of artifacts allowed, on the one hand, to confirm part of the macroscopic 315 observation and, on the other hand, to precisely define the mineralogy of greenstones. The 316 kinds of minerals used for the production of symbolic items at Gare Maritime are in adequacy 317 with those mentioned for the other lapidary sites of the Lesser Antilles for this period. Indeed, 318 use of rock crystal, amethyst, carnelian, diorite, serpentine and nephrite, is already known 319 from the major lapidary sites like: Tecla, Sorcé, Tutu, Royall, Elliot, PA-15, Trants, Vivé and 320 Golden Grove (tabl. 2). Regarding the raw material represented, the specificity of Gare 321 Maritime lies in the more common use of turguoise, here for the production of seven artifacts 322 while only one is known in Royall (Murphy et al., 2000), two in Pearls (Cody, 1991), one in 323 Manzanilla (Trinidad) (Nieweg and Dorst, 2001), and a relatively small amount in Sorcé and 324 Tecla (Narganes Storde, 1995) and Prosperity (St. Croix, USVI) (Hardy, 2010). If the high 325 concentration of a mineral can be seen as a specialization of the site like it is supposed for: Pearls (for amethyst), Trants (for cornelian) and Golden Grove (for diorite) (Cody, 1991; 326 327 Crock and Bartone, 1998; Mones, 2007); Gare Maritime could be interpreted as a turquoise 328 specialized site. However, over-interpretation should be avoided as seven artifacts among 329 50, dispersed in several cubic meters of a midden, make it difficult to attribute a turquoise'

330 workshop status to the site.

331 The diversity and the exogenous origin of the materials used for the lapidary production of Gare Maritime raise the question of their provenance, with diversified 332 inferences according to each material. Diorite can be supposed to come from Tobago, where 333 334 it is well known both geologically and archaeologically (Mones, 2007; Snoke, 2001). The 335 kind of very big and dark amethyst recovered (especially GD-01-003) is geologically rare 336 and its origin is unknown despite some allusions to amethyst present in Martinique. Indeed, 337 contrary to Cody (1993) and Hofman et al. (2007), we cannot confirm the presence in 338 Martinique of amethyst crystals large enough to make the numerous beads found in the saladoid sites around the West Indies when reading the literature cited for this statement in 339 340 these two papers, which are respectively Pinchon (1967) and Westercamp and Tazieff 341 (1980). The first one wrote: "Amethyst, when it is constituted by big crystals deeply colored, 342 is appreciated by stone cutters; [...] unfortunately, this is not the case of our Martinican 343 source which is simply a geological curiosity" (proposed translation from French). The 344 second citation, which is the booklet of the geological map of Martinique, only mention 345 amethyst in the list of hydrothermal minerals present on the island, and not at all as dark purple crystals of 4 to 5 centimeters of length.. The distinctive hue of the bead GD-01-003 346 makes it certainly special and it should be noted that this very dark purple color was also 347 348 mentioned at the Pearls site among lighter colors (Cody, 1991), and that this specific color 349 and this size of minerals does exist in Brazil (Epstein, 1988).

Concerning nephrite, the case is even more complex, since literature is contradictory. Cody (1993), based on old citations that we could not find for reading, mentions Mount Roraima and other localities in Brazil. Despite this, no recent work can confirm this: Harlow et al. (2006) and O'Donoghue (2006) stand for a complete lack of evidence of nephrite in Mesoamerica and South America, while Meirelles & Costa (2012) seem to locate nephrite in Brazil as a common fact.

356 Finally, turquoise items provenance is still difficult to establish. The only well 357 documented sources of this mineral are the numerous mines located in the South West of 358 the USA that provided the green mineral for Mesoamerican and North American pre-359 Hispanic people (Harbottle and Weigand, 1992). Other sources are known in South America (Evans, 1913; Evans and Southward, 1914; López et al., 2018) and could have been related 360 361 to the presence of turguoise in the North of the continent and further in the West Indies. One 362 last hypothesis for the origin of the turquoise artifacts recovered in the West Indies could be 363 the existence of such a mineral source in the Greater Antilles. The jadeite example recently 364 proved the possibility of discovering unknown sources of archaeologically valuable minerals

in this region (Cárdenas-Párraga et al., 2010; García-Casco et al., 2009; Harlow et al., 2006;
Rodriguez Ramos, 2011; Schertl et al., 2012). Recent works based on isotope
measurements to discriminate geological sources (Hull et al., 2014; Othmane et al., 2015;
Thibodeau et al., 2015) in North America may in the future be applied to West Indian
archaeological turquoise to trace their origin.

370 Since most materials are coming from outside Guadeloupe and even outside Lesser 371 Antilles, this raises the question of a single origin or multiple origins, even quite distant, for 372 these products. Amethyst of the size and color of the bead GD-01-003 or even the quite 373 large amount of large beads made of this gem points towards a Brazilian origin. Other 374 minerals could also come from this region. Several minerals are products of low grade 375 metamorphism, such as antigorite, sudoite, paragonite and chlorite. This type of 376 metamorphism is found in the Greater Antilles as a product of the subduction activity in the 377 region, but a detailed regional inventory of the availability of these lithic resources for 378 prehistoric man is still to be carried out to propose provenances. Since most of the gems 379 have their origin outside Guadeloupe and we can assume that their origin is not unique, the 380 assumption of a large diffusion system involving South America and the Antilles is the most 381 logical for us.

382 5.2 Typo-technologies, manufacturing and regional connections

Comparisons can also be made with other sites in the Lesser Antilles regarding the 383 384 shapes of Gare Maritime's beads and pendants. Indeed, cylindrical, discoid, barrel-shaped 385 and spherical beads are known from most of the archaeological sites previously listed. At 386 Gare Maritime the diversity of shapes is the greatest for the guartz and amethyst artifacts 387 with the complete range of shapes found in the Lesser Antilles, despite the very high 388 hardness of these materials. Some cylindrical or barrel beads (GD-01-003, GD-01-005, GD-389 01-006 and GD-01-015) made of amethyst are nearly identical to those found in the burials 390 of Morel in Guadeloupe (Durand and Petitiean Roget, 1991), and Vivé in Martinique (Mattioni, 1979); or in other contexts like in the Guadeloupean sites of: 24 rue Schoelcher 391 392 (Etrich, 2003a), Allée Dumanoir (Etrich, 2003b, 2002), Anse Ste Marguerite (unpublished); 393 the West Indian sites of: Pearls (Cody, 1991), Elliot (Murphy et al., 2000) and other parts of 394 the Morel site (Delpuech, 1995; Hambourg, 1999) or the continental site of Midden 395 Ramdutt's field in Guyana (Roth, 1944).

396

Regarding the manufacturing, it is difficult to imagine how Amerindian people managed to drill such long beads of amethyst with their technology, an issue that has been already raised before. The only answer that one can find is in old texts about the Amazonian 400 bead makers, like Vincent Roth (Roth, 1944) that cannot recall if Wallace or Bates told him 401 that drilling a bead "took the spare time of three generation", and specify the technique used: 402 "with nothing harder than the mid-rib of leaf of the ite palm". It is noteworthy that Walter Edmund Roth, his father, wrote, in 1924, quoting Wallace (1889), that it took two lives and 403 404 not three. We thus have probably only one primary source writing about quartz bead makers 405 in the Amazonian Basin that is Wallace (Wallace, 1889) who wrote: « I now saw several of the 406 men with their most peculiar and valued ornament a cylindrical, opaque, white stone, looking like 407 marble, but which is really quartz imperfectly crystallized. These stones are from four to eight inches 408 long, and about an inch in diameter. They are ground round, and flat at the ends, a work of great 409 labour, and are each pierced with a hole at one end, through which a string is inserted, to suspend 410 it round the neck. It appears almost incredible that they should make this hole in so hard a substance 411 without any iron instrument for the purpose. What they are said to use is the pointed flexible leaf-412 shoot of the large wild plantain, triturating with fine sand and a little water; and I have no doubt it is, 413 as it is said to be, a labour of years. Yet it must take a much longer time to pierce that which the 414 Tushaiia wears as the symbol of his authority, for it is generally of the largest size, and is worn 415 transversely across the breast, for which purpose the hole is bored lengthways from one end to the 416 other, an operation which I was informed sometimes occupies two lives.». Some very rare drills or fragments of drills, related to hard stone drilling, have been mentioned in a few sites, as it is 417 418 the case (but unfortunately without any representation of them) in Pearls as a "drill bit [made 419 of] hard stone" (Cody, 1991) or in PA-15 with "small trapezoidal chert flakes [that] may be 420 drill bits" (Gent and de Mille, 2003). Cody (1991) and Crock & Bartone (1998) also note the presence of partially drilled guartz beads, the latter mentioning that the bottom of the hole 421 422 presents a remnant cone, leading them to think that a hollow drill must have been used. 423 Regarding the Gare Maritime's artifacts that could be related to manufacturing tools (fig. 10), 424 as previously discussed, they are mainly represented by polishers and the flint drills 425 identified cannot be at the origin of fine and long bead's holes. The lack of precise 426 information for the drills of Pearls and PA-15 unfortunately prevent us to think they are different from the ones from Gare Maritime. The documentation of drilling technologies will 427 428 need further studies, regarding for example use wear studies of artifacts and putative tools, 429 or complementary investigation based on experimental archaeology.

The plano-convex bead made in turquoise (GD-01-034) is only similar (typology and raw material), to our knowledge, to the *adornos plano-convexo* found in Sorcé (Narganes Storde, 1995) among a thousand beads and pendants recovered during its excavation. In this site, this specific type of artifacts always exhibits a greenish color even if they are made of diverse materials. They are there interpreted as eyes of disappeared wooden or cotton sculptures. It could also be similar in shape to the turquoise bead of Royall's site (Murphy et 436 al. 2010 fig. 10).

All the pendants found in Gare Maritime are frog-shaped pendants of small size (the so called "segmented frog" (Cody, 1993)), all made in green colored material. For two of them (GD-01-017 and GD-01-018), as for the amethyst beads, the shape is very close to a frog pendant found in another vicinity site (Cathédrale de Basse-Terre (Bonnissent and Romon, 2004)) and the mineralogy is identical (Queffelec et al. unpublished data). But similar objects have also been found in other islands of the Lesser Antilles, Greater Antilles and even Costa Rica (Cody, 1993; Rodriguez Ramos, 2010).

444 Beyond the variety of shapes and materials excavated from the Gare Maritime site that fits perfectly in the diversity of the lapidary sites or adornments-rich sites of the Lesser 445 446 Antilles, the Gare Maritime stone artifacts also enter in the range of the Early Ceramic Age 447 "shiny personal ornaments" period as Rodriguez-Ramos calls it (Rodriguez Ramos, 2011). 448 This tradition, connected to a north-south West Indian trade route with materials and ideas 449 coming from South America, or even, depending on the authors, a Pan-Caribbean network involving also Mesoamerica, is said to disappear to be replaced by productions on more 450 451 local materials (Knippenberg, 2007; Rodriguez Ramos, 2010, 2007). The dating of the Gare Maritime site (250 – 400 cal. AD), indeed, corresponds to the model of a lapidary crafting 452 using exotic materials during the first five centuries of our era by adding a new point on the 453 map and on the timeline. Indeed, Gare Maritime presents a large diversity of materials 454 455 coming from outside the Guadeloupe Island (jasper, diorite, serpentine and sudoite) and even some other from outside the Lesser Antilles (amethyst, nephrite, turquoise) with 456 perhaps for the latter a South American origin. 457

458

Island Contexts		Am	Amethyst		Rock crystal			Cornelian		n	Chalcedony			Serpentine		Nep	Nephrite			Calcite			quo	ise	Diorite			Other	
Islanu	Contexte	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	R/C	ΒF	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	R/C	В	F	Other	
Puerto Rico	Excavations			Х	Х		Х			Х			Х	Х	X	Х		Х	Х		Х			Х	Х		Х	aventurine, marble, malachite	
Vieques	Excavations			Х	Х		Х			Х			Х	Х	X	Х		Х	Х		Х			Х	Х		Х	aventurine, marble, malachite	
St. Thomas	Excavation (midden)			х		x								green	stone	green	stor	ne			Х							green chert	
Antigua	Excavations + surface				х	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		x				х	х	Х			х			x	jasper, limestone, tuff, barite	
Antigua	Excavations + surface	х			х		х	х		х	х	х			x			х	х	x	х						х	limestone, tuff, barite	
Antigua	Excavations					Х	Х								X X		Х	Х		Х	Х					Х	Х		
Montserrat	Excavations + surface			х	х		х	х	х	х				green	stone	green	stor	ne									х	feldspar	
Guadeloupe	Excavation (midden)	х	х	x		x								x	x x			х		х	Х		x	х			x	jasper, aventurine, feldspar, chlorite, sudoite, paragonite	
Martinique	Excavation (burial)			х				х						green	stone	green	stor	ne											
Grenada	Excavations	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х					Х	Х											Х					
Tobago	Excavations																								Х	Х	Х		

459 Table 2. Presence / absence of the most common minerals found in the lapidary sites identified in the Lesser Antilles or the bead-rich sites of the region. R/C: Raw

460

material / reduction Chip; B: bead or pendant Blank; F: Finished bead or pendant. Sites are listed from north to south (see fig. 1).

462 **CONCLUSION**

The site of Gare Maritime (250 – 400 cal. AD) yielded a collection of 50 lapidary artifacts ranging from the raw material to the finished object. Beyond illustrating the development of the lapidary art in the Caribbean basin, the presence of a wide variety of exogenous raw materials testifies to long-distance diffusions networks. Such a collection, recently excavated, for this specific prehistoric period in Guadeloupe offers primary documentation for studying the entire *chaîne opératoire* of these valuable goods.

Raman spectroscopy was mandatory in non-invasively identifying the precise mineralogical composition of all these objects, especially the 33 so-called *greenstones*. For this color class, eight different kinds of rocks or minerals have been identified, which are: aventurine, chlorite, feldspar, nephrite, paragonite, serpentine, sudoite and turquoise. Beyond that, five other kinds of rocks or minerals (amethyst, calcite, jasper, rock crystal and diorite) were identified in the collection.

Due to the lack of accurate and reliable geological resource documentation about the lithic resources in the West Indies, for the moment it is not possible to attribute a precise geographical provenance for the identified raw materials. Moreover for some of the mineral identified, as amethyst, nephrite and turquoise, a more distant source on the continent (Mesoamerica or South-America) must be investigated. At this stage, the results obtained contribute to define the distribution areas of raw materials and specific typologies that already contribute to the debate of cultural diffusions.

The *chaînes opératoires* for the different materials have been approached, despite some raw materials poorly represented in the studied collection. Some materials are processed on site (amethyst, aventurine, jasper, feldspar, calcite, serpentine and turquoise), while others (chlorite, diorite, nephrite, paragonite, rock crystal and sudoite) seems to be brought on site already finished. Pendants are made only of green materials, while very hard material such as rock crystal and amethyst are used only for bead production.

Style and material analysis allow to confirm the extension of a homogeneous production with some objects found very similar to nearby and remote archaeological sites. The main minerals, also, are represented in most of the studied sites in the area. The geographical and chronological position of the Gare Maritime site fit the model of a lapidary production of beads and pendants in exotic minerals during the end of the Saladoid period.

Further accumulation of data and the methodology implemented in this work applied to future archaeological collections will provide an advanced description of the *chaîne opératoire* for these prestigious artifacts.

496 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

497 We are grateful to Thomas Romon (INRAP, UMR5199 PACEA) who directed the excavation of the Gare Maritime archaeological site, and to Eddy Foy (NIMBE, LAPA-498 499 IRAMAT) for his help during the mineralogical validation via X Ray Diffraction analysis of 500 some artifacts. This study was conducted in the frame of the Programme Collectif de 501 Recherche "Parures amérindiennes en matériaux lithiques dans les Antilles Françaises : 502 minéralogie, sources géologiques et fabrication" funded by the Regional Service of 503 Archaeology of Guadeloupe and the Guadeloupe Regional Council. This research was also 504 partially supported by the LabEx LaScArBx research program (ANR-10-LABX-52).

505

506 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 507 Beck, H.C., 1928. Classification and Nomenclature of Beads and Pendants.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261340900013345
- 509 Berard, B., 2013. The Saladoid, in: The Oxford Handbook of Caribbean Archaeology. W. 510 Keegan, C. Hofman & R. Rodriguez Ramos, pp. 184–197.
- Bonnissent, D., 2010. Archéologie précolombienne de l'île de Saint-Martin, Petites Antilles.
 Des campements des nomades des mers aux villages des agriculteurs-potiers
 (3300 BC-1600AD). Editions Universitaires Europeennes.
- 514 Bonnissent, D., Romon, T., 2004. Fouilles de la Cathédrale de Basse-Terre (Rapport Final 515 d'Opération No. SRA 2002-13). INRAP Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre Guadeloupe.
- 516 Boomert, A., 1999. Saladoid sociopolitical organization, in: Proceedings of the 18th 517 International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. Grenada, pp. 55–77.
- 518 Boomert, A., 1987. Gifts of the Amazons: Green stone pendants and beads as items of 519 ceremonial exchange in Amazonia and the Caribbean. Antropologica 33–54.
- Bullen, R.P., Bullen, A.K., 1972. Archaeological investigations on St. Vincent and the
 Grenadines, West Indies, American studies (Springfield, Vt.). Bryant Foundation,
 Orlando. Fla.
- 523 Cárdenas-Párraga, J., García-Casco, A., Nunez-Cambra, K., Rodríguez-Vega, A., Blanco 524 Quintero, I.F., Harlow, G.E., Lázaro, C., 2010. Jadeitite jade occurrence from the
 525 Sierra del Convento mélange (eastern Cuba). Bol Soc Geol Mex 62, 199–205.
- 526 Carter, B., Helmer, M., 2015. Elite Dress and Regional Identity: Chimú-Inka Perforated
 527 Ornaments from Samanco, Nepeña Valley, Coastal Peru. BEADS J. Soc. Bead
 528 Res. 27, 46–74.
- Cody, A., 1993. Distribution of exotic stone artifacts through the Lesser Antilles : their
 implications for prehistoric interaction and exchange, in: Proceedings of the 14th
 International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. A. Cummins and P. King,
 Barbados, pp. 204–226.
- Cody, A., 1991. From the site of Pearls, Grenada : exotic lithics and radiocarbon dates, in:
 Proceedings of the 13th International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. E.N.
 Ayubi and J.B. Haviser, Curaçao, pp. 589–604.
- 536 Crock, J.G., Bartone, R.N., 1998. Archaeology of Trants, Montserrat. Part 4. Flaked stone 537 and stone bead industries. Ann. Carnegie Mus. PITTSBURGH 67, 197–224.
- 538 Delpuech, A., 1995. Le Moule. Morel, in: Bilan Scientifique Régional de Guadeloupe.
 539 DRAC Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe.
- 540 Durand, J.-F., Petitjean Roget, H., 1991. A propos d'un collier funéraire à Morel,

- 541 Guadeloupe : les Huecoïdes sont-ils un mythe ?, in: Proceedings of the 12th 542 congress of the IACA. Martinique, pp. 53–72.
- 543 Epstein, D.S., 1988. Amethyst mining in Brazil. Gems Gemol. 24, 214–228.
- 544Etrich, C., 2003a. 24, rue Schoelcher (rapport de diagnostic archéologique No. 2003-27-5450004). DRAC Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre Guadeloupe.
- 546 Etrich, C., 2003b. Le site de l'Allée Dumanoir (rapport de fouille archéologique No. 2003-547 27-0004). DRAC Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre Guadeloupe.
- 548 Etrich, C., 2002. Capsterre-Belle-Eau. Allée Dumanoir, in: Bilan Scientifique Régional de
 549 Guadeloupe. DRAC Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe, pp. 24–28.
- 550 Evans, O.H., 1913. A Note on the Occurrence of Turquoise in Northern Chile. Man 13, 551 158–159.
- Evans, O.H., Southward, J., 1914. A Further Note on the Occurrence of Turquoise at Indio
 Muerto, Northern Chile. Man 14, 37–39.
- 554 Fewkes, J.W., 1903. Precolumbian West Indian Amulets. Am. Anthropol. 5, 679–691.
- 555 García-Casco, A., Vega, A.R., Párraga, J.C., Iturralde-Vinent, M.A., Lázaro, C., Quintero,
- I.B., Agramonte, Y.R., Kröner, A., Cambra, K.N., Millán, G., Torres-Roldán, R.L.,
 Carrasquilla, S., 2009. A new jadeitite jade locality (Sierra del Convento, Cuba): first
 report and some petrological and archeological implications. Contrib. Mineral.
 Petrol. 158, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410.008.0267.0
- 559 Petrol. 158, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-008-0367-0
- Gent, B., de Mille, C.N., 2003. Preliminary Investigations At PA-15, A Saladoid Site On
 Antigua, in: Proceedings of the 20th International Congress for Caribbean
 Archaeology. C. Tavarez Maria and M. A. Garcia Arevalo, Santo Domingo,
 Republica Dominicana, pp. 181–188.
- Groppo, C., Rinaudo, C., Cairo, S., Gastaldi, D., Compagnoni, R., 2006. Micro-Raman
 spectroscopy for a quick and reliable identification of serpentine minerals from
 ultramafics. Eur. J. Mineral. 18, 319–329.
- Hambourg, T., 1999. Le Moule. Morel, in: Bilan Scientifique Régional de Guadeloupe.
 Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe, pp. 26–28.
- 569 Hamy, E.-T., 1884. Un anthropolithe de la Guadeloupe. Rev. Ethnogr. 516–520.
- Harbottle, G., Weigand, P.C., 1992. Turquoise in pre-columbian America. Sci. Am. 266,
 78–85.
- Hardy, M., 2010. It's a Small World? Settlement and Interaction on St. Croix, Virgin
 Islands, in: The Canvas of Space : Method and Theory of Spatial Investigations in
 the 21st Century. Presented at the Annual meeting of Society for American
 Archaeology, St Louis.
- Harlow, G.E., Murphy, A.R., Hozjan, D.J., de Mille, C.N., Levinson, A.A., 2006. PreColumbian jadeite axes from Antigua, West Indies: Description and possible
 sources. Can. Mineral. 44, 305–321.
- Harris, P., 1980. Excavation report : Lovers Retreat, period IV, Tobago, in: Proceedings of
 the 8th International Congress for the Study of the Pre-Columbian Cultures of the
 Lesser Antilles. Suzanne M. Lewenstein, Arizona State University, pp. 524–552.
- Haviser, J.B., 1991. Preliminary results from test excavations at the Hope Estate site (SM026), St. Martin, in: Proceedings of the 13th International Congress for Caribbean
 Archaeology. E.N. Ayubi & J.B. Haviser, Curaçao, pp. 647–666.
- Henocq, C., Bonnissent, D., Boulfroy, V., Chauvières, F.-X., Lenoble, A., Stouvenot, C.,
 Richier, A., Weydert, N., 1995. Hope Estate Saint-Martin. Rapport de fouilles
 programmées 1995 (No. SRA 44). AAHE (Association Archéologique Hope Estate),
 SRA DRAC Guadeloupe.
- Hofman, C.L., Bright, A.J., Boomert, A., Knippenberg, S., 2007. Island Rhythms: The Web
 of Social Relationships and Interaction Networks in the Lesser Antillean Archipelago
 between 400 B.C. and A.D. 1492. Lat. Am. Antiq. 18, 243.
- 592 https://doi.org/10.2307/25478180

- Hull, S., Fayek, M., Mathien, F.J., Roberts, H., 2014. Turquoise Trade of the Ancestral
 Puebloan: Chaco and Beyond. J. Archaeol. Sci.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2014.02.016
- Knippenberg, S., 2007. Stone artefact production and exchange among the Lesser
 Antilles. Amsterdam University Press.
- Lafuente, B., Downs, R.T., Yang, H., Stone, N., 2015. The power of databases: the
 RRUFF project, in: Highlights in Mineralogical Crystallography. T. Armbruster & R.
 M. Danisi, Berlin, pp. 1–30.
- López, G.E.J., Coloca, F.I., Rosenbusch, M., Solá, P., 2018. Mining, macro-regional
 interaction and ritual practices in the South-central Andes: The first evidence for
 turquoise exploitation from the Late Prehispanic and Inca periods in North-western
 Argentina (Cueva Inca Viejo, Puna de Salta). J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep. 17, 81–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.09.036
- Mattioni, M.D., 1979. Salvage Excavations at the Vivé Site, Martinique: Final Report.
 Department of Anthropology, University of Manitoba.
- Meirelles, A.C.R., Costa, M.L. da, 2012. Mineralogy and chemistry of the green stone
 artifacts (muiraquitãs) of the museums of the Brazilian State of Pará. Rem Rev.
 Esc. Minas 65, 59–64.
- Mones, M., 2007. Amerindian lithics of Tobago: a preliminary report, in: Proceedings of the
 21st International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. B. A. Reid, Trinidad et
 Tobago, pp. 757–766.
- Murphy, A.R., Hozjan, D.J., de Mille, C.N., Levinson, A.A., 2000. Pre-Columbian gems and ornamental materials from Antigua, West Indies. Gems Gemol. 36, 234–245.
- Narganes Storde, Y.M., 1995. La lapidaria de Sorce, Vieques y Tecla, Guayanilla, Puerto
 Rico, in: Proceedings of the 16th International Congress for Caribbean
 Archaeology. Richard, Basse-Terre, pp. 17–26.
- Nickel, E.H., Nichols, M.C., 2009. The New IMA List of Minerals A Work in Progress –
 Updated: September 2015. IMA.
- Nieweg, D.C., Dorst, M.C., 2001. The Manzanilla 1 (SAN-1) site, Trinidad, in: Proceedings
 of the 19th International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. Alofs & Dijkhoff,
 Aruba, pp. 173–185.
- 624 O'Donoghue, M., 2006. Gems, 6th edition. ed. Oxford; Burlington, MA.
- Othmane, G., Hull, S., Fayek, M., Rouxel, O., Lahd Geagea, M., Kyser, T.K., 2015.
 Hydrogen and copper isotope analysis of turquoise by SIMS: calibration and matrix
 effects. Chem. Geol. 395, 41–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.11.024
- Petriglieri, J.R., Salvioli-Mariani, E., Mantovani, L., Tribaudino, M., Lottici, P.P., Laporte Magoni, C., Bersani, D., 2015. Micro-Raman mapping of the polymorphs of
- 630 serpentine. J. Raman Spectrosc. 46, 953–958. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.4695
- 631 Pinchon, R., 1967. Quelques aspects de la nature aux Antilles. Ozanne, Fort-de-France.
- Reynard, B., Bezacier, L., Caracas, R., 2015. Serpentines, talc, chlorites, and their high pressure phase transitions: a Raman spectroscopic study. Phys. Chem. Miner. 42,
 634 641–649. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00269-015-0750-0
- Righter, E., 2003. Saladoid Midden And Burial Distributions At The Tutu Site, St. Thomas,
 USVI: The Missing Link, in: Proceedings of the 20th International Congress for
 Caribbean Archaeology. C. Tavarez Maria and M. A. Garcia Arevalo, Santo
 Domingo, Republica Dominicana, pp. 24–30.
- 639 Rinaudo, C., Gastaldi, D., Belluso, E., 2003. Characterization of chrysotile, antigorite and 640 lizardite by FT-Raman spectroscopy. Can. Mineral. 41, 883–890.
- Rodriguez, M., 1993. Early trade networks in the Caribbean, in: Proceedings of the 14th
 International Congress for Caribbean Archaeology. A. Cummins and P. King,
 Barbados, pp. 306–314.
- Rodriguez Ramos, R., 2011. The circulation of jadeitite across the Caribbeanscape, in:

- 645 Hofman, C., van Duijvenbode, A. (Eds.), Communities in Contact. Essays in 646 Archaeology, Ethnohistory & Ethnography of the Amerindian Circum-Caribbean.
- 647 Sidestones Press, Leiden, pp. 117–136.
- Rodriguez Ramos, R., 2010. What is the Caribbean? An archaeological perspective. J.
 Caribb. Archaeol. Special Publication #3.
- Rodriguez Ramos, R., 2007. Puerto Rican precolonial history etched in stone. University of
 Florida.
- Romon, T., Bertran, P., Fouéré, P., Hildebrand, M., Serrand, N., 2013. Le site de la gare
 maritime de Basse-Terre (Guadeloupe), in: Martinique, terre amérindienne: Une
 approche pluridisciplinaire. Bérard, Benoît, Leiden.
- Roobol, M.J., Lee, J.W., 1976. Petrography and source of some Arawak artifacts from
 Jamaica, in: Proceedings of the 6th congress of the IACA. Guadeloupe, pp. 304–
 313.
- Roth, V., 1944. A stone-age bead factory on the Mahaica river. Timehri 26, 42–48.
- Rouse, I., 1992. The Tainos : rise and decline of the people who greeted Columbus. Yale
 University Press, New Haven; London.
- Rouse, I., Cruxent, J.M., 1963. Venezuelan archaeology. New Haven: Yale University
 Press.
- Schertl, H.-P., Maresch, W.V., Stanek, K.P., Hertwig, A., Krebs, M., Baese, R., Sergeev,
 S.S., 2012. New occurrences of jadeitite, jadeite quartzite and jadeite-lawsonite
 quartzite in the Dominican Republic, Hispaniola: petrological and geochronological
 overview. Eur. J. Mineral. 24, 199–216. https://doi.org/10.1127/09351221/2012/0024-2201
- Snoke, A.W., 2001. Petrologic and Structural History of Tobago, West Indies: A Fragment
 of the Accreted Mesozoic Oceanic Arc of the Southern Caribbean. Geological
 Society of America.
- Thibodeau, A.M., Killick, D.J., Hedquist, S.L., Chesley, J.T., Ruiz, J., 2015. Isotopic
 evidence for the provenance of turquoise in the southwestern United States. Geol.
 Soc. Am. Bull. 127, 1617–1631.
- Wallace, A.R., 1889. A narrative of travels on the Amazon and Rio Negro, with an account
 of the native tribes, and observations on the climate, geology, and natural hitory of
 the Amazon valley, 2nd ed. Ward, Lock & Co, London, New-York and Melbourne.
- Watters, D.R., 1997. Maritime trade in the prehistoric eastern Caribbean, in: The
- 678 Indigenous People of the Caribbean. Gainesville, pp. 88–99.
- Watters, D.R., Scaglion, R., 1994. Beads and pendants from Trants, Montserrat:
 Implications for the prehistoric lapidary industry of the Caribbean. Carnegie
 Museum of Natural History.
- Westercamp, D., Tazieff, H., 1980. Martinique, Guadeloupe, Saint-Martin, La Désirade,
 Guides géologiques régionaux. Masson, Paris.
- 684