



**HAL**  
open science

## Huge gain in pyroelectric energy conversion through epitaxy for integrated self-powered nanodevices

Rahma Moalla, Bertrand Vilquin, Guillaume Saint-Girons, Gwenaël Le Rhun, Emmanuel Defay, Gaël Sebald, Nicolas Baboux, Romain Bachelet

► **To cite this version:**

Rahma Moalla, Bertrand Vilquin, Guillaume Saint-Girons, Gwenaël Le Rhun, Emmanuel Defay, et al.. Huge gain in pyroelectric energy conversion through epitaxy for integrated self-powered nanodevices. Nano Energy, 2017, 41, pp.43 - 48. 10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.09.001 . hal-01848668

**HAL Id: hal-01848668**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01848668>**

Submitted on 4 Jun 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

# **Huge gain in pyroelectric energy conversion through epitaxy for integrated self-powered nanodevices**

Rahma Moalla<sup>a</sup>, Bertrand Vilquin<sup>a</sup>, Guillaume Saint-Girons<sup>a</sup>, Gwenael Le Rhun<sup>b</sup>, Emmanuel Defay<sup>c</sup>, Gael Sebald<sup>d,e</sup>, Nicolas Baboux<sup>f</sup> and Romain Bachelet<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institut des Nanotechnologies de Lyon, INL-CNRS UMR 5270, Univ. Lyon, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Bâtiment F7, 36 av. Guy de Collongue, 69134 Ecully Cedex, France*

<sup>b</sup> *CEA, LETI, MINATEC Campus, 17 Rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble, France*

<sup>c</sup> *Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology (LIST), Materials Research & Technology Department (MRT), 41 Rue du Brill, L-4422 Belvaux, Luxembourg*

<sup>d</sup> *Univ. Lyon, INSA-Lyon, Laboratoire de Génie Electrique et Ferroélectricité, LGEF EA682, F-69621 Villeurbanne, France*


<sup>e</sup> *ELyTMax UMI 3757, CNRS - Université de Lyon - Tohoku University, International Joint Unit, Tohoku University, Room#503, MaSC Building, Katahira 2-1-1, Aoba-Ku, Sendai, 980-8577, Japan*

<sup>f</sup> *Institut des Nanotechnologies de Lyon, INL-CNRS UMR 5270, Univ. Lyon, INSA de Lyon, Bâtiment Blaise Pascal, 7 avenue Jean Capelle, 69621 Villeurbanne Cedex, France*

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* romain.bachelet@ec-lyon.fr (R. Bachelet)

## Graphical abstract



## Research highlights

- Epitaxial PZT layer yields pyroelectric energy conversion with two orders of magnitude larger than its polycrystalline counterpart.
- Pyroelectric extrinsic contribution is discriminated through *static* and *dynamic* measurements.
- Extrinsic pyroelectric contribution due to domain wall motion appears detrimental for properties and is almost twice larger with polycrystalline layer than with epitaxial layer.
- Around 1 mJ/cm<sup>3</sup> per cycle with 6 K temperature variations can be reached with epitaxial layers, which is enough to efficiently power autonomous nanodevices.

## **ABSTRACT**

*Polycrystalline* (textured) and *epitaxial* 500 nm thick  $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.52}\text{Ti}_{0.48})\text{O}_3$  (PZT) layers have been monolithically integrated in metal-insulator-metal structure on silicon in order to compare their pyroelectric properties, both *statically* (under stabilized temperatures) and *dynamically* (when submitted to temperature transient as a pyroelectric device should work). The films have roughly the same out-of-plane orientation, and thus a similar out-of-plane remnant ferroelectric polarization around  $12 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ . Whereas their static pyroelectric coefficients are similar (around  $-470 \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ ), the dynamic pyroelectric coefficient of the epitaxial layer is about one order of magnitude larger than that of the polycrystalline layer ( $-230$  vs  $-30 \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ ). This causes an important difference on the densities of converted pyroelectric energy by almost two orders of magnitude ( $1$  vs  $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mJ}/\text{cm}^3$  per cycle for temperature variations of  $\sim 6 \text{ K}$ ). This difference is explained here by the counterbalanced extrinsic pyroelectric contribution arising from the domain walls motion in the dynamical measurements. Extrinsic pyroelectric contribution appears almost twice larger on polycrystalline layer than on epitaxial layer ( $+430$  vs  $+250 \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$ ). These results are crucial for further design of advanced integrated pyroelectric-based nanodevices.

**Keywords:** pyroelectricity, energy conversion, integration, epitaxy, extrinsic contribution

## 1. Introduction

The development of competitive devices in the microelectronic industry is essentially driven by advanced materials integration on semiconductor wafers (mainly Si) for new functionalities, low-cost processing and device reliability/efficiency. Perovskite oxides are very appealing materials since they can exhibit an incredible range of remarkable robust properties (such as ferroelectricity, piezoelectricity, pyroelectricity, ferromagnetism) and since they can be monolithically integrated on Si to address a wide range of applications (memories, sensors, actuators, harvesters,...) [1-4]. It is generally admitted that functional oxides, in particular ferroelectric oxides, have to be integrated in epitaxial layers because of their crystallographic orientation dependent functional properties. In particular, extrinsic effects due to domain walls (DWs) motion may moderate the functional properties. These effects are expected to be larger in polycrystalline layers, having larger density of DWs, than in epitaxial layers. However, this general assessment has not been clearly addressed experimentally, and in some cases polycrystalline layers have been found to be as efficient as epitaxial layers [5]. Comparative studies between polycrystalline layers and epitaxial layers are very rare, and the impact of epitaxy on the functional properties of oxide layers properties remains confused, whereas the integration of epitaxial oxide layers on silicon represents a significant technological complexity [4,6-9].

Pyroelectric (PE) oxides are very appealing for a broad range of devices (sensors, harvesters, transducers,...) because their thermal, optical and electrical responses are intimately coupled [10-16]. For instance, thanks to a large pyroelectric coefficient ( $p$ ) [12], reference  $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_x\text{Ti}_{1-x})\text{O}_3$  (PZT) films have been used as thermal energy harvester to power wireless sensors [14]. In addition, because of its large piezoelectric coefficient and photovoltaic effects, PZT films have recently been used in single structures to harvest simultaneously mechanical, thermal and solar energies [17]. Also, flexible pyroelectric nanogenerators have been realized using a composite structure of  $\text{KNbO}_3$  nanowires [18]. Pyroelectric devices work dynamically with

external stimuli fluctuations (for instance temperature or light) [10-16]. In a pyroelectric material, the total PE coefficient is the sum of the primary coefficient (composed of intrinsic and extrinsic coefficients at constant strain) and secondary coefficient (the piezoelectric contribution from thermal expansion) [10,19,20]. The intrinsic (native) contribution to the pyroelectric effect arises from polarization variation of ferroelectric domains due to a temperature change, whereas the extrinsic contribution arises from DWs motion due to temperature variation. Similar to the case of dielectric, ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties [5,21-23], extrinsic contributions arising from DWs motions can negatively impact the pyroelectric and electrocaloric properties of PZT films [11,19-20,24]. Extrinsic PE contribution exists in polydomain *c/a/c/a* epitaxial PZT films with 90° DWs [20]. It is predicted to be particularly large with mainly *c*-domains orientation and negligible with mainly *a*-domains orientation. However, comparison between extrinsic PE contributions in polydomain epitaxial films compared to their polycrystalline counterparts has not been reported yet.

Here, polycrystalline (textured) PZT film and epitaxial PZT film, monolithically integrated on Si, are compared. By measuring PE coefficient statically (without extrinsic contribution) and dynamically (with extrinsic contribution), we demonstrate a large detrimental extrinsic PE contribution in polycrystalline PZT films with respect to epitaxial PZT films by about a factor two, that gives a difference of almost one and two orders of magnitude on the effective total PE coefficients and on the converted PE energy densities, respectively. These results are of critical importance in the design of integrated PE devices of advanced properties.

## **2. Experimental section**

Five hundred nanometers thick  $\text{PbZr}_{0.52}\text{Ti}_{0.48}\text{O}_3$  (PZT) layers were grown by using the same sol-gel process for both heterostructures, starting from a commercial solution with lead excess (PZT 110/52/48, provided by Mitsubishi Materials Corporation) to compensate lead volatility

during the subsequent crystallization annealing under oxygen flux at 650°C. One spin-coating step yields 33 nm thick PZT layer, so that 15 spin-coating cycles have been applied here to both samples to obtain ~500 nm thick PZT films. Details on the sol-gel process for the deposition of PZT layers can be found elsewhere [9,25]. The thickness of the films has been monitored and calibrated by X-ray reflectometry (up to ~100 nm thick films), scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy in cross sections (up to micrometer thick films).

Film A (epitaxial) has been deposited on a 30 nm thick SrRuO<sub>3</sub> (SRO) layers epitaxially grown by radio frequency magnetron sputtering at 800 °C under  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar of partial oxygen pressure on (001)-oriented single-crystalline Si substrate buffered with ~10 nm thick epitaxial STO layers grown by oxide molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [8,9,26,27]. The SRO layer is used as lattice-matched bottom electrode in the built-in metal–insulator–metal (MIM) capacitor epitaxial heterostructure. Film B (polycrystalline) has been deposited on polycrystalline Pt-coated silicon substrate. Ten nm thick Ti layer and 100 nm thick Pt layer are subsequently deposited on Si(001) by magnetron sputtering. Ti is used as an adhesive layer for the Pt layer which is used as a bottom electrode. The subsequent annealing steps lead to the oxidation of Ti layer and Si interface.

A high-brilliance Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer (XRD) equipped with a 9 kW rotating anode and a two-bounce Ge(220) monochromator was used to investigate the structural properties of the layers (crystalline orientation, cell parameters and mosaicity). After the PZT growth, Pt was deposited by sputtering at room temperature on top of the samples and square-shaped top electrodes were fabricated using a UV-lithography and lift-off process for further macroscopic electrical characterization in bottom-top configuration. The *static* pyroelectric properties were determined by measuring ferroelectric hysteresis loops (polarization versus electric field) at different stabilized temperatures from 300 K to 400 K, in bottom-top configuration and using the PUND method with triangular shape pulses of 1 ms

rising time [9]. The *dynamic* pyroelectric properties were estimated by measuring in bottom-top configuration the PE current induced by applied temperature variations also from 300 K to 400 K without electric field at heating rates up to 2 K/s.

### 3. Results and discussion

For clarity, the epitaxial heterostructure (PZT/SRO/STO/Si) is labeled sample A and the polycrystalline heterostructure (PZT/Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Si) is labeled sample B (see experimental section for fabrication details). The XRD measurements recorded on both heterostructures are displayed in Figure 1. The  $\theta/2\theta$  scans provide information on the out-of-plane orientations and lattice parameter of the PZT layers (Fig. 1a,c) whereas the widths of the  $\omega$ -scans are a measure of their mosaicity (Fig. 1b,d). For sample A (PZT on epitaxial STO-buffered Si), the  $\theta/2\theta$  scan only presents {001}-reflections (Fig. 1a), showing that the PZT layer is fully {001}-oriented. In this case, the mosaicity of the PZT layer is relatively low, around 1° (Fig. 1b), as expected with epitaxial PZT layers on Si [9]. By contrast, on sample B (PZT on polycrystalline Pt-coated Si), the  $\theta/2\theta$  scan contain all allowed PZT reflections ((100), (110), (111), (112)), which is the signature of a polycrystalline film (Fig. 1c). However, despite lower factor structures as compared to that of the (110) reflection [28], the (001) reflections dominate the diffractogram recorded on sample B, indicating that the film B is textured with a preferential {001} out-of-plane orientation. From relative intensity and taking account the structure factors, {001} texturation is estimated to be around 99%. The mosaicity of the {001}-oriented domains, measured on {002} PZT Bragg peak, is however very large (width of the  $\omega$ -scan of about 14°), as expected from a textured film (Fig. 1d). It is worth noting that the out-of-plane lattice parameters of the {001} domains are similar in both cases of about 4.05 Å, suggesting the composition of the PZT layer is made of mixed *a*- and *c*-oriented PZT {001} domains, as previously observed for films grown using similar procedures [9]. The relative



proportions of *c*-oriented domains are estimated to be close to 44% and 37% for sample A and B, respectively, as deduced from deconvolutions of the PZT {002} peaks (not shown here).

Preferential in-plane orientation has been investigated by  $\phi$ -scan measurements on asymmetric PZT (101) reflections of the {001}-oriented domains (Figure 2). In sample A, 90°-periodic PZT peaks appeared, spaced by 45° from Si (202) reflections (Fig. 2a). This result confirms that the PZT layer is epitaxial in this case with single in-plane orientation rotated by 45° from the Si lattice. The epitaxial relationship can be written as follows: [100]PZT(001)//[100]SRO(001)//[100]STO(001)//[110]Si(001), as previously reported [9]. In the other case (sample B), no peak has been observed within the 360°  $\phi$ -range (Fig. 2b), meaning that, in this case, the predominant {001}-oriented PZT crystals are totally randomly-oriented in-plane.

Ferroelectric and *static* PE properties of both samples have been measured by recording ferroelectric hysteresis loops (Polarization against electric field E) from room temperature to 400 K (Figure 3). At room temperature, the remnant polarizations ( $P_r$ ) are roughly similar for both samples, according to the similar out-of-plane structural properties.  $P_r$  is slightly larger for the epitaxial film A (12.5  $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ , Fig. 3a) than for the textured film B (11.5  $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ , Fig. 3b), certainly because of the somewhat larger ratio of *c*-oriented domains in sample A. When the temperature increases,  $P_r$  decreases linearly as expected since the measurements are done well below the Curie temperature. The temperature dependence of  $P_r$  is plotted in Fig. 3c for both samples. The linear slope ( $\Delta P_r/\Delta T$ ) gives the "static" pyroelectric coefficient ( $p_{stat}$ ) which does not contain the extrinsic contribution. The measured  $p_{stat}$  are similar for both samples, although slightly higher for epitaxial heterostructure: -480 and -460  $\mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$  for samples A and B, respectively. These values are significantly larger than those reported by other groups with dynamic measurements [12,20,29]. This difference is explained by the fact that the extrinsic contribution, contained in the dynamic measurements, is of opposite sign

(positive) as pointed out theoretically by Karthik *et al.* [19] and hence diminishes the total absolute value of  $p$ .

*Dynamic* PE properties (containing all the contributions) were measured in the same temperature range (from room temperature to  $\sim 400$  K) and in the same bottom-top configuration (Figure 4). To do so, a non-linear upward temperature ramp was imposed to the samples without applied electric field while measuring the PE current ( $i_p$ ):

$$i_p = p_{dyn} S \, dT/dt = dQ/dt , \quad (1)$$

where  $p_{dyn}$  is the total PE coefficient measured in dynamic mode,  $S$  the contact surface area,  $dT/dt$  the rate of temperature variation, and  $dQ/dt$  the rate of surface charge variation [12]. For sample A, a maximum PE current density ( $J_p = i_p / A$ ) of  $13.5 \text{ nA/cm}^2$  is obtained at a heating rate of  $\sim 0.6 \text{ K/s}$  (Fig. 4a). By contrast for sample B, a maximum of  $5.2 \text{ nA/cm}^2$  only is obtained for a much larger heating rate of  $2 \text{ K/s}$  (Fig. 4b). The measured PE current densities ( $J_p$ ) are plotted for both samples as a function of the heating rate ( $dT/dt$ ) in Fig. 4c. The slopes correspond to the PE coefficients ( $p_{dyn}$ ) including all the contributions. The  $p_{dyn}$  values recorded from these measurements are  $-230$  and  $-29.5 \text{ } \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for sample A and B, respectively. The  $p_{dyn}$  value for sample A is in agreement with values reported by another group with epitaxial PZT films [20,29]. For sample B,  $p_{dyn}$  is about one order of magnitude lower than sample A, showing, as both samples present comparable static PE properties, that the extrinsic effect is much more important for the polycrystalline layer. We attribute this to a larger ratio of domain walls in the polycrystalline layer. The respective extrinsic contributions ( $p_{ext} = p_{dyn} - p_{stat}$ ) are thus deduced to be  $+250$  and  $+430.5 \text{ } \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for sample A and B, respectively. A non negligible extrinsic contribution occurred also on sample A, about half of that measured for sample B. This contribution comes from the motion of domain walls at the mixed  $c/a$  domains in the epitaxial layer, in very good agreement with theoretical results [19]. The theoretical results by Karthik *et al.* in epitaxial PZT polydomain layers have shown extrinsic contribution up to  $+ 230 \text{ } \mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for 50/50 PZT composition [19], in very good

agreement with our experimental results (+ 250  $\mu\text{C m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$  in our epitaxial case with 52/48 PZT composition). However, the extrinsic PE coefficient ( $p_{ext}$ ) in polycrystalline layer is of the same order (with opposite sign) as that measured statically ( $p_{stat}$  without extrinsic contribution) that makes the total PE coefficient ( $p_{dyn}$ ) decreasing almost to zero.

This difference between the samples is even larger when estimating the density of PE energy conversion ( $W_D$ ) because it involves the square of the PE coefficient in dynamic mode [11,12,30]. Based on these dynamical measurements,  $W_D$  is estimated by a synchronized electric charge extraction technique using the following equation [30]:

$$W_D = p_{dyn}^2 \Delta T^2 / \epsilon_{33} , \quad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon_{33}$  is the dielectric constant along the out-of-plane direction and  $\Delta T$  is the temperature change.  $\epsilon_{33}$  is similar for both samples, and since the harvesting cycles are done under electric field in the linear regime,  $\epsilon_{33r} = 200$  at  $E = 600 \text{ kV/cm}$  has been taken for the estimation of energy conversion (See  $\epsilon_{33r}$  against electric field  $E$  in Figure S1). The converted pyroelectric energy densities are plotted as a function of the temperature change in Fig. 4d. They are almost about two orders of magnitude larger for epitaxial layer than polycrystalline layer. For instance with  $\sim 6 \text{ K}$  temperature variations,  $\sim 1 \text{ mJ/cm}^3$  per cycle is reached with epitaxial layer, whereas only  $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mJ/cm}^3$  per cycle is obtained with polycrystalline layer. The energy harvested by the epitaxial layer would be sufficient to power autonomous sensors for internet of things (IoT) and wireless sensor networks (WSNs) for instance [12,14,30]. The present study conducted on PZT layer here as a reference can be straightforwardly extended to other pyroelectric materials deposited in thin film form.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, lead zirconate titanate (PZT) layers were monolithically integrated on Si with polycrystalline and epitaxial quality in metal–insulator–metal (MIM) structure. Pyroelectric (PE) coefficients ( $p$ ) were determined through *static* and *dynamic* measurements in order to

deduce the *extrinsic* PE contribution. In polycrystalline layer, extrinsic PE contribution is found to be of opposite sign and roughly as high as that measured statically (without extrinsic contribution), making the effective  $p$  measured dynamically (all contributions) very low of about one order of magnitude lower than that in epitaxial layer. This important  $p$  difference yields a huge difference of two orders of magnitude in terms of converted PE energy density. These results are of critical importance for the design of integrated PE devices on Si.

### **Acknowledgements**

The European commission, the national French research agency (ANR), the French government and STMicroelectronics (S. Monfray, Crolles) are gratefully acknowledged for financial supports through the projects SITOGA (FP7-ICT-2013-11-619456), TIPS (H2020-ICT-02-2014-1-644453), ANR HIRIS, ANR DIAMWAFEL, and Nano2017. The authors also acknowledge the region Rhône-Alpes for the doctoral fellowship of R. Moalla, the “Cellule Energie” of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-INSIS) for the financial support of the exploratory project PEPS “PYROS”, and the Ecole Centrale de Lyon (ECL) for the funding of emergent thematic and transversal projects. E.D. acknowledges the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) that helped in financing this research through the COFERMAT project (FNR/P12/4853155/Kreisel). Finally, INL authors acknowledge P. Regreny, C. Botella, and J.-B. Goure for technical assistance on the Nanolyon technological platform.

### **Appendix A**

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at


<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.09.001>.

## References


- [1] S. B. Ogale (Ed.), *Thin films and heterostructures for oxide electronics*, New York (NY): Springer; 2005.
- [2] E. Defay (Ed.), *Ferroelectric dielectrics integrated on silicon*, Wiley-ISTE, 2013.
- [3] E. Defay (Ed.), *Integration of ferroelectric and piezoelectric thin films: concepts and applications for microsystems*, Wiley-ISTE, 2011.
- [4] A. Demkov, A. B. Posadas, *Integration of functional oxides with semiconductors*, New York (NY): Springer, 2014.
- [5] V. G. Koukhar, N. A. Pertsev, R. Waser, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 214103.
- [6] J. W. Reiner, A. M. Kolpak, Y. Segal, K. F. Garrity, S. Ismail-Beigi, C. H. Ahn, F. J. Walker, Adv. Mater. 22 (2010) 2919.
- [7] S.-H. Baek, C.-B. Eom, Acta Mater. 61 (2013) 2734.
- [8] G. Saint-Girons, R. Bachelet, R. Moalla, B. Meunier, L. Louahadj, B. Canut, A. Carretero-Genevri, J. Gazquez, P. Regreny, C. Botella, J. Penuelas, M. G. Silly, F. Sirotti, G. Grenet, Chem Mater. 28 (2016) 5347.
- [9] R. Moalla, B. Vilquin, G. Saint-Girons, G. Sebald, N. Baboux, R. Bachelet, CrystEngComm 18 (2016) 1887.
- [10] S. B. Lang, Phys. Today 58 (2005) 31.
- [11] S. P. Alpay, J. Mantese, S. Trolier Mc-Kinstry, Q. Zhang and R. W. Whatmore, MRS Bull. 39 (2014) 1099.
- [12] C. R. Bowen, J. Taylor, E. LeBoulbar, D. Zabek, A. Chauhan and R. Vaish, Energy Environ. Sci. 7 (2014) 3836.
- [13] Y. Yang, W. Guo, K. C. Pradel, G. Zhu, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. Hu, L. Lin, Z. L. Wang, Nano Letters 12 (2012) 2833.
- [14] Y. Yang, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, Z. L. Wang, Nano Letters 12 (2012) 6408.
- [15] Z. Wang, R. Yu, C. Pan, Z. Li, J. Yang, F. Yi, Z. L. Wang, Nat. Comm. 6 (2015) 8401.

- [16] G. Sebald, D. Guyomar, A. Agbossou, *Smart Mater. Struct.* 18 (2009) 125006.
- [17] K. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Yang, *Adv. En. Mater.* 7 (2017) 1601852.
- [18] Y. Yang, J. H. Jung, B. K. Yun, F. Zhang, K. C. Pradel, W. Guo, Z. L. Wang, *Adv. Mater.* 24 (2012) 5357.
- [19] J. Karthik and L. W. Martin, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (2011) 024102.
- [20] J. Karthik, J. C. Agar, A. R. Damodaran and L. W. Martin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 257602.
- [21] F. Xu, S. Trolrier-McKinstry, W. Ren, B. Xu, Z.-L. Xie, K. J. Hemker, *J. Appl. Phys.* 89 (2001) 1336.
- [22] N. Setter, D. Damjanovic, L. Eng, G. Fox, S. Gevorgian, S. Hong, A. Kingon, H. Kohlstedt, N. Y. Park, G. B. Stephenson, I. Stolitchnov, A. K. TagansteV, D. V. Taylor, T. Yamada, S. Streiffner, *J. Appl. Phys.* 100 (2006) 051606.
- [23] R. A. Wolf and S. Trolrier-McKinstry, *J. Appl. Phys.* 95 (2004) 1397.
- [24] J. Karthik, L. W. Martin, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 99 (2011) 032904.
- [25] R. Moalla, G. Le Rhun, E. Defay, N. Baboux, G. Sebald, R. Bachelet, *Thin Sol. Films* 601 (2016) 80.
- [26] G. Delahaye, C. Merckling, M. El-Kazzi, G. Saint-Girons, M. Gendry, Y. Robach, G. Hollinger, *J. Appl. Phys.* 100 (2006) 124109.
- [27] G. Niu, G. Saint-Girons, B. Vilquin, G. Delhaye, J.-L. Maurice, C. Botella, Y. Robach, G. Hollinger, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 95 (2009) 062902.
- [28] See the JCPDS sheet number 00-033-0784.
- [29] R. V. K. Mangalam, J. C. Agar, A. R. Damodaran, J. Karthik, L. W. Martin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 5 (2013) 13235.
- [30] G. Sebald, E. Lefeuvre, D. Guyomar, *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* 55 (2008) 538.

## Figures and captions




**Figure 1.** XRD  $\theta/2\theta$  scans (a,c) and  $\omega$ -scans around the {002} reflection (b,d) of PZT film deposited on (a,b) on SRO/STO/Si (sample A) and (c,d) on Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (sample B).




**Figure 2.** XRD  $\phi$ -scans in the Bragg position of the asymmetrical  $\{h0h\}$  reflections of the  $\{001\}$ -oriented PZT domains (a) on SRO/STO/Si (sample A) and (b) on Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (Sample B).





**Figure 3.** Ferroelectric hysteresis loops of polarization measured in bottom-top configuration and PUND method from room temperature to  $\sim 400$  K of PZT film deposited on (a) SRO/STO/Si (sample A) and (b) Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (sample B). (c) Corresponding temperature dependence of remnant polarization ( $P_r$ ).



**Figure 4.** (a-b) Pyroelectric current density ( $J_p$ ) measured in bottom-top configuration at zero electric field under temperature variations from room temperature to  $\sim 400$  K, of PZT film deposited on (a) SRO/STO/Si (sample A) and (b) Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (sample B). (c)  $J_p$  plotted in function of the heating rate ( $dT/dt$ ). (d) Density of the pyroelectric energy conversion in function of the temperature variations under 600 kV/cm for both heterostructures.