

Combining genotyping by sequencing and genomic prediction within bi-parental crosses to speed up selection of grapevine cultivars

Timothée Flutre, Amandine Launay, Agnes Doligez, Eric Duchêne, Elisa Marguerit, P.F. Bert, Pierre Mournet, Loic Le Cunff

▶ To cite this version:

Timothée Flutre, Amandine Launay, Agnes Doligez, Eric Duchêne, Elisa Marguerit, et al.. Combining genotyping by sequencing and genomic prediction within bi-parental crosses to speed up selection of grapevine cultivars. 12. International Conference on Grapevine Breeding and Genetics, Jul 2018, Bordeaux, France. hal-01848564

HAL Id: hal-01848564 https://hal.science/hal-01848564

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





within bi-parental crosses

to speed up selection of grapevine cultivars

Flutre T.¹, Launay A.¹, Doligez A.¹, Duchêne E.², Marguerit E.³, Bert P-F³, Mournet P.¹, Le Cunff L.^{1,4}

AGAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France ² SVQV, Université de Strasbourg, INRA, 68000 Colmar, France ³ UMR EGFV, Bordeaux Sciences Agro, INRA, Université de Bordeaux, F-33882 Villenave d'Ornon, France ⁴ IFV, Le Grau-du-Roi, France

timothee.flutre@inra.fr

1. Abstract

major challenge facing viticulture consists in decreasing inputs, especially synthesized A fungicides, and adapting to climate change, while maintaining berry quality and differentiated wine styles, as well as reasonable profits to the winegrowers. In this endeavor, breeding new varieties is an important lever. To speed up this process, genomic selection may be advantageous to quickly test in the field candidates precociously selected for various complex traits. W^E assess here the feasibility of this approach based on three bi-parental crosses (Syrah x Grenache, Riesling x Gewurztraminer and Cabernet-Sauvignon x Riparia Gloire de Montpellier), and several types of traits (yield components, phenology, terpenols, transpiration) whose phenotypes were already used for QTL mapping. The plant material was genotyped by sequencing (Keygene patents), providing around 18000 filtered, uniformly distributed SNPs per genotype. Depending on genetic architecture and broad-sense heritability, the average accuracy of genomic prediction obtained by cross-validation can go from 0% (transpiration rate), 50% (budbreak) to 80% (berry weight). IN conclusion, such an integrated approach aims at exploiting the full extent of available phenotypic, genotypic and genealogical data in order to efficiently keep adapting the plant material. In parallel, the approach is also tested in a specific breeding program for the rosé wines, in order to speed up the selection of genotypes resistant to powdery and downy mildew, and adapted to the *rosé* vinification. Results will be compared to classical selection operated after offsprings are planted in the vineyard.

Raw reads were demultiplexed, cleaned with CutAdapt and aligned with BWA. SNP and genotypes were called with GATK, followed by filters on depth, quality and mendelian errors.

cross	med(breadth)	med(depth)	#SNPs	
SxG	4.9%	22.1	28624	
	1 00/	107	110050	

SxG : 1728 SNPs with LD < 0.4

	chr1
	chr2
	chr3
	chr4
	chr5
	chr6
	chr7
	chr8
	chr9
	chr10
	chr11
	chr12
	chr13
	chr14
	chr15
	chr16
	chr17
	chr18
	chr19
	chrUkn
0 Mb 10 Mb 20 Mb 30 Mb	

CSxRGM : 2016 SNPs with LD < 0.4

2. Crosses and experimental designs							
Cross	#offsprings	design	trait				
Syrah \times Grenache (SxG)	192	field	berry weight				
Riesling \times Gewuztraminer (RIxGW)	256	field	budbreak				
Cabernet-Sauvignon × Riparia Gloire de Montpellier (CSxRGM)	120	greenhouse	transpiration rate (control condition)				

Pedigree and field layout of the reciprocal cross, Syrah x Grenache, in Montpellier :

	4.3%	19.7	113333
CSxRGM	3.7%	22.5	45861

After segregation filtering, imputation was performed with FImpute accounting for pedigree and linkage disequilibrium.

RIxGW : 1551 SNPs with LD < 0.4

				chr1					chr1
	111111111111111111			chr2					chr2
				chr3					chr3
				chr4					chr4
			D	chr5					chr5
				chr6					chre
				chr7					chr7
				chr8					chr8
				chr9					chr
				chr10					chr1
				chr11					chr1
				chr12					chr1
				chr13					chr1
				chr14					chr1
				chr15					chr1
				chr16					chr1
				chr17					chr1
				chr18					chr1
				chr19					chr1
				chrUkn					chrUł
0 Mb	10 Mb	20 Mb	30 Mb		0 Mb	10 Mb	20 Mb	30 Mb	

5. Genomic prediction

 $\mathsf{BLUP}(\boldsymbol{g}) = \mathbf{1}\mu + M\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \, \mathsf{Id})$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \, \mathsf{Id})$: fitted with GS3.

The proportion of variance explained (PVE) shows that genotyped SNPs capture most of the genetic variance. Prediction accuracy is assessed by correlation (ρ) via cross-validation (50) random replicates).

3. Statistical analysis of the phenotypes

 $y = X\beta + Zg + \epsilon$ where $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_q^2 \operatorname{Id})$ and $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \operatorname{Id})$: fitted with lme4.

- 1. fit by maximum likelihood (ML) several linear mixed models with different fixed effects (β)
- 2. select the best based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and check its assumptions visually (e.g. residuals $\hat{\epsilon}$)
- 3. re-fit the best model by restricted maximum likelihood (ReML) to obtain the broad-sense heritability (H^2) and the Best Linear Unbiased Predictors (BLUPs) of the total genotypic values (g)

Budbreak (as date of the year) block 2

Transpiration rate under control conditions (in q.cm-2)

--- outlier threshold

Cross	trait	#genos	#SNPs	PVE	$\widehat{ ho}$	\dot{H}^{2}
SxG	mbw	190	1728	0.90 [0.80,0.98]	0.73 ± 0.06	0.92 [0.89,0.94]
RIxGW	bud	122	1552	0.70 [0.43,0.88]	0.47 ± 0.15	0.75 [0.66,0.82]
CSxRGM	transpC	132	2016	0.41 [0.02,0.99]	$\textbf{-0.09} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	0.12 [0.00,0.40]

It is helpful to interpret the differences in prediction accuracy (ρ) along with the broad-sense heritability (H^2 , computed here as if the data sets were balanced) which, as a measure of repeatability, quantify how well g is approximated by its BLUP. This depends on the quality of the model but also of the data : e.g., for the transpiration rate, H^2 is too low because of a very small genetic variance ($\sigma_a^2 \approx 10^{-4}$), leading to a very uncertain \widehat{PVE} and a $\widehat{\rho}$ of zero.

6. Genomic selection

The IFV started in 2015 a pilot project to breed new varieties for *rosé* wine and experiment with a strategy to speed-up the whole process. The goal is to obtain several varieties, not only with two resistance genes for each of downy and powdery mildew, but also adapted to the peculiarities of *rosé* vinification.

Crosses between iconic varieties for *rosé* and resistant progenitors from IFV are performed over several years. In phase 1 of the breeding program, classical marker-assisted selection on resistance genes is performed, and the selected genotypes can go on into phase 2.

In addition, the whole progeny is genotyped by sequencing. Moreover, among the offsprings produced in the first year of the project, 200 are trained in such a way that they will have berries in two years to phenotype traits related to the ideotype defined by experts, viticulturists and winemakers, such as juice oxidability, berry acidity, etc. Prediction models can then be trained on this subset, and used to predict genotypic values of non-phenotyped offsprings produced in the subsequent years. At this stage, the idea is to select a subset of resistant genotypes with sufficiently high performance on the other traits so that they can bypass phase 2 and be directly assessed in several field sites (phase 3).

After classical DNA extraction, samples were digested with the ApeKI restriction enzyme. Libraries multiplexing 96 samples were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 3000 (paired-end : 2x75). As this resulted in substantial non-uniformity, a second sequencing phase was performed (single-end : 1x150). N.B. : Keygene N.V. owns patents and patent applications protecting its Sequence Based Genotyping technologies.

7. Conclusion and perspectives

Genomic prediction looks promising enough to be experimented in grapevine breeding programs, yet its usefulness depends on several key factors. Genotyping is now flexibly achieved via restriction-assisted DNA sequencing, and statistical models and software implementations are available. The crucial component remains phenotyping protocols and tools, which should be cheap enough to be performed on large progenies. The phenotyped traits should then also be closely related to the ideotype of interest.

8. Funding and acknowledgments

INRA (FruitSelGen project), CASDAR (EDGARR project), South Green and URGI platforms.