

Characterization of metal binding sites onto biochar using rare earth elements as a fingerprint

Olivier Pourret, David Houben

► To cite this version:

Olivier Pourret, David Houben. Characterization of metal binding sites onto biochar using rare earth elements as a fingerprint. Heliyon, 2018, 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00543. hal-01841944

HAL Id: hal-01841944 https://hal.science/hal-01841944

Submitted on 17 Jul 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Characterization of metal binding sites onto biochar using
2	rare earth elements as a fingerprint
3	
4	
5	Olivier POURRET*, David HOUBEN
6	
7	UniLaSalle, AGHYLE, Beauvais, France
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	*Corresponding author: olivier.pourret@unilasalle.fr, tel. +33 3 44 06 89 79.

14 Abstract

The ability of biochar to immobilize metals relies on the amount of functional groups at its 15 16 surface but the contribution of each functional groups (e.g. carboxylic, phenolic) to metal bonding is poorly known. Using a new approach based on previous works on rare earth 17 element (REE) interactions with humic substances, we aim at elucidating the relative 18 19 contribution of these binding sites to metal sorption under various conditions (i.e. pH and ionic strengths, IS). Using batch experiments, REE sorption onto biochar was analyzed from 20 pH 3 to 9 and IS 10⁻¹ mol/L to 10⁻³ mol/L. Rare earth element patterns show a Middle REE 21 (MREE) downward concavity at acidic pH and low ionic strength. These patterns are in good 22 agreement with existing datasets quantifying REE binding with humic substances. Indeed, the 23 24 MREE downward concavity displayed by REE-biochar complexation pattern compares well with REE patterns with various organic compounds. This similarity in the REE complexation 25 pattern shapes suggests that carboxylic groups are the main binding sites of REE in biochar. 26 27 Overall, our results indicate that the strength of the metal bonding with biochar increases when pH and IS increase, suggesting that biochar is more efficient for long-term metal 28 immobilization at near neutral pH and high ionic strength. 29

30

32 **1. Introduction**

Biochar, the carbon-rich product of biomass pyrolysis, has sparked a broad interest 33 due to its attractive potential for improving soil fertility, carbon sequestration and soil 34 remediation (Beesley et al., 2011; Houben et al., 2013a; Lehmann and Joseph, 2009). Surface 35 functional groups play an important role in the application of biochar as a functional material, 36 37 especially for the immobilization of toxic metals (Chen et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015). For example, using biochars produced at 400 and 700 °C from rice straw and cattle manure, Qian 38 and Chen (2013) found that aluminum (Al) binding onto biochar was predominantly due to 39 complexation of Al with the hydroxyl and carboxyl group at the surface of biochar particles. 40 Similarly, the sorption of copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) was found to be directly correlated with 41 the amount of oxygen-containing carboxyl, hydroxyl, and phenolic functional groups at the 42 surface of biochars produced at various temperatures (200 - 800°C) from cottonseed hulls 43 (Uchimiya et al., 2011). Understanding biochar surface reactivity is therefore a prerequisite 44 45 to its application in soils. Spectroscopic approaches including Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy have been frequently 46 employed to characterize the functional groups of biochar (Brewer et al., 2011; Keiluweit et 47 al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2013). However, these techniques do not allow determining to what 48 extent each functional group contributes to metal binding under various conditions. Previous 49 50 research on humic substances has shown that metal binding to carboxylic and phenolic sites was strongly dependent on pH and ionic strength in the aqueous phase (Guo et al., 2008; 51 52 Ravat et al., 2000; Tipping, 2002). Characterization of humic substances has also revealed that carboxylic groups generally exhibit low metal affinity sites, while phenolic groups host 53 high affinity sites (Benedetti et al., 1995; Kinniburgh et al., 1999). Unraveling the relative 54 contribution of carboxylic and phenolic groups to metal binding by biochar under various pH 55 56 and ionic strengths is therefore crucial to gain insight into the strength of association between 57 metal and biochar and to predict metal interaction with biochar over a wide range of58 conditions.

An emergent approach for characterizing the surface reactivity of organic substances 59 consists in analyzing the pattern of rare earth element (REE) sorption by these compounds 60 (Pédrot et al., 2010). Rare earth elements are a group of seventeen elements presenting very 61 similar and coherent chemical properties (Connelly et al., 2005). In this contribution, we will 62 consider the fifteen lanthanides as REEs. Due to the unique properties of REEs, their partition 63 coefficients (Kd; commonly used in estimating the potential sorption of dissolved metals by a 64 solid phase) between humic substances and aqueous solution are highly sensitive to the 65 66 heterogeneity of binding sites (i.e. the nature of the functional group involved) (Marsac et al., 2010; Sonke and Salters, 2006). As a result, specific Kd patterns arise depending on the 67 nature of the major binding sites at the surface of humic substances. For example, log Kd 68 69 pattern exhibits a medium REE (MREE) downward concavity when REE binding occurs at carboxylic sites (Pourret et al., 2007). On the other hand, REE binding to phenolic groups 70 71 results in a log Kd pattern exhibiting a regular increase from light REEs (LREEs) to heavy 72 REEs (HREEs) (Davranche et al., 2015; Marsac et al., 2010). More specifically, studies have revealed that LREEs are mainly bound by weak carboxylic sites at pHs ranging between 3 and 73 6, while HREEs are preferentially bound to strong multidentate sites at pH = 3 and to 74 phenolic groups at pH = 6 (Marsac et al., 2011). These findings have raised the possibility to 75 use REEs as a tool to determine which of the carboxylic, phenolic or chelate functional 76 groups are implicated in the binding of cations to humic substances under various 77 environmental conditions (Davranche et al., 2015; Marsac et al., 2011). 78

In the current study, a new approach based on previous works on REE interactions
with humic substances, was developed to provide new insight into the nature of the sorption
sites responsible for metal binding to biochar under various pH and ionic strengths.

83 2. Material and methods

84

85 **2.1. Biochar characteristics**

Biochar was obtained from VT Green (France) who uses an industrial pyrolysis reactor 86 (Biogreen®, ETIA, France) and sewage sludge as feedstock. Operating conditions include a 87 residence time in the reactor of 10 min and an end temperature of pyrolysis of 500 °C. The 88 biochar was then rinsed with MilliQ water and ground to 0.05 mm particle size. The pH of the 89 90 obtained biochar was 8.2 (biochar:water ratio of 1:10; w/v). Its electrical conductivity at 20°C was 0.009 mS/cm and its cation exchange capacity was 4.6 mmol_c /kg (cobalthexammine 91 method) and its specific surface area was 19 m^2/g (BET method). The biochar was further 92 93 acid-rinsed with HNO3 at pH 2 to remove ash and avoid masking metal sorption by precipitation phenomenon and by metal complexation with inorganic ligands like carbonate 94 (Houben and Sonnet, 2015). The PZC value of the studied biochar is 7.81, which is consistent 95 with previous studies that evidenced an average value of 8.1 and a range from 6.2 to 9.6 (Lu 96 et al., 2013). The biochar surface functional groups were measured with FTIR: 0.7 mg of 97 98 biochar was gently mixed with 100 mg of KBr and the mixture were pressed into a pellet. FTIR spectrum of the biochar was recorded using a Nicolet iS10 spectrophotometer (Thermo 99 Fisher Scientific), scanning from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. 100

101 The following FTIR broad-band assignment was used to characterize the biochar (Jindo et al., 102 2014; Keiluweit et al., 2010): 3400 to 3410 cm⁻¹, H-bonded O–H stretching vibrations of 103 hydroxyl groups from alcohols, phenols, and organic acids; 2850 to 2950 cm⁻¹, C–H 104 stretching of alkyl structures; 1580 to 1590 cm⁻¹, COO⁻ asymmetric stretching; 1460 cm⁻¹, C– 105 H deformation of CH₃ group; 1280–1270 cm⁻¹, O–H stretching of phenolic compounds; and 106 1000–1100 cm⁻¹, bending of Si–O stretching. The sewage sludge-derived biochar from this
107 study have comparable properties to those from previous studies (Lu et al., 2012).

108

109 2.2. Experimental setup description

All chemicals used in this study were of analytical grade, and all the experimental solutions used were prepared with doubly deionized water (MilliQ system, Millipore). REEs–biochar complexes were prepared in glassware containers previously soaked in 10% HNO₃ for 48 h at 60 °C, then rinsed with MilliQ water for 24 h at 60 °C to remove all possible REE contamination sources. Synthetic REE solutions were prepared from nitrate REE standards (10 mg/L, Accu Trace Reference Standard).

116 Batch experiments were performed to study the pH and ionic strength effect on REE sorption by biochar as performed for humic acid (HA) by Pourret et al. (2007). REE (50 µg/L of each 117 REE) and biochar (20 mg/L) were added together in solution, at various ionic strength (0.001 118 119 mol/L to 0.1 mol/L, adjusted by NaCl) and pH (from 3 to 9, adjusted by HCl and NaOH). Prior to addition of biochar, the pH of the solution was adjusted to near 4. The initial 120 hydroxide and carbonate concentrations were considered negligible and concentrations of 121 $LnOH^{2+}$ and $LnCO_3^{2-}$ were therefore also considered negligible. The pH was measured with a 122 Mettler Toledo EL 20 pH-meter equipped with a LE407 electrode having an accuracy of 0.01 123 pH units. Solutions were agitated at 120 rpm for 48 h (equilibrium time determined from 124 preliminary kinetic experiments) at room temperature (20 +/- 2°C) to allow equilibrium and 125 REES fractionation between aqueous solution and biochar suspension. Solution aliquots of 10 126 127 mL were sampled at the beginning of the experiment and at equilibrium state (i.e., after 48 h). REEs sorbed by biochar were separated from the remaining dissolved REE by filtration 128 through 0.2 µm acetate cellulose filters. 129

To check possible sorption of inorganic REE species onto the membrane of the filter or onto tube walls, inorganic REE solutions of known REE concentration were filtered for each experimental conditions and monitored. More than 99% of the REEs present in the solution were recovered in the filtrates. It thus demonstrates that no REE were retained onto the membranes or on the walls of the tubes used.

Sorption behavior was quantified by using the apparent partition coefficient (i.e., Kd), defined
as the ratio between REE concentration associated with the biochar and REE concentration in
the aqueous solution when the system is at equilibrium, following the equation below:

138 Kd = (μ g REE sorbed/g biochar)/(μ g/mL REE in solution) (1)

139

Rare earth element concentrations in the filtered samples were determined by Inductively 140 Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS; Thermo Fisher Scientific XSERIES2). 141 Quantification was carried out by external calibration (REE multi elemental standard solution 142 143 from Accu Trace Reference, USA) and using indium (2.5 µg/L) as an internal standard. The instrumental accuracy was assessed by analyzing the SPS-SW2 certified reference material 144 for measurement of elements in surface water (SpectraPur standards, Oslo, Norway). The 145 analyses of real samples were carried out provided that the bias of measured concentration 146 was <5% compared to the certified values. 147

148

149 **2.3. Modeling**

Humic ion-binding Model VI (Tipping, 1998) was introduced into PHREEQC version 3.1.5 (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) for the whole REEs group (Marsac et al., 2011). This coupling allows the REE–HA binding description to be improved (Marsac et al., 2011). Indeed, HAs are described as 80 different sites that are expected to participate in the cation-HA binding. To simplify the REE speciation on the HA surface, these 80 sites can be gathered in several groups based on two main characteristics: the chemical nature of the ligands (i.e. carboxylic
groups: CG, phenolic groups: PG and carboxy–phenolic groups: CPG) and their denticity (i.e.
mono-, bi- and tridentate sites) (Marsac et al., 2011; Tipping, 1998). Modeling parameters are
given in Table 1.

159

160 **3. Results and discussion**

161 **3.1. pH drives the REE sorption on biochar**

The effect of pH on REE sorption was investigated in an initial pH range between 3 and 9. 162 Results are shown for the first REE (i.e., La) in Figure 1. pH appears to be the parameter 163 which most strongly modified surface reactivity of biochars (Lee et al., 2010). The proportion 164 165 of REEs removed from solution increases with increasing pH. The removal capacity is minimal at acidic pH (i.e. below pH 5) and increases along with the increase of pH. 166 Irrespective of the ionic strength, Figure 1 showed a regular increase of La concentration 167 168 sorbed to the biochar surface with pH. This general feature is well expressed for all REEs and 169 follows general trend of metal sorbed to biochars (Chen et al., 2011; Liu and Zhang, 2009). The REE sorption increase with increasing pH likely results from deprotonation of the biochar 170 surface functional group (e.g., carboxylic) (Harvey et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012) making them 171 available for REE sorption. 172

Moreover, as displayed on Figure 2, log Kd values increase with pH and log Kd REE patterns highlighted a HREE enrichment (i.e., La/Sm<1 and Gd/Yb<1), especially for acidic pH and higher ionic strength. These patterns are in good agreement with the few existing datasets quantifying the binding of REEs with humic substances (Marsac et al., 2010). In particular, the HREE enrichment displayed by REE-biochar complexation pattern determined in this study compares well with results from REE sorption on carboxylic sites hosted by humic acid (HA), fulvic acid (FA) and acetic acid (e.g., Davranche et al., 2015).

180

181 **3.2. Ionic strength effect on REE fractionation**

Ionic strength also appeared to have a general effect on the sorption of REEs onto biochar. 182 Log Kd increases with decreasing ionic strength (Figure 2). However, if we look in details, 183 some exception arises. Indeed, experiments performed at ionic strength of 10⁻³ mol/L and pH 184 4 and 5 show smaller Kd than that performed at pH 4.5 and ionic strength of 10^{-2} mol/L. In 185 addition, experiment performed at pH 9 and ionic strength of 10⁻¹ mol/L shows larger Kd than 186 those performed under ionic strength of 10⁻² mol/L. Moreover, fractionation between LREEs 187 and HREEs increases with increasing ionic strength (Figure 3). As shown in Figure 3, log 188 Kd(Gd/Yb) and log Kd(La/Sm) values vary by ca. 0.15 and 0.2 log units, reflecting 189 fractionation of the REE patterns. 190

Previous studies on organic matter have shown that HREE enrichment patterns is a general 191 192 feature of simple organic compounds possessing carboxylic functional groups (Marsac et al., 2010). Such carboxylic functional groups can be regarded as weak sites. They displayed log 193 194 Kd(Gd/Yb) <1 and log Kd(La/Sm) <1. A similar comparative approach may provide 195 information about the nature of strong sites that occur at high ionic strength; high affinity sites are generally thought to comprise phenolic, hydroxylic and/or multidentate carboxylic 196 functions (Uchimiya, 2014) displaying log Kd(Gd/Yb) <1 and log Kd(La/Sm) <1, illustrating 197 a lanthanide contraction effect. Thus, at low ionic strength (i.e., 10^{-3} mol/L; Figures 2a and 3), 198 as log Kd(Gd/Yb) is closed to 1, REEs are interpreted to be mainly sorbed by weak carboxylic 199 groups. At high ionic strength (i.e., 10⁻¹ mol/L; Figure 2c and 3), as log Kd(Gd/Yb) decreased 200 201 below 1, they are interpreted to be mainly bound to strong multidentate carboxylic and phenolic ones (Figure 5). Moreover, ionic strength dependence can be used to differentiate 202 203 inner-sphere (ionic strength independent) and outer-sphere (ionic strength dependent) sorption mechanisms (Uchimiya, 2014). An inner sphere complex is a stable molecular entity resulting 204

from the ionic or covalent bonding of a metal ion with a surface functional group. In the 205 outer-sphere mechanism at least one water molecule remains between the surface functional 206 group and sorbed ion (Stumm and Morgan, 1996). Our results reveal thus that the strength of 207 208 REE bonding with biochar increases with increasing ionic strength. These findings have implications for the use of biochar as a REE immobilizing agent as they indicate that REE 209 immobilization by biochar is expected to be more efficient at high than at low ionic strength 210 211 at acidic pH whereas at more alkaline pH opposite behavior happened as already observed for other metals (Hadjittofi et al., 2014; Uchimiya, 2014; Vithanage et al., 2015). For example, 212 for La at pH 8, ionic strength increase from 0.001 mol/L to 0.1 mol/L results in removal 213 decrease from 60% to 30% whereas at pH 5, the same ionic strength increase results in 214 removal increase from 10% to 20%. It can be thus inferred that REE binding to biochar was 215 216 not weak and potentially reversible.

217

218 **3.3. Rare earth elements as a fingerprint**

As previously discussed, REE patterns highlight a shift from LREEs to HREEs with increasing ionic strength and pH (Figures 2 and 3). This suggests that (i) at low ionic strength and acidic pH, REEs are mainly sorbed by carboxylic groups, (ii) at high ionic strength and alkaline pH, REEs are mainly sorbed by carboxy–phenolic groups. Moreover, when cations are bound to biochars, changes may occur in the surface reactivity of the biochars themselves such as (i) the formation of multidentate complexes and (ii) the decrease of the net surface charge (Uchimiya, 2014).

Previous studies investigating REE binding to humic acids (i.e. considered in this study as analogous material to biochar) reported two kinds of REE–HA patterns depending on the occurring metal loading. (i) At high metal loading, MREE downward concavity patterns are observed (Pourret et al., 2007; Yamamoto et al., 2005). (ii) At much lower metal loading,

patterns displaying a lanthanide contraction effect are highlighted (Sonke and Salters, 2006; 230 Stern et al., 2007). The combined effect of metal loading and HA surface heterogeneity 231 (Davranche et al., 2015) is expressed by stronger but less abundant surface sites (i.e., carboxy-232 233 phenolic groups) at lower metal loading, and weaker but more abundant surface sites (i.e., carboxylic groups) at higher metal loading. Comparison of experimental log Kd REE-biochar 234 patterns with the pattern for the stability constants (log K) of 101 REE organic ligands (Byrne 235 236 and Li, 1995) highlight that biochar surface is in the same range as these 101 organic ligands 237 and can thus be considered as a group of discrete sites (Figure 4). The major sites identified on the biochar surface are the carboxylic and carboxy-phenolic groups (as also highlighted by 238 239 FTIR), which can form monodentate or multidentate complexes (Uchimiya, 2014). Using this simplified representation, biochar binding properties can be compared with the binding 240 properties of model organic ligands as already shown in literature (Davranche et al., 2015; 241 242 Marsac et al., 2011; Marsac et al., 2010). Indeed, The REE patterns corresponding to the binding of REE with acetate (carboxylic group model ligand) exhibit a MREE downward 243 244 concavity, whereas NTA (chelate group model ligand) exhibit a lanthanide contraction effect corresponding to both REE-HA patterns obtained at high and low metal loading, respectively. 245 Moreover, as illustrated by the compilation of organic ligands (Byrne and Li, 1995) and 246 247 infrared spectroscopy results (Gangloff et al., 2014), when the ligand is stronger (i.e., strong aromatic functional groups such as carboxy-phenolic and phenolic groups), HREEs are more 248 strongly bound to the ligand compared to LREEs, as observed on the REE-biochar binding 249 experiments presented in the present study. Moreover, as already proposed by Pourret and 250 Martinez (2009), literature compilation of Log K(La/Sm) organic ligand constants (Byrne and 251 Li, 1995; Martinez et al., 2014; Pourret et al., 2007; Sonke and Salters, 2006) as plotted 252 253 against Log K(Gd/Yb) (Figure 4) evidenced that calculated log Kd values in this study are in the range of natural carboxylic acids, further illustrating the major role of carboxylic groups. 254

To confirm this hypothesis and to gain access to the REE speciation on each biochar site 255 types, the same modeling approach as already performed for humic substances was used 256 (Marsac et al., 2011). Modeling can account for changes in pH and ionic strength as already 257 proposed by Alam et al. (2017) for cadmium and selenium. Considering biochar as analogous 258 to humic substances, further allows to dissociate binding parameter of carboxylic and 259 carboxy-phenolic sites. Such modeling also allows accessing the REE distribution onto each 260 binding group (Figure 5; Table 2). At low ionic strength (i.e. 10⁻³ mol/L) and pH 3, REEs are 261 262 mostly bound to carboxylic groups (33% for La) whereas at higher ionic strength and pH 3, LREEs are bound to carboxylic groups (21%) and HREEs are bound to carboxylic (13% for 263 Lu) and carboxy-phenolic (10 % for Lu) groups. At pH of 5 and irrespective of the ionic 264 strength, LREEs are mostly bound to carboxylic (from 83% at 10^{-3} mol/L to 57% at 10^{-1} 265 mol/L for La) whereas HREEs are bound to carboxylic (from 38% at 10⁻³ mol/L to 28% at 10⁻ 266 ¹ mol/L for Lu) and carboxy-phenolic (from 50% at 10^{-3} mol/L to 38% at 10^{-1} mol/L for Lu) 267 groups. At a near neutral pH, LREEs are bound to carboxylic groups (from 85% at 10⁻³ mol/L 268 to 71% at 10⁻¹ mol/L for La) and carboxy-phenolic groups (from 14% at 10⁻³ mol/L to 19% at 269 10^{-1} mol/L for La) and HREEs via carboxy-phenolic (from 55% at 10^{-3} mol/L to 54% at 10^{-1} 270 mol/L for Lu), carboxylic (from 28% at 10⁻³ mol/L to 18% at 10⁻¹ mol/L for Lu) and phenolic 271 (from 18% at 10^{-3} mol/L to 25% at 10^{-1} mol/L for Lu) groups (Figure 5; Table 2). As already 272 273 discussed, modeling further indicates that REE immobilization by biochar is expected to be more efficient at high than at low ionic strength at acidic pH whereas at more alkaline pH 274 opposite behavior happened as already observed for other metals (Hadjittofi et al., 2014; 275 Uchimiya, 2014; Vithanage et al., 2015). It must be noted that modeling considering HA 276 overestimates sorption of REE at pH 7 but overall is a good representation of experimental 277 278 results. Eventually, the denticity of the complex is dependent on the metal loading. The number of groups coordinated to REEs increased with decreasing loading (Marsac et al., 279

2011; Marsac et al., 2015). The binding of REEs to blocked phenolic and carboxylic sites of 280 281 HA suggests that REE binding to stronger sites can be assumed to be the chelator (Kautenburger et al., 2014). They consist in a combination of phenolic and carboxylic groups 282 (Kautenburger et al., 2014). EXAFS results considering ytterbium complexation with HA 283 synthesized at low and high metal loadings, show that at low metal loading, REEs are bound 284 to HA through bi-ligand complexes without any chelation effect. In these conditions, REE act 285 286 as a cation bridge between two organic molecules. At the opposite, at high metal loading, 287 REEs are bound to HA via multi-carboxylic ligands (Marsac et al., 2015). This modelling approach is a considerable advance in the sense that such modeling considering HA surfaces 288 289 as analogous of biochar surfaces account for changes in pH, ionic strength, and metal-tosorbent ratio. 290

291

3.4. Implications for the use of biochar as a sorbent for metals

An increasing number of studies consider biochar as a potential sorbent to remove and 293 immobilize metals in water and soil, respectively (Ahmad et al., 2014). However, little is 294 known concerning the stability, and therefore the longevity, of the immobilizing processes 295 when environmental parameters change (Houben et al., 2013b). Like any other organic 296 matter, biochar can possess functional groups, namely carboxyl and phenolic groups 297 298 (Uchimiya et al., 2011), which host low and high metal affinity sites, respectively. Here, our 299 results showed that, despite a decrease in the amount of metal sorbed onto biochar, increasing 300 ionic strength favors metal sorption on high affinity sites, as indicated by the higher relative contribution of carboxy-phenolic and phenolic groups. Moreover, our data demonstrated that 301 302 rising pH from acidic to near neutral values increases both the amount of sorbed metal and the relative contribution of carboxy-phenolic and phenolic groups to metal sorption. These 303 findings have great implications for the use of biochar as a sorbent or an immobilizing agent 304

for metals in water and soil because they reveal that environmental conditions affect not only the amount of sorbed metal onto biochar but also the metal-biochar binding strengths and stabilities. Overall, the strength of the binding of metals with biochar increases when pH and ionic strength increase, indicating that biochar is more efficient for long-term metal immobilization at near neutral pH and high ionic strength. Eventually, considering surface complexation modeling of biochar comparable to humic substances is a promising direction even if some other alternative may be considered (Alam et al., 2017).

312

313 4. Conclusions

The efficiency of biochar to sorb metals is critically dependent on the type of metal-binding 314 sites at the surface of biochar particles. Although techniques such as FTIR provide a 315 preliminary biochar characterization by quantifying these sites, they give no information 316 317 about the change in surface reactivity of biochar once added to water or soil. Using a new approach based on previous works on REE interactions with humic substances, this study is, 318 319 to our knowledge, the first attempting to elucidate the relative contribution of these binding 320 sites to metal sorption onto biochar under various conditions (i.e. various pH and ionic strengths). Our findings indicate that environmental conditions affect not only the amount of 321 322 sorbed metal onto biochar but also the metal-biochar binding strengths and stabilities. In particular, the strength of the metal binding with biochar increases when pH and ionic 323 strength increase, which has a great implication for the use of biochar as a metal sorbent in the 324 long run. More generally, our study highlights the great potential of REEs as a fingerprint for 325 the characterization of metal binding sites onto sorbing matrixes, including biochar. 326

328 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Le Vinh Hoang, Bich Ngoc Tran, Quoc Viet Pham, Petru Jitaru and Lyes Lakhal for help during analytical procedures, to VT Green and ETIA for providing the biochar samples.

332 Table and figure captions

Figure 1 Proportion of La sorbed to biochar as a function of pH for various ionic strengthconditions.

Figure 2 REE patterns for log Kd (REE sorbed onto biochar) for increasing pH values as a function of ionic strength (a) 10^{-3} mol/L, (b) 10^{-2} mol/L and (c) 10^{-1} mol/L.

Figure 3 Log Kd(Gd/Yb) versus Log Kd(La/Sm) highlighting change among REE
fractionation as a function of ionic strength.

Figure 4 Literature compilation of REE constants with (a) low molecular weight organic acids (Byrne and Li, 1995), (b) biochars from this study (c) *Bacillus subtilis* bacteria (Martinez et al., 2014), (d) Aldrich humic acid (AHA), (e) Suwannee River fulvic acid (SRFA) and Leonardite humic acid (LHA) (Sonke and Salters, 2006).

343

Figure 5 REE patterns of modelled log Kd (REE-humic acid) at (a) pH 5 and *IS* 10^{-3} mol/L and (b) pH pH 7 and *IS* 10^{-3} mol/L, (c) pH 5 and *IS* 10^{-2} mol/L, (d) pH 7 and *IS* 10^{-2} mol/L, (e) pH 5 and *IS* 10^{-1} mol/L and (f) pH 7 and *IS* 10^{-1} mol/L, illustrating evolution of REE fractionation. Modelling was performed using PHREEQC-Model VI considering experimental condition and HA acting as biochar.

349

Table 1 Model VI parameters for humic acid (Tipping, 1998).

351

Table 2 Proportions of REE sorbed by carboxylic groups (CG), phenolic groups (PG),
carboxy-phenolic groups (CPG) and total (TOT) for various experimental conditions obtained
by modeling using PHREEQC-Model VI.

356 **References**

- Ahmad, M., Rajapaksha, A.U., Lim, J.E., Zhang, M., Bolan, N., Mohan, D., Vithanage, M., Lee, S.S., Ok,
 Y.S., 2014. Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: A review.
 Chemosphere, 99: 19-23. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.071.
- Alam, M.S., Swaren, L., von Gunten, K., Cossio, M., Bishop, B., Robbins, L.J., Hou, D., Flynn, S.L., Ok,
 Y.S., Konhauser, K.O., Alessi, D.S., 2017. Application of surface complexation modeling to
 trace metals uptake by biochar-amended agricultural soils. Applied Geochemistry.
 doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.08.003.
- Beesley, L., Moreno-Jiménez, E., Gomez-Eyles, J.L., Harris, E., Robinson, B., Sizmur, T., 2011. A review
 of biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated
 soils. Environmental Pollution, 159(12): 3269-3282. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.07.023.
- Benedetti, M.F., Milne, C.J., Kinniburgh, D.G., Van Riemsdijk, W.H., Koopal, L.K., 1995. Metal Ion
 Binding to Humic Substances: Application of the Non-Ideal Competitive Adsorption Model.
 Environmental Science & Technology, 29(2): 446-457. doi:10.1021/es00002a022.
- Brewer, C.E., Unger, R., Schmidt-Rohr, K., Brown, R.C., 2011. Criteria to Select Biochars for Field
 Studies based on Biochar Chemical Properties. BioEnergy Research, 4(4): 312-323.
 doi:10.1007/s12155-011-9133-7.
- Byrne, R.H., Li, B., 1995. Comparative complexation behavior of the rare earths. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta, 59: 4575-4589. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(95)00303-7.
- Chen, X., Chen, G., Chen, L., Chen, Y., Lehmann, J., McBride, M.B., Hay, A.G., 2011. Adsorption of
 copper and zinc by biochars produced from pyrolysis of hardwood and corn straw in aqueous
 solution. Bioresource Technology, 102(19): 8877-8884. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.06.078.
- Chen, Z., Xiao, X., Chen, B., Zhu, L., 2015. Quantification of Chemical States, Dissociation Constants
 and Contents of Oxygen-containing Groups on the Surface of Biochars Produced at Different
 Temperatures. Environmental Science & Technology, 49(1): 309-317.
 doi:10.1021/es5043468.
- Connelly, N.G., Damhus, T., Hartshorn, R.M., Hutton, A.T., 2005. Nomenclature of inorganic
 chemistry IUPAC recommendations 2005. RSC Publishing, 377 pp.
- Davranche, M., Gruau, G., Dia, A., Marsac, R., Pédrot, M., Pourret, O., 2015. Biogeochemical Factors
 Affecting Rare Earth Element Distribution in Shallow Wetland Groundwater. Aquatic
 Geochemistry, 21: 197-215. doi:10.1007/s10498-014-9247-6.
- Gangloff, S., Stille, P., Pierret, M.C., Weber, T., Chabaux, F., 2014. Characterization and evolution of
 dissolved organic matter in acidic forest soil and its impact on the mobility of major and trace
 elements (case of the Strengbach watershed). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 130: 21-41.
 doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.12.033.
- Guo, X., Zhang, S., Shan, X.-q., 2008. Adsorption of metal ions on lignin. Journal of Hazardous
 Materials, 151(1): 134-142. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.05.065.
- Hadjittofi, L., Prodromou, M., Pashalidis, I., 2014. Activated biochar derived from cactus fibres –
 Preparation, characterization and application on Cu(II) removal from aqueous solutions.
 Bioresource Technology, 159(Supplement C): 460-464. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.073.
- Harvey, O.R., Herbert, B.E., Rhue, R.D., Kuo, L.-J., 2011. Metal Interactions at the Biochar-Water
 Interface: Energetics and Structure-Sorption Relationships Elucidated by Flow Adsorption
 Microcalorimetry. Environmental Science & Technology, 45(13): 5550-5556.
 doi:10.1021/es104401h.
- Houben, D., Evrard, L., Sonnet, P., 2013a. Beneficial effects of biochar application to contaminated
 soils on the bioavailability of Cd, Pb and Zn and the biomass production of rapeseed (Brassica
 napus L.). Biomass and Bioenergy, 57: 196-204. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.07.019.

- Houben, D., Evrard, L., Sonnet, P., 2013b. Mobility, bioavailability and pH-dependent leaching of
 cadmium, zinc and lead in a contaminated soil amended with biochar. Chemosphere, 92(11):
 1450-1457. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.055.
- Houben, D., Sonnet, P., 2015. Impact of biochar and root-induced changes on metal dynamics in the
 rhizosphere of Agrostis capillaris and Lupinus albus. Chemosphere, 139: 644-651.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.12.036.
- Jindo, K., Mizumoto, H., Sawada, Y., Sanchez-Monedero, M.A., Sonoki, T., 2014. Physical and chemical characterization of biochars derived from different agricultural residues.
 Biogeosciences, 11(23): 6613-6621. doi:10.5194/bg-11-6613-2014.
- Kautenburger, R., Hein, C., Sander, J.M., Beck, H.P., 2014. Influence of metal loading and humic acid
 functional groups on the complexation behavior of trivalent lanthanides analyzed by CE-ICP MS. Analytica Chimica Acta, 816: 50-59. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.01.044.
- Keiluweit, M., Nico, P.S., Johnson, M.G., Kleber, M., 2010. Dynamic Molecular Structure of Plant
 Biomass-Derived Black Carbon (Biochar). Environmental Science & Technology, 44(4): 12471253. doi:10.1021/es9031419.
- Kinniburgh, D.G., van Riemsdijk, W.H., Koopal, L.K., Borkovec, M., Benedetti, M.F., Avena, M.J., 1999.
 Ion binding to natural organic matter: competition, heterogeneity, stoichiometry and
 thermodynamic consistency. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering
 Aspects, 151(1–2): 147-166. doi:10.1016/S0927-7757(98)00637-2.
- Lee, J.W., Kidder, M., Evans, B.R., Paik, S., Buchanan Iii, A.C., Garten, C.T., Brown, R.C., 2010.
 Characterization of Biochars Produced from Cornstovers for Soil Amendment. Environmental
 Science & Technology, 44(20): 7970-7974. doi:10.1021/es101337x.
- 425 Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2009. Biochar for Environmental Management. Earthscan, London.
- Liu, W.-J., Jiang, H., Yu, H.-Q., 2015. Development of Biochar-Based Functional Materials: Toward a
 Sustainable Platform Carbon Material. Chemical Reviews, 115(22): 12251-12285.
 doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00195.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, F.-S., 2009. Removal of lead from water using biochars prepared from hydrothermal
 liquefaction of biomass. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 167(1–3): 933-939.
 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.085.
- Lu, H., Zhang, W., Wang, S., Zhuang, L., Yang, Y., Qiu, R., 2013. Characterization of sewage sludge derived biochars from different feedstocks and pyrolysis temperatures. Journal of Analytical
 and Applied Pyrolysis, 102: 137-143. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2013.03.004.
- Lu, H., Zhang, W., Yang, Y., Huang, X., Wang, S., Qiu, R., 2012. Relative distribution of Pb2+ sorption
 mechanisms by sludge-derived biochar. Water Research, 46(3): 854-862.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.058.
- Marsac, R., Davranche, M., Gruau, G., Bouhnik-Le Coz, M., Dia, A., 2011. An improved description of
 the interactions between rare earth elements and humic acids by modeling: PHREEQC-Model
 VI coupling. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 75(19): 5625-5637.
 doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.009.
- Marsac, R., Davranche, M., Gruau, G., Dia, A., 2010. Metal loading effect on rare earth element
 binding to humic acid: Experimental and modelling evidence. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta, 74(6): 1749-1761. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.12.006.
- Marsac, R., Davranche, M., Morin, G., Takahashi, Y., Gruau, G., Briant, N., Dia, A., 2015. Effect of
 loading on the nature of the REE–humate complexes as determined by Yb3+ and Sm3+ LIIIedge EXAFS analysis. Chemical Geology, 396: 218-227. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.12.024.
- Martinez, R.E., Pourret, O., Takahashi, Y., 2014. Modeling of rare earth element sorption to the Gram
 positive Bacillus subtilis bacteria surface. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 413: 106 111. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2013.09.037.
- Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J., 2013. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3 A
 computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse
 geochemical calculations. U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, pp. 497.

- 454 Pédrot, M., Dia, A., Davranche, M., 2010. Dynamic structure of humic substances: Rare earth
 455 elements as a fingerprint. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 345(2): 206-213.
 456 doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2010.01.069.
- 457 Pourret, O., Davranche, M., Gruau, G., Dia, A., 2007. Rare earth elements complexation with humic 458 acid. Chemical Geology, 243(1-2): 128-141. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.05.018.
- 459 Pourret, O., Martinez, R.E., 2009. Modeling lanthanide series binding sites on humic acid. Journal of
 460 Colloid and Interface Science, 330: 45-50. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2008.10.048
- Qian, L., Chen, B., 2013. Dual Role of Biochars as Adsorbents for Aluminum: The Effects of Oxygen Containing Organic Components and the Scattering of Silicate Particles. Environmental
 Science & Technology, 47(15): 8759-8768. doi:10.1021/es401756h.
- Ravat, C., Monteil-Rivera, F., Dumonceau, J., 2000. Metal lons Binding to Natural Organic Matter
 Extracted from Wheat Bran: Application of the Surface Complexation Model. Journal of
 Colloid and Interface Science, 225(2): 329-339. doi:10.1006/jcis.2000.6782.
- Sonke, J.E., Salters, V.J.M., 2006. Lanthanide–humic substances complexation. I. Experimental
 evidence for a lanthanide contraction effect. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70(6): 14951506. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2005.11.017.
- Stern, J.C., Sonke, J.E., Salters, V.J.M., 2007. A capillary electrophoresis-ICP-MS study of rare earth
 element complexation by humic acids. Chemical Geology, 246: 170-180.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.09.008.
- 473 Stumm, W., Morgan, J.J., 1996. Aquatic Chemistry. Wiley Intersciences, New York.
- Tipping, E., 1998. Humic ion-binding model VI: An improved description of the interactions of protons
 and metal ions with humic substances. Aquatic Geochemistry, 4(1): 3-48.
 doi:10.1023/A:1009627214459.
- 477 Tipping, E., 2002. Cation binding by humic substances. University Press, Cambridge, 434 pp.
- Uchimiya, M., 2014. Influence of pH, Ionic Strength, and Multidentate Ligand on the Interaction of
 CdII with Biochars. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2(8): 2019-2027.
 doi:10.1021/sc5002269.
- Uchimiya, M., Chang, S., Klasson, K.T., 2011. Screening biochars for heavy metal retention in soil:
 Role of oxygen functional groups. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 190(1–3): 432-441.
 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.063.
- Vithanage, M., Rajapaksha, A.U., Ahmad, M., Uchimiya, M., Dou, X., Alessi, D.S., Ok, Y.S., 2015.
 Mechanisms of antimony adsorption onto soybean stover-derived biochar in aqueous
 solutions. Journal of Environmental Management, 151(Supplement C): 443-449.
 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.11.005.
- 488 Yamamoto, Y., Takahashi, Y., Shimizu, H., 2005. Systematics of stability constants of fulvate 489 complexes with rare earth ions. Chemistry Letters, 34: 880-881.
- Zhao, L., Cao, X., Mašek, O., Zimmerman, A., 2013. Heterogeneity of biochar properties as a function
 of feedstock sources and production temperatures. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 256–
 257: 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.04.015.