

Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort

Zoé Colombet, Benjamin Allès, Wendy Si Hassen, Aurélie Lampuré,

Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, Sandrine Péneau, Serge Hercberg, Caroline Méjean

▶ To cite this version:

Zoé Colombet, Benjamin Allès, Wendy Si Hassen, Aurélie Lampuré, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, et al.. Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 2019, 58 (5), pp.1. 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8 . hal-01839190

HAL Id: hal-01839190 https://hal.science/hal-01839190

Submitted on 25 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title page

Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort

Zoé Colombet¹, Benjamin Allès¹, Wendy Si Hassen¹, Aurélie Lampuré¹, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot¹, Sandrine Péneau¹, Serge Hercberg^{1,2}, Caroline Méjean³

1 Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Centre d'Epidémiologie et Statistiques Paris Nord, Inserm (U1153), Inra (U1125), Cnam, Université Paris 5, Université Paris 7, F-93017, Bobigny, France

2 Department of Public Health, Hôpital Avicenne, F-93300 Bobigny, France

3 MOISA, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CIHEAM-IAMM, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France

Colombet, Allès, Si Hassen, Lampuré, Kesse-Guyot, Péneau, Hercberg, Méjean

Corresponding author: Zoé Colombet

EREN, SMBH Paris 13, 74 rue Marcel Cachin, F-93017 Bobigny Cedex, France

Phone number: 00 33 1 48 38 89 33/ Fax number: 00 33 1 48 38 89 31

E-mail: zoe.colombet@gmail.com

Running head: Characteristics associated with changes in plant food intake

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

Abbreviations:

- 95% CI: 95% confidence interval
- ANOVA: analysis of variance
- BMI: body mass index
- BMR: Basal Metabolic Rate
- CARDIA: Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults
- ENNS: Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé
- INCA2: Individual and National Consumption Survey 2
- PEIPP: percent energy intake provided by plant proteins
- SD: standard deviation
- SE: standard error
- UU: urban unit
- WHO: World Health Organization

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition. 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

1 Abstract

Purpose: Rebalance the contribution of animal- and plant-based foods is needed to achieve sustainable diet. However, little is known concerning individual characteristics that may influence intake of plant-based foods and their changes over time. We aimed to assess changes in the contribution of plant-based foods to dietary intake over time, and their association with individual characteristics.

7 Methods: The contribution of plant-based foods was assessed by percent energy intake provided by 8 plant proteins in diet (PEIPP) and a score of adherence to a pro-vegetarian diet, using repeated 24-9 hours records in 15,615 French adults participating in the NutriNet-Santé cohort study. Associations 10 between baseline individual characteristics and changes in the two indicators over a 4 to 6 year follow-11 up were assessed using a linear mixed model.

Results: At baseline, PEIPP and pro-vegetarian score were positively associated with age 12 (β65+=0.80, 95%CI=[0.71, 0.88], β65+=3.30, 95%CI=[2.97, 3.64], respectively) and education 13 14 (\$postgraduate=0.23, 95%CI=[0.12, 0.34], \$postgraduate= 1.19, 95%CI=[0.75, 1.62]), while they were 15 inversely associated with BMI class (βobesity=-0.48, 95%CI=[0.56, 0.41], βobesity=-2.31, 95%CI=[-2.63, -1.98]). Men had higher PEIPP than women (β =0.06, 95%CI=[0.01, 0.11]). Pro-vegetarian score 16 17 significantly increased over time (β =0.23, 95%CI=[0.08, 0.37]). The older the individual at baseline, the 18 greater the decrease in the two indicators during follow-up. Pro-vegetarian score increased during 19 follow-up for obese participants at baseline.

20 Conclusions: The contribution of plant-based foods was associated with several socio-demographic 21 and economic characteristics at baseline, whereas change over time was related to age and weight 22 status. Further analysis of individual obstacles and lever to consume plant-based foods is needed.

23 Keywords: Plant-based foods, plant proteins, dietary change, sustainable diet, longitudinal analysis,

24 individual characteristics

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002, DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

25 Introduction

Consumption of animal-based foods is a major environmental, public health and economic issue [1]. 26 27 Previous studies have highlighted that, compared to production of plant-based foods, production of 28 animal-based foods mobilizes more resources (space, energy, water, etc.) and seems to have a 29 deleterious impact on the environment [2, 3]. However, the health impact of plant-based diet compared 30 with animal-based diet remains an ongoing discussion. Available studies on the health impact of red 31 and processed meat have mostly highlighted that a high consumption of red and processed meat is a 32 notable risk factor for major nutrition-related chronic diseases and for early mortality, compared with 33 low intake or consumption of other meat [4-8]. In contrast, an increasingly number of studies have shown that plant-based diets and diets with lower intake of red and processed meat and higher intake 34 35 of fruits and vegetables seems to be associated with longer life expectancy and lower risk of chronic 36 disease [9-14]. To improve overall food and nutrition security, and to limit the environmental impact of 37 diet, rebalancing of dietary intake toward plant-based foods in western societies may therefore 38 represent sustainable answers [1, 15].

39 To rebalance the contribution of plant vs. animal foods in the diet, knowledge of the association 40 between consumption of plant- and animal-based foods and individual characteristics is needed. 41 Available studies focused on socio-demographic and economic characteristics associated with intake 42 of specific plant-based foods and, in particular, fruits and vegetables [16-20]. To the best of our 43 knowledge, no study has yet assessed individual determinants of the contribution of plant- and animal-44 based foods to diet. Understanding changes in dietary intake in recent years based on individual 45 characteristics is essential so as to efficiently promote consumption of plant-based foods [21]. Few 46 available studies have assessed the association between individual characteristics and change in diet 47 over time [22-28]. In the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study conducted among US adults, dietary quality increased over time with age [22]. In contrast, an 48 49 Australian study showed that younger age at baseline was independently associated with 50 improvement in dietary quality over time [23]. Change in diet quality and fresh vegetable consumption over time was related to gender in Australian and Finnish adults [23, 24]. Regarding socio-economic 51 52 factors, dietary quality [22, 23, 25] and daily fresh vegetable consumption [24] increased over time in 53 better-educated individuals, subjects belonging to higher occupational and income classes. Regarding

54 lifetime events, a decrease in fruit and vegetable intake at the end of a marriage (divorce, widowhood)
55 [26–28] and an increase upon remarrying were observed [26, 27]. However, no prospective cohort had
56 previously assessed the association between individual characteristics and change in consumption of
57 plant- and animal-based foods in recent years, even though readjustment of such intake has become
58 of greater concern to consumers [29].

The aim of the present study was to assess the association between individual characteristics and the contribution of plant-based foods to diet both at baseline and over time, using two complementary indicators. The first indicator that assesses the percent energy intake from plant proteins in diet represents the proportion of plant consumption in the diet from a nutritional point of view while the provegetarian score aims to evaluate the adherence to a vegetarian diet and therefore provides a behavioural point of view of dietary habits [30]. In addition, the first indicator had been previously used in epidemiological studies [31–34], enabling comparison of our results with data from the literature.

66 Methods

67 <u>Population</u>

Subjects were participants in the NutriNet-Santé study, a large, ongoing, web-based prospective 68 69 observational cohort launched in France in May 2009. It was implemented in a general population 70 targeting internet-using adult volunteers aged 18 or older. The study was designed to investigate the 71 relationship between nutrition and health, as well as determinants of dietary behaviour and nutritional 72 status. The design and methods of the study have been described previously [35]. For recruitment, 73 initially a vast multimedia campaign (television, radio, national and regional newspapers, posters, and 74 internet) called for volunteers and provided details on the study's specific website (http://www.etude-75 nutrinet-sante.fr). Then, multimedia campaigns were repeated every six months. Further information is 76 maintained on a large number of websites (national institutions, city councils, private firms, web 77 organizations). A billboard advertising campaign is regularly updated via professional channels (e.g. 78 doctors, pharmacists, dentists, business partners, municipalities). Participants were included in the 79 cohort once they had completed a baseline set of questionnaires assessing dietary intake, physical 80 activity, anthropometric measures, lifestyle, socio-economic conditions and health status. As part of 81 their follow-up, participants completed the same set of questionnaires every year. In addition, they were invited monthly to fill out optional complementary questionnaires related to determinants of food 82 83 behaviour, nutritional and health status.

The NutriNet-Santé study was conducted according to guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the French Institute for Health and Medical Research (IRB Inserm No. 0000388FWA00005831) and the French Data Protection Authority (Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés No. 908450 and No. 909216). Electronic informed consent was obtained from all participants.

89 Data collection

90 Dietary assessment

At baseline and each year thereafter, participants were invited to complete three non-consecutive
validated web-based 24 hours (24-h) dietary records, randomly assigned over a 2-week period (2

93 weekdays and 1 weekend day) [35]. The dietary record is completed via an interactive interface and is 94 designed for self-administration on the dedicated website (www.etude-nutrinet-sante.fr) [36]. The 95 accuracy of this web-based 24-h dietary record was assessed by comparing the record to interviews 96 by trained dietitians [36] and to 24-h urinary biomarkers [37, 38]. The web-based dietary assessment 97 method relied on a meal-based approach, recording all foods and beverages (type and quantity) 98 consumed at breakfast, lunch, dinner and all other eating occasions. First, the participant fills in the 99 names of all food items eaten. Next, he/she estimates portion sizes for each reported food and 100 beverage according to standard measurements (e.g., home containers, grams indicated on the 101 package) or using validated photographs available via the interactive interface. These photographs, 102 taken from a validated illustrated booklet [39], represent more than 250 foods (corresponding to 1,000 103 generic foods) served in seven different portion sizes. One dish could pertain to several groups if it 104 was composed of several components. For each food group, components of composite dishes were 105 proportionally accounted for, using French recipes validated by food and nutrition professionals. 106 Values for energy, macronutrients and micronutrients were estimated using published nutrient 107 databases [40].

In the present study, two indicators were used to assess the contribution of plant foods to the diet.
First, a nutritional indicator, the percentage of energy intake without alcohol, provided by plant proteins
was computed as:

Plant protein, % of energy =
$$\frac{\text{plant protein (g)} \times 17 \text{ kJ}}{\text{energy intake without alcohol}} \times 100$$

This indicator had been previously used in epidemiological studies [31–34], enabling comparison of our results with data from the literature. Plant protein intake was positively associated with overall nutrient adequacy, making a robust marker of health awareness, better compliance to dietary guidelines and quality of the diet [32, 41]. In addition, the structure of this indicator included the effect of energy intake, and thus did not require adjustment for this variable.

The second indicator, a behavioural indicator, was a score of adherence to a "pro-vegetarian" food pattern elaborated by Martínez-González *et al.* [30]. Briefly, consumption (in g/day) of seven plant food groups (vegetables, fruits, legumes, cereals, potatoes, nuts, olive oil) and five animal food groups (meat and meat products, animal fat for cooking or as a spread, eggs, fish and seafood, dairy products) was computed by adjusting for total energy intake using the residual method [42] separately for men and women. The energy-adjusted estimates were ranked according to their sex-specific quintiles. For this score, plant-derived foods were positively weighted, assigning a value of 1 for the first quintile, 2 for the second quintile, and successively, up to a value of 5 for the fifth quintile. Animalderived foods were negatively weighted, assigning a value of 5 for the first quintile to 1 for the fifth quintile. The scores were then summed up to obtain a global score ranging from 12 (lowest adherence) to 60 (highest adherence).

128 Assessment of socio-economic and demographic characteristics

129 At baseline, self-administered questionnaires were used to collect data on socio-economic and 130 demographic characteristics, including sex, age, size of the urban unit of residence, educational level and household composition. To assess educational level, participants were asked their highest 131 132 attained diploma. Educational level was recoded into five categories: none or primary education, 133 secondary education, high school graduate or equivalent, undergraduate (corresponding to up to 3 years following high school graduation), and post-graduate (more than 3 years after high school 134 135 graduation). Household composition was classified into three categories: living alone without a child, 136 living with at least one adult and no child, or living with at least one child. Size of the urban unit of residence was categorized into rural, fewer than 20,000 inhabitants, 20,000 to 200,000 inhabitants, 137 138 more than 200,000 inhabitants and Paris. Height and weight were also self-reported at baseline; body 139 mass index (BMI) was calculated and categorized according to the World Health Organization (WHO) 140 classification for adults [43]: underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity. Validity of self-141 reported height and weight has been evaluated by a previous study conducted in the NutriNet-Santé 142 cohort [44]. Self-reported and measured height and weight were compared and showed a sensitivity of 143 88% and a specificity of 99%.

144 Statistical analysis

The present analysis focused on participants in the NutriNet-Santé study included between May 2009 and April 2010 who had at least 2 sets of 24-h dietary records: at baseline and after 4 years of followup. A set was composed of two or three 24-h dietary records. Participants were invited to complete a set every year during the 6 years of follow-up, leading to a maximum of 6 sets of 24-h dietary records per subject (range: 2 to 6 collected sets). Other selection criteria to be included in the analysis sample were: residency in mainland France, not being underreporters of energy intake, and no missing data for individual characteristics at baseline. Self-reported vegetarians and vegans were excluded from this analysis. They are overrepresented in the NutriNet-Santé study cohort, and their inclusion in the analysis sample might have artificially increased the mean scores of vegetable protein consumption.

Energy-underreporting participants were identified by the method proposed by Black [45] and excluded. Briefly, basal metabolic rate (BMR) was estimated by Schofield equations [46] according to sex, age, weight and height collected at enrolment in the study. BMR was compared to energy intake, taking into account the physical activity level (a physical activity level of 1.55 was used to identify underreporting subjects) [45].

For each sex, weighting was calculated using the iterative proportional fitting procedure according to the 2009 French national census reports on age, occupational categories, educational level, marital status and geographical area of residence [47]. Weighting was accounted for all analyses.

Mean percent energy intake provided by plant proteins and mean pro-vegetarian score at baseline were compared by sex, age group, educational level, household composition, size of the urban unit of residence and BMI category, using Student's t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate, and were presented with their standard error (SE).

166 The database was constituted of repeated yearly measurements of the two dietary indicators for each 167 participant. To assess the evolution of the two indicators over time and their interaction with individual 168 characteristics, linear mixed models were used. They take into account inter-individual variability 169 induced by the change in consumption for each subject, and the intra-subject correlation resulting from 170 repeated measurements of indicators in the same subject [48]. Multivariate linear mixed models, 171 including sex, age, educational level, household composition, size of the urban unit of residence and 172 BMI categories at baseline, were established. Models were also adjusted for household composition at 173 the last follow-up. To select individual characteristics, univariable analysis was conducted and all 174 analyses were significant. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

- 175 Data management and statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc.,
- 176 Cary, NC, USA).

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

177 Results

Among 33,212 subjects included between May 2009 and April 2010, with at least 2 sets of 24-h dietary records and who were not energy-underreporting subjects, we excluded 499 persons not living in the French metropolitan area, 492 who self-reported being vegetarian and vegan, 268 participants with missing data for variables at baseline (BMI, educational level and size of the UU) and 657 participants with missing data for household composition at the last follow-up (Figure 1). We also excluded 15,681 participants who were lost to follow-up after four years, leaving 15,615 participants in the final sample.

All subjects had been followed for 4 years, and 51% had been followed for up to 6 years. The mean follow-up was 4.6 years (SD: 0.5 years). In this sample, 29% of the participants had completed all their dietary sets of records every year for 6 years, 36% had 5 sets of records, 21% had 4 sets of records, 10% had 3 sets of records and 4% had only 2 sets of records.

Comparisons between participants and excluded subjects (17,598 subjects) presented in Supplementary Table 1 showed that included subjects were older (mean 48.4 years (SD: 14.1) *vs.* 41.7 years (SD: 14.1)); the percentage of those not living with children and the prevalence of overweight were higher, while the percentage of those living with children and the obesity prevalence were lower. In addition, the mean percentage of energy intake from plant proteins and the mean provegetarian score were equivalent in both included and excluded subjects (means 5.6% (SD: 1.3) *vs.* 5.5% (SD: 1.4) and 36.1 (SD: 5.1) *vs.* 35.9 (SD: 5.3), respectively) (Supplementary Table 1).

196 According to raw data, the size of the urban unit of residence of our sample has similar percentage 197 that those reported by the national census while men, young adults, subjects with a low level of 198 education and individuals living with a child were poorly represented in our sample (Table 1). 199 Sociodemographic characteristics were modified by the weighting procedure. Demographic and 200 socioeconomic characteristics were mostly comparable between the weighted sample and the French 201 general population, except for education (Table 1). After weighted, about half of participants in the 202 analysis sample were women (Table 1). Mean age at baseline was 47.8 years (SE: 0.1). In addition, 203 63% of participants had an educational level higher than or equal to high school graduation. More than 204 half of the subjects were living without a child but with at least one adult but only 5% were living alone,

and 22% lived in rural areas. Finally, 28% of individuals presented overweight and 10% presented
obesity. At baseline, the mean percent energy intake provided by plant proteins (PEIPP) was 5.4%
(SD: 0.1, range: 0.9 to 20.1) and the mean pro-vegetarian score was 35.4 (SD: 0.2, range: 16 to 55)
(Table 2).

The mean PEIPP was higher in older subjects, individuals who lived alone and underweight or normalweight subjects, compared to young adults, those who lived with at least one child and obese persons respectively (Table 2). The mean pro-vegetarian score was positively associated with age (Table 2). The score was higher in individuals who lived alone or with another adult and in underweight or normal weight subjects compared to those who lived with at least one child, and compared to obese persons.

During follow-up, PEIPP decreased slightly (-0.1% (SE: 0.01), p<0.01), while the pro-vegetarian score showed a slight increase (+0.1 (SE: 0.04), p=0.02) over time (Supplementary Table 2). In addition, energy intake without alcohol decreased (-156.2 kJ/day (SE: 16.8), p<0.01) as the mean protein intake (-1.9 g/day (SE: 0.2), p<0.01) and the percent of plant protein in the protein intake (-0.5% (SE: 0.1), p<0.01).

219 Results of the associations between baseline individual characteristics and change over time of PEIPP 220 and the pro-vegetarian score after weighting are presented in Table 3. The pro-vegetarian score significantly increased over time (β =0.23,95% CI = [0.08, 0.37]), while the change in PEIPP was not 221 222 significant (β =0.005, 95% CI = [-0.03, 0.04]). The size of the urban unit of residence was not 223 significantly associated with either of the indicators, either at baseline or during follow-up. Being a man 224 was positively associated with PEIPP, whereas the association between sex and the pro-vegetarian 225 score was not significant. In addition, sex was not significantly associated with change over time of 226 either indicator. PEIPP and the pro-vegetarian score were positively associated with age at baseline. 227 Both indicators declined over time in subjects aged 55 to 64 and over 65. Educational level higher 228 than or equal to an undergraduate degree was positively associated with PEIPP, while an educational 229 level higher than or equal to high school graduation was positively associated with the pro-vegetarian 230 score at baseline. Education was not significantly associated with a change over time of either 231 indicator. Household composition was not significantly associated with the pro-vegetarian score at 232 baseline, but individuals living alone had higher PEIPP than those living with a child. The pro-233 vegetarian score decreased over time in persons living with at least one adult but without a child compared to those living with a child. Compared to underweight or normal-weight participants, overweight subjects and those with obesity had lower PEIPP and pro-vegetarian score at baseline. In subjects who presented obesity at baseline, pro-vegetarian score significantly increased over time.

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

237 Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study on consumption of plant-based foods and its associated characteristics. Also, our study uses of two complementary indicators assessing the contribution of plants to diet from a nutritional and a behavioural point of view, thereby rendering our results more robust.

242 Our findings indicate that the percent energy intake provided by plant proteins, and the pro-vegetarian 243 score, were positively associated with age and education at baseline, while they were inversely 244 associated with weight status. During follow-up, the contribution of plant foods to overall diet 245 decreased in older adults whereas pro-vegetarian score increased only in participants who presented 246 obesity. Thus, very few individual characteristics influenced changes in plant-based food intake over 247 time. This may be due to the slight variation in the contribution of plant-based foods to diet over time 248 across population subgroups. A longer follow-up period might reveal a greater change in food 249 consumption according to individual factors. Another explanation is that, in the context of the recent 250 economic crisis, environmental factors such as food price may more strongly influence consumption of 251 plant-based foods than individual characteristics [49, 50].

Men had slightly higher intakes of plant proteins than women whereas previous studies showing lower consumption of plant foods such as fruits and vegetables in men than in women [17, 24, 51]. Further analysis showed that the slightly higher contribution of plant proteins in men in our study may be explained by their equivalent cereals, potatoes and tubers consumption, an important source of plant proteins (Supplementary Table 2).

At baseline, the contribution of plant proteins to diet was positively associated with age, in agreement 257 258 with the literature [17, 18]. This suggests that dietary habits of older adults are more in line with 259 nutritional recommendations than in young adults, including higher consumption of fruits and 260 vegetable, possibly due to a generational effect on dietary patterns and food supply practices. Despite 261 higher intake of plant-based foods, the older the participant at baseline, the more the two indicators 262 decreased over time compared to younger participants at baseline. Food and nutrient intake, including 263 plant-based foods, declined with age [18], possibly due to physiological changes associated with aging 264 such as altered taste and smell, altered digestive capacity and altered dentition and chewing ability, 265 limiting intake of certain foods and reducing dietary diversity [18, 52].

In agreement with a multicenter study on protein intake conducted in 10 European countries including France [34], our study showed that education was positively associated with both pro-vegetarian score and PEIPP. Indeed, education is associated with better understanding of the importance of nutritional information messages and the ability to appropriate these in order to generate eating behaviour in line with nutritional recommendations, such as higher consumption of fruits and vegetables and lower intake of animal-based foods [16, 53, 54].

272 Previous studies on the association between the presence of children in the household and intake of 273 fruits and vegetables showed contradictory results [19, 20]. In the present study, at baseline, no 274 significant association was found with the pro-vegetarian score, but individuals who lived alone had 275 higher PEIPP than those living with children. In available studies, for individuals living with children 276 compared to those living alone, overall consumption of meat, seafood and eggs was higher [55, 56], 277 which may explain their lower ratio of plant proteins vs. animal proteins. Results showing the decrease 278 in the pro-vegetarian score over time in persons living with at least one adult but without a child at 279 baseline may be due to additional intrahousehold factors not taken into account in our study, such as 280 psychosocial influences [20, 57].

281 The pro-vegetarian score and the contribution of plant proteins to the diet were lower in subjects who presented overweight and obesity. Our finding is consistent with a multicenter study showing an 282 283 inverse association between BMI and intake of plant proteins in French women, while a positive 284 association between BMI and intake of animal proteins was found [34]. Our results is also in line with 285 the findings of the prospective cohort Chicago Western Electric Study showing a significant inverse 286 association between higher vegetable protein intake and obesity and a positive association between 287 animal protein intake and obesity in employed men aged 40-55 years [58]. Our result is mainly due to 288 higher consumption of animal foods such as red and processed meats, eggs and dairy products and, 289 to a lesser extent, to lower intake of fruits, cereals and nuts in obese and overweight participants (data 290 not shown). The pro-vegetarian score was higher at baseline and over time for participants who 291 presented obesity compared to underweight or normal-weight participants. Obese subjects increased 292 their intake of plant-based foods such as fruits, legumes and nuts during follow-up, and decreased 293 their intake of animal-based foods (Supplementary Table 2) as a large majority of obese participants in 294 our sample (86%) reported dieting during follow-up. The energy intake decreased during the follow-up

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8 as the protein intake but the percent of plant protein in the protein intake increased (Supplementary
Table 2). Obese participants at baseline may have changed their dietary intake over time, possibly
increasing plant-based food intake.

298 For this analysis, two indicators were chosen among several potential indicators to assess the role of 299 plant foods in the diet. We have chosen the PEIPP rather than the ratio of plant proteins to animal 300 proteins also used in the literature, since our preliminary analyses showed that this indicator reflected 301 the percent energy intake provided by animal proteins. Indeed, when the PEIPP increased, the 302 percent of energy intake provided by animal proteins decreased. Also, an increase in PEIPP was 303 associated with a decrease of the intake of protein: 90.3 g in the first quartile of PEIPP and 83.1 g in 304 the last quartile (p<0.01, Supplementary Table 3). Thereby, an increase in PEIPP not reflects a diet 305 with more protein but it represents an increase of the proportion of plant consumption in the diet from a 306 nutritional point of view. As expected, an increase in the pro-vegetarian score was associated with an 307 increase of plant product intakes but a decrease of the animal product intakes (Supplementary Table 308 4).

309 Interpretation of present results should take into account several limitations. Since the cohort is not 310 random, women and individuals belonging to highly educated groups may be overrepresented. These individuals tend to have lifestyles more in line with nutritional recommendations than the general 311 312 population [59, 60]. Analyses, however, were weighted according to French population socio-313 demographic distribution, which allows bias to be limited. Compared to a nationally representative 314 study (Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé (ENNS) 2006-2007), we observed in our study slightly lower 315 total energy and protein intakes at baseline in men (means for energy: 2291.3 kcal (SE: 26.9) vs. 316 2388.7 kcal (SE: 27.7); means for protein: 93.7 g (SE: 1.7) vs. 98.3 g (SE: 1.1), respectively) while 317 intakes were slightly higher in women (means for energy: 1830.4 kcal (SE: 12.2) vs. 1713.7 kcal (SE: 318 14.0); means for protein: 77.6 g (SE: 0.5) vs. 74.1 g (SE: 0.7), respectively) [60]. Compared to another 319 nationally representative study (Individual and National Consumption Survey 2 (INCA2) 2006–2007), 320 total energy intake was also lower in men of our sample (means 2291 vs. 2500 kcal, respectively) but 321 equivalent in women and protein intakes were equivalent for men and women [32]. At baseline, the 322 portion of energy intake from plant proteins was similar compared to the INCA2 (5.4 vs. 4.9%) while 323 the percent of energy intake without alcohol provided by protein in our study was slightly higher in

324 women (17.6 vs. 16.5%, respectively) but similar in men [32]. Difference regarding men in our sample 325 may be due to a bias induced by volunteering: male participants may be more aware and may attach 326 greater importance to nutrition issues and so may make dietary choices more in line with nutritional 327 recommendations than those of the general population. Caution is therefore needed when interpreting 328 and generalizing our results. Differences in dietary intake between gender and education categories 329 are probably wider in the general population, which may explain why there was no significant 330 association with indicators over time. The large size of our sample may have also been a constraint, 331 since significant results were found even when the difference in intake according to categories was 332 slight. However, the large sample size was also a strength, as it enabled a wide diversity of individual 333 characteristics. Validity of self-reported height and weight can be questioned therefore it has been 334 evaluated by a previous study conducted in the NutriNet-Santé cohort, showing that they can be 335 considered as valid enough to be used [44]. The question of accuracy of web-based self-reported data 336 also arises for repeated 24-h dietary records compared to interviews by trained dietitians. However, 337 the validity of our web-based self-reported dietary record tool was tested against 24-h urinary and 338 plasma biomarkers. It showed that the web-based dietary record tool used in the NutriNet-Santé study 339 performs well at estimating protein (correlations with urinary, 0.61 in men, 0.64 in women) and 340 potassium (correlations with urinary, 0.78 in men, 0.42 in women) intakes, and fairly well at estimating 341 fruits and vegetables (correlation with plasma beta-carotene, 0.35 in men and 0.41 in women), fish 342 (correlation with plasma docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid, 0.51 in men and 0.54 in 343 women), beta-carotene (correlations with plasma, 0.37 in men, 0.38 in women), vitamin C (correlations 344 with plasma, 0.58 in men, 0.32 in women), sodium (correlations with urinary, 0.47 in men, 0.37 in 345 women), and n-3 fatty acids intakes (correlations with plasma, 0.36 in men, 0.38 in women) [37, 38]. In 346 addition, a pilot study comparing our web-based 24-h record tool with dietitian interviews showed 347 strong agreement between the two methods, particularly for plant-based vs. animal-based food intakes 348 [36]. Some participants may belong to the same household and this may modify the results but this 349 information has not been collected. Finally, we did not take into consideration life-events even though 350 previous studies shown that life-events can influence dietary intake [61].

351 Conclusion

Our findings provide useful information on individual characteristics associated with the contribution of plant-based foods to the overall diet, and changes over time, associated with age, education and BMI. Further studies targeting specific subgroups known to have changed their intake of these foods are needed to understand their motivation to change and identify levers affecting the rebalance of the contribution of plant *vs.* animal foods in the diet.

357

358 Conflict of interest: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict359 of interest.

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002, DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

References

- 1. Burlingame B, Dernini, Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2012) Sustainable diets and biodiversity - Directions and solutions for policy research and action. FAO, Rome
- 2. Carlsson-Kanyama A, González AD (2009) Potential contributions of food consumption patterns to climate change. Am J Clin Nutr 89:1704S–1709S . doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.26736AA
- 3. McMichael AJ, Powles JW, Butler CD, Uauy R (2007) Food, livestock production, energy, climate change, and health. Lancet Lond Engl 370:1253–1263 . doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61256-2
- 4. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research (2007) Food, nutrition, physical activity and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. American Institute for Cancer Research, Washington, D.C
- 5. Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaffarian D (2010) Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Circulation 121:2271–2283 . doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.924977
- 6. Sinha R, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, et al (2009) Meat intake and mortality: a prospective study of over half a million people. Arch Intern Med 169:562–571 . doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.6
- Pan A, Sun Q, Bernstein AM, et al (2012) Red meat consumption and mortality: results from 2 prospective cohort studies. Arch Intern Med 172:555–563. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.2287
- Nagao M, Iso H, Yamagishi K, et al (2012) Meat consumption in relation to mortality from cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women. Eur J Clin Nutr 66:687–693. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2012.6
- Huang T, Yang B, Zheng J, et al (2012) Cardiovascular disease mortality and cancer incidence in vegetarians: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Ann Nutr Metab 60:233–240. doi: 10.1159/000337301
- 10. Aston LM, Smith JN, Powles JW (2012) Impact of a reduced red and processed meat dietary pattern on disease risks and greenhouse gas emissions in the UK: a modelling study. BMJ Open 2: . doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001072
- Scarborough P, Allender S, Clarke D, et al (2012) Modelling the health impact of environmentally sustainable dietary scenarios in the UK. Eur J Clin Nutr 66:710–715 . doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2012.34
- 12. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Crowe FL, et al (2014) Cancer in British vegetarians: updated analyses of 4998 incident cancers in a cohort of 32,491 meat eaters, 8612 fish eaters, 18,298 vegetarians, and 2246 vegans. Am J Clin Nutr 100 Suppl 1:3785–855. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.071266
- Chang-Claude J, Hermann S, Eilber U, Steindorf K (2005) Lifestyle determinants and mortality in German vegetarians and health-conscious persons: results of a 21-year follow-up. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev Publ Am Assoc Cancer Res Cosponsored Am Soc Prev Oncol 14:963– 968. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0696

- 14. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, et al (2009) Mortality in British vegetarians: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Oxford). Am J Clin Nutr 89:16135–1619S . doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.26736L
- 15. Pimentel D, Pimentel M (2003) Sustainability of meat-based and plant-based diets and the environment. Am J Clin Nutr 78:660S–663S
- Darmon N, Drewnowski A (2008) Does social class predict diet quality? Am J Clin Nutr 87:1107– 1117
- 17. Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, et al (2015) Global, regional and national consumption of major food groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis including 266 country-specific nutrition surveys worldwide. BMJ Open 5:e008705 . doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008705
- 18. Drewnowski A, Shultz JM (2001) Impact of aging on eating behaviors, food choices, nutrition, and health status. J Nutr Health Aging 5:75–79
- 19. Laforge RG, Greene GW, Prochaska JO (1994) Psychosocial factors influencing low fruit and vegetable consumption. J Behav Med 17:361–374
- Devine CM, Wolfe WS, Frongillo EA, Bisogni CA (1999) Life-course events and experiences: association with fruit and vegetable consumption in 3 ethnic groups. J Am Diet Assoc 99:309– 314. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(99)00080-2
- 21. Lea EJ, Crawford D, Worsley A (2006) Consumers' readiness to eat a plant-based diet. Eur J Clin Nutr 60:342–351 . doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602320
- 22. Sijtsma FPC, Meyer KA, Steffen LM, et al (2012) Longitudinal trends in diet and effects of sex, race, and education on dietary quality score change: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study. Am J Clin Nutr 95:580–586 . doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.020719
- 23. Arabshahi S, Lahmann PH, Williams GM, et al (2011) Longitudinal change in diet quality in Australian adults varies by demographic, socio-economic, and lifestyle characteristics. J Nutr 141:1871–1879. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.140822
- 24. Roos E, Talala K, Laaksonen M, et al (2008) Trends of socioeconomic differences in daily vegetable consumption, 1979-2002. Eur J Clin Nutr 62:823–833 . doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602798
- 25. Harrington JM, Dahly DL, Fitzgerald AP, et al (2014) Capturing changes in dietary patterns among older adults: a latent class analysis of an ageing Irish cohort. Public Health Nutr 17:2674–2686 . doi: 10.1017/S1368980014000111
- 26. Eng PM, Kawachi I, Fitzmaurice G, Rimm EB (2005) Effects of marital transitions on changes in dietary and other health behaviours in US male health professionals. J Epidemiol Community Health 59:56–62. doi: 10.1136/jech.2004.020073
- 27. Lee S, Cho E, Grodstein F, et al (2005) Effects of marital transitions on changes in dietary and other health behaviours in US women. Int J Epidemiol 34:69–78 . doi: 10.1093/ije/dyh258
- 28. Vinther JL, Conklin AI, Wareham NJ, Monsivais P (2016) Marital transitions and associated changes in fruit and vegetable intake: Findings from the population-based prospective EPIC-Norfolk cohort, UK. Soc Sci Med 1982 157:120–126 . doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.04.004

- 29. Vainio A, Niva M, Jallinoja P, Latvala T (2016) From beef to beans: Eating motives and the replacement of animal proteins with plant proteins among Finnish consumers. Appetite 106:92–100 . doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.03.002
- 30. Martínez-González MA, Sánchez-Tainta A, Corella D, et al (2014) A provegetarian food pattern and reduction in total mortality in the Prevención con Dieta Mediterránea (PREDIMED) study. Am J Clin Nutr 100 Suppl 1:320S–8S . doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.071431
- Lin Y, Bolca S, Vandevijvere S, et al (2011) Plant and animal protein intake and its association with overweight and obesity among the Belgian population. Br J Nutr 105:1106–1116. doi: 10.1017/S0007114510004642
- 32. Camilleri GM, Verger EO, Huneau J-F, et al (2013) Plant and animal protein intakes are differently associated with nutrient adequacy of the diet of French adults. J Nutr 143:1466–1473. doi: 10.3945/jn.113.177113
- 33. Lin Y, Bolca S, Vandevijvere S, et al (2011) Dietary sources of animal and plant protein intake among Flemish preschool children and the association with socio-economic and lifestyle-related factors. Nutr J 10:97 . doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-10-97
- 34. Halkjaer J, Olsen A, Bjerregaard LJ, et al (2009) Intake of total, animal and plant proteins, and their food sources in 10 countries in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Eur J Clin Nutr 63 Suppl 4:S16-36. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2009.73
- 35. Hercberg S, Castetbon K, Czernichow S, et al (2010) The Nutrinet-Santé Study: a web-based prospective study on the relationship between nutrition and health and determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. BMC Public Health 10:242 . doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-10-242
- 36. Touvier M, Kesse-Guyot E, Méjean C, et al (2011) Comparison between an interactive webbased self-administered 24 h dietary record and an interview by a dietitian for large-scale epidemiological studies. Br J Nutr 105:1055–1064 . doi: 10.1017/S0007114510004617
- 37. Lassale C, Castetbon K, Laporte F, et al (2015) Validation of a Web-based, self-administered, non-consecutive-day dietary record tool against urinary biomarkers. Br J Nutr 113:953–962 . doi: 10.1017/S0007114515000057
- Lassale C, Castetbon K, Laporte F, et al (2016) Correlations between Fruit, Vegetables, Fish, Vitamins, and Fatty Acids Estimated by Web-Based Nonconsecutive Dietary Records and Respective Biomarkers of Nutritional Status. J Acad Nutr Diet 116:427–438.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2015.09.017
- 39. Le Moullec N, Deheeger M, Preziosi P, et al (1996) Validation du manuel-photos utilisé pour l'enquête alimentaire de l'étude SU.VI.MAX. Cah Nutr Diététique 31:158–164
- 40. Arnault N, Caillot L, Castetbon K, et al (2013) Table de Composition des aliments NutriNet-Santé. Edition Économica, Paris, France
- 41. Bianchi CM, Egnell M, Huneau J-F, Mariotti F (2016) Plant Protein Intake and Dietary Diversity Are Independently Associated with Nutrient Adequacy in French Adults. J Nutr 146:2351–2360 . doi: 10.3945/jn.116.236869
- 42. Willett W (1998) Nutritional Epidemiology. Oxford University Press, USA, New York

- 43. World Health Organization (WHO) Expert Committee (1995) Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 854:1–452
- 44. Lassale C, Péneau S, Touvier M, et al (2013) Validity of web-based self-reported weight and height: results of the Nutrinet-Santé study. J Med Internet Res 15:e152 . doi: 10.2196/jmir.2575
- 45. Black AE (2000) Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord J Int Assoc Study Obes 24:1119–1130
- 46. Schofield WN (1985) Predicting basal metabolic rate, new standards and review of previous work. Hum Nutr Clin Nutr 39 Suppl 1:5–41
- 47. Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques (Insee) La macro SAS CALMAR. http://www.insee.fr/fr/methodes/default.asp?page=outils/calmar/accueil_calmar.htm. Accessed 20 Mar 2016
- 48. Laird NM, Ware JH (1982) Random-effects models for longitudinal data. Biometrics 38:963–974
- 49. FranceAgriMer (2011) Crise économique et comportements de consommation alimentaire des Français. Les cahiers de FranceAgriMer Les études
- 50. FranceAgriMer (2015) Impact de la crise économique sur la consommation de viandes et évolutions des comportements alimentaires. Les synthèses de FranceAgriMer Élevage / Viandes 21:
- 51. O'Doherty Jensen K, Holm L (1999) Preferences, quantities and concerns: socio-cultural perspectives on the gendered consumption of foods. Eur J Clin Nutr 53:351–359
- 52. Kimura Y, Ogawa H, Yoshihara A, et al (2013) Evaluation of chewing ability and its relationship with activities of daily living, depression, cognitive status and food intake in the community-dwelling elderly. Geriatr Gerontol Int 13:718–725. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12006
- 53. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, et al (2006) Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 1). J Epidemiol Community Health 60:7–12 . doi: 10.1136/jech.2004.023531
- 54. Méjean C, Si Hassen W, Lecossais C, et al (2016) Socio-economic indicators are independently associated with intake of animal foods in French adults. Public Health Nutr 19:3146–3157 . doi: 10.1017/S1368980016001610
- 55. Touvier M, Kesse-Guyot E, Méjean C, et al (2010) Variations in compliance with recommendations and types of meat/seafood/eggs according to sociodemographic and socioeconomic categories. Ann Nutr Metab 56:65–73. doi: 10.1159/000271469
- 56. Hercberg S, Chat-Yung S, Chaulia M (2008) The French National Nutrition and Health Program: 2001-2006-2010. Int J Public Health 53:68–77
- 57. Schäfer M, Herde A, Kropp C, others (2010) Life events as turning points for sustainable nutrition. Syst Innov Sustain 4:210–226

- 58. Bujnowski D, Xun P, Daviglus ML, et al (2011) Longitudinal association between animal and vegetable protein intake and obesity among adult males in the United States: the Chicago Western Electric Study. J Am Diet Assoc 111:1150–1155.e1 . doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2011.05.002
- 59. Andreeva VA, Salanave B, Castetbon K, et al (2015) Comparison of the sociodemographic characteristics of the large NutriNet-Santé e-cohort with French Census data: the issue of volunteer bias revisited. J Epidemiol Community Health 69:893–898 . doi: 10.1136/jech-2014-205263
- 60. Andreeva VA, Deschamps V, Salanave B, et al (2016) Comparison of Dietary Intakes Between a Large Online Cohort Study (Etude NutriNet-Santé) and a Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Study (Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé) in France: Addressing the Issue of Generalizability in E-Epidemiology. Am J Epidemiol 184:660–669. doi: 10.1093/aje/kww016
- 61. Wethington E (2005) An overview of the life course perspective: implications for health and nutrition. J Nutr Educ Behav 37:115–120

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002, DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8





Table 1.	Characteristics	of the sam	ple $(n =$	15.615).
	onaraotonotico	or the barn		

	Raw	data	Weighted data*	Census estimates**
	n	%	%	%
Sex				
Women	11427	73.2	52.4	52.4
Men	4188	26.8	47.6	47.6
Age class				
18-29 Years	1913	12.3	16.0	19.2
30-49 Years	5606	35.9	36.5	34.9
50-64 Years	6515	41.7	25.9	24.4
≥ 65 Years	1581	10.1	21.6	21.6
Educational level				
None or primary	441	2.8	11.4	25.3
Secondary	2038	13.1	26.0	34.3
High school graduate	3058	19.6	40.4	15.9
Undergraduate	4682	30.0	10.3	11.8
Postgraduate	5396	34.6	11.9	12.7
Household composition				
Living alone	2656	17.0	5.1	14.8
Living with at least one adult, but without a child	8487	54.4	58.3	27.3
Living with a child	4472	28.6	36.6	57.9
Size of the urban unit of residence				
Rural	3420	21.9	22.4	25.8
< 20,000 inhabitants	2535	16.2	18.0	16.7
20,000 - 200,000 inhabitants	2727	17.5	18.6	18.5
> 200,000 inhabitants	4123	26.4	24.9	22.5
Paris	2810	18.0	16.0	16.5
BMI class				
Underweight or normal weight	11020	70.6	62.1	50.7***
Overweight	3466	22.2	27.7	32.4***
Obesity	1129	7.2	10.3	16.9***

* Weighting accounted for each gender and social and demographic characteristics compared to the national census (age, occupational categories, area of residence, marital status and educational level).

** 2009 national estimates for individuals aged ≥18 years in metropolitan France

*** prevalence from a nationally representative study (Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé (ENNS) 2006-2007)

Table 2. Comparison of the percent energy intake* provided by plant proteins and the pro-vegetarian score according to individual characteristics at baseline in French adults participating in the NutriNet-Santé cohort (*n* = 15,615)**.

	Percent energy intake provided by plant proteins***		Pro-vegetari	an score†
	Mean ± SE	p-value	Mean ± SE	p-value
Total sample	5.4 ± 0.1		35.4 ± 0.2	
Sex		0.69		0.66
Women	$5,4 \pm 0.03$		35.5 ± 0.2	
Men	$5,4 \pm 0.1$		35.3 ± 0.4	
Age class		< 0.0001		< 0.0001
18-29 Years	4,9 ± 0,1		34.1 ± 1.0	
30-49 Years	$5,3 \pm 0,2$		34.8 ± 0.4	
50-64 Years	5,6 ± 0,1		35.6 ± 0.3	
≥ 65 Years	$5,8 \pm 0,1$		37.2 ± 0.2	
Educational level		0.03		0.09
None or primary	$5,3 \pm 0,4$		34.4 ± 0.9	
Secondary	5,6 ± 0,1		35.7 ± 0.3	
High school graduate	5,3 ± 0,1		35.4 ± 0.5	
Undergraduate	$5,3 \pm 0,04$		35.4 ± 0.2	
Postgraduate	$5,5 \pm 0,03$		36.0 ± 0.1	
Household composition		0.03		< 0.0001
Living alone	5,6 ± 0,1		36.2 ± 0.4	
Living with at least one adult, but				
without a child	5,5 ± 0,1		36.1 ± 0.3	
Living with a child	5,1 ± 0,1		34.3 ± 0.4	
Size of urban unit of residence		0.19		0.08
Rural	$5,3 \pm 0,1$		35.0 ± 0.3	
< 20,000 inhabitants	$5,7 \pm 0,2$		35.1 ± 0.3	
20,000 – 200,000 inhabitants	$5,3 \pm 0,1$		35.9 ± 0.7	
> 200,000 inhabitants	$5,3 \pm 0,2$		35.3 ± 0.6	
Paris	$5,3 \pm 0,1$		36.0 ± 0.2	
BMI class		0.02		0.0001
Underweight or normal weight	$5,4 \pm 0,1$		35.8 ± 0.3	
Overweight	5,5 ± 0,1		35.3 ± 0.3	
Obesity	5,1 ± 0,1		33.5 ± 0.5	

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. , DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

* Energy intake without alcohol.

** Sex-specific data weighted for age, occupational categories, area of residence, marital status and educational level, using 2009 national census. Student's t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate.

*** Percentage of daily energy intake without alcohol provided by plant proteins.

⁺ Score of adherence to a pro-vegetarian food pattern elaborated by Martínez-González *et al.* Energyadjusted estimates of consumption of seven plant and five animal food groups were ranked according to sex-specific quintiles. The quintiles were scored from 1 to 5 and these scores were summed up to obtain an overall score ranging from 12 (lowest adherence) to 60 (highest adherence).

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition, 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8 **Table 3.** Multivariate linear mixed analysis* showing associations between baseline individual characteristics, changes in percent energy intake** provided by plant proteins and the pro-vegetarian score up to 6 years in French adults participating in the NutriNet-Santé cohort (n = 15,615)***.

	Percent energy intake from plant proteins †		Pro-vegetarian score ‡	
	β (95% CI)	p-value	β (95% Cl)	p-value
Time	0.005 (-0.03, 0.04)	0.78	0.23 (0.08, 0.37)	0.002
Sex				
Men	0.06 (0.01, 0.11)	0.01	-0.07 (-0.26, 0.12)	0.49
Men*time	0.001 (-0.01, 0.01)	0.92	-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	0.10
Age class				
18-29 years	Reference		Reference	
30-49 years	0.46 (0.39, 0.53)	< 0.0001	1.57 (1.28, 1.86)	< 0.0001
30-49 years*time	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.004)	0.13	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.04)	0.30
50-64 years	0.68 (0.61, 0.75)	< 0.0001	2.66 (2.37, 2.94)	< 0.0001
50-64 years*time	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)	< 0.0001	-0.09 (-0.17, -0.01)	0.04
≥ 65 years	0.80 (0.71, 0.88)	< 0.0001	3.30 (2.97, 3.64)	< 0.0001
≥ 65 years*time	-0.05 (-0.07, -0.03)	< 0.0001	-0.13 (-0.22, -0.04)	0.01
Educational level				
None or primary	Reference		Reference	
Secondary	-0.001 (-0.11, 0.11)	0.99	-0.01 (-0.44, 0.43)	0.98
Secondary*time	0.01 (-0.02, 0.04)	0.44	-0.05 (-0.16, 0.07)	0.43
High School graduate	0.09 (-0.02, 0.19)	0.12	0.47 (0.05, 0.89)	0.03
High School graduate*time	0.003 (-0.02, 0.03)	0.80	-0.07 (-0.18, 0.03)	0.19
Undergraduate	0.12 (0.01, 0.23)	0.03	0.65 (0.22, 1.08)	0.003
Undergraduate*time	0.01 (-0.01, 0.04)	0.38	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.04)	0.18
Postgraduate	0.23 (0.12, 0.34)	< 0.0001	1.19 (0.75, 1.62)	< 0.0001
Postgraduate*time	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.02)	0.64	-0.07 (-0.18, 0.04)	0.22
Household composition				
Living with a child	Reference		Reference	
Living alone	0.11 (0.02, 0.21)	0.02	0.05 (-0.34, 0.43)	0.82
Living alone*time	0.0004 (-0.02, 0.02)	0.97	-0.05 (-0.15, 0.05)	0.31
Living with at least one adult, but				
without a child	0.03 (-0.03, 0.09)	0.31	0.25 (-0.01, 0.51)	0.05
without a child *time	-0.002 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.84	-0.07 (-0.14, -0.01)	0.03
Size of urban unit of residence				
20,000 – 200,000 inhabitants	Reference		Reference	
Rural	-0.04 (-0.1, 0.03)	0.25	-0.19 (-0.46, 0.08)	0.16
Rural*time	-0.005 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.60	-0.01 (-0.09, 0.06)	0.79
< 20,000 inhabitants	-0.02 (-0.09, 0.05)	0.53	-0.15 (-0.44, 0.14)	0.31
< 20,000 inhabitants*time	-0.001 (-0.02, 0.02)	0.95	0.05 (-0.03, 0.13)	0.19
> 200,000 inhabitants	-0.03 (-0.09, 0.03)	0.34	0.15 (-0.12, 0.41)	0.27
> 200,000 inhabitants*time	-0.003 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.69	0.01 (-0.06, 0.08)	0.80

Comment citer ce document : Colombet, Z. (Auteur de correspondance), Allès, B., Si Hassen, W., Lampuré, A., Kesse-Guyot, E., Péneau, S., Hercberg, S., Méjean, C. (2019). Individual characteristics associated with changes in the contribution of plant foods to dietary intake in a French prospective cohort. European Journal of Nutrition. 58 (5), 1991–2002. DOI : 10.1007/s00394-018-1752-8

Paris	-0.01 (-0.07, 0.06)	0.88	0.07 (-0.22, 0.35)	0.64
Paris*time	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)	0.37	-0.03 (-0.11, 0.05)	0.53
BMI class				
Underweight or normal weight	Reference		Reference	
Overweight	-0.28 (-0.33, -0.23)	< 0.0001	-1.21 (-1.42, -1.01)	< 0.0001
Overweight*time	0.004 (-0.01, 0.02)	0.53	0.01 (-0.05, 0.06)	0.80
Obesity	-0.48 (-0.56, -0.41)	< 0.0001	-2.31 (-2.63, -1.98)	< 0.0001
Obesity*time	0.02 (-0.005, 0.04)	0.14	0.09 (0.004, 0.18)	0.04

* Adjusted for household composition at the last follow-up.

** Energy intake without alcohol.

*** Sex-specific data weighted for age, occupational categories, area of residence, marital status and educational level, using 2009 national census

+ Percentage of daily energy intake without alcohol provided by plant proteins.

[‡] Score of adherence to a pro-vegetarian food pattern elaborated by Martínez-González *et al.* Energyadjusted estimates of consumption of seven plant and five animal food groups were ranked according to sex-specific quintiles. The quintiles were scored from 1 to 5 and these scores were summed up to obtain an overall score ranging from 12 (lowest adherence) to 60 (highest adherence).