



HAL
open science

L 2 -Approximation rate of forward -backward SDEs using random walk

Christel Geiss, Céline Labart, Antti Luoto

► **To cite this version:**

Christel Geiss, Céline Labart, Antti Luoto. L 2 -Approximation rate of forward -backward SDEs using random walk. 2018. hal-01838449v1

HAL Id: hal-01838449

<https://hal.science/hal-01838449v1>

Preprint submitted on 13 Jul 2018 (v1), last revised 3 Oct 2024 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

L_2 -Approximation rate of forward - backward SDEs using random walk

Christel Geiss¹, Céline Labart², Antti Luoto³

Abstract

Let (Y, Z) denote the solution to a forward-backward SDE. If one constructs a random walk B^n from the underlying Brownian motion B by Skorohod embedding, one can show L_2 convergence of the corresponding solutions (Y^n, Z^n) to (Y, Z) . We estimate the rate of convergence in dependence of smoothness properties, especially for a terminal condition function in $C^{2,\alpha}$.

The proof relies on an approximative representation of Z^n and uses the concept of discretized Malliavin calculus. Moreover, we use growth and smoothness properties of the PDE associated to the FBSDE as well as of the finite difference equations associated to the approximating stochastic equations. We derive these properties by stochastic methods.

Keywords : Backward stochastic differential equations, approximation scheme, finite difference equation, convergence rate, random walk approximation

MSC codes : 60H10, 60H35, 60G50, 60H30,

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space carrying the standard Brownian motion $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and assume $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the augmented natural filtration. Let (Y, Z) be the solution of the forward-backward SDE (FBSDE)

$$\begin{aligned} X_s &= x + \int_0^s b(r, X_r) dr + \int_0^s \sigma(r, X_r) dB_r, \\ Y_s &= g(X_T) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r) dr - \int_s^T Z_r dB_r, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let (Y^n, Z^n) be the solution of the FBSDE if the Brownian motion B is replaced by a scaled random walk B^n given by

$$B_t^n = \sqrt{h} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor t/h \rfloor} \varepsilon_i, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (2)$$

where $h = \frac{T}{n}$ and $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. Then (Y^n, Z^n) solves the discretized FBSDE

$$X_s^n = x + \int_{(0,s]} b(r, X_{r-}^n) d[B^n]_r + \int_{(0,s]} \sigma(r, X_{r-}^n) dB_r^n,$$

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O.Box 35 (MaD), FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland
`christel.geiss@jyu.fi`

²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, LAMA, 73000 Chambéry, France
`celine.labart@univ-smb.fr`

³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O.Box 35 (MaD), FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland
`antti.k.luoto@student.jyu.fi`

$$Y_s^n = g(X_T^n) + \int_{(s,T]} f(r, X_r^n, Y_r^n, Z_r^n) d[B^n]_r - \int_{(s,T]} Z_r^n dB_r^n, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T. \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we study the rate of the L_2 -approximation of (Y_t^n, Z_t^n) to (Y_t, Z_t) . This extends the results of [5] where this question was considered for the special case $X = B$.

The approximation of BSDEs using random walk has been investigated by many authors, also numerically (see, for example, [2], [10], [12], [13], [14]). In 2001, Briand et al. [2] have shown weak convergence of (Y^n, Z^n) to (Y, Z) for a Lipschitz continuous generator f and a terminal condition in L_2 .

In [5], under the assumption that the forward process X is the Brownian motion itself, a convergence rate in L_2 could be obtained for a locally Hölder continuous terminal function g and Lipschitz continuous generators. However, if X is a solution of the SDE in (1), we need rather strong conditions on the smoothness and boundedness on f and g and also on b and σ . In Theorem 3.2, the main result of the paper, we show that the convergence rate for (Y_t^n, Z_t^n) to (Y_t, Z_t) in L_2 is of order $h^{\frac{1}{4} \wedge \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ provided that g'' is locally α -Hölder continuous.

One reason behind the strong smoothness requirements on the coefficients is that the discretized Malliavin derivative, which describes the relation between Y^n and Z^n , is not compatible with the variational equations related to Y^n and Z^n . This problem becomes visible in Subsection 2.3 where we introduce a discretized Malliavin weight to obtain a representation \hat{Z}^n for Z^n . While the continuous-time representation of Z is exact, \hat{Z}^n does not coincide with Z^n , but the difference converges to 0 in L_2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove our main result we also need strong smoothness properties on the solution u^n of the difference equation associated to the discretized FBSDE (3). We sketch the proof by applying methods known for Lévy driven BSDEs.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the setting, main assumptions and the approximative representation of Z^n . Our main results about the approximation rate for the case of no generator (i.e. $f = 0$) and for the general case are in Section 3. One can see that in contrast to what is known for time discretization schemes, for random walk schemes the Lipschitz generator seems to cause more difficulties than the terminal condition: while in the case $f = 0$ we need that g' is locally α -Hölder continuous, in the case of a Lipschitz continuous generator this property is required for g'' . In Section 4 we recall some needed facts about Malliavin weights, about the regularity of solutions to BSDEs and properties of the associated PDEs. Finally, we sketch a proof for properties of solutions to the finite difference equation associated to the discretized FBSDE. Section 5 contains technical results which mainly arise from the fact that the construction of the random walk by Skorohod embedding forces us to compare our processes on different 'time lines', one coming from the stopping times of the Skorohod embedding and the other ruled by the equidistant deterministic times due to the quadratic variation process $[B^n]$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The SDE and its numerical scheme

We introduce

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and its discretized counterpart

$$X_{t_k}^n = x + h \sum_{j=1}^k b(t_j, X_{t_{j-1}}^n) + \sqrt{h} \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma(t_j, X_{t_{j-1}}^n) \varepsilon_j, \quad t_j := j \frac{T}{n}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

where $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. Letting $\mathcal{G}_k := \sigma(\varepsilon_i : 1 \leq i \leq k)$ with $\mathcal{G}_0 := \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, it follows that the associated discrete-time random walk $(B_{t_k}^n)_{k=0}^n$ is $(\mathcal{G}_k)_{k=0}^n$ -adapted. Recall (2) and $h = \frac{T}{n}$. If we extend the sequence $(X_{t_k}^n)_{k \geq 0}$ to a process in continuous time by defining $X_t^n := X_{t_k}^n$ for $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$, it is the solution of the forward SDE (3).

Assumption 2.1.

- (i) $b, \sigma \in C_b^{0,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, in the sense that the derivatives of order $k = 0, 1, 2$ w.r.t. the space variable are continuous and bounded on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$,
- (ii) the first and second derivatives of b and σ w.r.t. the space variable are assumed to be γ -Hölder continuous (for some $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, w.r.t. the parabolic metric $d((x, t), (x', t')) = (|x - x'|^2 + |t - t'|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$) on all compact subsets of $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) b, σ are $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous in time, uniformly in space,
- (iv) $\sigma(t, x) \geq \delta > 0$ for all (t, x) .

Assumption 2.2.

- (i) g is locally Hölder continuous with order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and polynomially bounded ($p_0 \geq 0, C_g > 0$) in the following sense

$$\forall (x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |g(x) - g(x')| \leq C_g(1 + |x|^{p_0} + |x'|^{p_0})|x - x'|^\alpha. \quad (5)$$

- (ii) The function $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : (t, x, y, z) \mapsto f(t, x, y, z)$ satisfies

$$|f(t, x, y, z) - f(t', x', y', z')| \leq L_f(\sqrt{|t - t'|} + |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|). \quad (6)$$

Notice that (5) implies

$$|g(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|^{p_0+1}) =: \Psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7)$$

for some $K > 0$. From the continuity of f we conclude that

$$K_f := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t, 0, 0, 0)| < \infty.$$

Notation:

- $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})}$ for $p \geq 1$ and for $p = 2$ simply $\|\cdot\|$.
- If a is a function, $C(a)$ represents a generic constant which depends on a and possibly also on its derivatives.

2.2 The FBSDE and its numerical scheme

Recall the FBSDE (1) and its approximation (3). The backward equation in (3) can equivalently be written in the form

$$Y_{t_k}^n = g(X_T^n) + h \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) - \sqrt{h} \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} Z_{t_m}^n \varepsilon_{m+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad (8)$$

if one puts $X_r^n := X_{t_m}^n$, $Y_r^n := Y_{t_m}^n$ and $Z_r^n := Z_{t_m}^n$ for $r \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$.

For n large enough, (3) has a unique solution (Y^n, Z^n) , and $(Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n)_{m=0}^{n-1}$ is adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_m)_{m=0}^{n-1}$ (see [15, Proposition 1.2]).

2.3 Representations for Z and Z^n

We will use the representation (see Ma and Zhang [11, Theorem 4.2])

$$Z_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left(g'(X_T) \nabla X_T + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^t ds \right) \sigma(t, X_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_t := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t)$, and for all $s \in (t, T]$, we have (cf. Lemma 4.1)

$$N_s^t = \frac{1}{s-t} \int_t^s \frac{\nabla X_r}{\sigma(r, X_r) \nabla X_t} dB_r, \quad (10)$$

where $\nabla X = (\nabla X_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ is the variational process i.e. it solves

$$\nabla X_s = 1 + \int_0^s b_x(r, X_r) \nabla X_r dr + \int_0^s \sigma_x(r, X_r) \nabla X_r dB_r,$$

with $(X_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$ given in (1).

2.3.1 Approximation for Z^n

A counterpart to (9) for Z^n does in general only exist approximatively. In particular for $f \neq 0$ stronger smoothness assumptions are required:

Assumption 2.3. *Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Additionally, we assume that all first and second derivatives w.r.t. the variables x, y, z of $b(t, x), \sigma(t, x)$ and $f(t, x, y, z)$ exist and are bounded Lipschitz functions w.r.t. these variables, uniformly in time. Moreover, g'' satisfies (5).*

We shortly introduce the discretized Malliavin derivative and refer the reader to [1] for more information on this topic. We first define for any function $F : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the mappings $T_{m,+}$ and $T_{m,-}$ by

$$T_{m,\pm} F(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) := F(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, \pm 1, \varepsilon_{m+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n,$$

and for any $\xi = F(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ the discretized Malliavin derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_m^n \xi := \frac{\mathbb{E}[\xi \varepsilon_m | \sigma((\varepsilon_l)_{l \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{m\}})]}{\sqrt{h}} = \frac{T_{m,+} \xi - T_{m,-} \xi}{2\sqrt{h}}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n. \quad (11)$$

In contrast to the continuous time case where $\nabla X_t (\nabla X_s)^{-1} = D_s X_t (\sigma(s, X_s))^{-1}$ ($s \leq t$), we can not expect equality for the corresponding expressions if we use the discretized processes

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla X_{t_m}^{n, t_k, x} &= 1 + h \sum_{l=k+1}^m b_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^{n, t_k, x}) \nabla X_{t_{l-1}}^{n, t_k, x} + \sqrt{h} \sum_{l=k+1}^m \sigma_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^{n, t_k, x}) \nabla X_{t_{l-1}}^{n, t_k, x} \varepsilon_l, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m \leq n, \\ \mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_m}^n &= \sigma(t_k, X_{t_{k-1}}^n) + h \sum_{l=k+1}^m b_x^{(k,l)} \mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n + \sqrt{h} \sum_{l=k+1}^m \sigma_x^{(k,l)} (\mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n) \varepsilon_l, \quad 0 < k \leq m \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where for the latter we use for $\phi = b$ and $\phi = \sigma$ the notation (if $\mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n \neq 0$ the second ' $:=$ ' holds as an identity)

$$\phi_x^{(k,l)} := \frac{\mathcal{D}_k^n \phi(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^n)}{\mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n} := \int_0^1 \phi_x(t_l, \vartheta T_{k,+} X_{t_{l-1}}^n + (1-\vartheta) T_{k,-} X_{t_{l-1}}^n) d\vartheta. \quad (13)$$

However, we can show convergence of $\nabla X_{t_m}^{n, t_k, X_{t_k}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)}$ in L_p .

Lemma 2.4. *Under Assumption 2.1, and for $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}|X_{t_l}^n - T_{m,\pm}X_{t_l}^n|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)h^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq n,$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \nabla X_{t_m}^{n,t_k,X_{t_k}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)h^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq k < m \leq n.$$

$$(iii) \quad \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{D}_k^n X_{t_m}^n|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m \leq n.$$

Proof. (i) We only prove the '+'-case since the proof of the '-'-case is similar. By definition, $T_{m,+}X_{t_l}^n = X_{t_l}^n$ for $l \leq m-1$, and for $l \geq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,+}X_{t_l}^n &= X_{t_{m-1}}^n + b(t_m, X_{t_{m-1}}^n)h + \sigma(t_m, X_{t_{m-1}}^n)\sqrt{h} \\ &\quad + h \sum_{j=m+1}^l b(t_j, T_{m,+}X_{t_{j-1}}^n) + \sqrt{h} \sum_{j=m+1}^l \sigma(t_j, T_{m,+}X_{t_{j-1}}^n)\varepsilon_j. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of b and σ and thanks to the inequality of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy and Hölder's inequality we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|X_{t_l}^n - T_{m,+}X_{t_l}^n|^p \\ &\leq C(p) \left(\mathbb{E}|\sigma(t_m, X_{t_{m-1}}^n)\sqrt{h}(\varepsilon_m - 1)|^p + h^p \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^l (b(t_j, X_{t_{j-1}}^n) - b(t_j, T_{m,+}X_{t_{j-1}}^n)) \right|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^l (\sigma(t_j, X_{t_{j-1}}^n) - \sigma(t_j, T_{m,+}X_{t_{j-1}}^n)) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C(p) \left(\|\sigma\|_\infty^p h^{\frac{p}{2}} + h(\|b_x\|_\infty^p t_{l-m}^{p-1} + \|\sigma_x\|_\infty^p t_{l-m}^{\frac{p}{2}-1}) \sum_{j=m+1}^l \mathbb{E}|X_{t_{j-1}}^n - T_{m,+}X_{t_{j-1}}^n|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply Gronwall's lemma.

(ii) By the inequality of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \nabla X_{t_m}^{n,t_k,X_{t_k}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right|^p &\leq C(p, T) \left(|b_x(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)h + \sigma_x(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)\sqrt{h}\varepsilon_{k+1}|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h \sum_{l=k+2}^m \mathbb{E} \left| b_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^n) \nabla X_{t_{l-1}}^{n,t_k,X_{t_k}^n} - b_x^{(k+1,l)} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h \sum_{l=k+2}^m \mathbb{E} \left| \sigma_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^n) \nabla X_{t_{l-1}}^{n,t_k,X_{t_k}^n} - \sigma_x^{(k+1,l)} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2.4 (i) we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}|b_x^{(k+1,l)} - b_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^n)|^{2p} + \mathbb{E}|\sigma_x^{(k+1,l)} - \sigma_x(t_l, X_{t_{l-1}}^n)|^{2p} \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)h^p,$$

and Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{k+1 \leq l \leq m} \left| \nabla X_{t_{l-1}}^{n,t_k,X_{t_k}^n} \right|^{2p} \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p),$$

the assertion follows by Gronwall's lemma.

(iii) This is an immediate consequence of (i). □

We introduce a discrete counterpart to the Malliavin weight given in (10) letting

$$N_{t_\ell}^{n,t_k} := \sqrt{h} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\ell} \frac{\nabla X_{t_{m-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n}}{\sigma(t_m, X_{t_{m-1}}^n)} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{t_\ell - t_k}, \quad k < \ell \leq n. \quad (14)$$

Notice that there is some constant $\widehat{\kappa}_2 > 0$ depending on b, σ, T, δ such that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_k |N_{t_\ell}^{n,t_k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\widehat{\kappa}_2}{(t_\ell - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad 0 \leq k < \ell \leq n, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_k := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{G}_k)$. We define a process $\widehat{Z}^n = (\widehat{Z}_{t_k}^n)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ by

$$\widehat{Z}_{t_k}^n := \mathbb{E}_k (\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(X_T^n)) + \mathbb{E}_k \left(h \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) N_{t_m}^{n,t_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \right), \quad (16)$$

and compare it with $Z^n = (Z_{t_k}^n)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ given by

$$Z_{t_k}^n = \mathbb{E}_k (\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(X_T^n)) + \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sqrt{h} \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) \varepsilon_{k+1} \right). \quad (17)$$

The latter equation follows if one multiplies (8) by ε_{k+1} and takes the conditional expectation w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_k . In (16) we could have used also the approximate expression $\mathbb{E}_k(g(X_T^n) N_{t_n}^{n,t_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n))$, but since we will assume that g'' exists, we work with the correct term.

Proposition 2.5. *If Assumption 2.3 holds, then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,x} |Z_{t_k}^n - \widehat{Z}_{t_k}^n|^2 \leq C_{2.5} \Psi^2(x) h^\alpha,$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{0,x} := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | X_0 = x)$ and $C_{2.5}$ depends on b, σ, T, p_0 and δ .

Proof. According to [2, Proposition 5.1] one has the representations

$$Y_{t_m}^n = u^n(t_m, X_{t_m}^n), \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{t_m}^n = \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^n),$$

where u^n is the solution of the 'discretised' PDE (32) with terminal condition $u^n(t_n, x) = g(x)$. Notice that by the definition of \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n in (11) the expression $\mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^n)$ depends in fact on $X_{t_m}^n$. Hence we can put

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) &= f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, u^n(t_m, X_{t_m}^n), \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^n)) \\ &=: F^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.5 we conclude that $u_x^n(t_m, x)$ and $\partial_x \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x})$ (as functions of x) both satisfy (5), and by Assumption 2.3 on f we derive this property also for $x \mapsto F_x^n(t_{m+1}, x)$. It holds that (we use $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{E}_{0,x}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Z_{t_k}^n - \widehat{Z}_{t_k}^n\| \\ &= \left\| \mathbb{E}_k \left(\sqrt{h} \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) \varepsilon_{k+1} \right) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbb{E}_k \left(h \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) N_{t_m}^{n,t_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \right) \Big\| \\
& \leq \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{h}{m-k} \sum_{\ell=k+1}^m \left\| \mathbb{E}_k \left[\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n F^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n) - \mathcal{D}_\ell^n F^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n) \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \nabla X_{t_{\ell-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n}}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \right] \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

With the notation introduced in (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n F^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n) - \mathcal{D}_\ell^n F^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n) \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \nabla X_{t_{\ell-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n}}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \right\| \\
& \leq \|(\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n)(F_x^{n,(k+1,m+1)} - F_x^{n,(\ell,m+1)})\| \\
& \quad + \left\| F_x^{n,(\ell,m+1)} \left((\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n) - (\mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_m}^n) \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \nabla X_{t_{\ell-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n}}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \right) \right\| \\
& =: A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For A_1 we use (13) again and exploit the fact that $x \mapsto F_x^n(t, x)$ satisfies (5). By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.4 (i) and (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 & \leq \|\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n\|_4 \int_0^1 \|F_x^n(t_{m+1}, \vartheta T_{k+1,+} X_{t_m}^n + (1-\vartheta)T_{k+1,-} X_{t_m}^n) \\
& \quad - F_x^n(t_{m+1}, \vartheta T_{\ell,+} X_{t_m}^n + (1-\vartheta)T_{\ell,-} X_{t_m}^n)\|_4 d\vartheta \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of A_2 we notice that by our assumptions the L_4 -norm of $F_x^{n,(\ell,m+1)}$ is bounded by $C\Psi(x)$, so that it suffices to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| (\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n) - (\mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_m}^n) \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \nabla X_{t_{\ell-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n}}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \right\|_4 \\
& \leq \left\| (\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n) - \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right\|_4 \\
& \quad + \left\| \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \left(\nabla X_{t_{\ell-1}}^{n,t_k, X_{t_k}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} \right) \right\|_4. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

The second expression on the r.h.s. of (18) is bounded by $C(b, \sigma, T, \delta)h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as a consequence of Lemma 2.4 (ii)-(iii). To analyze the first expression, we rewrite it by (12) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_m}^n}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n)} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n - \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_m}^n \right| \\
& = \left| \left(1 + \sum_{l=\ell+1}^m \frac{\mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{l-1}}^n)} (b_x^{(\ell,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(\ell,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_l) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) + \sum_{l=k+2}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n (b_x^{(k+1,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(k+1,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_l) \right) \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) + \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\ell-1} + \sum_{l=\ell}^m \right) \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n (b_x^{(k+1,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(k+1,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_l) \right) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{\ell-1}}^n (b_x^{(k+1,\ell)} h + \sigma_x^{(k+1,\ell)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_\ell)| \\
&+ \left| \sum_{l=\ell+1}^m \left[\frac{\mathcal{D}_\ell^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n}{\sigma(t_\ell, X_{t_{l-1}}^n)} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n - \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n \right] (b_x^{(\ell,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(\ell,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_\ell) \right| \\
&+ \left| \sum_{l=\ell+1}^m \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_{l-1}}^n \left[b_x^{(\ell,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(\ell,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_\ell - (b_x^{(k+1,l)} h + \sigma_x^{(k+1,l)} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_\ell) \right] \right|. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

We take the L_4 -norm of (19) and apply the BDG inequality and Hölder's inequality. The second term on the r.h.s. of (19) will be used for Gronwall's lemma, while the first and the last one can be bounded by $C(b, \sigma, T)h^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by using Lemma 2.4-(iii). For the last term we also use the Lipschitz continuity of b_x and σ_x in space and Lemma 2.4-(i). \square

3 Main results

The following approximations will rely on the fact that the random walk B^n can be constructed from the Brownian motion B by Skorohod embedding. Let $\tau_0 := 0$ and define

$$\tau_k := \inf\{t > \tau_{k-1} : |B_t - B_{\tau_{k-1}}| = \sqrt{h}\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then $(B_{\tau_k} - B_{\tau_{k-1}})_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}(B_{\tau_k} - B_{\tau_{k-1}} = \pm\sqrt{h}) = \frac{1}{2},$$

which means that $\sqrt{h}\varepsilon_k \stackrel{d}{=} B_{\tau_k} - B_{\tau_{k-1}}$. In this case we also use the notation $\mathcal{X}_{\tau_k} := X_{t_k}^n$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n$, so that (4) turns into

$$\mathcal{X}_{\tau_k} = x + \sum_{j=1}^k b(t_j, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_{j-1}})h + \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma(t_j, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_{j-1}})(B_{\tau_j} - B_{\tau_{j-1}}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

and (3) holds for B^n given by

$$B_t^n = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t/h \rfloor} (B_{\tau_k} - B_{\tau_{k-1}}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{20}$$

We will denote by \mathbb{E}_{τ_k} the conditional expectation w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_{τ_k} .

3.1 Approximation rates for the zero generator case

We express conditional expectations with the help of an independent copy of B denoted by \tilde{B} , for example $\mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} g(X_T^n) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} = \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k} + \sum_{j=k+1}^n b(t_j, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_{j-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})h + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sigma(t_j, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_{j-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})(\tilde{B}_{\tilde{\tau}_j - k} - \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\tau}_j - k - 1}),$$

(we define $\tilde{\tau}_k := 0$ and $\tilde{\tau}_j := \inf\{t > \tilde{\tau}_{j-1} : |\tilde{B}_t - \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\tau}_{j-1}}| = \sqrt{h}\}$ for $j \geq 1$ and $\tau_n := \tau_k + \tilde{\tau}_{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$). In fact, to represent the conditional expectations \mathbb{E}_{t_k} and \mathbb{E}_{τ_k} we work here with $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ and the Brownian motions B' and B'' , respectively, given by

$$B'_t = B_{t \wedge t_k} + \tilde{B}_{(t-t_k)^+} \quad \text{and} \quad B''_t = B_{t \wedge \tau_k} + \tilde{B}_{(t-\tau_k)^+}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let Assumption 2.1 and (20) hold. If $f = 0$ and $g \in C^1$ is such that g' is a locally α -Hölder continuous function in the sense of (5), then for all $0 \leq v < T$, we have (for sufficiently large n) that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,x}|Y_v - Y_v^n|^2 \leq C_{3.1}^y \Psi(x)^2 h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{0,x}|Z_v - Z_v^n|^2 \leq C_{3.1}^z \Psi(x)^2 h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $C_{3.1}^y = C(T, p_0, C_g, C_{4.2}^y, \sigma, b)$ and $C_{3.1}^z = C(T, p_0, C_{g'}, \sigma, b, \delta)$.

Proof. To shorten the notation, we use $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{E}_{0,x}$. Let us first deal with the error of Y . If v belongs to $[t_k, t_{k+1})$ we have $Y_v^n = Y_{t_k}^n$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_v - Y_v^n|^2 \leq 2(\mathbb{E}|Y_v - Y_{t_k}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n|^2).$$

Using Theorem 4.2 we bound $\|Y_v - Y_{t_k}\|$ by $C_{4.2}^y \Psi(x)(v - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (since $\alpha = 1$ can be chosen when g is locally Lipschitz continuous). Then it remains to bound

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n|^2 = \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_{t_k} g(X_T) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} g(X_T^n)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}g(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})|^2.$$

By (5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality ($\Psi_1 := C_g(1 + |\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^{p_0} + |\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^{p_0})$),

$$|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}g(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})|^2 \leq (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\Psi_1 |\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|))^2 \leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\Psi_1^2) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^2.$$

Finally, we get by Lemma 5.2-(v) that

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n|^2 \leq (\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\Psi_1^4))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(C_g, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x)^2 h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us now deal with the error of Z . We use $\|Z_v - Z_v^n\| \leq \|Z_v - Z_{t_k}\| + \|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\|$ and the representation

$$Z_t = \sigma(t, X_t) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t, X_t}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t, X_t})$$

(see Theorem 4.3), where

$$\nabla \tilde{X}_s^{t,x} = 1 + \int_t^s b_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{t,x} dr + \int_t^s \sigma_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{t,x} d\tilde{B}_{r-t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T.$$

For the first term we get by the assumption on g and Lemma 5.2-(i) and (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_v - Z_{t_k}\| &= \|\sigma(v, X_v) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) - \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\| \\ &\leq \|\sigma(v, X_v) - \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})\|_4 \|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v})\|_4 \\ &\quad + \|\sigma\|_\infty \|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v})\| \\ &\quad + \|\sigma\|_\infty \|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\| \\ &\leq C(C_{g'}, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) \left[h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|X_v - X_{t_k}\|_4 + \left(\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v} - \tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^{4\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\nabla \tilde{X}_T^{v, X_v} - \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right] \\ &\leq C(C_{g'}, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the second term using $Z_{t_k}^n$ as given in (17). Hence, with the notation from (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}|\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})\tilde{\mathbb{E}}g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})|^2 \\
&\leq \|\sigma\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}\left|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right|^2 \\
&= \|\sigma\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}\left|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left(g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}\frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We insert $\pm\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}g_x^{(k+1, n+1)})$ and get by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}})\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left(g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}\frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right)\right|^2 \\
&\leq 2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}|^2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^2 + 2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}|^2\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For the estimate of $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^2$ we use Lemma 5.2. Since g' satisfies (5) we proceed with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}|^2 \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - g'(\vartheta T_{k+1, +}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} + (1-\vartheta)T_{k+1, -}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})\right|^2 d\vartheta \\
&\leq \int_0^1 (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\Psi_1^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \vartheta T_{k+1, +}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} - (1-\vartheta)T_{k+1, -}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}\right|^{4\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\vartheta,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_1 := C_{g'}(1 + |\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}|^{p_0} + |\vartheta T_{k+1, +}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} + (1-\vartheta)T_{k+1, -}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^{p_0})$. For $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\Psi_1^4$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - (\vartheta T_{k+1, +}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} + (1-\vartheta)T_{k+1, -}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})\right|^{4\alpha} \\
&\leq 8\left(\vartheta^{2\alpha}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - T_{k+1, +}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}\right|^{4\alpha} + (1-\vartheta)^{2\alpha}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - T_{k+1, -}\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}\right|^{4\alpha}\right) \\
&\leq C(b, \sigma, T)h^{2\alpha} + C(b, \sigma, T)(|X_{t_k} - \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}|^{4\alpha} + h^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

we use Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 5.2-(v). For the last term in (21) we notice that

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|g_x^{(k+1, n+1)}|^4 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p_0, C_{g'})\Psi^4(x).$$

By Lemma 5.2 we have $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\nabla\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)h^{\frac{p}{4}}$, and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\nabla\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_n}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right|^p \\
&\leq C(p)\mathbb{E}\left|\nabla X_{t_n}^{n, t_k, X_{t_k}^n} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_n}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)}\right|^p + C(p)\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_n}^n}{\sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n)} - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n X_{t_n}^n}{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}\right|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p, \delta)h^{\frac{p}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\|^2 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p_0, C_{g'}, \delta)\Psi^2(x)h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. \square

3.2 Approximation rates for the general case

Theorem 3.2. *Let Assumptions 2.3 be satisfied and B^n be given by (20). Then for all $v \in [0, T)$ and large enough n , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,x}|Y_v - Y_v^n|^2 + \mathbb{E}_{0,x}|Z_v - Z_v^n|^2 \leq C_{3.2}\Psi(x)^2 h^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\alpha}$$

with $C_{3.2} = C(b, \sigma, f, g, T, p_0, \delta, \kappa_2, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4})$.

Proof. Let $u : [0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the PDE (30) associated to (1). We use $Y_s = u(s, X_s)$ and $Z_s = \sigma(s, X_s)u_x(s, X_s)$ from Theorem 4.3 and define

$$F(s, x) := f(s, x, u(s, x), \sigma(s, x)u_x(s, x)). \quad (22)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n\| &\leq \|\mathbb{E}_{t_k} g(X_T) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} g(X_T^n)\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} \int_{t_k}^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - h \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where Proposition 3.1 provides the estimate for the terminal condition. We decompose the generator term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) \\ &= [\mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m})] + [\mathbb{E}_{t_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n)] \\ &\quad + [\mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m})] + [\mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n)] \\ &=: d_1(s, m) + d_2(m) + d_3(m) + d_4(m). \end{aligned}$$

We use

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} \int_{t_k}^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - h \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} \left(\left\| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} d_1(s, m) ds \right\| + h \sum_{i=2}^4 \|d_i(m)\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and estimate the expressions on the right hand side. For the function F defined in (22) we use Assumption 2.3 (which implies that (5) holds for $\alpha = 1$) to derive by Theorem 4.3 and the mean value theorem that for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [\min\{x_1, x_2\}, \max\{x_1, x_2\}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t, x_1) - F(t, x_2)| &= |f(t, x_1, u(t, x_1), \sigma(t, x_1)u_x(t, x_1)) - f(t, x_2, u(t, x_2), \sigma(t, x_2)u_x(t, x_2))| \\ &\leq C(L_f, \sigma) \left(1 + c_{4.3}^2 \Psi(\xi_1) + \frac{c_{4.3}^3 \Psi(\xi_2)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) |x_1 - x_2| \\ &\leq C(T, L_f, \sigma, c_{4.3}^{2,3}) (1 + |x_1|^{p_0+1} + |x_2|^{p_0+1}) \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

By (6), Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 we immediately get

$$\|d_1(s, m)\| \leq C(L_f, b, \sigma, T, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the estimate of d_2 one exploits

$$\mathbb{E}_{t_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} F(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}} F(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{n, t_k, X_{t_k}^n})$$

and then uses (23) and Lemma 5.2-(v). This gives

$$\|d_2(m)\| \leq C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) \frac{1}{(T - t_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} h^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

For d_3 we start with Jensen's inequality and continue then similarly as above to get

$$\|d_3(m)\| \leq \|F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) - F(t_m, X_{t_m})\| \leq C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) \frac{1}{(T - t_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} h^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and for the last term we get

$$\|d_4(m)\| \leq L_f (h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|X_{t_m} - X_{t_m}^n\| + \|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|).$$

This implies

$$\|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n\| \leq C \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{4}} + h L_f \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} (\|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|), \quad (24)$$

where $C = C(L_f, C_{3.1}^y, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, b, \sigma, T, p_0)$.

For $\|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\|$ we use the representations (9), (17) and the approximation (16) as well as Proposition 2.5. Instead of $N_{t_n}^{n, t_k}$ we will use here the notation $N_{\tau_n}^{n, \tau_k}$ to indicate the measurability w.r.t. the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) . It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_{t_k}^n - Z_{t_k}\| &\leq \|Z_{t_k}^n - \hat{Z}_{t_k}^n\| + \|Z_{t_k} - \hat{Z}_{t_k}^n\| \\ &\leq C_{2.5} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \|\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \tilde{\mathbb{E}} g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{n, t_k, X_{t_k}^n})\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} \int_{t_{k+1}}^T f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} ds \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} h \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} ds \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

For the terminal condition Proposition 3.1 provides

$$\|\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \tilde{\mathbb{E}} g'(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_n}^{t_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^n g(\tilde{X}_{t_n}^{n, t_k, X_{t_k}^n})\| \leq (C_{3.1}^z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (26)$$

We continue with the generator terms and use F defined in (22) to decompose the difference

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n) N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) N_{t_m}^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_{t_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}) N_{t_m}^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} \left[[F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) - F(t_m, X_{t_m})] N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} \left[[f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n)] N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}^n) \right] \\
= & t_1(s, m) + t_2(m) + t_3(m) + t_4(m)
\end{aligned}$$

where $s \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$. For t_1 we use that $\mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(t_m, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})(N_s^{t_k} - N_{t_m}^{t_k}) = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t_1(s, m)\| & \leq \| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) N_s^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \| \\
& \quad + \| \mathbb{E}_{t_k} (f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - f(t_m, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})) (N_s^{t_k} - N_{t_m}^{t_k}) \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) \|.
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the conditional expectations as before with the help of the independent copy \tilde{B} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} - \mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) N_s^{t_k} \\
& = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(f(s, \tilde{X}_s^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Y}_s^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Z}_s^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - f(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Y}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Z}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}})) \tilde{N}_s^{t_k}]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{t_k} (f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - f(t_m, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})) (N_s^{t_k} - N_{t_m}^{t_k}) \\
& = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(f(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Y}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}, \tilde{Z}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - f(t_m, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})) (\tilde{N}_s^{t_k} - \tilde{N}_{t_m}^{t_k})].
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the conditional Hölder inequality, and from the estimates (29) and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{N}_s^{t_k} - \tilde{N}_{t_m}^{t_k}|^2 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) \frac{h}{(s-t_k)^2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t_1(s, m)\| & \leq \frac{\kappa_2 \|\sigma\|_\infty}{(s-t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m})\| \\
& \quad + C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{s-t_k} \|f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - f(t_k, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})\| \\
& \leq C(L_f, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, \kappa_2, b, \sigma, T, \delta, p_0) \Psi(x) \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(s-t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

since for $0 \leq t < s \leq T$ we have by Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.4

$$\|f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t)\| \leq C(L_f, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, b, \sigma, T, p_0) \Psi(x) (s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (27)$$

For t_2 Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3, (23) and (29) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t_2(m)\| & = \| \tilde{\mathbb{E}} F(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) \tilde{N}_{t_m}^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}} F(t_m, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_m}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}) \tilde{N}_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}) \| \\
& \leq \frac{C(\sigma, \kappa_2)}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} (F(t_m, \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{t_k, X_{t_k}}) - F(t_m, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_m}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + (\mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} |F(t_m, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_m}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}) - F(t_m, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})|^2 \mathbb{E} |\tilde{N}_{t_m}^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \tilde{N}_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \delta, \kappa_2) \frac{\Psi(x)}{(T-t_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For t_3 we use the conditional Hölder inequality, (23), (15) and Lemma 5.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t_3(m)\| & = \| \mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} [[F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) - F(t_m, X_{t_m})] N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})] \| \\
& \leq \frac{C(\sigma, \hat{\kappa}_2)}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|F(t_m, X_{t_m}^n) - F(t_m, X_{t_m})\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \delta) \frac{\Psi(x)}{(T - t_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The term t_4 can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_4(m)\| &= \|\mathbb{E}_{\tau_k} [[f(t_m, X_{t_m}, Y_{t_m}, Z_{t_m}) - f(t_{m+1}, X_{t_m}^n, Y_{t_m}^n, Z_{t_m}^n)] N_{\tau_m}^{n, \tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})]\| \\ &\leq \frac{C(L_f, b, \sigma, T, \delta)}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} (h^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|X_{t_m} - X_{t_m}^n\| + \|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the remaining term of the estimate of $\|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\|$, we use (27) and (29) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}_{t_k} f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) N_s^{t_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})\| &= \|\mathbb{E}_{t_k} [(f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(s, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k})) N_s^{t_k}] \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})\| \\ &\leq C(L_f, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \kappa_2) \Psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (25), (26), the estimates for the remaining term and for t_1, \dots, t_4 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\| &\leq C_{2.5} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + (C_{3.1}^z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{4}} + C(L_f, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \kappa_2) \Psi(x) h \\ &\quad + C(L_f, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, \kappa_2, b, \sigma, T, \delta, p_0) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{t_k}^T \frac{ds}{(s - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad + C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \delta, \kappa_2) h \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{\Psi(x)}{(T - t_m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad + C(L_f, b, \sigma, T, \delta) h \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} (\|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|) \frac{1}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq C(C_{2.5}, C_{3.1}^z) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4}} + C(L_f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, \kappa_2, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \delta) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad + C(L_f, b, \sigma, T, \delta) \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} (\|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|) \frac{1}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} h. \end{aligned}$$

Then we use (24) and the above estimate to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n\| + \|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\| \\ &\leq C(C_{2.5}, C_{3.1}^z) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4}} + C(L_f, C_{3.1}^y, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, C_{4.2}^y, C_{4.4}, b, \sigma, T, p_0, \kappa_2, \delta) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad + C(L_f, b, \sigma, T, \delta) \sum_{m=k+1}^{n-1} (\|Y_{t_m} - Y_{t_m}^n\| + \|Z_{t_m} - Z_{t_m}^n\|) \frac{1}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} h. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n\| + \|Z_{t_k} - Z_{t_k}^n\| \leq C_{3.2} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4}}.$$

By Theorem 4.2 it follows that

$$\|Y_v - Y_v^n\| \leq \|Y_v - Y_{t_k}\| + \|Y_{t_k} - Y_{t_k}^n\| \leq C(C_{3.2}, C_{4.2}^y) \Psi(x) h^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4}},$$

while Proposition 4.4 implies that

$$\|Z_v - Z_{t_k}\| \leq C_{4.4} \Psi(x) h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

4 Some properties of solutions to PDEs and BSDEs

4.1 Malliavin weights

We use the SDE from (1) started in (t, x) ,

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(r, X_r^{t,x})dr + \int_t^s \sigma(r, X_r^{t,x})dB_r, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T \quad (28)$$

and recall the Malliavin weights and their properties from [4, Subsection 1.1 and Remark 3].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomially bounded Borel function. If Assumption 2.1 holds and $X^{t,x}$ is given by (28) then setting*

$$G(t, x) := \mathbb{E}H(X_T^{t,x})$$

implies that $G \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Especially it holds for $0 \leq t \leq r < T$ that

$$\partial_x G(r, X_r^{t,x}) = \mathbb{E}[H(X_T^{t,x})N_T^{r,(t,x)} | \mathcal{F}_r^t],$$

where $(\mathcal{F}_r^t)_{r \in [t, T]}$ is the augmented natural filtration of $(B_r^{t,0})_{r \in [t, T]}$ and

$$N_T^{r,(t,x)} = \frac{1}{T-r} \int_r^T \frac{\nabla X_s^{t,x}}{\sigma(s, X_s^{t,x}) \nabla X_r^{t,x}} dB_s.$$

Moreover, for $q \in (0, \infty)$ it holds a.s.

$$(\mathbb{E}[|N_T^{r,(t,x)}|^q | \mathcal{F}_r^t])^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\kappa_q}{(T-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[N_T^{r,(t,x)} | \mathcal{F}_r^t] = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (29)$$

and we have

$$\|\partial_x G(r, X_r^{t,x})\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P})} \leq \kappa_q \frac{\|H(X_T^{t,x}) - \mathbb{E}[H(X_T^{t,x}) | \mathcal{F}_r^t]\|_p}{\sqrt{T-r}}$$

for $1 < q, p < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

4.2 Regularity of solutions to BSDEs

The following result originates from [4, Theorem 1] where also path dependent cases were included. We formulate it only for our Markovian setting but use $\mathbb{P}_{t,x}$ since we are interested in an estimate for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. A sketch of a proof of this formulation can be found in [5].

Theorem 4.2. *Let Assumption 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Then for any $p \in [2, \infty)$ the following assertions are true.*

(i) *There exists a constant $C_{4.2}^y > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t < s \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|Y_s - Y_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C_{4.2}^y \Psi(x) \left(\int_t^s (T-r)^{\alpha-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(ii) *there exists a constant $C_{4.2}^z > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t < s < T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|Z_s - Z_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C_{4.2}^z \Psi(x) \left(\int_t^s (T-r)^{\alpha-2} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The constants $C_{4.2}^y$ and $C_{4.2}^z$ depend on $K_f, L_f, C_g, c_{4.3}^{1,2}, T, p_0, b, \sigma, \kappa_q$ and p .

4.3 Properties of the associated PDE

Theorem 4.3 ([5], Theorem 5.6). *Consider the FBSDE (1) and let Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Then for the solution u of the associated PDE*

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2(t, x)}{2} u_{xx}(t, x) + b(t, x) u_x(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), \sigma(t, x) u_x(t, x)) = 0, \\ u(T, x) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (30)$$

we have

(i) $Y_t = u(t, X_t)$ where $u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left(g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r) dr \right)$ and $|u(t, x)| \leq c_{4.3}^1 \Psi(x)$ with Ψ given in (7).

(ii) (a) $\partial_x u$ exists and is continuous in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$(b) Z_s^{t, x} = u_x(s, X_s^{t, x}) \sigma(s, X_s^{t, x}),$$

$$(c) |u_x(t, x)| \leq \frac{c_{4.3}^2 \Psi(x)}{(T-t)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

(iii) (a) $\partial_x^2 u$ exists and is continuous in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$(b) |\partial_x^2 u(t, x)| \leq \frac{c_{4.3}^3 \Psi(x)}{(T-t)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}.$$

Using Assumption 2.3 we are now in the position to improve the bound on $\|Z_s - Z_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t, x})}$ given in Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.4. *If Assumption 2.3 holds, then there exists a constant $C_{4.4} > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t < s \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|Z_s - Z_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t, x})} \leq C_{4.4} \Psi(x) (s - t)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C_{4.4}$ depends on $b, \sigma, T, p_0, g, f, p, c_{4.3}^{2,3}$.

Proof. From $Z_s^{t, x} = u_x(s, X_s^{t, x}) \sigma(s, X_s^{t, x})$ and $\nabla Y_s^{t, x} = \partial_x u(s, X_s^{t, x}) = u_x(s, X_s^{t, x}) \nabla X_s^{t, x}$ we conclude

$$Z_s^{t, x} = \frac{\nabla Y_s^{t, x}}{\nabla X_s^{t, x}} \sigma(s, X_s^{t, x}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T.$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [3]) that the solution ∇Y of the linear BSDE

$$\nabla Y_s = g'(X_T) \nabla X_T + \int_s^T f_x(\Theta_r) \nabla X_r + f_y(\Theta_r) \nabla Y_r + f_z(\Theta_r) \nabla Z_r dr - \int_s^T \nabla Z_r dB_r, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T, \quad (31)$$

can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla Y_s}{\nabla X_s} &= \mathbb{E}_s \left[g'(X_T) \nabla X_T \Gamma_T^s + \int_s^T f_x(\Theta_r) \nabla X_r \Gamma_r^s dr \right] \frac{1}{\nabla X_s} \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[g'(\tilde{X}_T^{s, X_s}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{s, X_s} \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{s, X_s} + \int_s^T f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s, X_s}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{s, X_s} \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s, X_s} dr \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_r := (r, X_r, Y_r, Z_r)$ and Γ^s denotes the adjoint process given by

$$\Gamma_r^s = 1 + \int_s^r f_y(\Theta_u) \Gamma_u^s du + \int_s^r f_z(\Theta_u) \Gamma_u^s dB_u, \quad s \leq r \leq T,$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_s^{t,x} = 1 + \int_t^s f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x} dr + \int_t^s f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x} d\tilde{B}_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Especially, we have

$$\frac{\nabla Y_t^{t,x}}{\nabla X_t^{t,x}} = \nabla Y_t^{t,x} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t,x} \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{t,x} + \int_t^T f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{t,x} \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x} dr \right].$$

Then,

$$\|Z_s - Z_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C(\sigma) \left[\left\| \frac{\nabla Y_s}{\nabla X_s} - \frac{\nabla Y_t}{\nabla X_t} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} + \|\nabla Y_t\|_{L_{2p}(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} [(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|X_s^{t,x} - x\|_{L_{2p}(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})}] \right].$$

Since $(\nabla Y_s, \nabla Z_s)$ is the solution to the linear BSDE (31) with bounded f_x, f_y, f_z , we have that $\|\nabla Y_t\|_{L_{2p}(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p, f, g)$. Obviously, $\|X_s^{t,x} - x\|_{L_{2p}(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So it remains to show that

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla Y_s}{\nabla X_s} - \frac{\nabla Y_t}{\nabla X_t} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} \leq C\Psi(x)(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From the above relations we get for $(X_s := X_s^{t,x})$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\nabla Y_s}{\nabla X_s} - \frac{\nabla Y_t}{\nabla X_t} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{P}_{t,x})} &\leq \left\| \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[g'(\tilde{X}_T^{s,X_s}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{s,X_s} \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{s,X_s} - g'(\tilde{X}_T^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t,x} \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{t,x} \right] \right\|_p \\ &\quad + \int_t^s \left\| \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{t,x} \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x} \right] \right\|_p dr \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_s^T \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s} \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s} - f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_r^{t,x} \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x} \right] dr \right\|_p \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since g' is Lipschitz continuous and of polynomial growth, the estimate $J_1 \leq C(b, \sigma, g, T, p)\Psi(x)(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ follows by Hölder's inequality and the L_q -boundedness for any $q > 0$ of all the factors, as well as from the estimates for $\tilde{X}_T^{s,X_s} - \tilde{X}_T^{t,x}$ and $\nabla \tilde{X}_T^{s,X_s} - \nabla \tilde{X}_T^{t,x}$ like in Lemma 5.2. For the Γ differences we first apply the inequalities of Hölder and BDG:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\tilde{\Gamma}_T^{s,X_s} - \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{t,x}|^q &\leq C(T, q) (s-t)^{q-1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_t^s |f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s}|^q dr + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_t^s |f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_s^T |f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s} - f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x}|^q dr \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left(\int_s^T |f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s} - f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x}) \tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x}|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Since f_y and f_z are bounded we have $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\tilde{\Gamma}_r^{s,X_s}|^q + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\tilde{\Gamma}_r^{t,x}|^q \leq C(T, f, q)$. Similar to (23), since f_x, f_y, f_z are Lipschitz continuous w.r.t. the space variables,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) - f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x})| &= |f_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s}, u(r, \tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s}), \sigma(r, \tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s})u_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s})) \\ &\quad - f_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}, u(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}), \sigma(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x})u_x(r, \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}))| \\ &\leq C(T, f, \sigma, c_{4.3}^{2,3})(1 + |\tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s}|^{p_0+1} + |\tilde{X}_r^{t,x}|^{p_0+1}) \frac{|\tilde{X}_r^{s,X_s} - \tilde{X}_r^{t,x}|}{(T-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that Lemma 5.2 yields

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) - f_x(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x})|^q \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p_0, f, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, q)(1 + |X_s|^{p_0+1} + |x|^{p_0+1})^q \frac{|X_s - x|^q + |s - t|^{\frac{q}{2}}}{(T - r)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The same holds for $|f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) - f_y(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x})|$ and $|f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{s,X_s}) - f_z(\tilde{\Theta}_r^{t,x})|$. Applying these inequalities and Gronwall's Lemma, we arrive at

$$\|\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{\Gamma}_T^{s,X_s} - \tilde{\Gamma}_T^{t,x}]\|_p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p_0, f, g, c_{4.3}^{2,3}, p)\Psi(x)|s - t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $p > 0$.

For $J_2 \leq C(t - s)$ it is enough to realise that the integrand is bounded. The estimate for J_3 follows similarly to that of J_1 . \square

4.4 Properties of the solution to the finite difference equation

Proposition 4.5. *Let Assumption 2.3 hold and assume that u^n is a solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} & u^n(t_m, x) - hf(t_{m+1}, x, u^n(t_m, x), \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[T_{m+1,+} u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x}) + T_{m+1,-} u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x})], \quad m = 0, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

with terminal condition $u^n(t_n, x) = g(x)$. Then, for sufficiently small h , the map $x \mapsto u^n(t_m, x)$ is C^2 , and $u_x^n(t_m, x)$ and $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ satisfy (5) uniformly in $m = 0, \dots, n-1$. The constants C_{u^n} , $C_{u_x^n}$ and $C_{u_{xx}^n}$ depend on the bounds of f, g, b, σ and their derivatives and on T .

Proof. Step 1. From (32), since g is C^2 and f_y is bounded, for sufficiently small h we conclude by induction (backwards in time) that $u_x^n(t_m, x)$ exists for $m = 0, \dots, n-1$, and that it holds

$$\begin{aligned} u_x^n(t_m, x) &= hf_x(t_{m+1}, x, u^n(t_m, x), \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x})) \\ &\quad + hf_y(t_{m+1}, x, u^n(t_m, x), \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x}))u_x^n(t_m, x) \\ &\quad + hf_z(t_{m+1}, x, u^n(t_m, x), \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x}))\partial_x \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^n u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x T_{m+1,+} u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x}) + \partial_x T_{m+1,-} u^n(t_{m+1}, X_{t_{m+1}}^{n,t_m,x})). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can show that $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ exists and solves the derivative of the previous equation.

Step 2. We will use that $u^n(t_m, x) = Y_{t_m}^{n,t_m,x}$ and exploit the BSDE

$$Y_{t_m}^{n,t_m,x} = g(X_T^n) + \int_{(t_m, T]} f(s, X_{s^-}^{n,t_m,x}, Y_{s^-}^{n,t_m,x}, Z_{s^-}^{n,t_m,x}) d[B^n]_s - \int_{(t_m, T]} Z_{s^-}^{n,t_m,x} dB_s^n, \quad (33)$$

where we will drop the superscript t_m, x from now on. For $u_x^n(t_m, x)$ we will consider

$$\partial_x Y_{t_m}^n = g'(X_T^n) \partial_x X_T^n + \int_{(t_m, T]} f_x \partial_x X_{s^-}^n + f_y \partial_x Y_{s^-}^n + f_z \partial_x Z_{s^-}^n d[B^n]_s - \int_{(t_m, T]} \partial_x Z_{s^-}^n dB_s^n. \quad (34)$$

Similar as in the proof of [11, Theorem 3.1] the BSDE (34) can be derived as limit of difference quotients w.r.t. x of (33). Notice that the generator of (34) is random but has the same Lipschitz constant and linear growth bound as f . In order to get estimates simultaneously for (33) and (34) we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *We fix n and assume a BSDE*

$$Y_{t_m} = \xi^n + \int_{(t_m, T]} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) d[B^n]_s - \int_{(t_m, T]} Z_{s-} dB_s^n, \quad (35)$$

with $\xi^n = g(X_T^{n, t_m, x})$ or $\xi^n = g'(X_T^{n, t_m, x}) \partial_x X_T^{n, t_m, x}$ and $X_s := X_s^{n, t_m, x}$ or $X_s := \partial_x X_s^{n, t_m, x}$ such that $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\omega, t, x, y, z) - f(\omega, t, x', y', z')| &\leq L_f(|x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|), \\ |f(\omega, t, x, y, z)| &\leq (K_f + L_f)(1 + |x| + |y| + |z|). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Then for any $p \geq 2$,

$$(i) \mathbb{E}|Y_{t_m}|^p + \frac{\gamma_p}{4} \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \leq C(1 + |x|^{(p_0+1)p}), \quad m = 0, \dots, n$$

$$(ii) \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_m < s \leq T} |Y_{s-}|^p \leq C(1 + |x|^{(p_0+1)p}),$$

$$(iii) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_m, T]} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(1 + |x|^{(p_0+1)p}) \text{ for some constant } C = C(T, f, g, p, p_0, b, \sigma).$$

Proof. (i) By Itô's formula (see [6, Theorem 4.57]) we get for $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_{t_m}|^p &= |\xi^n|^p - p \int_{(t_m, T]} Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} Z_{s-} dB_s^n + p \int_{(t_m, T]} Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad - \sum_{s \in (t_m, T]} [|Y_s|^p - |Y_{s-}|^p - p Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} (Y_s - Y_{s-})]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Following the proof of [8, Proposition 2] (which is carried out there in the Lévy process setting but can be done also for martingales with jumps) we can use estimate

$$- \sum_{s \in (t_m, T]} [|Y_s|^p - |Y_{s-}|^p - p Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} (Y_s - Y_{s-})] \leq -\gamma_p \sum_{s \in (t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} (Y_s - Y_{s-})^2$$

where $\gamma_p > 0$ is computed in [17, Lemma A4]. Using our setting we have

$$\begin{aligned} &- \sum_{s \in (t_m, T]} [|Y_s|^p - |Y_{s-}|^p - p Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} (Y_s - Y_{s-})] \\ &\leq -\gamma_p \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |Y_{t_k}|^{p-2} (f(t_{k+1}, X_{t_k}, Y_{t_k}, Z_{t_k}) h - Z_{t_k} \sqrt{h} \varepsilon_{k+1})^2 \\ &= -\gamma_p h \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} f^2(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) d[B^n]_s - \gamma_p \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad + 2\gamma_p \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) Z_{s-} (B_s^n - B_{s-}^n) d[B^n]_s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get from (37)

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_{t_m}|^p &\leq |\xi^n|^p - p \int_{(t_m, T]} Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} Z_{s-} dB_s^n + p \int_{(t_m, T]} Y_{s-} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad - \gamma_p \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad + 2\gamma_p \int_{(t_m, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) Z_{s-} (B_s^n - B_{s-}^n) d[B^n]_s. \end{aligned}$$

From Young's inequality and (36) we conclude that there is a $c' = c'(p, K_f, L_f, \gamma_p) > 0$ such that

$$p|\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-1} |f(s, \mathbf{X}_{s^-}, \Upsilon_{s^-}, \mathbf{Z}_{s^-})| \leq \frac{\gamma_p}{4} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 + c'(1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p + |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p)$$

and for $\sqrt{h} < \frac{1}{8(L_f + K_f)}$ we find a $c'' = c''(p, L_f, K_f, \gamma_p) > 0$ such that

$$2\gamma_p \sqrt{h} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |f(s, \mathbf{X}_{s^-}, \Upsilon_{s^-}, \mathbf{Z}_{s^-})| |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}| \leq \frac{\gamma_p}{4} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 + c''(1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p + |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p).$$

Then for $c = c' + c''$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Upsilon_{t_m}|^p &\leq |\xi^n|^p - p \int_{(t_m, T]} \Upsilon_{s^-} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} \mathbf{Z}_{s^-} d\mathbf{B}_s^n + c \int_{(t_m, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p + |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma_p}{2} \int_{(t_m, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

By standard methods, approximating the terminal condition and the generator by bounded functions, it follows that for any $a > 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_m \leq s \leq T} |\Upsilon_s|^a < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_m, T]} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{a}{2}} < \infty.$$

Hence $\int_{(t_m, T]} \Upsilon_{s^-} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} \mathbf{Z}_{s^-} d\mathbf{B}_s^n$ has expectation zero. Taking the expectation in (38) yields

$$\mathbb{E} |\Upsilon_{t_m}|^p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_m, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \leq \mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p + c \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_m, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p + |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s. \quad (39)$$

By Gronwall's lemma and the polynomial growth of $x \mapsto \mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p$, and $x \mapsto \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_m, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s$,

$$\|\Upsilon_{t_m}\|_p \leq C(T, f, g, p, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{p_0+1}), \quad m = 0, \dots, n,$$

and inserting this into (39) yields

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{(t_m, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(T, f, g, p, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{p_0+1}), \quad m = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

(ii) By the inequality of BDG and Young's inequality we derive from (38) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_k < s \leq T} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p + C(p) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{2p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p + |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p + c \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s + C(p) \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t_k < s \leq T} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\quad + c \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p + c \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} 1 + |\mathbf{X}_{s^-}|^p d[B^n]_s + C(p) \mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^{p-2} |\mathbf{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_k < s \leq T} |\Upsilon_{s^-}|^p \left(\frac{1}{4} + c(T - t_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that h is sufficiently small so that we find a t_k with $c(T - t_k) < \frac{1}{4}$. We rearrange the inequality to have $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_k < s \leq T} |Y_{s-}|^p$ on the l.h.s., and from (i) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t_k < s \leq T} |Y_{s-}|^p &\leq 2\mathbb{E}|\xi^n|^p + 2c\mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} 1 + |X_{s-}|^p d[B^n]_s + 2C(p)\mathbb{E} \int_{(t_k, T]} |Y_{s-}|^{p-2} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \\ &\leq C(T, f, g, p, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{(p_0+1)p}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we may repeat the above step for $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t_\ell < s \leq t_k} |Y_{s-}|^p$ with $c(t_k - t_\ell) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\xi^n = Y_T$ replaced by Y_{t_k} , and continue doing so until we eventually get assertion (ii).

(iii) We proceed from (35),

$$\sup_{k \leq \ell \leq n} \left| \int_{(t_\ell, T]} Z_{s-} d[B^n]_s \right|^p \leq C(p) \left(|\xi^n|^p + \sup_{k \leq \ell \leq n} |Y_{t_\ell}|^p + \left| \int_{(t_k, T]} f(s, X_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s-}) d[B^n]_s \right|^p \right),$$

so that by (36) and the inequalities of BDG and Hölder we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C(p) \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi^n|^p + \mathbb{E} \sup_{k \leq \ell \leq n} |Y_{t_\ell}|^p \right) + C(p, L_f, K_f) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} 1 + |X_{s-}| + |Y_{s-}| d[B^n]_s \right)^p \\ &\quad + C(p, L_f, K_f) (T - t_k)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $C(p, L_f, K_f)(T - t_k)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \frac{1}{2}$ we derive from assertion (ii) that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_{(t_k, T]} |Z_{s-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(T, f, g, p, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{(p_0+1)p}).$$

□

Step 3 Applying Lemma 4.6 to (33) and (34) we see that for all $m = 0, \dots, n$ we have

$$|u^n(t_m, x)| = |Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, x}| \leq (\mathbb{E}(Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, x})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c(T, f, g, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{p_0+1})$$

and

$$|u_x^n(t_m, x)| \leq (\mathbb{E}(\partial_x Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, x})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c(T, f, g, p_0, b, \sigma)(1 + |x|^{p_0+1}).$$

Our next aim is to show that $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ satisfies (5). We first show that $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ has polynomial growth. We introduce the BSDE which describes $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ and denote for simplicity

$$f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) := f(t, x, y, z) \quad \text{and} \quad D^a := \partial_{x_1}^{i_1} \partial_{x_2}^{i_2} \partial_{x_3}^{i_3} \quad \text{with} \quad a := (i_1, i_2, i_3)$$

and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^2 Y_{t_m}^n &= g''(X_T^n)(\partial_x X_T^n)^2 + g'(X_T^n) \partial_x^2 X_T^n \\ &\quad + \int_{(t_m, T]} \sum_{\substack{a \in \{0,1,2\}^3 \\ i_1+i_2+i_3=2}} (D^a f)(s, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) (\partial_x X_{s-}^n)^{i_1} (\partial_x Y_{s-}^n)^{i_2} (\partial_x Z_{s-}^n)^{i_3} d[B^n]_s \\ &\quad + \int_{(t_m, T]} \sum_{\substack{a \in \{0,1\}^3 \\ i_1+i_2+i_3=1}} (D^a f)(s, X_{s-}^n, Y_{s-}^n, Z_{s-}^n) (\partial_x^2 X_{s-}^n)^{i_1} (\partial_x^2 Y_{s-}^n)^{i_2} (\partial_x^2 Z_{s-}^n)^{i_3} d[B^n]_s \end{aligned}$$

$$- \int_{(t_m, T]} \partial_x^2 Z_{s^-}^n d[B_s^n]. \quad (40)$$

We denote the generator of this BSDE by \hat{f} and notice that it is of the structure

$$\hat{f}(\omega, t, x, y, z) = f_0(\omega, t) + f_1(\omega, t)x + f_2(\omega, t)y + f_3(\omega, t)z.$$

with f_1, f_2, f_3 bounded and $\mathbb{E}(\int_{(t_m, T]} f_0(s^-)d[B^n]_s)^p < \infty$. We put

$$\hat{\xi}^n := g''(X_T^n)(\partial_x X_T^n)^2 + g'(X_T^n)\partial_x^2 X_T^n.$$

Denoting the solution by (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) we get for $C(f_3)(T - t_m) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|\hat{Y}_{t_m}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{(t_m, T]} |\hat{Z}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s \\ & \leq C\left[\mathbb{E}|\hat{\xi}^n|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{(t_m, T]} |f_0(s^-)|d[B^n]_s\right)^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_{(t_m, T]} |\hat{X}_{s^-}|^2 + |\hat{Y}_{s^-}|^2 d[B^n]_s\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Now we derive the polynomial growth of $\mathbb{E}|\hat{\xi}^n|^2$ from the properties of g' and g'' , and that of $\mathbb{E}(\int_{(t_m, T]} |f_0(\omega, s)|d[B^n, B^n]_s)^2$ from the previous steps. Indeed, $\mathbb{E}\sup_{t_m < s \leq T} |\partial_x X_{s^-}^n|^p$ having polynomial growth is well-known under our conditions, for $\mathbb{E}\sup_{t_m < s \leq T} |\partial_x Y_{s^-}^n|^p$ we have shown this in Lemma 4.6-(ii), and for $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{(t_m, T]} |\partial_x Z_{s^-}^n|^2 d[B^n]_s\right)^2$ we use Lemma 4.6-(iii). Then Gronwall's Lemma implies polynomial growth of $\hat{Y}_{t_m}^{t_m, x} = u_{xx}(t_m, x)$.

Finally, to show that $u_{xx}^n(t_m, x)$ satisfies (5), one uses (40) and derives an inequality as in (41) but now for the difference $\partial_x^2 Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, x} - \partial_x^2 Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, \bar{x}}$. Here one needs to exploit that moments of $X^n, Y^n, Z^n, \partial_x X^n, \partial_x Y^n$ and $\partial_x^2 X^n$ satisfy (5) (with $\alpha = 1$) (which easily follows by the mean value theorem if one uses the representation of the processes Y^n and Z^n by the help of u^n) and one also needs that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{(t_m, T]} |\partial_x Z_{s^-}^{n, t_m, x} - \partial_x Z_{s^-}^{n, t_m, \bar{x}}|^2 d[B^n]_s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(1 + |x|^{pp_0} + |\bar{x}|^{pp_0})|x - \bar{x}|^p, \quad p \geq 2.$$

The latter estimate one gets similarly as that in Lemma 4.6-(iii) if one considers the BSDE for the difference $\partial_x Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, x} - \partial_x Y_{t_m}^{n, t_m, \bar{x}}$ instead of (34) itself. The result follows then from the estimate of $\mathbb{E}|\hat{\xi}^{n, t_m, x} - \hat{\xi}^{n, t_m, \bar{x}}|^2$ and Gronwall's lemma. \square

5 Technical results and estimates

In this section we collect some facts which are needed for the proofs of our results. We start with properties of the stopping times used to construct a random walk.

Lemma 5.1 (Lemma A.1 [5]). *For all $0 \leq k \leq m \leq n$ and $p > 0$, it holds for $h = \frac{T}{n}$ that*

- (i) $\mathbb{E}\tau_k = kh$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}|\tau_1|^p \leq C(p)h^p$,
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}|B_{\tau_k} - B_{t_k}|^{2p} \leq C(p)\mathbb{E}|\tau_k - t_k|^p \leq C(p)(t_k h)^{\frac{p}{2}}$.

The next lemma lists some estimates concerning the diffusion X and its discretisation.

Lemma 5.2. *Under Assumption 2.1 on b and σ it holds for $p \geq 2$ that there exists a constant $C = C(b, \sigma, T, p) > 0$ such that*

- (i) $\mathbb{E}|X_T^{s,y} - X_T^{t,x}|^p \leq C(|y-x|^p + |s-t|^{\frac{p}{2}}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, s, t \in [0, T],$
- (ii) $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{\tilde{\tau}_l \wedge t_m \leq r \leq \tilde{\tau}_{l+1} \wedge t_m} |\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l \wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}|^p \leq Ch^{\frac{p}{4}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq l \leq n-k-1, 0 \leq m \leq n-k,$
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}|\nabla X_T^{s,y} - \nabla X_T^{t,x}|^p \leq C(|y-x|^p + |s-t|^{\frac{p}{2}}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, s, t \in [0, T],$
- (iv) $\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq l \leq m} |\nabla X_{t_k+t_l}^{n,t_k,x}|^p \leq C, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq n-k,$
- (v) $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_m}^{\tau_k,y}|^p \leq C(|x-y|^p + h^{\frac{p}{4}}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq n-k,$
- (vi) $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x} - \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_m}^{\tau_k,y}|^p \leq C(|x-y|^p + h^{\frac{p}{4}}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq n-k.$

Proof. (i): This estimate is well-known.

(ii): For the stochastic integral we use the inequality of BDG and then, since b and σ are bounded, we get by Lemma 5.1 (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{\tilde{\tau}_l \wedge t_m \leq r \leq \tilde{\tau}_{l+1} \wedge t_m} |\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l \wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}|^p \\ & \leq C(p) (\|b\|_\infty^p |\tilde{\tau}_{l+1} - \tilde{\tau}_l|^p + \|\sigma\|_\infty^p \mathbb{E}|\tilde{\tau}_{l+1} - \tilde{\tau}_l|^{\frac{p}{2}}) \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p) h^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii): This can be easily seen because the process $(\nabla X_r^{s,y})_{r \in [s, T]}$ solves a linear SDE with bounded coefficients.

(iv): The process solves (44). The estimate follows from the inequality of BDG and Gronwall's Lemma.

(v): Recall that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_m}^{\tau_k,y} = \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{n,t_k,y} = y + \int_{(0, t_m]} b(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r^-}^{n,t_k,y}) d[\tilde{B}^n, \tilde{B}^n]_r + \int_{(0, t_m]} \sigma(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r^-}^{n,t_k,y}) d\tilde{B}_r^n$$

and

$$\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x} = x + \int_0^{t_m} b(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x}) dr + \int_0^{t_m} \sigma(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,y}) d\tilde{B}_r.$$

To compare the stochastic integrals of the previous two equations we use the relation

$$\int_{(0, t_m]} \sigma(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r^-}^{n,t_k,y}) d\tilde{B}_r^n = \int_0^\infty \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \sigma(t_{k+l+1}, \tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}) \mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l, \tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r) d\tilde{B}_r.$$

We define an 'increasing' map $i(r) := t_{l+1}$ for $(t_l, t_{l+1}]$ and a 'decreasing' map $d(r) := t_l$ for $(t_l, t_{l+1}]$ and split the differences as follows using Assumption 2.1-(iii) for the coefficient b

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{n,t_k,y}|^p \\ & \leq C(b, p) \left(|x-y|^p + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^{t_m} |r - i(r)|^{\frac{p}{2}} + |\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{t_k,x}|^p + |\tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{t_k,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{n,t_k,y}|^p dr \right) \\ & \quad + C(p) \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| \int_{t_m \wedge \tilde{\tau}_m}^{t_m} \sigma(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x}) d\tilde{B}_r \right|^p \\ & \quad + C(p) \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| \int_{t_m \wedge \tilde{\tau}_m}^{\tilde{\tau}_m} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \sigma(t_{k+l+1}, \tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}) \mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l, \tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r) d\tilde{B}_r \right|^p \end{aligned}$$

$$+C(p)\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\int_0^{t_m\wedge\tilde{\tau}_m}\sigma(t_k+r,\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x})-\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}\sigma(t_{k+l+1},\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y})\mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l,\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r)d\tilde{B}_r\right|^p. \quad (42)$$

We estimate the terms on the r.h.s as follows: by standard estimates for SDEs with bounded coefficients one has

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\int_0^{t_m}\left|r-i(r)\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}+|\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{t_k,x}|^p dr\leq C(b,\sigma,T,p)h^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

By the BDG inequality, the fact that σ is bounded and Lemma 5.1 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\int_{t_m\wedge\tilde{\tau}_m}^{t_m}\sigma(t_k+r,\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x})d\tilde{B}_r\right|^p+\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\int_{t_m\wedge\tilde{\tau}_m}^{\tilde{\tau}_m}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}\sigma(t_{k+l+1},\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y})\mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l,\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r)d\tilde{B}_r\right|^p \\ &\leq C(\sigma,p)\|\sigma\|_\infty^p\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{\tau}_m-t_m|^{\frac{p}{2}}\leq C(\sigma,p)(t_m h)^{\frac{p}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the BDG inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left|\int_0^{t_m\wedge\tilde{\tau}_m}\sigma(t_k+r,\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x})-\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}\sigma(t_{k+l+1},\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y})\mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l,\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r)d\tilde{B}_r\right|^p \\ &\leq C(p)\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left(\int_0^{t_m}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}|\sigma(t_k+r,\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x})-\sigma(t_{k+l+1},\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y})|^2\mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_l,\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}]}(r)dr\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\sigma,p)\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}\int_{\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}\wedge t_m}|\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}-t_{l+1}|^{\frac{p}{2}}+|\tilde{\tau}_l-t_{l+1}|^{\frac{p}{2}}+|\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}|^p\right. \\ &\quad \left.+|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p dr\right) \\ &\leq C(\sigma,p,T)\left(h^{\frac{p}{2}}+\max_{1\leq l< m}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{\tau}_l-t_l|^p)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\max_{0\leq l< m}(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\sup_{\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m\leq r\leq\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}\wedge t_m}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\quad +\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p(\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}-\tilde{\tau}_l). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}-\tilde{\tau}_l$ is independent from $|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p$ we get by Lemma 5.1-(i)

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p(\tilde{\tau}_{l+1}-\tilde{\tau}_l) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+l}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p(t_{l+1}-t_l) \\ &\leq C(T,p)\left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\int_0^{t_m}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_{k+d(r)}}^{n,t_k,y}|^p dr+\max_{0\leq l< m}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_l}^{t_k,x}|^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 one concludes that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+\tilde{\tau}_l\wedge t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_l}^{t_k,x}|^p\leq C(b,\sigma,T,p)h^{\frac{p}{4}}$. Then (42) combined with the above estimates implies that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{n,t_k,y}|^p\leq C(b,\sigma,T,p)\left(|x-y|^p+h^{\frac{p}{4}}+\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\int_0^{t_m}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+d(r)}^{n,t_k,y}|^p dr\right). \quad (43)$$

Then Gronwall's Lemma yields

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{t_k,x}-\tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{n,t_k,y}|^p\leq C(b,\sigma,T,p)(|x-y|^p+h^{\frac{p}{4}}).$$

Notice that if we use the relation (43) for $k = 0$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{t_m} - X_{t_m}^n|^p = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t_m}^{0,x} - \tilde{X}_{t_m}^{n,0,x}|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p)h^{\frac{p}{4}}.$$

(vi): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{n,t_k,y} &= 1 + \int_{(0,t_m]} b_x(t_k+r, X_{t_k+r-}^{n,t_k,y}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+r-}^{n,t_k,y} d[\tilde{B}^n, \tilde{B}^n]_r \\ &\quad + \int_{(0,t_m]} \sigma_x(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r-}^{n,t_k,y}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+r-}^{n,t_k,y} d\tilde{B}_r^n \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_m}^{\tilde{t}_k,x} = 1 + \int_0^{t_m} b_x(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{\tilde{t}_k,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{\tilde{t}_k,x} dr + \int_0^{t_m} \sigma_x(t_k+r, \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{\tilde{t}_k,x}) \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+r}^{\tilde{t}_k,x} d\tilde{B}_r. \quad (45)$$

We may proceed similarly as in (v) but this time the coefficients are not bounded but have linear growth. Here one uses that the integrands are bounded in any $L_p(\mathbb{P})$. \square

Finally, we estimate the difference between the continuous-time Malliavin weight and its discrete-time counterpart.

Lemma 5.3. *Under Assumption 2.1 it holds that*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{N}_{t_m}^{\tilde{t}_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \tilde{N}_{\tilde{\tau}_m}^{n,\tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})|^2 \leq C(b, \sigma, \delta, T) \frac{|X_{t_k} - \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}|^2 + h^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(t_m - t_k)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad m = k+1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. For $N_{\tilde{\tau}_m}^{n,\tau_k}$ and $N_{t_m}^{\tilde{t}_k}$ given by (10) and (14), respectively, we introduce the notation

$$\tilde{N}_{t_m}^{\tilde{t}_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) =: \frac{1}{t_m - k} \int_0^{t_m - k} a_{t_k+s} d\tilde{B}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{N}_{\tilde{\tau}_m}^{n,\tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}) =: \frac{1}{t_m - k} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_m - k} a_{\tau_k+s}^n d\tilde{B}_s$$

with

$$a_{t_k+s} := \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{t}_k, X_{t_k}} \frac{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}{\sigma(t_k+s, \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{t}_k, X_{t_k}})} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\tau_k+s}^n := \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-k} \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{n,\tau_k} \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})}{\sigma(t_{k+\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})} \mathbf{1}_{s \in (\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}, \tilde{\tau}_{\ell}]}$$

By the inequality of BDG,

$$\begin{aligned} &(t_m - t_k)^2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{N}_{t_m}^{\tilde{t}_k} \sigma(t_k, X_{t_k}) - \tilde{N}_{\tilde{\tau}_m}^{n,\tau_k} \sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})|^2 \\ &\leq C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| \int_0^{t_m - k} a_{t_k+s} d\tilde{B}_s - \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_m - k} a_{\tau_k+s}^n d\tilde{B}_s \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^{t_m - k \wedge \tilde{\tau}_m - k} (a_{t_k+s} - a_{\tau_k+s}^n)^2 ds + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^\infty a_{t_k+s}^2 \mathbf{1}_{(\tilde{\tau}_m - k, t_m - k]}(s) ds \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_0^\infty (a_{\tau_k+s}^n)^2 \mathbf{1}_{(t_m - k, \tilde{\tau}_m - k]}(s) ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-k} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [0, t_m - k] \cap (\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}, \tilde{\tau}_{\ell}]} |a_{t_k+s} - a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell}}^n|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{\tau}_{\ell} - \tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [0, t_m - k]} |a_{t_k+s}|^4 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq m-k} |a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell}}^n|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|t_m - k - \tilde{\tau}_m - k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows then from Lemma 5.1 and from the estimates

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [0, t_{m-k}] \cap [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell]} |a_{t_k+s} - a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_\ell}^n|^4 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) (|X_{t_k} - X_{t_k}^n|^4 + h) \quad (46)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [0, t_{m-k}]} |a_{t_k+s}|^4 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq m-k} |a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_\ell}^n|^4 \leq 2\|\sigma\|_\infty^4 \delta^{-4}. \quad (47)$$

So it remains to show these inequalities. We put

$$\tilde{K}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} := \frac{\sigma(t_k, X_{t_k})}{\sigma(t_k+s, \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}})} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \tau_k} := \frac{\sigma(t_{k+1}, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k})}{\sigma(t_{k+\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})}$$

and notice that by Assumption 2.1 both expressions are bounded by $\|\sigma\|_\infty \delta^{-1}$. To show (46) let us split $a_{t_k+s} - a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_\ell}^n$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{t_k+s} - a_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_\ell}^n &= \tilde{K}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} (\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}) + \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} (\tilde{K}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} - \tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k}) \\ &\quad + \tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} (\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}) + \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} (\tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} - \tilde{K}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \tau_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1} \wedge t_{m-k}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell \wedge t_{m-k}]} |\tilde{K}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} (\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}})|^4 \\ &\leq \|\sigma\|_\infty^4 \delta^{-4} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1} \wedge t_{m-k}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell \wedge t_{m-k}]} |\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^4 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) h \end{aligned}$$

since one can show similarly to Lemma 5.2-(ii) that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1} \wedge t_{m-k}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell \wedge t_{m-k}]} |\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^4 \leq C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) h.$$

Notice that $\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}$ and $\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}$ solve the linear SDEs (45) and (44), respectively. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [0, t_{m-k}]} |\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \max_{0 \leq \ell \leq m-k} |\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^p \leq C(b, \sigma, T, p). \quad (48)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1} \wedge t_{m-k}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell \wedge t_{m-k}]} |\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} (\tilde{K}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} - \tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k})|^4 \\ &\leq C(\sigma, \delta) (\tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \sup_{s \in [\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1} \wedge t_{m-k}, \tilde{\tau}_\ell \wedge t_{m-k}]} (|t_\ell - s|^4 + |\tilde{X}_{t_k+s}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_\ell}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(b, \sigma, \delta, T) h. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term Lemma 5.2-(vi) implies that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} (\nabla \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}} - \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}})|^4 \leq C(b, \sigma, T) \|\sigma\|_\infty^4 \delta^{-4} (|X_{t_k} - \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}|^4 + h).$$

The last term we estimate similarly to the second one,

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} (\tilde{K}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k} - \tilde{K}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \tau_k})|^4 \\ &\leq C(\sigma, \delta) (\tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}}|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (|X_{t_k} - \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}|^8 + \tilde{\mathbb{E}} |\mathcal{X}_{\tau_k+\tilde{\tau}_{\ell-1}}^{\tau_k, \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}} - \tilde{X}_{t_k+t_{\ell-1}}^{\tilde{\tau}_k, X_{t_k}}|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(b, \sigma, T, \delta) (|X_{t_k} - \mathcal{X}_{\tau_k}|^4 + h). \end{aligned}$$

To see (47) use the estimates (48). \square

Acknowledgement

Christel Geiss would like to thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, for hospitality and support, where a part of this work was written.

References

- [1] C. Bender and P. Parczewski. *Discretizing Malliavin calculus*. Stochastic Process. Appl., 128(8):2489–2537, 2018.
- [2] P. Briand, B. Delyon, and J. Mémin. *Donsker-Type theorem for BSDEs*. Electron. Comm. Probab., 6(1):1–14, 2001.
- [3] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M. C. Quenez. *Backward stochastic differential equations in finance*. Math. Finance, 7(1):1–71, 1997.
- [4] C. Geiss, S. Geiss, and E. Gobet. *Generalized fractional smoothness and L_p -variation of BSDEs with non-Lipschitz terminal conditions*. Stochastic Process. Appl., 122(5):2078–2116, 2012.
- [5] C. Geiss, C. Labart, and A. Luoto. *Random walk approximation of BSDEs with Hölder continuous terminal condition*. [arXiv:1806.07674](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.07674), 2018.
- [6] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*. Springer, 2003.
- [7] K. Jańczak-Borkowska. *Discrete approximations of generalized RBSDE with random terminal time*. Discuss. Math. Probab. Stat., 32(1–2):69–85, 2012.
- [8] T. Kruse and A. Popier. *BSDEs with monotone generator driven by Brownian and Poisson noises in a general filtration*, Stochastics 88(4):491–539, 2016.
- [9] T. Kruse, A. Popier, *L^p -solution for BSDEs with jumps in the case $p < 2$* , Stochastics 89(8):1201–1227, 2017.
- [10] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. San Martín, and S. Torres. *Numerical method for backward stochastic differential equations*. Ann. Appl. Probab., 12(4):302–316, 2007.
- [11] J. Ma and J. Zhang. *Representation theorems for backward stochastic differential equations*. Ann. Appl. Probab., 12(4):1390–1418, 2002.
- [12] M. Martínez, J. San Martín, and S. Torres. *Numerical Method for reflected backward stochastic differential equations*. Stoch. Anal. Appl., 29(6):1008–1032, 2011.
- [13] J. Mémin, S. Peng and M. Xu. *Convergence of solutions of discrete reflected backward SDE’s and simulations*. Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., 24(1):1–18, 2008.
- [14] S. Peng and M. Xu. *Numerical algorithms for backward stochastic differential equations with 1-d Brownian motion: convergence and simulations*. Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 45(2):335–360, 2011.
- [15] S. Toldo. *Stability of solutions of BSDEs with random terminal time*. ESAIM: Probability and Statistics, 10:141–163, 2006.

- [16] S. Toldo. *Corrigendum to 'Stability of solutions of BSDEs with random terminal time'*. ESAIM: Probability and Statistics, 11:381–384, 2007.
- [17] S. Yao. *L_p Solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps*. [arXiv:1007.2226v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2226v1), 2010.