

Northward Pathway Across the Tropical North Pacific Ocean Revealed by Surface Salinity: How do El Niño Anomalies Reach Hawaii?

Audrey Hasson, Martin Puy, Jacqueline Boutin, Éric Guilyardi, Rosemary

Morrow

▶ To cite this version:

Audrey Hasson, Martin Puy, Jacqueline Boutin, Éric Guilyardi, Rosemary Morrow. Northward Pathway Across the Tropical North Pacific Ocean Revealed by Surface Salinity: How do El Niño Anomalies Reach Hawaii?. Journal of Geophysical Research. Oceans, 2018, 123 (4), pp.2697-2715. 10.1002/2017JC013423. hal-01835837

HAL Id: hal-01835837 https://hal.science/hal-01835837

Submitted on 21 Sep 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Northward Pathway across the Tropical North Pacific Ocean										
2	Revealed by Surface Salinity: How do El Niño Anomalies Reach										
3	Hawaii?										
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9	Audrey Hasson ¹ , Martin Puy ² , Jacqueline Boutin ¹ , Eric Guilyardi ¹ , Rosemary Morrow ³										
10	¹ LOCEAN, UMR 7159, CNRS, UPMC, IRD, MNHN, Paris, France										
11	² UTIG, Austin, Texas										
12	³ LEGOS, UMR 5566, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS Toulouse III, Toulouse, France										
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24	Submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans on the mm/dd/yyyy										
25											

Abstract (236 words)

27 28

29 Using the unprecedented 7-year monitoring of sea surface salinity (SSS) from the Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity (SMOS) satellite mission, an unexpected large-scale anomaly at 30 31 20°N is studied in the tropical Pacific Ocean following the 2015-2016 extreme El Niño event. 32 This basin-wide negative anomaly (below -0.3) is present in October 2015 between 15 and 33 25°N, reaching the Hawaiian archipelago. It has not been previously observed during El Niño 34 events. It is accompanied by a negative equatorial SSS anomaly at the dateline (below -0.5) 35 which has been previously described as an El Niño-associated SSS anomaly. A wide range of 36 observations (in situ and space-borne) and a state-of-the-art ocean model simulation are used 37 together to characterize and understand the mechanisms leading to this singular SSS signal. 38 The extra-equatorial negative SSS anomaly is found to be a superposition of a persisting SSS anomaly due to the 2014 weak El Niño and of the larger 2015-2016 El Niño SSS anomaly. 39 40 Both were advected northward in the tropical current system by the mean Ekman currents and hypothetically by instabilities in the zonal currents patterns. An analysis of analogous 41 42 structures in the past 20 years shows that this northward displacement of SSS anomalies is not 43 El Niño specific, even if their advection is enhanced during El Niño events. This study shows 44 that when surface freshwater fluxes are weak SSS, unlike sea surface temperature, can be 45 used to trace water mass displacement for up to 20 months.

46 47

48 **1. Introduction**

49

50 The tropical Pacific Ocean is characterized by a large band of low sea surface salinity (SSS) 51 centered around 10°N, with freshpools on each side of the Pacific Ocean: The West-Pacific 52 fresh-pool (WPFP) (Delcroix and Picaut, 1998) and East-Pacific fresh-pool (EPFP) (Alory et 53 al. 2012) respectively (Fig. 1a). Higher salinities on the poleward sides of the low SSS band 54 belong to the sub-tropical salinity maximums described by Hasson et al. (2013a) and Gordon et al. (2015). If the mean SSS field is mainly due to the surface freshwater fluxes, as indicated 55 by its agreement with the 0 and -1.5 m.yr⁻¹ isohyets (Fig. 1a), the meridional shift between the 56 two structures reveals the importance of ocean dynamics (Yu et al. 2011, Hasson et al., 57 58 2013b, Tchilibou et al. 2015, Sena-Martins and Stammer, 2015). The spatial distribution of 59 the tropical Pacific SSS is characterized by longitudinal gradients along the Equator and 20°N with strong seasonal and interannual variations in their amplitude and zonal location (Fig. 60 2ac, Maes et al., 2014, Kao and Lagerloef, 2014). At the Equator, the mean seasonal cycle 61 consists of an extension and intensification of the WPFP towards the east in the second half of 62 63 the year and an extension of the EPFP to the west from November to June each year. At 20°N, the low SSS zonal variations are synchronous with the equatorial WPFP in the west. In the 64 east, they are shifted with respect to the equatorial EPFP cycle by a few months: their 65 extending phase occurs between June and January. This is consistent with the latitudinal 66 67 displacement of the seasonal SSS anomaly (SSSA) associated with the Intertropical Pacific 68 Convergence Zone (ITCZ) seasonality (Yu et al. 2015 and Sena-Martins and Stammer, 2015). 69 Figure 2 also shows large year-to-year variations, following the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phase, the leading mode of interannual variability in the Tropical Pacific. ENSO has 70 71 both local and global impacts on the oceanic and atmospheric circulations and leads to 72 many environmental impacts and societal havoc (Wang and Picaut 2004, McPhaden et al. 73 2006 and Sarachik and Cane, 2010). It includes a warm phase, El Niño, and a cold phase, La 74 Niña (see Philander, 1989) which intensity are usually measured by the Niño 3.4 index (surface temperature anomaly within the 3.4 region – 5°S-5°N, 170°-120°W) shown on Figure 75 76 2. The relationship between ENSO and the sea surface temperature (SST) is well described in 77 the literature. At the equator, ENSO is associated with the zonal displacement of the western 78 Pacific warm pool (WPWP, Fig. 2bd). The WPWP plays a key role in the zonal basin-scale 79 atmospheric circulation called the Walker Circulation which is greatly perturbed during 80 ENSO events.

81

82 A number of studies have explored the connection between salinity and ENSO (e.g. Delcroix and Picaut, 1998, Picaut et al., 2001, Gouriou and Delcroix, 2002, Bosc et al. 2009, Qu et al., 83 84 2014, Qu and Yu, 2014). Deep atmospheric convection, associated with the ascending branch 85 of the Walker circulation over the WPWP, induces precipitation above 10 mm/day on average 86 in the area (https://pmm.nasa.gov/TRMM/TRMM-based-climatology). At 140°W, the 87 interannual SSS signal amplitude reaches 1, which is twice the seasonal signal. Interannual SSS changes are due to variations of the surface freshwater fluxes but also of the ocean 88 89 dynamics. Both horizontal and vertical processes have been shown to play an important role 90 (Vialard et al. 2002 and Hasson et al., 2013ab, 2014, Gasparin et al. 2016, Qu et al., 2014). 91 SSS was shown to be a robust tracer for ENSO (Delcroix and Picaut, 1998, Singh et al., 2011, 92 Qu and Yu, 2014). Unlike SST, the ENSO signature in SSS is not only located within a few degrees of the equator but reaches higher latitudes (Delcroix et al. 1998, Hasson et al. 2014).
This allows us to track the ENSO-related signal away from the Equator, something not
possible with SST because of the strong thermal air-sea coupling.

96 Studies have also underlined the active role of salinity in the tropical ocean dynamics through 97 its effect on the vertical density stratification. The presence of a haline stratification in the 98 WPFP, shallower than the thermal one, controls the mixed layer (ML) depth and creates 99 barrier layers (Lukas and Lindstrom, 1991, Sprintall and Tomczak, 1992, Qu et al., 2014). 100 The ocean responds to this salinity-controlled density stratification by decreasing entrainment 101 cooling and by intensifying the local response to wind stress. The westward South Equatorial Current (SEC) brings saline water under the WPFP from the equatorial upwelling and 102 103 reinforces the barrier layer (Cronin and McPhaden, 2002). As the SEC is modulated by 104 ENSO, it induces a strong interannual variability of the barrier layer strength (Vialard and 105 Delecluse, 1998). SSS is found to play an active role in ENSO evolution (Ballabrera-Poy et 106 al., 2002, Vialard et al. 2002, Zhu et al. 2014) and a recent study found that the assimilation of 107 SSS in coupled forecasts yields longer ENSO predictability (Hackert et al., 2014).

108

Salinity is thus a unique tracer for tracking water mass displacement and as a rain gauge for
high rainfall areas (such as the ITCZ) but is also able to modulate sea-air interactions through
the formation of barrier layers.

112 Salinity has been extensively observed since the 2000s by the Argo program, with vertical profiles from 5 to 2000 m depth (Roemmich et al., 2009). Since 2010, SSS is monitored at an 113 114 unprecedented resolution from space by the European satellite mission Soil Moisture Ocean 115 Salinity (SMOS), by the Argentinian-American satellite mission Aquarius and by the 116 American satellite mission Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP). These missions enable the 117 observation of SSS globally at a resolution between 50x50km² and 150x150km² every 3 to 8 118 days. More details on these are given in the Data/Methods Section of the present paper. This 119 suite of observations provides a unique opportunity for studying the interannual variability of 120 the upper ocean salinity with unprecedented details.

121

122 During the SMOS time period (2010-present), the Niño3.4 index reveals 2 distinct ENSO

123 phases (colored line, Fig. 2): one La Niña event (mid 2010-2011), a terminating El Niño event

124 (early 2010), a full El Niño event (mid 2015-mid 2016) and almost 3 years in a "neutral state"

125 (2012-2014). Indeed, SMOS started measuring surface salinity in 2010, just as a moderate El

126 Niño was finishing. Following this event, La Niña developed and lasted for about two years.

- 127 SMOS observations of the 2010-2011 La Niña event show a strengthened WPFP eastern front
- and high salinity waters (above 35) reaching 150°E at the equator (Fig. 2a). The strongest part
- 129 of the signal is however found south of the equator in the SPCZ as was shown in a previous
- 130 study by Hasson et al. 2013b.
- 131

The presence of El Niño precursors in early-2014 (McPhaden et al., 2015), suggested the 132 133 development of a strong El Niño at the end of the year. However, the absence of sustained 134 westerly wind events and unusually strong easterly winds in summer 2014 are thought to have 135 halted the ongoing El Niño (McPhaden et al., 2015, Levine and McPhaden, 2016, Puy et al. 2016b, Zhu et al., 2016). Instead of shifting eastward, the WPWP remained west of the 136 137 dateline and the lack of atmosphere-ocean coupling stalled the developing El Niño. During 138 the following year, a major El Niño developed, to become one of the 3 strongest events ever 139 measured since 1950 (Blunden and Arndt, 2016, Paek et al. 2017). The state of the tropical 140 Pacific ocean at the end of 2014 has been suggested to have favored the outcome of this 141 unusually strong El Niño event (Levine and McPhaden, 2016) even though the random 142 occurrence of westward wind bursts can also explain its uniqueness (Puy et al. 2016b). By 143 spring 2015, the SST increased in the central and eastern equatorial Pacific with a strong 144 response of winds and atmospheric convection, leading to global atmospheric modifications 145 (Palmeiro et al. 2017). Negative SSSA were observed between 0 and 15°N around 170°W 146 from mid 2014 to mid 2015 (Boutin et al. 2016). This period was then followed by an 147 acceleration of the El Niño development till early 2016. The atmospheric convection 148 associated with the WPWP (i.e. the ascending branch of the Walker circulation) expanded 149 east of the dateline and well above average precipitation were found in the central equatorial 150 Pacific and south of the ITCZ, and below average near Indonesia.

By October 2015, and as expected, low salinities (below 35) and high temperatures were found around the equator east of the dateline (Fig. 2ab and 4) highlighting the El Niño eastward shift of the WPWP and WPFP described by Gasparin et al. (2016) and Corbett et al., (2017).

155

Additionally, significant freshening was observed around 20°N (Fig. 2b and 4) accompanied with a slight increase in SST (Fig. 2d). While the equatorial SSS anomalies associated with past El Niño have been well documented, thanks, in particular, to the SSS observations of the 160 negative SSS feature appears to be specific to 2015. The extra-equatorial SSS anomaly is 161 indeed not represented by the canonical SSS patterns associated with either the Central or 162 Eastern Pacific El Niño events, as described by Singh et al. (2011) with 30 years of in situ 163 observations (their Figure 5). The negative SSS anomaly associated with El Niño events of 164 the observed period is located between 10°N and 20°S.

165

166 The 2015-2016 El Niño is the first event fully captured by the SMOS satellite mission, giving 167 us an unprecedented opportunity to investigate the associated extra-equatorial SSSA. It is also 168 the most powerful El Niño monitored by the fully deployed Argo program (Gasparin et al., 169 2016, Corbett et al., 2017). Guimbard et al. (2017) observed the extent of the EPFP (less than 170 34.5 in their study) to be 20% larger in 2015 than the climatology and to reach the dateline by 171 the end of 2015. During the previous rainy season the preconditioning in 2014 of the zonal 172 extension of the EPFP was found to be an essential factor enabling the development of the 173 2015 maxima.

174

175 In this paper we combine the analysis of satellite observations with a numerical simulation in 176 order to investigate the unexpected 2015 northern tropical Pacific ocean SSS signal and the 177 processes driving it. Data and methodology are presented in section 2. Section 3 presents the 178 observation and analysis of the 2015 SSSA followed by the presentation of the mechanisms 179 revealed from observation and modeling. Analogous events during the 1996-2014 period are 180 also discussed. A discussion, conclusions and perspectives are given in section 4.

182 **2.** Data / Methods

This study is based on the combined use of observations (satellite, in situ) and a numericalsimulation. All datasets and relevant characteristics are presented in this section.

185

186 **2.1. Observational Datasets**

187 SMOS satellite salinity and an optimal interpolation of Argo float salinity measurement188 datasets are at the center of our investigation.

189 The SMOS satellite mission was launched in November 2009 as the second European Space 190 Agency (ESA) Earth Explorer (Kerr et al. 2010). It has been delivering space borne SSS since 191 January 2010. The level-3 SMOS product used here is developed by the CATDS CEC-192 LOCEAN and known as debias_v2. This product is corrected for coastal biases following an 193 improved method described in Kolodziejczyk et al. (2016) and for latitudinal biases (Boutin et 194 al. 2017 in revision). This dataset is available on a 0.25x0.25° EASE grid, smoothed over 18 195 days and available every 4 days from January 2010 to the end of 2016. The accuracy of this 196 SMOS SSS dataset in the open ocean is estimated to be better than 0.2 and is specifically 197 assessed in our region in the present paper.

Numerous in situ SSS datasets are available. We use mooring datasets for their high temporal
resolution timeseries available from the mid 90s at specific locations and an optimal
interpolation of in situ observations (mainly Argo floats) for its synoptic coverage.

201 The TAO-TRITON array has been deployed in the tropical Pacific Ocean in order to study 202 ENSO and its predictability following the 1982-1983 event. Moorings provides many high 203 temporal resolution ocean and atmosphere measurements. Here, we use daily salinity and 204 temperature measurements at various depth (McPhaden, 2006). As many moorings have gaps 205 in their timeseries surface salinity and temperature data were also retrieved from the Woods 206 Hole Oceanographic Institution Hawaii Ocean Timeseries Site (WHOTS) mooring at 22° 207 45'N, 158°W. Mooring data is used in the present study for point-comparison of SSS and SST 208 in order to assess other data quality. Whenever available, measurements from sensors at 1m 209 depth were used and, when unavailable, the 10m depth sensor was used to get the longest possible time series. Stratification in the first 10 m is assumed to be negligible at the 210 211 interannual time scale. Difference between the surface and 10m salinity can however 212 sometimes reach 1 locally but on average the vertical salinity differences are -.03 in the 213 tropics (Drucker and Riser, 2014) No flag was used for the TAO data as they were found to be not fully reliable as shown by Tang et al. (2017). This is further explained in section 2.4.Only "Acceptable measurements" were used for WHOTS mooring.

216 The optimal interpolation "In Situ Analysis System" (ISAS) combines temperature and 217 salinity measurements mainly from Argo floats profiles, TAO-TRITON moorings and vessels 218 CTD casts. ISAS provides monthly fields of temperature and salinity from the surface ocean 219 down to 2000m and on a near global .5°x.5° horizontal mesh (Gaillard et al., 2016). In this paper, we use the 5m depth level and version 6.2 of the ISAS algorithm applied in a delayed 220 mode (with careful in situ data evaluation) until 2012 (Gaillard et al., 2016) and in near real-221 222 time data after 2012 (Brion et al., 2011). ISAS uses covariance scales of 300 km in longitude, 223 proportional to the Rossby radius of deformation in latitude, and 30-day in time. The 224 resolution of scales less than 300 km by the monthly gridded values is expected to be poor, 225 even though it is weighted by the number of nearby data and their location.

Additional observational data have been used in order to study the mechanisms behind the
observed salinity variations, amongst which the Sea Surface Temperature (SST) from the
National Climatic Data Center of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
(NODC/NOAA), the surface currents (U) from the Geostrophic and Ekman Current
Observatory (GEKCO), evaporation (E) from Ocean-Atmosphere (OA)Flux and precipitation
(P) from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP).

232 The SST product is hereafter referred to as Reynolds SST (Reynolds et al., 2007). This 233 gridded ¹/₄-degree daily product (V2.0) is an optimal interpolation from combined datasets 234 derived from satellites and in-situ data (from ships and buoys). Reynolds SST is available 235 from 1981 till present. Based on satellite wind stress and altimeter sea level, GEKCO 236 provides daily geostrophic and Ekman currents at a .25° spatial resolution from 1992 to present (Sudre et al. 2013). Currents within 2 degrees of the equator are discarded. GPCP 237 238 precipitation data are available in its version 2.1 from October 1996 to October 2015 in a 239 daily 2.5-degree resolution mesh (Huffman et al. 2009). The OAFlux project supplies 240 evaporation data on a monthly 1° spatial grid from 1958 to present (Yu et al. 2008). Niño 3.4 241 SST anomalies were retrieved from the NOAA Earth Sciences Research Laboratory (ESRL) 242 and subsequently smoothed by a 5-month moving average.

Nearly all in situ salinities are based on seawater conductivity providing Practical Salinity measurements. Even though the difference is not noticeable at our scale of interest in the tropical Pacific Ocean, all salinities have been converted into absolute salinities using the Thermodynamic Equation of Seawater (TEOS-10) established by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Comity (IOC) and are expressed in g.kg⁻¹ (IOC, SCOR and IAPSO, 2010).
Using absolute salinity allows a direct comparison of observations and model salinities
(Wright et al. 2011).

250

251 **2.2. Numerical simulation**

252 To further analyze the SSSA associated with ENSO in 2015, forced oceanic experiments were 253 performed. The NEMO v3.2 model (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean, Madec et 254 al., 2008) was set up in a 1° resolution global configuration (ORCA1, Hewitt et al., 2011), with local transformations in the tropics leading to a $1/3^{\circ}$ meridional resolution at the equator. 255 256 The model vertical resolution is 10m at the surface, 25m at 100m depth and 300m at 5000m 257 depth, resulting in 42 vertical levels. More details on the model configuration and assessment 258 can be found in Puy et al. (2016a). The numerical simulation provides daily outputs of all the 259 needed parameters for this study such as salinity, temperature and currents but also all the 260 terms of the mixed layer salinity budget equation (see Section 2.5).

261 The model is forced by the DRAKKAR Forcing Set v5.2 (DFS5.2, Dussin and Barnier 2013), 262 derived from ERA 40 (Uppala et al. 2005) until 2002 and ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 263 2011) afterwards. The model is used in the present study to compute the salinity budget of the 264 mixed layer (ML) and therefore no direct SSS restoring can be used. In order to prevent the 265 salinity drift associated with the absence of restoring, a correcting term is computed as an 266 additional freshwater surface fluxes. This methodology was already used and described by 267 Vialard et al. (2002) and more recently by Hasson et al. (2013a, 2013b and 2014). The 268 computation of the correcting terms requires the run of a first simulation with a very strong 269 surface damping (i.e. 1000 mm/day). The 1985-2015 average correction on the freshwater 270 fluxes is computed from this first simulation and then added to the DFS5.2 forcing in the 271 second simulation. This way the simulation does not drift over time but keeps its dynamical 272 integrity for the time scales of interest. The correction corresponds to about 3.26% of the 273 average forcing field on our region of interest (10°S-30°N, 140°E-70°W). The ML salinity 274 budget is computed online at every model computation time step, the ML depth being defined 275 as the depth at which the density differs by 0.01 from the surface.

This model reproduces relatively well the interannual variability of the tropical Pacific Ocean
in 2014 and 2015 (Puy et al. 2016ab). The model is further assessed, especially in terms of
salinity, in Section 2.4.

280 **2.3.** Filtering time series

281

282 All anomalies were computed as described below. The common period of all datasets i.e. 2010-2015 is used to build a monthly climatology. Given the short length of the period, 283 284 filtering the time series without excluding data on either end is challenging. Our first solution 285 is to construct a monthly climatology is built from 25-month Butterworth high-pass filtered 286 data. The difference between the climatology over the 2010-2015 and the full record period of 287 each dataset is small and does not impact the results of this paper. This high-passed monthly 288 climatology is then subtracted from the original dataset to create a first estimation of the 289 interannual anomalies and is referred to as the "limited" interannual filter. This filtering 290 methodology preserves each end of all time-series but does not filter out the intraseasonal 291 variability. This "limited" interannual filter is used when investigating events close to the end 292 of the time-series. A second solution uses the same processing but adds an additional 13-293 month low-pass filter to remove the residual high frequency variability and preserve the 294 interannual variability. This more "robust" protocol is used whenever possible (i.e. 6-month 295 away from either end of the time-series). Figure 3 shows interannual anomalies from the 296 "limited" and "robust" filters from the 2010-2015 monthly climatology at two mooring sites 297 (stars on Fig. 1a). Both filters remove the seasonal cycle adequately. As expected the 298 "limited" filter leaves out some intraseasonal variability. This signal appears to be associated 299 with waves such as tropical instability waves and barotropic Rossby waves (Kessler et al. 300 2006; Farrar 2011).

301

302 2.4. SSS datasets assessment

303 Comparison of the in situ, space-borne and simulated salinity datasets were made both at304 selected mooring positions and at the basin scale.

The coherence among the different products is estimated at several mooring sites in terms of SSS and SST. All datasets were compared to their closest neighbor and filtered using the "limited" and "robust" filters described above. This comparison is illustrated for SSS at the dateline-Equator TAO and the WHOTS moorings (stars on Fig. 1a; Fig. 3). All datasets in Fig. 3a show coherent variability in SSSA at the equator. TAO mooring timeseries suspiciously departs from other datasets by 0.5 in April 2003 highlighting possible issues with 311 the TAO data. Interannual SSS variations are however well represented, for instance during 312 ENSO phases (i.e. 1997, 2002, 2007, 2010 and 2015). Further north at WHOTS, observations 313 consistently show weaker variability than at lower latitude (Fig. 3b). SSS variations do not 314 show any apparent correlation with ENSO (Niño 3.4 index). We point out the strong 315 agreement between the mooring and SMOS SSS at both interannual and intraseasonal 316 timescales. The model shows stronger interannual variations. Moreover, the bathymetry 317 around the Hawaiian Islands, and thus currents, is very complex. The model is expected to 318 inaccurately represent the variability close to the islands.

319 In order to further assess the datasets, statistics at 18 moorings (stars and dots on Fig. 1a) 320 were computed (Table 1). Statistical comparisons were made for the TAO moorings Quality 321 Control (QC)'ed data and for the whole time-series regardless of the QC, and it was found 1) 322 that there were not enough QCed data points to compute significant comparisons at most 323 moorings and 2) the results presented below do not differ substantially whether non QCed 324 data is used or not. The TAO moorings measurement and the ISAS product are not strictly 325 independent but it is expected that the objective analysis of ISAS will smooth out large TAO 326 errors so it could be used to remove the largest errors in the TAO data. TAO minus ISAS SSS 327 Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) is used to test the TAO dataset. Wherever the TAO-328 ISAS RMSD is larger than 0.3°C for SST and larger than 0.2 for SSS the TAO mooring 329 dataset is discarded. Filters described above are not used for this comparison. The mooring 330 data was averaged in time to reflect the other datasets time-resolution, leading to significant 331 differences in the number of points of comparison between datasets. Table 1 provides a 332 summary of the RMSD at the two moorings presented above (Fig. 3) and at all sites together. 333 NEMO- and Reynolds- TAO SST RMSD are below .5 and .4°C respectively showing a good 334 accuracy of both means of SST. NEMO and SMOS reproduce accurately TAO measurements 335 (RMSD both around 0.25) even though some dubious measurements are still present in the 336 TAO dataset.

In order to further validate the large scale features which are of particular interest for the present study, the October 2015 SSA is shown for SMOS, ISAS and the model in Figure 4 using the "limited filter". Patterns of the zonally-oriented basin-wide negative SSSA are consistent amongst the products. Firstly, the structure of the strongest anomaly, centered around the Equator and the dateline, is well simulated by the model when compared to both observation datasets, although its eastward extent is slightly reduced. Secondly, a fresh tongue

extends eastwards from the first anomaly, centered around 8°N and 5° wide in latitude, as 343 344 seen in SMOS observations and as reproduced well by the model. It is however very smooth 345 and non-continuous in the ISAS optimal interpolation as expected from the product's 346 effective resolution. Thirdly, the vast region of negative anomaly north of 10/15°N is 347 consistently seen in the model and in the observations. Finally, the patches of positive SSSA 348 bracketed by the negative ones west of the dateline are also very coherent from one product to 349 another. These features will be further described in the Results Section. The good agreement 350 between in situ and SMOS SSS datasets is well known. Since satellites only measure the first 351 cm of the water column and Argo top measurement is on average 5 m, stratification in the 352 tropical areas can be substantial (see Boutin et al., 2016 for a review). It was however shown 353 that at the large scale the impact of stratification is reduced (Druker and Riser, 2014). 354 Differences in measurement methods must hence be kept in mind.

This assessment gives us confidence in the consistency of all datasets to reproduce the SSS interannual variability in the tropical Pacific Ocean, as described by the TAO moorings. It further shows the particular capacity of SMOS observations and model simulations to reproduce observed detailed structures such as the sharp frontal features that optimal interpolations, such as ISAS, struggle to represent.

360

2.5. Salinity Budget Processes

362 The ML salinity budget equation can be written as below (e.g. Hasson et al., 2013).

363

364
$$\underbrace{\partial_{t}\mathbf{S}}_{I} = \underbrace{(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{P})\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{H}}}_{II} + \underbrace{-\vec{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\mathbf{S}}_{III} + \underbrace{\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{K}_{h}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\mathbf{S})}_{IV} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{w} + \partial_{t}\mathbf{H})\frac{1}{\mathbf{H}}\delta\mathbf{S}}_{V} + \underbrace{\partial_{Z}(\mathbf{K}_{z}\cdot\delta\mathbf{S})\frac{1}{\mathbf{H}}}_{VI} \quad (1)$$

365

366 Term I (g.kg⁻¹.year⁻¹) denotes the rate of change of salinity within the ML. The salinity 367 averaged within the ML is denoted by **S**. The terms on the right-hand side of the equation 368 describes the budget. Term II represents the freshwater forcing from the atmosphere onto the 369 ML of depth H. The land freshwater contribution to the budget can be neglected in the 370 tropical Pacific Ocean at the scales of interest. The horizontal processes are described by 371 terms *III* and *IV*, which represent the advection by the ML horizontal currents and the 372 horizontal mixing, respectively. Terms *V* and *VI* describe the vertical processes at the bottom of the ML. The vertical advection and entrainment are represented by term V. Term VI corresponds to the vertical mixing. K_h and K_Z are the horizontal and vertical diffusion coefficients, and δS the vertical salinity gradient across the ML base.

376

Even though the processes at fine scales are parameterized by the diffusion coefficients, it is not possible to close this budget from observations only, given its complexity. All terms of the full salinity budget (Eq. 1) can be computed from the NEMO numerical simulation at every iteration step (online) except for the entrainment (residual). They are then averaged and archived daily.

Aside from the fine scales, the processes at depth within the ocean (e.g. at the bottom of the ML) are too complex to account for with in situ observations as shown by various studies (Hasson et al. 2013, Sommer et al 2015, Gasparin et al. 2016, Guimbard et al. 2017). The observation-based budget is therefore simplified as:

386
$$\underbrace{\partial_t SSS}_{I} = \underbrace{(E-P)\frac{SSS}_{H}}_{II} + \underbrace{-\vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}SSS}_{III} + \text{residuals} \quad (2)$$

Where the SSS and the surface currents (u) are assumed to be representative of the ML. All of the vertical and fine scale terms are included in the residuals (*IV*, *V*, *VI* of Equation 1) and only the SSS tendency (I), freshwater fluxes (II) and horizontal advection (III) are represented. Following the SMOS product resolution, the observation based budget is computed from 18-day low pass filtered data from which the median is computed within 2.5° of latitude and 5° of longitude to force all datasets to have the most consistent resolution and to smooth out the noise.

394

395 **3. Results**

396 3.1. The October 2015 20°N SSS anomaly

397

3.1.1. Description

398

By the end of 2015, significant freshening is observed around 20°N (Fig. 2c, Fig. 4). SSS decreases across the basin at this latitude from mid-2014 to 2016 (Fig. 2c). The EPFP edge, denoted by the "eastern" 35 isohaline, reaches the dateline. This basin-wide freshening is represented in all SSS observations and the model (Fig. 3b and 4) and has an amplitude greater than 0.5 between 10 and 25°N. This feature is hereafter referred to as the 20°N anomaly. It is accompanied by a large equatorial freshening of about 1 in the western part of

- 405 the basin, centered around the dateline (Fig. 2a and 4). Fresh waters (below 35) are found east
- 406 of the dateline from mid 2015 to mid 2016 and the two freshpools join one another (Fig. 2a).
- 407 As described in the introduction, the equatorial SSS decrease is associated with the eastward
- 408 shift of the WPFP associated with El Niño and was described by Gasparin et al. (2016) and
- 409 Corbett et al. (2017). The large equatorial negative SSSA (below -0.5) lies between 160°E-
- 410 160°W and 8°S-8°N with an extension spreading all the way to 90°W and 10°N, forming a
- 411 "sigma-shaped" pattern.
- 412

The two large-scale SSSAs are separated by a band of weaker anomalously fresh waters centered around 10°N. A positive +0.3 anomaly extends east from north of Papua New Guinea to 170°E (Fig. 4). This is consistent with Singh et al. (2011) analysis of El Niño composite patterns of SSS.

This anomalous negative interannual SSS double-pattern (i.e. equatorial and extra-equatorial) is specific to the year 2015. Singh et al. (2011) found SSSA associated with El Niño events to be concentrated south of 10°N. During the 2002-2003 and 2009-2010 El Niño events, no freshening is found around 20°N, both in ISAS, SMOS (2010 only) and modelling datasets (Fig. 3b). In addition, the model does shows any significant freshening around 20°N for any of the simulated El Niño events during 1985-2013 (Fig. 3b).

In the present study, we show how the October 2015 20°N SSS anomaly was generated andhow the equatorial and extra-equatorial anomaly are related.

425

426

3.1.2. Build-up of the 20°N SSS anomaly

The 20°N SSS anomaly first appears in 2014 as shown by observation and modelling (Fig. 3b and Fig. 2c). SSS decreases consistently amongst products at WHOTS mooring from early 2014 to the end of 2015 (Fig. 3b). The analysis of SMOS interannual monthly SSSA shows that the 20°N SSS anomaly appears to originate west of the dateline in the equatorial region in early 2014 (Fig. 5a-d). The model simulation shows gradual build-up over 2014-2015 (Fig. 5e-h). The evolution of the April 2014 negative anomaly can be described from observations and modelling as follows.

In April 2014, an equatorial negative interannual SSS anomaly of below -.3 is present west of
the dateline (Fig 5ae). From April to October 2014, SSS decreases across a large band,
roughly from 5 to 10°N and from the dateline to the American coast. A zonal bipolar anomaly
is in place around the equator in October 2014 with an anomaly of -0.3 west of 170°E and

438 +0.3 to the east (Fig. 5 bf). This "sigma shaped" anomaly resembles the canonical SSS signal 439 associated with ENSO described by Singh et al. (2011), even if El Niño is not developing in 440 2014. By April 2015, the equatorial anomaly starts its eastward shift expected from the 2015 441 El Niño event development (Fig 5cg). North of 5°N, the anomaly is intensified and spreads all 442 the way to 20°N. From April to October 2015, the negative equatorial anomaly intensifies to 443 be well over -0.5 and reaches the dateline (Fig. 5dh). Similar to October 2014, the anomaly becomes "sigma shaped" as a narrow 5°-10°N less fresh anomaly band develops. By October 444 445 2014, the positive anomaly centered on 5°S and the dateline has nearly disappeared to the 446 east. The 20°N anomaly (<-0.5) is distinct and spans from 10 to 25°N (Fig. 5dh). The model 447 shows a weaker anomaly than the observations but has consistent salinity patterns and timing. 448 This discrepancy could be explained by very near surface stratification as the model averages 449 salinity over the mixed layer (with a minimal depth of 10m, corresponding to the 1st level of 450 the model) whereas SMOS measures the first centimeter. Moreover, this discrepancy can also 451 be due to imprecision in the model's mixing parametrization and its coarser resolution north 452 of 10°N. Note that the equatorial Pacific Ocean is in a very similar state in April 2014 and 453 April 2015 in terms of SSS (Fig. 5aecg). By October the difference between 2014 and 2015 is 454 substantial. In 2015, the "sigma-shaped" anomaly fully develops and is seconded by the wide-455 spread 20°N anomaly (Fig. 5dh). In early 2016, both anomalies weaken, even though the 456 northward extension is still apparent (not shown).

457

During this period, saltier than usual waters occur off Baja California. This anomaly lasted all through 2014 and 2015 (Fig. 5a-d), which seems consistent with the warmer than usual waters found in this region at that time and called "the Blob" (Di Lorenzo and Mantua, 2016, Gentemann et al. 2017, Hu et al. 2017). Further exploration of this SSS anomaly and its relation to the "Blob" temperature anomaly are needed, but are beyond the scope of this study.

464

These strong interannual SSS variations are driven by freshwater fluxes (evaporation and precipitation) and the ocean currents and mixing through the salinity balance equation (Eq. 1). Freshwater fluxes are important in the region of interest with the strongest values (below -1.5 m.yr⁻¹) occurring in the ITCZ, South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ) and WPWP regions, roughly between 5 and 10°N across the basin and between 10°S and 10°N west of 170°E (Fig. 1a). Freshwater fluxes have a strong variability at all timescales, which is evident from April 2014 to October 2015 (Fig. 5) and are consistent with SSSA to the first order, especially in 472 October 2014 and 2015. Discrepancies however underline the non-negligible role of ocean 473 dynamics. North of 10°N, the westward flowing North Equatorial Current (NEC) is the 474 southern branch of the sub-tropical gyre (Fig. 1b, grey contours). The North Equatorial 475 Counter-current (NECC) runs eastward between 3 and 10°N with an average speed of .25 m/s. 476 The SEC spans from 10°S to 3°N and is concentrated in two westward jets on each side of the 477 equator of about .3 m/s speed. Trade winds not only force the zonal currents but also induces the equatorial upwelling and associated poleward meridional divergence called the Ekman 478 479 drift. Tropical oceans have a short response time to atmospheric variations and therefore the 480 currents system shows intense variability at seasonal and interannual time scales (Philander, 481 1990) as shown from April 2014 to October 2015 (Fig. 5, arrows). Patterns of SSSA and 482 currents show an interesting coherence. It is however not straight forward how freshwater 483 fluxes and surface currents interact to produce the observed SSS anomalies. Further 484 investigation is performed in the following section, by considering the salinity budget over 485 2014-2015 from observations and modelling to shed light on the mechanisms leading to the 486 20°N SSSA.

- 487
- 488

3.1.1. Associated Processes

489 Observations of SSS, freshwater fluxes and surface currents can provide an estimation of the 490 SSS budget (Eq. 2) that can only represent surface processes (Fig. 6). The model on the other 491 hand provides a full budget (Eq. 1) and provides details on the mechanisms that are 492 unresolved by observations such as vertical processes (Fig. 7dhl).

493 Between April and October 2014, negative SSS tendencies are found between approximately 494 5° and 10°N across the basin (Fig. 6a and 7a) leading to the narrow SSSA extension described 495 above (Fig. 5bf). The observation budget shows that the freshwater fluxes do not account for 496 all of this SSS decrease (Fig. 6, left column). East of 150°W, the freshwater fluxes act to 497 decrease the SSS north of the negative SSS tendency. The model confirms the role of 498 advection which can only be indirectly inferred from observations (Fig. 7, left column). The 499 SEC-induced advection increases SSS within 5° of the equator, whereas an intensified NECC brings fresher waters from the western part of the basin between 5° and 10°N. The equatorial 500 501 anomalous fresh waters associated with the 2014 weak El Niño are therefore advected by the 502 NECC far into the center of the basin. Vertical processes have a damping effect on the SSS 503 changes because of their dependence on the vertical stratification as described by Vialard et 504 al. (2002) and Hasson et al. (2013a).

505 During the following 6-month period (October 2014 to April 2015) shows negative SSS 506 tendency north of 10°N, corresponding to the 20°N anomaly build-up. It is also accompanied 507 by the equatorial SSS decrease due to the developing 2015 El Niño event (Fig. 6 and 7, 508 central column). Observed and modelled freshwater fluxes are important during this period 509 but do not coincide with the northern SSS tendency as they are mostly damped by vertical 510 processes. In the model, horizontal advection appears to be the main driver of the SSS decrease north of 10°N brought by zonal currents (Fig. 7). Currents indeed drive low SSS 511 advected in the 5-10°N area during the previous 6-month period to the north. Hasson et al. 512 513 (2014) found the Ekman drift to drive SSSA southward during the 2010-2011 La Niña in the 514 western tropical Pacific. It is hypothesized that the Ekman drift is also responsible for the 515 northward SSSA advection observed in 2014-2015. This hypothesis is further explored below. 516 This low SSS signal is not as affected as much by vertical processes as waters brought by the 517 zonal currents. As shown by Gasparin et al. (2016), horizontal advection and vertical 518 processes control the equatorial SSS decrease.

519 The 20°N anomaly continues its build-up through the next 6-month period (Fig. 6 and 7, right column). Negative SSS tendency is found within 10° of latitude of Hawaii. Similarly to the 520 521 previous period, the freshwater flux does not drive this SSS change as seen both for 522 observations and the model. If the analysis of observed horizontal advection does not allow to 523 draw any conclusions, the modelled advection clearly has an impact on the SSS tendency (Fig 524 7k). In mid 2015, El Niño is developing in the Pacific and the seasonal eastward flowing 525 NECC is in place whereas the SEC has nearly disappeared. The NECC reverts north into the 526 NEC around 100°W, then flowing westward between 10° and 20°N. As for the previous 6-527 month period, we make the hypothesis that the Ekman drift is driving this northward 528 advection. Vertical processes are opposing horizontal advection north of Hawaii and help to 529 eventually dissipate the negative SSSA. During this period, the 20°N anomaly appears in 530 conjunction with the canonical 10°S-10°N El Niño SSSA, with a strong negative tendency 531 between April and October 2015 and extending to the American continent. From April 2015, 532 El Niño develops and the entire atmospheric circulation is gradually modified, impacting 533 freshwater fluxes. Precipitation then increases across the tropical Pacific Ocean and especially 534 east of the WPWP climatological position and south of the ITCZ and SPCZ climatological 535 positions, leading to intense interannual surface freshwater fluxes across the tropical Pacific 536 ocean. Our budget confirms that a combination of all terms explains the associated equatorial 537 SSS decrease (Gasparin et al. 2016). North of Papua New-Guinea and in the Solomon Sea, the effect of interannual freshwater forcing is positive, which is consistent with the modification 538

of the Walker circulation. A region of weakly increasing SSS is also present around 10°N
separating the two negative tendency regions. Modelled advection reveals the role of the
NECC advecting positive SSSA from the north of the Papua New-Guinea to the center of the
basin.

543

544 Analyzing the SSS budget over successive 6-month periods has enabled us to track the sequence of processes leading to the unexpected 20°N SSS anomaly observed and modelled in 545 546 October 2015. To summarize these processes, negative SSSAs are formed at the Equator, 547 west of the dateline, under El Niño conditions during January-April of the 2014 and 2015. They are subsequently advected eastward between 5° and 10°N by the NECC and amplified 548 549 by freshwater fluxes until October of each year. The 2014 and 2015 negative SSSAs continue 550 to be advected north but also zonally as the NECC retroflects to the north into the westward 551 flowing NEC. The SSSAs diminish as the seasonal NEC declines and are advected northward 552 reaching the Hawaiian Islands by April. They subsequently linger in the area. This cycle 553 happened twice, with the weak El Niño in 2014 and the strong event in 2015, and created the 554 unprecedented anomaly of October 2015.

It is however still not clear which mechanisms are behind the northward advection of the equatorial SSSAs, whether it is specific to El Niño conditions and why it has not been observed in the past. In order to investigate this, the longer records of SSS from SMOS, ISAS and the model are now considered.

559

560 **3.2. Northward pathway of equatorial SSSA**

561

562 At every occurrence of El Niño during the observed period (i.e. 2002-2003, 2009-2010 and 2014-2015) a negative SSS anomaly shows an apparent northward displacement between 563 564 150-170°W (Fig. 8a-c). In addition, northward displacements of SSSAs also occur during 565 neutral periods, i.e. 2005 or 2007. Negative SSSAs are present at the equator west of 170°W 566 during strong El Niño events especially in 1998 and 2015 when the equatorial anomaly spreads eastward. During the 1997-1998 El Niño event and in the model, only a weak 567 568 anomaly reaches 20°N early 2000. SSSAs in 2002, 2010 and 2014 only reach 170°W at 5°N (Fig. 8a-c). In 2014, the SSSA is advected by the NECC eastward to 170°W between 5° and 569 570 10°N (Fig. 5c). The evolution of SSSA patterns from October 2002 to April 2004 and from October 2009 to April 2011 (Fig. 9) is coherent with what has been described above for 2015 571

(Fig. 5). An equatorial anomaly is present west of the dateline in October of the first year. Six
months later, the anomaly spans across the basin between 5 and 15°N. The SSSAs become
apparent between 150°-170°W (Fig. 8a-c). In October of the second year, the anomaly begins
its northward course and reaches 20°N by April of the second year (Fig. 8a-c and Fig. 9).

576 To focus on this northward displacement the interannual SSS budget is computed from the 577 model and presented as latitude-time plots averaged within 150-170°W (Fig. 10). The SSS tendency shows the displacement of anomalies from 5-10°N to 20-25°N in about 18 months 578 579 over the entire period (Fig. 10a) mirroring Figure 8c. Significant freshwater fluxes only occur 580 south of 10°N and do not show any northward displacement (Fig. 10b). The horizontal 581 oceanic processes clearly appear as the main driver of the SSSA displacement (Fig. 10c). 582 Vertical oceanic processes have a buffer effect on abrupt changes in SSS, resulting from 583 surface fluxes and horizontal dynamics (Fig. 10f), as found in the 2014-2015 budget analysis. 584 In order to investigate in more detail the role of the different terms acting in the horizontal 585 processes and to better understand the northward movement of SSSAs, the Ekman advection

586 is computed off-line from the model as follows:

587

$$\underbrace{\vec{u}}_{III} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S}}_{IV} + \underbrace{\overrightarrow{\nabla} (\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S})}_{IV} = (\overrightarrow{u_{e}} + \overrightarrow{u_{ne}}) \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S} + \underbrace{\overrightarrow{\nabla} (\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S})}_{IV}$$

$$= \underbrace{\overrightarrow{u_e} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S}}_{VII} + \underbrace{\overrightarrow{u_{ne}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S}}_{VIII} + \underbrace{\overrightarrow{\nabla} (K_h \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{S})}_{IV} \quad (3)$$

588

591 Budget term numbers follow Equation 1, where III is the horizontal advection, IV is the 592 horizontal mixing. VII represents the Ekman advection and VIII the non-Ekman advection 593 (Fig. 10de). The Ekman current (u_e) is computed from the model wind and the non-Ekman 594 current (u_{ne}) is the difference of u_e from the total current. Ekman currents are not computed 595 within two degrees of the equator.

596 We hypothesized from the 2014-2015 budget analysis, that northward advection of SSSA is 597 mostly driven by the Ekman currents. SSSAs are advected poleward throughout the 1996-598 2016 period, regardless of the ENSO phase of the ocean (Fig. 10cd). ENSO however 599 modulates the 0-10°N SSSA intensity (Singh et al., 2011) that is subsequently advected north 600 (Fig. 8a-c). The large SSSA created by ENSO acts as a tracer to highlight the northward pathway described in the present study. This northward movement is further modulated by 601 602 freshwater fluxes (Fig. 10b) as seen for instance in 2014-2015 when they reinforce the 603 negative SSSA. Conversely, the 1997-1999 SSS budget suggests that the equatorial negative anomaly advected north by the Ekman currents (Fig. 10d) is opposed by positive freshwater
fluxes and vertical processes (Fig. 10bf). The 1997 El Niño event therefore triggered a strong
freshening of the equatorial region that hardly appears at 20°N.

- 607 Following their advection within the NECC (5-10°N), the equatorial SSSAs continue their
- northward journey driven by the Ekman drift into the NEC (10-15°N) and then northward out
- of NEC to around the latitude of the Hawaiian Islands ($\sim 20^{\circ}$ N) where they are slowly eroded.
- 610

611 **4. Summary and conclusions**

In 2015, an unusually large negative SSS basin-wide anomaly was measured at 20°N in the 612 613 Pacific ocean from space by the SMOS mission and in situ by Argo floats. In the same year, 614 one of the strongest El Niño events on record developed and created disruptions in the 615 atmospheric and oceanic circulations. The analysis of this 20°N negative SSS anomaly reveals 616 its origin almost 20 months earlier at the Equator. A sigma-shaped negative SSS anomaly 617 centered on the Equator and the dateline is also observed in October 2015, as expected from 618 the development of the 2015-2016 El Niño. This double-anomaly pattern at the equator and 619 20°N was not found in the historical measurements of surface salinity during previous El Niño 620 events. The mechanisms leading to this double anomaly were investigated here, using both in 621 situ and satellite observations together with a validated ocean-only numerical simulation 622 performed with the NEMO ocean model.

El Niño creates equatorial SSSA both via anomalous freshwater fluxes and modified ocean dynamics (advection, entrainment and mixing). The Walker circulation is strongly altered and its ascending branch is displaced and scattered from the western equatorial Pacific to a more central position. This leads to a modification of the precipitation patterns and of the trade winds over the Pacific Ocean, leading to changes in evaporation and in the equatorial currents system.

629 The SSS budget from observations provides the first key on the role of the surface processes described by Eq. 1. Observed surface freshwater forcing echoes the modifications in the 630 631 Walker circulation in 2015. Even though the observed horizontal advection is noisy, some 632 patterns can be distinguished but the results are too noisy to be quantified. Further analysis is conducted with the numerical simulation and confirms that horizontal advection is the main 633 634 driver of SSS changes at the Equator. The WPFP is indeed advected eastward during the first 635 4 months of 2014 and 2015, driven by the weakening Trade winds and successive westerly 636 wind events in 2015 (Puy et al., 2016b, Gasparin et al., 2016). During the following months,

these anomalies are further advected eastward by the NECC in a narrow band between 5 and 637 10°N. Satellite observations and the model simulation (Fig. 5) indicate that the NECC 638 intensity is particularly strong in 2015, as described by Guimbard et al., (2015; their Figure 639 640 12). The intensification of ITCZ precipitation between 5° and 10°N associated with El Niño 641 conditions from April to October 2015 also drives a strong decrease of SSS with a rate below 642 -3 year⁻¹. A combination of surface advection and surface forcing is thus responsible for the narrow extension of the intense equatorial anomaly that extends zonally from the dateline to 643 644 almost the American continent in October 2014 and 2015. The 5-10°N SSSAs are 645 subsequently advected north by the Ekman drift into the westward flowing NEC and then 646 north out of it again. The westward advection of the EPFP does not appear to play a major 647 role in the 5-10°N SSS anomaly, as suggested by Guimbard et al. (2017).

648 The October 2014 SSSA is further advected North reaching 20°N during the following year. 649 Our analysis confirms that the Ekman drift acts as a basin-wide treadmill advecting these 650 interannual anomalies poleward through the tropical zonal current system. Some part of the 651 net northward transport of the SSSA is also carried by the NECC's retroflection northward 652 into the NEC. Furthermore, smaller scale current features appear to interact with the Ekman 653 northward drift, as revealed by the striking coherence of interannual SSSA and unfiltered 654 surface currents for example around Hawaii (5-20°N and 140-180°W, Fig. 11) in both the 655 model and observations. Cusps in the NECC and NEC are observed at these latitudes and it is 656 hypothesized that they play a significant role in the northward displacement of the SSSA. They were described by Perigaut (1990) and Farrar and Weller (2006) as being baroclinic 657 658 instability waves. Furthermore, they could transport anomalies in SST and SSS meridionally 659 in a similar way to tropical instability waves near the equator (Kessler et al., 2006 and Lee et 660 al., 2014). Note that the model SSSA structure is much smoother than the observations and 661 may not resolve small scale eddies due to its 1° horizontal resolution at 20°N. The cusps 662 indeed create northward pathways for the fresh waters brought by the NECC from the western 663 Pacific as seen in January 2015 (Fig. 11).

The present study demonstrates the importance of the Ekman drift, the NECC to NEC transport and suggests the role of smaller scale features in generating the October 2015 20°N basin-wide SSSA as they all provide northward pathways for equatorial anomalies to reach the extra tropics. Analogous mechanisms occurred in the successive years in 2014 and 2015, led to a superimposition of SSSAs and created the unusually strong double structure in October 2015. Moreover, we find a strong anomaly by the end of 2016 (Fig. 2ac). The record of the past 20 years of observed and simulated SSS shows a continuous northward advection

of SSSA from the Equator to the Subtropics that is balanced by freshwater fluxes and vertical 671 672 processes. This advection is particularly clear during El Niño events as anomalously strong SSSA are generated in the equatorial region. The 1997-1998 El Niño equatorial negative SSS 673 674 anomaly was also advected north, but in this event it was counteracted by strong positive 675 freshwater fluxes and vertical processes, especially in the ITCZ. The sequence of the halted 676 2014 El Niño producing a first equatorial SSS anomaly which was then reinforced by the ITCZ freshwater fluxes and lingered in the Pacific, then followed by El Niño conditions in 677 2015 led to the formation of the very large 20°N anomaly in 2015. 678

679 In the recent years, the improvement of satellite SSS retrieval has enabled the study of the 680 mean state and the variability of the SSS field at scales that are not resolved by the sparsely 681 distributed very high frequency moorings, nor by the Argo network whose nominal resolution 682 is about 300km and 10-day globally (Roemmich et al., 2009). The coherence of satellite-683 borne SSS and independent datasets such as altimetry currents gives us confidence in the 684 quality of the data and their capacity to provide information at the large mesoscale. Both Argo profiling floats and TAO/TRITON moorings provide complementary in situ datasets giving 685 686 valuable insight into subsurface processes that cannot be observed from space (Gasparin et al. 687 2015) and provide a benchmark of in situ observations for the calibration and validation of 688 space missions as well as models. Their first order importance should not be disregarded and 689 this complementary observing capacity needs to be maintained in the future (Durack et al. 690 2016).

This study shows the ability of SSS to retain El Niño-generated anomalies for up to 20 months from the equator to 20°N, in striking contrast to SST (Figure 8cd) which is rapidly eroded by air-sea interactions. This close-to-passive behavior is another testimony of the central role of salinity as an essential tracer to understand the ocean and its role in climate.

695

696

697 Acknowledgements

AH acknowledges the support of a CNES Postdoctoral fellowship. This work is a contribution
to the TOSCA/ SMOS-Ocean proposal supported by CNES. We benefited from numerous
datasets made freely available and are listed here: The SMOS debias_v2 SSS produced by
LOCEAN/IPSL (UMR CNRS/UPMC/IRD/ MNHN,) laboratory which participates in the
Ocean Salinity Expertise Center (CECOS) of Centre Aval de Traitement des Donnees SMOS
(CATDS) at IFREMER, Plouzane, France (http://www.catds.fr/Products, see documentation :
http://www.catds.fr/Products/Available-products-from-CEC-OS/L3-Debiased-Locean-v2);

705 the TAO-TRITON moorings data by GTMBA Project Office of NOAA/PMEL 706 (https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/gtmba/); the WHOTS mooring supported by NOAA through the 707 Cooperative Institute for Climate and Ocean Research (CICOR) and by National Science; the 708 in situ Analysis System (ISAS) by LPO (CNRS/ IFREMER/IRD/UBO) and products were 709 available by F. Gaillard (wwz.ifremer.fr/lpo/La-recherche/Projetsmade en-710 cours/GLOSCAL), the Precipitation Climatology Project data (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.gpcp.html), 3.4 711 Nino Index 712 (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/gcos wgsp/Timeseries/Nino34/), Woods Hole Oceanographic 713 Institution OAFlux evaporation dataset (http://oaflux.whoi.edu/evap.html), GEKCO product 714 by Joël Sudre at LEGOS, France (http://ctoh.legos.obs-mip.fr/products/global-surface-715 currents/global-surface-current-data-product) and Reynolds SST (ftp://eclipse.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/OI-daily-v2/NetCDF//). We acknowledge the support of the 716 717 Belmont project GOTHAM, under grant ANR-15-JCLI-0004-01. We thank Jérôme Vialard

718 for some very helpful discussions.

720 **References**

721

- Alory, G., Maes, C., Delcroix, T., Reul, N., & Illig, S. (2012). Seasonal dynamics of sea
 surface salinity off Panama: The far Eastern Pacific Fresh Pool. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *117*(C4), C04028.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007802
- Ballabrera-Poy, J., Murtugudde, R., & Busalacchi, A. J. (2002). On the potential impact of sea
 surface salinity observations on ENSO predictions. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 107(C12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jc000834
- Blunden, J., & Arndt, D. S. (2016). State of the Climate in 2015. Bulletin of the American *Meteorological* Society, 97(8), Si-S275.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2016BAMSStateoftheClimate.1
- Bosc, C., Delcroix, T., & Maes, C. (2009). Barrier layer variability in the western Pacific
 warm pool from 2000 to 2007. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 114.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008jc005187
- Boutin, J., Martin, N., Kolodziejczyk, N., & Reverdin, G. (2016). Interannual anomalies of
 SMOS sea surface salinity. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 180, 128–136.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.053
- Boutin, J., Chao, Y., Asher, W. E., Delcroix, T., Drucker, R., Drushka, K., ... Ward, B.
 (2016). Satellite and In Situ Salinity: Understanding Near-Surface Stratification and
 Subfootprint Variability. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *97*(8),
 1391–1407. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00032.1
- Brion, E., Gaillard, F., Petit de la Villéon, L., Delcroix, T., Alory, G., & Reverdin, G. (2011).
 Collecting and gridding complementary in-situ SST/SSS data for the calibration
 and validation of SMOS, *41*, 33.

Corbett, C. M., Subrahmanyam, B., & Giese, B. S. (2017). A comparison of sea surface salinity in the equatorial Pacific Ocean during the 1997–1998, 2012–2013, and 2014–2015 ENSO events. *Climate Dynamics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-0173527-y

749 Cronin, M. F., & McPhaden, M. J. (2002). Barrier layer formation during westerly wind
750 bursts. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 107(C12).
751 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jc001171

- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., ... Vitart, F.
 (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *137*(656), 553–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828
- Delcroix, T., Gourdeau, L., & Henin, C. (1998). Sea surface salinity changes along the FijiJapan shipping track during the 1996 La Nina and 1997 El Nino period. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(16), 3169–3172.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/98gl02320
- Delcroix, T., & Picaut, J. (1998). Zonal displacement of the western equatorial Pacific "fresh
 pool." *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 103(C1), 1087–1098.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc01912
- Di Lorenzo, E., & Mantua, N. (2016). Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 North Pacific
 marine heatwave. *Nature Clim. Change*, 6(11), 1042–1047.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3082
- Drucker, R., & Riser, S. C. (2014). Validation of Aquarius sea surface salinity with Argo:
 Analysis of error due to depth of measurement and vertical salinity stratification. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(7), 4626–4637.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010045
- Durack, P. J., Lee, T., Vinogradova, N. T., & Stammer, D. (2016). Keeping the lights on for
 global ocean salinity observation. *Nature Clim. Change*, 6(3), 228–231.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2946
- 773 Dussin, R., & Barnier, B. (2013). The making of DFS 5.1. Drakkar Project Rep.
- Farrar, J. T. (2011). Barotropic Rossby Waves Radiating from Tropical Instability Waves in
 the Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 41(6), 1160–1181.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JPO4547.1
- Farrar, J. T., & Weller, R. A. (2006). Intraseasonal variability near 10 N in the eastern tropical
 Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 111(C5).
- Gaillard, F., Reynaud, T., Thierry, V., Kolodziejczyk, N., & von Schuckmann, K. (2016). In
 Situ–Based Reanalysis of the Global Ocean Temperature and Salinity with ISAS:
 Variability of the Heat Content and Steric Height. *Journal of Climate*, 29(4), 1305–
 1323. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0028.1
- Gasparin, F., & Roemmich, D. (2016). The strong freshwater anomaly during the onset of the
 2015/2016 El Niño. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(12), 6452–6460.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069542

- Gentemann, C. L., Fewings, M. R., & García-Reyes, M. (2017). Satellite sea surface
 temperatures along the West Coast of the United States during the 2014–2016
 northeast Pacific marine heat wave. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(1), 312–319.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071039
- Gordon, A. L., Giulivi, C. F., Busecke, J., & Bingham, F. M. (2015). Differences among
 subtropical surface salinity patterns. *Oceanography*, 28(1), 32–39.
- Gouriou, Y., & Delcroix, T. (2002). Seasonal and ENSO variations of sea surface salinity and
 temperature in the South Pacific Convergence Zone during 1976-2000. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 107(C12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jc000830
- Guimbard, S., Reul, N., Chapron, B., Umbert, M., & Maes, C. (2017). Seasonal and
 interannual variability of the Eastern Tropical Pacific Fresh Pool. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122(3), 1749–1771.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012130
- Hackert, E., Busalacchi, A. J., & Ballabrera-Poy, J. (2014). Impact of Aquarius sea surface
 salinity observations on coupled forecasts for the tropical Indo-Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119*(7), 4045–4067.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009697
- Hasson, A., Delcroix, T., Boutin, J., Dussin, R., & Ballabrera-Poy, J. (2014). Analyzing the
 2010–2011 La Niña signature in the tropical Pacific sea surface salinity using in
 situ data, SMOS observations, and a numerical simulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *119*(6), 3855–3867. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009388
- Hasson, A., Delcroix, T., & Boutin, J. (2013). Formation and variability of the South Pacific
 Sea Surface Salinity maximum in recent decades. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, *118*(10), 5109–5116. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20367
- Hasson, Delcroix, T., & Dussin, R. (2013). An assessment of the mixed layer salinity budget
 in the tropical Pacific Ocean. Observations and modelling (1990-2009). Ocean
 Dynamics, 63(2–3), 179–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-013-0596-2
- Hewitt, H. T., Copsey, D., Culverwell, I. D., Harris, C. M., Hill, R. S. R., Keen, A. B., ...
 Hunke, E. C. (2011). Design and implementation of the infrastructure of
 HadGEM3: the next-generation Met Office climate modelling system. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4(2), 223–253. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-223-2011
- Hu, Z.-Z., Kumar, A., Jha, B., Zhu, J., & Huang, B. (2017). Persistence and Predictions of the
 Remarkable Warm Anomaly in the Northeastern Pacific Ocean during 2014–16. *Journal of Climate*, 30(2), 689–702. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0348.1

- Huffman, G. J., Adler, R. F., Bolvin, D. T., & Gu, G. (2009). Improving the global
 precipitation record: GPCP Version 2.1. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(17), n/an/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040000
- Kao, H.-Y., & Lagerloef, G. S. E. (2015). Salinity fronts in the tropical Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 120(2), 1096–1106.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014jc010114
- Kerr, Y. H., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J.-P., Delwart, S., Cabot, F., Boutin, J., ...
 Mecklenburg, S. (2010). The SMOS Mission: New Tool for Monitoring Key
 Elements of the Global Water Cycle. *Proceedings of the Ieee*, 98(5), 666–687.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/jproc.2010.2043032
- Kessler, W. S. (2006). The circulation of the eastern tropical Pacific: A review. *Progress in Oceanography*, 69(2–4), 181–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.03.009
- Kolodziejczyk, N., Boutin, J., Vergely, J.-L., Marchand, S., Martin, N., & Reverdin, G.
 (2016). Mitigation of systematic errors in SMOS sea surface salinity. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 180, 164–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.061
- Lee, T., Lagerloef, G., Kao, H.-Y., McPhaden, M. J., Willis, J., & Gierach, M. M. (2014). The
 influence of salinity on tropical Atlantic instability waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *119*(12), 8375–8394. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010100
- Levine, A. F. Z., McPhaden, M. J., & Frierson, D. M. W. (2017). The impact of the AMO on
 multidecadal ENSO variability: AMO IMPACTS ON ENSO. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(8), 3877–3886. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072524
- Lukas, R., & Lindstrom, E. (1991). The Mixed Layer of the Western Equatorial Pacific
 Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 96, 3343–3357.
- 843 Madec, G. (2008). NEMO ocean engine, *Note du Pole de Modélisation*(27), 300.
- Maes, C., Reul, N., Behringer, D., & O'Kane, T. (2014). The salinity signature of the
 equatorial Pacific cold tongue as revealed by the satellite SMOS mission. *Geoscience Letters*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-014-0017-5
- McPhaden, M. J., Zebiak, S. E., & Glantz, M. H. (2006). ENSO as an integrating concept in
 earth science. *Science*, *314*(5806), 1740–1745.
- McPhaden, M. J., Timmermann, A., Widlansky, M. J., Balmaseda, M. A., & Stockdale, T. N.
 (2015). The curious case of the El Niño that never happened: a perspective from 40
 years of progress in climate research and forecasting. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96(10), 1647–1665.

- Paek, H., Yu, J.-Y., & Qian, C. (2017). Why were the 2015/2016 and 1997/1998 extreme El
 Niños different? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(4), 1848–1856.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071515
- Palmeiro, F. M., Iza, M., Barriopedro, D., Calvo, N., & García-Herrera, R. (2017). The
 complex behavior of El Niño winter 2015–2016. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 44(6), 2902–2910. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072920
- Périgaud, C. (1990). Sea level oscillations observed with Geosat along the two shear fronts of
 the Pacific North Equatorial Countercurrent. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 95(C5), 7239–7248. https://doi.org/10.1029/JC095iC05p07239
- Philander, S. G., Holton, J. R., & Dmowska, R. (1989). *El Nino, La Nina, and the Southern Oscillation*. Elsevier Science. Retrieved from
 http://books.google.fr/books?id=9fwrkW_B1YYC
- Puy, M., Vialard, J., Lengaigne, M., & Guilyardi, E. (2016). Modulation of equatorial Pacific
 westerly/easterly wind events by the Madden–Julian oscillation and convectivelycoupled Rossby waves. *Climate Dynamics*, 46(7), 2155–2178.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2695-x
- Puy, M., Vialard, J., Lengaigne, M., Guilyardi, E., Voldoire, A., & Madec, G. (2016).
 Modulation of equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature response to westerly wind
 events by the oceanic background state. *Climate Dynamics*.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3480-1
- Qu, T., Song, Y. T., & Maes, C. (2014). Sea surface salinity and barrier layer variability in the
 equatorial Pacific as seen from Aquarius and Argo: SEA SURFACE SALINITY
 AND BARRIER LAYER. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *119*(1), 15–
 29. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009375
- Qu, T., & Yu, J.-Y. (2014). ENSO indices from sea surface salinity observed by Aquarius and
 Argo. *Journal of Oceanography*, 70(4), 367–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872014-0238-4
- Reynolds, R. W., Smith, T. M., Liu, C., Chelton, D. B., Casey, K. S., & Schlax, M. G. (2007).
 Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature. *Journal of Climate*, 20(22), 5473–5496. https://doi.org/10.1175/2007jcli1824.1
- Roemmich, D., Johnson, G. C., Riser, S., Davis, R., Gilson, J., Owens, W. B., ...
 Ignaszewski, M. (2009). The Argo Program: Observing the global ocean with
 profiling floats. *Oceanography*, 22(2), 34–43.

- 886 Sarachik, E. S., and Mark A. Cane. (2010). *The El Niño-Southern Oscillation Phenomenon*.
 887 Cambridge University Press.
- Singh, A., Delcroix, T., & Cravatte, S. (2011). Contrasting the flavors of El Nino-Southern
 Oscillation using sea surface salinity observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, *116*. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jc006862
- Sommer, A., Reverdin, G., Kolodziejczyk, N., & Boutin, J. (2015). Sea Surface Salinity and
 Temperature Budgets in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre during SPURS
 Experiment: August 2012-August 2013. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2, 107.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015.00107
- Sprintall, J., & Tomczak, M. (1992). Evidence of the barrier layer in the surface layer of the
 tropics. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(C5), 7305.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/92JC00407
- Sudre, J., Maes, C., & Garçon, V. (2013). On the global estimates of geostrophic and Ekman
 surface currents. *Limnology and Oceanography: Fluids and Environments*, 3(1), 1–
 20.
- 901 Takahashi, K., Martínez, R., Montecinos, A., Dewitte, B., Gutiérrez, D., & Rodríguez-Rubio,
 902 E. (2014). White Paper# 8a—Regional applications of observations in the eastern
 903 Pacific: Western South America. Report of the Tropical Pacific Observing System
 904 2020 Workshop (TPOS 2020) GCOS-184.
- Tang, W., Fore, A., Yueh, S., Lee, T., Hayashi, A., Sanchez-Franks, A., ... Baranowski, D.
 (2017). Validating SMAP SSS with in situ measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 200, 326–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.021
- Tchilibou, M., Delcroix, T., Alory, G., Arnault, S., & Reverdin, G. (2015). Variations of the
 tropical Atlantic and Pacific SSS minimum zones and their relations to the ITCZ
 and SPCZ rain bands (1979-2009). *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, *120*(7), 5090–5100. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jc010836
- 912 Uppala, S. M., Kållberg, P., Simmons, A., Andrae, U., Bechtold, V. d, Fiorino, M., ... Kelly,
 913 G. (2005). The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological*914 Society, 131(612), 2961–3012.
- 915 Vialard, J., & Delecluse, P. (1998). An OGCM study for the TOGA decade. Part II: Barrier916 layer formation and variability. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 28(6), 1089–
 917 1106. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028<1089:aosftt>2.0.co;2

- Vialard, J., Delecluse, P., & Menkes, C. (2002). A modeling study of salinity variability and
 its effects in the tropical Pacific Ocean during the 1993–1999 period. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 107(C12).
- Wang, C., & Picaut, J. (2004). Understanding ENSO physics—A review. *Earth's Climate*,
 21–48.
- Wright, D., Pawlowicz, R., McDougall, T., Feistel, R., & Marion, G. (2011). Absolute
 Salinity,''Density Salinity''and the Reference-Composition Salinity Scale: present
 and future use in the seawater standard TEOS-10. *Ocean Science*, 7(1), 1–26.
- Yu. (2011). A global relationship between the ocean water cycle and near-surface salinity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *116*(C10).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006937
- Yu, L. (2015). Sea-surface salinity fronts and associated salinity-minimum zones in the
 tropical ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120(6), 4205–4225.
- Yu, L., Jin, X., & Weller, R. A. (2008). Multidecade Global Flux Datasets from the
 Objectively Analyzed Air-sea Fluxes (OAFlux) Project: Latent and sensible heat
 fluxes, ocean evaporation, and related surface meteorological variables. (OAFlux
 Project Technical Report) (p. 64pp.). Woods Hole Massachusetts: Woods Hole
 Oceanographic Institution.
- Zhu, J., Huang, B., Zhang, R.-H., Hu, Z.-Z., Kumar, A., Balmaseda, M. A., ... Kinter III, J. L.
 (2014). Salinity anomaly as a trigger for ENSO events. *Scientific Reports*, 4.
- 238 Zhu, J., Kumar, A., Huang, B., Balmaseda, M. A., Hu, Z.-Z., Marx, L., & Kinter III, J. L.
 2016). The role of off-equatorial surface temperature anomalies in the 2014 El
 2010 Niño prediction. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19677
- 941
- 942

943 **Figure Captions**

- Table 1. Root mean square differences (RD) between Mooring observations (selected TAO,
 WHOTS and all TAO and WHOTS) and the model (NEMO), interpolated in situ
 (ISAS), interpolated in situ and satellite (Reynolds) and satellite only (SMOS) data,
 in terms of sea surface temperature and salinity.
- 948
- Figure 1. 2010-2016 mean fields of (a) SSS (SMOS) and freshwater flux (0 and -1.5 m.yr-1
 contours, OAFlux and GPCP) and (b) current velocity and associated streamlines

- 951 (GEKCO). Stars and dots denotes moorings used to produce Table 1. Timeseries952 from moorings denoted by stars at shown on Fig. 3.
- Figure 2. 2010-2016 longitude-time plots of SSS (SMOS) averaged between 2°S and 2°N (a)
 and 16 and 20°N (c) and of SST (Reynolds) averaged between 2°S and 2°N (b) and
 18 and 22°N (d). NINO3.4 on top of all panels, blue during La Niña and red during
 El Nino.
- Figure 3. Time series of monthly interannual SSS at (a) the equator and 180°E and (b) at
 158°W, 23°N from Moorings (green), ISAS (red), SMOS SSS (yellow) and the
 NEMO numerical simulation (blue). Lighter colors and thinner lines for the
 seasonal climatology anomaly (limited filter) and darker thicker lines when using
 the "robust" filter. The dashed grey line denotes the Niño 3.4 index (-.4 scaling).
- 962 Figure 4. October 2015 SSS Interannual Anomaly from (a) SMOS, (b) ISAS and (c) the963 model from the "limited" filtering technique.
- Figure 5. Monthly average, every 6 months, of the interannual SMOS (a-d, left panels) and
 modelled (e-h, right panels) SSS anomaly (color), unfiltered currents (arrows) and
 isohyets (-2.5 and -3.5 m.yr-1, magenta contours) for the months of April and
 October 2014 and 2015.
- 968 Figure 6. 7-month SSS tendency (a, d, g), fresh water fluxes (b, e, h) and horizontal (c, h, i) 969 advection centered around July 2014 (a-c, left panels), January 2015 (d-f, middle 970 panels) and July 2015 (g-I, right panels) from observations. Panels a, d and g 971 represent SSS changes (Term I of Eq. 2) from April 2014 (Fig. 5a) to October 2014 972 (Fig. 5b), from October 2014 (Fig. 5b) to April 2015 (Fig. 5c) and from April 2015 973 (Fig. 5c) to October 2015 (Fig. 5d) respectively. SSS changes associated with 974 freshwater fluxes and horizontal advection (Terms II and III of Eq. 2) over the same 975 periods are shown on panels b, e, h and c, h, i respectively. Contours on all panels 976 represent the -0.1 year-1 SSS tendency for each period.
- Figure 7. 7-month SSS tendency (a, e, i), fresh water fluxes (b, f, j), horizontal (c, g, k) and
 vertical (d, h, l) ocean processes centered around July 2014 (a-d, left panels),
 January 2015 (e-h, middle panels) and July 2015 (i-l, right panels) from the NEMO
 numerical simulation. Contours on all panels represent the -0.1 year-1 SSS
 tendency for each period. Analogous to Figure 6.
- Figure 8. 150°-170°W Latitude-time plot of the interannual SSS anomaly from SMOS (a),
 ISAS (b), and NEMO (c) and SST from NEMO (d). NINO3.4 on top of all panels,
 blue during La Niña and red during El Nino (scaled by -2, centered on the Equator).

- Figure 9. Interannual NEMO SSS anomaly for the months of October 2002 (a), April and
 October 2003 (b, c), April 2004 (d), October 2009 (e), April and October 2010 (f,g)
 and April 2011(h).
- Figure 10. 150°-170°W latitude-time plots of the interannual NEMO SSS budget as described
 by Equations 1 and 3: (a) SSS tendency, (b) freshwater fluxes, (c) horizontal
 processes, (d) Ekman horizontal advection, (e) remaining horizontal processes from
 the Ekman horizontal advection and (f) vertical processes
- Figure 11. SSSA and currents from (a) SMOS and (b) NEMO for January 2015
- 993
- 994

995 Tables

Table 1. Root mean square differences (RD) between Mooring observations (selected TAO
and WHOTS moorings (same as Fig. 3) and all TAO and WHOTS) and the model (NEMO),
interpolated in situ (ISAS), interpolated in situ and satellite (Reynolds) and satellite only
(SMOS) data, in terms of sea surface temperature and salinity.

	Sea Surface Temperature (°C)						Sea Surface Salinity					
	NEMO		ISAS		Reynolds		NEMO		ISAS		SMOS	
	Ν	RD	Ν	RD	Ν	RD	Ν	RD	Ν	RD	Ν	RD
TAO	6805	0.60	161	0.27	1614	0.45	5904	0.33	135	0.19	354	0.33
WHOTS	3980	0.35	131	0.16	1073	0.24	3980	0.25	131	0.22	200	0.18
All	62756	0.48	1445	0.24	14638	0.37	41045	0.28	1203	0.15	3987	0.24

1000

1001

1002 Figures



Figure 1. 2010-2016 mean fields of (a) SSS (SMOS) and freshwater flux (0 and -1.5 m.yr-1
contours, OAFlux and GPCP) and (b) current velocity and associated streamlines
(GEKCO). Stars and dots denotes moorings used to produce Table 1. Timeseries
from moorings denoted by stars at shown on Fig. 3.



Figure 2. 2010-2016 longitude-time plots of SSS (SMOS) averaged between 2°S and 2°N (a)
and 16 and 20°N (c) and of SST (Reynolds) averaged between 2°S and 2°N (b) and
18 and 22°N (d). NINO3.4 on top of all panels, blue during La Niña and red during
El Nino.





1019Figure 3. Time series of monthly interannual SSS at (a) the equator and 180°E and (b) at1020158°W, 23°N from Moorings (green), ISAS (red), SMOS SSS (yellow) and the1021NEMO numerical simulation (blue). Lighter colors and thinner lines for the1022seasonal climatology anomaly (limited filter) and darker thicker lines when using1023the "robust" filter. The dashed grey line denotes the Niño 3.4 index (-.4 scaling).



Figure 4. October 2015 SSS Interannual Anomaly from (a) SMOS, (b) ISAS and (c) themodel from the "limited" filtering technique.



Figure 5. Monthly average, every 6 months, of the interannual SMOS (a-d, left panels) and
modelled (e-h, right panels) SSS anomaly (color), unfiltered currents (arrows) and
isohyets (-2.5 and -3.5 m.yr-1, magenta contours) for the months of April and
October 2014 and 2015.



Figure 6. 7-month SSS tendency (a, d, g), fresh water fluxes (b, e, h) and horizontal (c, h, i)
advection centered around July 2014 (a-c, left panels), January 2015 (d-f, middle
panels) and July 2015 (g-I, right panels) from observations. Panels a, d and g
represent SSS changes (Term I of Eq. 2) from April 2014 (Fig. 5a) to October 2014
(Fig. 5b), from October 2014 (Fig. 5b) to April 2015 (Fig. 5c) and from April 2015
(Fig. 5c) to October 2015 (Fig. 5d) respectively. SSS changes associated with
freshwater fluxes and horizontal advection (Terms II and III of Eq. 2) over the same

periods are shown on panels b, e, h and c, h, i respectively. Contours on all panels represent the -0.1 year-1 SSS tendency for each period.



Figure 7. 7-month SSS tendency (a, e, i), fresh water fluxes (b, f, j), horizontal (c, g, k) and vertical (d, h, l) ocean processes centered around July 2014 (a-d, left panels), January 2015 (e-h, middle panels) and July 2015 (i-l, right panels) from the NEMO numerical simulation. Contours on all panels represent the -0.1 year-1 SSS tendency for each period. Analogous to Figure 6.



Figure 8. 150°-170°W Latitude-time plot of the interannual SSS anomaly from (a) SMOS, (b)
ISAS, and (c) NEMO and (d) SST from NEMO. NINO3.4 index is superimposed
on each panel (blue during La Niña, grey during normal conditions, and red during
El Nino events) (scaled by -2, centered on the Equator).



Figure 9. Interannual NEMO SSS anomaly for the months of October 2002 (a), April and
October 2003 (b, c), April 2004 (d), October 2009 (e), April and October 2010 (f,g)
and April 2011(h).



Figure 10. 150°-170°W latitude-time plots of the interannual NEMO SSS budget as described
by Equations 1 and 3: (a) SSS tendency, (b) freshwater fluxes, (c) horizontal
processes, (d) Ekman horizontal advection, (e) remaining horizontal processes from
the Ekman horizontal advection and (f) vertical processes





1073 Figure 11. SSSA and currents from (a) SMOS and (b) NEMO for January 2015