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Originality-Significance Statement: Marine unicellular cyanobacteria of the genus *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* are major contributors to primary production and carbon (C) export in the open ocean. These picocyanobacteria are considered to be photoautotrophic, but recent evidence suggests they may also benefit from assimilation of organic compounds (mixotrophy). Most studies investigating the light-dependent organic C uptake potential of marine cyanobacteria have been performed with cultures, while only one field study has demonstrated glucose uptake by *Prochlorococcus* in the Atlantic Ocean. Hence, in situ data is lacking to assess the potential mixotrophic nutrition of these globally relevant marine cyanobacteria, how it compares to their autotrophic nutrition mode (CO₂ fixation), and its environmental controls (nutrients, light levels, etc.).

To fill this gap, we used a combination of radiolabeled organic molecules in incubation experiments where both light availability and photosynthetic electron transport were controlled, followed by flow cytometry cell sorting to separate picoplankton groups in natural samples along an oligotrophic to ultraoligotrophic transect in the Western Tropical South Pacific (WTSP) Ocean. Our results demonstrate that natural *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* can incorporate organic molecules, including glucose, and that rates are reduced in the dark or when photosynthesis is inhibited. This mixotrophic metabolism by unicellular cyanobacteria was widespread in the tested trophic gradient in the WTSP Ocean. In comparison, the low-DNA-content bacteria, a group dominated by photoheterotrophic bacteria from the SAR11 group, also presented large (~35%) light-enhanced cell-specific glucose uptake, but generally lower than for *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* (~50%). Nevertheless, parallel group specific C uptake from ^14^C-sodium bicarbonate suggest that the most abundant marine phytoplankton, *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* remain primarily autotrophic. Our results also indicate that
mixotrophy by marine picocyanobacteria is more likely to be an adaptation to low inorganic nutrient availability as cell specific uptake rates of organic molecules containing nutrients were much higher (35.5±16.5 time for leucine uptake by *Prochlorococcus*) than for glucose.

These findings are a significant contribution for developing a mechanistic understanding of the diverse cellular physiologies of different bacterioplankton groups, and to improve our understanding of microbial adaptations to light and nutrient availability, but also our knowledge of marine dissolved organic matter cycling, and the role of light in bacterioplankton biogeochemical functions in the ocean.
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Summary

Cyanobacteria are major contributors to ocean biogeochemical cycling. However, mixotrophic metabolism and the relative importance of inorganic and organic carbon assimilation within the most abundant cyanobacteria are still poorly understood. We explore the ability of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus to assimilate organic molecules with variable C:N:P composition and its modulation by light availability and photosynthetic impairment. We used a combination of radiolabeled molecules incubations with flow cytometry cell sorting to separate picoplankton groups from the western tropical south Pacific Ocean. Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus assimilated glucose, leucine, and ATP at all stations, but cell-specific assimilation rates of N and P containing molecules were significantly higher than glucose. Incubations in the dark or with an inhibitor of photosystem II resulted in reduced assimilation rates. Light-enhanced cell-specific glucose uptake was generally higher for cyanobacteria (~50%) than for the low nucleic acid fraction of bacterioplankton (LNA, ~35%). Our results confirm previous findings, based mainly on cultures and genomic potentials, showing that Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus have a flexible mixotrophic metabolism, but demonstrate that natural populations remain primarily photoautotrophs. Our findings indicate that mixotrophy by marine cyanobacteria is more likely to be an adaptation to low inorganic nutrient availability rather than a facultative pathway for carbon acquisition.
Introduction

Unicellular marine cyanobacteria (e.g., *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*) are major contributors to primary production and carbon (C) export in the open ocean (Li et al., 1983, Richardson & Jackson 2007, Johnson & Lin 2009, Martiny et al., 2009). Cyanobacteria are aerobic oxygenic prokaryotes that use a chlorophyll-based light-harvesting complex and CO₂ as a C source. Therefore, marine representatives have been classically considered photoautotrophs (Karl 2007), and *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* have long been considered to be unable to use organic C sources such as glucose (Waterbury et al., 1986, Béjà & Suzuki 2008). However, the simple picture that marine cyanobacteria are purely photoautotrophic is actually much more complex. Indeed, recent molecular evidences indicate that organic compound uptake genes are ubiquitous within marine picocyanobacteria (Yelton et al., 2016), suggesting that these photosynthetic microorganisms may present mixotrophic metabolism. *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* have the genetic capacity to use not only organic molecules with key limiting chemical elements such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), but also molecules devoid of such elements, such as glucose (Gao and Xu 2012, Gomez-Baena et al., 2008, Muñoz-Marín et al., 2013, 2017, Yelton et al., 2016). Unicellular marine cyanobacteria are thus potentially capable of degrading and assimilating a wide range of organic molecules, but only a handful of studies have measured assimilation rates of some selected organic molecules such as amino acids, adenosine-5’-triphosphate (ATP) or dimethylsulfoniopropionate (Michelou et al., 2007, Mary et al., 2008a,b Duhamel et al., 2012, Ruiz-Gonzalez et al., 2012b, Björkman et al., 2015, Evans et al., 2015). Results suggest that marine picocyanobacteria may obtain nutrients mixotrophically via the
uptake of N and/or P-containing organic molecules when facing nutrient limitation

(Yelton et al., 2016).

Direct evidences that marine picocyanobacteria use organic molecules to obtain energy or C are scarce, particularly under in situ conditions. To date, only one study by Muñoz-Marín et al. (2013) demonstrated that Prochlorococcus in the Atlantic Ocean can take up organic C lacking other essential nutrients at nanomolar concentrations in the light (using radiolabeled glucose). A limited number of studies have attempted to quantify the relative contribution of mixotrophy compared to photoautotrophy in C assimilation by natural communities of marine cyanobacteria. First attempts to quantify the contribution of glucose uptake to total C assimilation in Prochlorococcus indicated that it may be very small (<1%; Muñoz-Marín et al. 2013). However, measurements of C assimilation from inorganic and organic substrates were done separately (in the same sampling area but on separate cruises and by different users) and only one taxon was considered, making comparison between fluxes and taxonomic groups difficult (Paoli et al., 2008, Muñoz-Marín et al., 2013, Benavides et al., 2017). Thus, it is still unclear if organic C assimilation by marine unicellular cyanobacteria is ubiquitous, and how it contributes to total C uptake to different groups of picocyanobacteria (e.g. high-light and low-light adapted Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus, Partensky & Garczarek, 2010) in comparison to CO₂ fixation.

Additional critical gaps in our understanding of picocyanobacteria mixotrophic metabolism concern regulating factors. In particular, we still don’t know how organic C assimilation by marine unicellular cyanobacteria depends upon light availability and photosynthetic electron transport in natural settings (Moore, 2013). Recent findings
showed that light enhances picocyanobacteria uptake rates of amino acids, ATP or dimethylsulfoniopropionate (Michelou et al., 2007, Mary et al., 2008a, Duhamel et al., 2012, Ruiz-Gonzalez et al., 2012b, Björkman et al., 2015, Evans et al., 2015).

Interestingly, organic nutrient assimilation by the low nucleic acid bacterioplankton (LNA), which has been shown to be numerically dominated by the photohererotrophs SAR11 (Mary et al., 2006, Hill et al., 2010, Gómez-Pereira et al., 2013, Zubkov et al., 2015), was enhanced to a comparable extent to that by *Prochlorococcus* (Gómez-Pereira et al., 2013). However, the mechanisms of this light enhancement are not well understood. Recently, Muñoz-Marín et al. (2017) explored the potential role of photosynthetic electron transport in the regulation of glucose uptake by *Prochlorococcus* SS120 in laboratory cultures. Using different photosynthesis inhibitors, they showed that glucose uptake was significantly reduced or even inhibited. This remains untested with natural populations.

To improve our understanding of marine unicellular cyanobacteria utilization of organic molecules and answer pressing questions about their mixotrophic metabolism in the wild, we conducted a set of experiments in the WTSP during the OUTPACE cruise (Oligotrophy to UlTra-oligotrophy PACific Experiment). Based on the ubiquity of picocyanobacterial organic compound uptake genes (Yelton et al. 2016), but low glucose uptake rates by *Prochlorococcus* in the Atlantic Ocean (Muñoz-Marín et al. 2013), we hypothesize that mixotrophy by *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* is an adaptation to nutrient limitation rather than a facultative pathway for carbon acquisition. To test this hypothesis, we measured group-specific assimilation rates of organic molecules containing C only, C and N, or C, N and P (glucose, leucine, and ATP, respectively) in
Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus. We then compared C assimilation from glucose and sodium bicarbonate to test how much C is assimilated via autotrophic or mixotrophic pathways. We also compared the light enhancement of leucine, ATP, and for the first time, glucose, by natural Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and LNA, and tested the effect of the photosystem II inhibitor 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU).

We hypothesize that as for organic nutrient assimilation, organic C uptake is enhanced in the light, and that it is partially tied to photosynthetic electron transport.

**Results**

*Description of the study area*

Three incubation experiments were carried out in the WTSP at the long duration (LD, 72 h) stations A, B and C (thereafter LDA, LDB, LDC, respectively) chosen for their contrasted biogeochemical conditions (Table 1, Moutin et al. 2017). At LDA and LDB, seawater was sampled within the well-lit top mixed layer (ML at 54% PAR, 7 and 9 m depths, respectively) and at the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM, 0.3% PAR, 70 and 90 m, respectively), while LDC was sampled at 60 m depth (10% PAR), to compare results between microbial communities adapted to different light levels and nutrient conditions.

The ML was 14, 21, and 34 m deep, at LDA, LDB, and LDC, respectively (Moutin et al., 2018).

Station LDA was relatively oligotrophic (Table 1), with moderately high chlorophyll $a$ concentrations compared to typical open ocean regional values (0.36±0.05 μg l$^{-1}$, Benavides et al., 2017). Station LDB was sampled in an elevated chlorophyll $a$ patch, about twofold the concentration at LDA in the ML (0.83±0.07 μg l$^{-1}$, Benavides et
Compared to LDA, LDB exhibited similar low nutrient concentrations in the ML but depleted inorganic nutrients at the DCM (Table 1). Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and LNA abundances were 2.3, 1.4 and 3.6-fold higher in the ML of LDB than at LDA; and respectively were 2.3–6.1, 13.1–31.8 and 1.5–4.5 fold higher in the ML than at the DCM (Table 1). LDC presented characteristics of the oligotrophic south Pacific gyre (lower surface chlorophyll concentrations and deeper DCM, Claustre et al., 2008, Moutin et al. 2017). Glucose concentrations were on average 2.6 to 4.3-fold higher at LDA than at LDB and LDC. Leucine and ATP concentrations were on average 1.4 to 4.5-fold higher at LDA than at LDB and LDC (Table 1).

Assimilation rates of organic molecules in light incubations

The assimilation of radiolabeled organic molecules with C alone, or C with N or P (glucose, leucine and ATP, respectively) was measured in separate incubations. Flow sorting of radiolabeled cells after incubation in the light showed that Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and LNA assimilated glucose, leucine and ATP at all sampled stations and depths (Fig.1). Group-specific assimilation rates of glucose followed trophic gradients and were generally higher at LDB>LDA>LDC, and higher in the ML than at the DCM (Fig. 1 a, b). Cell specific rates of glucose assimilation by LNA were 4.8 and 1.7-fold higher than Prochlorococcus in the ML at LDA and LDB, respectively, and 2.3-fold higher at LDA-DCM, but Prochlorococcus presented higher cell specific rates than LNA at LDB-DCM and LDC-60m (4.1 and 1.3 times, respectively, Fig. 1a). Synechococcus presented 1.4±0.2-fold higher cell specific assimilation rates than Prochlorococcus at LDB (p<0.05) and LDC, but about half the rate by Prochlorococcus.
at LDA, although differences were not significant at LDA and LDC. Because
Synechococcus was much less abundant than Prochlorococcus and LNA (on average
Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and LNA represented 46±5, 2±2 and 52±7% of the
cumulated abundance (Prochlorococcus+Synechococcus+LNA), respectively; Table 1),
the contribution of the Synechococcus group to glucose assimilation rates was at least an
order of magnitude lower than that of Prochlorococcus and LNA groups (0.90±1.12,
0.08±0.11 and 1.95±2.52 pmol Glc l\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) on average, for Prochlorococcus,
Synechococcus and LNA groups, respectively, Fig. 1b). Consequently, Synechococcus
accounted for < 3% of the total glucose assimilation by the three combined sorted groups
(Prochlorococcus+Synechococcus+LNA), while LNA accounted for > 65% at LDA and
LDB-ML, and Prochlorococcus contributed to 70 and 54% at LDB-DCM and LDC.
Thus, Prochlorococcus can be a large contributor to glucose assimilation rates in
comparison to SAR11-like photoheterotrophic groups. But compared to the total
microbial community glucose assimilation (i.e. bulk rates), Prochlorococcus accounted
for only 4.9±3.3%, similar to results obtained in the Atlantic Ocean (Muñoz-Marín et al.,
2013).

Group-specific assimilation rates of leucine and ATP were also higher at LDB
and LDA than at LDC, and were higher in the ML than at the DCM (p<0.05, Fig. 1). On
average, Prochlorococcus and LNA groups accounted for 50±23 and 21±7% of leucine
assimilation by the total microbial community, respectively; and 17±12 and 40±17% of
ATP assimilation by the total microbial community, respectively. The Synechococcus
group contributed to < 1% of the leucine and ATP assimilation by the total microbial
community. Cell specific leucine and ATP assimilation rates by Synechococcus were
roughly an order of magnitude lower than by *Prochlorococcus*, except for ATP at LDB and LDC, where assimilation rates by *Synechococcus* were similar to those by *Prochlorococcus*.

*Light-enhanced uptake of organic molecules and relationship to photosynthesis*

Flow sorting of labeled cells incubated in light or dark bottles showed that light enhanced the cell specific uptake of all radiolabeled organic molecules tested here, including glucose, and in most cases to a larger extent in *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* as compared to LNA (Fig. 2). On average, incubations in the light represented an enhancement of 44±18, 57±30 and 35±11% of dark glucose uptake, 73±23, 57±30 and 35±11% of dark leucine uptake and 56±25, 35±24 and 43±30% of dark ATP uptake by *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and LNA, respectively. In comparison, incubations in the light represented an enhancement of 92±11 and 99±2% of dark $^{14}$C-sodium bicarbonate uptake by *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*, respectively; where dark uptake was negligible (Fig. 2g). Interestingly, the light enhancement of organic molecule uptake rates was higher at the DCM than in the ML for *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* (light to dark ratios of glucose, leucine and ATP uptake were 1.3–2.1 (ML) vs. 2.2–5.5 (DCM), 1.1–4.1 (ML) vs. 2.2–11.4 (DCM), and 1.9–10.5 (ML) vs. 1.5–1.7 (DCM), respectively; Fig. 3, 4, 5). In comparison, light enhancement of organic molecule uptake by LNA was mostly similar between ML and DCM. In SYBR stained samples, high-DNA-content bacteria (HNA) could be properly distinguished from *Prochlorococcus* only in samples from the DCM and differences between light and dark incubations were either not significant or higher in the dark ($t$-test,
p<0.05, Fig. 2 b, d, f), and thus this group is not further discussed here. However, these results indicate that the light enhancement of organic molecule assimilation by Prochlorococcus could not be a result of by-sorting HNA bacteria overlapping in size with Prochlorococcus.

Additions of the photosystem II inhibitor DCMU resulted in reduced Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and LNA glucose uptake to a level not statistically different from rates in the dark, except for Prochlorococcus and LNA at LDB-ML where uptake was higher in DCMU compared to the dark (Fig. 3). Cell specific leucine uptake was lower when DCMU was added, significantly for Prochlorococcus and LNA at all stations/depths but only in the ML for Synechococcus (Fig. 4). For Prochlorococcus, DCMU resulted in higher or equal rates than in the dark while for LNA, DCMU resulted in lower rates than in the dark. In most cases, DCMU resulted in decreased cell specific ATP uptake for the three groups compared to light samples, but differences between dark and DCMU were not significant (except for Synechococcus at LDC, Fig. 5).

Bacterial production

Because bacterial production is commonly measured using leucine or thymidine assays, the effect of light and DCMU on the incorporation rates of leucine and thymidine into trichloroacetic acid (TCA) insoluble material (Leu\textsubscript{inc} and Tdr\textsubscript{inc}, respectively) was estimated in separate incubations. Light affected Leu\textsubscript{inc} to a larger extent than Tdr\textsubscript{inc} (Fig. 6a, b, e). Leu\textsubscript{inc} and Tdr\textsubscript{inc} rates were 12–57% (40±21%) and 2–27 % (11±14%) lower in the dark than in the light, respectively (Fig 6e). The addition of DCMU resulted in an average decrease of 68±10% and 49±23% in Leu\textsubscript{inc} and Tdr\textsubscript{inc} rates in the light,
respectively (Fig. 6a, b). The Leu\textsubscript{inc} to Tdr\textsubscript{inc} ratio was on average 1.6±0.5 times higher in the light than in the dark, 1.5±0.3 times higher in the light than with DCMU (Fig.6c).

**Discussion**

*Characterization of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus mixotrophic metabolism.*

Owing to their capability to utilize sunlight and atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} for growth, *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* are commonly considered photoautotrophs. Yet, recent evidence has shown that the uptake of organic N- (leucine, amino acids) and P- (ATP) molecules by *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* is enhanced in the light (Michelou et al., 2007, Mary et al., 2008b, Duhamel et al., 2012, Gomez-Pereira et al., 2013). Therefore previous studies (e.g. Björkman et al., 2015, Gomez-Pereira et al., 2012, Michelou et al., 2007, Moore 2013, Muñoz-Marín et al., 2013, 2017, Zubkov 2009, Zubkov et al., 2003) since the early work of Rippka (1972) have commonly defined this nutritional plasticity in marine cyanobacteria as photoheterotrophy, although *sensus stricto* this term defines organisms that use light for energy, but cannot use CO\textsubscript{2} as their sole C source. However, these studies demonstrating light-enhancement of N or P-containing organic molecules uptake did not directly verify if marine cyanobacteria could also use organic molecules containing only C (e.g. glucose) and if light also enhances organic C assimilation. To the best of our knowledge, only Muñoz-Marín et al. (2013) demonstrated that *Prochlorococcus* could assimilate glucose, a molecule devoid of heteroatoms (N or P), in natural seawater. Our results geographically expand these findings from the Atlantic Ocean and demonstrate that not only *Prochlorococcus* but also *Synechococcus* assimilate glucose in biogeochemically distinct marine environments of
the WTSP. Interestingly, cell- and group-specific assimilation rates of glucose appeared to follow trophic gradients, similar to organic C uptake by *Trichodesmium* (Benavides et al., 2017). Further, we demonstrate that light enhanced cell specific glucose uptake by nearly 50% for *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*, suggesting that variability in light availability (e.g., changes in light intensity due to euphotic layer PAR gradient, diel sunlight rhythm, cloud coverage) could largely impact organic C assimilation by these cyanobacteria. Similar results were also found in cultures of the low-light *Prochlorococcus* SS120 strain in which 24h incubation in the dark induced a 40% decrease in glucose uptake (Gomez-Baena et al., 2008). Thus, marine cyanobacteria may be a significant competitor of heterotrophic bacteria for this labile molecule, especially during the day, potentially creating temporal patterns between strictly heterotrophic and mixotrophic bacterioplankton. Expanding this finding to all labile C molecules, such temporal patterns could affect dissolved organic matter (DOM) remineralization and C sequestration via the microbial C pump.

We also explored the participation of photosynthetic electron transport in the regulation of organic molecules assimilation using the photosynthesis inhibitor DCMU (Rippka, 1972; Stanier, 1973; Neilson & Lewin, 1974; Paerl, 1991; Moore, 2013). In chlorophyll and bacteriochlorophyll containing organisms, DCMU blocks electron flow between photosystem II and plastoquinone, resulting in no O$_2$ and NADPH production, but allowing ATP synthesis through cyclic electron flow around photosystem I. Our results show that DCMU completely inhibited CO$_2$ fixation by *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* but only partially inhibited their assimilation of glucose, leucine and ATP. For most samples and molecules examined, the assimilation rates were significantly
higher or not statistically different in the light with DCMU compared to the dark, suggesting that organic molecules incorporation is partially tied to photosynthetic production of energy in the light. The light harvested by Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus photosynthetic apparatus may thus transfer energy into ATP that can be used in the active transport of organic molecules. Similarly, Muñoz-Marín et al. (2017) found different inhibitory effects on Prochlorococcus SS120 glucose uptake using the quinone analogue inhibitor of cytochrome b6f complex in photosystem I –DBMIB (~100%), or the inhibitor of photosystem II –DCMU (~50%), and argued that the ATP generated by photosystem I could maintain up to 50% of the glucose uptake. Seawater used in our experiments was sampled in the early morning and thus cells were likely light energy depleted, assuring that continuing uptake in our dark incubations was not a result of stored energy during the light phase. Therefore, our results demonstrate that natural populations of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus are nutritionally and metabolically flexible. We confirm that in situ, picocyanobacteria can assimilate selected organic molecules including glucose, a molecule devoid of heteroatoms, and show that assimilation rates are reduced but continue even in the dark or when photosynthesis is impaired. This mixotrophic metabolism could explain the recent findings of the substantial presence of Prochlorococcus in the aphotic ocean (Jiao et al., 2014) and the survival of specific strains of Prochlorococcus in extended darkness (Coe et al., 2016).

Relative importance of mixotrophy for picocyanobacterial C assimilation.

Cell-specific glucose uptake in natural Prochlorococcus was on average 0.00021±0.00011 fg C cell⁻¹ h⁻¹, similar to results by Muñoz-Marín et al. (2013) in the
Atlantic Ocean (0.00010±0.00008 fg C cell\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), where added and ambient glucose concentrations were comparable to those in our study). Synechococcus had higher cell specific glucose assimilation rates than Prochlorococcus, but using an average biovolume of 0.17 and 0.33 µm\(^3\) for Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus, respectively (Grob et al., 2007), we calculated that glucose uptake by Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus was similar (0.0013±0.0007 and 0.0009±0.0005 fg C µm\(^{-3}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively). Compared to carbon uptake from \(^{14}\)C-sodium bicarbonate (4.4±1.7 and 44±36 fg C cell\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) for Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus, respectively), glucose uptake represented a small fraction (<1%) of total (inorganic + organic) C uptake, similar to the values calculated using results in Muñoz-Marín et al. (2013). This implies that mixotrophy may represent a marginal fraction of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus C uptake in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, and confirms culture-based studies where Prochlorococcus actively takes up glucose when available, but remains primarily autotrophic (Muñoz-Marín et al., 2017). Yet considering that glucose is only one of the greatly diverse dissolved organic C molecules present in the ocean (Moran et al., 2016), the low assimilation rates may be the result of glucose uptake competition with other sugars. Thus, other organic C compounds need to be tested as substrates for mixotrophic growth by marine cyanobacteria. However, mixotrophy by marine picocyanobacteria may represent a greater advantage in response to inorganic nutrient limitation than to access an alternative form of C. Indeed, we found that cell specific uptake of leucine and ATP by Prochlorococcus were on average 35.5±16.5 and 3.4±2.3 times greater than cell specific uptake rates of glucose (mol:mol). Still, Muñoz-Marín et al. (2013) argued that the bioenergetic advantage of
glucose uptake vs. glucose synthesis de novo may save Prochlorococcus significant energy for other metabolic uses.

Photoheterotrophy by LNA bacteria.

The LNA group has been previously characterized using molecular tools and results consistently show that it is largely dominated by SAR11 (Mary et al., 2006, 2008b, Gomez-Pereira et al., 2013, Morán et al., 2015), a highly abundant group in the subtropical Pacific (West et al., 2016). SAR11 is a clade of proteorhodopsin-based photoheterotrophic bacteria, which are characterized by light-controlled growth and proteorhodopsin expression (Lami et al., 2009). Like in other studies (Mary et al., 2008b, Zubkov 2009, Gomez-Pereira et al., 2013, Evans et al., 2015) we showed that light enhances the assimilation of leucine and ATP by the LNA group. In our experiments, Tdr-inc was an excellent proxy of strict heterotrophic bacterial production as the Tdr-inc rates were similar in light and dark incubations, unlike Leu-inc rates (Fig. 6). This confirms that increased Leu-inc rates in the light were mostly due to photoheterotrophy and mixotrophic capacities of Prochlorococcus, but not to an indirect effect related to enhanced phytoplankton excretion/exudation in the light. Light-enhanced cell-specific glucose uptake by LNA bacteria was large (~35%), albeit lower than for Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus, implying that photons can supply a significant part of the energy demand during daytime and the requirement for organic molecules as energy sources is significantly decreased. Surprisingly, the addition of DCMU reduced organic molecules incorporation by LNA. DCMU has been widely used to study phytoplankton metabolism (Jeanjean 1976, Lewis et al., 1984, Garrigue et al., 1992,
Ikeya et al., 1997, DeLorenzo et al., 2001, Laurent et al., 2013, Halsey et al., 2014), including photoheterotrophy (Estep & Hoering 1981, Paerl 1991, Johnson & Alric 2012, Knoop et al., 2013, You et al., 2015, Oren et al., 2016, Muñoz-Marín et al., 2017). These studies indicate that DMCU is not lethal, that its inhibitory effect is reversible and that it does not affect heterotrophic processes, even in autotroph-heterotroph symbiotic associations (Vandermeulen et al., 1972, Mühlbauer & Eichacker 1998, Francoeur et al., 2007). Combined with good efficiency against algal photosynthesis (Fig. 6d), and because it was also chosen to study photoheterotrophic metabolism in culture isolates of *Prochlorococcus* (Muñoz-Marín et al., 2017), we used DCMU as selective inhibitor of the photosystem II in cyanobacteria. However, we observed a reduction in organic molecules incorporation in LNA which suggests that DCMU affected LNA directly or indirectly. A direct effect could be either toxicity on heterotrophic metabolism of strict heterotrophs or an action on the light-driven proton pump, proteorhodopsin. Yet, at the end of incubation, LNA cell abundances were similar between treatments, indicating that DCMU may not be lethal to LNA. Moreover, DCMU did not inhibit the light-driven proton pump of the cyanobacteria *Gloeobacter violaceus* which has two types of light-driven proton pumps, chlorophyll-based photosystems and rhodopsin (Choi et al., 2014). Alternatively, the reduction of activity by LNA in DCMU samples may be indirect, resulting from the inhibition of photosynthate production by phytoplankton (photosynthesis was inhibited, Fig. 6d) on which bacteria rely greatly as a source of labile organic substrates for growth (Church et al., 2004, Ruiz-Gonzalez et al., 2012a, 2013).
Implication of picocyanobacterial uptake of leucine for bacterial production

Despite being important for our understanding of biological productivity in the ocean, light enhanced bacterial production and uptake of leucine by the most abundant marine microbes, *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and LNA, have been the subject of a limited number of studies (Church et al., 2004, Michelou et al., 2007, Mary et al., 2008b, Ruiz-Gonzalez et al., 2013, Björkman et al., 2015). In incubations with saturating concentrations of leucine (20 nM), previous reports found that *Prochlorococcus* contributes significantly to bacterial production estimates in the North Pacific (Björkman et al., 2015), North Atlantic (Michelou et al., 2007) and Mediterranean Sea (Talarmin et al., 2011a), both in dark or in the light. Here we show that light enhanced bulk Leu$_{inc}$ rates (incorporation rates of leucine into TCA insoluble material), as well as cell-specific leucine uptake by *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and LNA in the tropical southwest Pacific Ocean. However, light significantly enhanced bulk Tdr$_{inc}$ rates to a much lesser extent (dark to light ratio was 73–100 %, mean 89%). This may be due to thymidine being preferentially used by heterotrophic bacteria than leucine which can be used by mixotrophic phytoplankton (Michelou et al., 2007, Björkman et al., 2015). Indeed, in our samples, over a third of the total leucine uptake was attributable to the *Prochlorococcus* group. Unfortunately, due to low thymidine specific activity, we were not able to measure its group-specific uptake. This should be verified in future studies as cyanobacteria tested so far do not incorporate Tdr in culture, which was related to a probable lack of thymidine kinase (Pollard & Moriarty 1984). The contribution of picocyanobacteria to bacterial production estimates, particularly using $^3$H-leucine, should thus be considered
when measuring bacterial production in marine environments, even in dark incubations (Talarmin et al., 2011a, Björkman et al., 2015). Longnecker et al. (2006) found higher Leu$_{\text{inc}}$/Tdr$_{\text{inc}}$ ratio in dark incubated HNA than in the LNA group, and also higher in surface samples than below, particularly in the open-sea station. Besides the general hypothesis of higher rates of protein synthesis relative to DNA synthesis in larger cells, this result could also be partially due to the difficulty to separate Prochlorococcus from HNA during cell sorting after SYBR green DNA staining, particularly in sub-surface waters. As seen from seawater cultures, the Leu$_{\text{inc}}$/Tdr$_{\text{inc}}$ ratio can be representative of decoupling between cell division and biomass production (Chin-Leo & Kirchman 1990). In situ, Leu$_{\text{inc}}$ rates has been shown also to vary more than Tdr$_{\text{inc}}$ rates along diel cycles (Riemann & Bell 1990). Consequently, the use of Leu$_{\text{inc}}$/Tdr$_{\text{inc}}$ ratio as a proxy of unbalanced growth should be misleading when samples are incubated in the light as we demonstrated that it was also affected by photoheterotrophic processes (higher in the light than in the dark or with DCMU).

**Conclusion**

We present several lines of evidence that natural *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* can assimilate organic molecules with variable C:N:P composition, as well as organic molecules devoid of heteroatoms (i.e. glucose). *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* assimilated organic molecules in the light but also in the dark or when photosynthesis was altered by DCMU, albeit at significantly reduced rates, verifying previous findings in culture indicating that cyanobacteria are nutritionally versatile. Yet *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* C uptake from glucose was small compared to CO$_2$.
uptake, indicating that they obtain carbon primarily through an autotrophic metabolism. Nevertheless, mixotrophy by these unicellular cyanobacteria was widespread in biogeochemically distinct regions of the WTSP Ocean and cell and group-specific assimilation rates were generally higher in surface than at the DCM. However, cell-specific assimilation rates of the N- and P- containing molecules (leucine and ATP) were significantly higher than that of glucose. Thus, mixotrophy by marine cyanobacteria is more likely to be an adaptation to low inorganic nutrient availability. Many details of marine cyanobacteria mixotrophic metabolism remain to be elucidated. In particular, additional experiments will be necessary to evaluate the global importance of organic vs. inorganic C uptake by marine cyanobacteria and assess the diel variability in these processes, in order to improve C fluxes models (Gasol et al., 2008, Zubkov 2009).

Further study of mixotrophic metabolism is likely to contribute not only to our understanding of microbial adaptations to light and nutrient availability but also to our knowledge of marine DOM cycling, and the role of light in modulating bacteria and cyanobacteria biogeochemical functions in the ocean.

Experimental Procedures

Field sampling

This study was conducted in the WTSP along trophic gradients during the OUTPACE cruise (DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17600/15000900, RV L’Atalante, February–April 2015) between New Caledonia and Tahiti (Moutin et al., 2017). Three incubation experiments were carried out at the long duration stations LDA, LDB, and LDC, selected for their contrasted biogeochemical conditions (Table 1). At LDA and LDB, seawater
was sampled within the well-lit top mixed layer (ML at 54% PAR, 7 and 9 m depths, respectively) and at the DCM (0.3% PAR, 70 and 90 m, respectively). LDC was sampled at 60 m depth (10% PAR).

Bacterioplankton enumeration

Bacterioplankton groups were enumerated from untreated samples using a BD Influx flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* were enumerated in unstained samples while the low-DNA-content (LNA) and high-DNA-content (HNA) bacteria groups were discriminated in a sample aliquot stained with SYBR Green I DNA dye (0.01% final), following published protocols (Gasol et al., 1999, Duhamel et al., 2014). Using a forward scatter detector with small particle option and focusing a 488 plus a 457 nm (200 and 300 mW solid state, respectively) laser into the same pinhole greatly improved the resolution of dim surface *Prochlorococcus* population from background noise in unstained samples. However, in stained samples from the ML, *Prochlorococcus* overlapped with HNA bacteria and HNA abundances were calculated by subtracting *Prochlorococcus* enumerated from unstained samples. Calibration and alignment were done using 1-μm yellow-green microspheres (Polysciences, USA).

Incubation experiments

Seawater collected at the LD stations was distributed into acid-washed and sample rinsed transparent polycarbonate bottles for separate incubations with different radioactive-labeled molecules and under different treatment conditions. For each
radioactive molecule tested, a killed control was prepared by adding paraformaldehyde (0.5 % final w/v) for 30 min before adding the radioisotope. Bottles were incubated in on-deck blue-shielded incubators to mimic the amount of transmitted light at the corresponding sampled depth and cooled with surface seawater. Samples were treated with or without addition of 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU, at 20 µM final, for 15 min before adding the radioisotope). For dark incubations, the bottles were masked using multi-layers of matte black aluminum foil (Rosco Matte Black Cinefoil). For each treatment, D-[6-3H(N)]-glucose (45.7 Ci mmol⁻¹), L-[3,4,5-3H(N)]-Leucine (112 Ci mmol⁻¹) and [2,5',8-3H]-Adenosine-5'-triphosphate (52 Ci mmol⁻¹), were used in separate incubations to measure assimilation rates of organic C alone (glucose, Glc), and N- (leucine, Leu) and P- (Adenosine-5'-triphosphate, ATP) –enriched organic compounds, respectively (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Isotope additions were kept as low as possible considering the specific activity and sensitivity of the cell sorting procedure described below: ³H-glucose, ³H-leucine and ³H-ATP were added at a final concentration of 2, 7 and 1 nmol l⁻¹, respectively. Samples were incubated for 4 to 6 h and uptake linearity was checked before each experiment. Additional incubations were done using [¹⁴C]-sodium bicarbonate (43.3 mCi mmol⁻¹) at 3.3 µCi ml⁻¹ final concentration from dawn to dusk (~8 h). At the end of incubation, samples were fixed with paraformaldehyde (0.5 % final, for 15-min in the dark), 20 µl were sampled to measure total activity (dpm l⁻¹, with β-phenylethylamine for ¹⁴C samples) and determine the concentration of added molecules (S*, nmol l⁻¹), 4-ml were filtered onto 0.2-µm polycarbonate membranes to monitor incorporation by the total microbial community (total activity –dpm l⁻¹, and total microbial assimilation rate –nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹) and 30 to 50 ml
was gently concentrated to 4 ml and preserved at -80°C for flow cytometry cell sorting. Radioactivity was measured using scintillation cocktail with low background and high $^3$H counting efficiency ( Ultima Gold LLT, Perkin Elmer) and a Packard Tri-Carb 3110 TR liquid scintillation counter with ultra-low-level option kit. The turnover time (h) was calculated by dividing the total activity (dpm l$^{-1}$) by the activity on the 0.2-µm filter (dpm l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$). The total microbial assimilation rate (nmol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) was calculated by dividing the substrate concentration (ambient concentration ($S_a$) plus $S_*$) by the turnover time (h). The ambient concentrations of glucose, leucine and ATP were estimated using a concentration series bioassay of untreated live samples as described by Wright and Hobbie (1966) and modified by Zubkov and Tarran (2005), which represents an upper estimate of ambient concentrations. Thus, calculated assimilation rates represent an upper estimate of assimilation rates at in situ concentrations.

**Flow cytometry cell sorting**

Bacterioplankton groups were characterized as described above. Note that because of the overlap in *Prochlorococcus* with HNA in stained ML samples, we did not systematically sort the HNA population for which results were biased by the contribution of *Prochlorococcus* activity. The Influx flow cytometer was set at the highest sorting purity (1.0 drop single mode) and potential attached cells were discarded using a pulse width vs. forward scatter plot. The drop delay was calibrated using Accudrop Beads (BD Biosciences, USA) and sorting efficiency was verified manually by sorting a specified number of 1-µm yellow-green microspheres (Polysciences, USA) onto a glass slide and counting the beads under an epifluorescence microscope. Using 1.0 drop single mode we
systematically recovered 100% of the targeted beads. Performance was validated by sorting *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* from natural samples and reanalysing the sorted cells flow cytometrically to confirm sort purity, which exceeded 96%, a result similar to Baer et al. (2017). Increasing numbers of cells from the same incubation sample were sorted (20,000–600,000 LNA and 10,000–300,000 *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*). Sorted cells were assessed by liquid scintillation following Talarmin et al. (2011b). The $^{14}$C-labeled samples were acidified with 0.5 ml of 1N HCl for 24 h to remove any unincorporated $^{14}$C-sodium bicarbonate. For each group, at least three samples were sorted and regression analysis between the number of cells sorted and the radioactivity taken up by the sorted cells was used to calculate the per cell activity (dpm cell$^{-1}$). Radioactivity in the killed control sorted samples (dpm cell$^{-1}$) was deduced from radioactivity in the respective sorted samples. The cell-specific assimilation rate (nmol cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) was calculated by dividing the radioactivity per cell (dpm cell$^{-1}$) by the total microbial activity (dpm l$^{-1}$) measured in the same treatment, and then multiplied by the total microbial assimilation rate at ambient plus added organic substrate concentration ($S_a+S^*$, nmol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$). Statistical tests (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons test) were carried out to assess significant differences between treatments ($P < 0.05$) using Prism 6 (GraphPad software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Bulk bacterial production**

Samples were incubated with [methyl-$^3$H]-thymidine (Tdr, 20 nM, 48.8 Ci mmol$^{-1}$) or with L-[3,4,5-$^3$H(N)]-leucine (Leu, 6 nM, 112 Ci mmol$^{-1}$) for 5 to 6 h. Killed controls and incubation terminations were fixed with formalin 1% final concentration.
Tdr samples were treated by the filtration technique (Bell, 1993, ice cold-trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extract) and Leu samples by the centrifuge technique (Smith & Azam, 1992). In both methods, an ethanol rinse was included. Note that bulk bacterial production experiments could not be done at LDA but were done at the short duration station 8 instead (hereafter SD8, in the ML, 12m). We occasionally checked that we were working at saturating concentration of Tdr and Leu by testing activities using a range of concentrations of Tdr varying from 5 to 60 nM and of Leu varying from 2 to 45 nM. We confirmed that the Tdr concentration was saturating at all stations and that Leu concentration was saturating at all stations, excepted at SD8 where measured rates were about half the maximum velocities.
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Table and Figure Legends:

Table 1. Ancillary data Characteristics of the seawater samples collected at stations LDA, LDB and LDC used in experiments.

Latitude (Lat.), longitude (Long.), fraction of surface photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, %) received at the corresponding depth (m), temperature (T, °C), *Prochlorococcus* (Pro), *Synechococcus* (Syn), and LNA cell abundances (10^3 cell ml⁻¹), phosphate and nitrate concentrations (PO₄³⁻ and NO₃⁻ nmol l⁻¹), and ambient concentrations of glucose (Glc), leucine (Leu) and ATP (nmol l⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Depth m</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>PAR %</th>
<th>T °C</th>
<th>10³ cell ml⁻¹</th>
<th>nmol l⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>Syn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA-ML</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>164°41E 19°12S</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA-DCM</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDB-ML</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>170°51W 18°14S</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDB-DCM</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>165°45W 18°40S</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1: Cell specific (a, c, e, amol cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and group specific (b, d, f, pmol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) assimilation rates of glucose (a, b), leucine (c, d) and ATP (e, f) by Prochlorococcus (Pro, black bars), Synechococcus (Syn, white bars) and LNA bacteria (LNA, grey bars) in incubations in the light. Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples. * indicate non-measurable rates (killed control corrected rates ≤ killed control).
Fig. 2: Scatter plots comparing cell specific uptake ($10^{-3}$ dpm cell$^{-1}$) in the light (ordinate) and in the dark (abscissa) by picocyanobacteria (Pro: black filled circles, Syn: white filled circles; a, c, e, g) and bacteria (LNA: black filled squares, HNA: grey filled squares; b, d, f), for $^3$H radiolabeled glucose ($^3$H-Glc, a, b), leucine ($^3$H-Leu, c, d) and ATP ($^3$H-ATP, e, f), and for $^{14}$C radiolabeled sodium bicarbonate ($^{14}$C-PP, g). Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples. The dotted lines represent the 1:1 ratio.
Fig. 3: Cell specific glucose assimilation (amol Glc cell\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) at LDA – ML (a), LDA – DCM (b), LDB – ML (c), LDB – DCM (d) and LDC – 60m (e), in incubations in the light (white bars), in the dark (black bars), and in the light with DCMU (checker board pattern) for Prochlorococcus (Pro), Synechococcus (Syn) and LNA bacteria (LNA). Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples. One-way ANOVA multiple treatment comparison results are represented by white or black circles when values are significantly (P < 0.05) different from the light or the dark treatments, respectively. * indicate non-measurable rates (killed control corrected rates ≤ killed control).
Fig. 4: Cell specific leucine assimilation rate (amol Leu cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) at LDA – ML (a), LDA – DCM (b), LDB – ML (c), LDB – DCM (d) and LDC – 60m (e), in incubations in the light (white bars), in the dark (black bars), and in the light with DCMU (checker board pattern) for Prochlorococcus (Pro), Synechococcus (Syn) and LNA bacteria (LNA). Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples. One-way ANOVA multiple treatment comparison results are represented by white or black circles when values are significantly (P < 0.05) different from the light or the dark treatments, respectively. * indicate non-measurable rates (killed control corrected rates ≤ killed control).
Fig. 5: Cell specific ATP assimilation rate (amol ATP cell\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) at LDA – ML (a), LDA – DCM (b), LDB – ML (c), LDB – DCM (d) and LDC – 60m (e), in incubations in the light (white bars), in the dark (black bars), and in the light with DCMU (checker board pattern) for *Prochlorococcus* (Pro), *Synechococcus* (Syn) and LNA bacteria (LNA). Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples. One-way ANOVA multiple treatment comparison results are represented by white or black circles when values are significantly (P<0.05) different from the light or the dark treatments, respectively. * indicate non-measurable rates (killed control corrected rates ≤ killed control).
Figure 6. Bacterial production rates measured using leucine (a, Leu\textsubscript{inc}, pmol Leu l\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}) or thymidine (b, Tdr\textsubscript{inc}, pmol Tdr l\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}) incorporation into TCA insoluble material; leucine to thymidine incorporation ratio (c, Leu\textsubscript{inc} to Tdr\textsubscript{inc} ratio); primary production rates (d, nmol C l\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1}) in incubations in the light (white bars), in the dark (black bars), and in the light with DCMU (white and grey checker board pattern); dark to light ratio (e, %) for Leu\textsubscript{inc} (grey bars, Leu) and Tdr\textsubscript{inc} (light grey bars, Tdr). Error bars represent standard deviation on triplicate samples (a) or absolute difference between duplicate samples (b, thymidine in the light and light with DCMU). One-way ANOVA multiple treatment comparison results are represented by white or black circles when values are significantly (P < 0.05) different from the light or the dark treatments, respectively.