# SADDLE HYPERBOLICITY IMPLIES HYPERBOLICITY FOR POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbb{C}^2$

#### ROMAIN DUJARDIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that for a polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , uniform hyperbolicity on the set of saddle periodic points implies that saddle points are dense in the Julia set. In particular f satisfies Smale's Axiom A on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let f be a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. For such a dynamical system there are two natural definitions for the Julia set. The first one is in terms of normal families:  $J = J^+ \cap J^-$  is the set of points at which which neither  $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$  nor  $(f^{-n})_{n\geq 0}$  is locally equicontinuous. The second one is the closure  $J^*$  of the set of saddle periodic orbits. The inclusion  $J^* \subset J$  is obvious, and whether the reverse inclusion holds is one of the major open questions in higher dimensional holomorphic dynamics.

Following Bedford and Smillie [BS1], we say that f is hyperbolic if J is a hyperbolic set for f. Under this assumption we have a rather satisfactory understanding of the global dynamics of f. Indeed it was shown in [BS1] that under this assumption the forward and backward Julia sets  $J^+$  and  $J^-$  (see §2.1 below for precise definitions) are laminated by stable and unstable manifolds, that the Fatou set is the union of finitely many cycles of attracting basins, that f satisfies Smale's Axiom A on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and finally that  $J = J^*$ . It was shown by Buzzard and Jenkins [BJ] that f is structurally stable on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . There are also tentative models for a description of the topological dynamics on J (see Ishii [I] for a survey).

On the other hand it is sometimes more natural to postulate that f is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$ . One reason is that this information can be read off from the periodic points of f. This happens for instance in the study of the stability/bifurcation dichotomy for families of polynomial automorphisms [DL, BD]. The global consequences of hyperbolicity on  $J^*$  are then less easy to analyze, in particular it does not a priori imply a uniform laminar structure on  $J^{\pm}$ .

The main result of this paper is that these two notions actually coincide.

**Main Theorem.** Let f be a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. If f is hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , then  $J = J^*$ .

In particular, if f is hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , then it is hyperbolic in the sense of [BS1].

Recall that the Jacobian Jac(f) of a polynomial automorphism is a non-zero constant: thus f is dissipative when |Jac(f)| < 1 and conservative when |Jac(f)| = 1.

Date: April 9, 2019.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 37F10, 37F15.

Research partially supported by ANR project LAMBDA, ANR-13-BS01-0002 and a grant from the Institut Universitaire de France.

This result was first announced in the dissipative case in [F], but the published proof is not correct<sup>1</sup>, and it has remained an intriguing open problem since then. Recently, Guerini and Peters [GP] managed to establish the result under the more stringent assumption that f is substantially dissipative, that is  $|\operatorname{Jac}(f)| < d^{-2}$ , where d is the dynamical degree (see §2.1 for this notion). Observe that only quasi-hyperbolicity on  $J^*$  is assumed in [GP] while our approach seems to require the full strength of hyperbolicity.

The proof of the main theorem starts with the dissipative case (Section 3). We assume by contradiction that f is dissipative, hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and that  $J \neq J^*$ . In a first stage we show that for some  $p \in J^*$ ,  $J^-$  intersects  $W^s(p)$  along a non-trivial relatively open subset, which is an unexpected property in the dissipative setting (for instance in the substantially dissipative case, the main point of [GP] is to show that  $J^- \cap W^s(p)$  is totally disconnected). The main input here is the ergodic closing lemma that we obtained in a previous work [Du2]. In a second stage we use the results of [BS6] on the properties of stable slices of  $J^-$  together with some potential-theoretic ideas to actually derive a contradiction.

The conservative case is treated in Section 4 by a perturbative argument. If f is conservative and hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , we can find a holomorphic family  $(f_{\lambda})$  with  $f_0 = f$  containing dissipative parameters, on which  $J^*$  moves under a holomorphic motion. Again we assume that  $J^*(f) \neq J(f)$ , and use the extension properties of the holomorphic motion of  $J^*$  obtained in [DL] to derive a contradiction from the previously established dissipative case.

**Acknowledgment.** Thanks to Eric Bedford for many interesting comments.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts on the dynamics of polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and hyperbolic dynamics, and establish a few preliminary results.

2.1. Vocabulary and basic facts. Let f be a polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with non-trivial dynamics. This is the case exactly when the dynamical degree  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\deg(f^n))^{1/n}$  is larger than 1. By [FM] there exists a polynomial change of coordinates in which f is expressed as a composition of Hénon mappings  $(z, w) \mapsto (p_i(z) + a_i w, a_i z)$ . We fix such coordinates from now on. The degree of f is  $d = \prod \deg(p_i) \geq 2$  and the relation  $\deg(f^n) = d^n$  holds so that d coincides with the dynamical degree of the original map.

In these adapted coordinates, let

$$V_R^- = \left\{ (z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ |w| \geq R, \ |z| < |w| \right\} \ \text{and} \ V_R^+ = \left\{ (z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ |z| \geq R, \ |w| < |z| \right\}.$$

We fix  $R_0 > 0$  so large that for  $R \ge R_0$   $f(V_R^+) \subset V_{2R}^+$  and  $f^{-1}(V_R^-) \subset V_{2R}^-$ . Hence the points of  $V_R^+$  (resp.  $V_R^-$ ) escape under forward (resp. backward) iteration. We denote by  $\mathbb B$  the bidisk  $D(0, R_0)^2$ . The non-wandering set of f is contained in  $\mathbb B$ .

An object (subset, current, or subvariety) in  $\mathbb{B}$  is said to be *vertical* (resp. *horizontal*) if its closure in  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$  is disjoint from  $\{|z| = R_0\}$  (resp.  $\{|w| = R_0\}$ ). A vertical subvariety has a *degree*, which is the number of intersection points with a generic horizontal line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A first problem happens in the proof of [F, Thm 2], which corresponds to Step 1 in our proof. Indeed in the construction of the "queer" disk V, the sequence  $(y_n)$  is contained in  $W^s(J^*)$  but not a priori in  $W^s_{loc}(J^*)$ , hence one cannot directly deduce that  $G^+(y_n) \geq c$ . Also, Lemma 6 is not correct: local product structure does not allow to transport whole components of  $W^s(x) \cap J$  to components of  $W^s(y) \cap J$  when x and y belong to the same global unstable manifold; in particular the boundedness of such a component is not an invariant property.

Here are some standard facts and notation (see e.g. [BS1, BS2, BLS]):

- $K^{\pm}$  is the set of points with bounded forward orbits under  $f^{\pm 1}$  and  $K = K^+ \cap K^-$ . Note that  $K^+$  is vertical in  $\mathbb B$  and  $f(\mathbb B \cap K^+) \subset K^+$ . Similarly,  $K^-$  is horizontal and  $f^{-1}(\mathbb B \cap K^-) \subset K^-$ .
- The complement of  $K^+$  is denoted by  $U^+$  and the complement of  $K^-$  is  $U^-$ .
- $J^{\pm} = \partial K^{\pm}$  are the forward and backward Julia sets. If f is dissipative then  $K^{-} = J^{-}$ .
- $J = J^+ \cap J^-$  is the Julia set.
- $J^* \subset J$  is the closure of the set of saddle periodic points. It is also the support of the unique measure of maximal entropy  $\log d$ .

The dynamical Green functions  $G^{\pm}$  are defined by  $G^{\pm}(z,w) = \lim d^{-n} \log^{+} ||f^{\pm n}(z,w)||$  (where  $\log^{+}(x) = \max(\log(x), 0)$ ). These are non-negative continuous plurisubharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ , such that  $K^{\pm} = \{G^{\pm} = 0\}$  and  $G^{\pm}$  is pluriharmonic on  $U^{+/-} := \{G^{+/-} > 0\}$ . We let  $T^{\pm} = dd^{c}G^{\pm}$ . The maximum principle implies that  $\operatorname{Supp}(T^{\pm}) = J^{\pm}$ .

The restriction  $T^+|_D$  of  $T^+$  to a complex submanifold D is a positive measure on D locally defined by  $\Delta(G^+|_D)$  and since  $G^+$  is continuous this measure coincides<sup>2</sup> with the wedge product  $T^+ \wedge [D]$ . A useful remark is that if x belongs to  $K^+$  and  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a holomorphic disk through x along which  $G^+$  is harmonic, then  $D \subset K^+$  and  $(f^n|_{\Delta})_{n\geq 1}$  is a normal family.

If p is a saddle periodic point or more generally if it belongs to a hyperbolic saddle set, it admits stable and unstable manifolds  $W^{s/u}(p)$ . Each of them is an immersed Riemann surface biholomorphic to  $\mathbb C$  and by [BS2, FS]  $W^s(p)$  (resp.  $W^u(p)$ ) is dense in  $J^+$  (resp.  $J^-$ ). A key point in the present paper is to analyse the topological properties of sets of the form  $K^- \cap W^s(p)$  or  $K^+ \cap W^u(p)$ . Following [DL], we define the *intrinsic topology* to be the topology induced on a stable (resp. unstable) manifold by the biholomorphism  $W^s \simeq \mathbb C$ , and the corresponding concepts of boundary, interior, etc. will be labelled with the subscript i:  $\partial_i$ , Int<sub>i</sub>, etc.

The following basic lemma will be used several times.

**Lemma 2.1** ([DL, Lemma 5.1]). Let p be a saddle periodic point. Then the boundary of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$  relative to the intrinsic topology in  $W^s(p)$  is contained in  $J^*$ .

We denote by  $W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(p)$  the connected component of  $W^s(p) \cap \mathbb{B}$  containing p (and accordingly for  $W^u$ ). Likewise,  $W^s_{\delta}(p)$  is the connected component of  $W^s(p) \cap B(p, \delta)$  containing p, and  $W^s_{\text{loc}}(p)$  denotes an unspecified open neighborhood of p in  $W^s(p)$ .

By [BLS], the currents  $T^{\pm}$  have geometric structure, related to the decomposition of  $J^{\pm}$  into stable and unstable manifolds. By lamination by Riemann surfaces we mean a closed subset  $\mathcal{L}$  of some open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that every  $p \in \mathcal{L}$  admits a neighborhood B biholomorphic to a bidisk, such that in the corresponding coordinates, a neighborhood of p in  $\mathcal{L}$  is a union of disjoint graphs (that is, a holomorphic motion) over the first coordinate in B. A positive current S is uniformly laminar if there is a lamination of  $\operatorname{Supp}(S)$  by Riemann surfaces and in the corresponding local coordinates S is locally expressed as  $\int [\Delta_a] d\nu(a)$ . These disks will be said subordinate to S.

A holomorphic disk D is subordinate to  $T^+$  if there exists a non-zero uniformly laminar current  $S \leq T^+$  such that D is subordinate to S. By [Du1, Prop. 2.3], if p is any saddle point, then any relatively compact disk  $D \subset W^s(p)$  is subordinate to  $T^+$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>It is standard to define  $d^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\overline{\partial} - \partial)$ . Accordingly,  $\Delta$  here is  $1/2\pi$  times the ordinary Laplacian.

2.2. Stable (dis)connectivity. It was shown in [BS6] that the connectivity properties of sets of the form  $K^+ \cap W^u(p)$  (resp.  $K^- \cap W^s(p)$ ) carry deep information on the geometry of the Julia set. We say that f is stably connected if  $U^+ \cap W^s(p)$  is simply connected for some (and then any) saddle point p, and stably disconnected otherwise. Equivalently, f is stably disconnected if for some saddle point p,  $W^s(p) \cap K^-$  admits a compact component relative to the intrinsic topology. This actually implies the stronger property that most components of  $W^s(p) \cap K^-$  are points (see the proof of Lemma 3.2 below for more details).

By [BS6, Cor. 7.4], a dissipative polynomial automorphism is always stably disconnected. It was observed in [Du1] that this implies a strong non-extremality property for the current  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}}$ : there exists a decomposition  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^+$  where  $T_k^+$  is an average of integration currents over a family of disjoint vertical disks of degree k (see [Du1, Thm 2.4]).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let f be dissipative and hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and let  $q \in J^*$ . Then q belongs to the support of  $T^+|_{W^u(q)}$  and for  $(T^+|_{W^u(q)})$ -a.e. q' near q,  $W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$  is a vertical manifold of finite degree in  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Proof. The first assertion easily follows from the fact that  $(f^n)_{n\geq 0}$  cannot be a normal family on  $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)$  (see [BLS, Lemma 2.8]). The second one is a consequence of [Du1, Thm 2.4]. Indeed as observed above  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}}$  admits a decomposition  $T^+|_{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k^+$  where  $T_k^+$  is made of vertical disks of degree k. Thus  $T^+|_{W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)} = T^+ \wedge [W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)] = \sum_k T_k^+ \wedge [W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)]$ . Now if  $\Gamma$  is a leaf of some  $T_k^+$  intersecting  $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)$  at q', then since  $W^u_{\mathrm{loc}}(q)$  is subordinate to  $T^-$ , q' belongs to  $J^*$  and  $\Gamma$  is a manifold through q' along which forward iterates are bounded, hence  $\Gamma = W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$ .

2.3. Hyperbolicity and local product structure. Let us recall some generalities from hyperbolic dynamics, specialized to our situation. A (saddle) hyperbolic set for f is a compact invariant set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $T\mathbb{C}^2|_{\Lambda}$  admits a hyperbolic splitting, i.e.  $T\mathbb{C}^2|_{\Lambda} = E^s \oplus E^u$ , where  $E^s$  and  $E^u$  are continuous line bundles such that  $E^s$  (resp.  $E^u$ ) is uniformly contracted (resp. expanded) by df. Then there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $W^s_{\delta_1}(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W^s_{\delta_1}(x)$  and  $W^u_{\delta_1}(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{p \in \Lambda} W^u_{\delta_1}(x)$  form laminations in the  $\delta_1$ -neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ .

A hyperbolic set is locally maximal if there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{-n}(\mathcal{N})$ . It has local product structure if there exists  $0 < \delta_2 \le \delta_1$  such that if  $p, q \in \Lambda$  are such that  $d(p, q) < \delta_2$  then  $W^s_{\delta_1}(p) \cap W^u_{\delta_1}(q)$  consists of exactly one point belonging to  $\Lambda$ . It turns out that these two properties are equivalent (see [Y, §4.1]).

We will use the following consequence of the shadowing lemma.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a compact locally maximal hyperbolic set for a polynomial diffeomorphism f of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\eta$ ,  $\alpha$  and A such that for every  $n \geq 0$ ; if x is such that  $\{x, \ldots, f^n(x)\} \subset \Lambda_{\eta}$  then there exists  $y \in \Lambda$  such that x is  $Ae^{-\alpha n}$ -close to the local stable manifold of y.

A similar result holds for negative iterates: if  $\{f^{-n}(x), \ldots, x\} \subset \Lambda_{\eta}$  then there exists  $z \in \Lambda$  such that x is  $Ae^{-\alpha n}$ -close to the local unstable manifold of z.

The following corollary is well-known.

Corollary 2.4. If  $\Lambda$  is a compact locally maximal hyperbolic set, then

$$W^s(\Lambda):=\left\{x\in\mathbb{C}^2, f^n(x)\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\Lambda\right\}=\bigcup_{p\in\Lambda}W^s(p)\ \ and\ \ similarly\ W^u(\Lambda)=\bigcup_{p\in\Lambda}W^u(p).$$

Note however that  $W^s(\Lambda)$ , being an increasing union of laminations, doesn't need to have a lamination structure (this is already false when  $\Lambda$  is a hyperbolic fixed point).

Proof of Proposition 2.3 (sketch). This is very classical. Given an orbit segment  $\{x, \ldots, f^n(x)\}$  as in the statement of the proposition, let  $y^{(0)}$  (resp.  $y^{(n)}$ ) be a point in  $\Lambda$  such that  $d(x, y^{(0)}) < \eta$  (resp.  $d(x, y^{(n)}) < \eta$ ). Then define a  $\eta$ -pseudo-orbit  $(y^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  as follows

$$y^{(k)} = \begin{cases} f^k(y^{(0)}) & \text{for } k < 0; \\ f^k(x) & \text{for } 0 \le k \le n; \\ f^{k-n}(y^{(n)}) & \text{for } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Then if  $\eta$  is small enough by local maximality and the shadowing lemma there exists a unique  $y \in \Lambda$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d(f^k(y), y^{(k)}) < C\eta$  (where C is some constant depending on  $(f, \Lambda)$ , see [Y, §4.1]). In particular for  $0 \le k \le n$  we have  $d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) < C\eta$  and it follows from standard graph transform estimates that  $d(x, W^s_{\delta}(y)) \le Ae^{-\alpha n}$ .

The next result is a simple application of the techniques of [BLS].

**Proposition 2.5.** If  $J^*$  is hyperbolic then it has local product structure. Furthermore global stable and unstable manifolds intersect only in  $J^*$ :

$$W^{s}(J^{*}) \cap W^{u}(J^{*}) = J^{*}.$$

Proof. Hyperbolicity implies that for some  $\delta > 0$ , if p and q are close enough,  $W^s_\delta(p) \cap W^u_\delta(q)$  consists of a single point r. We have to show that  $r \in J^*$ . Indeed,  $W^s_\delta(p)$  (resp.  $W^u_\delta(q)$ ) is a disk subordinate to  $T^+$  (resp.  $T^-$ ) so there exists a non-trivial uniformly laminar current  $S^+ \leq T^+$  (resp.  $S^- \leq T^-$ ) with  $W^s_\delta(p)$  (resp  $W^u_\delta(q)$ ) as a leaf. By [BLS, Lem. 8.2],  $S^+$  and  $S^-$  have continuous potentials, so the wedge product  $S^+ \wedge S^-$  is well defined, and geometric intersection theory of uniformly laminar currents [BLS, Lem. 8.3] implies that  $r \in \operatorname{Supp}(S^+ \wedge S^-)$ . Since  $S^+ \wedge S^- \leq T^+ \wedge T^-$  we conclude that  $r \in J^*$ .

The proof of the second assertion is similar. By local product structure,

$$W^{s}(J^{*}) = \bigcup_{n>0} f^{-n}(W_{\delta}^{s}(J^{*})),$$

hence if  $r \in W^s(J^*)$ , there exists  $p \in J^*$  such that  $r \in W^s(p)$  so r belongs to a disk subordinate to  $T^+$ , and likewise  $r \in W^u(q)$  so it belongs to a disk subordinate to  $T^+$ . Observe that these two disks are distinct: indeed otherwise we would have  $W^s(p) = W^u(q)$  which is impossible because  $W^s(p) \cap W^u(q)$  is contained in K which is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . So r is an isolated intersection between  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(q)$  for the leafwise topology. If this intersection is transverse, we argue as above to conclude that r belongs to  $J^*$ . If it is a tangency, then by [BLS, Lem. 6.4] for  $q' \in J^*$  close to q, we get transverse intersections between  $W^s(p)$  and  $W^u(q')$  close to r and conclude as in the transverse case.

2.4. **Stability.** A theory of stability and bifurcations for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  was developed in [DL], centered on the notion of weak  $J^*$ -stability.

A branched holomorphic motion over a complex manifold  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is a family of holomorphic graphs over  $\Lambda$  in  $\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . It is a holomorphic motion (i.e. an unbranched branched holomorphic motion!) when these graphs are disjoint. A holomorphic family  $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of polynomial automorphisms of dynamical degree d is weakly  $J^*$ -stable if the sets  $J^*(f_{\lambda})$  move under a branched holomorphic motion, and  $J^*$ -stable if this motion is unbranched. Note that if  $f_{\lambda_0}$ 

is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*(f_{\lambda_0})$ , then it is  $J^*$ -stable near  $\lambda_0$  in any holomorphic family containing  $f_{\lambda_0}$ .

A number of properties of weakly  $J^*$ -stable families are established in [DL], including extension properties of the branched holomorphic motion of  $J^*$  to K (and more generally to  $J^+ \cup J^-$ ), that will be used in Section 4. These properties hold under the standing assumption that the family  $(f_{\lambda})$  is substantial  $^3$ : this means that either all members of the family are dissipative, or that no relation of a certain form between multipliers of periodic points persistently holds in the parameter space  $\Lambda$ . Without entering into the details, let us just note that by [BHI, Thm 1.4] any open subset of the family of all polynomial automorphisms of dynamical degree d is substantial.

#### 3. Proof of the main theorem: the dissipative case

The proof is by contradiction so assume that f is a dissipative polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , that is uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$ , and that  $J^* \subseteq J$ .

**Step 1.** There exists  $p \in J^*$  and a holomorphic disk  $\Delta \subset W^s(p)$  such that  $G^-|_{\Delta} \equiv 0$ .

The purpose of the remaining steps 2 and 3 will be to show that such a "queer" component of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$  actually does not exist.

*Proof.* We first claim that it is enough to show that there exists  $p \in J^*$  and  $q \in W^s(p)$  such that  $q \in J \setminus J^*$ . Indeed observe that  $W^s(p) \cap J = W^s(p) \cap J^- = W^s(p) \cap K^-$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have that  $\partial_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-) \subset J^*$ . Hence if q belongs to  $W^s(p) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$ , it belongs to the intrinsic interior  $\operatorname{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$ , hence  $G^- \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of q in  $W^s(p)$ .

Let now  $x \in J \setminus J^*$ . By Corollary 2.4, if  $\omega(x) \subset J^*$  then  $x \in W^s(J^*)$  and if  $\alpha(x) \subset J^*$  then  $x \in W^u(J^*)$ . Since  $W^u(J^*) \cap W^s(J^*) = J^*$ , we infer that either  $\omega(x) \not\subset J^*$  or  $\alpha(x) \not\subset J^*$ . In either case we will show that both  $W^s(J^*) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$  and  $W^u(J^*) \cap (J \setminus J^*)$  are non-empty. Thus by symmetry it is enough to deal with the case where  $\omega(x) \not\subset J^*$ .

Choose  $\eta$  so small that Proposition 2.3 holds for  $J^*$  and  $\omega(x)$  is not contained in  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $\mathcal{N} := (J^*)_{\eta}$  is the  $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $J^*$ .

Consider the sequence of Cesarò averages  $\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^k(x)}$ . By the ergodic closing lemma of [Du2], every cluster value of the sequence  $(\nu_n)$  is supported on  $J^*$ . It follows that the asymptotic proportion of iterates of x belonging to  $\mathcal{N}$  tends to 1, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 0 \le k \le n - 1, \ f^k(x) \in \mathcal{N} \right\} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 1.$$

Indeed if a positive proportion of iterates stayed outside  $\mathcal{N}$ , any cluster limit of  $\nu_n$  would have to give positive mass to  $\mathcal{N}^{\complement}$ .

We thus infer that there are arbitrary long strings  $\{x_i, \ldots, x_{i+n}\}$  in the orbit of x that are entirely contained in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Indeed, if on the contrary the length of such a string were uniformly bounded by some  $n_0$ , then the density of iterates outside  $\mathcal{N}$  would be bounded below by  $1/(n_0+1)$ . Therefore for every n there exists  $i_n$  such that  $\{x_{i_n}, \ldots, x_{i_n+n}\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Choose  $i_n$  to be minimal with this property. Since  $\omega(x) \not\subset \mathcal{N}$ , there exists j > i such that  $x_j \not\in \mathcal{N}$ . So finally for every n we can find  $i_n < j_n$  such that  $j_n - i_n \ge n$ ,  $\{x_{i_n}, \ldots, x_{j_n}\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ ,  $x_{i_n-1} \not\in \mathcal{N}$  and  $x_{j_n+1} \not\in \mathcal{N}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There is an unfortunate terminological conflict here: this should not be confused with the notion of substantial dissipativity mentioned in the introduction.

Let p (resp. p') be a cluster value of  $(x_{i_n-1})$  (resp.  $(x_{j_n+1})$ ). The points p and p' belong to J because x does, but not to  $J^*$  because they lie outside  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $p \in W^s(q)$  for some  $q \in J^*$  and  $p' \in W^u(q')$  for some  $q' \in J^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

Remark 3.1. If f is substantially dissipative i.e.  $|\operatorname{Jac}(f)| < \deg(f)^{-2}$ , then the contradiction readily follows from this first step. Indeed Wiman's theorem together with uniform hyperbolicity imply that the vertical degree of components of stable manifolds in some large bidisk  $\mathbb B$  is uniformly bounded (see [GP, Prop. 4.2] or [LP, Lem. 5.1]), and it follows that  $J^- \cap W^s(x)$  is totally disconnected for every x (see [Du1, Thm 2.10] or [GP, Thm. 4.3]), which contradicts the conclusion of Step 1.

In the second and third steps we do not use the assumption that  $J \setminus J^* \neq \emptyset$ .

**Step 2.** For every  $p \in J^*$ , if  $\Omega$  is a component of  $\operatorname{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$ , then  $\Omega$  is unbounded for the leafwise topology.

*Proof.* Note first that by the maximum principle, any component of  $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{i}}(W^s(p) \cap J^-) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf{i}}(W^s(p) \cap K^-)$  is simply connected, so  $\Omega$  is a topological disk. Assume by contradiction that  $\Omega$  is bounded for the leafwise topology. Then iterating forward a few times if needed, we can suppose that  $\Omega$  is entirely contained in a local product structure box.

More precisely for small  $\delta>0$ , we can fix holomorphic local coordinates (z,w) near p in which  $p=(0,0),\ W^s_{2\delta}(p)=\{z=0\}$  and  $W^u_{2\delta}(p)=\{w=0\}$ , and assume  $\overline{\Omega}$  is contained in  $W^s_{\delta}(p)$ . Note that by Lemma 2.1,  $\partial_i\Omega\subset J^*$ . We can assume that for every  $q\in W^s_{\delta}(p)\cap J^*$ ,  $W^u_{2\delta}(q)$  contains a graph over the disk  $D(0,\delta)$  in the first coordinate, with slope bounded by 1/2. Then if  $|z_0|\leq \delta$ , the holonomy  $h^u_{0,z_0}$  along local unstable leaves is well defined on  $W^s_{\delta}(p)\cap J^*$  and maps  $W^s_{\delta}(p)\cap J^*$  into  $\{z=z_0\}\cap J^-$ . This holonomy is a holomorphic motion so by Slodkowski's theorem [S] it extends to a holomorphic motion of  $W^s_{\delta}(p)$ . In particular the motion of  $\partial_i\Omega$  extends to a motion of  $\Omega$  and it makes sense to speak about  $h^u_{0,z_0}(\Omega)$ . This is an open subset of  $\{z=z_0\}$ , which is topologically a disk and whose boundary is contained in  $J^-$ . Thus for every  $n\geq 0$ ,  $f^{-n}(\partial(h^u_{0,z_0}(\Omega)))$  in contained in  $\mathbb B$  and by the maximum principle, the same holds for  $f^{-n}(h^u_{0,z_0}(\Omega))$ .

Finally,  $\mathcal{O} := \bigcup_{|z_0| < \delta} h_{0,z_0}^u(\Omega)$  is an open set whose negative iterates remain in  $\mathbb{B}$ , hence it is contained in the Fatou set of  $f^{-1}$ . But since f is dissipative, this Fatou set is empty, which is the desired contradiction.

**Step 3.** The unstable holonomy preserves the decomposition

$$W^{s}(p) = (W^{s}(p) \cap J^{-}) \sqcup (W^{s}(p) \cap U^{-}).$$

To make this statement precise, observe that for every  $p \in J^*$ , the components of the complement of  $\partial_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  in  $W^s(p)$  can be divided into two types: components of  $\mathrm{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  and components of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  (note that since  $U^-$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  is open for the intrinsic topology as well). Consider as above local coordinates (z,w) near p in which  $p=(0,0),\ W^s_{2\delta}(p)=\{z=0\}$  and  $W^u_{2\delta}(p)=\{w=0\}$ . The unstable holonomy  $h^u_{0,z_0}$  is initially only defined for points of  $W^s_{\delta}(p) \cap J^*=\partial_i(W^s_{\delta}(p) \cap J^-)$ , however by Słodkowski's theorem it can be extended to  $W^s_{\delta}(p)$ . By Step 2, components of  $\mathrm{Int}_i(W^s(p) \cap J^-)$  are leafwise unbounded so they cannot be contained in  $W^s_{2\delta}(p)$ . Obviously, the same holds for components of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$ .

If q belongs to  $J^* \cap W^u_\delta(p)$ , the extended holonomy  $h^u_{p,q}$  defines a homeomorphism  $W^s_\delta(p) \to h^u_{p,q}(W^s_\delta(p))$ . By local product structure this homeomorphism preserves  $J^*$  so any component of  $W^s_\delta(p) \setminus J^*$  is mapped onto a component of  $h^u_{p,q}(W^s_\delta(p)) \setminus J^*$ ), which is itself contained in a component of  $W^s(q) \setminus J^*$ . The claim of Step 3 is that the extended holonomy  $h^u_{p,q}$  preserves the type of components.

Since it doesn't make sense to transport a whole leafwise unbounded component by unstable holonomy, to prove this assertion we need to find a criterion that recognizes the type of a component just from local topological properties near a point of its boundary. As already said the maximum principle implies that any component of  $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathbf i}(W^s(p)\cap J^-)$  is simply connected. Thus Step 3 follows from:

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $\Omega$  is a component of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$ , then  $\Omega$  is not simply connected near any point of  $\partial\Omega$ , more precisely: if  $q \in \partial\Omega$  and N is any neighborhood of q, there is a loop in  $N \cap \Omega$ , homotopic to a point in N, and enclosing a component of  $W^s(p) \cap J^-$ 

Proof. Since f is dissipative by [BS6, Cor. 7.4] it is stably disconnected. It follows that almost every unstable component of  $K^+$  is a point (see [BS6, Thm 7.1] and also [Du1, Thm 2.10]). More specifically, if  $\mu$  is the unique measure of maximal entropy, then for  $\mu$ -a.e. x, the measure  $T^-|_{W^s(x)}$  (which is locally given by the wedge product  $T^- \wedge [W^s(x)]$ ) gives full mass to the point components of  $J^- \cap W^s(x)$ . Obviously by Lemma 2.1 every such point component belongs to  $J^*$  so we can transport it to nearby stable manifolds by unstable holonomy. In addition, the measure  $T^-|_{W^s(x)}$  is holonomy invariant (see [BS1, Thm 6.5] or [BLS, Thm 4.5]) so if x is such that  $T^- \wedge [W^s_\delta(x)]$  gives full mass to point components, then the same holds for nearby x'. Thus we conclude that this property holds for every  $p \in J^*$ :  $T^-|_{W^s(p)}$  gives full mass to the point components of  $J^- \cap W^s(p)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $p \in J^*$  and  $\Omega$  be a component of  $W^s(p) \cap U^-$  such that  $\Omega$  is locally simply connected near some  $q \in \partial \Omega$ . Then  $\partial \Omega$  has positive  $(T^-|_{W^s(p)})$ -measure.

This proves Lemma 3.2. Indeed, assuming that  $\Omega$  is locally simply connected near some  $q \in \partial \Omega$ , Lemma 3.3 asserts that  $T^-|_{W^s(p)}$  carries positive mass on a non-trivial continuum so f cannot be stably disconnected. On the other hand f must be stably disconnected because it is dissipative, and we reach a contradiction.

The idea of Lemma 3.3 is as follows: every neighborhood of q in  $\partial\Omega$  has positive harmonic measure when viewed from  $\Omega$ . But the harmonic measure viewed from  $\Omega$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $T^- \wedge [W^s(p)]$ , hence the result. The formalization of this argument requires some elementary potential theory, for which we refer the reader to Doob's classical monograph<sup>4</sup> [Do].

In particular we shall use the formalism of sweeping (or balayage). Let D be a smoothly bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ , A a non-polar compact subset of D and  $\nu$  a positive measure on D. The swept measure  $\rho_{\nu,D,A}$  of  $\nu$  on A is the distribution on A of the exit point of the Brownian motion in  $D \setminus A$  whose starting point is distributed according to  $\nu$ . In particular its mass is lower than that of  $\nu$  since a positive proportion of Brownian paths escape from  $\partial D$ . If  $G_{\nu,D}$  is the Green potential of  $\nu$  in D, that is the unique negative subharmonic function on D such that  $G_{\nu,D}|_{\partial D} = 0$  and  $\Delta G_{\nu,D} = \nu$ , then the swept measure of  $\nu$  on A is  $\Delta R_{\nu,D,A}$ , where

(1) 
$$R_{\nu,D,A}(z) = \sup \{u(z), u \leq 0 \text{ subharmonic on } D \text{ and } u \leq G_{\nu,D} \text{ on } A\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Note that Doob works with superharmonic functions so all inequalities have to be reversed.

(see Sections 1.III.4, 1.X and 2.IX.14 in [Do]). If  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  have their supports disjoint from A, then the corresponding swept measures are mutually absolutely continuous (as follows from instance from Theorem 1.X.2 in [Do]).

Proof. We first claim that we can shift q slightly so that the assumptions of the lemma hold and in addition  $W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$  is of bounded vertical degree. Indeed q belongs to  $J^*$  and for  $q' \in W^u_{\delta}(q) \cap J^*$ , there is a component of  $W^s_{\delta}(q') \setminus J^*$  corresponding to  $\Omega$  under unstable holonomy, which is locally simply connected near q'. Since  $G^-$  is continuous, if q' is close enough to q, it takes positive values on that component, so we infer that the property that  $\Omega$  is a component of  $U^-$  is open. Now by Lemma 2.2, for  $(T^+|_{W^u_{\delta}})$ -a.e. q',  $W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$  is a vertical manifold in  $\mathbb{B}$  of finite degree which establishes our claim. Without loss of generality rename q' into q. For every  $g_0 < \min_{\partial \mathbb{B}} G^-$ , the component of  $\{G^- < g_0\}$  containing q in  $W^s(q)$  is relatively compact for the intrinsic topology. We fix such a  $g_0$  which is not a critical value of  $G^-$  and let D be the corresponding component, which is a smoothly bounded topological disk. From now on we work exclusively in D.

By assumption there is a neighborhood N of q in D and a component U of  $\{G^->0\} \cap N$  that is simply connected. We have to show that  $\partial U \cap N$  has positive mass relative to  $\Delta G^-$ . We choose N to be closed so that  $\partial U \cap N$  is compact. First, observe that for every  $z_0 \in U \cap N$ , the probability that the Brownian motion issued from  $z_0$  hits  $\partial U \cap N$  before leaving U is positive. Therefore the swept measure  $\rho_{\delta_{z_0},D,\partial U\cap N}$  has positive mass and to prove the lemma it is enough to show that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\Delta G^-$ . Recall that the measure class of the swept measure does not depend on the starting point so we can replace  $\delta_{z_0}$  by an arbitrary positive measure on  $D \setminus (D \cap K^-)$ . Let  $0 < g_1 < g_0$  and  $\mu_{g_1} := \Delta(\max(G^-, g_1))$  be the natural measure induced by  $G^-$  on the level set  $\{G^- = g_1\}$ . We choose  $\mu_{g_1}$  for the initial distribution of Brownian motion. Since  $G^- \equiv g_0$  on  $\partial D$ , the Green function  $G_{\Delta G^-,D}$  of the restriction of  $\Delta G^-$  to D is equal to  $G^- - g_0$ , and likewise

$$G_{\mu_{g_1},D} = \max(G^-, g_1) - g_0.$$

Thus from (1) we get that

$$\begin{split} R_{\mu_{g_1},D,K^-\cap D} &= \sup \left\{ u(z), \ u \text{ s.h.} \leq 0 \text{ on } D \text{ and } u \leq G_{\mu_{g_1},D} \text{ on } K^- \cap D \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ u(z), \ u \text{ s.h.} \leq 0 \text{ on } D \text{ and } u \leq g_1 - g_0 \text{ on } K^- \cap D \right\} \\ &= |g_1 - g_0| \, \frac{G^- - g_0}{g_0} \end{split}$$

and finally

$$\rho_{\mu_{g_1}, D, K^- \cap D} = \frac{g_0 - g_1}{g_0} \Delta G^-.$$

The proof is complete.

## Step 4. Conclusion.

We just have to assemble the three previous steps. Assume as before by contradiction that f is dissipative, uniformly hyperbolic on  $J^*$  and  $J^* \subsetneq J$ . Then by Step 1 there exists  $p \in J^*$  and a "queer" component  $\Omega$  of  $W^s(p) \setminus J^*$  along which  $G^- \equiv 0$ . Pick  $q \in \partial \Omega$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $q \in J^*$  so we can follow  $\Omega \cap W^s_{\delta}(q)$  using the holonomy along local unstable manifolds. Then for  $q' \in W^u_{loc}(q)$  near q, the holonomy image  $h_{q,q'}(\Omega \cap W^s_{\delta}(q))$  is contained in a queer component of  $W^s(q') \setminus J^*$ , which must be leafwise unbounded by Step 2. On the other hand

by Lemma 2.2, for generic q' in  $W^u_{\text{loc}}(q)$  (relative to the transverse measure  $T^+|_{W^u_{\text{loc}}(q)})$   $W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$  is of bounded degree, in particular any component of  $K^- \cap W^s_{\mathbb{B}}(q')$  is leafwise bounded. This contradiction finishes the proof.

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem: the conservative case

Again the proof is by contradiction, so assume that f is a conservative polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $J^*$  is a hyperbolic set and  $J^* \subsetneq J$ . We will use a perturbative argument and the dissipative case of the theorem to reach a contradiction.

Assume that f is written as a product of Hénon mappings  $f = h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_k$  and let  $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in B}$  be a parameterization of a neighborhood of f in the space of such products, that is, the space of coefficients of the  $h_i$ , and such that  $f_0 = f$ . We can assume that B is a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  for some N. Since  $\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{Jac}(f_{\lambda})$  is an open map, there exist parameters arbitrary close to 0 for which  $f_{\lambda}$  is dissipative. As already said, by [BHI, Thm 1.4] there is no persistent relation between multipliers of periodic orbits so the family is substantial in the sense of [DL].

Since  $f_0$  is hyperbolic on  $J^*(f_0)$  the family  $(f_{\lambda})$  is  $J^*$ -stable in a neighborhood of the origin, that is,  $J^*(f_{\lambda})$  moves under a holomorphic motion. Reducing the parameter space we can assume that  $J^*$  is hyperbolic throughout B. Pick a point  $p = p(0) \in J(f_0) \setminus J^*(f_0)$ . It was shown in [DL, Thm 5.12] that in a (weakly)  $J^*$ -stable family, the motion of  $J^*$  extends to a branched holomorphic motion of K. Thus there exists a holomorphic continuation  $p(\lambda)$  of p(0) such that for every  $\lambda \in B$ ,  $p(\lambda)$  belongs to  $K(f_{\lambda})$ . Furthermore for every  $\lambda \in B$ ,  $p(\lambda)$  is disjoint from  $J^*(f_{\lambda})$ . Indeed if for some  $\lambda_0 \in B$  we had  $p(\lambda_0) \in J^*(f_{\lambda_0})$ , then by [DL, Lem 4.10]  $p(\lambda)$  would have to coincide throughout the family  $(f_{\lambda})$  with the natural continuation of  $p(\lambda_0)$  as a point of the hyperbolic set  $J^*$ , which is not the case since  $p(0) \notin J^*(f_0)$ .

Let now  $\lambda_1 \in B$  be such that  $f_{\lambda_1}$  is dissipative. Then by the first part of the proof  $J(f_{\lambda_1}) = J^*(f_{\lambda_1})$ , and  $K(f_{\lambda_1}) \setminus J(f_{\lambda_1})$  is non-empty since it contains  $p(\lambda_1)$ . For a dissipative hyperbolic map

$$K \setminus J = (K^+ \cap J^-) \setminus (J^+ \cap J^-) = \operatorname{Int}(K^+) \cap J^-,$$

so we deduce that  $\operatorname{Int}(K^+(f_{\lambda_1}))$  is non-empty. By [BS1],  $\operatorname{Int}(K^+(f_{\lambda_1}))$  is a finite union of attracting basins of periodic sinks, therefore  $f_{\lambda_1}$  admits an attracting periodic point. On the other hand by [DL, Thm 4.2], periodic points stay of constant type in a  $J^*$ -stable family (this holds even in the presence of conservative maps, provided the family is substantial), so  $f_0$  must have an attracting orbit, which is contradictory since it is conservative. The proof is complete.

#### References

- [BD] Berger, Pierre; Dujardin, Romain. On stability and hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 50 (2017), 449–477.
- [BS1] Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity. Invent. Math. 103 (1991), 69–99.
- [BS2] Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . II: Stable manifolds and recurrence. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 657–679.
- [BS3] Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure. Math. Ann. 294 (1992), 395–420.
- [BLS] Bedford, Eric; Lyubich, Mikhail; Smillie, John. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents. Invent. Math. 112 (1993), 77–125.
- [BS6] Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . VI. Connectivity of J. Ann. of Math. (2) 148 (1998), 695–735.

- [BHI] Buzzard, Gregery T.; Hruska, Suzanne Lynch; Ilyashenko, Yulij. Kupka-Smale theorem for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and persistence of heteroclinic intersections. Invent. Math. 161 (2005), 45–89.
- [BJ] Buzzard, Gregery T.; Jenkins, Adrian. Holomorphic motions and structural stability for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), 277–308.
- [Do] Doob, Joseph L. Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart. Reprint of the 1984 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xxvi+846 pp.
- [Du1] Dujardin, Romain. Some remarks on the connectivity of Julia sets for 2-dimensional diffeomorphisms, in Complex Dynamics, 63-84, Contemp. Math., 396, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Du2] Dujardin, Romain. A closing lemma for polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Preprint arxiv:1709.01378. To appear in the Yoccoz memorial volume.
- [DL] Dujardin, Romain; Lyubich, Mikhail. Stability and bifurcations for dissipative polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Invent. Math. 200 (2015), 439–511.
- [F] Fornæss, John Erik. The Julia set of Hénon maps. Math. Ann. 334 (2006), 457–464.
- [FS] Fornæss, John Erik; Sibony, Nessim Complex Hénon mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and Fatou-Bieberbach domains. Duke Math. J. 65 (1992), 345–380.
- [FM] Friedland, Shmuel; Milnor, John. Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 9 (1989), 67–99.
- [GP] Guerini, Lorenzo; Peters, Han. Julia sets of complex Hénon maps.. Internat. J. Math. 29 (2018), no. 7, 1850047, 22 pp.
- [I] Ishii, Yutaka. Dynamics of polynomial diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ : combinatorial and topological aspects. Arnold Math. J. 3 (2017), 119–173.
- [LP] Lyubich, Mikhail; Peters, Han. Structure of partially hyperbolic Hénon maps. Preprint arxiv:1712.05823.
- [S] Słodkowski, Zbigniew. Holomorphic motions and polynomial hulls. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 347–355.
- [Y] Yoccoz, Jean-Christophe. Introduction to hyperbolic dynamics. Real and complex dynamical systems (Hillerød, 1993), 265–291, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 464, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.

Sorbonne Universités, LPSM (Laboratoire de probabilités, statistique et modélisation), UMR 8001, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

 $Email\ address{:}\ {\tt romain.dujardin@upmc.fr}$