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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group and let $H$ be a subgroup fixed by an involution. A classical result assures that the $H_{\mathbb{C}}$-action on the flag variety $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$ admits a finite number of orbits. In this article we propose a formula for the branching coefficients of the symmetric pair $(G, H)$ that is parametrized by $H_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathcal{F}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group equipped with an involution $\theta$. Let $G^{\theta}:=\{g \in G, \theta(g)=g\}$ be the subgroup fixed by the involution. We consider a subgroup $H \subset G$ such that $\left(G^{\theta}\right)_{0} \subset H \subset G^{\theta}$. The purpose of this paper is the study of the branching laws between $G$ and $H$.

Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $G$ that we choose $\theta$-invariant. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebra of $T$. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ be the lattice of weights, and let $\mathfrak{t}_{+}^{*}$ be a Weyl chamber. The irreducible representations of $G$ are parametrized by the semi-group $\Lambda_{G}^{+}:=\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_{+}^{*}$ of dominant weights.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G}^{+}$. In order to study the restriction $\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}$ of the irreducible $G$-representation $V_{\lambda}^{G}$, we consider the $H$-action on the flag variety $\mathcal{F}=G / T$ of $G$. An important object is the $H$-invariant subset

$$
Z_{\theta} \subset \mathcal{F}
$$

formed of the elements $x \in \mathcal{F}$ for which the stabilizer subgroup $G_{x}:=\{g \in$ $G, g x=x\}$ is stable under $\theta$. In orther words, $g T \in Z_{\theta}$ if and only if $g^{-1} \theta(g)$ belongs to the normalizer subgroup $N(T)$. A well-known result tells us that the group $H$ has finitely many orbits in $Z_{\theta}$, and that the finite set $H \backslash Z_{\theta}$ parametrizes the $H_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits in $\mathcal{F}[7,13,11,8]$.

Let $x \in Z_{\theta}$. The stabilizer subgroup $G_{x}$ is a maximal torus in $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{x}$. We will also consider the abelian subgroup $H_{x}:=G_{x} \cap H$ (that is not necessarily connected). Any weight $\mu \in \Lambda$ determines a character $\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{x}}$ of the torus $G_{x}$ by taking $\mu_{x}=g \cdot \mu$ if $x=g T \in \mathcal{F}$.

We denote by $\Re_{x} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{x}^{*}$ the set of roots relative to the action of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{x}$ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The map $\mu \in \mathfrak{R} \mapsto \mu_{x} \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ is an isomorphism, and we take $\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \subset \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ as the image of $\mathfrak{R}^{+} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ through this isomorphism.

The involution $\theta$ leaves the set $\Re_{x}$ invariant, and $\alpha \in \Re_{x}$ is an imaginary root if $\theta(\alpha)=\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is imaginary, the subspace $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}$ is $\theta$-stable. There are two cases. If the action of $\theta$ on $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}$ is trivial then $\alpha$ is compact imaginary. If the action of $-\theta$ on $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}$ is trivial, then $\alpha$ is noncompact imaginary. We denote respectively by $\Re_{x}^{\text {ci }}$ and by $\Re_{x}^{\text {nci }}$ the subsets
of compact imaginary and non-compact imaginary roots, and we introduce the following $G_{x}$-modules

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} .
$$

The weight

$$
\delta(x):=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}, \theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right) \\ \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha}} \alpha
$$

defines a character $\mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)}$ of the abelian group $H_{x}$. Let

$$
m_{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}
$$

We denote by $R(H)$ and by $R\left(H_{x}\right)$ the representations rings of the compact Lie groups $H$ and $H_{x}$. An element $E \in R(H)$ can be represented as a finite sum $E=\sum_{V \in \hat{H}} m_{V} V$, with $m_{V} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\widehat{R}(H)$ (resp. $\left.\widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)\right)$ the space of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued functions on $\widehat{H}$ (resp. $\widehat{H_{x}}$ ). An element $E \in \widehat{R}(H)$ can be represented as an infinite sum $\sum_{V \in \hat{H}} m_{V} V$, with $m_{V} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The induction map $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{K}: \widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{R}(H)$ is the dual of the restriction morphism $R(H) \rightarrow R\left(H_{x}\right)$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G}^{+}$. We have the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\sum_{H x \in H \backslash Z_{\theta}} Q_{H x}(\lambda) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms $Q_{H x}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$ are defined by the following relation :

$$
Q_{H x}(\lambda)=(-1)^{m_{x}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right)
$$

Here $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)$, which is the symmetric algebra of $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}$, is an admissible representation of $H_{x}$ and $\bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\bigwedge^{+} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \ominus \bigwedge^{-} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}$ is a virtual representation of $H_{x}$.

We give now another formulation for decomposition (1.1) using the (right) action of the Weyl group $W=N(T) / T$ on the flag variety $\mathcal{F}$. If $x=g T \in \mathcal{F}$ and $w \in W$ we take $x w:=g w T$. We notice immediately that $Z_{\theta}$ is stable under the action of $W$.

We associate to an element $x=g T \in Z_{\theta}$ the subgroup $W_{x}^{H} \subset W$ defined by the relation $w \in W_{x}^{H} \Longleftrightarrow H x w=H x$. We denote by $H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W$ the quotient of $Z_{\theta}$ by the action of $H \times W$, and by $\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W$ the image of $x \in Z_{\theta}$ through the quotient map. We associate to $\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W$ the element $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$ defined as follows

$$
Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)=\sum_{\bar{w} \in W_{x}^{H} \backslash W} Q_{H x w}(\lambda) .
$$

Theorem 1.1 says then that $\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$. Here is a new formulation of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 We have $\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$ where $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$ has the following description

$$
Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right)
$$

for some ${ }^{1} \mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)$.
We finish this section by giving two basic examples associated to the group $S U(2)$. Here the flag variety of $S U(2)$ is the 2-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. For $n \geqslant 0$, we denote by $V_{n}$ the irreducible representation of $S U(2)$ of dimension $n+1$.

Example 1. $G=S U(2)$ and the involution $\theta$ is the conjugaison by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. The subgroup fixed by $\theta$ is the torus $T \simeq U(1)$ and the critical set $Z_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is composed by the poles $S, N$ and the equator $E$, so that $T \backslash Z_{\theta}$ has three terms. We take $\lambda=n$ in $\widehat{S U(2)} \simeq \mathbb{N}$.

For $H x=E$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}=\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\{0\}, H_{x} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)}=\left.\mathbb{C}_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$. The contribution of $E$ is then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{U(1)}\left(\left.\mathbb{C}_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{n} \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{2 k}$.

For $H x=N$, we have $H_{x}=T, \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}=\mathbb{C}_{2}, \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {ci }}=\{0\}$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)}=\mathbb{C}_{n}$. The contribution of $N$ is then $-\mathbb{C}_{n+2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}_{2}\right)$.

For $H x=S$, we have $H_{x}=T, \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}=\mathbb{C}_{-2}, \mathbb{E}_{x}^{c i}=\{0\}$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)}=$ $\mathbb{C}_{-n}$. The contribution of $S$ is then $-\mathbb{C}_{-n-2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-2}\right)$.

Finally, Relations (1.1) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.V_{n}\right|_{T} & =\mathbb{C}_{n} \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{2 k}-\mathbb{C}_{-n-2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-2}\right)-\mathbb{C}_{n+2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=-n}^{0} \mathbb{C}_{2 k+n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]Example 2. $G=S U(2) \times S U(2)$ and the involution $\theta$ is the map $(a, b) \mapsto(b, a)$. The subgroup fixed by $\theta$ is $S U(2)$ embedded diagonally and the critical set $Z_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is equal to the union of the orbits $S U(2) \cdot(N, N)$ and $S U(2) \cdot(S, N)$. Let $\lambda=(n, m) \in \widehat{G}$.

For $x=(N, N)$ or $x=(S, N)$ we have $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}=\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\{0\}$ and $H_{x} \simeq T$. For $x=(N, N)$ we have $\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)=m+n+2$, and for $x=(S, N)$ we have $\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)=m-n$. Relations 1.1 give then

$$
V_{n} \otimes V_{m}=\operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{S U(2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{m-n}\right)-\operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{S U(2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{m+n+2}\right)
$$

It is not difficult to see that the previous identities correspond to the classical Clebsch-Gordan relations (see Example 4.2).

## Notations

Throughout the paper :

- $G$ denotes a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.
- $T$ is a maximal torus in $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$.
- $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ is the weight lattice of $T$ : every $\mu \in \Lambda$ defines a 1 -dimensional $T$-representation, denoted by $\mathbb{C}_{\mu}$, where $t=\exp (X)$ acts by $t^{\mu}:=$ $e^{i\langle\mu, X\rangle}$.
- The coadjoint action of $g \in G$ on $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is denoted by $g \cdot \xi$.
- When a Lie group $K$ acts on set $X$, the stabilizer subgroup of $x \in X$ is denoted by $K_{x}:=\{k \in K \mid k \cdot x=x\}$ and the Lie algebra of $K_{x}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{k}_{x}$.
- When a Lie group $K$ acts on a manifold $M$, we denote by $X \cdot m:=$ $\left.\frac{d}{d t} e^{t X} \cdot m\right|_{t=0}, m \in M$, the vector field generated by $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.


## 2 Non abelian localization

Our main result is obtained by means of a non-abelian localization of the Riemann-Roch character on the flag variety $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$. For that we will use the family $\left(\Omega_{r}\right)_{r}$ of symplectic structure parametrized by the interior of the Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{t}_{+}^{*}$. The symplectic structure $\Omega_{r}$ comes from the identication $g T \rightarrow g \cdot r$ of $\mathcal{F}$ with the coadjoint orbit $G r$. The moment map $\Phi_{r}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ associated to the action of $G$ on $\left(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_{r}\right)$ is the map $g T \mapsto g \cdot r$.

At the level of Lie algebras we have $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ where $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}^{\theta}$ and $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$, we denote by $\xi^{+}$his $\mathfrak{h}$-part and by $\xi^{-}$his $\mathfrak{q}$-part. We use a $G$-invariant scalar product $(-,-)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the involution $\theta$ is an orthogonal map. It induces identifications $\mathfrak{g}^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{q}^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{q}$.

The moment map $\Phi_{r}^{H}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ associated to the action of $H$ on $\left(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_{r}\right)$ is the map $g T \mapsto(g \cdot r)^{+}$.

### 2.1 Matsuki duality

Consider the complex reductive groups $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to the compact Lie groups $G$ and $H$. Let $L \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the real form such that $H \subset L$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $L$.

Matsuki duality is the statement that a one-to-one correspondence exists between the $H_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits and the $L$-orbits in $\mathcal{F}$; two orbits are in duality when their intersection is a single orbit of $H$.

Uzawa, and Mirkovic-Uzawa-Vilonen [14, 8] proved the Matsuki correspondence by showing that both $H_{\mathbb{C}}$-orbits and $L$-orbits in $\mathcal{F}$ are parametrized by the $H$-orbits in the set of critical points of the function $\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\right\|^{2}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

First we recall the elementary but fundamental fact that the subset $Z_{\theta}$ is equal to the set of critical points of the function $\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\right\|^{2}[8,3]$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $x=g T \in \mathcal{F}$ and $r \in \operatorname{Interior}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{+}^{*}\right)$. The following statements are equivalent:
i) the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{x}$ is invariant under $\theta$ (i.e. $x \in Z_{\theta}$ ),
ii) $g^{-1} \theta(g) \in N(T)$,
iii) $x$ is a critical point of the function $\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\right\|^{2}$,
iv) $(g \cdot r)^{+}$and $(g \cdot r)^{-}$commutes.

Proof. Let $n_{g}=g^{-1} \theta(g)$ and let $r$ be a regular element of $\mathfrak{t}^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{t}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_{x}=\operatorname{Ad}(g) \mathfrak{t}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\mathfrak{g}_{x}\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{x} & \Longleftrightarrow n_{g} \in N_{G}(T) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[n_{g} \cdot \theta(r), r\right]=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow[\theta(g \cdot r), g \cdot r]=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[(g \cdot r)^{+},(g \cdot r)^{-}\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A small computation shows that for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ the derivative of the function $t \mapsto\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\left(e^{t X} x\right)\right\|^{2}$ at $t=0$ is equal to $(X,[g \cdot r, \theta(g \cdot r)])$. Hence
$x=g T$ is a critical point of the function $\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\right\|^{2}$ if and only if $[g \cdot r, \theta(g \cdot r)]=0$. Finally we have proved that the statements $i), i i), i i i)$ and $i v$ ) are equivalent.

Let us check the other easy fact.
Lemma 2.2 The set $H \backslash Z_{\theta}$ is finite.
Proof. Let $x=g T \in Z_{\theta}$. A neighborhood of $x$ is defined by elements of the form $e^{X} e^{Y} x$ where $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{q}$. Now we see that $e^{X} e^{Y} g T \in Z_{\theta}$ if and only if $e^{-2 g^{-1} Y} \in N(T)$. If $Y$ is sufficiently small the former relation is equivalent to $g^{-1} Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, and in this case $e^{X} e^{Y} x=e^{X} x$. We have proved that any element in $H \backslash Z_{\theta}$ is isolated. As $H \backslash Z_{\theta}$ is compact, we can conclude that $H \backslash Z_{\theta}$ is finite.

### 2.2 Borel-Weil-Bott theorem

We first recall the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. The flag manifold $\mathcal{F}$ is equipped with the $G$-invariant complex structure such that

$$
\mathbf{T}_{e T} \mathcal{F} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}
$$

is an identity of $T$-modules. Let us consider the tangent bundle $\mathbf{T} \mathcal{F}$ as a complex vector bundle on $\mathcal{F}$ with the invariant Hermitian structure $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ induced by the invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Any weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$ defines a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \simeq G \times_{T} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{F}$.
Definition 2.3 We associated to a weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$

- the spin-c bundle on $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}:=\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda},
$$

- the Riemann-Roch character $\operatorname{RR}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right) \in R(G)$ which is the equivariant index of the Dirac operator $D_{\lambda}$ associated to the spin-c structure $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}$.

The Borel-Weil-Bott theorem asserts that $V_{\lambda}^{G}=\mathrm{RR}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$ when $\lambda$ is dominant. Now we consider the restriction $\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$. In the next section we will explain how we can localize the $H$-equivariant RiemannRoch character $\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)$ on the critical set of the function $\left\|\Phi_{r}^{H}\right\|^{2}[9]$.

### 2.3 Localization of the Riemann-Roch character

In this section we explain how we perform the "Witten non-abelian localization" of the Riemann-Roch character with the help of the moment map $\Phi_{r}^{H}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ attached to a regular element $r$ of the Weyl chamber $[9,5,10]$.

Let us denote by $X \mapsto[X]_{\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{t}}$ the projection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{t}$. The Kirwan vector field $\kappa_{r}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\kappa_{r}(x)=-\Phi_{r}^{H}(x) \cdot x \in \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F} .
$$

Through the identification $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F},\left.X \mapsto \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} g e^{t X} T$, the vector $\kappa_{r}(x) \in$ $\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}$ is equal to $\left[g^{-1} \theta(g) \cdot r\right]_{\mathfrak{g} / \mathrm{t}}$. Hence the set $Z_{\theta} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is exactly the set where $\kappa_{r}$ vanishes.

Lat $D_{0}$ be the Dirac operator associated to the spin-c structure $\mathcal{S}_{0}=$ $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T} \mathcal{F}$. The principal symbol of the elliptic operator $D_{0}$ is the bundle map $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma\left(\mathbf{T}^{*} \mathcal{F}, \operatorname{hom}\left(\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{+} \mathbf{T} \mathcal{F}, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{-} \mathbf{T} \mathcal{F}\right)\right)$ defined by the Clifford action

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{F})(x, \nu)=\mathbf{c}_{x}(\tilde{\nu}): \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{+} \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{-} \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}
$$

where $\nu \in \mathbf{T}_{x}^{*} \mathcal{O} \simeq \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{O}$ is the one to one map associated to the identification $\mathfrak{g}^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ (see [2]).

Now we will deform the elliptic symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ by means of the vector field $\kappa_{r}[9,10]$.

Definition 2.4 The symbol $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ shifted by the vector field $\kappa_{r}$ is the symbol on $\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$
\sigma_{r}(\mathcal{F})(x, \nu)=\mathbf{c}_{x}\left(\tilde{\nu}-\kappa_{r}(x)\right)
$$

for any $(x, \nu) \in \mathbf{T}^{*} \mathcal{F}$.
Consider an $H$-invariant open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_{\theta}$ is compact in $\mathcal{F}$. Then the restriction $\left.\sigma_{r}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a $H$-transversally elliptic symbol on $\mathcal{U}$, and so its equivariant index is a well defined element in $\widehat{R}(H)$ (see $[1,9,10]$ ).

Thus we can define the following localized equivariant indices.
Definition 2.5 Let $H x \subset Z_{\theta}$. We denote by

$$
\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}, \Phi_{r}^{H}, H x\right) \in \widehat{R}(H)
$$

the equivariant index of $\sigma_{r}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \mid \mathcal{U}$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is an invariant neighbourhood of $H x$ so that $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_{\theta}=H x$.

We proved in [9] that we have the decomposition

$$
\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{H x \in H \backslash Z_{\theta}} \operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}, \Phi_{r}^{H}, H x\right) \quad \in \widehat{R}(H)
$$

The computation of the characters $\mathrm{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}, \Phi_{r}^{H}, H x\right)$ will be handle in Section 3.1. To undertake these calculations we need to describe geometrically a neighborhood of $H x$ in $\mathcal{F}$. This is the goal of the next section.

### 2.4 Local model near $H x \subset Z_{\theta}$

Let $x=g T \in Z_{\theta}$. We need to compute a symplectic model of a neighborhood of $H x$ in $\left(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_{r}\right)$. Here we use the identification $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ given by the choice of an invariant scalar product. Let $\mu=g \cdot r$ that we write $\mu=\mu^{+}+\mu^{-}$ where $\mu^{+} \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mu^{-} \in \mathfrak{q}$.

The tangent space $\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}$ is equipped with the symplectic two form $\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}$ :

$$
\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}(X \cdot x, Y \cdot x)=(\mu,[X, Y]), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

We need to understand the structure of the symplectic vector space $\left(\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F},\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}\right)$. If $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a vector subspace we denote by $\mathfrak{a} \cdot x:=\{X \cdot x, X \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ the corresponding subspace of $\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}$. The symplectic orthogonal of $\mathfrak{a} \cdot x$ is denoted by $(\mathfrak{a} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega}$.

If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are two subspaces, a small computation gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathfrak{a} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cdot x \simeq \mathfrak{a}^{\perp} \cap[\mathfrak{b}, \mu], \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{a}$ relatively to the scalar product.
We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}}=\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}$the subspaces fixed by $\operatorname{ad}\left(\mu^{+}\right)$. Notice that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu}=\mathfrak{g}_{x}$ is an abelian subalgebra containing $\mu^{+}$since $\left[\mu^{+}, \mu^{-}\right]=0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}_{x} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}}$.

Lemma 2.6 $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x$ and $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x$ are symplectic subspaces of $\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}$.
Proof. It is a direct consequence of (2.2).
We consider now the symplectic subspace $V_{x} \subset \mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}$ defined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{x}=\left(\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x\right)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A small computation shows that $X \cdot x \in V_{x}$ if and only if $[X, \mu] \subset\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$.
We have the following important Lemma.

Lemma 2.7 - We have the following decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}=\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus}\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x \oplus \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} V_{x} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\perp$ stands for the orthogonal relative to $\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}$.

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*}$.
- $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x$ is symplectomorphic to $\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}$equipped with the symplectic structure $\Omega_{\mu^{+}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\left(\mu^{+},[u, v]\right)$.
- $V_{x}$ is symplectomorphic to $(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} /(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{h} \cdot x$.

Proof. If we use the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \oplus\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$and the fact that the abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{x}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}}$we obtain

$$
\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F}=\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x \oplus\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x
$$

It is obvious to check that the subspaces $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x$ are orthogonal relatively to the symplectic form $\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}$. Since $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x$ is a symplectic subspace we have $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x=\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} V_{x}$ where $V_{x}$ is defined by (2.3). The first point is proved.

The identities $\mathfrak{g}_{x}=\theta\left(\mathfrak{g}_{x}\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{\theta(x)}$ imply the decompositions $\mathfrak{g}_{x}=\mathfrak{h}_{x} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{x}$ and $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right]=\left[\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right] \oplus\left[\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right]$. The vector subspace $\left[\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right]$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}$, and the map $\left.v \mapsto \Omega_{r}\right|_{x}(v,-)$ defines an isomorphism between [ $\left.\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right]$ and the dual of $\left[\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}, x\right]$. The second point is proved.

For the third point we use the isomophism $j:\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}$induces by the projection $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}$. Then the map $\bar{u} \mapsto j(\bar{u}) \cdot x$ defines a symplectomorphism between $\left(\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}, \Omega_{\mu^{+}}\right)$and $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x$.

Now we see that (2.4) together with the decomposition $\mathfrak{h} \cdot x=$ $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x+\mathfrak{h} \cdot x$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} & =\left(\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x\right)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x\right)^{\perp, \Omega} \\
& =\left(\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right] \cdot x\right)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^{+}} \cdot x\right) \oplus V_{x} \\
& =(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{h} \cdot x \oplus V_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last point follows.
We denote by $\Omega_{V_{x}}$ the restriction of $\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}$ on the symplectic vector subspace $V_{x}$. The action of $H_{x}$ on $\left(V_{x}, \Omega_{V_{x}}\right)$ is Hamiltonian, with moment map $\Phi_{V_{x}}: V_{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{x}^{*}$ defined by the relation

$$
\left\langle\Phi_{V_{x}}(v), A\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{V_{x}}(v, A v), \quad v \in V_{x}, A \in \mathfrak{h}_{x} .
$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.7, we know that the $H_{x}$-symplectic vector space $\left(\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F},\left.\Omega_{r}\right|_{x}\right)$ admits the following decomposition

$$
\mathbf{T}_{x} \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} V_{x}
$$

Thanks to the normal form Theorem of Marle [6] and Guillemin-Sternberg [4], we get the following result.

Corollary 2.8 An $H$-equivariant symplectic model of a neighborhoood of $H x$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{x}:=H \times{ }_{H_{\mu}} Y_{x}$ where

$$
Y_{x}=H_{\mu^{+}} \times_{H_{x}}\left(\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \times V_{x}\right)
$$

The corresponding moment map on $\mathcal{F}_{x}$ is

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{x}}([h ; \eta, v])=h\left(\eta+\mu^{+}+\Phi_{V_{x}}(v)\right)
$$

for $[h ; \eta, v] \in H \times{ }_{H_{x}}\left(\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \times V_{x}\right)$.

We finish this section by computing a compatible complex structure on $V_{x}$.

By definition, the map that sends $X \cdot x$ to $[X, \mu]$ defines an isomorphism $i: V_{x} \rightarrow\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$. The adjoint map $a d(\mu)$ defines also an automorphism of $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$: for any $X \in\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$we denote by $\tilde{X} \in\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$the unique element such that $\operatorname{ad}(\mu) \tilde{X}=X$.

The symplectic structure $\Omega_{\mu}:=\left(i^{-1}\right)^{*} \Omega_{V_{x}}$ satisfies the relations

$$
\Omega_{\mu}(X, Y)=(\mu,[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}])=(X, \tilde{Y})=-(\tilde{X}, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]
$$

We consider the one to one map $-a d(\mu) a d(\theta(\mu)):\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$ and the $H_{x^{-}}$-invariant complex structure $J_{\mu^{+}}=a d\left(\mu^{+}\right)\left(-a d\left(\mu^{+}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ on $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$. It restricts to a one to one map $T_{x}:\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$and a complex structure on $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$(still denoted by $J_{\mu^{+}}$).

Let $S_{x}:=\left(T_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} T_{x}$. The map $J_{V_{x}}:=J_{\mu^{+}} \circ S_{x}$ defines a $H_{x}$-invariant complex structure on $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$.

Lemma 2.9 The $H_{x}$-symplectic space $\left(V_{x}, \Omega_{V_{x}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$ equipped with the symplectic form $\Omega_{\mu}^{1}(v, w)=\left(J_{V_{x}} v, w\right)$.

Proof. We know already that $\left(V_{x}, \Omega_{V_{x}}\right) \simeq\left(\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right], \Omega_{\mu}\right)$. If one takes $L=T_{x} \circ\left(-a d\left(\mu^{+}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} \circ\left(T_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4}$, we check easily that $\Omega_{\mu}(L(v), L(w))=$ $\left(J_{V_{x}} v, w\right)$.

## 3 Proof of the main theorem

We start with the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1 The quantity $\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}, \Phi_{r}^{H}, H x\right)$ does not depend on the choice of the regular element $r$ in the Weyl chamber. In the following we will denote it by $Q_{H x}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$.

Proof. Let $r_{0}, r_{1}$ be two regular elements of the Weyl chamber. For $t \in[0,1]$, we consider the regular element $r(t)=t r_{1}+(1-t) r_{0}$ : the Kirwan vector field $\kappa_{r(t)}$ vanishes exactly on $Z_{\theta}$ for any $t \in[0,1]$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is an invariant neighbourhood of $H x$ so that $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_{\theta}=H x$, then $t \in[0,1] \mapsto \sigma_{r(t)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes$ $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \mid \mathcal{U}$ defines an homotopy of transversally elliptic symbols. Accordingly, the equivariant index of $\sigma_{r_{0}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \mid \mathcal{U}$ and $\sigma_{r_{1}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \mid \mathcal{U}$ are equal.

### 3.1 Computation of $Q_{H x}(\lambda)$

The computation of $Q_{H x}(\lambda)$ is done in three steps.

### 3.1.1 Step 1: holomorphic induction

Let $H_{\mu^{+}} \subset H$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $\mu^{+}:=\Phi_{r}^{H}(x)$. We know that an $H$-equivariant symplectic model of a neighborhoood of $H x$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is the manifold $H \times_{H_{\mu^{+}}} Y_{x}$ where

$$
Y_{x}=H_{\mu^{+}} \times_{H_{x}}\left(\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \times V_{x}\right) .
$$

The symplectic two form on $Y_{x}$ is built from the canonical symplectic structure on $H_{\mu^{+}} \times_{H_{x}}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \simeq \mathbf{T}^{*}\left(H_{\mu^{+}} / H_{x}\right)$ and the symplectic structure on $V_{x}$. The moment map relative to the action of $H_{\mu^{+}}$on $Y_{x}$ is

$$
\Phi_{Y_{x}}([h ; \eta, v])=h\left(\eta+\mu^{+}+\Phi_{V_{x}}(v)\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}^{*},
$$

for $[h ; \eta, v] \in H_{\mu^{+}} \times_{H_{x}}\left(\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \times V_{x}\right)$.
Let $\kappa_{Y_{x}}$ the Kirwan vector field on $Y_{x}$. It is immediate to check that $[h ; \eta, v] \in\left\{\kappa_{Y_{x}}=0\right\}$ if and only if $\eta=0$ and $\left(\mu^{+}+\Phi_{V_{x}}(v)\right) \cdot v=0$. The map $v \mapsto \mu^{+} \cdot v$ is bijective and $v \mapsto \Phi_{V_{x}}(v) \cdot v$ is homogeneous of degree equal to 3. Then there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\left(\mu^{+}+\Phi_{V_{x}}(v)\right) \cdot v=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\|v\| \leqslant \epsilon \Longrightarrow v=0 .
$$

In $Y_{x}$, we still denote by $x$ the point $[e, 0,0]$. We equip $Y_{x}$ with an invariant almost complex structure that is compatible with the symplectic structure,
and we denote by $\operatorname{RR}_{H_{\mu^{+}}}\left(Y_{x},\left.\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right|_{Y_{x}}, \Phi_{Y_{x}}, H_{\mu^{+}} x\right)$ the Riemann-Roch character on $Y_{x}$ localized on the component $H_{\mu^{+}} x \subset\left\{\kappa_{Y_{x}}=0\right\}$.

The quotient $\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}$, which is equipped with the invariant complex structure $J_{\mu^{+}}:=a d\left(\mu^{+}\right)\left(-a d\left(\mu^{+}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, is a complex $H_{\mu^{+}}$module.

In [9][Theorem 7.5], we proved that $Q_{H x}(\lambda)=\operatorname{RR}_{H}\left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}, \Phi_{r}^{H}, H x\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\mu^{+}}}^{H}\left(\operatorname{RR}_{H_{\mu^{+}}}\left(Y_{x},\left.\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right|_{Y_{x}}, \Phi_{Y_{x}}, H_{\mu^{+}} x\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.2 Step 2: cotangent induction

The map $\Phi_{x}(v):=\mu^{+}+\Phi_{V_{x}}(v)$ is a moment map for the Hamiltonian action of $H_{x}$ on $V_{x}$. The moment map on the $H_{\mu^{+}}$-manifold

$$
Y_{x}=H_{\mu^{+}} \times_{H_{x}}\left(\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}\right)^{*} \times V_{x}\right)
$$

is $\Phi_{Y_{x}}([h ; \eta, v])=h\left(\eta+\Phi_{x}(v)\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mu}^{*}$.
Let $\kappa_{V_{x}}(v)=-\Phi_{x}(v) \cdot v$ be the Kirwan vector field on $V_{x}$. We are interested in the connected component $\{0\}$ of $\left\{\kappa_{V_{x}}=0\right\}$. We choose a compatible almost complex structure on the symplectic vector space and we denote by $\operatorname{RR}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right) \in \widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)$ the Riemann-Roch character localized on $\{0\} \subset\left\{\kappa_{V_{x}}=0\right\}$.

In Section 3.3 of [10] we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{RR}_{H_{\mu^{+}}}\left(Y_{x},\left.\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right|_{Y_{x}}, \Phi_{Y_{x}}, H_{\mu^{+}} x\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H_{\mu^{+}}}\left(\left.\operatorname{RR}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\right|_{x}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.3 Step 3: linear case

We write $\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}$for the vector space $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right.$] equipped with the complex structure $J_{\mu^{+}}$. So $\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}$is a $H_{\mu^{+}}$-module and we denote by $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}\right)$the corresponding symmetric algebra.

We need to compare the virtual $H_{x}$-modules $\bigwedge_{J_{V_{x}}} V_{x}$ and $\bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^{+}}} V_{x}$. The weight

$$
\delta(x):=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}}^{+}, \theta\left(\mathfrak{F}_{x}^{+}\right) \\ \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha}} \alpha
$$

defines a character $\mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)}$ of the abelian group $H_{x}$. Recall that $m_{x} \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to the quantity $\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}$.

The following lemma will be proved in Section 3.2.

Lemma 3.2 The following identity holds:

$$
\bigwedge_{J_{V_{x}}} V_{x} \simeq(-1)^{m_{x}} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^{+}}} V_{x} .
$$

On the vector space $V_{x}$, we can work with two localized Riemann-Roch characters:

- $\mathrm{RR}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right)$ is defined with the complex structure $J_{V_{x}}$,
- $\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right)$ is defined with the complex structure $-J_{\mu^{+}}$. The previous Lemma gives that $\mathrm{RR}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right)$ is equal to $(-1)^{m_{x}} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes$ $\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right)$.

Proposition 3.3 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RR}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi_{x},\{0\}\right)=(-1)^{m_{x}} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $s \in[0,1]$, we consider the $H_{x^{-}}$-equivariant map $\Phi^{s}: V_{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{x}^{*}$ defined by the relations $\Phi^{s}(v)=\mu^{+}+s \Phi_{V_{x}}(v)$. The corresponding Kirwan vector field on $V_{x}$ is $\kappa^{s}(v)=-\Phi^{s}(v) \cdot v$. It is not difficult to see that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left\{\kappa^{s}=0\right\} \cap\{\|v\| \leqslant \epsilon\}=\{0\}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$. Then a simple deformation argument gives that $\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi^{s},\{0\}\right)$ does not depend on $s \in[0,1]$. We have proved that

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \Phi^{s},\{0\}\right)=\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \mu^{+},\{0\}\right)
$$

where $\mu^{+}$denotes the constant map $\Phi^{0}$. Standard computations gives $\widetilde{\mathrm{RR}}_{H_{x}}\left(V_{x}, \mu^{+},\{0\}\right)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}\right)$(see [9][Proposition 5.4]). Our proof is completed.

### 3.1.4 Conclusion

If we use the formulas (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain the following expression

$$
Q_{H x}(\lambda)=(-1)^{m_{x}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}\right)
$$

in $\widehat{R}(H)$. Here $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}}$ is the character of $G_{x}$ associated to the weight $\lambda_{x}=g \lambda$.
The previous formula depends on a choice of a regular element $r$ in the Weyl chamber. In the next section we will propose another expression for $Q_{H x}(\lambda)$ that does not depend on this choice.

### 3.2 Another expression for $Q_{H x}(\lambda)$

Let $\mathfrak{R}_{x} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{x}^{*}$ be the roots for the action of the torus $G_{x}$ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The involution $\theta: \mathfrak{t}^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ leaves the set $\mathfrak{R}_{x}$ invariant and a root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ is called imaginary if $\theta(\alpha)=\alpha$. We denote respectively by $\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {ci }}$ and by $\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {nci }}$ the subsets of compact imaginary and non-compact imaginary roots.

We choose a generic element $r \in \mathfrak{t}_{+}^{*}$ such that $\mu^{+}=(g \cdot r)^{+}$satisfies the following relation : for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$, we have

$$
\left(\alpha, \mu^{+}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \theta(\alpha)=-\alpha .
$$

Notice that an imaginary roots $\alpha$ is positive if and only if $\left(\alpha, \mu^{+}\right)>0$.
Definition 3.4 We consider the subset $\mathfrak{A}_{x} \subset \mathfrak{R}_{x}$

$$
\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} \Longleftrightarrow \alpha\left(\mu^{+}\right)>0, \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha
$$

The involution $\theta$ defines a free action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ on the set $\mathfrak{A}_{x}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ its quotient. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ the corresponding 1dimensional representation of $G_{x}$, and $\left.\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}}$ its restriction to the subgroup $H_{x}$. We have a natural map $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} /\left.\mathbb{Z}_{2} \longmapsto \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}} \in R\left(H_{x}\right)$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ we define

$$
\tilde{\alpha}= \pm \alpha
$$

where $\pm$ is the sign of $\alpha(\mu) \alpha(\theta(\mu))$.
We consider the $H_{x}$-modules $\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}:=\left(\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right], J_{\mu^{+}}\right), \mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}:=\left(V_{x}, J_{\mu^{+}}\right)$ and $\left(V_{x}, J_{V_{x}}\right)$.
Lemma 3.5 We have the following isomorphisms of $H_{x}$-modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} & \left.\left.\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {ci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}} & {[A], } \\
\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}} & \left.\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{R}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha} \mid H_{x} & {[B], } \\
\left(V_{x}, J_{V_{x}}\right) & \left.\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right|_{H_{x}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \mid H_{x} & {[C] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.9, we know that the $H_{x}$-module ( $V_{x}, J_{V_{x}}$ ) is isomorphic to the vector space $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$equipped with the complex structure $J_{V_{x}}:=J_{\mu^{+}} \circ S_{x}$. We consider the vector spaces $\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$and $\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right]$equipped with the complex structure $J_{\mu^{+}}$. The projection (taking the real part) $\mathbf{r}$ : $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ induces an isomorphism of $G_{x}$-modules

$$
\mathbf{r}: \bigoplus_{\alpha\left(\mu^{+}\right)>0}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} \quad \longrightarrow \quad\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] .
$$

The orthogonal projections $\mathbf{p}_{1}:\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right]$and $\mathbf{p}_{2}:\left[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^{+}\right] \rightarrow$ $\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right]$commutes with the $H_{x}$-action, so the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{1} \circ \mathbf{r}: \bigoplus_{\alpha\left(\mu^{+}\right)>0}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} \longrightarrow\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right] \text {, } \\
& \mathbf{p}_{2} \circ \mathbf{r}: \bigoplus_{\alpha\left(\mu^{+}\right)>0}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} \longrightarrow\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are surjective morphisms of $H_{x}$-modules.
Let $V_{x}^{1}(\alpha)=\mathbf{p}_{1} \circ \mathbf{r}\left((\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}\right)$. We notice that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V_{x}^{1}(\alpha) \in\{0,1\}$ : $V_{x}^{1}(\alpha)=\{0\}$ only if $\alpha$ is a non-compact imaginary root and $\left.V_{x}^{1}(\alpha) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}}$ when $V_{x}^{1}(\alpha) \neq\{0\}$. We notice also that $V_{x}^{1}(\alpha)=V_{x}^{1}(\theta(\alpha))$, hence

$$
\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}=\left(\left[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^{+}\right], J_{\mu^{+}}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} V_{x}^{1}(\alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}} V_{x}^{1}(\alpha)
$$

The identity $[B]$ is proved.
Similarly we consider $V_{x}^{2}(\alpha)=\mathbf{p}_{2} \circ \mathbf{r}\left((\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}\right)$. We notice that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V_{x}^{2}(\alpha) \in$ $\{0,1\}: V_{x}^{2}(\alpha)=\{0\}$ only if $\alpha$ is a compact imaginary root and $V_{x}^{2}(\alpha) \simeq$ $\left.\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}}$ when $V_{x}^{2}(\alpha) \neq\{0\}$. We notice also that $V_{x}^{2}(\alpha)=V_{x}^{2}(\theta(\alpha))$, hence

$$
\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}=\left(\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^{+}\right], J_{\mu^{+}}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} V_{x}^{2}(\alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}} V_{x}^{2}(\alpha) .
$$

The identity $[A]$ is proved.
Finally we check that the complex structures $J_{\mu^{+}}$and $J_{V_{x}}$ preserve each $V_{x}^{1}(\alpha)$ and that $\left.\left(V_{x}(\alpha), J_{V_{x}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right|_{H_{x}}$ when $\left(\alpha, \mu^{+}\right)>0$. The identity [C] follows.

We consider the $H_{x}$-module $\mathbb{V}_{x}:=\left.\sum_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}\right|_{H_{x}}$, and the $G_{x}$-modules $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$. In the previous lemma we have proved that $H_{x}$-modules $\mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}}$and $\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}$are respectively isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}_{x} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{x}^{c i}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{x} \oplus \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}$. If we use the fact that $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{V}_{x}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{V}_{x}=1$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6 We have the following identity of virtual $H_{x}$-modules:

$$
\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{q} / \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^{+}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{h} / \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^{+}} \simeq \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

Let us now prove Lemma 3.2.
Let $\mathcal{B}:=\mathfrak{A}_{x} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \bigcup\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right)$. We see that $\bigwedge_{J_{V_{x}}} V_{x}=\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}}(1-$ $\left.t^{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)$ whereas $\bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^{+}}} V_{x}=\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}}\left(1-t^{-\alpha}\right)$. Accordingly we get $\bigwedge_{J_{V x}} V_{x} \simeq$
$(-1)^{\left|\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right|} \mathbb{C}_{\eta} \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^{+}}} V_{x}$ where $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}, \tilde{\alpha}=\alpha\}$ and $\eta=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}} \alpha$. Now it is easy to check that an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\alpha$ and $\theta(\alpha)$ both belong to $\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}$. In other words

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right), \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\right\} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \bigcup \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} .
$$

We have proved that

$$
\bigwedge_{J_{V_{x}}} V_{x} \simeq(-1)^{m_{x}} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^{+}}} V_{x} .
$$

Finally, thanks to Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.6, we obtain the final formula for $Q_{H x}(\lambda)$ (that does not depend on the choice of $r$ ):

$$
Q_{H x}(\lambda)=(-1)^{m_{x}} \operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x}+\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right)
$$

### 3.3 Computation of the virtual module $\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda)$

According to Theorem 1.1, we have the decomposition $\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\sum_{\bar{x}} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$ where $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{A}_{x}(\lambda)\right)$, and $\mathbb{A}_{x}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)$ has the following description

$$
\mathbb{A}_{x}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\left|W_{x}^{H}\right|} \sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{m_{x w}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x w}+\delta(x w)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

The aim of this section is to simplify the expression of the virtual $H_{x^{-}}$ module $\mathbb{A}_{x}(\lambda)$. We start by comparing the $G_{x}$-modules $\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\text {nci }}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}$. We use the decomposition $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}=\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+} \oplus\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{-}$where

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}:=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \Re_{x}^{+} \cap \Re_{x w}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{-}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{\alpha} .
$$

We have the following basic lemma (see Lemma 3.10).
Lemma 3.7 The $G_{x}$-module $\left|\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|_{w}:=\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+} \oplus \overline{\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{-}}$is isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}$.

Let $\rho=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}} \alpha$. We denote by $w \bullet \lambda=w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ the affine action of the Weyl group on the lattice $\Lambda$.

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8 Let $x \in Z_{\theta}$. We have

$$
\mathbb{A}_{x}(\lambda)=\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

where $\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)$ is defined by the following expression

$$
\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda)=\frac{(-1)^{n_{x}}}{\left|W_{x}^{H}\right|} \sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{k_{x, w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_{x}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|_{w}\right)
$$

and

- $k_{x, w}=\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm \alpha\}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right|$,
- $n_{x}:=\left|\theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left|\theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|$.

Remark 3.9 We can describe $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$ differently by taking $\left\{w_{1}, \cdots, w_{p}\right\} \subset$ $W$ such that $W / W_{x}^{H} \simeq\left\{\bar{w}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{w}_{p}\right\}$. We have $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{x}(\lambda)\right)$ with

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{x}(\lambda)=\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{x}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{c i}
$$

and where $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{x}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}\left(H_{x}\right)$ is defined by the following expression

$$
\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{x}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n_{x}} \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k_{x, w_{k}}} \mathbb{C}_{\left(w_{k} \bullet \lambda\right)_{x}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w_{k}}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left.\left|\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|\right|_{w_{k}}\right)
$$

We need to introduce some notations. To $x \in Z_{\theta}$, we associate :

- The polarized roots : to $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ and $w \in W$, we associate $|\alpha|_{w} \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}$ defined as follows

$$
|\alpha|_{w}= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \\ -\alpha & \text { if } \quad \alpha \notin \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\end{cases}
$$

- The following $G_{x}$-weights :

The proof of Proposition 3.8 is based on the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.10 Let $x \in Z_{\theta}$ and $w \in W$. Let $d_{x, w}^{c i}$ be the cardinal of the set $\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+},|\alpha|_{w} \neq \alpha\right\}$. We have the following relations

1. $\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{|\alpha| w}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \mathbb{C}_{|\alpha| w}$,
2. $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{-\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{nci}}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}\right)$,
3. $\wedge \mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}=(-1)^{d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}$.
4. The $H_{x}$-weight $\delta(x w)-\delta(x)$ is equal to the restriction of $G_{x}$-weight $\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{nci}}+\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}-\gamma_{x, w}$ to $H_{x}$.

Proof. We remark that $\mathfrak{R}_{x}=\mathfrak{R}_{x w}, \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}=g\left(\mathfrak{R}^{+}\right)$and $\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}=g\left(w \mathfrak{R}^{+}\right)$. The first point follows and points 2. and 3. derive from the first.

Let us check the last point. The term $\rho_{x}:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}} \alpha$ is the image of $\rho:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{R}^{+}} \alpha^{\prime}$ through the map $\mu \mapsto \mu_{x}$. We see that

$$
\rho_{x}+\theta\left(\rho_{x}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right)} \alpha=2 \delta(x)+2 \rho_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}+2 \rho_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

where $\rho_{x}^{\text {nci }}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\text {nci }} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \alpha$ and $\rho_{x}^{\text {ci }}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Re_{x}^{\text {ci }} \cap \Re_{x}^{+}} \alpha$. Similarly we have

$$
\rho_{x w}+\theta\left(\rho_{x w}\right)=2 \delta(x w)+2 \rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}+2 \rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}} .
$$

Thus the $H_{x}$-weight $\delta(x w)-\delta(x)$ is equal to the restriction to $H_{x}$ of the $G_{x}$-weight

$$
\beta(x, w):=\rho_{x w}-\rho_{x}+\left(\rho_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}-\rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)+\left(\rho_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}-\rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right) .
$$

We notice that $\rho_{x w}-\rho_{x}=(w \rho-\rho)_{x}=-\gamma_{x, w}$. Furthermore, small computations gives that $\rho_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}-\rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}=\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{nci}}$ and $\rho_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}-\rho_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}$. We have proved that $\beta(x, w)=\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{nci}}+\gamma_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}-\gamma_{x, w}$. The last point follows.

Now, we can finish the proof of the Proposition 3.8. We must check that the virtual $H_{x}$-module

$$
\mathbf{A}:=(-1)^{m_{x w}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{x w}+\delta(x w)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

is equal to the virtual $H_{x}$-module

$$
\mathbf{B}:=(-1)^{n_{x}+k_{x, w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_{x}+\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

If we use the previous Lemma, we get

$$
\mathbf{A}=(-1)^{m_{x w}+d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}} \mathbb{C}_{(w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho)_{x}+\delta(x)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}
$$

Thus the equality $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}$ follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11 For any $x \in Z_{\theta}$ and $w \in W$, we have $n_{x}+k_{x, w}=m_{x w}+$ $d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}} \bmod 2$.

Proof. In order to simplify our notations, we write $a \equiv b$ for $a=$ $b \bmod 2$.

We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{nci}}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x w}^{\mathrm{ci}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{x w}-m_{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\Re_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\Re_{x w}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|-\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)\right|-\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right)\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark now that

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)=A_{++} \cup A_{--} \cup A_{+-} \cup A_{-+}
$$

with $A_{++}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right), A_{--}=-\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap-\theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap$ $\theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right), A_{+-}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(-\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)$and $A_{-+}=-\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap$ $\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)$.

Similarly we have

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right)=B_{++} \cup B_{--} \cup B_{+-} \cup B_{-+}
$$

with $B_{++}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right), B_{--}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap$ $\theta\left(-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right), B_{+-}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)$and $B_{-+}=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap$ $-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right)$.

We have the obvious relations : $A_{++}=B_{++}, A_{--}=-B_{--}, \theta\left(A_{+-}\right)=$ $A_{-+}, \theta\left(B_{+-}\right)=B_{-+}$and $A_{++}=B_{++}$. So we get

$$
m_{x w}-m_{x} \equiv\left|A_{+-}\right|+\left|B_{+-}\right| .
$$

Let consider $\mathcal{A}:=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}$and $\mathcal{B}:=\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{x w}-m_{x} & \equiv|\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{B})|+|\mathcal{A} \cap-\theta(\mathcal{B})| \\
& \equiv|\mathcal{A}|+|\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A})|+|\mathcal{A} \cap-\theta(\mathcal{A})|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A})| & \equiv|\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A}) \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}| \\
& \equiv\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} \cap-\theta(\mathcal{A})| & \equiv|\mathcal{A} \cap-\theta(\mathcal{A}) \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=-\alpha\}| \\
& \equiv\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \Re_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=-\alpha\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

At this stage we have proved that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{x w}-m_{x} \\
& \quad \equiv\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=-\alpha\}\right| \\
& \quad \equiv\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq-\alpha\}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

As $d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}=\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap-\mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \Re_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right|$, we have $\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}\right|+d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{c i}+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|$. This implies that $m_{x w}+d_{x, w}^{c \mathrm{i}}$ is equal, modulo 2 , to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{x}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq-\alpha\}\right| \\
\equiv & m_{x}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm \alpha\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition $m_{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{x}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}} & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \theta\left(\Re_{x}^{+}\right) \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|+\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha)=\alpha\}\right| \\
& \equiv n_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have proved that $m_{x w}+d_{x, w}^{\mathrm{ci}}$ is equal, modulo 2 , to $n_{x}+k_{x, w}$.

## 4 Examples

In this section we will study in details some examples of our formula

$$
\left.V_{\lambda}^{G}\right|_{H}=\sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)
$$

where $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right)$ and

$$
\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda)=\frac{(-1)^{n_{x}}}{\left|W_{x}^{H}\right|} \sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{k_{x, w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_{x}} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{nci}}\right|_{w}\right)
$$

Here the integers $k_{x, w}$ and $n_{x}$ are defined as follows:

- $k_{x, w}=\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm \alpha\}\right|+\left|\Re_{x}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x w}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}\right|$,
- $n_{x}=\left|\theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left|\theta\left(\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+}\right) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{x}^{+} \cap\{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}\right|$.


## 4.1 $K \subset K \times K$

Let $K$ be a connected compact Lie group. Here we work with the Lie group $G=K \times K$ and the involution $\theta\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\left(k_{2}, k_{1}\right)$. The subgroup $H=G^{\theta}$ is the group $K$ embedded diagonally in $G$.

Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $K$ and let $W_{K}=N_{K}(T) / T$ be the Weyl group of $K$. We denote by $\Re_{K}$ the set of roots for $(K, T)$, and we make the choice of a set $\mathfrak{R}_{K}^{+}$of positive roots.

In the next lemma we describe the critical set $Z_{\theta}$ in the flag manifold $\mathcal{F}=K / T \times K / T$ of $G$.

Lemma 4.1 We have $Z_{\theta}=\bigcup_{w \in W_{K}} Z_{w}$ with $Z_{w}=K \cdot(w T, T)$. In other words, the set $H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W$ is a singleton.

Proof. The element $x=(a T, b T) \in \mathcal{F}$ belongs to $Z_{\theta}$ if and only if $=\left(a^{-1} b, b^{-1} a\right) \in W \times W$. If $b^{-1} a=w \in W$ then $(a T, b T) \in Z_{w}$.

We take $x=(T, T) \in Z_{\theta}$. For each $w \in W_{K}$, we write $x w=(w T, T)$. We take $\lambda=(a, b) \in \Lambda_{K}^{+} \times \Lambda_{K}^{+}=\widehat{G}$.

Our data are as follows:

- the group $G_{x}$ is the maximal torus $T \times T \subset K$,
- the group $H_{x}$ is the maximal torus $T \subset K$,
- $\mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_{x}+\delta(x)}=\mathbb{C}_{w(a+\rho)+b+\rho}$ as a character of $T$,
- $n_{x}=\left|\Re_{K}^{+}\right|$,
- $k_{x, w}$ is equal to $\left|w \mathfrak{R}_{K}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{K}^{+}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{R}_{K}^{+}\right|$, so $(-1)^{k_{x, w}}=(-1)^{w}$,
- the vector spaces $\mathbb{E}_{x}^{\mathrm{ci}}, \mathbb{E}_{x}^{\text {nci }}$ are reduced to $\{0\}$.

In this context we recover the classical relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}^{K} \otimes V_{b}^{K}=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(K / T) / 2} \sum_{w \in W_{K}}(-1)^{w} \operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{K}\left(\mathbb{C}_{w(a+\rho)+b+\rho}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.2 The irreducible representation $S U(2)$ are parametrized by $\mathbb{N}$. If $n \geqslant 0$, the irreducible representation $V_{n}$ of $S U(2)$ satisfies

$$
V_{n}=\operatorname{Ind}_{U(1)}^{S U(2)}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{n}\right) .
$$

If we take $m \geqslant n \geqslant 0$, then (4.8) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n} \otimes V_{m} & =\operatorname{Ind}_{U(1)}^{S U(2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{m-n}\right)-\operatorname{Ind}_{U(1)}^{S U(2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{m+n+2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \operatorname{Ind}_{U(1)}^{S U(2)}\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{0}-\mathbb{C}_{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m+n-2 k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{m+n-2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We recognize here the classical Clebsch-Gordan relations.

## 4.2 $U(p) \times U(q) \subset U(p+q)$

Let $p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$ and $n=p+q$. We take $G=U(n)$ with maximal torus $T \simeq$ $U(1)^{n}$ the subgroup formed by the diagonal matrices. We use the canonical map $\tau$ from the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ into $G$. It induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and the Weyl group $W$ of $G$.

We work with the involution $\theta(g)=\Delta g \Delta^{-1}$ where $\Delta:=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{p},-I_{q}\right)$ : the subgroup fixed by $\theta$ is $H=U(p) \times U(q)$.

In the next section we describe the critical set $Z_{\theta} \subset \mathcal{F}$. For another type of parametrization of $H_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathcal{F}$, see Section 5 of [12].

### 4.2.1 The critical set

We consider the following elements of $O(2)$ :

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The element $R$ is of order $8, R^{2}=-J$ and $R^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right) R=S$.
To any $j \in\{0, \ldots, q\}$ we associate :

- $g_{j}:=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \ldots,}_{p-j \text { times }}, \underbrace{R, \ldots, R}_{j \text { times }}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{q-j \text { times }}) \in G$,
- the permutation $w_{j} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ that fixes the elements of $[1, \cdots, p-j]$ $\cup[p+j+1, \cdots, n]$ and such that $w_{j}(p-j+2 k-1)=p-j+k, \quad w_{j}(p-j+2 k)=p+k, \quad$ for $\quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant j$,
- $k_{j}=\tau_{j} g_{j} \in G$, where $\tau_{j} \in N(T)$ is the image of $w_{j}$ by $\tau$,
- $x_{j}=k_{j} T \in \mathcal{F}$.

We notice that the adjoint map $\operatorname{Ad}\left(\tau_{j}\right): G \rightarrow G$ sends the matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p-j}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 j}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q-j}\right)$ to the matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p-j}, b_{1}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{2 j-1}, b_{2}, b_{4}, \ldots, b_{2 j}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q-j}\right)
$$

We see then that

$$
\sigma_{j}:=k_{j}^{-1} \Delta k_{j}=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p-j \text { times }}, \underbrace{S, \ldots, S}_{j \text { times }}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots,-1}_{q-j \text { times }})
$$

and $k_{j}^{-1} \theta\left(k_{j}\right)=\sigma_{j} \Delta$ belong to $N(T)$. Thus the elements $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{q}$ belongs to $Z_{\theta}$.

Lemma 4.3 In the flag manifold $\mathcal{F}$ the set $Z_{\theta}$ has the following description:

$$
Z_{\theta}=\bigcup_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant q} \bigcup_{\bar{w} \in W_{x_{j}} \backslash W} H x_{j} w
$$

So we have $H \backslash Z_{\theta} / W=\left\{\bar{x}_{0}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{q}\right\}$.
Proof. If $1 \leqslant a<b \leqslant n$, we denote by $\tau_{a, b} \in N(T)$ the permutation matrix associated to the transposition $(a, b)$.

Let $g T \in Z_{\theta}$. Then $k:=g^{-1} \theta(g) \Delta=g^{-1} \Delta g$ is an element of order two in $N(T)$. The Weyl group element $\bar{k} \in W$ is of order two, then there exists $0 \leqslant l \leqslant n / 2$, and a family $\left(a_{1}<b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{l}<b_{l}\right)$ of disjoint couples in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $k T=\tau_{a_{1}, b_{1}} \ldots \tau_{a_{l}, b_{l}} T$.

Now, if we use the fact that the characteristic polynomial of $k \in G$ is equal to $(X-1)^{p}(X+1)^{q}$ with $p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$, we see that

- $l \leqslant q$,
- there exists $n \in N(T)$ such that $n^{-1} k n=\sigma_{l}=k_{l}^{-1} \Delta k_{l}$.

If we take $w=\bar{n} \in W$, the previous identity says that $g \in H k_{l} w T$.

### 4.2.2 Localized indices

We work with the groups $T \subset H=U(p) \times U(q) \subset G=U(n)$ and the corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{R}=\left\{\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}\right\}$ be the set of non-zero roots for the action of $T$ on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We choose the Weyl chamber so that $\mathfrak{R}^{+}:=\left\{\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}, 1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n\right\}$.

Let $j \in\{0, \ldots, q\}$. The aim of this section is to compute the localized index $Q_{\bar{x}_{j}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$. In order to have a fairly simple expression we will rewrite the terms of the form $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x_{j}}}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\beta} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x_{j}}^{\text {ci }}\right)$.

We write $\{1, \ldots, n\}=I_{j}^{1} \cup I_{j}^{2} \cup I_{j}^{3} \cup I_{j}^{4}$ where $I_{j}^{1}=\{1 \leqslant k \leqslant p-j\}, I_{j}^{2}=$ $\{p-j+1 \leqslant k \leqslant p\}, I_{j}^{3}=\{p+1 \leqslant k \leqslant p+j\}$, and $I_{j}^{4}=\{p+j+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\}$.

For the maximal torus $T \subset G$ we have a decomposition

$$
T \simeq T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3} \times T_{j}^{4}
$$

where $T_{j}^{p}=\left\{\left(t_{k}\right), k \in I_{j}^{p}\right\}$. Let $T_{j} \subset T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}$ be the subtorus defined by the relations : $\left(\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \in I_{j}^{2}},\left(s_{k^{\prime}}\right)_{k^{\prime} \in I_{j}^{3}}\right) \in T_{j}$ if and only if $t_{p-j+k}=s_{p+k}$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant j$.

The elements of order two $\sigma_{j} \in G$ induce involutions on $G$ (by conjugation) that we still denote by $\sigma_{j}$. We start with a basic lemma whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.4 Let $x_{j}=k_{j} T \in \mathcal{F}$.

- The adjoint map $\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{j}\right): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ realizes an isomorphism between the vector space $\mathfrak{t}$ equipped with the involution induced by $\sigma_{j}$ and the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{x_{j}}$ equipped with the involution $\theta$.
- The group $N(T)^{\sigma_{j}} / T^{\sigma_{j}}$ is isomorphic with $\mathfrak{S}_{p-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{j} \times\{ \pm\}^{j}$.
- The adjoint map $\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{j}\right): G \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism $N(T)^{\sigma_{j}} / T^{\sigma_{j}}$ $\simeq W_{x_{j}}$.
- The stabilizer subgroup $H_{x_{j}}$ is equal to $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j} \times T_{j}^{4} \subset T$.
- If $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}$ is a character of $T$, then $\mathbb{C}_{k_{j} \alpha}$ is a character of $G_{x_{j}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{j} \alpha}$ is a character of $T$. We have the relation

$$
\left.\mathbb{C}_{k_{j} \alpha}\right|_{H_{x_{j}}}=\left.\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{j} \alpha}\right|_{H_{x_{j}}} .
$$

- The set of roots $\Re_{x_{j}}^{\mathrm{ci}}$ is equal to

$$
k_{j} \cdot\left\{\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}, 1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant p-j\right\} \bigcup k_{j} \cdot\left\{\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}, p+j+1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n\right\}
$$

and $\mathfrak{R}_{x_{j}}^{\text {nci }}=k_{j} \cdot\left\{\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant p-j \& p+j+1 \leqslant s \leqslant n\right\}$.
We denote by $\mathbb{M}_{j}$ the $T$-module $\mathbb{C}^{p-j} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}^{q-j}\right)^{*}$ where the subgroup $T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}$ acts trivially and the $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}$-action is the canonical one. Thank to Lemma 4.4, we have the following isomorphisms of $H_{x_{j}}$-modules:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x_{j}}^{\mathrm{nci}} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{j}
$$

We consider the Lie group

$$
K_{j}:=U(p-j) \times U(q-j)
$$

that we view as a subgroup of $H$ in such a way that $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}$ is a maximal torus of $K_{j}$. A set of positive roots for $\left(K_{j}, T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}\right)$ is $\varepsilon_{r}-\varepsilon_{s}$ for $1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant p-j$ and $p+j+1 \leqslant r<s \leqslant n$. We equip $\mathfrak{k}_{j} / \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{1} \times \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{4}$ with a complex structure such that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x_{j}}^{\mathrm{ci}} \simeq \mathfrak{k}_{j} / \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{1} \times \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{4}
$$

is an isomorphism of $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}$-modules.
The holomorphic induction map $\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}: R\left(T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}\right) \rightarrow R\left(K_{j}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}(V):=\operatorname{Ind}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}\left(V \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{k}_{j} / \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{1} \times \mathfrak{t}_{j}^{4}\right) .
$$

If $a=\left(a_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{p-j}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-j}$ and $b=\left(b_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant b_{q-j}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q-j}$, then $\mathbb{C}_{(a, b)}$ defines a character of $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{(a, b)}\right)=V_{a}^{U(p-j)} \otimes V_{b}^{U(q-j)}
$$

is the irreducible representation of $K_{j}$ with highest weight $(a, b)$.
A character $\mathbb{C}_{\beta}$ of the torus $T$ can we written $\mathbb{C}_{\beta}=\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$ where $\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}$ is a character of $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$ is a character of $T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}$. Note that $\left.\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{j} \beta}\right|_{H_{x_{j}}}=\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{\prime}}$ where $\beta^{\prime}=\tau_{j} \beta^{23}$ defines a character of $T_{j} \subset T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}$.

Lemma 4.5 Let $\mathbb{C}_{\beta}$ be a character of $T$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{x_{j}}}^{H}\left(\left.\mathbb{C}_{\beta}\right|_{H_{x_{j}}} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x_{j}}^{\text {ci }}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{j} \times T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}}^{H}\left(\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}} \otimes L^{2}\left(T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3} / T_{j}\right)\right),
$$

where $L^{2}\left(T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3} / T_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{T_{j}}^{T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}}(1) \in \widehat{R}\left(T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}\right)$.
Remark 4.6 To gain some space in our formulas, we will write $\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}^{4}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\beta}\right)$ instead of $\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$

We need to fix some notations.

Definition $4.7 \bullet$ Let $\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the character $(A, B) \mapsto \operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(B)^{-1}$.

- Let $\psi_{j}$ be the character ${ }^{2}$ of $T$ associated to the weight

$$
\sum_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant j}(q-p+2+2 j-4 k) \varepsilon_{p-j+k} .
$$

- For any $(j, w) \in[0, q] \times W$, we define the integer $d_{j, w}$ by the relation $d_{j, w}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{M}_{j}\right)_{w}^{+}+\left|\left\{1 \leqslant k \leqslant j, w^{-1}(p-j+2 k-1)<w^{-1}(p-j+2 k)\right\}\right|$.

A small computation gives the following lemma.
Lemma 4.8 - The $H_{x_{j}}$-character $\mathbb{C}_{\delta\left(x_{j}\right)}$ is equal to $\left.\chi^{\otimes j} \otimes \psi_{j}\right|_{H_{x_{j}}}$.

- For any $(j, w) \in[0, q] \times W$, we have

$$
(-1)^{n_{x_{j}}+k_{x_{j}, w}}=(-1)^{j(n+1)}(-1)^{w}(-1)^{d_{j, w}} .
$$

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

## Proposition 4.9

$$
\left.V_{\lambda}^{U(n)}\right|_{U(p) \times U(q)}=\sum_{j=0}^{q} Q_{\bar{x}_{j}}(\lambda)
$$

where $Q_{\bar{x}_{j}}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(U(p) \times U(q))$ is determined by the relation
$Q_{\bar{x}_{j}}(\lambda)=\frac{(-1)^{j(n+1)}}{\left|W_{x_{j}}\right|} \sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{w}(-1)^{d_{j, w}} \operatorname{Ind}_{K_{j} \times T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}}^{U(p) \times U(q)}\left(\mathbb{A}_{j}^{w}(\lambda) \otimes \psi_{j}\right) \otimes \chi^{\otimes j}$.
Here the elements $\mathbb{A}_{j}^{w}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}\left(K_{j} \times T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3}\right)$ are defined as follows:
$\mathbb{A}_{j}^{w}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{4}}^{K_{j}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{j}(w \bullet \lambda)} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{M}_{j}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left|\mathbb{M}_{j}\right|_{w}\right)\right) \otimes L^{2}\left(T_{j}^{2} \times T_{j}^{3} / T_{j}\right)$.
We finish this section by considering particular situations.

[^2]
### 4.2.3 The extreme cases : $j=0$ or $j=q$

When $j=0$, the torus $T_{0}^{2}$ and $T_{0}^{3}$ are trivial and $K_{0}=U(p) \times U(q)=H$. Moreover $\mathbb{M}_{0}=\mathbb{C}^{p} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}^{q}\right)^{*}$ and $d_{0, w}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{w}^{+}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.4, we know also that $W_{x_{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{p} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q}$.

So we get the formula

$$
Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{w \in W}( \pm)_{w} \operatorname{Hol}_{T}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{w \bullet \lambda} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left|\mathbb{M}_{0}\right|_{w}\right)\right)
$$

where $( \pm)_{w}=(-1)^{w}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{w}^{+}}$.
Remark 4.10 An useful exercise is to consider the term

$$
\mathbb{A}_{w}:=( \pm)_{w} \operatorname{Hol}_{T}^{H}\left(\mathbb{C}_{w \bullet \lambda} \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{w}^{+}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left|\mathbb{M}_{0}\right|_{w}\right)\right)
$$

and verify that $\mathbb{A}_{w^{\prime} w}=\mathbb{A}_{w}$ when $w^{\prime} \in W_{x_{0}}$.

When $j=q$, the torus $T_{q}^{4}$ is trivial, $K_{q}=U(p-q)$ and $\mathbb{M}_{q}=\{0\}$. Moreover $W_{x_{q}} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{p-q} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q} \times\{ \pm\}^{q}$. In this case we obtain

$$
Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)=\frac{(-1)^{q(n+1)}}{(p-q)!q!2^{q}} \sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{w}(-1)^{d_{q, w}} \chi^{\otimes q} \otimes Q_{q}^{w}(\lambda)
$$

with

$$
Q_{q}^{w}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Ind}_{U(p-q) \times T_{q}^{2} \times T_{q}^{3}}^{U(p) \times U(q)}\left(\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{q}^{1}}^{U(p-q)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{q}(w \bullet \lambda)}\right) \otimes \psi_{q} \otimes L^{2}\left(T_{q}^{2} \times T_{q}^{3} / T_{q}\right)\right)
$$

### 4.2.4 $U(n-1) \times U(1) \subset U(n)$

Here we are in the case where $q=1$, and so

$$
\left.V_{\lambda}^{U(n)}\right|_{U(n-1) \times U(1)}=Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)+Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)
$$

To simplify the expression of $Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)$ we use the fact that the quotient $W_{x_{0}} \backslash W$ is represented by the class of the elements $\tau_{k, n} \in G$ associated to the transposition $(k, n)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. We write $T=T^{\prime} \times U(1)$ where $T^{\prime}$ is a maximal torus of $U(n-1)$. The $T^{\prime}$-module $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ can be decomposed as $\mathbb{V}_{k} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{k}^{\prime}$ where $\mathbb{V}_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{j}}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{k}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{j}}$.

The $T$-module $\mathbb{M}_{0}$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{V}_{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_{n}} \oplus \mathbb{V}_{k}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_{n}}$ and the polarized $T$-module $\left|\mathbb{M}_{0}\right|_{\tau_{k, n}}$ is equal to $\mathbb{V}_{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_{n}} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}_{k}^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n}}$. We have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{\tau_{k, n}}^{+}=k-1$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}\right)_{\tau_{k, n}}^{+}=\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{k}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\otimes 1-k}$ with $\mu_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{j}}$.

So we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)= \\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{i, j \geqslant 0 \\
1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}}( \pm)_{k} \operatorname{Hol}_{T^{\prime}}^{U(n-1)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\tau_{k, n} \bullet \lambda+\mu_{k}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{j}\left(\mathbb{V}_{k}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i}\left(\overline{\mathbb{V}_{k}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\otimes 1+i-j-k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $( \pm)_{k}=(-1)^{k}$ if $k<n$ and $( \pm)_{n}=(-1)^{n-1}$.
We consider now the term $Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)$. When $j=q=1$, the torus $T_{1}^{4}$ is trivial, $K_{1}=U(n-2)$ and $\mathbb{M}_{0}=\{0\}$. Moreover $W_{x_{1}} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} \times\{ \pm\}, \tau_{1}=I d$ and $\psi_{1}=(2-n) \varepsilon_{n-1}$. Here the quotient $W_{x_{1}} \backslash W$ is represented by the class of the elements $\tau_{i, n-1} \tau_{j, n} \in G$ for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$. We denote by $\lambda_{i j}$ the term $\tau_{i, n-1} \tau_{j, n} \bullet \lambda$.

In this case we obtain

$$
Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n}(-1)^{|\{i, j\} \cap\{n-1, n\}|} \chi \otimes Q_{1}^{i j}(\lambda)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1}^{i j}(\lambda) & =\operatorname{Ind}_{U(n-2) \times T_{1}^{2} \times T_{1}^{3}}^{U(n-1) \times T_{2}^{2}}\left(\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{1}^{1}}^{U(n-2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{i j}}\right) \otimes \psi_{1} \otimes L^{2}\left(T_{1}^{2} \times T_{1}^{3} / T_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Ind}_{U(n-2) \times T_{1}^{2}}^{U(n-1)}\left(\operatorname{Hol}_{T_{1}^{1}}^{U(n-2)}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{i j}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{\otimes k}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\otimes 2-n-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us finish this section by considering the simplest example: $U(1) \times$ $U(1) \subset U(2)$. Take $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2}\right) \in \widehat{U(2)}$. We have $\left.V_{\lambda}^{U(2)}\right|_{U(1) \times U(1)}=$ $Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)+Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)$ where

$$
Q_{\bar{x}_{0}}(\lambda)=-\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes \sum_{-\infty}^{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\otimes k}-\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\otimes k}
$$

and $Q_{\bar{x}_{1}}(\lambda)=\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\otimes k}$. We recover the basic relation

$$
\left.V_{\lambda}^{U(2)}\right|_{U(1) \times U(1)}=\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes \sum_{k=\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}^{0} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\otimes k}
$$
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The precise expression of $\mathbb{M}_{x}(\lambda)$ is given in Proposition 3.8.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Remark that $\psi_{j}$ is trivial $T_{j}^{1} \times T_{j}^{3} \times T_{j}^{4}$.

