



# Symmetric pairs and branching laws

Paul-Emile Paradan

## ► To cite this version:

| Paul-Emile Paradan. Symmetric pairs and branching laws. 2018. hal-01818302v1

**HAL Id: hal-01818302**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01818302v1>**

Preprint submitted on 18 Jun 2018 (v1), last revised 19 Jan 2024 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Symmetric pairs and branching laws

Paul-Emile PARADAN \*

June 18, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and let  $H$  be a subgroup fixed by an involution. A classical result assures that the  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -action on the flag variety  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$  admits a finite number of orbits. In this article we propose a formula for the branching coefficients of the symmetric pair  $(G, H)$  that is parametrized by  $H_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathcal{F}$ .

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Non abelian localization</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Matsuki duality . . . . .	6
2.2	Borel-Weil-Bott theorem . . . . .	7
2.3	Localization of the Riemann-Roch character . . . . .	8
2.4	Local model near $Hx \subset Z_{\theta}$ . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof of the main theorem</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Computation of $Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$ . . . . .	12
3.1.1	Step 1: holomorphic induction . . . . .	12
3.1.2	Step 2: cotangent induction . . . . .	13
3.1.3	Step 3: linear case . . . . .	13
3.1.4	Conclusion . . . . .	14
3.2	Another expression for $Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$ . . . . .	15
3.3	Computation of the virtual module $\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda)$ . . . . .	17

---

\*Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France, *paul-emile.paradan@umontpellier.fr*

<b>4</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	$K \subset K \times K$	22
4.2	$U(p) \times U(q) \subset U(p+q)$	23
4.2.1	The critical set	23
4.2.2	Localized indices	24
4.2.3	The extreme cases : $j = 0$ or $j = q$	28
4.2.4	$U(n-1) \times U(1) \subset U(n)$	28

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group equipped with an involution  $\theta$ . Let  $G^\theta := \{g \in G, \theta(g) = g\}$  be the subgroup fixed by the involution. We consider a subgroup  $H \subset G$  such that  $(G^\theta)_0 \subset H \subset G^\theta$ . The purpose of this paper is the study of the branching laws between  $G$  and  $H$ .

Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $G$  that we choose  $\theta$ -invariant. Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebra of  $T$ . Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  be the lattice of weights, and let  $\mathfrak{t}_+^*$  be a Weyl chamber. The irreducible representations of  $G$  are parametrized by the semi-group  $\Lambda_G^+ := \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$  of dominant weights.

Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_G^+$ . In order to study the restriction  $V_\lambda^G|_H$  of the irreducible  $G$ -representation  $V_\lambda^G$ , we consider the  $H$ -action on the flag variety  $\mathcal{F} = G/T$  of  $G$ . An important object is the  $H$ -invariant subset

$$Z_\theta \subset \mathcal{F}$$

formed of the elements  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  for which the stabilizer subgroup  $G_x := \{g \in G, gx = x\}$  is stable under  $\theta$ . In other words,  $gT \in Z_\theta$  if and only if  $g^{-1}\theta(g)$  belongs to the normalizer subgroup  $N(T)$ . A well-known result tells us that the group  $H$  has finitely many orbits in  $Z_\theta$ , and that the finite set  $H \backslash Z_\theta$  parametrizes the  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$  [7, 13, 11, 8].

Let  $x \in Z_\theta$ . The stabilizer subgroup  $G_x$  is a maximal torus in  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_x$ . We will also consider the abelian subgroup  $H_x := G_x \cap H$  (that is not necessarily connected). Any weight  $\mu \in \Lambda$  determines a character  $\mathbb{C}_{\mu_x}$  of the torus  $G_x$  by taking  $\mu_x = g \cdot \mu$  if  $x = gT \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_x^*$  the set of roots relative to the action of the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . The map  $\mu \in \mathfrak{R} \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  is an isomorphism, and we take  $\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \subset \mathfrak{R}_x$  as the image of  $\mathfrak{R}^+ \subset \mathfrak{R}$  through this isomorphism.

The involution  $\theta$  leaves the set  $\mathfrak{R}_x$  invariant, and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  is an *imaginary root* if  $\theta(\alpha) = \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is imaginary, the subspace  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha$  is  $\theta$ -stable. There are two cases. If the action of  $\theta$  on  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha$  is trivial then  $\alpha$  is *compact imaginary*. If the action of  $-\theta$  on  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha$  is trivial, then  $\alpha$  is *non-compact imaginary*. We denote respectively by  $\mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}$  and by  $\mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}}$  the subsets

of compact imaginary and non-compact imaginary roots, and we introduce the following  $G_x$ -modules

$$\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha, \quad \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha.$$

The weight

$$\delta(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \\ \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha}} \alpha$$

defines a character  $\mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)}$  of the abelian group  $H_x$ . Let

$$m_x = \frac{1}{2} |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}| + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}.$$

We denote by  $R(H)$  and by  $R(H_x)$  the representations rings of the compact Lie groups  $H$  and  $H_x$ . An element  $E \in R(H)$  can be represented as a *finite* sum  $E = \sum_{V \in \hat{H}} m_V V$ , with  $m_V \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\hat{R}(H)$  (resp.  $\hat{R}(H_x)$ ) the space of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued functions on  $\hat{H}$  (resp.  $\hat{H}_x$ ). An element  $E \in \hat{R}(H)$  can be represented as an *infinite* sum  $\sum_{V \in \hat{H}} m_V V$ , with  $m_V \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The induction map  $\text{Ind}_H^K : \hat{R}(H_x) \rightarrow \hat{R}(H)$  is the dual of the restriction morphism  $R(H) \rightarrow R(H_x)$ .

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_G^+$ . We have the decomposition*

$$(1.1) \quad V_\lambda^G|_H = \sum_{Hx \in H \backslash Z_\theta} Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$$

where the terms  $Q_{Hx}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H)$  are defined by the following relation :

$$Q_{Hx}(\lambda) = (-1)^{m_x} \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H \left( \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} \right).$$

Here  $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})$ , which is the symmetric algebra of  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}$ , is an admissible representation of  $H_x$  and  $\bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \bigwedge^+ \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} \ominus \bigwedge^- \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}$  is a virtual representation of  $H_x$ .

We give now another formulation for decomposition (1.1) using the (right) action of the Weyl group  $W = N(T)/T$  on the flag variety  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $x = gT \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $w \in W$  we take  $xw := gwT$ . We notice immediately that  $Z_\theta$  is stable under the action of  $W$ .

We associate to an element  $x = gT \in Z_\theta$  the subgroup  $W_x^H \subset W$  defined by the relation  $w \in W_x^H \iff Hxw = Hx$ . We denote by  $H \backslash Z_\theta / W$  the quotient of  $Z_\theta$  by the action of  $H \times W$ , and by  $\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_\theta / W$  the image of  $x \in Z_\theta$  through the quotient map. We associate to  $\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_\theta / W$  the element  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H)$  defined as follows

$$Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\bar{w} \in W_x^H \backslash W} Q_{Hxw}(\lambda).$$

Theorem 1.1 says then that  $V_\lambda^G|_H = \sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_\theta / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$ . Here is a new formulation of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2** *We have  $V_\lambda^G|_H = \sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_\theta / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$  where  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H)$  has the following description*

$$Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H \left( \mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} \right),$$

for some<sup>1</sup>  $\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H_x)$ .

We finish this section by giving two basic examples associated to the group  $SU(2)$ . Here the flag variety of  $SU(2)$  is the 2-dimensional sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , we denote by  $V_n$  the irreducible representation of  $SU(2)$  of dimension  $n + 1$ .

**Example 1.**  $G = SU(2)$  and the involution  $\theta$  is the conjugaison by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The subgroup fixed by  $\theta$  is the torus  $T \simeq U(1)$  and the critical set  $Z_\theta \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  is composed by the poles  $S, N$  and the equator  $E$ , so that  $T \backslash Z_\theta$  has three terms. We take  $\lambda = n$  in  $\widehat{SU(2)} \simeq \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $Hx = E$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \{0\}$ ,  $H_x \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} = \mathbb{C}_n|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . The contribution of  $E$  is then  $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{U(1)}(\mathbb{C}_n|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}) = \mathbb{C}_n \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{2k}$ .

For  $Hx = N$ , we have  $H_x = T$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = \mathbb{C}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} = \mathbb{C}_n$ . The contribution of  $N$  is then  $-\mathbb{C}_{n+2} \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}_2)$ .

For  $Hx = S$ , we have  $H_x = T$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = \mathbb{C}_{-2}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} = \mathbb{C}_{-n}$ . The contribution of  $S$  is then  $-\mathbb{C}_{-n-2} \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}_{-2})$ .

Finally, Relations (1.1) become

$$\begin{aligned} V_n|_T &= \mathbb{C}_n \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{2k} - \mathbb{C}_{-n-2} \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}_{-2}) - \mathbb{C}_{n+2} \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}_2) \\ &= \sum_{k=-n}^0 \mathbb{C}_{2k+n}. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The precise expression of  $\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda)$  is given in Proposition 3.8.

**Example 2.**  $G = SU(2) \times SU(2)$  and the involution  $\theta$  is the map  $(a, b) \mapsto (b, a)$ . The subgroup fixed by  $\theta$  is  $SU(2)$  embedded diagonally and the critical set  $Z_\theta \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  is equal to the union of the orbits  $SU(2) \cdot (N, N)$  and  $SU(2) \cdot (S, N)$ . Let  $\lambda = (n, m) \in \hat{G}$ .

For  $x = (N, N)$  or  $x = (S, N)$  we have  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \{0\}$  and  $H_x \simeq T$ . For  $x = (N, N)$  we have  $\lambda_x + \delta(x) = m + n + 2$ , and for  $x = (S, N)$  we have  $\lambda_x + \delta(x) = m - n$ . Relations 1.1 give then

$$V_n \otimes V_m = \text{Ind}_T^{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}_{m-n}) - \text{Ind}_T^{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}_{m+n+2}).$$

It is not difficult to see that the previous identities correspond to the classical Clebsch-Gordan relations (see Example 4.2).

### Notations

Throughout the paper :

- $G$  denotes a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- $T$  is a maximal torus in  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ .
- $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  is the weight lattice of  $T$  : every  $\mu \in \Lambda$  defines a 1-dimensional  $T$ -representation, denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ , where  $t = \exp(X)$  acts by  $t^\mu := e^{i\langle \mu, X \rangle}$ .
- The coadjoint action of  $g \in G$  on  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is denoted by  $g \cdot \xi$ .
- When a Lie group  $K$  acts on set  $X$ , the stabilizer subgroup of  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $K_x := \{k \in K \mid k \cdot x = x\}$  and the Lie algebra of  $K_x$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{k}_x$ .
- When a Lie group  $K$  acts on a manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $X \cdot m := \frac{d}{dt} e^{tX} \cdot m|_{t=0}$ ,  $m \in M$ , the vector field generated by  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ .

## 2 Non abelian localization

Our main result is obtained by means of a non-abelian localization of the Riemann-Roch character on the flag variety  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$ . For that we will use the family  $(\Omega_r)_r$  of symplectic structure parametrized by the interior of the Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{t}_+^*$ . The symplectic structure  $\Omega_r$  comes from the identification  $gT \rightarrow g \cdot r$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with the coadjoint orbit  $Gr$ . The moment map  $\Phi_r : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  associated to the action of  $G$  on  $(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_r)$  is the map  $gT \mapsto g \cdot r$ .

At the level of Lie algebras we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$  where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta$  and  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$ . For any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ , we denote by  $\xi^+$  his  $\mathfrak{h}$ -part and by  $\xi^-$  his  $\mathfrak{q}$ -part. We use a  $G$ -invariant scalar product  $(-, -)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the involution  $\theta$  is an orthogonal map. It induces identifications  $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}^* \simeq \mathfrak{q}$ .

The moment map  $\Phi_r^H : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  associated to the action of  $H$  on  $(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_r)$  is the map  $gT \mapsto (g \cdot r)^+$ .

## 2.1 Matsuki duality

Consider the complex reductive groups  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  associated to the compact Lie groups  $G$  and  $H$ . Let  $L \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the real form such that  $H \subset L$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $L$ .

Matsuki duality is the statement that a one-to-one correspondence exists between the  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits and the  $L$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$ ; two orbits are in duality when their intersection is a single orbit of  $H$ .

Uzawa, and Mirkovic-Uzawa-Vilonen [14, 8] proved the Matsuki correspondence by showing that both  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits and  $L$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{F}$  are parametrized by the  $H$ -orbits in the set of critical points of the function  $\|\Phi_r^H\|^2 : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

First we recall the elementary but fundamental fact that the subset  $Z_\theta$  is equal to the set of critical points of the function  $\|\Phi_r^H\|^2$  [8, 3].

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $x = gT \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $r \in \text{Interior}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- i) *the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  is invariant under  $\theta$  (i.e.  $x \in Z_\theta$ ),*
- ii)  *$g^{-1}\theta(g) \in N(T)$ ,*
- iii)  *$x$  is a critical point of the function  $\|\Phi_r^H\|^2$ ,*
- iv)  *$(g \cdot r)^+$  and  $(g \cdot r)^-$  commutes.*

**Proof.** Let  $n_g = g^{-1}\theta(g)$  and let  $r$  be a regular element of  $\mathfrak{t}^* \simeq \mathfrak{t}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_x = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{t}$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(\mathfrak{g}_x) = \mathfrak{g}_x &\iff n_g \in N_G(T) \\
&\iff [n_g \cdot \theta(r), r] = 0 \\
&\iff [\theta(g \cdot r), g \cdot r] = 0 \\
&\iff [(g \cdot r)^+, (g \cdot r)^-] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

A small computation shows that for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  the derivative of the function  $t \mapsto \|\Phi_r^H(e^{tX}x)\|^2$  at  $t = 0$  is equal to  $(X, [g \cdot r, \theta(g \cdot r)])$ . Hence

$x = gT$  is a critical point of the function  $\|\Phi_r^H\|^2$  if and only if  $[g \cdot r, \theta(g \cdot r)] = 0$ . Finally we have proved that the statements *i*), *ii*), *iii*) and *iv*) are equivalent.  $\square$

Let us check the other easy fact.

**Lemma 2.2** *The set  $H \setminus Z_\theta$  is finite.*

**Proof.** Let  $x = gT \in Z_\theta$ . A neighborhood of  $x$  is defined by elements of the form  $e^X e^Y x$  where  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Now we see that  $e^X e^Y gT \in Z_\theta$  if and only if  $e^{-2g^{-1}Y} \in N(T)$ . If  $Y$  is sufficiently small the former relation is equivalent to  $g^{-1}Y \in \mathfrak{t}$ , and in this case  $e^X e^Y x = e^X x$ . We have proved that any element in  $H \setminus Z_\theta$  is isolated. As  $H \setminus Z_\theta$  is compact, we can conclude that  $H \setminus Z_\theta$  is finite.  $\square$

## 2.2 Borel-Weil-Bott theorem

We first recall the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. The flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}$  is equipped with the  $G$ -invariant complex structure such that

$$\mathbf{T}_{eT}\mathcal{F} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^+} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha$$

is an identity of  $T$ -modules. Let us consider the tangent bundle  $\mathbf{T}\mathcal{F}$  as a complex vector bundle on  $\mathcal{F}$  with the invariant Hermitian structure  $h_{\mathcal{F}}$  induced by the invariant scalar product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Any weight  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  defines a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \simeq G \times_T \mathbb{C}_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.3** *We associated to a weight  $\lambda \in \Lambda$*

- *the spin-c bundle on  $\mathcal{F}$*

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda := \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T}\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda,$$

- *the Riemann-Roch character  $\mathrm{RR}_G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \in R(G)$  which is the equivariant index of the Dirac operator  $D_\lambda$  associated to the spin-c structure  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ .*

The Borel-Weil-Bott theorem asserts that  $V_\lambda^G = \mathrm{RR}_G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  when  $\lambda$  is dominant. Now we consider the restriction  $V_\lambda^G|_H = \mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ . In the next section we will explain how we can localize the  $H$ -equivariant Riemann-Roch character  $\mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  on the critical set of the function  $\|\Phi_r^H\|^2$  [9].



### 2.3 Localization of the Riemann-Roch character

In this section we explain how we perform the “Witten non-abelian localization” of the Riemann-Roch character with the help of the moment map  $\Phi_r^H : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  attached to a regular element  $r$  of the Weyl chamber [9, 5, 10].

Let us denote by  $X \mapsto [X]_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}$  the projection  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$ . The Kirwan vector field  $\kappa_r$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as follows:

$$\kappa_r(x) = -\Phi_r^H(x) \cdot x \in \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}.$$

Through the identification  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$ ,  $X \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} g e^{tX} T$ , the vector  $\kappa_r(x) \in \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$  is equal to  $[g^{-1}\theta(g) \cdot r]_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}$ . Hence the set  $Z_\theta \subset \mathcal{F}$  is exactly the set where  $\kappa_r$  vanishes.

Let  $D_0$  be the Dirac operator associated to the spin-c structure  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T}\mathcal{F}$ . The principal symbol of the elliptic operator  $D_0$  is the bundle map  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T}^* \mathcal{F}, \text{hom}(\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \mathbf{T}\mathcal{F}, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^- \mathbf{T}\mathcal{F}))$  defined by the Clifford action

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F})(x, \nu) = \mathbf{c}_x(\tilde{\nu}) : \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^- \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}.$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbf{T}_x^* \mathcal{O} \simeq \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{O}$  is the one to one map associated to the identification  $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$  (see [2]).

Now we will deform the elliptic symbol  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  by means of the vector field  $\kappa_r$  [9, 10].

**Definition 2.4** *The symbol  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  shifted by the vector field  $\kappa_r$  is the symbol on  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by*

$$\sigma_r(\mathcal{F})(x, \nu) = \mathbf{c}_x(\tilde{\nu} - \kappa_r(x))$$

for any  $(x, \nu) \in \mathbf{T}^* \mathcal{F}$ .

Consider an  $H$ -invariant open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_\theta$  is compact in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the restriction  $\sigma_r(\mathcal{F})|_{\mathcal{U}}$  is a  $H$ -transversally elliptic symbol on  $\mathcal{U}$ , and so its equivariant index is a well defined element in  $\hat{R}(H)$  (see [1, 9, 10]).

Thus we can define the following localized equivariant indices.

**Definition 2.5** *Let  $Hx \subset Z_\theta$ . We denote by*

$$\text{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \Phi_r^H, Hx) \in \hat{R}(H)$$

*the equivariant index of  $\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{U}}$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an invariant neighbourhood of  $Hx$  so that  $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_\theta = Hx$ .*

We proved in [9] that we have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = \sum_{Hx \in H \backslash Z_\theta} \mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \Phi_r^H, Hx) \in \hat{R}(H).$$

The computation of the characters  $\mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \Phi_r^H, Hx)$  will be handle in Section 3.1. To undertake these calculations we need to describe geometrically a neighborhood of  $Hx$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is the goal of the next section.

## 2.4 Local model near $Hx \subset Z_\theta$

Let  $x = gT \in Z_\theta$ . We need to compute a symplectic model of a neighborhood of  $Hx$  in  $(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_r)$ . Here we use the identification  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$  given by the choice of an invariant scalar product. Let  $\mu = g \cdot r$  that we write  $\mu = \mu^+ + \mu^-$  where  $\mu^+ \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mu^- \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

The tangent space  $\mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$  is equipped with the symplectic two form  $\Omega_r|_x$ :

$$\Omega_r|_x(X \cdot x, Y \cdot x) = (\mu, [X, Y]), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We need to understand the structure of the symplectic vector space  $(\mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}, \Omega_r|_x)$ . If  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a vector subspace we denote by  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot x := \{X \cdot x, X \in \mathfrak{a}\}$  the corresponding subspace of  $\mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$ . The symplectic orthogonal of  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot x$  is denoted by  $(\mathfrak{a} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are two subspaces, a small computation gives that

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathfrak{a} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cdot x \simeq \mathfrak{a}^\perp \cap [\mathfrak{b}, \mu],$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is the orthogonal of  $\mathfrak{a}$  relatively to the scalar product.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}$  the subspaces fixed by  $ad(\mu^+)$ . Notice that  $\mathfrak{g}_\mu = \mathfrak{g}_x$  is an abelian subalgebra containing  $\mu^+$  since  $[\mu^+, \mu^-] = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+}$ .

**Lemma 2.6**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x$  and  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x$  are symplectic subspaces of  $\mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** It is a direct consequence of (2.2).  $\square$

We consider now the symplectic subspace  $V_x \subset \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F}$  defined by the relation

$$(2.3) \quad V_x = ([\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \cdot x.$$

A small computation shows that  $X \cdot x \in V_x$  if and only if  $[X, \mu] \subset [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$ .

We have the following important Lemma.

**Lemma 2.7** • *We have the following decomposition*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x \oplus^\perp [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x \oplus^\perp V_x$$

where  $\perp$  stands for the orthogonal relative to  $\Omega_r|_x$ .

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x$  is symplectomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x \oplus (\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*$ .
- $[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x$  is symplectomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}$  equipped with the symplectic structure  $\Omega_{\mu^+}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = (\mu^+, [u, v])$ .
- $V_x$  is symplectomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega}/(\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{h} \cdot x$ .

**Proof.** If we use the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$  and the fact that the abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+}$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}_x \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \cdot x.$$

It is obvious to check that the subspaces  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \cdot x$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x$  are orthogonal relatively to the symplectic form  $\Omega_r|_x$ . Since  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x$  is a symplectic subspace we have  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \cdot x = [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x \oplus^\perp V_x$  where  $V_x$  is defined by (2.3). The first point is proved.

The identities  $\mathfrak{g}_x = \theta(\mathfrak{g}_x) = \mathfrak{g}_{\theta(x)}$  imply the decompositions  $\mathfrak{g}_x = \mathfrak{h}_x \oplus \mathfrak{q}_x$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+}, x] = [\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}, x] \oplus [\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}, x]$ . The vector subspace  $[\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}, x]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x$ , and the map  $v \mapsto \Omega_r|_x(v, -)$  defines an isomorphism between  $[\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}, x]$  and the dual of  $[\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}, x]$ . The second point is proved.

For the third point we use the isomorphism  $j : [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}$  induces by the projection  $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}$ . Then the map  $\bar{u} \mapsto j(\bar{u}) \cdot x$  defines a symplectomorphism between  $(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}, \Omega_{\mu^+})$  and  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x$ .

Now we see that (2.4) together with the decomposition  $\mathfrak{h} \cdot x = [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x + \mathfrak{h} \cdot x$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} &= ([\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap (\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \\ &= ([\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+] \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_{\mu^+} \cdot x) \oplus V_x \\ &= (\mathfrak{h} \cdot x)^{\perp, \Omega} \cap \mathfrak{h} \cdot x \oplus V_x. \end{aligned}$$

The last point follows.  $\square$

We denote by  $\Omega_{V_x}$  the restriction of  $\Omega_r|_x$  on the symplectic vector subspace  $V_x$ . The action of  $H_x$  on  $(V_x, \Omega_{V_x})$  is Hamiltonian, with moment map  $\Phi_{V_x} : V_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_x^*$  defined by the relation

$$\langle \Phi_{V_x}(v), A \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{V_x}(v, Av), \quad v \in V_x, \quad A \in \mathfrak{h}_x.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.7, we know that the  $H_x$ -symplectic vector space  $(\mathbf{T}_x\mathcal{F}, \Omega_r|_x)$  admits the following decomposition

$$\mathbf{T}_x\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x \oplus (\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \overset{\perp}{\oplus} V_x$$

Thanks to the normal form Theorem of Marle [6] and Guillemin-Sternberg [4], we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.8** *An  $H$ -equivariant symplectic model of a neighborhood of  $Hx$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_x := H \times_{H_{\mu^+}} Y_x$  where*

$$Y_x = H_{\mu^+} \times_{H_x} ((\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \times V_x).$$

The corresponding moment map on  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_x}([h; \eta, v]) = h(\eta + \mu^+ + \Phi_{V_x}(v))$$

for  $[h; \eta, v] \in H \times_{H_x} ((\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \times V_x)$ .

We finish this section by computing a compatible complex structure on  $V_x$ .

By definition, the map that sends  $X \cdot x$  to  $[X, \mu]$  defines an isomorphism  $i : V_x \rightarrow [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$ . The adjoint map  $ad(\mu)$  defines also an automorphism of  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$ : for any  $X \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$  we denote by  $\tilde{X} \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$  the unique element such that  $ad(\mu)\tilde{X} = X$ .

The symplectic structure  $\Omega_\mu := (i^{-1})^*\Omega_{V_x}$  satisfies the relations

$$\Omega_\mu(X, Y) = (\mu, [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]) = (X, \tilde{Y}) = -(\tilde{X}, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+].$$

We consider the one to one map  $-ad(\mu)ad(\theta(\mu)) : [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$  and the  $H_x$ -invariant complex structure  $J_{\mu^+} = ad(\mu^+)(-ad(\mu^+)^2)^{-1/2}$  on  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$ . It restricts to a one to one map  $T_x : [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  and a complex structure on  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  (still denoted by  $J_{\mu^+}$ ).

Let  $S_x := (T_x^2)^{-1/2}T_x$ . The map  $J_{V_x} := J_{\mu^+} \circ S_x$  defines a  $H_x$ -invariant complex structure on  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$ .

**Lemma 2.9** *The  $H_x$ -symplectic space  $(V_x, \Omega_{V_x})$  is isomorphic to  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  equipped with the symplectic form  $\Omega_\mu^1(v, w) = (J_{V_x}v, w)$ .*

**Proof.** We know already that  $(V_x, \Omega_{V_x}) \simeq ([\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+], \Omega_\mu)$ . If one takes  $L = T_x \circ (-ad(\mu^+)^2)^{-1/4} \circ (T_x^2)^{-1/4}$ , we check easily that  $\Omega_\mu(L(v), L(w)) = (J_{V_x}v, w)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *The quantity  $\text{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \Phi_r^H, Hx)$  does not depend on the choice of the regular element  $r$  in the Weyl chamber. In the following we will denote it by  $Q_{Hx}(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $r_0, r_1$  be two regular elements of the Weyl chamber. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we consider the regular element  $r(t) = tr_1 + (1-t)r_0$ : the Kirwan vector field  $\kappa_{r(t)}$  vanishes exactly on  $Z_\theta$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an invariant neighbourhood of  $Hx$  so that  $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_\theta = Hx$ , then  $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto \sigma_{r(t)}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{U}}$  defines an homotopy of transversally elliptic symbols. Accordingly, the equivariant index of  $\sigma_{r_0}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\sigma_{r_1}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{\mathcal{U}}$  are equal.  $\square$

#### 3.1 Computation of $Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$

The computation of  $Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$  is done in three steps.

##### 3.1.1 Step 1: holomorphic induction

Let  $H_{\mu^+} \subset H$  be the stabilizer subgroup of  $\mu^+ := \Phi_r^H(x)$ . We know that an  $H$ -equivariant symplectic model of a neighborhood of  $Hx$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is the manifold  $H \times_{H_{\mu^+}} Y_x$  where

$$Y_x = H_{\mu^+} \times_{H_x} ((\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \times V_x).$$

The symplectic two form on  $Y_x$  is built from the canonical symplectic structure on  $H_{\mu^+} \times_{H_x} (\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \simeq \mathbf{T}^*(H_{\mu^+}/H_x)$  and the symplectic structure on  $V_x$ . The moment map relative to the action of  $H_{\mu^+}$  on  $Y_x$  is

$$\Phi_{Y_x}([h; \eta, v]) = h(\eta + \mu^+ + \Phi_{V_x}(v)) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}^*,$$

for  $[h; \eta, v] \in H_{\mu^+} \times_{H_x} ((\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \times V_x)$ .

Let  $\kappa_{Y_x}$  the Kirwan vector field on  $Y_x$ . It is immediate to check that  $[h; \eta, v] \in \{\kappa_{Y_x} = 0\}$  if and only if  $\eta = 0$  and  $(\mu^+ + \Phi_{V_x}(v)) \cdot v = 0$ . The map  $v \mapsto \mu^+ \cdot v$  is bijective and  $v \mapsto \Phi_{V_x}(v) \cdot v$  is homogeneous of degree equal to 3. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(\mu^+ + \Phi_{V_x}(v)) \cdot v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\| \leq \epsilon \implies v = 0.$$

In  $Y_x$ , we still denote by  $x$  the point  $[e, 0, 0]$ . We equip  $Y_x$  with an invariant almost complex structure that is compatible with the symplectic structure,

and we denote by  $\mathrm{RR}_{H_{\mu^+}}(Y_x, \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{Y_x}, \Phi_{Y_x}, H_{\mu^+}x)$  the Riemann-Roch character on  $Y_x$  localized on the component  $H_{\mu^+}x \subset \{\kappa_{Y_x} = 0\}$ .

The quotient  $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}$ , which is equipped with the invariant complex structure  $J_{\mu^+} := \mathrm{ad}(\mu^+)(-\mathrm{ad}(\mu^+)^2)^{-1/2}$ , is a complex  $H_{\mu^+}$ -module.

In [9][Theorem 7.5], we proved that  $Q_{H_x}(\lambda) = \mathrm{RR}_H(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_\lambda, \Phi_r^H, Hx)$  is equal to

$$(3.5) \quad \mathrm{Ind}_{H_{\mu^+}}^H \left( \mathrm{RR}_{H_{\mu^+}}(Y_x, \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{Y_x}, \Phi_{Y_x}, H_{\mu^+}x) \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \right).$$

### 3.1.2 Step 2: cotangent induction

The map  $\Phi_x(v) := \mu^+ + \Phi_{V_x}(v)$  is a moment map for the Hamiltonian action of  $H_x$  on  $V_x$ . The moment map on the  $H_{\mu^+}$ -manifold

$$Y_x = H_{\mu^+} \times_{H_x} ((\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* \times V_x)$$

is  $\Phi_{Y_x}([h; \eta, v]) = h(\eta + \Phi_x(v)) \in \mathfrak{h}_\mu^*$ .

Let  $\kappa_{V_x}(v) = -\Phi_x(v) \cdot v$  be the Kirwan vector field on  $V_x$ . We are interested in the connected component  $\{0\}$  of  $\{\kappa_{V_x} = 0\}$ . We choose a compatible almost complex structure on the symplectic vector space and we denote by  $\mathrm{RR}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\}) \in \hat{R}(H_x)$  the Riemann-Roch character localized on  $\{0\} \subset \{\kappa_{V_x} = 0\}$ .

In Section 3.3 of [10] we have proved that

$$(3.6) \quad \mathrm{RR}_{H_{\mu^+}}(Y_x, \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{Y_x}, \Phi_{Y_x}, H_{\mu^+}x) = \mathrm{Ind}_{H_x}^{H_{\mu^+}} (\mathrm{RR}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda|_x).$$

### 3.1.3 Step 3: linear case

We write  $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}$  for the vector space  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  equipped with the complex structure  $J_{\mu^+}$ . So  $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}$  is a  $H_{\mu^+}$ -module and we denote by  $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+})$  the corresponding symmetric algebra.

We need to compare the virtual  $H_x$ -modules  $\bigwedge_{J_{V_x}} V_x$  and  $\bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^+}} V_x$ . The weight

$$\delta(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \\ \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha}} \alpha$$

defines a character  $\mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)}$  of the abelian group  $H_x$ . Recall that  $m_x \in \mathbb{N}$  corresponds to the quantity  $\frac{1}{2} |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}| + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\mathrm{nci}}$ .

The following lemma will be proved in Section 3.2.

**Lemma 3.2** *The following identity holds :*

$$\bigwedge_{J_{V_x}} V_x \simeq (-1)^{m_x} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^+}} V_x.$$

On the vector space  $V_x$ , we can work with two localized Riemann-Roch characters:

- $\text{RR}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\})$  is defined with the complex structure  $J_{V_x}$ ,
- $\widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\})$  is defined with the complex structure  $-J_{\mu^+}$ .

The previous Lemma gives that  $\text{RR}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\})$  is equal to  $(-1)^{m_x} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\})$ .

**Proposition 3.3** *We have*

$$(3.7) \quad \text{RR}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi_x, \{0\}) = (-1)^{m_x} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}).$$

**Proof.** For  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we consider the  $H_x$ -equivariant map  $\Phi^s : V_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_x^*$  defined by the relations  $\Phi^s(v) = \mu^+ + s\Phi_{V_x}(v)$ . The corresponding Kirwan vector field on  $V_x$  is  $\kappa^s(v) = -\Phi^s(v) \cdot v$ . It is not difficult to see that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\{\kappa^s = 0\} \cap \{\|v\| \leq \epsilon\} = \{0\}$  for any  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Then a simple deformation argument gives that  $\widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi^s, \{0\})$  does not depend on  $s \in [0, 1]$ . We have proved that

$$\widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \Phi^s, \{0\}) = \widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \mu^+, \{0\})$$

where  $\mu^+$  denotes the constant map  $\Phi^0$ . Standard computations gives  $\widetilde{\text{RR}}_{H_x}(V_x, \mu^+, \{0\}) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+})$  (see [9][Proposition 5.4]). Our proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3.1.4 Conclusion

If we use the formulas (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain the following expression

$$Q_{H_x}(\lambda) = (-1)^{m_x} \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H \left( \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}) \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \right)$$

in  $\widehat{R}(H)$ . Here  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x}$  is the character of  $G_x$  associated to the weight  $\lambda_x = g\lambda$ .

The previous formula depends on a choice of a regular element  $r$  in the Weyl chamber. In the next section we will propose another expression for  $Q_{H_x}(\lambda)$  that does not depend on this choice.

### 3.2 Another expression for $Q_{H_x}(\lambda)$

Let  $\mathfrak{R}_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_x^*$  be the roots for the action of the torus  $G_x$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . The involution  $\theta : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$  leaves the set  $\mathfrak{R}_x$  invariant and a root  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  is called *imaginary* if  $\theta(\alpha) = \alpha$ . We denote respectively by  $\mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}$  and by  $\mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}}$  the subsets of *compact imaginary* and *non-compact imaginary* roots.

We choose a generic element  $r \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$  such that  $\mu^+ = (g \cdot r)^+$  satisfies the following relation : for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$ , we have

$$(\alpha, \mu^+) = 0 \iff \theta(\alpha) = -\alpha.$$

Notice that an imaginary roots  $\alpha$  is positive if and only if  $(\alpha, \mu^+) > 0$ .

**Definition 3.4** We consider the subset  $\mathfrak{A}_x \subset \mathfrak{R}_x$

$$\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_x \iff \alpha(\mu^+) > 0, \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha$$

The involution  $\theta$  defines a free action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on the set  $\mathfrak{A}_x$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2$  its quotient. For any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  the corresponding 1-dimensional representation of  $G_x$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x}$  its restriction to the subgroup  $H_x$ . We have a natural map  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x} \in R(H_x)$ .

For any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  we define

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \pm \alpha$$

where  $\pm$  is the sign of  $\alpha(\mu)\alpha(\theta(\mu))$ .

We consider the  $H_x$ -modules  $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} := ([\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+], J_{\mu^+})$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+} := (V_x, J_{\mu^+})$  and  $(V_x, J_{V_x})$ .

**Lemma 3.5** We have the following isomorphisms of  $H_x$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} &\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x} & [A], \\ \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+} &\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x} & [B], \\ (V_x, J_{V_x}) &\simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}}|_{H_x} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}}|_{H_x} & [C]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Thanks to Lemma 2.9, we know that the  $H_x$ -module  $(V_x, J_{V_x})$  is isomorphic to the vector space  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  equipped with the complex structure  $J_{V_x} := J_{\mu^+} \circ S_x$ . We consider the vector spaces  $[\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+]$  equipped with the complex structure  $J_{\mu^+}$ . The projection (taking the real part)  $\mathbf{r} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  induces an isomorphism of  $G_x$ -modules

$$\mathbf{r} : \bigoplus_{\alpha(\mu^+) > 0} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha \longrightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+].$$



The orthogonal projections  $\mathbf{p}_1 : [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+]$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2 : [\mathfrak{g}, \mu^+] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+]$  commutes with the  $H_x$ -action, so the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{r} : \bigoplus_{\alpha(\mu^+) > 0} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha &\longrightarrow [\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+], \\ \mathbf{p}_2 \circ \mathbf{r} : \bigoplus_{\alpha(\mu^+) > 0} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha &\longrightarrow [\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+]\end{aligned}$$

are surjective morphisms of  $H_x$ -modules.

Let  $V_x^1(\alpha) = \mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{r}((\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha)$ . We notice that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_x^1(\alpha) \in \{0, 1\}$ :  $V_x^1(\alpha) = \{0\}$  only if  $\alpha$  is a non-compact imaginary root and  $V_x^1(\alpha) \simeq \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x}$  when  $V_x^1(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ . We notice also that  $V_x^1(\alpha) = V_x^1(\theta(\alpha))$ , hence

$$\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+} = ([\mathfrak{q}, \mu^+], J_{\mu^+}) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} V_x^1(\alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} V_x^1(\alpha).$$

The identity  $[B]$  is proved.

Similarly we consider  $V_x^2(\alpha) = \mathbf{p}_2 \circ \mathbf{r}((\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\alpha)$ . We notice that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_x^2(\alpha) \in \{0, 1\}$ :  $V_x^2(\alpha) = \{0\}$  only if  $\alpha$  is a compact imaginary root and  $V_x^2(\alpha) \simeq \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x}$  when  $V_x^2(\alpha) \neq \{0\}$ . We notice also that  $V_x^2(\alpha) = V_x^2(\theta(\alpha))$ , hence

$$\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} = ([\mathfrak{h}, \mu^+], J_{\mu^+}) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} V_x^2(\alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} V_x^2(\alpha).$$

The identity  $[A]$  is proved.

Finally we check that the complex structures  $J_{\mu^+}$  and  $J_{V_x}$  preserve each  $V_x^1(\alpha)$  and that  $(V_x(\alpha), J_{V_x}) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\alpha}}|_{H_x}$  when  $(\alpha, \mu^+) > 0$ . The identity  $[C]$  follows.  $\square$

We consider the  $H_x$ -module  $\mathbb{V}_x := \sum_{[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{C}_\alpha|_{H_x}$ , and the  $G_x$ -modules  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha$ . In the previous lemma we have proved that  $H_x$ -modules  $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}$  are respectively isomorphic to  $\mathbb{V}_x \oplus \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}$  and  $\mathbb{V}_x \oplus \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}$ . If we use the fact that  $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{V}_x) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{V}_x = 1$ , we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6** *We have the following identity of virtual  $H_x$ -modules:*

$$\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mu^+}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mu^+} \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}.$$

Let us now prove Lemma 3.2.

Let  $\mathcal{B} := \mathfrak{A}_x/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cup (\mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+)$ . We see that  $\bigwedge_{J_{V_x}} V_x = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} (1 - t^{\tilde{\alpha}})$  whereas  $\bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^+}} V_x = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} (1 - t^{-\alpha})$ . Accordingly we get  $\bigwedge_{J_{V_x}} V_x \simeq$

$(-1)^{|\mathcal{B}'|} \mathbb{C}_\eta \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^+}} V_x$  where  $\mathcal{B}' = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}, \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha\}$  and  $\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}'} \alpha$ . Now it is easy to check that an element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}'$  if and only if  $\alpha$  and  $\theta(\alpha)$  both belong to  $\mathfrak{R}_x^+$ . In other words

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+), \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\} / \mathbb{Z}_2 \bigcup \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+.$$

We have proved that

$$\bigwedge_{J_{V_x}} V_x \simeq (-1)^{m_x} \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge_{-J_{\mu^+}} V_x.$$

□

Finally, thanks to Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.6, we obtain the final formula for  $Q_{Hx}(\lambda)$  (that does not depend on the choice of  $r$ ):

$$Q_{Hx}(\lambda) = (-1)^{m_x} \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H \left( \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_x + \delta(x)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} \right).$$

### 3.3 Computation of the virtual module $\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda)$

According to Theorem 1.1, we have the decomposition  $V_\lambda^G|_H = \sum_{\bar{x}} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$  where  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H (\mathbb{A}_x(\lambda))$ , and  $\mathbb{A}_x(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H_x)$  has the following description

$$\mathbb{A}_x(\lambda) = \frac{1}{|W_x^H|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{m_{xw}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{xw} + \delta(xw)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{ci}}.$$

The aim of this section is to simplify the expression of the virtual  $H_x$ -module  $\mathbb{A}_x(\lambda)$ . We start by comparing the  $G_x$ -modules  $\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}$ . We use the decomposition  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = (\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+ \oplus (\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^-$  where

$$(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+ := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap -\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+} \mathbb{C}_\alpha.$$

We have the following basic lemma (see Lemma 3.10).

**Lemma 3.7** *The  $G_x$ -module  $|\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}|_w := (\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+ \oplus \overline{(\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^-}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}$ .*

Let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^+} \alpha$ . We denote by  $w \bullet \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  the affine action of the Weyl group on the lattice  $\Lambda$ .

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $x \in Z_\theta$ . We have*

$$\mathbb{A}_x(\lambda) = \mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}$$

where  $\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H_x)$  is defined by the following expression

$$\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{n_x}}{|W_x^H|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{k_{x,w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_x} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}|_w),$$

and

- $k_{x,w} = |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm \alpha\}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}|,$
- $n_x := |\theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+| - \frac{1}{2} |\theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}|.$

**Remark 3.9** *We can describe  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$  differently by taking  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\} \subset W$  such that  $W/W_x^H \simeq \{\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_p\}$ . We have  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H \left( \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_x(\lambda) \right)$  with*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_x(\lambda) = \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_x(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}$$

and where  $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_x(\lambda) \in \widehat{R}(H_x)$  is defined by the following expression

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_x(\lambda) = (-1)^{n_x} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k_{x,w_k}} \mathbb{C}_{(w_k \bullet \lambda)_x} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_{w_k}^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}|_{w_k}).$$

We need to introduce some notations. To  $x \in Z_\theta$ , we associate :

- The polarized roots : to  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  and  $w \in W$ , we associate  $|\alpha|_w \in \mathfrak{R}_x$  defined as follows

$$|\alpha|_w = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+, \\ -\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+. \end{cases}$$

- The following  $G_x$ -weights :

$$\gamma_{x,w}^{\text{ci}} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \\ |\alpha|_w \neq \alpha}} \alpha, \quad \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{nci}} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \\ |\alpha|_w \neq \alpha}} \alpha, \quad \gamma_{x,w} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \\ |\alpha|_w \neq \alpha}} \alpha.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.8 is based on the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $x \in Z_\theta$  and  $w \in W$ . Let  $d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}$  be the cardinal of the set  $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+, |\alpha|_w \neq \alpha\}$ . We have the following relations*

1.  $\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_{|\alpha|_w}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{ci}} \simeq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \mathbb{C}_{|\alpha|_w}$ ,
2.  $\det(\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}) = \mathbb{C}_{-\gamma_{x,w}^{\text{nci}}} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+)$ ,
3.  $\bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{ci}} = (-1)^{d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}} \mathbb{C}_{-\gamma_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}$ .
4. *The  $H_x$ -weight  $\delta(xw) - \delta(x)$  is equal to the restriction of  $G_x$ -weight  $\gamma_{x,w}^{\text{nci}} + \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{ci}} - \gamma_{x,w}$  to  $H_x$ .*

**Proof.** We remark that  $\mathfrak{R}_x = \mathfrak{R}_{xw}$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_x^+ = g(\mathfrak{R}^+)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ = g(w\mathfrak{R}^+)$ . The first point follows and points 2. and 3. derive from the first.

Let us check the last point. The term  $\rho_x := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \alpha$  is the image of  $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha' \in \mathfrak{R}^+} \alpha'$  through the map  $\mu \mapsto \mu_x$ . We see that

$$\rho_x + \theta(\rho_x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+)} \alpha = 2\delta(x) + 2\rho_x^{\text{nci}} + 2\rho_x^{\text{ci}}$$

where  $\rho_x^{\text{nci}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \alpha$  and  $\rho_x^{\text{ci}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}} \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+} \alpha$ . Similarly we have

$$\rho_{xw} + \theta(\rho_{xw}) = 2\delta(xw) + 2\rho_{xw}^{\text{nci}} + 2\rho_{xw}^{\text{ci}}.$$

Thus the  $H_x$ -weight  $\delta(xw) - \delta(x)$  is equal to the restriction to  $H_x$  of the  $G_x$ -weight

$$\beta(x, w) := \rho_{xw} - \rho_x + (\rho_x^{\text{nci}} - \rho_{xw}^{\text{nci}}) + (\rho_x^{\text{ci}} - \rho_{xw}^{\text{ci}}).$$

We notice that  $\rho_{xw} - \rho_x = (w\rho - \rho)_x = -\gamma_{x,w}$ . Furthermore, small computations gives that  $\rho_x^{\text{nci}} - \rho_{xw}^{\text{nci}} = \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{nci}}$  and  $\rho_x^{\text{ci}} - \rho_{xw}^{\text{ci}} = \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}$ . We have proved that  $\beta(x, w) = \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{nci}} + \gamma_{x,w}^{\text{ci}} - \gamma_{x,w}$ . The last point follows.  $\square$

Now, we can finish the proof of the Proposition 3.8. We must check that the virtual  $H_x$ -module

$$\mathbf{A} := (-1)^{m_{xw}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{xw} + \delta(xw)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{ci}}$$

is equal to the virtual  $H_x$ -module

$$\mathbf{B} := (-1)^{n_x + k_{x,w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_x + \delta(x)} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}.$$

If we use the previous Lemma, we get

$$\mathbf{A} = (-1)^{m_{xw} + q_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}} \mathbb{C}_{(w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho)_x + \delta(x)} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}.$$

Thus the equality  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$  follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11** *For any  $x \in Z_\theta$  and  $w \in W$ , we have  $n_x + k_{x,w} = m_{xw} + d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}} \pmod{2}$ .*

**Proof.** In order to simplify our notations, we write  $a \equiv b$  for  $a = b \pmod{2}$ .

We have  $\dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}} = \dim \mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{nci}}$  and  $\dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} = \dim \mathbb{E}_{xw}^{\text{ci}}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{xw} - m_x &= \frac{1}{2} (|\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}| - |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}|) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)| - |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+)|). \end{aligned}$$

We remark now that

$$\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+) = A_{++} \cup A_{--} \cup A_{+-} \cup A_{-+}$$

with  $A_{++} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ ,  $A_{--} = -\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap -\theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ ,  $A_{+-} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(-\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$  and  $A_{-+} = -\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ .

Similarly we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) = B_{++} \cup B_{--} \cup B_{+-} \cup B_{-+}$$

with  $B_{++} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ ,  $B_{--} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap -\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(-\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ ,  $B_{+-} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(-\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$  and  $B_{-+} = \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap -\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+)$ .

We have the obvious relations :  $A_{++} = B_{++}$ ,  $A_{--} = -B_{--}$ ,  $\theta(A_{+-}) = A_{-+}$ ,  $\theta(B_{+-}) = B_{-+}$  and  $A_{++} = B_{++}$ . So we get

$$m_{xw} - m_x \equiv |A_{+-}| + |B_{+-}|.$$

Let consider  $\mathcal{A} := \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+$  and  $\mathcal{B} := \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap -\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{xw} - m_x &\equiv |\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{B})| + |\mathcal{A} \cap -\theta(\mathcal{B})| \\ &\equiv |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A})| + |\mathcal{A} \cap -\theta(\mathcal{A})|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A})| &\equiv |\mathcal{A} \cap \theta(\mathcal{A}) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}| \\ &\equiv |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A} \cap -\theta(\mathcal{A})| &\equiv |\mathcal{A} \cap -\theta(\mathcal{A}) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = -\alpha\}| \\ &\equiv |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = -\alpha\}|. \end{aligned}$$

At this stage we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{xw} - m_x &\equiv |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = -\alpha\}| \\ &\equiv |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq -\alpha\}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}|. \end{aligned}$$

As  $d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}} = |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap -\mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}|$ , we have  $|\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}| + d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}$  is equal to  $\dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}}|$ . This implies that  $m_{xw} + d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}$  is equal, modulo 2, to

$$\begin{aligned} m_x + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{nci}}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq -\alpha\}| \\ \equiv m_x + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm\alpha\}|. \end{aligned}$$

By definition  $m_x = \frac{1}{2}|\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}| + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} m_x + \dim \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}|\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) = \alpha\}| \\ &\equiv n_x. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have proved that  $m_{xw} + d_{x,w}^{\text{ci}}$  is equal, modulo 2, to  $n_x + k_{x,w}$ .

□

## 4 Examples

In this section we will study in details some examples of our formula

$$V_\lambda^G|_H = \sum_{\bar{x} \in H \backslash Z_\theta / W} Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda)$$

where  $Q_{\bar{x}}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{H_x}^H (\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\delta(x)} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}})$  and

$$\mathbb{M}_x(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{n_x}}{|W_x^H|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{k_{x,w}} \mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_x} \otimes \det((\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}})_w^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}|_w).$$

Here the integers  $k_{x,w}$  and  $n_x$  are defined as follows:

- $k_{x,w} = |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \pm\alpha\}| + |\mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_{xw}^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^{\text{ci}}|$ ,
- $n_x = |\theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+| - \frac{1}{2}|\theta(\mathfrak{R}_x^+) \cap \mathfrak{R}_x^+ \cap \{\theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}|$ .

#### 4.1 $K \subset K \times K$

Let  $K$  be a connected compact Lie group. Here we work with the Lie group  $G = K \times K$  and the involution  $\theta(k_1, k_2) = (k_2, k_1)$ . The subgroup  $H = G^\theta$  is the group  $K$  embedded diagonally in  $G$ .

Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $K$  and let  $W_K = N_K(T)/T$  be the Weyl group of  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_K$  the set of roots for  $(K, T)$ , and we make the choice of a set  $\mathfrak{R}_K^+$  of positive roots.

In the next lemma we describe the critical set  $Z_\theta$  in the flag manifold  $\mathcal{F} = K/T \times K/T$  of  $G$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *We have  $Z_\theta = \bigcup_{w \in W_K} Z_w$  with  $Z_w = K \cdot (wT, T)$ . In other words, the set  $H \backslash Z_\theta / W$  is a singleton.*

**Proof.** The element  $x = (aT, bT) \in \mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $Z_\theta$  if and only if  $= (a^{-1}b, b^{-1}a) \in W \times W$ . If  $b^{-1}a = w \in W$  then  $(aT, bT) \in Z_w$ .  $\square$

We take  $x = (T, T) \in Z_\theta$ . For each  $w \in W_K$ , we write  $xw = (wT, T)$ . We take  $\lambda = (a, b) \in \Lambda_K^+ \times \Lambda_K^+ = \hat{G}$ .

Our data are as follows:

- the group  $G_x$  is the maximal torus  $T \times T \subset K$ ,
- the group  $H_x$  is the maximal torus  $T \subset K$ ,
- $\mathbb{C}_{(w \bullet \lambda)_x + \delta(x)} = \mathbb{C}_{w(a+\rho)+b+\rho}$  as a character of  $T$ ,
- $n_x = |\mathfrak{R}_K^+|$ ,
- $k_{x,w}$  is equal to  $|w\mathfrak{R}_K^+ \cap \mathfrak{R}_K^+| + |\mathfrak{R}_K^+|$ , so  $(-1)^{k_{x,w}} = (-1)^w$ ,
- the vector spaces  $\mathbb{E}_x^{\text{ci}}, \mathbb{E}_x^{\text{nci}}$  are reduced to  $\{0\}$ .

In this context we recover the classical relation

$$(4.8) \quad V_a^K \otimes V_b^K = (-1)^{\dim(K/T)/2} \sum_{w \in W_K} (-1)^w \text{Ind}_T^K (\mathbb{C}_{w(a+\rho)+b+\rho}).$$

**Example 4.2** *The irreducible representation  $SU(2)$  are parametrized by  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $n \geq 0$ , the irreducible representation  $V_n$  of  $SU(2)$  satisfies*

$$V_n = \text{Ind}_{U(1)}^{SU(2)} ((\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}_n).$$

If we take  $m \geq n \geq 0$ , then (4.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
V_n \otimes V_m &= \text{Ind}_{U(1)}^{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}_{m-n}) - \text{Ind}_{U(1)}^{SU(2)}(\mathbb{C}_{m+n+2}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \text{Ind}_{U(1)}^{SU(2)}((\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m+n-2k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n V_{m+n-2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

We recognize here the classical Clebsch-Gordan relations.

## 4.2 $U(p) \times U(q) \subset U(p+q)$

Let  $p \geq q \geq 1$  and  $n = p + q$ . We take  $G = U(n)$  with maximal torus  $T \simeq U(1)^n$  the subgroup formed by the diagonal matrices. We use the canonical map  $\tau$  from the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  into  $G$ . It induces an isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and the Weyl group  $W$  of  $G$ .

We work with the involution  $\theta(g) = \Delta g \Delta^{-1}$  where  $\Delta := \text{diag}(I_p, -I_q)$ : the subgroup fixed by  $\theta$  is  $H = U(p) \times U(q)$ .

In the next section we describe the critical set  $Z_\theta \subset \mathcal{F}$ . For another type of parametrization of  $H_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ , see Section 5 of [12].

### 4.2.1 The critical set

We consider the following elements of  $O(2)$ :

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The element  $R$  is of order 8,  $R^2 = -J$  and  $R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} R = S$ .

To any  $j \in \{0, \dots, q\}$  we associate :

- $g_j := \text{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{p-j \text{ times}}, \underbrace{R, \dots, R}_j, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{q-j \text{ times}}) \in G$ ,
- the permutation  $w_j \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  that fixes the elements of  $[1, \dots, p-j] \cup [p+j+1, \dots, n]$  and such that
$$w_j(p-j+2k-1) = p-j+k, \quad w_j(p-j+2k) = p+k, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq j,$$
- $k_j = \tau_j g_j \in G$ , where  $\tau_j \in N(T)$  is the image of  $w_j$  by  $\tau$ ,



- $x_j = k_j T \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We notice that the adjoint map  $Ad(\tau_j) : G \rightarrow G$  sends the matrix  $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{p-j}, b_1, \dots, b_{2j}, c_1, \dots, c_{q-j})$  to the matrix

$$\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{p-j}, b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2j-1}, b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2j}, c_1, \dots, c_{q-j}).$$

We see then that

$$\sigma_j := k_j^{-1} \Delta k_j = \text{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{p-j \text{ times}}, \underbrace{S, \dots, S}_j \text{ times}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{q-j \text{ times}})$$

and  $k_j^{-1} \theta(k_j) = \sigma_j \Delta$  belong to  $N(T)$ . Thus the elements  $x_0, \dots, x_q$  belongs to  $Z_\theta$ .

**Lemma 4.3** *In the flag manifold  $\mathcal{F}$  the set  $Z_\theta$  has the following description:*

$$Z_\theta = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq q} \bigcup_{\bar{w} \in W_{x_j} \backslash W} H x_j w$$

So we have  $H \backslash Z_\theta / W = \{\bar{x}_0, \dots, \bar{x}_q\}$ .

**Proof.** If  $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ , we denote by  $\tau_{a,b} \in N(T)$  the permutation matrix associated to the transposition  $(a, b)$ .

Let  $gT \in Z_\theta$ . Then  $k := g^{-1} \theta(g) \Delta = g^{-1} \Delta g$  is an element of order two in  $N(T)$ . The Weyl group element  $\bar{k} \in W$  is of order two, then there exists  $0 \leq l \leq n/2$ , and a family  $(a_1 < b_1), \dots, (a_l < b_l)$  of disjoint couples in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $kT = \tau_{a_1, b_1} \dots \tau_{a_l, b_l} T$ .

Now, if we use the fact that the characteristic polynomial of  $k \in G$  is equal to  $(X-1)^p (X+1)^q$  with  $p \geq q \geq 1$ , we see that

- $l \leq q$ ,
- there exists  $n \in N(T)$  such that  $n^{-1} k n = \sigma_l = k_l^{-1} \Delta k_l$ .

If we take  $w = \bar{n} \in W$ , the previous identity says that  $g \in H k_l w T$ .  $\square$

#### 4.2.2 Localized indices

We work with the groups  $T \subset H = U(p) \times U(q) \subset G = U(n)$  and the corresponding Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s\}$  be the set of non-zero roots for the action of  $T$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . We choose the Weyl chamber so that  $\mathfrak{R}^+ := \{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s, 1 \leq r < s \leq n\}$ .

Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, q\}$ . The aim of this section is to compute the localized index  $Q_{\hat{x}_j}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(H)$ . In order to have a fairly simple expression we will rewrite the terms of the form  $\text{Ind}_{H_{x_j}}^H(\mathbb{C}_\beta \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x_j}^{\text{ci}})$ .

We write  $\{1, \dots, n\} = I_j^1 \cup I_j^2 \cup I_j^3 \cup I_j^4$  where  $I_j^1 = \{1 \leq k \leq p-j\}$ ,  $I_j^2 = \{p-j+1 \leq k \leq p\}$ ,  $I_j^3 = \{p+1 \leq k \leq p+j\}$ , and  $I_j^4 = \{p+j+1 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

For the maximal torus  $T \subset G$  we have a decomposition

$$T \simeq T_j^1 \times T_j^2 \times T_j^3 \times T_j^4$$

where  $T_j^p = \{(t_k), k \in I_j^p\}$ . Let  $T_j \subset T_j^2 \times T_j^3$  be the subtorus defined by the relations :  $((t_k)_{k \in I_j^2}, (s_{k'})_{k' \in I_j^3}) \in T_j$  if and only if  $t_{p-j+k} = s_{p+k}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq j$ .

The elements of order two  $\sigma_j \in G$  induce involutions on  $G$  (by conjugation) that we still denote by  $\sigma_j$ . We start with a basic lemma whose proof is left to the reader.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $x_j = k_j T \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

- *The adjoint map  $\text{Ad}(k_j) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  realizes an isomorphism between the vector space  $\mathfrak{t}$  equipped with the involution induced by  $\sigma_j$  and the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}_{x_j}$  equipped with the involution  $\theta$ .*
- *The group  $N(T)^{\sigma_j}/T^{\sigma_j}$  is isomorphic with  $\mathfrak{S}_{p-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_{q-j} \times \mathfrak{S}_j \times \{\pm\}^j$ .*
- *The adjoint map  $\text{Ad}(k_j) : G \rightarrow G$  induces an isomorphism  $N(T)^{\sigma_j}/T^{\sigma_j} \simeq W_{x_j}$ .*
- *The stabilizer subgroup  $H_{x_j}$  is equal to  $T_j^1 \times T_j \times T_j^4 \subset T$ .*
- *If  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha$  is a character of  $T$ , then  $\mathbb{C}_{k_j \alpha}$  is a character of  $G_{x_j}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{\tau_j \alpha}$  is a character of  $T$ . We have the relation*

$$\mathbb{C}_{k_j \alpha}|_{H_{x_j}} = \mathbb{C}_{\tau_j \alpha}|_{H_{x_j}}.$$

- *The set of roots  $\mathfrak{R}_{x_j}^{\text{ci}}$  is equal to*

$$k_j \cdot \{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s, 1 \leq r < s \leq p-j\} \bigcup k_j \cdot \{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s, p+j+1 \leq r < s \leq n\}$$

and  $\mathfrak{R}_{x_j}^{\text{nci}} = k_j \cdot \{\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s, 1 \leq r \leq p-j \text{ \& } p+j+1 \leq s \leq n\}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{M}_j$  the  $T$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^{p-j} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^{q-j})^*$  where the subgroup  $T_j^2 \times T_j^3$  acts trivially and the  $T_j^1 \times T_j^4$ -action is the canonical one. Thank to Lemma 4.4, we have the following isomorphisms of  $H_{x_j}$ -modules:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_j}^{\text{nci}} \simeq \mathbb{M}_j.$$

We consider the Lie group

$$K_j := U(p-j) \times U(q-j)$$

that we view as a subgroup of  $H$  in such a way that  $T_j^1 \times T_j^4$  is a maximal torus of  $K_j$ . A set of positive roots for  $(K_j, T_j^1 \times T_j^4)$  is  $\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_s$  for  $1 \leq r < s \leq p-j$  and  $p+j+1 \leq r < s \leq n$ . We equip  $\mathfrak{k}_j/\mathfrak{t}_j^1 \times \mathfrak{t}_j^4$  with a complex structure such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_j}^{\text{ci}} \simeq \mathfrak{k}_j/\mathfrak{t}_j^1 \times \mathfrak{t}_j^4$$

is an isomorphism of  $T_j^1 \times T_j^4$ -modules.

The holomorphic induction map  $\text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j} : R(T_j^1 \times T_j^4) \rightarrow R(K_j)$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(V) := \text{Ind}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(V \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{k}_j/\mathfrak{t}_j^1 \times \mathfrak{t}_j^4).$$

If  $a = (a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{p-j}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-j}$  and  $b = (b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{q-j}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{q-j}$ , then  $\mathbb{C}_{(a,b)}$  defines a character of  $T_j^1 \times T_j^4$  and

$$\text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(\mathbb{C}_{(a,b)}) = V_a^{U(p-j)} \otimes V_b^{U(q-j)}$$

is the irreducible representation of  $K_j$  with highest weight  $(a, b)$ .

A character  $\mathbb{C}_\beta$  of the torus  $T$  can be written  $\mathbb{C}_\beta = \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$  where  $\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}$  is a character of  $T_j^1 \times T_j^4$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$  is a character of  $T_j^2 \times T_j^3$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}_{\tau_j \beta}|_{H_{x_j}} = \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta'}$  where  $\beta' = \tau_j \beta^{23}$  defines a character of  $T_j \subset T_j^2 \times T_j^3$ .

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $\mathbb{C}_\beta$  be a character of  $T$ . Then  $\text{Ind}_{H_{x_j}}^H(\mathbb{C}_\beta|_{H_{x_j}} \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{E}_{x_j}^{\text{ci}})$  is equal to*

$$\text{Ind}_{K_j \times T_j^2 \times T_j^3}^H \left( \text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}} \otimes L^2(T_j^2 \times T_j^3/T_j) \right),$$

where  $L^2(T_j^2 \times T_j^3/T_j) = \text{Ind}_{T_j}^{T_j^2 \times T_j^3}(1) \in \hat{R}(T_j^2 \times T_j^3)$ .

**Remark 4.6** *To gain some space in our formulas, we will write  $\text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(\mathbb{C}_\beta)$  instead of  $\text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j}(\mathbb{C}_{\beta^{14}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\beta^{23}}$*

We need to fix some notations.

**Definition 4.7** • Let  $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the character  $(A, B) \mapsto \det(A) \det(B)^{-1}$ .

- Let  $\psi_j$  be the character<sup>2</sup> of  $T$  associated to the weight

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq j} (q - p + 2 + 2j - 4k) \varepsilon_{p-j+k}.$$

- For any  $(j, w) \in [0, q] \times W$ , we define the integer  $d_{j,w}$  by the relation

$$d_{j,w} = \dim(\mathbb{M}_j)_w^+ + |\{1 \leq k \leq j, w^{-1}(p - j + 2k - 1) < w^{-1}(p - j + 2k)\}|.$$

A small computation gives the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8** • The  $H_{x_j}$ -character  $\mathbb{C}_{\delta(x_j)}$  is equal to  $\chi^{\otimes j} \otimes \psi_j|_{H_{x_j}}$ .

- For any  $(j, w) \in [0, q] \times W$ , we have

$$(-1)^{n_{x_j} + k_{x_j, w}} = (-1)^{j(n+1)} (-1)^w (-1)^{d_{j,w}}.$$

The main result of this section is the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.9**

$$V_\lambda^{U(n)}|_{U(p) \times U(q)} = \sum_{j=0}^q Q_{\bar{x}_j}(\lambda)$$

where  $Q_{\bar{x}_j}(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(U(p) \times U(q))$  is determined by the relation

$$Q_{\bar{x}_j}(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{j(n+1)}}{|W_{x_j}|} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w (-1)^{d_{j,w}} \text{Ind}_{K_j \times T_j^2 \times T_j^3}^{U(p) \times U(q)} (\mathbb{A}_j^w(\lambda) \otimes \psi_j) \otimes \chi^{\otimes j}.$$

Here the elements  $\mathbb{A}_j^w(\lambda) \in \hat{R}(K_j \times T_j^2 \times T_j^3)$  are defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_j^w(\lambda) = \text{Hol}_{T_j^1 \times T_j^4}^{K_j} \left( \mathbb{C}_{\tau_j(w \bullet \lambda)} \otimes \det((\mathbb{M}_j)_w^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{M}_j|_w) \right) \otimes L^2(T_j^2 \times T_j^3 / T_j).$$

We finish this section by considering particular situations.

---

<sup>2</sup>Remark that  $\psi_j$  is trivial  $T_j^1 \times T_j^3 \times T_j^4$ .

#### 4.2.3 The extreme cases : $j = 0$ or $j = q$

When  $j = 0$ , the torus  $T_0^2$  and  $T_0^3$  are trivial and  $K_0 = U(p) \times U(q) = H$ . Moreover  $\mathbb{M}_0 = \mathbb{C}^p \otimes (\mathbb{C}^q)^*$  and  $d_{0,w} = \dim(\mathbb{M}_0)_w^+$ . Thanks to Lemma 4.4, we know also that  $W_{x_0} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ .

So we get the formula

$$Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{w \in W} (\pm)_w \text{Hol}_T^H (\mathbb{C}_{w \bullet \lambda} \otimes \det((\mathbb{M}_0)_w^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{M}_0|_w))$$

where  $(\pm)_w = (-1)^w (-1)^{\dim(\mathbb{M}_0)_w^+}$ .

**Remark 4.10** *An useful exercise is to consider the term*

$$\mathbb{A}_w := (\pm)_w \text{Hol}_T^H (\mathbb{C}_{w \bullet \lambda} \otimes \det((\mathbb{M}_0)_w^+) \otimes \text{Sym}(|\mathbb{M}_0|_w))$$

*and verify that  $\mathbb{A}_{w'w} = \mathbb{A}_w$  when  $w' \in W_{x_0}$ .*

When  $j = q$ , the torus  $T_q^4$  is trivial,  $K_q = U(p - q)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_q = \{0\}$ . Moreover  $W_{x_q} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{p-q} \times \mathfrak{S}_q \times \{\pm\}^q$ . In this case we obtain

$$Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{q(n+1)}}{(p-q)!q!2^q} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w (-1)^{d_{q,w}} \chi^{\otimes q} \otimes Q_q^w(\lambda)$$

with

$$Q_q^w(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{U(p-q) \times T_q^2 \times T_q^3}^{U(p) \times U(q)} \left( \text{Hol}_{T_q^1}^{U(p-q)} (\mathbb{C}_{\tau_q(w \bullet \lambda)}) \otimes \psi_q \otimes L^2(T_q^2 \times T_q^3 / T_q) \right).$$

#### 4.2.4 $U(n-1) \times U(1) \subset U(n)$

Here we are in the case where  $q = 1$ , and so

$$V_\lambda^{U(n)}|_{U(n-1) \times U(1)} = Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda) + Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda).$$

To simplify the expression of  $Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda)$  we use the fact that the quotient  $W_{x_0} \backslash W$  is represented by the class of the elements  $\tau_{k,n} \in G$  associated to the transposition  $(k, n)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We write  $T = T' \times U(1)$  where  $T'$  is a maximal torus of  $U(n-1)$ . The  $T'$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  can be decomposed as  $\mathbb{V}_k \oplus \mathbb{V}'_k$  where  $\mathbb{V}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_j}$  and  $\mathbb{V}'_k = \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_j}$ .

The  $T$ -module  $\mathbb{M}_0$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{V}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_n} \oplus \mathbb{V}'_k \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_n}$  and the polarized  $T$ -module  $|\mathbb{M}_0|_{\tau_{k,n}}$  is equal to  $\mathbb{V}_k \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varepsilon_n} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}'_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_n}$ . We have  $\dim(\mathbb{M}_0)_{\tau_{k,n}}^+ = k-1$  and  $\det(\mathbb{M}_0)_{\tau_{k,n}}^+ = \mathbb{C}_{\mu_k} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\otimes 1-k}$  with  $\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_j}$ .

So we obtain

$$Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} (\pm)_k \text{Hol}_{T'}^{U(n-1)} \left( \mathbb{C}_{\tau_{k,n} \bullet \lambda + \mu_k} \otimes \text{Sym}^j(\mathbb{V}_k) \otimes \text{Sym}^i(\overline{\mathbb{V}'_k}) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\otimes 1+i-j-k},$$

where  $(\pm)_k = (-1)^k$  if  $k < n$  and  $(\pm)_n = (-1)^{n-1}$ .

We consider now the term  $Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda)$ . When  $j = q = 1$ , the torus  $T_1^4$  is trivial,  $K_1 = U(n-2)$  and  $\mathbb{M}_0 = \{0\}$ . Moreover  $W_{x_1} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{n-2} \times \{\pm\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = Id$  and  $\psi_1 = (2-n)\varepsilon_{n-1}$ . Here the quotient  $W_{x_1} \backslash W$  is represented by the class of the elements  $\tau_{i,n-1}\tau_{j,n} \in G$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . We denote by  $\lambda_{ij}$  the term  $\tau_{i,n-1}\tau_{j,n} \bullet \lambda$ .

In this case we obtain

$$Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda) = (-1)^n \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{|\{i,j\} \cap \{n-1,n\}|} \chi \otimes Q_1^{ij}(\lambda)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^{ij}(\lambda) &= \text{Ind}_{U(n-2) \times T_1^2 \times T_1^3}^{U(n-1) \times T_1^2} \left( \text{Hol}_{T_1^1}^{U(n-2)} (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{ij}}) \otimes \psi_1 \otimes L^2(T_1^2 \times T_1^3 / T_1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ind}_{U(n-2) \times T_1^2}^{U(n-1)} \left( \text{Hol}_{T_1^1}^{U(n-2)} (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_{ij}}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}}^{\otimes k} \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\otimes 2-n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us finish this section by considering the simplest example:  $U(1) \times U(1) \subset U(2)$ . Take  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2) \in \widehat{U(2)}$ . We have  $V_\lambda^{U(2)}|_{U(1) \times U(1)} = Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda) + Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda)$  where

$$Q_{\bar{x}_0}(\lambda) = - \mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \sum_{-\infty}^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - 1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}^{\otimes k} - \mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}^{\otimes k}$$

and  $Q_{\bar{x}_1}(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}^{\otimes k}$ . We recover the basic relation

$$V_\lambda^{U(2)}|_{U(1) \times U(1)} = \mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \sum_{k=\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}^0 \mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}^{\otimes k}.$$

## References

- [1] M.F. ATIYAH, *Elliptic operators and compact groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **401**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

- [2] N. BERLINE, E. GETZLER and M. VERGNE, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren **298**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] R. BREMIGAN and J. LORCH, *Orbit duality for flag manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **109** (2002), 233–261.
- [4] V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *A normal form for the moment map*, Differential geometric methods in mathematical physics, **6** (1984), 161–175.
- [5] X. MA and W. ZHANG, *Geometric quantization for proper moment maps: the Vergne conjecture*, Acta Mathematica **212** (2014), 11–57.
- [6] C.-M. MARLE, *Modèle d'action hamiltonienne d'un groupe de Lie sur une variété symplectique*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **43** (1985), 227–251.
- [7] T. MATSUKI, *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), 331–357.
- [8] K. MIRKOVIC, T. VILONEN and I. UZAWA, *Matsuki correspondence for sheaves*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992).
- [9] P-E. PARADAN, *Localization of the Riemann-Roch character*, J. Functional Analysis **187** (2001), 442–509.
- [10] P-E. PARADAN and M. VERGNE, *Witten non abelian localization for equivariant  $K$ -theory and the  $[Q, R] = 0$  Theorem*, preprint arXiv 1504.07502 (2015), to appear in Memoirs of the A.M.S..
- [11] R. W. RICHARDSON and T. A. SPRINGER, *The Bruhat order on symmetric varieties*, Geometriae Dedicata **35** (1990), 389–436.
- [12] R. W. RICHARDSON and T. A. SPRINGER, *Combinatorics and geometry of  $K$ -orbits on the flag manifold*, Contemporary Mathematics **153** (1993), 109–142.
- [13] W. ROSSMANN, *The structure of semisimple symmetric spaces*, Can. J. Math **31** (1979), 157–180.
- [14] T. UZAWA, *Invariant hyperfunction sections of line bundles*, Thesis, Yale University, 1990.