



HAL
open science

Direct zero-sum problems for certain groups of rank three

Benjamin Girard, Wolfgang Schmid

► **To cite this version:**

Benjamin Girard, Wolfgang Schmid. Direct zero-sum problems for certain groups of rank three. Journal of Number Theory, 2019, 197, pp.297-316. 10.1016/j.jnt.2018.08.016 . hal-01817518v2

HAL Id: hal-01817518

<https://hal.science/hal-01817518v2>

Submitted on 20 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DIRECT ZERO-SUM PROBLEMS FOR CERTAIN GROUPS OF RANK THREE

BENJAMIN GIRARD AND WOLFGANG A. SCHMID

ABSTRACT. We determine the exact value of the η -constant and the multiwise Davenport constants for finite abelian groups of rank three having the form $G \simeq C_2 \oplus C_{n_2} \oplus C_{n_3}$ with $2 \mid n_2 \mid n_3$. Moreover, we determine the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant of these groups under the assumption that $n_2/2$ has Property D or $n_2 = n_3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A well-known direct zero-sum problem is to determine the Davenport constant of finite abelian groups. For such a group $(G, +, 0)$, this constant, denoted by $D(G)$, is defined as the smallest non-negative integer t such that every sequence of t elements from G contains a non-empty subsequence whose terms sum to 0.

A closely related problem is to determine the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant, denoted by $s(G)$, which is defined in the same way except that one requires the existence of a subsequence whose sum is 0 and whose length is equal to the exponent of the group. A variant of this constant is $\eta(G)$, where one seeks a non-empty subsequence with sum 0 whose length is at most the exponent of the group.

The investigation of these zero-sum constants has been a topic of active research for more than fifty years. We refer to [10, 11, 12, 14] for detailed expositions. Some results are also recalled in the next section. The exact values of these three constants are known for every finite abelian group of rank at most two, and only for fairly special types of groups of higher rank. Even for groups of rank three, that is, $G \simeq C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2} \oplus C_{n_3}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid n_2 \mid n_3$, the problem of determining these constants is wide open. For example, when $n_1 = 2$, the Davenport constant is known, but the exact values of the other two constants defined above is not.

In the present paper, we obtain these values for the η -constant and, assuming a now well-supported conjecture, for the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant as well. Our results confirm Gao’s conjecture (Conjecture 2.2) for this type of groups, and generalize previous results obtained in the case $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ (see [5, Theorem 1.2(1)] and [4, Theorem 1.3]). Moreover, they show that recent results of Luo [16] are essentially optimal. In addition, we determine the multiwise Davenport constants for this type of groups (see the subsequent section for the definition). For a more detailed overview of our results and how they relate to the existing literature on the subject, we refer to Section 3.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B30, 05E15, 20K01.

Key words and phrases. finite abelian group, zero-sum sequence, Davenport constant, Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant, inductive method.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some notation and results; for more detailed information we refer again to [10, 11, 12, 14]. All intervals in this paper are intervals of integers, specifically $[a, b] = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}: a \leq z \leq b\}$.

Let G be a finite abelian group, written additively. For each g in G , we denote by $\text{ord}(g)$ its order in G . For a subset $A \subseteq G$ we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the subgroup it generates; we say that A is a *generating set* if $\langle A \rangle = G$. We say that elements g_1, \dots, g_k are *independent* if $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i g_i = 0$, with integers a_i , implies that $a_i g_i = 0$ for each i ; we say that a set is independent when its elements are independent.

By $\text{exp}(G)$ we denote the exponent of G , that is the least common multiple of the orders of elements of G . By $r(G)$ we denote the rank of G , that is the minimum cardinality of a generating subset of G . For n a positive integer we denote by C_n a cyclic group of order n .

For a finite abelian group G there exist uniquely determined integers $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$ such that $G \simeq C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$. For $|G| > 1$ we have $r(G) = r$ and $\text{exp}(G) = n_r$; the rank of a group of cardinality 1 is 0 and its exponent is 1.

By a *sequence* over G we mean an element of the free abelian monoid over G . In other words, this is a finite sequence of ℓ elements from G , where repetitions are allowed and the order of elements is disregarded. We use multiplicative notation for sequences. We denote its neutral element, that is the sequence of length zero, simply by 1. Let

$$S = g_1 \cdots g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\nu_g(S)}$$

be a sequence over G , where, for all $g \in G$, $\nu_g(S)$ is a non-negative integer called the *multiplicity* of g in S . Moreover ℓ is the *length* of S .

A sequence T over G is said to be a *subsequence* of S if it is a divisor of S in the free abelian monoid over G , that is if $\nu_g(T) \leq \nu_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$; in this case we write $T \mid S$. For a subsequence T of S we set $ST^{-1} = \prod_{g \in G} g^{(\nu_g(S) - \nu_g(T))}$, that is, it is the subsequence of S such that $T(ST^{-1}) = S$.

For subsequences T_1, \dots, T_k of S we say that they are *disjoint subsequences* if $T_1 \cdots T_k$ is also a subsequence of S . Moreover, for sequences S_1, \dots, S_k over G we denote by

$$\text{gcd}(S_1, \dots, S_k) = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\min\{\nu_g(S_i): 1 \leq i \leq k\}}$$

the greatest common divisor of the sequences S_1, \dots, S_k in the free abelian monoid. To avoid confusion we stress that it is not necessary for disjoint subsequences to have a trivial greatest common divisor.

We call the set $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G \mid \nu_g(S) > 0\}$ the *support* of S , and $\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i = \sum_{g \in G} \nu_g(S)g$ the *sum* of S . In addition, we say that $s \in G$ is a *subsum* of S if

$$s = \sum_{i \in I} g_i \text{ for some } \emptyset \subsetneq I \subseteq [1, \ell].$$

If 0 is not a subsum of S , we say that S is a *zero-sumfree sequence*. If $\sigma(S) = 0$, then S is said to be a *zero-sum sequence*. If, moreover, one has $\sigma(T) \neq 0$ for all proper and non-empty subsequences $T \mid S$, then S is called a *minimal zero-sum sequence*.

We set

$$\Sigma(S) = \{\sigma(T) : 1 \neq T \mid S\}.$$

For every integer k , we also set

$$\Sigma_k(S) = \{\sigma(T) : T \mid S, |T| = k\}$$

as well as

$$\Sigma_{\leq k}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Sigma_i(S) = \{\sigma(T) : 1 \neq T \mid S, |T| \leq k\}.$$

We now recall in more detail the definitions and results alluded to in the introduction.

By $D(G)$ we denote the smallest non-negative integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a non-empty zero-sum subsequence. This number $D(G)$ is called the *Davenport constant* of the group G . More generally, given an integer $k \geq 1$, we denote by $D_k(G)$ the smallest non-negative integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains at least k non-empty disjoint zero-sum subsequences.

Note that, by definition, $D_1(G) = D(G)$ for every finite abelian group G . It is known that for every finite abelian group the sequence $(D_k(G))_{k \geq 1}$ is eventually an arithmetic progression. More precisely, one has the following result (see [6, Lemma 5.1]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group. There exist $D_0(G) \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such that*

$$D_k(G) = D_0(G) + k \exp(G), \text{ for each } k \geq k_0.$$

Let $k_D(G)$ denote the smallest possible value of k_0 in the above theorem.

By $\eta(G)$ we denote the smallest non-negative integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a non-empty zero-sum subsequence $S' \mid S$ of length $|S'| \leq \exp(G)$. Such a subsequence is called a *short zero-sum subsequence*.

By $\mathfrak{s}(G)$ we denote the smallest non-negative integer t such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq t$ contains a zero-sum subsequence $S' \mid S$ of length $|S'| = \exp(G)$. The number $\mathfrak{s}(G)$ is called the *Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant* of the group G .

It is not hard to see that $\mathfrak{s}(G) \geq \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1$ holds for each finite abelian group G . It was conjectured by Gao that in fact equality always holds (see [10, Conjecture 6.5]).

Conjecture 2.2 (Gao). *For every finite abelian group G , one has*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) = \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1.$$

We now recall the values of $\eta(G)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(G)$ as well as the ones of the multiwise Davenport constants for groups of rank at most two, see [12, Theorem 5.8.3] and [12, Theorem 6.1.5]. We parametrize these groups as $C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ with $m, n \geq 1$ integers rather than $C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}$ with integers $n_1 \mid n_2$ since later on this will be more convenient.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $m, n \geq 1$ be two integers. Then*

$$\eta(C_m \oplus C_{mn}) = 2m + mn - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}(C_m \oplus C_{mn}) = 2m + 2mn - 3.$$

In addition, for every integer $k \geq 1$,

$$D_k(C_m \oplus C_{mn}) = m + k(mn) - 1.$$

In particular, choosing $m = 1$, we have $\eta(C_n) = n$ and $\mathfrak{s}(C_n) = 2n - 1$ as well as $D_k(C_n) = kn$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.3 shows that Conjecture 2.2 is true for all finite abelian groups of rank at most two.

In the case of groups of rank at most two even the structure of extremal examples is well-understood. For cyclic groups in fact more is known, see, e.g., [18, 19], yet we only recall what is needed in this paper.

A sequence S over C_n of length $n - 1 = \eta(C_n) - 1$ has no short zero-sum subsequence (and thus no non-empty zero-sum subsequence) if and only if $S = b^{n-1}$ for some generating element b of C_n . A sequence S over C_n of length $2n - 2 = \mathfrak{s}(C_n) - 1$ has no zero-sum subsequence of length n if and only if $S = c^{n-1}(c+b)^{n-1}$ for some $c \in C_n$ and some generating element b of C_n .

For the η -constant one has the following result. It was obtained in [21]; a result of Reiher [17] was crucial in the proof.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ with integers $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. Every sequence S over H of length $|S| = \eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence has the following form:*

$$S = b_1^{m-1} b_2^{sm-1} (-xb_1 + b_2)^{(n+1-s)m-1}$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\text{ord}(b_2) = mn$, $s \in [1, n]$, $x \in [1, m]$ with $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ and either

- (1) $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , or
- (2) $s = n$ and $x = 1$.

For the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant a similar result is expected to hold true, yet it is so far only known conditionally or in special cases.

A positive integer m is said to have *Property D* if every sequence S over C_m^2 of length $|S| = \mathfrak{s}(C_m^2) - 1 = 4m - 4$ and containing no zero-sum subsequence of length m has the form $S = T^{m-1}$ for some sequence T over C_m^2 . We include the trivial case $m = 1$ in our definition as it simplifies the statement of certain results. This property was introduced by Gao who made the following conjecture [8, Conjecture 2].

Conjecture 2.5 (Gao). *Every positive integer has Property D.*

For the time being, Property D has been proved to be multiplicative [8, Theorem 1.4] in the sense that whenever m, n have this property, then so does mn . Also, Property D is known to hold for $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$, hence for any m of the form $m = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ are non-negative integers (see [8, Theorem 1.5] and [22, Theorem 3.1]).

Whenever an integer m satisfies Property D, the sequences over $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ of length $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$ and not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$ can be fully characterized for every integer $n \geq 1$ (see [21, Theorem 3.1(2)]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$, where $m \geq 2$ satisfies Property D and $n \geq 1$. Every sequence S over H of length $|S| = \mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$ has the following form:*

$$S = c^{tm-1}(b_1 + c)^{(n+1-t)m-1}(b_2 + c)^{sm-1}(-xb_1 + b_2 + c)^{(n+1-s)m-1}$$

where $c \in H$, $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\text{ord}(b_2) = mn$, $s, t \in [1, n]$, $x \in [1, m]$ with $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ and either

- (1) $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , or
- (2) $s = t = n$ and $x = 1$.

For definiteness we briefly recap some properties of the generating sets in the above result. Since $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H and $\text{ord}(b_2) = mn$, the equalities

$$|H| = |\langle b_1 \rangle + \langle b_2 \rangle| = \frac{|\langle b_1 \rangle| |\langle b_2 \rangle|}{|\langle b_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_2 \rangle|}$$

imply that $\text{ord}(b_1) = md$ where $d = |\langle b_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_2 \rangle|$ is a positive divisor of n . More precisely, we have

$$\langle mb_1 \rangle = \langle b_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_2 \rangle = \left\langle m \frac{n}{d} b_2 \right\rangle.$$

In particular, every element $h \in H$ can be written $h = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$ with $a_1 \in [0, m-1]$ and $a_2 \in [0, mn-1]$. In addition, it is easily seen that $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H if and only if $\langle b_1 \rangle \cap \langle b_2 \rangle = \{0\}$, that is to say if and only if $d = 1$. Finally, whenever $d > 1$, there is a unique integer $\ell \in [1, d-1]$ relatively prime to d such that $mb_1 = \ell m(n/d)b_2$.

We end by recalling the result on the Davenport constant for groups of the form $C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}$, which we mentioned in the introduction and that we need in the proof of our result on the multiwise Davenport constants.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $m, n \geq 1$ be two integers. Then*

$$D(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}) = 2m + 2mn.$$

The proof of the above result involved two parts. First, the claim was established conditionally on a result on the structure of the set of subsums of zero-sumfree sequences of maximal length over a group of rank two; this motivated the definition of the ν -invariant (see, e.g., [10, Definition 2.1]). Then, this property was established. The first part dates back to the very beginning of investigations of the Davenport constant (see [3]). The second part was only completed much later when Property B (and thus Property C) was established by Reiher [17] (see in particular Section 11). For further context, see for instance [7] or [20, Section 4.1].

3. NEW RESULTS

As mentioned in the introduction we investigate zero-sum constants for groups of rank three of the form $C_2 \oplus C_{n_2} \oplus C_{n_3}$ where $2 \mid n_2 \mid n_3$. For ease of notation we will use a different parametrization, namely $C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}$ with $m, n \geq 1$.

We determine $\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ for all $m, n \geq 1$, and $\mathfrak{s}(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ under the condition that $n = 1$ or m has Property D. We recall that the constants were known for $m = 1$, see [5, Theorem 1.2(1)] and [4, Theorem 1.3]; in this case even the inverse problem is solved [13]. Moreover, we determine $D_k(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ for all $k, m, n \geq 1$; as recalled the case $k = 1$ and the case $m = n = 1$ were known.

We will see that there is a quite significant difference between the two cases $n = 1$ and $n \neq 1$.

Our approach to determining $\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ is similar to the one used for the Davenport constant, which we recalled above. In particular, the property of the set of restricted subsums established in Lemma 4.3 resembles the property underlying the definition of the ν -invariant. The subsequent result on $\mathfrak{s}(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ is obtained by establishing Gao's conjecture for this group using a generalization of a well-known technique (see Lemma 4.4). The proof of the result for $D_k(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$ also uses the result on $\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ be two integers. Then*

$$\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}) = 6m + 2$$

and

$$\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}) = 4m + 2mn.$$

When $G \simeq C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}$ and m is a power of 2, G is a finite abelian 2-group such that

$$D(G) = 2 \exp(G) \text{ and } 2D(G) - \exp(G) < \eta(G),$$

thus showing that a recent result of Luo [16, Theorem 1.6] is optimal in the sense that for $2D(G) - \exp(G) = \eta(G)$ to hold, the condition $D(G) \leq 2 \exp(G) - 1$ in the statement of the theorem cannot be replaced by $D(G) \leq 2 \exp(G)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ be two integers. Then*

$$\mathfrak{s}(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}) = 8m + 1.$$

Moreover, if m has Property D, then

$$\mathfrak{s}(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}) = 4m + 4mn - 1.$$

In combination, the two results imply that Gao's Conjecture 2.2 holds true for these types of groups.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $m, n \geq 1$ be two integers. If $n = 1$ or m has Property D, then Conjecture 2.2 holds true for $C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}$.*

We end this section with our result on the multiwise Davenport constants.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $G \simeq C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}$, where $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. If $n \geq 2$, then $D_0(G) = 2m$ and $k_D(G) = 1$. If $n = 1$, then $D_0(G) = 2m + 1$ and $k_D(G) = 2$.*

Note that the case $n = 1$ extends to all $m \geq 1$ a result of Delorme, Ordaz and Quiroz [1, Lemma 3.7] stating that $D_0(C_2^3) = 3$ and $k_D(C_2^3) = 2$.

When $G \simeq C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}$, where $m \geq 1$, we have

$$D(G) = D(C_2 \oplus C_{2m}) + (2m - 1) \text{ and } \eta(G) > D(G) + 2m,$$

however $k_D(G) \geq 2$, thus showing that [6, Remark 5.3.2] is nearly optimal in the sense that for $k_D(G) = 1$ to hold, the condition $\eta(G) \leq D(G) + \exp(G)$ stated in this remark cannot be replaced by a much weaker inequality.

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we establish several auxiliary results. In some cases, we prove results which are slightly more general than what is needed for our immediate purpose, but we mostly focus on the needs of the present paper.

Our first lemma shows that extremal sequences with respect to the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant for groups of rank at most two are stable in the sense that changing a unique element cannot yield another extremal sequence. It could be interesting to consider this problem for more general groups, and to determine for specific groups the exact number of elements one has to change to get another extremal example.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$, where $m, n \geq 1$ are integers and m satisfies Property D. Let S_1, S_2 be sequences over H of length $s(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$. If $|\gcd(S_1, S_2)| \geq s(H) - 2$, then $S_1 = S_2$.*

Proof. Let $T = \gcd(S_1, S_2)$. By assumption, we have $S_1 = g_1T$ and $S_2 = g_2T$ with $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

First, assume that $m \geq 3$. We know by Theorem 2.6 that $v_g(S_i) \equiv m - 1 \pmod{m}$ for each $g \in \text{supp}(S_i)$. It thus follows that $v_{g_1}(T) \equiv m - 2 \pmod{m}$. Since this is non-zero it follows that $g_1 \in \text{supp}(S_2)$, and thus $v_{g_1}(S_2) \equiv m - 1 \pmod{m}$. Yet, $v_{g_1}(S_2) = v_{g_1}(g_2) + v_{g_1}(T)$. Since $v_{g_1}(T) \equiv m - 2 \pmod{m}$ we must have $v_{g_1}(g_2) \neq 0$, that is, $g_1 = g_2$. This proves the claim in the case $m \geq 3$.

Now, assume that $m = 2$. By Theorem 2.6, every sequence S over H containing no zero-sum subsequence of length $2n$ can be decomposed as

$$S = c^{2t-1}(b_1 + c)^{2(n+1-t)-1}(b_2 + c)^{2s-1}(-b_1 + b_2 + c)^{2(n+1-s)-1},$$

where $c \in H$, $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\text{ord}(b_2) = 2n$ and $s, t \in [1, n]$, such that either $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H or $s = t = n$. In particular, one has

$$\sigma\left(c^{2t-1}(b_1 + c)^{2(n+1-t)-1}\right) = -(2t - 1)b_1,$$

and

$$\sigma\left((b_2 + c)^{2s-1}(-b_1 + b_2 + c)^{2(n+1-s)-1}\right) = (2s - 1)b_1.$$

Therefore, either $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , in which case $\text{ord}(b_1) = 2$ (see the comments after Theorem 2.6 in Section 2) so that $\sigma(S) = b_1 - b_1 = 0$, or $s = t = n$, in which case $\sigma(S) = b_1 - b_1 = 0$ also. It thus follows in both cases that $g_1 + \sigma(T) = \sigma(S_1) = 0 = \sigma(S_2) = g_2 + \sigma(T)$, which yields $g_1 = g_2$ indeed.

Finally, let us consider the case $m = 1$. Then, we know by the results recalled before Theorem 2.4 that $v_g(S_i) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{n}$ for each $g \in \text{supp}(S_i)$. For $n \geq 3$ we can argue as in the case $m \geq 3$. For $n \leq 2$, that is for C_1 and C_2 , the claim is trivial as there is only one sequence of length $s(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$. \square

The analogous result for the η -constant holds true as well; we record it for its own sake.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ where $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. Let S_1, S_2 be sequences over H of length $\eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence. If $|\gcd(S_1, S_2)| \geq \eta(H) - 2$, then $S_1 = S_2$.*

Proof. For $m \geq 3$, the same argument as in Lemma 4.1 works. For $m = 2$, let S_1, S_2 be two sequences over H of length $\eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence and such that $|\gcd(S_1, S_2)| \geq \eta(H) - 2$. Since $S'_1 = S_1 0^{\exp(H)-1}$ and $S'_2 = S_2 0^{\exp(H)-1}$ are two sequences over H of length $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$ and such that $|\gcd(S_1, S_2)| \geq \mathfrak{s}(H) - 2$, Lemma 4.1 applies and yields $S'_1 = S'_2$, that is to say $S_1 = S_2$. Finally, for $m = 1$ and $n \geq 3$ the claim follows from the fact that a sequence over H of length $\eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence is of the form h^{n-1} for some generating element of $h \in H$ (recall the results before Theorem 2.4). The case $m = 1$ and $n \leq 2$ is trivial. \square

Next we obtain results on the set of restricted subsums of sequences that are extremal examples with respect to the η -constant and the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant. The result we obtain is reminiscent of the condition in the definition of the ν -invariant (see, e.g., [7]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $H \simeq C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ where m, n are positive integers and $n \geq 2$.*

- (1) *Let S be a sequence over H of length $|S| = \eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence. Then $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S) \supseteq H \setminus ((-k' + K) \cup \{0\})$ for a proper subgroup K and some $k' \notin K$.*
- (2) *Suppose that m has Property D. Let S be a sequence over H of length $|S| = \mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$. Then $\Sigma_{mn-2}(S) \supseteq H \setminus (-k' + K)$ for a proper subgroup K and some $k' \notin K$.*

The condition $n \geq 2$ is necessary. Indeed, the claim is not true for groups of the form C_m^2 . To see this it suffices to note that for $\{b_1, b_2\}$ an independent generating set and $S = b_1^{m-1} b_2^{m-1} (b_1 + b_2)^{m-1}$ the set $\Sigma_{\leq m-2}(S)$ contains no element of $-b_1 + \langle b_2 \rangle$ and $-b_2 + \langle b_1 \rangle$.

Proof. We first deal with the main case $m \geq 2$.

(1). Let S be a sequence over H of length $|S| = \eta(H) - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence. By Theorem 2.4 we know that

$$S = b_1^{m-1} b_2^{sm-1} (-xb_1 + b_2)^{(n+1-s)m-1}$$

where $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\text{ord}(b_2) = mn$, $s \in [1, n]$, $x \in [1, m]$ with $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ and either $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , or $s = n$ and $x = 1$.

Let $d \in [1, n]$ such that $\text{ord}(b_1) = md$ and, whenever $d > 1$, let $\ell \in [1, d-1]$ relatively prime to d such that $mb_1 = \ell m(n/d)b_2$; as recalled after Theorem 2.6 this is always possible.

We now distinguish the following three cases.

Case 1. $d = 1$, that is to say $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H . In particular, $mb_1 = 0$. Let $h = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$ with $a_1 \in [0, m-1]$ and $a_2 \in [0, mn-1]$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $h \notin \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ only if $a_2 = 0$ or $a_2 = mn - 1$, that is to say only if $h = 0$ or $h = -b_2$. If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \leq m - 1$, we observe that $h \in \Sigma_{a_1+a_2}(S)$ and $a_1 + a_2 \leq 2m - 2 \leq mn - 2$. Now, assume that $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \geq m$. Let

$v \in [1, m-1]$ be the unique integer such that $a_1 \equiv -vx \pmod{m}$. In particular, one has $v \leq m-1 < a_2$ so that $1 \leq a_2 - v \leq mn-2 < mn-1 = ms-1 + m(n-s)$. Therefore, there exists $q \in [0, n-s]$ such that $0 \leq a_2 - v - qm \leq ms-1$. Such an integer q readily satisfies $1 \leq v + qm \leq m-1 + m(n-s) = (n+1-s)m-1$. As a consequence,

$$S' = (-xb_1 + b_2)^{v+qm} b_2^{a_2-v-qm}$$

is a subsequence of S of length $|S'| = a_2$ such that

$$\sigma(S') = -vxb_1 + vb_2 - vb_2 - qx(mb_1) + qmb_2 - qmb_2 + a_2b_2 = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 = h,$$

so that $h \in \Sigma_{\leq a_2}(S)$ and the claim follows with $k' = b_2$ and $K = \langle b_1 \rangle$.

Case 2. $1 < d < n$, or $d = n$ and $\ell \geq 2$. Let $h = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$ with $a_1 \in [0, m-1]$ and $a_2 \in [0, mn-1]$. If $a_1 = 0$ then $h \notin \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ only if $a_2 = 0$ or $a_2 = mn-1$, that is to say only if $h = 0$ or $h = -b_2$. Now, assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. Then, either $a_1 + a_2 \leq mn-2$ in which case $h \in \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ or $a_2 \geq mn-1 - a_1 \geq mn-1 - (m-1) = m(n-1)$ so that

$$2mn-1-m \geq mn-1 + mn \frac{d-1}{d} \geq a_2 + \ell m \frac{n}{d} \geq m(n-1) + 2m = mn+m.$$

Therefore, setting $a'_2 = a_2 + \ell m(n/d) - mn$, we have $a'_2 \in [m, mn-2]$ and since $m - a_1 \in [1, m-1]$ we obtain that

$$S' = (-b_1 + b_2)^{m-a_1} b_2^{a'_2 - (m-a_1)}$$

is a subsequence of S of length $|S'| = a'_2 \leq mn-2$ verifying

$$\sigma(S') = a_1b_1 - mb_1 + a'_2b_2 = a_1b_1 - mb_1 + \ell m \frac{n}{d} b_2 + a_2b_2 = h,$$

so that $h \in \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ and the claim follows with $k' = b_2$ and $K = \{0\}$.

Case 3. $d = n$ and $\ell = 1$. In this case, $mb_1 = mb_2$. Let $h = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$ with $a_1 \in [0, m-1]$ and $a_2 \in [0, mn-1]$. If $a_1 = 0$ then $h \notin \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ only if $a_2 = 0$ or $a_2 = mn-1$, that is to say only if $h = 0$ or $h = -b_2$. Now, assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. Then, either $a_1 + a_2 \leq mn-2$ in which case $h \in \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ or $a_1 + a_2 = mn-1$ or $a_1 + a_2 \geq mn$ so that

$$2mn-2 \geq mn-1 + m-1 \geq a_1 + a_2 \geq mn.$$

Therefore, setting $a'_2 = a_1 + a_2 - mn$, we have $a'_2 \in [0, mn-2]$ and since $m - a_1 \in [1, m-1]$ we obtain that

$$S' = (-b_1 + b_2)^{m-a_1} b_2^{a'_2}$$

is a subsequence of S of length $|S'| = m - a_1 + a'_2 = m + a_2 - mn \leq m + mn - 1 - mn \leq m - 1$ verifying

$$\sigma(S') = a_1b_1 - mb_1 + mb_2 - a_1b_2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_2 = h,$$

so that $h \in \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(S)$ and the claim follows with $k' = b_2$ and $K = \langle b_1 - b_2 \rangle$.

(2). Suppose that m has Property D. Let S be a sequence over H of length $|S| = s(H) - 1$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$. By Theorem 2.6 we know that

$$S = c^{tm-1} (b_1 + c)^{(n+1-t)m-1} (b_2 + c)^{sm-1} (-xb_1 + b_2 + c)^{(n+1-s)m-1}$$

where $c \in H$, $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is a generating set of H with $\text{ord}(b_2) = mn$, $s, t \in [1, n]$, $x \in [1, m]$ with $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ and either $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , or $s = t = n$ and $x = 1$.

Since $\Sigma_{mn-2}(-c+S) = 2c + \Sigma_{mn-2}(S)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $c = 0$. We now distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1. If $\{b_1, b_2\}$ is an independent generating set of H , we see that $S = 0^{tm-1}b_1^{(n-t)m}T$, where T is a sequence of length $\eta(H) - 1$ that has no short zero-sum subsequence. By point (1), it follows that then $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T) \supseteq H \setminus ((-k' + K) \cup \{0\})$ for a proper subgroup K and some $k' \notin K$. Therefore, it suffices to assert that $\{0\} \cup \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T) \subseteq \Sigma_{mn-2}(0^{tm-1}b_1^{(n-t)m}T)$. Indeed, note that for each subsequence $T' \mid T$ of length at most $mn - 2 = mt - 1 + m(n - t) - 1$, and any integer $t' \in [0, n - t]$ such that

$$mn - 2 \geq |T'| + mt' \geq m(n - t) - 1,$$

we obtain

$$0 \leq mn - 2 - |T'| - mt' \leq mt - 1$$

so that, since $mb_1 = 0$ in this case, the sequence $0^{mn-2-|T'|-mt'}b_1^{mt'}T'$ is a subsequence of S of length $mn - 2$ with the same sum. The fact that we get 0 in addition to $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T)$ is due to the fact that T' can be chosen to be the empty sequence.

Case 2. If $s = t = n$ and $x = 1$, we see that $S = 0^{mn-1}T$, where T is a sequence of length $\eta(H) - 1$ that has no short zero-sum subsequence. By point (1), it follows that then $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T) \supseteq H \setminus ((-k' + K) \cup \{0\})$ for a proper subgroup K and some $k' \notin K$. We assert that $\{0\} \cup \Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T) \subseteq \Sigma_{mn-2}(0^{mn-1}T)$, then the claim is proved. As above, it suffices to note that for each subsequence T' of T , the sequence $0^{mn-2-|T'|}T'$ is a subsequence of S of length $mn - 2$ with the same sum. The fact that we get 0 in addition to $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(T)$ is due to the fact that T' can be chosen to be the empty sequence.

To finish the argument we consider the case $m = 1$. For assertion (1), we have a sequence S over H of length $|S| = \eta(H) - 1 = n - 1$ not containing any short zero-sum subsequence. By the results recalled before Theorem 2.4 we know that $S = b^{n-1}$ for some generating element b of H . It follows that $\Sigma_{\leq n-2}(S) = \{b, 2b, \dots, (n-2)b\}$. Thus the claim is established with $K = \{0\}$. For assertion (2), we have a sequence S over H of length $|S| = s(H) - 1 = 2n - 2$ not containing any zero-sum subsequence of length n . By the results recalled above we know that $S = c^{n-1}(c+b)^{n-1}$ for some generating element b of H . Without loss of generality we can assume that $c = 0$. It follows that $\Sigma_{n-2}(S) = \{0, b, 2b, \dots, (n-2)b\}$. Thus the claim is established with $K = \{0\}$. \square

The following lemma slightly develops a well-known technique useful to establish Conjecture 2.2; see, e.g., [9, Proposition 2.7] or [22, Proposition 2.3'] for earlier versions. We do not need the second part in this paper, but include it as it might be useful elsewhere. We note that the condition in the lemma is trivial for $\exp(G) \leq 4$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group. The following two statements hold.*

- (1) *Let S be a sequence over G of length $\eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1$. Let $C \mid S$ be a subsequence such that there exists some $h \in G$ with $jh \in \Sigma_j(C)$ for each $j \leq |C|$. If $|C| \geq \lfloor (\exp(G) - 1)/2 \rfloor$, then S has a zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G)$.*

- (2) Let S be a sequence over G of length $(\eta(G) - 1) + \exp(G) - 1$ that does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G)$. Let $C \mid S$ be a subsequence such that there exists some $h \in G$ with $jh \in \Sigma_j(C)$ for each $j \leq |C|$. If $|C| \geq \lfloor (\exp(G) - 1)/2 \rfloor$, then $-h + S$ has a subsequence of length $\eta(G) - 1$ without any short zero-sum subsequence.

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose that $h = 0$.

(1). Consider SC^{-1} . Let $T \mid SC^{-1}$ be a short zero-sum subsequence (or the empty sequence) of maximal length. If $|T| > \exp(G)/2$, then $|C| \geq \exp(G) - |T|$. Thus, C has a subsequence C' of length $\exp(G) - |T|$ with sum 0. Since $|TC'| = \exp(G)$ and its sum is 0, the argument is complete in this case. Consequently, we can assume that $|T| \leq \exp(G)/2$. It follows that $SC^{-1}T^{-1}$ has no short zero-sum subsequence. To see this it suffices to note that a short zero-sum subsequence T' would satisfy $|T'| \leq |T| \leq \exp(G)/2$ and thus TT' would also be a short zero-sum subsequence of SC^{-1} contradicting the maximality of T . If $|C| \geq \exp(G) - |T|$, then we get a zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G)$ as above. Thus, $|C| + |T| \leq \exp(G) - 1$ and thus $|SC^{-1}T^{-1}| \geq \eta(G)$, contradicting the fact that $SC^{-1}T^{-1}$ has no short zero-sum subsequence.

(2). The proof is similar to the first part. Consider SC^{-1} . Let $T \mid SC^{-1}$ be a short zero-sum subsequence (or the empty sequence) of maximal length. If $|T| > \exp(G)/2$ then, as in (1), C has a subsequence C' of length $\exp(G) - |T|$ with sum 0, yielding again a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $\exp(G)$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, we have $|T| \leq \exp(G)/2$. It follows that, as in (1), $SC^{-1}T^{-1}$ has no short zero-sum subsequence. If $|C| \geq \exp(G) - |T|$, then we get a contradiction as above. Thus, $|C| + |T| \leq \exp(G) - 1$ and thus $|SC^{-1}T^{-1}| \geq \eta(G) - 1$, establishing our claim. \square

5. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove our Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4. The proofs of the latter two will rely on the first.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We start by discussing the lower bounds. If $n = 1$, then [2, Proposition 3.1(3)] yields

$$\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}) \geq 2 + (2^2 - 1)(2m - 1 + 2 - 1) = 6m + 2,$$

and if $n \geq 2$, then [2, Lemma 3.2] gives

$$\eta(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}) \geq 2(\mathbf{D}(C_2 \oplus C_{2m}) - 1) + 2mn = 4m + 2mn.$$

We can now turn to the upper bounds. Let H be a subgroup of G isomorphic to $C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ such that G/H is isomorphic to C_2^3 . We apply the inductive method with

$$H \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/H.$$

First, suppose $n = 1$, that is, let $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}$. By [12, Proposition 5.7.11] and since $\eta(C_2^3) = 8$ and $\eta(C_m^2) = 3m - 2$, it follows that

$$\eta(G) \leq (\eta(H) - 1)\exp(G/H) + \eta(G/H) = (3m - 3)2 + 8 = 6m + 2.$$

Second, suppose $n \geq 2$. Let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = 4m + 2mn$. We have to show that S has a short zero-sum subsequence. Note that applying [12,

Proposition 5.7.11] yields only an upper bound of $2mn + 4m + 2$ and a more refined analysis is needed.

Since $4m + 2mn = 2(2m + mn - 4) + \eta(C_2^3)$, it follows that there exist $(2m + mn - 3)$ non-empty and disjoint subsequences of S , say, $S_1 \cdots S_{2m+mn-3} \mid S$, with $|S_i| \leq 2$ and $\sigma(\pi(S_i)) = 0$ for each i . Let T be the subsequence of S such that $S_1 \cdots S_{2m+mn-3}T = S$. We note that $|T| \geq 6$.

We observe that $R = \sigma(S_1) \cdots \sigma(S_{2m+mn-3})$ is a sequence over H of length $\eta(H) - 1$. If R has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of length at most mn , that is one that is short relative to H , we can complete the argument as follows. We note that if $\sum_{i \in I} \sigma(S_i) = 0$ for some $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, 2m + mn - 3]$ with at most mn elements, then $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ is a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of S of length at most $2|I| \leq 2mn$. Thus we assume R does not have a short zero-sum subsequence. This means that R fulfills the conditions of Lemma 4.3. Thus, we get that there exist a proper subgroup K of H and some $k' \in H \setminus K$ such that the complement (in H) of $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(R) \cup \{0\}$ is contained in $-k' + K$.

We continue by analyzing the sequence T . First, we note that we may assume that $\pi(T)$ does not have a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of length at most 2. Otherwise, let $S_0 \mid T$ with $1 \leq |S_0| \leq 2$ and we consider the sequence $\sigma(S_0)R$ over H that has length $\eta(H)$. Thus it has a short (relative to H) zero-sum subsequence. Using the same argument as above, this yields a short (relative to G) zero-sum subsequence of S .

Second, somewhat in the same vein, we note that for each $S_0 \mid T$ with $1 \leq |S_0| \leq 4$ such that $\pi(S_0)$ is a zero-sum sequence we may assume that $\sigma(S_0) \notin -(\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(R) \cup \{0\})$. To see this, just observe that otherwise we would get a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of $\sigma(S_0)R$ of length at most $mn - 1$, which contains $\sigma(S_0)$. This then establishes the existence of a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of S of length at most $|S_0| + 2(mn - 2) \leq 2mn$, that is to say a short zero-sum subsequence of S . Therefore, recalling what we know about $\Sigma_{\leq mn-2}(R) \cup \{0\}$, we get a short zero-sum subsequence of S unless $\sigma(S_0) \in k' + K$ for each $S_0 \mid T$ with $1 \leq |S_0| \leq 4$ and $\sigma(\pi(S_0)) = 0$.

It remains to show that $\sigma(S_0) \in k' + K$ for each $S_0 \mid T$ with $1 \leq |S_0| \leq 4$ and $\sigma(\pi(S_0)) = 0$ is impossible. We know that $|T| \geq 6$ and that $\pi(T)$ consists of distinct non-zero elements, as otherwise $\pi(T)$ would contain a non-empty zero-sum subsequence of length at most 2, which we excluded above. Fixing an appropriate independent generating set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of G/H we may assume that $\text{supp}(\pi(T))$ contains all non-zero elements except $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$. For $I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ with two elements, let $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$. We note that $\pi(T)$ has at least the following zero-sum subsequences of length at most 4: $V_k = e_i e_j e_{\{i,j\}}$, $V_0 = e_{\{1,2\}} e_{\{2,3\}} e_{\{1,3\}}$, and $V'_i = e_{\{i,j\}} e_{\{i,k\}} e_j e_k$ for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let T_i (respectively, T'_i) denote the subsequence of T whose image under π is V_i (respectively, V'_i). We want to show that at least one of these sequences $T_i^{(n)}$ has a sum that is not in $k' + K$. Assume to the contrary that the sum of each of these sequences is in $k' + K$. Now, note that $V_0 V_1 V_2 V_3 = V'_1 V'_2 V'_3$ and thus $T_0 T_1 T_2 T_3 = T'_1 T'_2 T'_3$. However, that yields $\sigma(T_0 T_1 T_2 T_3) \in 4k' + K$ while $\sigma(T'_1 T'_2 T'_3) \in 3k' + K$. Since $k' \notin K$, this is a contradiction. Thus, $\sigma(S_0) \in k' + K$ for each $S_0 \mid T$ with $1 \leq |S_0| \leq 4$ and $\sigma(\pi(S_0)) = 0$ is indeed impossible, and consequently S has a short zero-sum subsequence. \square

We continue with the proof of our result on the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since $\mathfrak{s}(G) \geq \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1$ for every finite abelian group G (see the remark before Conjecture 2.2), Theorem 3.1 readily yields the desired lower bounds. We now show that these bounds are indeed optimal. Our strategy is to obtain a situation in which we can invoke Lemma 4.4 and then apply Theorem 3.1. As already noted, we can always apply Lemma 4.4 if $\exp(G) \leq 4$. Thus, we assume that $mn > 2$.

Let H be a subgroup of $G \simeq C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2mn}$ isomorphic to $C_m \oplus C_{mn}$ such that G/H is isomorphic to C_2^3 . As before, we apply the inductive method with

$$H \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/H.$$

For $n = 1$, by [12, Proposition 5.7.11] and since $\mathfrak{s}(C_2^3) = 9$ and $\mathfrak{s}(C_m^2) = 4m - 3$, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq (\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1) \exp(G/H) + \mathfrak{s}(G/H) = (4m - 4)2 + 9 = 8m + 1.$$

Now, suppose $n \geq 2$ and m has Property D. Let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = 4m + 4mn - 1$. Assume for a contradiction that S has no zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G) = 2mn$.

Since $4m + 4mn - 1 = 2(2m + 2mn - 5) + \mathfrak{s}(C_2^3)$, it follows that there exist $(2m + 2mn - 4)$ disjoint subsequences of S , say, $S_1 \cdots S_{2m+2mn-4} \mid S$ with $|S_i| = 2$ and $\sigma(\pi(S_i)) = 0$ for each i . Let T be the subsequence of S such that $S_1 \cdots S_{2m+2mn-4} T = S$. We note that $|T| = 7$.

We observe that $R = \sigma(S_1) \cdots \sigma(S_{2m+2mn-4})$ is a sequence over H of length $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$. If R has a zero-sum subsequence of length mn we are done, since $\sum_{i \in I} \sigma(S_i) = 0$ for some $I \subseteq [1, 2m + mn - 4]$ with $|I| = mn$ implies that $\prod_{i \in I} S_i$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $2|I| = 2mn$. Thus, the assumption that S has no zero-sum subsequence of length $2mn$, implies that R does not have a zero-sum subsequence of length mn . Hence R fulfills the conditions of Lemma 4.3.

In addition, we note that if $\pi(T)$ still has a zero-sum subsequence of length 2, then we also get a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $2mn$. Thus, we get that $\pi(T)$ has no zero-sum subsequence of length 2.

We continue by establishing an auxiliary fact.

F. If $g \mid T$ and $h \mid S$ such that $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$, then $h = g$ or S contains a zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G)$.

Assume there are distinct g, h with $g \mid T$ and $h \mid S$ such that $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$. Since $\pi(T)$ does not contain a zero-sum subsequence of length 2, it follows that $h \nmid T$ and thus $h \mid S_i$ for some i , say $i = 1$. Then $R' = \sigma(gS_1h^{-1})\sigma(S_2) \cdots \sigma(S_{2m+2mn-4})$ is a sequence over H of length $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$. If this sequence contains a zero-sum subsequence of length mn , then as above S contains a zero-sum subsequence of length $2mn$. Thus, we know that it does not contain such a subsequence, and since we have $|\gcd(R, R')| \geq \mathfrak{s}(H) - 2$, Lemma 4.1 gives that $R = R'$. This means that $\sigma(gS_1h^{-1}) = \sigma(S_1)$, contradicting the assumption that g, h are distinct. This establishes **F**.

After these preparations, we proceed to show that the conditions of Lemma 4.4 are satisfied. For $r \in \text{supp}(R)$, let $I_r \subseteq [1, 2m + mn - 4]$ denote the set of all i such that $\sigma(S_i) = r$ and let $Q_r = \prod_{i \in I_r} S_i$. If for some $i \in I_r$ we have that S_i does not

contain two distinct elements, say $S_i = h_i^2$ for some $h_i \in G$, then it is not hard to see that $jh_i \in \Sigma_j(Q_r)$ for every $j \in [1, |Q_r|]$; just note that $\sigma(S_j) = r = 2h_i$ for every $j \in I_r$.

Let $v_r = \nu_r(R)$. We have $|Q_r| = 2v_r$. If $v_r \geq (n+1)m/2 - 1$, then we have $|Q_r| \geq mn + m - 2 \geq mn - 1 = \lfloor (\exp(G) - 1)/2 \rfloor$. Thus, if for such an r there is some $i \in I_r$ with $S_i = h_i^2$, then by Lemma 4.4 we would get a zero-sum subsequence of S of length $\exp(G) = 2mn$.

Since $\pi(S_i)$ is a zero-sum sequence of length 2 over $G/H \simeq C_2^3$ we have $\pi(S_i) = e^2$ for some $e \in G/H$. If we have $\pi(S_i) = e^2$ for some $e \mid \pi(T)$, then by **F** we know that $S_i = h_i^2$; this is because both elements of S_i are equal to the one corresponding element in T .

Thus, the only situation in which we cannot establish by **F** that there is some $i \in I_r$ with $S_i = h_i^2$ is that $\pi(Q_r) = e_0^{|Q_r|}$ where e_0 is the *unique* element of G/H not in $\pi(T)$.

Let $r, r' \in \text{supp}(R)$ be the two elements with the greatest multiplicity in R . We know that their respective multiplicities $v_r, v_{r'}$ are at least $(n+1)m/2 - 1$; and if $m = 1$ then $v_r = v_{r'} = n - 1$ (see the results recalled before Theorem 2.4). Suppose $\pi(Q_r) = e_0^{2v_r}$ where e_0 is the unique element of G/H not in $\pi(T)$, and likewise for r' . It suffices to show that there exists some $i \in I_r \cup I_{r'}$ such that $S_i = h_i^2$ for some $h_i \in G$.

We proceed to show this is always the case. Since $mn > 2$, we get that $v_r, v_{r'} \geq 2$. Let $i \in I_r$ and $i' \in I_{r'}$. Say $S_i = s_1 s_2$ and $S_{i'} = s'_1 s'_2$. Assume for a contradiction that each of these two sequences consists of two distinct elements. We have $\sigma(S_i) = s_1 + s_2 = r$ as well as $\sigma(S_{i'}) = s'_1 + s'_2 = r'$.

We can consider instead of $S_i = s_1 s_2$ and $S_{i'} = s'_1 s'_2$, the sequences $S'_i = s_1 s'_1$, $S'_{i'} = s_2 s'_2$. We set $S'_j = S_j$ for all $j \notin \{i, i'\}$. Then $R' = \sigma(S'_1) \cdots \sigma(S'_{2m+2mn-4})$ is a sequence over H of length $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$ and it has no zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H)$. Since $\text{supp}(R) \subseteq \text{supp}(R')$, the supports are in fact equal. If $m = 1$, it is immediate by the results recalled before Theorem 2.4 that $R = R'$. If $m > 1$, then since the multiplicity of each element in R is $m - 1 \pmod{m}$ and the same must be true for R' , it follows again that in fact $R = R'$. This means that $\{\sigma(S'_i), \sigma(S'_{i'})\} = \{r, r'\}$.

Likewise, we can consider instead of $S_i = s_1 s_2$ and $S_{i'} = s'_1 s'_2$, the sequences $S''_i = s_1 s'_2$, $S''_{i'} = s_2 s'_1$. And we set $S''_j = S_j$ for all $j \notin \{i, i'\}$. Again, it follows that $R = R''$. This means that $\{\sigma(S''_i), \sigma(S''_{i'})\} = \{r, r'\}$.

Since $\sigma(S'_i) \neq \sigma(S''_i)$, recall that we assumed $s'_1 \neq s'_2$, we get $\{\sigma(S'_i), \sigma(S''_i)\} = \{r, r'\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\sigma(S'_i) = r$. Then, we get $\sigma(S''_i) = r'$ and thus $\sigma(S''_{i'}) = r$. Since also $\sigma(S_i) = r$, we obtain $s_1 + s_2 = s_1 + s'_1 = s_2 + s'_1$ so that $s_2 = s'_1$ and $s_1 = s'_1$ and finally $s_1 = s_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

We end with the proof of our result on the multiwise Davenport constants.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. When $n \geq 2$, it follows from Theorems 2.3, 2.7 and 3.1 that

$$D(G) = D(C_2 \oplus C_{2m}) + (2mn - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(G) \leq D(G) + 2mn,$$

so that [12, Theorem 6.1.5(1)] (see also [1] and [15]) yields the desired result.

Now, assume that $n = 1$. If $k = 1$, Theorem 2.7 readily gives

$$D_1(G) = D(G) = 2m + 2mn.$$

If $k \geq 2$, let H be a subgroup of G isomorphic to C_m^2 such that G/H is isomorphic to C_2^3 . On the one hand, [1, Lemma 3.7] gives $D_0(C_2^3) = 3$, $k_D(C_2^3) = 2$. By Theorem 2.3,

$$D_k(C_m^2) = m + km - 1 \geq 2 = k_D(C_2^3).$$

Therefore, we have (the first inequality following from [1, Proposition 2.6], which can be proved using the inductive method)

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}) &\leq D_{D_k(H)}(G/H) \\ &= D_{D_k(C_m^2)}(C_2^3) \\ &= D_{(m(k+1)-1)}(C_2^3) \\ &= D_0(C_2^3) + 2(m + km - 1) \\ &= 3 + 2(m + km - 1) \\ &= (2m + 1) + k(2m). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be an independent generating set of G such that $\text{ord}(e_1) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(e_2) = \text{ord}(e_3) = 2m$. For $k \geq 2$, we consider the sequence

$$S_k = (e_2 + e_3)^{2(k-1)m-1} e_2^{2m-1} e_3^{2(m-1)} e_1(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_3).$$

We show that S_k does not contain k disjoint non-empty zero-sum subsequences. This yields $D_k(C_2 \oplus C_{2m} \oplus C_{2m}) > |S_k| = 2m + k(2m)$. We set

$$T = e_2^{2m-1} e_3^{2(m-1)} e_1(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_3) \mid S_k,$$

and

$$U = e_1(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_3) \mid T.$$

Now, suppose we have $A_1 \cdots A_k \mid S_k$ where A_1, \dots, A_k are k non-empty zero-sum subsequences. Since every non-empty zero-sum sequence is a product of minimal zero-sum subsequences, we can assume that A_i is minimal for every $i \in [1, k]$.

For every $i \in [1, k]$, we set $k_i = \nu_{e_2+e_3}(A_i) \in [0, 2m]$ and $A'_i = A_i((e_2 + e_3)^{k_i})^{-1} \mid T$. If A'_i does not contain any element of U then $A'_i = e_2^{2m-k_i} e_3^{2m-k_i}$ so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i$. If A'_i contains at least one of the elements of U then it contains exactly two or four of them. Therefore, we obtain the following seven cases:

- $A'_i = e_1(e_1 + e_2)e_2^{2m-(k_i+1)} e_3^{2m-k_i}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i + 1$.
- $A'_i = e_1(e_1 + e_3)e_2^{2m-k_i} e_3^{2m-(k_i+1)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i + 1$.
- $A'_i = e_1(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)e_2^{2m-(k_i+1)} e_3^{2m-(k_i+1)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i$.
- $A'_i = (e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_3)e_2^{2m-(k_i+1)} e_3^{2m-(k_i+1)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i$.
- $A'_i = e_1(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_3)e_2^{2m-(k_i+2)} e_3^{2m-(k_i+2)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i$.
- $A'_i = (e_1 + e_2)(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)e_2^{2m-(k_i+2)} e_3^{2m-(k_i+1)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i - 1$.
- $A'_i = (e_1 + e_3)(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)e_2^{2m-(k_i+2)} e_3^{2m-(k_i+1)}$, so that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i - 1$.

Note that since $v_{e_1+e_2+e_3}(S_k) = 1$ and A_1, \dots, A_k are disjoint, there is at most one $i \in [1, k]$ such that $|A_i| = 4m - k_i - 1$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k |A_i| &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k (4m - k_i) - 1 \\ &= 2k(2m) - \sum_{i=1}^k k_i - 1 \\ &\geq 2k(2m) - v_{e_2+e_3}(S_k) - 1 \\ &= 2k(2m) - (2(k-1)m - 1) - 1 \\ &= 2m + k(2m) \\ &= |S_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $A_1 \cdots A_k = S_k$. Yet, then $0 = \sigma(A_1 \cdots A_k) = \sigma(S_k) = -e_3$, a contradiction. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for detailed remarks which allowed for better accuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. DELORME, O. ORDAZ AND D. QUIROZ *Some remarks on Davenport constant*, Discrete Math. **237** (1-3) (2001), 119-128.
- [2] Y. EDEL, C. ELSHOLTZ, A. GEROLDINGER, S. KUBERTIN AND L. RACKHAM *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps*, Q. J. Math. **58** (2) (2007), 159-186.
- [3] P. VAN EMDE BOAS *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups II*, Reports ZW-1969-007, Math. Centre, Amsterdam (1969).
- [4] Y. FAN, W. GAO, J. PENG, L. WANG AND Q. ZHONG *Remarks on tiny zero-sum sequences*, Integers **13** (2013), #A52.
- [5] Y. FAN AND Q. ZHONG *On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant of groups of the form $C_2^r \oplus C_n$* , Int. J. Number Theory **12** (4) (2016), 913-943.
- [6] M. FREEZE AND W. A. SCHMID *Remarks on a generalization of the Davenport constant*, Discrete Math. **310** (23) (2010), 3373-3389.
- [7] W. D. GAO *On Davenport's constant of finite abelian groups with rank three*, Discrete Math. **222** (2000), 111-124.
- [8] W. D. GAO *Two zero-sum problems and multiple properties*, J. Number Theory **81** (2) (2000), 254-265.
- [9] W. D. GAO *On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size II*, Discrete Math. **271** (1-3) (2003), 51-59.
- [10] W. D. GAO AND A. GEROLDINGER *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*, Expo. Math. **24** (2006), 337-369.
- [11] A. GEROLDINGER *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, In A. Geroldinger and I. Ruzsa, *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics, CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser (2009), 1-86.
- [12] A. GEROLDINGER AND F. HALTER-KOCH *Non-unique factorizations. Algebraic, combinatorial and analytic theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **278**, Chapman & Hall/CRC (2006).
- [13] B. GIRARD AND W. A. SCHMID *Inverse zero-sum problems for certain groups of rank three*, arXiv:1809.03178 [math.NT]
- [14] D. GRYNKIEWICZ *Structural Additive Theory*, Springer (2013).
- [15] F. HALTER-KOCH *A generalization of Davenport's constant and its arithmetical applications*, Colloq. Math. **63** (2) (1992), 203-210.
- [16] S. LUO *Short zero-sum sequences over abelian p -groups of large exponent*, J. Number Theory **177** (2017), 28-36.

- [17] C. REIHER *A proof of the theorem according to which every prime number possesses property B*, doctoral thesis, University of Rostock, Germany (2010).
- [18] S. SAVCHEV AND F. CHEN *Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups*, Discrete Math. **307** (2007), 2671-2679.
- [19] S. SAVCHEV AND F. CHEN *Long n -zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 1-8.
- [20] W. A. SCHMID *The inverse problem associated to the Davenport constant for $C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ and applications to the arithmetical characterization of class groups*, Electron. J. Combin. **18** (1) (2011), #P33.
- [21] W. A. SCHMID *Restricted inverse zero-sum problems in groups of rank 2*, Q. J. Math. **63** (2) (2012), 477-487.
- [22] B. SURY AND R. THANGADURAI *Gao's conjecture on zero-sum sequences*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **112** (3) (2002), 399-414.

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT, CNRS, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, IMJ-PRG, F-75005, PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: `benjamin.girard@imj-prg.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13, SORBONNE PARIS CITÉ, LAGA, CNRS, UMR 7539, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 8, F-93430, VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE, AND LABORATOIRE ANALYSE, GÉOMÉTRIE ET APPLICATIONS (LAGA, UMR 7539), COMUE UNIVERSITÉ PARIS LUMIÈRES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 8, CNRS, 93526 SAINT-DENIS CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `schmid@math.univ-paris13.fr`