

All-Optical Reservoir Computing on a Photonic Chip Using Silicon-Based Ring Resonators

Florian Denis-Le Coarer, Marc Sciamanna, Andrew Katumba, Matthias Freiberger, Joni Dambre, Peter Bienstman, Damien Rontani

► To cite this version:

Florian Denis-Le Coarer, Marc Sciamanna, Andrew Katumba, Matthias Freiberger, Joni Dambre, et al.. All-Optical Reservoir Computing on a Photonic Chip Using Silicon-Based Ring Resonators. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, 2018, 24 (6), pp.1 - 8. 10.1109/JSTQE.2018.2836985. hal-01810711

HAL Id: hal-01810711 https://hal.science/hal-01810711v1

Submitted on 8 Jun 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

All-optical reservoir computing on a photonic chip using silicon-based ring resonators

Florian Denis-le Coarer, Marc Sciamanna, Andrew Katumba, Matthias Freiberger, Joni Dambre, Peter Bienstman and Damien Rontani

Abstract—We present in our work numerical results on the performance of a 4×4 swirl-topology photonic reservoir integrated on a silicon chip. Nonlinear microring resonators are used as nodes. We analyse the performance of such a reservoir on a classical nonlinear boolean task (the delayed XOR task) for (i) various designs of the reservoir in terms of lengths of the waveguides between consecutive nodes, and (ii) various injection parameters (injected power and optical detuning). From this analysis, we find that this kind of reservoir can perform - for a large variety of parameters - the delayed XOR task at 20 Gb/s with bit error rates lower than 10^{-3} , and an averaged injection power lower than 2.5 mW.

Index Terms—Reservoir computing, Silicon photonics, Ring resonators.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of machine learning solutions in the physical layer appears as a promising approach to address the new challenges brought by the increasing amount of data to process [1]. Compared to existing software-based solutions, dedicated hardware platforms allow to process data at higher speed and better energy efficiency [2], even enabling real-time computation [3]. Amongst the existing machine-learning approaches, reservoir computing - a supervised learning technique that appeared a decade ago - has focused a lot of attention [4]–[6]. This is mainly due to its relatively straightforward implementation, both in software and hardware, and a simple training procedure. As a result, this concept has displayed state-of-the-art performance on various hardware platforms [7]–[9], including photonics ([10] and references therein).

Photonics reservoir computing (PRC) is a candidate technology that has attracted lots of attention in the last few

F. Denis-le Coarer, M. Sciamanna, and D. Rontani are with the Chair in Photonics, LMOPS EA 4423 laboratory, CentraleSupélec, Univ. of Paris-Saclay, and Univ. of Lorraine, 57070 Metz, France. (e-mail: florian.denis@centralesupelec.fr; marc.sciamanna@centralesupelec.fr; damien.rontani@centralesupelec.fr)

A. Katumba, and P. Bienstman are with the Photonic research group, Ghent University - IMEC, Technologiepark Zwijnaarde 15, 9052 Ghent, Zwinjnaarde, Belgium. (e-mail: andrew.katumba@intec.ugent.be; peter.bienstman@ugent.be)

M. Freiberger, and J.Dambre are with the IDLab, Ghent University - IMEC, Technologiepark Zwijnaarde 15, 9052 Ghent, Zwinjnaarde, Belgium. (e-mail : matthias.freiberger@ugent.be; joni.dambre@ugent.be) years [11]–[24], due to its ability to perform typical tasks of artificial neural-networks : emulation of simple boolean operations [23], pattern generation [24], chaotic time series prediction [17], or bit-sequences recognition [20]. Multiple photonic implementations have been proposed and they include a single nonlinear node with delayed feedback such as optoelectronic oscillators [11] and laser diode with optical feedback [12]; coupled photonic crystal cavities [24], integrated photonic reservoirs using passive nodes made of delay lines and splitters [22], [23], networks of semiconductor optical amplifiers [19], or networks of InGaAsP/InP-based ring resonators [20].

In this work, we propose a novel photonics architecture of reservoir computing integrated on a silicon chip, using Silicon-on-Insulator (SOI) microring (MR) resonators as nonlinear nodes. This integrated element exhibits rich nonlinear dynamical behaviors [25]–[31]. SOI microrings resonators are mostly used as optical filters [32], but can also be integrated in more complex architectures and perform other types of alloptical information processing such as boolean functions [33], thresholding [34], pulse restoration [35], or ASK-to-PSK conversion [36].

We build here a 4×4 swirl reservoir topology using SOI microring resonators as nodes. We perform an in-depth numerical analysis of the performance of such a reservoir and investigate the impact of new degrees of freedom, namely the injected power, the optical detuning, and possible resonance mismatches between the microring resonators. The performance of the reservoir architecture is based on the typical delayed XOR task by quantifying the bit-error rate (BER). We compare the performance of our reservoir with those of a similar topology, but using linear nodes made of waveguides, splitters, and combiners [22], [23]. We demonstrate that our architecture can reach BER level comparable to those of the passive reservoir ($< 10^{-3}$) at data rate of 20 Gb/s, and over a wide range of design parameters. Furthermore, the power consumption required to reach this level of performance using Return-to-Zero (RZ) input signal is only 2.4 mW (0.15 mW per node), which is in the same order of magnitude than the power used in the previous design, where Non-Return-to-Zero (NRZ) input signals were necessary to perform at best.

This work is organised as follows. We first describe the theoretical reservoir model used in our numerical simulation, and the physical model of a SOI nonlinear microring resonator, which is the building block of the reservoir. Then after that, from an analysis of the stability of a nonlinear ring resonator, we study the optimum parameters of injection (power injected in each node, and optical detuning) for the reservoir in order

M.S., D.R., and F.D. acknowledge the support of the Fondation Supélec, Préfecture de Région Grand-Est, Région Grand-Est, Metz Métropole, Département de la Moselle, AIRBUS GDI Simulation, through the funding of the Chair in Photonics and PIANO project. D.R acnowledges the support of AFOSR (grant No. FA-9550-15-1-0279 and FA9550-17-1-0072). All the authors acknowledge the financial support of the BELSPO IAP P7-35 program Photonics@be and the EU Horizon 2020 PHRESCO Grant (Grant No. 688579). (Corresponding authors : Florian Denis-le Coarer and Damien Rontani)

to get the best performance. Then we present the simulated performance of the new reservoir architecture studied in this work. Finally, a last section is devoted to discussions and conclusions.

II. RESERVOIR MODEL

The photonic reservoir is a 16-node (4×4) swirl reservoir in which each node is a nonlinear microring resonator (see [25], [26] and the following section). The swirl topology - introduced in [22], [23] - allows sufficient mixing of the input signals while satisfying to the planarity constraint of an integrated implementation and minimizing the power losses in the structure at each combiner. The connections between neighbouring nodes are ensured by long waveguides, which introduce a non-negligible inter-delay due to the finite-time propagation of optical signals. We depict in Fig. 1 a schematic of the integrated photonic reservoir studied in this work.

The reservoir model is given by Eqs. (1) - (2):

$$\mathbf{x}[k+1] = f\left(\mathbf{x}[k], \mathbf{W}_{res}\mathbf{x}[k] + \mathbf{W}_{in}\left(\mathbf{u}[k+1] + u_{bias}\right)\right), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{out}[k] = \mathbf{W}_{out} \mathbf{x}_{detector}[k]. \tag{2}$$

Equation (1) is the reservoir state update equation, where **x** is the state of the reservoir; f is a nonlinear vector field to account for the nonlinear behaviour of the reservoir nodes and **u** is the input signal to the reservoir. u_{bias} is a bias signal applied to the nodes of the reservoir, that can be non-zero in the case of NRZ signals, or - as in our case - zero for RZ signals. \mathbf{W}_{res} is the interconnection matrix, that represents the connections between the nodes of the reservoir, and taking into account splitting ratios, losses, and random phase shifts uniformly distributed on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Finally, \mathbf{W}_{in} is the input matrix, representing the input weight on each node. In our architecture, we inject the same power modulation in all the active nodes with random phase shifts, hence \mathbf{W}_{in} is a 16×16 diagonal matrix with random elements sampled from a uniform distribution over the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Equation (2) gives the output \mathbf{y}_{out} of the reservoir. $\mathbf{x}_{detector}$ are the states of the reservoir after the detectors, and \mathbf{W}_{out} is the readout matrix comprising the output weights that need to be determined through training by a ridge regression.

The detector used in our simulations is the same as the one used in previous work [23] and its model is based on the Alphalas UPD-15-IR2-FC photodetector. This takes into



Fig. 1. Illustration the 4×4 swirl topology of the photonics reservoir under investigation. Each node is a nonlinear microring resonator. Nodes are linked by waveguides with typical losses of 3.0 dB/cm.

account the bandwidth limitation of the detector (modelled by a low-pass filter with a 3 dB cutoff), the response-time limitations, the responsivity, and various noise contributions, including shot noise and thermal noise. The total noise σ_n^2 is given by Eq. (3):

$$\sigma_n^2 = 2qB\left(\langle I \rangle + \langle I_d \rangle\right) + \frac{4k_BTB}{R_L},\tag{3}$$

where B is the bandwidth (B = 25 GHz), $\langle I \rangle$ and $\langle I_d \rangle$ are respectively the mean value of the photocurrent and the dark current ($\langle I_d \rangle = 0.1$ nA), q is the elementary particle charge, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature (in K), and R_L is the load impedance ($R_L = 50 \ \Omega$). The mean value of the photocurrent is calculated from $\langle I \rangle = r \cdot NEP \cdot \sqrt{B}$ and the values given in the datasheet of the photodetector : the responsivity r = 0.5 A/W, and the noise equivalent power ($NEP = 10^{-15}$ W/ \sqrt{Hz}).

III. SINGLE NODE OF THE RESERVOIR

A. Nonlinear microring resonator

We present in this section the detailed model of a nonlinear microring resonator, used as one of the building blocks of our reservoir architecture, and shown in Fig. 2. The theoretical framework we use is based on the well-established coupled-mode theory (CMT). The model described in our work has already been proposed and was able to correctly describe for the SOI microrings a wide range of dynamical behaviors observed experimentally [25], [26].

The input/output relation is given in Eq. (4), in which s_{in} is the input signal (with $P_{in} = |s_{in}|^2$ the input power), s_{out} the output signal (with $P_{out} = |s_{out}|^2$ the output power), ϕ_c the phase propagation in the bus waveguide, κ the coupling between the bus waveguide and the microring, and a the complex amplitude of the optical mode in the cavity (with $|a|^2$ the energy in the cavity).

$$s_{out} = e^{j\phi_c}s_{in} + \kappa a. \tag{4}$$

The state variables of the SOI nonlinear microring resonator within the CMT-framework are : a the complex amplitude of the optical mode, ΔT the mode-averaged temperature difference between the circular waveguide of the microring and its surroundings, and N the number of free carriers. These variables account for the physical effects taking place in a nonlinear microring resonator : specifically, (i) the two-photon absorption (TPA), which generates free carriers; (ii) the free



Fig. 2. Illustration of a SOI microring resonator as a nonlineaer node of the reservoir. The node is a two-ports photonics component integrated on silicon.

carrier absorption (FCA) (*i.e.*) absorption of light by the free carriers; (iii) the free carrier dispersion (FCD) and (iv) losses.

The nonlinear dynamical equations controlling the temporal evolution of the three state variables are given in Eqs. (5)-(7), with typical time scales $\tau_a \approx 21$ ps, $\tau_{th} = 65$ ns, and $\tau_{fc} = 5.3$ ns.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[j\left(\omega_r + \delta\omega_{nl} - \omega\right) - \frac{\gamma_{loss}}{2}\right]a + \kappa s_{in},\qquad(5)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta T}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\Delta T}{\tau_{th}} + \frac{\Gamma_{th}\gamma_{abs}|a|^2}{\rho_{Si}c_{p,Si}V_{th}},\tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{N}{\tau_{fc}} + \frac{\Gamma_{FCA}\beta_{Si}c^2|a|^4}{2\hbar\omega V_{FCA}^2 n_a^2},\tag{7}$$

where $\omega = 2\pi c/\lambda$ and $\omega_r = 2\pi c/\lambda_r$ with $\lambda_r = 1552.770$ nm are the frequency of the input light and the resonance frequency of the ring, respectively. The relaxation times for the temperature variations and the free carriers are respectively given by τ_{th} and τ_{fc} . TPA in silicon is governed by the constant β_{Si} . n_{Si} , $c_{p,Si}$, and ρ_{Si} , which are the refractive index, the thermal capacity, and the density of the bulk silicon, respectively. We neglect dispersion, thus the group index n_g is equal to n_{Si} . We also define the effective volumes and confinements for each nonlinear effect : V_{FCA} , Γ_{FCA} , V_{TPA} , and Γ_{TPA} .

Losses also play an important role, as they introduce coupling between the three state variables. The total loss γ_{loss} results from the sum of absorption losses γ_{abs} , coupling losses into the waveguide γ_{coup} (with $\kappa = j\sqrt{\gamma_{coup}e^{j\phi_c}}$), and radiation losses γ_{rad} . The absorption losses in the ring are due to linear surface absorption, TPA, and FCA, as presented in Eq. (8) :

$$\gamma_{abs} = \gamma_{abs,lin} + \Gamma_{TPA} \frac{\beta_{Si} c^2 |a|^2}{n_q^2 V_{TPA}} + \Gamma_{FCA} \frac{\sigma_{Si} c}{n_g} N, \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma_{abs,lin}$ is the linear absorption constant, and σ_{Si} is the absorption cross section of FCA in silicon. In the case of a critically coupled ring, we also have $\gamma_{coup} = \gamma_{abs,lin} + \gamma_{rad}$.

Finally, we give in Eq. (9) the expression of the nonlinear detuning $\delta \omega_{nl}$, that is caused by the thermo-optic effect and FCD, while the Kerr-effect is here neglected :

$$\delta\omega_{nl} = -\frac{\omega_r}{n_g} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{Si}}{\mathrm{d}T} \Delta T + \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{Si}}{\mathrm{d}N} N \right). \tag{9}$$

As for any optical injection study, the two parameters of interest are the input power $P_{in} = |s_{in}|^2$, and the wavelength difference between the injected light and the resonance wavelength of the nonlinear microring resonator, that is the optical detuning $\delta \lambda = \lambda - \lambda_r$. For the other parameters of the model, we use the typical numerical values listed in table I([25], [26]). These values will be later used in all our numerical simulations.

IV. OPERATING POINT OF THE RESERVOIR

It is necessary to choose an operating point of the reservoir to achieve a good level of performance to solve complex tasks. It was demonstrated that an adequate operating point for a reservoir is a fixed point, close to instabilities in order

TABLE I PARAMETERS VALUES USED IN THE SIMULATIONS OF THE MICRORING MODEL, ADAPTED FROM [25], [26].

Parameter	Value
β_{Si}	$8.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m} \cdot \text{W}^{-1}$
dn_{si}/dT	$1.86 \times 10^{-4} \text{ K}^{-1}$
dn_{si}/dN	$-1.73 \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^3$
σ_{Si}	10^{-21} m^2
ρ_{Si}	$2.33 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$
$c_{p,Si}$	$0.7 \ J \cdot g^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$
$n_g = n_{Si}$	3.476
η_{lin}	0.4
$\gamma_{abs,lin}$	$^{2\eta_{lin}}/_{205} \text{ ps}^{-1}$
γ_{coup}	$^{2}/_{205} \text{ ps}^{-1}$
$ au_{th}$	65 ns
$ au_{fc}$	5.3 ns
Γ_{th}	0.9355
Γ_{TPA}	0.9964
Γ_{FCA}	0.9996
V_{th}	$3.19 \ \mu m^3$
V_{TPA}	$2.59 \ \mu m^3$
V_{FCA}	$2.36 \ \mu m^3$

to maximize the complexity of the transient to the steadystate [37]. We make the simple assumption that the reservoir will be in a steady state if a single node of the reservoir is on a fixed point. This is a reasonable assumption because of the weak linear optical coupling due to the losses induced by the waveguides (3 dB/cm), the splitters (3 dB for each splitter), and the combiners (3 dB for each combiner).

Hence, we first simulate a single, uncoupled, nonlinear microring resonator subjected to steps of optical power between $P_{in_0} = 0$ mW and several maximum values P_{in_1} . The simulations are performed as follow : we integrate the CMT-model of the nonlinear microring resonator (see [25], [26] for the equations and the parameters values) over 2.5 μ s with a power step from $P_{in_0} = 0$ mW to the value of P_{in_1} at t = 100 ns. We use an Euler integration method with a 1.0 ps integration time step, and a 10.0 ps sampling time. These simulations are performed using the Caphe software environment [38].

We then extract from the time series the consecutive extrema for each value of the maximum input power, after deleting the transients. We plot the extrema for each value of the maximum input power at different values of the optical detuning, and obtain the bifurcation diagrams shown in Figs. 3(a)-(c), for respectively (a) $\delta \lambda = 0$ pm, (b) $\delta \lambda = -50$ pm, and (c) $\delta \lambda =$ 50 pm.

Figure 3(a) shows the output power of a microring resonator with an optical detuning $\delta \lambda = 0$ pm, which is a fixed point for $P_{in_1} < 0.52$ mW, and a self-pulsation (SP) for $P_{in_1} > 0.54$ mW. For an optical detuning $\delta \lambda = -50$ pm (see Fig. 3(b)), the output power is always a fixed point for $P_{in_1} < 2.0$ mW. Finally, we see in Fig. 3(c) that, for an optical detuning $\delta \lambda = 50$ pm, the output power is stationary for $P_{in_1} < 0.38$ mW, and a self-pulsating for $P_{in_1} > 0.40$ mW.

From these bifurcation diagrams, we identify an operating point for the reservoir in terms of power amplitude modulation, for each value of the optical detuning. For $\delta \lambda = 0$ pm, we choose $P_{in_1} = 0.5$ mW (close to the SP bifurcation point).



Fig. 3. (a-c) Bifurcation diagrams of a single nonlinear microring resonator. The bifurcation parameter is the injected power P_{in} (in mW), and we give the diagrams for various values of the optical detuning. (a) $\delta \lambda = 0$ pm, (b) $\delta \lambda = -50$ pm, and (c) $\delta \lambda = 50$ pm. (d) Stability map of a nonlinear microring resonator in the $(\delta \lambda, P_{in})$ plane. Figure adapted from [25], [26], using continuation techniques.

For $\delta \lambda = -50$ pm, any value of the injected power is possible, but we also choose $P_{in_1} = 0.5$ mW to guarantee low levels of energy consumption. Finally, for $\delta \lambda = 50$ pm, we choose $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW.

Finally, in Fig. **3(d)**, we present a theoretically obtained stability map of a nonlinear microring resonator. This shows the ring's dynamical behavior in the optical detuning/injected power plane, and for a given set of injection parameters. We find three different regions associated to stable fixed points, self-pulsing, and bistability when two different states can be reached depending of the initial conditions. Each region is delimited by bifurcation points : two saddle-node and a supercritical Hopf bifurcation for the bistable and self-pulsing region, respectively. Note that this map was originally presented in a normalized parameter plane [25], [26], but we have recomputed it with continuation techniques and reformatted it with respect to our parameters of interest.

With Fig. 3(d), it is possible to extract the information of Figs. 3(a-c) for any optical detuning; thus finding the value of injected power for which the microring is on a fixed point close to self-pulsing. This allows to set an optimal operating parameter conditions for the reservoir.

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS : METHODS & PERFORMANCE

A. Simulation methods

We obtain the reservoir states through the simulation, using the Caphe photonic circuit simulator [38], of the 4×4 (16 nodes) swirl reservoir, described by Eqs. (1) and (2), using nonlinear microring resonators as nodes.

The performance of the reservoir is measured on the delayed XOR task, as defined in Eq. (10). The current output bit y[n] for this task is the Boolean XOR operation between the current input bit x[n] with the bit that is n_{delay} bits in the past $x[n - n_{delay}]$. This task is considered as the most difficult two-bits binary delayed task, due to the nonlinear separability in machine learning terms [23]. In our simulations, we always assume $n_{delay} = 1$.

$$y[n] = x[n] \oplus x[n - n_{delay}].$$
 (10)

The bit stream fed into the reservoir consists of 20,000 randomly chosen bits. The training of the linear readouts is performed using regularized ridge regression on 16,000 bits, using the scikit-learn library [39]. The testing is done on the 4,000 remaining bits, for a regularization parameter chosen using the best case from a five-fold cross-validation. We report the error rates on the test data, hence the minimum measurable error rate is 2.5×10^{-4} . Multiple-input simulations are performed with the same bit stream injected simultaneously with the same input power weights on all 16-nodes. For the readout layer, we also use the discrete states $x_{detector}$ of all 16-nodes to perform the training and the testing of the reservoir. We use in all our performance simulations a sampling rate of 160 Gb/s.

In this work, we investigate the optimal design of the reservoir in terms of interconnection lengths, for a fixed data rate. Hence, we will plot the reservoir performance as a function of the reservoir inter-delay, that is the time the light needs to travel in the waveguide from one node to the next. The length L of the bus waveguide between two consecutive nodes can then be obtained through Eq. (11), where $n_{Si} = 3.476$ is the refractive index of the bulk silicon, and t_{delay} is the reservoir inter-delay.

$$L = \frac{c \times t_{delay}}{n_{Si}}.$$
 (11)

The section is organised as follows : we present the performance of the 16-nodes reservoir when focusing alternatively on the influence of the bit rate, the optical detuning and the power modulation.

B. Performance : influence of the bit rate

The reservoir performance is plotted in Fig. 4. We focus on the influence of the data rate, and give the performance as a function of the reservoir inter-delay at 10 Gb/s (black dots), 15 Gb/s (red squares), 20 Gb/s (blue triangles), and 30 Gb/s (green diamonds), respectively. In order to compare with previous work, we give in Fig. 4(**a**) the performance of the fully passive reservoir of [22], [23], and in Fig. 4(**b**) the performance of the reservoir using nonlinear microring resonators as nodes (called MR-reservoir for clarity purposes).

In the case of the passive reservoir (Fig. 4(a)), the bit stream is fed on all nodes through a power modulation from $P_{in_0} = 0.1$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.2$ mW. In the MR-reservoir (Fig. 4(b)), we fix the optical detuning at $\delta \lambda = 50.0$ pm, and we modulate the injected power between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW, according to the optimal injection parameter conditions determined previously. In this reservoir, all microrings have the same resonance frequency, and we have used the photodetector model previously described.

The results presented in this figure suggest that the reservoir with nonlinear microrings as nodes can perform the typical delayed XOR task with error rates about $2.5 \ 10^{-4}$ (lowest achievable value with the number of bit used in testing) for various values of the inter-delay at high bit rates. We also see that the range of inter-delay values, where the reservoir performs at its best, is slightly greater for lower bit rates. This is similar to the passive reservoir (Fig. 4), but our architecture



Fig. 4. Error rate - for the XOR task - as a function of the reservoir interdelay for various bit rates : 10 Gb/s (black dots), 15 Gb/s (red squares), 20 Gb/s (blue triangles), and 30 Gb/s (green diamonds). Comparison between (a) the passive reservoir of [22], [23], and (b) the dynamically active reservoir. (a) : we modulate the injected power between $P_{in_0} = 0.1$ mW and $P_{in_1} =$ 0.2 mW. (b) : the optical detuning is $\delta\lambda = 50.0$ pm, and we modulate the injected power between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW. The minimum acceptable error rate is 2.5×10^{-4} .

can achieve lower error rates. We notice also a reduced range of inter-delay values for the best performance compared to a passive reservoir. This is most likely due to the internal time scale of the optical mode $\tau_a \approx 20$ ps in the microring resonator model. This time scale is close to the optimal value of inter-delay in term of reservoir performance.

We present also in Fig. 5(a) normalized time series generated by four nodes of the reservoir, along with the input power



Fig. 5. (a) Time series of four different nodes of the reservoir for the following injection conditions (black line) : an optical detuning $\delta \lambda = 50.0$ pm, a power modulation between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW at 20 Gb/s, and an inter-delay $t_{interdelay} = 18.75$ ps. (b) Desired output (green curve), trained output of the reservoir (blue curve), and decision threshold (red line) for the same injection parameters. These parameters correspond to an optimal value of the error rate of Fig. 4.

in each node. These time series are obtained for the simulation of the MR-reservoir for an optical detuning $\delta\lambda = 50.0$ pm, a power modulation comprised between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW, and an inter-delay $t_{interdelay} = 18.75$ ps. This injection point corresponds to a optimal of the error rate in Fig. 4(b). Finally, in Fig. 5(b), we show the output of the trained reservoir for the same injection parameters as in Fig. 5(a). The green curve is the desired output, the blue curve is the output of the trained reservoir, and the red line is the decision threshold. For both Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b), the time is normalized so that one bit is equal to one unit of time.

C. Performance : influence of the optical detuning

In this part, we focus on the influence of the optical detuning on the MR-reservoir performance. Figure 6(a) gives the performance of the reservoir as a function of the inter-delay for four different values of the optical detuning : $\delta\lambda = -50$ pm (red squares), $\delta\lambda = 0.0$ pm (blue triangles), $\delta\lambda = 50$ pm (black dots), and $\delta\lambda = 100$ pm (green diamonds). The RZ power modulation is chosen so that a microring alone is in a stationary state, but close to a bifurcation point. Referring to Fig. 3(a)-(c), the high value of the power modulation is $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW for $\delta\lambda = 50$ pm, and $P_{in_1} = 0.5$ mW for $\delta\lambda = 0$ pm, $\delta\lambda = 100$ pm, and $\delta\lambda = -50$ pm.

In this figure, we have considered that the microrings are strictly the same, meaning that all 16 nonlinear microring resonators have the same resonance frequency. For more realistic simulations, we give in Fig. 6(b) - and for the same input conditions - the performance of the MR-reservoir when the resonance frequencies of the microrings are different. The resonance frequencies of the 16 microring resonators follow a Gaussian distribution centred on respectively $\delta \lambda \in \{-50, 0.0, 50, 100\}$ pm, with a 10 pm standard deviation, that is a rather pessimistic value with regard to the current technology.

Figure 6 shows that the reservoir performs better when the value of the optical frequency of the injected light is detuned with respect to the resonance frequency of the nonlinear node (typically in our study $\delta \lambda \in \{-50, 50, 100\}$ pm), than when the light is injected at the resonance frequency of the microring resonator (*i.e.* $\delta \lambda = 0$ pm). Note that the performance is similar for those three different values of the optical detuning $(\delta \lambda \in \{-50, 50, 100\}$ pm). Intuitively, this can be understood by the filtering properties of microrings : they absorb more optical power if the frequency of the injected light is close to their resonance. As a result, the wave-mixing between the nodes in the network is reduced, thus impeding the reservoir computer performance. Figure 6(b) shows a good robustness of the reservoir with regards to heterogeneities in the frequency resonance between the nodes, providing that the detuning of the injected light is larger than the standard deviation of the heterogeneities in resonance.

In order to corroborate the results of Fig. 6, we plot in Fig. 7 the performance of the reservoir as a function of the optical detuning. More specifically, we have set the inter-delay (18.75 ps), the power modulation between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.5$ mW, and we have followed the horizontal dashed



Fig. 6. Error rate - for the XOR task - as a function of the reservoir inter-delay for various values of the optical detuning at 20 Gb/s. The power modulation is chosen so that a microring alone is in a stationary state, but close to the instabilities, with $P_{in_0} = 0.0 \text{ mW}$. $\delta \lambda = -50 \text{ pm}$ and $P_{in_1} = 0.5 \text{ mW}$ (red squares), $\delta \lambda = 0.0 \text{ pm}$ and $P_{in_1} = 0.5 \text{ mW}$ (blue triangles), $\delta \lambda = 50 \text{ pm}$ and $P_{in_1} = 0.3 \text{ mW}$ (black dots), and $\delta \lambda = 100 \text{ pm}$ and $P_{in_1} = 0.5 \text{ mW}$ (green diamonds). In (a), the microrings are all identical, and in (b), each microring has a different value of the resonance frequency. The minimum acceptable error rate is 2.5×10^{-4} .

line of Fig. 3(d). This value of inter-delay corresponds to the best choice in terms of interconnection length, as it ensures relatively small connection waveguides, while the mismatches in the frequency resonance of the rings does not affect the performance of the reservoir (see Fig. $6(\mathbf{b})$). Similarly to Fig. $6(\mathbf{b})$, we have introduced mismatches in the resonance frequency between the rings. We realize seven experiments for each optical detuning; the results are averaged and we give the error bars.

Figure 7 unveils a better level of performance when the frequency of the injected light is far from the frequency resonance of the nonlinear microring resonators. We see also that the performance are better for negative values of the frequency detuning. This can be understood by looking at the stability map of Fig. 3(d), and the horizontal dashed line that we have followed. For positive values of the optical detuning from 0 pm and 75 pm, a nonlinear microring resonator is self-pulsing for an injected power of 0.5 mW, thus meaning the



Fig. 7. Error rate - for the XOR task - as a function of the optical detuning for a power modulation between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.5$ mW, an inter-delay of 18.75 ps, and a bitrate 20 Gb/s. Error bars are given for seven series of simulations. The minimum acceptable error rate is 2.5×10^{-4} .

reservoir is not on a steady state and consequently reducing its performance.

D. Performance : influence of the injection power

In this section, we focus on the power budget considerations. More specifically, we fix the optical detuning between the injected light and the resonance frequency of the rings $(\delta \lambda = 50 \text{ pm})$, we fix again the interconnection delay at 18.75 ps, and we plot the performance of the reservoir for various values of the power modulation. This is always a return-to-zero (RZ) modulation, and we plot the error rate as a function of the high value of the power modulation, following the vertical dashed line of Fig. 3(d). Note that we have also introduced mismatches in the resonance frequency between the rings, similarly to previous studies. We give the average and the error bars for seven series of simulations.

Figure 8 shows that values of the injected power lower than 10^{-4} W result in a degradation of the performance, due to a reduction of total power on each node and wave-mixing between the nodes through losses in the other integrated elements (waveguides, splitters, combiners). We also see that the performance of the reservoir are low for high values of the modulation ($P_{in_1} > 10^{-3}$ W), due to the fact that each node is self-pulsing for these injection parameters (see stability map, Fig. 3(d)). Finally, the optimal operating condition at this particular optical detuning is when the high value of the power modulation leads a single microring resonator to be in a steady state, but close to instabilities. However, we find a very good performance obtained for the high value of the power modulation $P_{in_1} = 0.5 \ 10^{-3}$ W, where a single microring resonator alone is self-pulsing.

In the previous sections, we have perform most of our simulations at $\delta \lambda = 50$ pm, with a power modulation from $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ W to $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW, which is in the interval of best performance at this particular detuning. Hence the total power budget is very low. Indeed the chip is only powered by the optical power using the same bit stream input on each node, thus the averaged power needed for the reservoir to perform is $N_{nodes} \times 0.5 \times (P_{in_1} - P_{in_0}) = 2.4$ mW (with $N_{nodes} = 16$, the number of nodes in the reservoir). Moreover, unlike the purely passive reservoir, where a bias power was necessary to perform optimally, the MR-reservoir has the best performance when there is no power bias, thus reducing the mean power consumption.



Fig. 8. Error rate - for the XOR task - as a function of the high value of the power modulation for an optical detuning $\delta\lambda = 50$ pm, an inter-delay of 18.75 ps, and a bitrate 20 Gb/s. The low value of the power modulation is $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW. Error bars are given for seven series of simulations. The minimum acceptable error rate is 2.5×10^{-4} .

VI. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, we have suggested a novel integrated reservoir architecture using microring resonators as nonlinear nodes, that can perform at state-of-the-art level of performance on a nonlinear Boolean task for various operating parameter conditions. We also have connected the performance of the reservoir computer with the nonlinear properties of the nodes stability with respect to injected power and frequency detuning between the injected light and the resonance of the rings.

More specifically, we have studied the influence of the data rate, and shown that the intrinsic presence of three distinct time scales in the model of the nonlinear nodes leads to the need to carefully design the reservoir in terms of the length of the interconnections between the nodes. We have also investigated the influence of two critical operational parameters in the network dynamics : (i) the injected power and (ii) the optical detuning. We have found that a large variety of operating conditions can lead to optimal performance of the reservoir on the typical delayed XOR task, when some important conditions are fulfilled. First, each node should be in a steady state, close to instabilities. This condition, along with a stability map of a single node, allows us to choose the operating condition of the complete reservoir parameters for optimal performance. We have also found a good robustness when we introduce heterogeneities in the properties of the nonlinear nodes, for example in the frequency resonance between the ring resonators.

We have demonstrated that this integrated reservoir can perform very well on a typical boolean task, with very low power consumption. Considering the RZ power modulation between $P_{in_0} = 0.0$ mW and $P_{in_1} = 0.3$ mW with the same bit stream input on each node, the power budget is very good, and could be further improved in future work by reducing the number of injected nodes, for instance by injecting the data only on the four central nodes, as suggested in previous work by some of the authors [23]. Moreover, from an experimental point of view, it is simpler to inject the data on fewer nodes, as it reduces the routing density on the chip.

Contrary to the passive reservoir of [22], [23] in which the nonlinearity is in the readout ((i.e.) the detector), we have integrated nonlinear elements (the microring resonators) in the recurrence of the network. This work shows that the performance on this particular task in terms of BER and power consumption are very similar with the previous design. This is mainly due to the losses limiting the mixing in both architectures with or without embedded nonlinear elements. A different internal architecture with better losses management would probably enhance our performance in presence of microring resonators. The current results motivate further investigations on the performance of this kind of structure, especially by studying the performance on other tasks such as time series generation, chaos prediction, or nonlinear channel equalization to see if the nonlinearities in the recurrence of the network have a good impact on the performance of the reservoir for these complex tasks [10]. This opens new research venues aiming at integrated, high-speed, energy-efficient, all-optical data processing for telecom applications.

REFERENCES

- J. Manyika, M. Chui, B. Brown, J. Bughin, R. Dobbs, C. Roxburgh, and A. H. Byers, "Big data: The next frontier for innovation, competition, and productivity," 2011.
- [2] P. Luciano, C.-L. Sotiropoulou, S. Gkaitatzis, M. Viti, S. Citraro, A. Retico, P. Giannetti, and M. Dell'Orso, "A hardware implementation of a brain inspired filter for image processing," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 2017.
- [3] S. Jung and S. su Kim, "Hardware implementation of a real-time neural network controller with a dsp and an fpga for nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 265–271, 2007.
- [4] W. Maass, T. Natschläger, and H. Markram, "Real-time computing without stable states: A new framework for neural computation based on perturbations," *Neural computation*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 2531–2560, 2002.
- [5] H. Jaeger and H. Haas, "Harnessing nonlinearity: Predicting chaotic systems and saving energy in wireless communication," *Science*, vol. 304, no. 5667, pp. 78–80, 2004.
- [6] M. Lukoševičius and H. Jaeger, "Reservoir computing approaches to recurrent neural network training," *Computer Science Review*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 127–149, 2009.
- [7] F. Schürmann, K. Meier, and J. Schemmel, "Edge of chaos computation in mixed-mode vlsi-a hard liquid," Advances in neural information processing systems, pp. 1201–1208, 2005.
- [8] D. Verstraeten, B. Schrauwen, and D. Stroobandt, "Reservoir computing with stochastic bitstream neurons," *Proceedings of the 16th annual Prorisc workshop*, pp. 454–459, 2005.
- [9] M. S. Kulkarni and C. Teuscher, "Memristor-based reservoir computing," Nanoscale Architectures (NANOARCH), 2012 IEEE/ACM International Symposium on, pp. 226–232, 2012.
- [10] G. Van der Sande, D. Brunner, and M. C. Soriano, "Advances in photonic reservoir computing," *Nanophotonics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 561–576, 2017.
- [11] L. Larger, A. Baylón-Fuentes, R. Martinenghi, V. S. Udaltsov, Y. K. Chembo, and M. Jacquot, "High-speed photonic reservoir computing using a time-delay-based architecture: Million words per second classification," *Physical Review X*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 011015, 2017.
- [12] D. Brunner, M. C. Soriano, C. R. Mirasso, and I. Fischer, "Parallel photonic information processing at gigabyte per second data rates using transient states," *Nature communications*, vol. 4, p. 1364, 2013.
- [13] L. Appeltant, M. C. Soriano, G. Van der Sande, J. Danckaert, S. Massar, J. Dambre, B. Schrauwen, C. R. Mirasso, and I. Fischer, "Information processing using a single dynamical node as complex system," *Nature communications*, vol. 2, p. 468, 2011.
- [14] F. Duport, B. Schneider, A. Smerieri, M. Haelterman, and S. Massar, "All-optical reservoir computing," *Optics express*, vol. 20, no. 20, pp. 22783–22795, 2012.
- [15] L. Larger, M. C. Soriano, D. Brunner, L. Appeltant, J. M. Gutiérrez, L. Pesquera, C. R. Mirasso, and I. Fischer, "Photonic information processing beyond turing: an optoelectronic implementation of reservoir computing," *Optics express*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 3241–3249, 2012.
- [16] M. C. Soriano, S. Ortín, D. Brunner, L. Larger, C. R. Mirasso, I. Fischer, and L. Pesquera, "Optoelectronic reservoir computing: tackling noiseinduced performance degradation," *Optics express*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 12–20, 2013.
- [17] P. Antonik, M. Hermans, F. Duport, M. Haelterman, and S. Massar, "Towards pattern generation and chaotic series prediction with photonic reservoir computers," *Proc. of SPIE Vol*, vol. 9732, pp. 97 320B–1, 2016.
- [18] A. N. Tait, E. Zhou, T. F. de Lima, A. X. Wu, M. A. Nahmias, B. J. Shastri, and P. R. Prucnal, "Neuromorphic silicon photonics," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1611.02272, 2016.
- [19] K. Vandoorne, J. Dambre, D. Verstraeten, B. Schrauwen, and P. Bienstman, "Parallel reservoir computing using optical amplifiers," *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1469–1481, 2011.
- [20] C. Mesaritakis, V. Papataxiarhis, and D. Syvridis, "Micro ring resonators as building blocks for an all-optical high-speed reservoir-computing bitpattern-recognition system," *JOSA B*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 3048–3055, 2013.
- [21] C. Mesaritakis, A. Kapsalis, and D. Syvridis, "All-optical reservoir computing system based on ingaasp ring resonators for high-speed identification and optical routing in optical networks," *SPIE OPTO*, pp. 937 033–937 033, 2015.
- [22] K. Vandoorne, P. Mechet, T. Van Vaerenbergh, M. Fiers, G. Morthier, D. Verstraeten, B. Schrauwen, J. Dambre, and P. Bienstman, "Experimental demonstration of reservoir computing on a silicon photonics chip," *Nature communications*, vol. 5, 2014.

- [23] A. Katumba, M. Freiberger, P. Bienstman, and J. Dambre, "A multipleinput strategy to efficient integrated photonic reservoir computing," *Cognitive Computation*, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [24] M. A. A. Fiers, T. Van Vaerenbergh, F. Wyffels, D. Verstraeten, B. Schrauwen, J. Dambre, and P. Bienstman, "Nanophotonic reservoir computing with photonic crystal cavities to generate periodic patterns," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 344–355, 2014.
- [25] T. Van Vaerenbergh, "All-optical spiking neurons integrated on a photonic chip," *PhD Thesis*, 2014.
- [26] T. Van Vaerenbergh, M. Fiers, P. Mechet, T. Spuesens, R. Kumar, G. Morthier, B. Schrauwen, J. Dambre, and P. Bienstman, "Cascadable excitability in microrings," *Optics express*, vol. 20, no. 18, pp. 20292– 20308, 2012.
- [27] L. Zhang, Y. Fei, Y. Cao, X. Lei, and S. Chen, "Experimental observations of thermo-optical bistability and self-pulsation in silicon microring resonators," *JOSA B*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 201–206, 2014.
- [28] W. H. Pernice, M. Li, and H. X. Tang, "Time-domain measurement of optical transport in silicon micro-ring resonators," *Optics express*, vol. 18, no. 17, pp. 18438–18452, 2010.
- [29] L. Zhang, Y. Fei, T. Cao, Y. Cao, Q. Xu, and S. Chen, "Multibistability and self-pulsation in nonlinear high- q silicon microring resonators considering thermo-optical effect," *Physical Review A*, vol. 87, no. 5, p. 053805, 2013.
- [30] S. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Fei, and T. Cao, "Bistability and self-pulsation phenomena in silicon microring resonators based on nonlinear optical effects," *Optics Express*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 7454–7468, 2012.
- [31] I. S. Amiri, R. Ahsan, A. Shahidinejad, J. Ali, and P. P. Yupapin, "Characterisation of bifurcation and chaos in silicon microring resonator," *IET Communications*, vol. 6, no. 16, pp. 2671–2675, 2012.
- [32] J. Hryniewicz, P. Absil, B. Little, R. Wilson, and P.-T. Ho, "Higher order filter response in coupled microring resonators," *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 320–322, 2000.
- [33] H. J. Caulfield, R. A. Soref, and C. S. Vikram, "Universal reconfigurable optical logic with silicon-on-insulator resonant structures," *Photonics* and Nanostructures-Fundamentals and Applications, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 14–20, 2007.
- [34] H. Kishikawa, T. Kondo, N. Goto, and S. Talabattula, "Optical thresholder consisting of two cascaded mach-zehnder interferometers with nonlinear microring resonators," *Optical Engineering*, vol. 56, no. 8, p. 086101, 2017.
- [35] Y. Dumeige, L. Ghisa, and P. Féron, "Integrated all-optical pulse restoration with coupled nonlinear microring resonators," *Optics letters*, vol. 31, no. 14, pp. 2187–2189, 2006.
- [36] C. Tanaram, C. Teeka, R. Jomtarak, P. Yupapin, M. Jalil, I. Amiri, and J. Ali, "Ask-to-psk generation based on nonlinear microring resonators coupled to one mzi arm," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 8, pp. 432–435, 2011.
- [37] T. Yamane, S. Takeda, D. Nakano, G. Tanaka, R. Nakane, S. Nakagawa, and A. Hirose, "Dynamics of reservoir computing at the edge of stability," *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*, pp. 205–212, 2016.
- [38] http://www.lucedaphotonics.com/, accessed: 2016.
- [39] http://scikit-learn.org/, accessed: 2016.



Marc Sciamanna graduated in electrical engineering in 2000 and received the Ph.D. degree in applied sciences in 2004 from Faculté Polytechnique de Mons, Arrondissement of Mons, Belgium. In 2009, he received the Habilitation à Diriger les Recherches (HDR) from University Paul Verlaine, Metz, France (now called University of Lorraine) in 2012. In 2004, he became an Assistant Professor and since 2009, Full Professor at Supélec, France. He is the Head of the Optics and Electronics (OPTEL) Research Group, Supélec, and since 2012, he has

been the Adjunct Director of the LMOPS Laboratory (Laboratoire Matriaux Optiques, Photonique et Systmes, EA-4423). He has authored about 150 publications, including 60 publications in peer-reviewed journals. His current research interests include theory and experiment on nonlinear dynamics of semiconductor lasers with optical injection or optical feedback, optical chaos and chaos synchronization in coupled oscillators, control of optical pattern formation in photorefractive nonlinear cavities, phase conjugate optical feedback effects, polarization properties of VCSELs. He was a recipient of the IEEE-LEOS (now IEEE Photonics Society) in 2002 with a Graduate Student Fellowship Award, the International Society for Optical Engineering (SPIE) in 2003 with the F-MADE Scholarship Award, and the Optical Society of America (OSA) in 2002 with a OSA Newfocus Student Travel Grant. In 2007, he received the TR35 Young Innovator Award from MIT Technology Review (U.S.A.) for his work on controlling chaos in telecom lasers. He is also a Chairman and Committee Member of several international conferences, including SPIE Photonics Europe (2008, 2010, 2012), the EOS Annual Meeting (2010, 2012), the IEEE/LEOS Winter Topicals (2009), the IEEE International Semiconductor Laser Conference (2012), PHASE/IPSSO international workshops (2005, 2007) and A Future in Light international conference (2009). He is also an Associate Editor for the international journal Optical and Quantum Electronics, edited by Springer.



Andrew Katumba was born in Masaka,Uganda in 1985. He received an M.Sc. degree in Optics and Photonics from Karlsrule Institute of Technology, Germany in 2013. He is pursuing a Ph.D. degree in Photonics Engineering at the Photonics Research Group, Gent University-IMEC, Belgium. His current research focuses on photonic neuromorphic architectures for high speed optical telecommunications systems. He is a student member of IEEE Photonics Society and the International Society for Optics and Photonics.



Florian Denis le Coarer was born in France in 1992. He received the Engineering degree from CentraleSupélec (France), and a Master's degree from the University of Lorraine (France) in 2016, both with a major in photonics. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with CentraleSupélec (France). His current research interests include dynamics of vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers, and non-linear dynamics of other photonic systems for neuromorphic applications.



Matthias Freiberger was born in Graz, Austria, in 1983. He received a M.Sc. degree in information and computer engineering from Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria, in 2016. He is currently pursuing a Ph.D degree at the UGent-imec IDLab, Department of Electronics and Information Systems at Ghent University. His current research focuses on training algorithms for neuromorphic devices. His research interests include scaling up neuromorphic systems, deep learning, and recurrent neural networks on chip.



Joni Dambre was born in Ghent, Belgium, in 1973. She received a degree in electrical engineering from Ghent University, Belgium, in 1996 and a Ph.D. in computer science engineering from the same university in 2003, at the Department of Electronics and Information Systems (ELIS). As a machine learning professor, she currently leads an UGentimec research team (part of IDLab) addressing various topics related to machine learning, artificial and biological neural networks, and neuromorphic hardware.



Peter Bienstman was born in Ghent, Belgium, in 1974. He received a degree in electrical engineering from Ghent University, Belgium, in 1997 and a Ph.D. from the same university in 2001, at the Department of Information Technology (INTEC), where he is currently a full professor. His research interests include several applications of nanophotonics (biosensors, photonic information processing, ...) as well as nanophotonics modelling. He has published over 110 papers and holds several patents. He has been awarded a ERC starting grant for

the Naresco-project: Novel paradigms for massively parallel nanophotonic information processing.



Damien Rontani received his Master of Science in Electrical Engineering (Supélec Curriculum) and a Master in Information Science, Energy and Systems from the Ecole CentraleSupélec in Université Paris-Saclay (France) in 2004 and 2006, respectively. In parallel, he received his Master of Science in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech, USA) in 2005. In 2011, he defended his PhD thesis in Applied Physics at CentraleSupélec and his PhD in Electrical and Computer Engineering at Georgia

Tech. From 2011 to 2013, he was a postdoctoral fellow in the Physics Department at Duke University (USA). Since December 2013, he has been an assistant professor at CentraleSupélec, where he conducts leading-edge research in nonlinear dynamics of complex photonics systems, and nonlinear optics for innovative applications in neuro-inspired information processing and cognitive computing. His research is conducted within the framework of the OPTEL Research Group and Chair in Photonics, which are parts of the LMOPS EA-4423 Laboratory, a joint Unit between CentraleSupélec and Université de Lorraine (France). In his is young academic career, he has been the recipient of an IBM Faculty Award in Cognitive Computing in 2015 and a JSPS Fellowship for overseas researchers in 2016. He has authored and co-authored 18 publications in international peer-reviewed journals and more than 45 contributions in international conferences.