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#### Abstract

In [4], the existence of the solution is proved for a scalar linearly growing backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) if the terminal value is $L \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+L)})$ integrable with the positive parameter $\mu$ being bigger than a critical value $\mu_{0}$. In this note, we


 give the uniqueness result for the preceding BSDE.AMS Subject Classification: 60H10
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\left\{W_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ be a standard Brownian motion with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined on some complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ its natural filtration augmented by all $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. Let us fix a nonnegative real number $T>0$. The $\sigma$-field of predictable subsets of $\Omega \times[0, T]$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$.

For any real $p \geq 1$, denote by $L^{p}$ the set of all $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable random variables $\eta$ such that $E|\eta|^{p}<\infty$, by $\mathcal{S}^{p}$ the set of (equivalent classes of) all real-valued, adapted and càdlàg processes $\left\{Y_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ such that

$$
\|Y\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p}}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}<+\infty
$$

by $\mathcal{L}^{p}$ the set of (equivalent classes of) all real-valued adapted processes $\left\{Y_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ such that

$$
\|Y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}<+\infty
$$

and by $\mathcal{M}^{p}$ the set of (equivalent classes of) all predictable processes $\left\{Z_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that

$$
\|Z\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{t}\right|^{2} d t\right)^{p / 2}\right]^{1 / p}<+\infty
$$

[^0]Consider the following Backward Stochastic Differential Equation (BSDE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s}, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $f$ (hereafter called the generator) is a real valued random function defined on the set $\Omega \times[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$, measurable with respect to $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}\right)$, and continuous in the last two variables with the following linear growth:

$$
|f(s, y, z)-f(s, 0,0)| \leq \beta|y|+\gamma|z|, \quad(s, y, z) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}
$$

with $f_{0}:=f(\cdot, 0,0) \in \mathcal{L}^{1}, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma>0 . \quad \xi$ is a real $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable random variable, and hereafter called the terminal condition or terminal value.

Definition 1.1 By a solution to BSDE (1.1) we mean a pair $\left\{\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$ of predictable processes with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $t \mapsto Y_{t}$ is continuous, $t \mapsto Z_{t}$ belongs to $L^{2}(0, T)$ and $t \mapsto f\left(t, Y_{t}, Z_{t}\right)$ is integrable, and $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. $(Y, Z)$ verifies (1.1).
$\operatorname{By} \operatorname{BSDE}(\xi, f)$, we mean the $\operatorname{BSDE}$ with generator $f$ and terminal condition $\xi$.
It is well known that for $\left(\xi, f_{0}\right) \in L^{p} \times \mathcal{L}^{p}$ (with $p>1$ ), $\operatorname{BSDE}(1.1)$ admits a unique adapted solution $(y, z)$ in the space $\mathcal{S}^{p} \times \mathcal{M}^{p}$ if the generator $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz in the pair of unknown variables. See e.g. $[6,3,1]$ for more details. For $\left(\xi, f_{0}\right) \in L^{1} \times \mathcal{L}^{1}$, one needs to restrict the generator $f$ to grow sub-linearly with respect to $z$, i.e., with some $q \in[0,1)$,

$$
\left|f(t, y, z)-f_{0}(t)\right| \leq \beta|y|+\gamma|z|^{q}, \quad(t, y, z) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}
$$

for $\operatorname{BSDE}$ (1.1) to have a unique adapted solution (see [1]) if the generator $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz in the pair of unknown variables.

In [4], the existence of the solution is given for a scalar linearly growing BSDE (1.1) if the terminal value is $L \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+L)})$-integrable with the positive parameter $\mu$ being bigger than a critical value $\mu_{0}=\gamma \sqrt{T}$, and the preceding integrability of the terminal value for a positive parameter $\mu$ less than critical value $\mu_{0}$ is shown to be not sufficient for the existence of a solution. In this note, we give the uniqueness result for the preceding BSDE under the preceding integrability of the terminal value for $\mu>\mu_{0}$.

We first establish some interesting properties of the function $\psi(x, \mu)=x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)})$. We observe that the obtained solution $Y$ in [4] has the nice property: $\psi(|Y|, a)$ belongs to the class $(D)$ for some $a>0$, which is used to prove the uniqueness of the solution by dividing the whole interval $[0, T]$ into a finite number of sufficiently small subintervals.

## 2 Uniqueness

Define the function $\psi$ :

$$
\psi(x, \mu):=x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}), \quad(x, \mu) \in[0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)
$$

We denote also $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ as $\psi_{\mu}(\cdot)$
The following two lemmas can be found in Hu and Tang [4].
Lemma 2.1 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x} y \leq e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2 \mu^{2}}}+e^{2 \mu^{2}} \psi(y, \mu) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $\mu>\gamma \sqrt{T}$. For any d-dimensional adapted process $q$ with $\left|q_{t}\right| \leq \gamma$ almost surely, for $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left.e^{\frac{1}{2 \mu^{2}}\left|\int_{t}^{T} q_{s} d W_{s}\right|^{2}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}(T-t)}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3 We have the following assertions on $\psi$ :
(i) For $\mu>0, \psi(\cdot, \mu)$ is convex.
(ii) For $c>1$, we have $\psi_{\mu}(c x) \leq \psi_{\mu}(c) \psi_{\mu}(x)$ for any $x \geq 0$.
(iii) For any triple $(a, b, c)$ with $a>0, b>0$ and $c>0$, we have

$$
\psi(\psi(x, a), b) \leq e^{\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \psi(x, a+b+c)
$$

Proof. The first assertion has been shown in [4]. It remains to show the Assertions (ii) and (iii).
We prove Assertion (ii).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\mu}(c x) & =c x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+c x)}) \\
& \leq c x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log [(1+c)(1+x)]}) \\
& =c x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+c)+2 \log (1+x)}) \\
& \leq c x \exp (\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+c)}+\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \\
& =\psi_{\mu}(c) \psi_{\mu}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove Assertion (iii). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi_{b} \circ \psi_{a}\right)(x) \\
= & \psi_{a}(x) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log \left(1+\psi_{a}(x)\right)}\right) \\
= & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log \left(1+x e^{a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}}\right)}\right) \\
\leq & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log \left((1+x) e^{a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}}\right)}\right) \\
= & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp (b \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)+2 a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}})
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the following elementary inequality:

$$
2 a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)} \leq \frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{c^{2}}+\frac{2 c^{2}}{b^{2}} \log (1+x)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi_{b} \circ \psi_{a}\right)(x) \\
\leq & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)+\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{c^{2}}+\frac{2 c^{2}}{b^{2}} \log (1+x)}\right) \\
\leq & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}+b \sqrt{\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{c^{2}}}+b \sqrt{\frac{2 c^{2}}{b^{2}} \log (1+x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi_{b} \circ \psi_{a}\right)(x) \\
\leq & x \exp (a \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}) \exp \left(b \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}+c \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)}\right) \\
\leq & x e^{\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \exp ((a+b+c) \sqrt{2 \log (1+x)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the following BSDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(s, y, z)-f_{0}(s, 0,0)\right| \leq \beta|y|+\gamma|z| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{0}:=f(\cdot, 0,0) \in \mathcal{L}^{1}, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma>0$.
Theorem 2.4 Let $f$ be a generator which is continuous with respect to ( $y, z$ ) and verifies inequality (2.5), and $\xi$ be a terminal condition. Let us suppose that there exists $\mu>\gamma \sqrt{T}$ such that $\psi\left(|\xi|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|f_{0}(t)\right| d t, \mu\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. Then BSDE (2.4) admits a solution $(Y, Z)$ such that

$$
\left|Y_{t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}(T-t)}} e^{\beta(T-t)}+e^{2 \mu^{2}+\beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

Furthermore, there exists $a>0$ such that $\psi(Y, a)$ belongs to the class $(D)$.
Proof. Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Set

$$
\xi^{n, p}:=\xi^{+} \wedge n-\xi^{-} \wedge p, \quad f_{0}^{n, p}:=f_{0}^{+} \wedge n-f_{0}^{-} \wedge p, \quad f^{n, p}:=f-f_{0}+f_{0}^{n, p}
$$

As the terminal value $\xi^{n, p}$ and $f^{n, p}(\cdot, 0,0)$ are bounded (hence square-integrable) and $f^{n, p}$ is a continuous generator with a linear growth, in view of the existence result in [5], the BSDE $\left(\xi^{n, p}, f^{n, p}\right)$ has a (unique) minimal solution $\left(Y^{n, p}, Z^{n, p}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}^{2} \times \mathcal{M}^{2}$. Set

$$
\bar{f}^{n, p}(s, y, z)=\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right|+\beta y+\gamma|z|, \quad(s, y, z) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}
$$

In view of Pardoux and Peng [6], the $\operatorname{BSDE}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|, \bar{f}^{n, p}\right)$ has a unique solution $\left(\bar{Y}^{n, p}, \bar{Z}^{n, p}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}^{2} \times \mathcal{M}^{2}$.

By comparison theorem,

$$
\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right| \leq \bar{Y}_{t}^{n, p}
$$

Letting $q_{s}^{n, p}=\gamma \operatorname{sgn}\left(Z_{s}^{n, p}\right)$ and

$$
\mathbb{P}_{q^{n, p}}=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{T} q_{s}^{n, p} d W_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|q_{s}^{n, p}\right|^{2} d s\right\} \mathbb{P}
$$

we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right| & \leq \bar{Y}_{t}^{n, p} \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{q^{n, p}}\left[e^{\beta(T-t)}\left|\xi^{n, p}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+\int_{t}^{T} e^{\beta(s-t)}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq e^{\beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{q^{n, p}}\left[\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}(T-t)}} e^{\beta(T-t)}+e^{2 \mu^{2}+\beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y^{n, p}$ is nondecreasing in $n$ and non-increasing in $p$, then by the localization method in [2], there is some $Z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}\right)$ almost surely such that $\left(Y:=\inf _{p} \sup _{n} Y^{n, p}, Z\right)$ is an adapted solution. Therefore, we have for $a>0$, using Jensen's inequality and the convexity of $\psi_{a}(\cdot):=\psi(\cdot, a)$ together with Assertion (ii) of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right|\right) & \leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{q^{n, p}}\left[\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right) \psi_{a}\left(\mathbb{E}_{q^{n, p}}\left[\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right) \mathbb{E}_{q^{n, p}}\left[\psi_{a}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
& \leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\int_{t}^{T} q_{s}^{n, p} d W_{s}\right) \psi_{a}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $b>\gamma \sqrt{T}$, applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right|\right) \leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\int_{t}^{T} q_{s}^{n, p} d W_{s}\right) \psi_{a}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{T} q_{s}^{n, p} d W_{s}\right)^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+e^{2 b^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{b} \circ \psi_{a}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and Assertion (iii) of Proposition 2.3, we have for any $c>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right|\right) \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}}+e^{2 b^{2}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{a+b+c}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mu>\gamma \sqrt{T}$, we can choose $a>0, b>\gamma \sqrt{T}$, and $c>0$ such that $a+b+c=\mu$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n, p}\right|\right) \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}}+e^{2 b^{2}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(\left|\xi^{n, p}\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}^{n, p}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}}+e^{2 b^{2}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting first $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $p \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{t}\right|\right) \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}}+e^{2 b^{2}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f_{0}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\
\leq & \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta T}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2} T}{b^{2}}}}+e^{2 b^{2}+\frac{a b^{2}}{c}} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|f_{0}(s)\right| d s\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have $\psi_{a}(|Y|)$ belongs to the class $(D)$.
Now we state our main result of this note.

Theorem 2.5 Assume that the generator $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz in $(y, z)$, i.e., there are $\beta>0$ and $\gamma>0$ such that for all $\left(y^{i}, z^{i}\right) \in R \times R^{1 \times d}, i=1,2$, we have

$$
\left|f\left(t, y^{1}, z^{1}\right)-f\left(t, y^{2}, z^{2}\right)\right| \leq \beta\left|y^{1}-y^{2}\right|+\gamma\left|z^{1}-z^{2}\right|
$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists $\mu>\gamma \sqrt{T}$ such that $\psi\left(|\xi|+\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, 0,0)| d t, \mu\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega, P)$. Then, BSDE (2.4) admits a unique solution $(Y, Z)$ such that $\psi(Y, a)$ belongs to the class $(D)$ for some $a>0$.

Proof. The existence of an adapted solution has been proved in the preceding theorem. It remains to prove the uniqueness.

For $i=1,2$, let $\left(Y^{i}, Z^{i}\right)$ be a solution of $\operatorname{BSDE}(2.4)$ such that $\psi_{a^{i}}\left(Y^{i}\right)$ belongs to the class $(D)$ for some $a^{i}>0$. Define

$$
a:=a^{1} \wedge a^{2}, \quad \delta Y:=Y^{1}-Y^{2}, \quad \delta Z:=Z^{1}-Z^{2}
$$

Then both $\psi_{a}\left(Y^{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{a}\left(Y^{2}\right)$ are in the class $(D)$, since $\psi(x, \mu)$ is nondecreasing in $\mu$, and the pair $(\delta Y, \delta Z)$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\delta Y_{t}=\int_{t}^{T}\left[f\left(s, Y_{s}^{1}, Z_{s}^{1}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{2}, Z_{s}^{2}\right)\right] d s-\int_{t}^{T} \delta Z_{s} d W_{s}, \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

By a standard linearization we see that there exists an adapted pair of processes $(u, v)$ such that $\left|u_{s}\right| \leq \beta,\left|v_{s}\right| \leq \gamma$, and $f\left(s, Y_{s}^{1}, Z_{s}^{1}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{2}, Z_{s}^{2}\right)=u_{s} \delta Y_{s}+\delta Z_{s} v_{s}$.

We define the stopping times

$$
\tau_{n}:=\inf \left\{t \geq 0:\left|Y_{t}^{1}\right|+\left|Y_{t}^{2}\right| \geq n\right\} \wedge T, \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset=\infty$. Since $(\delta Y, \delta Z)$ satisfies the linear BSDE

$$
\delta Y_{t}=\int_{t}^{T}\left(u_{s} \delta Y_{s}+\delta Z_{s} v_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} \delta Z_{s} d W_{s}, \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

we have the following formula

$$
\delta Y_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left.e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}} u_{s} d s+\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left|v_{s}\right|^{2} d s} \delta Y_{\tau_{n}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\delta Y_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}\right| & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_{\uparrow \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}} u_{s} d s+\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle}\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
& \leq e^{\beta T_{\mathbb{E}}}\left[e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle}\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we show that the family of random variables $e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle}\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right|$ is uniformly integrable. For this note that, thanks to Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle}\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2 a^{2}}\left(\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle\right)^{2}}+e^{2 a^{2}} \psi_{a}\left(\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right|\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}, T\right]$, we have from Lemma 2.2,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|e^{\frac{1}{2 a^{2}}\left(\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle\right)^{2}}\right|^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left(\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle\right)^{2}}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{a^{2}}(T-t)}} \leq \sqrt{2}
$$

and, thus, the family of random variables $e^{\frac{1}{2 a^{2}}\left(\int_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s}, d W_{s}\right\rangle\right)^{2}}$ is uniformly integrable.
On the other hand, since $\psi_{a}$ is nondecreasing and convex, we have thanks to Proposition 2.3 (ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{a}\left(\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right|\right) & \leq \psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{1}\right|+\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{2}\right|\right)=\psi_{a}\left(\frac{1}{2} \times 2\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{1}\right|+\frac{1}{2} \times 2\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{2}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}\left(2\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{1}\right|\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}\left(2\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{2}\right|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}(2)\left[\psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{1}\right|\right)+\psi_{a}\left(\left|Y_{\tau_{n}}^{2}\right|\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.7) it now follows that, for $t \in\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}, T\right]$, the family of random variables $e^{\tau_{t \wedge \tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n}}\left\langle v_{s} d W_{s}\right\rangle}\left|\delta Y_{\tau_{n}}\right|$ is uniformly integrable.

Finally, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (2.6), we have $\delta Y=0$ on the interval $\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}, T\right]$. It is then clear that $\delta Z=0$ on $\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}, T\right]$. The uniqueness of the solution is obtained on the interval $\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}, T\right]$. In an identical way, we have the uniqueness of the solution on the interval $\left[T-\frac{a^{2}}{2 \gamma^{2}}, T-\frac{a^{2}}{4 \gamma^{2}}\right]$. By a finite number of steps, we cover in this way the whole interval $[0, T]$, and we conclude the uniqueness of the solution on the interval $[0, T]$.
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