



HAL
open science

ON THE RELAXATION OF THE MAXWELL-STEFAN SYSTEM TO LINEAR DIFFUSION

Francesco Salvarani, Ana Jacinta Soares

► **To cite this version:**

Francesco Salvarani, Ana Jacinta Soares. ON THE RELAXATION OF THE MAXWELL-STEFAN SYSTEM TO LINEAR DIFFUSION. Applied Mathematics Letters, 2018, 10.1016/j.aml.2018.05.012 . hal-01791067

HAL Id: hal-01791067

<https://hal.science/hal-01791067>

Submitted on 14 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE RELAXATION OF THE MAXWELL-STEFAN SYSTEM TO LINEAR DIFFUSION

FRANCESCO SALVARANI AND ANA JACINTA SOARES

ABSTRACT. In this note, we rigorously prove the relaxation limit of the Maxwell-Stefan system to a system of heat equations when all binary diffusion coefficients tend to the same positive value.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Maxwell-Stefan equations have been written in the nineteenth century [16, 18], but the interest in its rigorous mathematical study is very recent and not yet complete. After some works, mainly devoted to the matrix formulation of the gradient-flux relationships and described in [10], the study of existence and uniqueness has been carried out in [2, 5, 7, 14, 15, 11], the formal derivation of multicomponent diffusion equations from the Boltzmann system has been investigated in [4, 6, 3, 12, 13, 1] and some numerical discretizations of the Maxwell-Stefan system have been proposed in [5, 17].

Despite the advances of the last years, the aforementioned results are far to be complete and many questions are still waiting for a satisfactory answer. Among them, we cite the rigorous study of the relaxation of the Maxwell-Stefan system, under the condition of equimolar diffusion, to a system of heat equations when all binary diffusion coefficients tend to the same positive constant value. In this note, we intend to give a contribution on this last question and fill in the gap in the literature.

2. THE PROBLEM AND THE MAIN RESULT

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \in \mathbb{N}$) be a bounded domain with regular boundary of class C^2 and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider a collection of ε -dependent strictly positive and symmetric coefficients k_{ij}^ε (i.e. $k_{ij}^\varepsilon = k_{ji}^\varepsilon > 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, I \in \mathbb{N}$).

The Maxwell-Stefan equations describe a gaseous mixture composed of $I \geq 3$ interacting species. The cross-diffusion relationships link the ε -dependent unknown densities and fluxes $(c_i^\varepsilon, J_i^\varepsilon)$, $i = 1, \dots, I \in \mathbb{N}$, between themselves:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t c_i^\varepsilon + \nabla_x \cdot J_i^\varepsilon = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ \nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon = - \sum_{j \neq i} k_{ij}^\varepsilon (c_j^\varepsilon J_i^\varepsilon - c_i^\varepsilon J_j^\varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the fluxes satisfy the equimolar diffusion relationship

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^I J_i^\varepsilon = 0.$$

The ε -dependent coefficients k_{ij}^ε represent the set of binary diffusion coefficients of the gaseous mixture whose behavior is described by the Maxwell-Stefan equations (2.1). Note that the diagonal coefficients k_{ii}^ε ($i = 1, \dots, I$) play no role in the system.

System (2.1) is supplemented with appropriate initial and boundary conditions. In what follows, we suppose that the solution of (2.1) satisfies homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, so that we have:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (c_1^\varepsilon(0, x), \dots, c_I^\varepsilon(0, x)) &= (c_1^{\text{in}}(x), \dots, c_I^{\text{in}}(x)) \in (L^\infty(\Omega))^I, \\ J_i^\varepsilon(t, x) \cdot n_x &= 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, I, \end{aligned}$$

Key words and phrases. Maxwell-Stefan equations. Cross-diffusion. Relaxation limit.

Work partially funded by the ANR project *Kimega* (ANR-14-ACHN-0030-01) and by the PHC Pessoa project 7854WM “Derivation of macroscopic PDEs from kinetic theory (mesoscopic scale) and from interacting particle systems (microscopic scale)”, Ref. 406/4/4/2017/S.

where $n_x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is the outward normal unit vector to the domain Ω starting from a given point $x \in \partial\Omega$. Since the Maxwell-Stefan system (2.1) is written in terms of molar fractions, we assume that all $c_i^{\text{in}} \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^I c_i^{\text{in}}(x) = 1.$$

Because of the symmetry of the binary diffusion coefficients, it is easy to see, from (2.1) and the equimolar diffusion condition (2.2), that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^I c_i^\varepsilon(t, x) = 1$$

for a.e. $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$. When all the binary diffusion coefficients are equal (i.e. when $k_{ij}^\varepsilon = \kappa$ for all i, j), it is easy to see that the Maxwell-Stefan equations (2.1), together with equations (2.2) and (2.4), are equivalent to a system of heat equations for $c_i^\varepsilon(t, x)$. Indeed, thanks to the equimolar diffusion condition, we have that

$$J_i^\varepsilon = - \sum_{j \neq i} J_j^\varepsilon$$

and hence, from (2.4) and the second relationship in (2.1), we deduce that

$$J_i^\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, I$, which is the standard form of Fick's law [8, 9]. It leads, thanks to the first equation in (2.1), to the system of heat equations

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_t c_i^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\kappa} \Delta_x c_i^\varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, I,$$

with initial conditions

$$(c_1^\varepsilon(0, x), \dots, c_I^\varepsilon(0, x)) = (c_1^{\text{in}}(x), \dots, c_I^{\text{in}}(x)) \in (L^\infty(\Omega))^I$$

and homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

$$\nabla c_i^\varepsilon(t, x) \cdot n_x = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, I.$$

In this note we prove that, when the binary diffusion coefficients k_{ij}^ε may be different but tend to the same constant value in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, then the solution of the Maxwell-Stefan system converges to the solution of a suitable system of heat equations. More precisely, our result is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(c_i^\varepsilon, J_i^\varepsilon)$, with $i = 1, \dots, I$ and $I \geq 3$, be the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.1)-(2.2)-(2.3), with binary diffusion coefficients of the form*

$$(2.6) \quad k_{ij}^\varepsilon = \kappa + \varepsilon \delta_{ij},$$

such that $|\delta_{ij}| \leq 1$ and let $T > 0$. Then, in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, the densities $(c_1^\varepsilon, \dots, c_I^\varepsilon)$ converge strongly in $(L^2((0, T) \times \Omega))^I$, with an explicit rate of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, to (c_1, \dots, c_I) , and the fluxes $(J_1^\varepsilon, \dots, J_I^\varepsilon)$ converge strongly in $(L^2((0, T) \times \Omega))^{d \times I}$ to (J_1, \dots, J_I) , with an explicit rate of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Moreover, for all $i = 1, \dots, I$, the vector (c_i, J_i) solves the set of heat equations

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t c_i + \nabla_x \cdot J_i = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ \nabla_x c_i = -\kappa J_i, \end{cases}$$

satisfying the initial and boundary conditions

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (c_1(0, x), \dots, c_I(0, x)) &= (c_1^{\text{in}}(x), \dots, c_I^{\text{in}}(x)) \in (L^\infty(\Omega))^I, \\ J_i \cdot n_x &= \nabla c_i(t, x) \cdot n_x = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, I, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the same as those for the Maxwell-Stefan system.

3. THE PROOF

We base our proof on the following existence and uniqueness result, stated in papers [2, 11]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Let the initial data $(c_1^{\text{in}}, \dots, c_I^{\text{in}})$ to the quasi-linear problem (2.1)-(2.2)-(2.3) be non-negative measurable functions such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^I c_i^{\text{in}}(x) = 1.$$

Then, there exists a unique local-in-time solution – in the L^p -sense – to the system (2.1)-(2.2)-(2.3) for $t \in (0, T)$, $T > 0$. The solution is, in fact, classical.

We now write the flux (gradient) relationship of the Maxwell-Stefan system (2.1) by using both the explicit form of the binary diffusion coefficients given in (2.6) and the equimolar diffusion condition (2.2). We obtain:

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon = -\kappa J_i^\varepsilon - \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} c_j^\varepsilon \right) J_i^\varepsilon + \varepsilon c_i^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} J_j^\varepsilon \right).$$

For any vector $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $|v|$ its Euclidean norm, i.e.

$$|v| = \left(\sum_{l=1}^d v_l^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By performing the scalar product between Equation (3.1) and J_i^ε and then by integrating with respect to x in Ω , we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} J_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon \, dx = -\kappa \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx - \varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} \int_{\Omega} c_j^\varepsilon |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} \int_{\Omega} c_i^\varepsilon J_j^\varepsilon \cdot J_i^\varepsilon \, dx.$$

On the other hand, if we multiply the continuity equation in (2.1) by c_i^ε and then integrate the resulting equation with respect to x in Ω , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |c_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} c_i^\varepsilon \nabla_x \cdot J_i^\varepsilon \, dx = \int_{\Omega} J_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon \, dx,$$

where the second equality is a consequence of the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions for all species.

The previous two equations allow us to conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |c_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx = -\kappa \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx - \varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} \int_{\Omega} c_j^\varepsilon |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} \int_{\Omega} c_i^\varepsilon J_j^\varepsilon \cdot J_i^\varepsilon \, dx.$$

We know that, for all i , $0 \leq c_i^\varepsilon \leq 1$ and, for all i, j , $|\delta_{ij}| \leq 1$. Hence we can deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |c_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx &\leq -\kappa \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon(I-1) \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} |J_j^\varepsilon \cdot J_i^\varepsilon| \, dx \\ &\leq -\kappa \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon(I-1) \int_{\Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} |J_j^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By summing over all i , we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |c_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx \leq (-\kappa + 2\varepsilon(I-1)) \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx$$

which implies, for all $\varepsilon < \kappa/(2I-2)$ and for all $T > 0$, that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |c_i^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 \, dx$$

and, at the same time, that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 \, dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I-1))} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

The previous inequality (3.3) proves that, for any $\varepsilon < \kappa/(2I - 2)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, I$ and for all $T > 0$, the family $\{J_i^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ with respect to ε .

If now we integrate inequality (3.2) with respect to t in $(0, T)$, we deduce that the family $\{c_i^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ – with respect to ε – for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

As a consequence of this result, we can deduce a stronger bound for the family $\{c_i^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$. Indeed, using the elementary inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 \leq n \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

from equation (3.1) we have that, for all $\varepsilon < \kappa/(2I - 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon|^2 &= \left| - \left(\varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} c_j^\varepsilon + \kappa \right) J_i^\varepsilon + \varepsilon c_i^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} J_j^\varepsilon \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left[(\kappa + \varepsilon)^2 |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 (I - 1) \sum_{j \neq i} |J_j^\varepsilon|^2 \right] \leq 2\kappa^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2(I - 1)} \right)^2 |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{4(I - 1)} \sum_{j \neq i} |J_j^\varepsilon|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating the above inequality with respect to (t, x) in $(0, T) \times \Omega$ and summing over all i , we deduce, for all $\varepsilon < \kappa/(2I - 2)$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} |\nabla_x c_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx dt &\leq 2\kappa^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2(I - 1)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right] \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq 2\kappa^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2(I - 1)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right] \frac{1}{2(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I - 1))} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^I |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now, for $i = 1, \dots, I \in \mathbb{N}$ the solution (c_i, J_i) of the system of heat equations (2.7) with initial and boundary conditions (2.8).

We can prove that $c_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow c_i$ strongly in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Let

$$g_i^\varepsilon := c_i^\varepsilon - c_i \text{ and } \Theta_i^\varepsilon := J_i^\varepsilon - J_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, I$. Therefore, from systems (2.1) and (2.7), we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t g_i^\varepsilon + \nabla_x \cdot \Theta_i^\varepsilon = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega \\ \nabla_x g_i^\varepsilon = -\kappa \Theta_i^\varepsilon - \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon \delta_{ij} (c_j^\varepsilon J_i^\varepsilon - c_i^\varepsilon J_j^\varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

together with the relationship

$$\sum_{i=1}^I g_i^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and for all } x \in \Omega,$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (g_1^\varepsilon(0, x), \dots, g_I^\varepsilon(0, x)) &= (0, \dots, 0), \\ \Theta_i^\varepsilon(t, x) \cdot n_x &= 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, I. \end{aligned}$$

We now multiply the first equation of system (3.5) by g_i^ε and then perform the scalar product between Θ_i^ε and both sides of the second equation of system (3.5). After integrating with respect to the space-time variables in $(0, t) \times \Omega$, with $t \in (0, T)$, and then summing over all i , by the fundamental theorem of calculus, and using the initial and boundary conditions (3.6), we deduce, for all $\varepsilon < \kappa/(2I - 2)$, that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} [g_i^\varepsilon(t, x)]^2 dx = -\kappa \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0, t) \times \Omega} |\Theta_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} \int_{(0, t) \times \Omega} (c_j^\varepsilon J_i^\varepsilon - c_i^\varepsilon J_j^\varepsilon) \cdot \Theta_i^\varepsilon dx ds,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} [g_i^\varepsilon(t, x)]^2 dx \\ & \leq -\kappa \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} |\Theta_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} c_j^\varepsilon \right) |J_i^\varepsilon \cdot \Theta_i^\varepsilon| dx ds + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} c_i^\varepsilon |J_j^\varepsilon \cdot \Theta_i^\varepsilon| dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the bound $|\delta_{ij}| \leq 1$. Thanks to the elementary inequality $2|a \cdot b| \leq |a|^2 + |b|^2$ and to condition (2.4), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} [g_i^\varepsilon(t, x)]^2 dx \leq -\left(\kappa - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} I\right) \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} |\Theta_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} I \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds.$$

We finally deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} [g_i^\varepsilon(t, x)]^2 dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} I \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon IT}{4(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I-1))} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 dx,$$

where the last inequality is a direct consequence of estimate (3.3). Since the last expression in the above inequality is independent of t , we can hence conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \|g_i^\varepsilon\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \|c_i^\varepsilon - c_i\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon IT}{2(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I-1))} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 dx.$$

We have hence that

$$c_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow c_i \text{ strongly in } L^2((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$

with a rate of order at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Moreover, from (3.7) and estimate (3.3), we deduce that

$$\left(\kappa - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} I\right) \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} |\Theta_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} I \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} |J_i^\varepsilon|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon IT}{4(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I-1))} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 dx.$$

The previous inequality allows to conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^I \|\Theta_i^\varepsilon\|_{(L^2((0,T) \times \Omega))^d}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \|J_i^\varepsilon - J_i\|_{(L^2((0,T) \times \Omega))^d}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon IT}{2(\kappa - 2\varepsilon(I-1))(2\kappa - \varepsilon I)} \sum_{i=1}^I \int_{\Omega} |c_i^{\text{in}}(x)|^2 dx.$$

We finally have that

$$J_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow J_i \text{ strongly in } (L^2((0, T) \times \Omega))^{d \times I} \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$$

with a rate of order at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Consider now Equation (3.1). Because of the L^2 bounds on c_i^ε and J_i^ε given by (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$-\varepsilon \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} c_j^\varepsilon \right) J_i^\varepsilon + \varepsilon c_i^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{ij} J_j^\varepsilon \right) \rightharpoonup 0$$

weakly in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)^d$. As a consequence, the limits c_i and J_i satisfy the heat equation system (2.7) in distributional sense with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Anwasia, P. Gonçalves, and A. J. Soares. From the simple reacting sphere kinetic model to the reaction-diffusion system of Maxwell-Stefan type. *preprint arXiv:1707.01316*, 2017.
- [2] D. Bothe. On the Maxwell-Stefan approach to multicomponent diffusion. In *Parabolic problems*, volume 80 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 81–93. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [3] L. Boudin, B. Grec, and V. Pavan. The Maxwell-Stefan diffusion limit for a kinetic model of mixtures with general cross sections. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 159:40–61, 2017.
- [4] L. Boudin, B. Grec, M. Pavić, and F. Salvarani. Diffusion asymptotics of a kinetic model for gaseous mixtures. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 6(1):137–157, 2013.
- [5] L. Boudin, B. Grec, and F. Salvarani. A mathematical and numerical analysis of the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 17(5):1427–1440, 2012.
- [6] L. Boudin, B. Grec, and F. Salvarani. The Maxwell-Stefan diffusion limit for a kinetic model of mixtures. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 136:79–90, 2015.

- [7] X. Chen and A. Jüngel. Analysis of an Incompressible Navier–Stokes–Maxwell–Stefan System. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 340(2):471–497, 2015.
- [8] A. Fick. On liquid diffusion. *Phil. Mag.*, 10(63):30–39, 1855.
- [9] A. Fick. Über Diffusion. *Poggendorff’s Annal Physik*, 94:59–86, 1855.
- [10] V. Giovangigli. *Multicomponent flow modeling*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [11] M. Herberg, M. Meyries, J. Prüss, and M. Wilke. Reaction-diffusion systems of Maxwell-Stefan type with reversible mass-action kinetics. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 159:264–284, 2017.
- [12] H. Hutridurga and F. Salvarani. Maxwell-Stefan diffusion asymptotics for gas mixtures in non-isothermal setting. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 159:285–297, 2017.
- [13] H. Hutridurga and F. Salvarani. On the Maxwell-Stefan diffusion limit for a mixture of monatomic gases. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 40(3):803–813, 2017.
- [14] H. Hutridurga and F. Salvarani. Existence and uniqueness analysis of a non-isothermal cross-diffusion system of Maxwell-Stefan type. *Appl. Math. Letters*, 75:108–113, 2018.
- [15] A. Jüngel and I. V. Stelzer. Existence analysis of Maxwell-Stefan systems for multicomponent mixtures. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45(4):2421–2440, 2013.
- [16] J. C. Maxwell. On the dynamical theory of gases. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*, 157:49–88, 1866.
- [17] M. McLeod and Y. Bourgault. Mixed finite element methods for addressing multi-species diffusion using the Maxwell-Stefan equations. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 279:515–535, 2014.
- [18] J. Stefan. Über das Gleichgewicht und die Bewegung insbesondere die Diffusion von Gasgemengen. *Akad. Wiss. Wien*, 63:63–124, 1871.

F.S.: UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534, F-75775 PARIS CEDEX 16, FRANCE & UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PAVIA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY
E-mail address: `francesco.salvarani@unipv.it`

A.J.S.: UNIVERSITY OF MINHO, CENTRE OF MATHEMATICS, 4710-057 BRAGA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: `ajsoares@math.uminho.pt`