

On the Sendov conjecture for polynomials with simple zeros

Robert Dalmasso

▶ To cite this version:

Robert Dalmasso. On the Sendov conjecture for polynomials with simple zeros. 2018. hal-01790791

HAL Id: hal-01790791 https://hal.science/hal-01790791

Preprint submitted on 14 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the Sendov conjecture for polynomials with simple zeros

Robert DALMASSO

Le Galion - B, 33 Boulevard Stalingrad, 06300 Nice, France

Abstract. The Sendov conjecture asserts that if all the zeros of a polynomial p lie in the closed unit disk then there must be a zero of p' within unit distance of each zero. In this paper we give a partial result when p has simple zeros.

Key words and phrases. Sendov's conjecture; polynomial; simple zeros; Walsh's Coincidence Theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C10, 30C15.

1. Introduction

The well-known Sendov conjecture ([4] Problem 4.5) asserts that if $p(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)$ is a polynomial with $|z_j| \leq 1$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$, then each disk $|z - z_j| \leq 1$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ contains a zero of p'. Notice that by the Gauss-Lucas theorem the zeros w_k $(1 \leq k \leq n - 1)$ of p' lie in the closed convex hull of the zeros of p, hence $|w_k| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

This conjecture has been verified for polynomials of degree $n \leq 8$ or for arbitrary degree n if there are at most eight distinct roots: See Brown and Xiang [1] and the references therein. It is also true in general $(n \geq 2)$ when p(0) = 0 ([7]). The Sendov conjecture is true with respect to the root z_j of p if $|z_j| = 1$ ([6]). Recently ([2]) it has been verified when n is larger than a fixed integer depending on the root z_j of p. We refer the reader to Marden [5] and Sendov [8] for further information and bibliographies. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Suppose that p has simple zeros z_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ in the closed unit disk $\overline{D}(0, 1)$. Let

$$r = \frac{1}{n} \min_{1 \le j \le n} \min_{i \ne j} |z_i - z_j| \quad , \ a_n = n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} - 1 \,,$$
$$A_{2p} = 2p(\frac{r^2 a_{2p}}{24})^p \quad \text{and} \quad A_{2p+1} = (2p+1)a_{2p+1}^{p+1}(\frac{r^2\sqrt{3}}{72})^p \,.$$

Then the Sendov conjecture holds for p when $|p(0)| \leq A_n$.

Our proof will make use of the so-called Coincidence Theorem, a variant of Grace's Apolarity Theorem ([5]). We begin with the following definition.

Definition $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is a symmetric *p*-linear form of total degree *p* in the variables x_j ($1 \le j \le p$) belonging to \mathbb{C} if it is symmetric in these variables and if Φ is a polynomial of degree 1 in each x_j separately such that $\Phi(x, \dots, x)$ is a polynomial of degree *p* in *x*.

A circular region is an open or closed disk or halfplane in \mathbb{C} , or the complement of any such set. Now we recall Walsh's Coincidence Theorem ([5]).

Theorem 2 Let Φ be a symmetric *p*-linear form of total degree *p* in x_1, \dots, x_p and let *C* be a circular region containing the *p* points $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$. Then in *C* there exists at least one point *x* such that $\Phi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) = \Phi(x, \dots, x)$.

Finally we also need the next result.

Theorem 3 ([3]) Let

$$q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (z - u_j)^{k_j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{r} k_j = m,$$

be a polynomial of degree m whose zeros u_1, \dots, u_r are distinct and have multiplicity k_1, \dots, k_r , respectively. For any zero u_j of q, let $M_j = \min_{i \neq j} |u_i - u_j|, j = 1, \dots, r$. Then q has no nontrivial critical point (the critical points which are not zeros of the polynomial) in

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\prime} \{ z \in \mathbb{C} ; |z - u_j| < \frac{k_j}{m} M_j \} .$$

In Section 2 we give some preliminary results. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 1 The Sendov conjecture is true with respect to the root z_j if $|z_j| \le a_n$.

Proof. Since $p'(z_j) = q(z_j)$, where $q(z) = p(z)/(z - z_j)$, we have

$$n\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z_j - w_k) = \prod_{k \neq j} (z_j - z_k).$$

Then

$$n\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}|z_j - w_k| = \prod_{k \neq j}|z_j - z_k| \le (1 + |z_j|)^{n-1} \le n \,,$$

and the lemma follows.

Distinguish one of the zeros of p, say z_n , and let $z_n = a$. By a rotation, if necessary, and using Lemma 1 we may suppose that $a_n < a < 1$. Let $0 < s \leq r$ and set $z_0 = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{4-a^2}$. Let $v_1(z_0,s), v_2(z_0,s) \in \partial D(0,1) \cap$ $\partial D(z_0,s)$ with $\operatorname{Re} v_1(z_0,s) < \operatorname{Re} v_2(z_0,s)$. We denote by $L(z_0,s)$ the line through $v_1(z_0,s)$ and $v_2(z_0,s)$ and by $H(z_0,s)$ the closed halfplane bounded by $L(z_0,s)$ such that $0 \notin H(z_0,s)$. We set $A(z_0,s) = \overline{D}(0,1) \cap H(z_0,s)$. Finally let $v_3(z_0,s) \in L(z_0,s) \cap \partial D(a,1)$ with $\operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0,s) < a/2$.

Lemma 2 With the above notations we have

$$\operatorname{Re} v_1(z_0, s) = \frac{1}{4} (a(2-s^2) - s((4-a^2)(4-s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$\operatorname{Im} v_1(z_0, s) = \frac{-a}{4\sqrt{4-a^2}} (a(2-s^2) - s((4-a^2)(4-s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{2-s^2}{\sqrt{4-a^2}},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} v_2(z_0, s) = \frac{1}{4} (a(2-s^2) + s((4-a^2)(4-s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$\operatorname{Im} v_2(z_0, s) = \frac{-a}{4\sqrt{4-a^2}} (a(2-s^2) + s((4-a^2)(4-s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{2-s^2}{\sqrt{4-a^2}},$$

$$\operatorname{L}(z_0, s) = \{c + id; c, d \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } d\sqrt{4-a^2} = -ac + 2 - s^2\},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0, s) = \frac{1}{4} (a(6 - a^2 - s^2) - ((4 - a^2)(4 - a^2 - s^2)(a^2 + s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Moreover

$$\frac{a}{2} - \operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0, s) > \frac{s^2}{6}.$$

Proof. We only prove the inequality since all the other formulas follow from elementary computations. Set

$$\Delta = (4 - a^2)(4 - a^2 - s^2)(a^2 + s^2).$$

We can write

$$\frac{a}{2} - \operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0, s) = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{\Delta} - a(6 - a^2 - s^2))$$

$$= \frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta - a^2(6 - a^2 - s^2)^2}{\sqrt{\Delta} + a(6 - a^2 - s^2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{2a\sqrt{\Delta} + 2a^2(6 - a^2 - s^2) + \Delta - a^2(6 - a^2 - s^2)^2}{\sqrt{\Delta} + a(6 - a^2 - s^2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{N}{D}.$$

Since

$$\sqrt{\Delta} > a((4-a^2)(4-a^2-s^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} > a(4-a^2-s^2),$$

we get

$$N = 2a\sqrt{\Delta} + 2a^{2}(6 - a^{2} - s^{2}) + \Delta - a^{2}(6 - a^{2} - s^{2})^{2}$$

$$= 2a\sqrt{\Delta} + \Delta - a^{2}(6 - a^{2} - s^{2})(4 - a^{2} - s^{2})$$

$$> 2a^{2}(4 - a^{2} - s^{2}) + s^{2}(4 - a^{2})(4 - a^{2} - s^{2})$$

$$-a^{2}(4 - a^{2} - s^{2})(2 - s^{2})$$

$$= 4s^{2}(4 - a^{2} - s^{2}) > 8s^{2}.$$

Since $D < \sqrt{32} + 6 < 12$, we get

$$\frac{a}{2} - \operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0, s) > \frac{s^2}{6}.$$

Lemma 3 Suppose that there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} w_k \leq a/2$ and $\operatorname{Im} w_k \geq \operatorname{Im} v_3(z_0, \frac{r}{2})$. Then $\operatorname{Re} w_k < \operatorname{Re} v_3(z_0, \frac{r}{2})$.

Proof. We claim that p' has no critical point in $A(z_0, \frac{r}{2})$. Then the lemma follows. To verify this claim suppose first that $p(z_0) = 0$. Since p has simple zeros Theorem 3 implies that $p'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in D(z_0, r)$ and the claim is true in this case. Now assume that $p(z_0) \neq 0$. If there exists $z_j \in \overline{D}(z_0, \frac{r}{2}) \cap \overline{D}(0, 1)$ such that $p(z_j) = 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, again Theorem 3 implies that p' has no critical point in $D(z_j, r)$ and the claim is true. Finally, if $p(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \overline{D}(z_0, \frac{r}{2}) \cap \overline{D}(0, 1)$, the Gauss-Lucas Theorem implies that $p'(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in A(z_0, \frac{r}{2})$.

Remark 1. Let $z'_0 = \frac{a}{2} - \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{4 - a^2}$. Lemmas similar to Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 hold if we consider the point z'_0 instead of z_0 .

Lemma 4 Let

$$\alpha_j = \frac{\sin(2j\pi)/n}{1 - \cos(2j\pi)/n} \quad , \ 1 \le j \le n - 1 \, .$$

Then $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{p-1} = 1$ when n = 2p and $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \ge (\sqrt{3}/3)^p$ when n = 2p+1.

Proof. Let n = 2p. For $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ we have

$$\alpha_{p-j} = \frac{\sin(j\pi/p)}{1 + \cos(j\pi/p)} = \frac{1 - \cos(j\pi/p)}{\sin(j\pi/p)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j}$$

and the result follows.

Now let n = 2p + 1 with $p \ge 2$. We can write

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p = \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \alpha_{p-j+1}$$
 if $p = 2k, \ k \ge 1$,

and

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p = \alpha_{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \alpha_{p-j+1}$$
 if $p = 2k+1, \ k \ge 1$.

For $j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ we have

$$\alpha_{j}\alpha_{p-j+1} = \frac{\sin(2j\pi/(2p+1))}{1-\cos(2j\pi/(2p+1))} \frac{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2p+1))}{1+\cos((2j-1)\pi/(2p+1))}$$
$$= \frac{\cos(j\pi/(2p+1))}{\sin(j\pi/(2p+1))} \frac{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2p+1))}{1+\cos((2j-1)\pi/(2p+1))}$$
$$\geq \frac{\cos(j\pi/(2p+1))}{\sin(j\pi/(2p+1))} \frac{\sin(j\pi/(2p+1))}{1+\cos(j\pi/(2p+1))}$$
$$= \frac{\cos(j\pi/(2p+1))}{1+\cos(j\pi/(2p+1))} \ge \frac{\cos(\pi/3)}{1+\cos(\pi/3)} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Now when p = 2k + 1 we have

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \frac{\cos((k+1)\pi/(4k+3))}{\sin((k+1)\pi/(4k+3))} \ge \frac{\cos(\pi/3)}{\sin(\pi/3)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}.$$

The lemma follows.

Lemma 5 1) We have

$$\frac{r^2}{24} < \frac{a_{2p}}{2} \alpha_{p-1} \quad \text{if } n = 2p \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r^2}{24} < \frac{a_{2p+1}}{2} \alpha_p \quad \text{if } n = 2p+1 \,.$$

2) Let $v \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Re} v < \frac{a}{2} - b\,,$$

where b > 0 is such that

$$b < \frac{a_{2p}}{2}\alpha_{p-1}$$
 if $n = 2p$ and $b < \frac{a_{2p+1}}{2}\alpha_p$ if $n = 2p+1$.

Then

$$|(v-a)^n - v^n| > B_n(b),$$

where

$$B_{2p}(b) = 2p(ba_{2p})^p$$
 and $B_{2p+1} = (2p+1)a_{2p+1}^{p+1}(b\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})^p$.

Proof. 1) is easily verified since $r \leq 2/n$. 2) Let

$$C_n(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (b^2 + (y - \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2) , \ y \in \mathbb{R}$$

We have

$$C_{2p}(y) = (b^2 + y^2) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (b^2 + (y - \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2) (b^2 + (y + \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2) \quad ,$$

and

$$C_{2p+1}(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} (b^2 + (y - \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2)(b^2 + (y + \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2) .$$

Since $a > a_n$ we get

$$(b^2 + (y - \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2)(b^2 + (y + \frac{a}{2}\alpha_j)^2) \ge b^2 a^2 \alpha_j^2 \text{ for } 1 \le j \le p \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then Lemma 4 implies that

$$C_{2p}(y) > b^{2p} a_{2p}^{2(p-1)}$$
 and $C_{2p+1}(y) > b^{2p} a_{2p+1}^{2p} 3^{-p}$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now the solutions v_j $(1 \le j \le n-1)$ of

$$(v-a)^n - v^n = 0 ,$$

are given by

$$v_j = \frac{a}{2}(1+i\alpha_j) , \ 1 \le j \le n-1.$$
 (1)

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(v-a)^n - v^n|^2 &= n^2 a^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} ((\operatorname{Re} v - \frac{a}{2})^2 + (\operatorname{Im} v - \frac{a}{2} \alpha_j)^2) \\ &\geq n^2 a^2 C_n (\operatorname{Im} v) \\ &> B_n(b)^2 \,. \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Define

$$k(z, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1}) = z^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{n}{n-k} \times (\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n-1} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_k}) z^{n-k} ,$$

for $z, u_1, \cdots, u_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$k(z, w_1, \cdots, w_{n-1}) = p(z) - p(0)$$
.

Let

$$C = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{a}{2} - \frac{r^2}{24} \}$$

Suppose that $|a - w_k| > 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $\operatorname{Re} w_k < a/2$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Using Lemma 2 with s = r/2, Lemma 3 and Remark 1 we obtain $\operatorname{Re} w_k < \frac{a}{2} - \frac{r^2}{24}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Theorem 2 implies that there exists $v \in C$ such that

$$-p(0) = k(a, w_1, \cdots, w_{n-1}) = k(a, v, \cdots, v) = (a - v)^n + (-1)^{n-1} v^n.$$

Lemma 5 with $b = r^2/24$ implies that $v \notin C$ and we reach a contradiction.

Remark 2. Suppose that the zeros of p are not necessarily simple. Using Walsh's Coincidence Theorem we can give a new proof of Sendov's conjecture when p(0) = 0. By a rotation, if necessary, we may suppose that p has the form

$$p(z) = (z - a) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - z_j),$$

where $a \in (0, 1]$ is a simple root. Suppose that $|a - w_k| > 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. 1. Since $\operatorname{Re} w_k < a/2$, there exists t > 0 such that $\operatorname{Re} w_k < \frac{a}{2} - t$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Let $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} z < \frac{a}{2} - t\}$. Theorem 2 implies that there exists $v \in E$ such that

$$0 = k(a, w_1, \cdots, w_{n-1}) = k(a, v, \cdots, v) = (a - v)^n + (-1)^{n-1} v^n.$$

Since $v = v_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, (1) implies that $v \notin E$ and we reach a contradiction.

References

- J. E. Brown and G. P. Xiang, Proof of the Sendov conjecture for polynomials of degree at most eight, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999), 272-292.
- [2] J. Dégot, Sendov conjecture for high degree polynomials, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), 1337-1349.
- [3] D. K. Dimitrov, A refinement of the Gauss-Lucas Theorem, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 2065-2070.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, Research problems in function theory, Athlone Press, London (1967).
- [5] M. Marden, Geometry of polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys, 3 (1966).
- [6] Z. Rubinstein, On a problem of Ilyeff, Pacific J. Math. 26 (1968), 159-161.
- [7] G. Schmeisser, On Ilyeff's Conjecture, Math. Z. **156** (1977), 165-173.
- [8] Bl. Sendov, Generalization of a conjecture in the geometry of polynomials, Serdica Math. J. **28** (2002), 283-304.