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#### Abstract

Given a partially ordered set $\mathcal{S}$, we define, for a linear extension $P$ of $\mathcal{S}$, a multivariate polynomial, counting certain reverse partitions on $\mathcal{S}$ called $P$-pedestals. We establish a remarkable property of this polynomial: it does not depend on the choice of $P$. For $\mathcal{S}$ a Young diagram, we show that this polynomial generalizes the hook polynomial.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a partially ordered set. In this work we associate to $\mathcal{S}$ a multivariate polynomial $\mathfrak{h}$. When $\mathcal{S}$ is a Young diagram, the principal specialization of $\mathfrak{h}$ coincides with the hook polynomial, see section 4.

Our construction of $\mathfrak{h}$ begins with defining a polynomial $\mathfrak{h}_{P}$, where $P$ is an arbitrary linear extension of $\mathcal{S}$. Then we show that in fact $\mathfrak{h}_{P}$ does not depend on $P$. The proof uses the equality (4) (precise definitions are given in sections 2 and 3 ), which is implied by the bijection between the set of reverse partitions on $\mathcal{S}$ and the product of the set of $P$-pedestals on $\mathcal{S}$ and the set of Young diagrams with at most $|\mathcal{S}|$ rows.

To facilitate the exposition we take for $\mathcal{S}$ the set of nodes of a Young diagram $\lambda$. In this situation, linear extensions of $\mathcal{S}$ correspond to standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$, see Definition 1. Our results and proofs work in the same way for general $\mathcal{S}$ (and linear extensions of $\mathcal{S}$ instead of standard Young tableaux).

After this work was completed, we were informed that the coefficients of our polynomial are values of the " $\beta$-function", in the terminology of Stanley's book [3], theorem 3.13.1. Our approach uses very different ideas, in particular, the factorization property (4).

## 2 Main result

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right) \vdash n, \lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{l}>0$, be a partition of $n, \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}=n$. We identify $\lambda$ with its Young diagram, that is, the set of nodes

$$
\alpha=(i, j) \text { with } j=1, \ldots, \lambda_{i} \text { for each } i=1, \ldots, l .
$$

A standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a bijection $Q: \lambda \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the function $Q(i, j)$ increases in $i$ and $j$. We denote the set of these standard Young tableaux by $\mathfrak{s t} \lambda_{\lambda}$.

[^0]Definition 1. Let $\preccurlyeq$ be the minimal transitive partial order on $\lambda$, containing $(i, j) \prec(i+1, j)$ and $(i, j) \prec(i, j+1)$ for all possible $(i, j)$. A standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ can be identified with a linear extension of $\preccurlyeq$, that is, a linear order, compatible with the partial order $\preccurlyeq$. We denote by $\preccurlyeq_{P}$ the linear order associated to a standard tableau $P$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ be the set of non-negative integers. A reverse plane partition of shape $\lambda$ is a function $\mathfrak{Q}: \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, non-decreasing in $i$ and $j$. It is column-strict if it increases in $j$. We visualize reverse plane partitions by placing the number $\mathfrak{Q}(i, j)$ in the node $(i, j)$ for each $(i, j) \in \lambda$. We denote by $|\mathfrak{Q}|$ the volume of $\mathfrak{Q},|\mathfrak{Q}|=\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} \mathfrak{Q}(i, j)$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda}$ be the set of reverse plane partitions of shape $\lambda$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda}$ the subset of reverse column-strict plane partitions of shape $\lambda$. Recall that the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$ is the formal power series in infinitely many variables $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$, given by

$$
s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}} \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} x_{\mathfrak{Q}(\alpha)} .
$$

We need the similarly defined formal power series $\bar{s}_{\lambda}$,

$$
\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathfrak{Q} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda}} \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} x_{\mathfrak{Q}(\alpha)} .
$$

The Schur function $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$, unlike our 'wrong' Schur function, $\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$, is symmetric (see, e.g. [4]).

To define the pedestal polynomial we proceed as follows. Let $P, Q \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$ be two standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$. We are going to compare the corresponding linear orders $\preccurlyeq_{P}$ and $\preccurlyeq_{Q}$ on the set of the nodes of $\lambda$. Let $\alpha_{1}=(1,1) \prec_{Q} \alpha_{2} \prec_{Q} \cdots \prec_{Q} \alpha_{n}$ be the list of all the nodes of $\lambda$, enumerated according to the order $\preccurlyeq_{Q}$. We say that a node $\alpha_{k}$ is a $(P, Q)$-disagreement node, if $\alpha_{k+1} \prec_{P} \alpha_{k}$ (while, of course, $\alpha_{k} \prec_{Q} \alpha_{k+1}$ ). We define the reverse plane partition $q_{P, Q}$ of shape $\lambda$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{P, Q}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\sharp\left\{l: l<k, \alpha_{l} \text { is a }(P, Q) \text {-disagreement node }\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the function $q_{P, Q}$ is non-decreasing with respect to the order $\preccurlyeq \preccurlyeq_{Q}$, hence $q_{P, Q}$ is a reverse plane partition.

The reverse plane partition $q_{P, Q}$ thus defined is called $P$-pedestal of $Q$, see [2]. Let ${ }^{\lambda} M$ be the matrix, whose rows and columns are indexed by the standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$, with the entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\lambda} M\right)_{P, Q}:=\prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} x_{q_{P, Q}(\alpha)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally define the polynomial $\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}}\left({ }^{\lambda} M\right)_{P, Q} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set (as $Q$ runs over $\mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$ ) of $P$-pedestals depends on $P$. However, the polynomial $\mathfrak{h}_{P}$ has the following surprising property.

Theorem 2. The function $\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x})$ does not depend on $P$ from $\mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$.
Definition 3. We call the function

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x}),
$$

where $P$ is any standard Young tableau $P$ of shape $\lambda$, the pedestal polynomial.
Our theorem states that the pedestal polynomial is well-defined. For example, $\mathfrak{h}_{(3,2)}(\mathbf{x})=$ $x_{0}^{5}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}+x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $R_{n}$ be the component of degree $n$ of the ring of formal power series in variables $\mathbf{x}=$ $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ with integer coefficients. The element $u(\mathbf{x})$ of $R_{n}$ is a sum,

$$
u(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \ldots \leq i_{n}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{n}} \mathbf{x}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}:=x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{n}}
$$

where $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$ are non-negative integers, and the coefficients $a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{n}}$ are integer. For example, the functions $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ belong to $R_{n}$.

The function $\bar{s}_{(n)}$, corresponding to the one-row partition $\lambda=(n)$,

$$
\bar{s}_{(n)}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \ldots \leq i_{n}} \mathbf{x}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} \in R_{n}
$$

will play a special role in our argument.
We define the $*$-product on monomials by

$$
\mathbf{x}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} * \mathbf{x}_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n}}:=\mathbf{x}_{i_{1}+j_{1}, i_{2}+j_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}+j_{n}}
$$

and extend it by linearity to the ring structure on $R_{n}$. The ring $\left(R_{n}, *\right)$ is isomorphic to a subring of the ring $\mathbb{C}\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right]$ of formal power series in $n$ variables, via the monomorphism $\varphi_{n}: R_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right]$, defined by

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right)=y_{1}^{i_{1} \ldots y_{n}^{i_{n}} .}
$$

In particular, $\left(R_{n}, *\right)$ inherits from $\mathbb{C}\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right]$ the property of having no zero divisors.
Fix a standard Young tableau $P \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$. We will prove now the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})=\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x}) * \bar{s}_{(n)}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies, due to the absence of zero divisors in $\left(R_{n}, *\right)$, the assertion of the theorem, since the first and the last terms in the identity do not depend on $P$.

The bijective proof of the identity (4) follows from [2]. Relations (46), (48) and (50) of that paper describe bijections $b_{S t}, b_{S t}^{-1}$ between the set of reverse plane partitions of shape $\lambda$ and the product of the set of $P$-pedestals and the set of Young diagrams with at most $n$ rows. Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be
a reverse plane partition and $b_{S t}(\mathfrak{Q})=(q, \mu)$, where $q$ is a $P$-pedestal and $\mu$ a Young diagram. The construction of $b_{S t}$ (see below) implies that the monomial, corresponding to $\mathfrak{Q}$ in $\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ is the *-product of the monomial corresponding to $q$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{P}(\mathbf{x})$ and the monomial corresponding to $\mu$ in $\bar{s}_{(n)}(\mathbf{x})$, and the proof of (4) follows. A particular case of these bijections was used earlier by D. Knuth in [1].

The bijections $b_{S t}, b_{S t}^{-1}$ are defined as follows.
Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a reverse plane partition of shape $\lambda$. We define the partition $\Pi(\mathfrak{Q})$ of $|\mathfrak{Q}|$ by just listing all the entries of the two-dimensional array of values of $\mathfrak{Q}$ in the non-increasing order. Note that $\Pi(\mathfrak{Q})$ has at most $n$ rows.

To every reverse plane partition $\mathfrak{Q}$ we associate the standard Young tableau $Q(\mathfrak{Q}) \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$ as follows. Define the linear order $\preccurlyeq \mathfrak{Q}$ on the nodes of $\lambda$ by

$$
\alpha^{\prime} \prec_{\mathfrak{Q}} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \text { if } \mathfrak{Q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)<\mathfrak{Q}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { or if } \mathfrak{Q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\mathfrak{Q}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { and } \alpha^{\prime} \prec_{P} \alpha^{\prime \prime} .
$$



$$
b_{S t}(\mathfrak{Q})=\left(q_{P, Q(\mathfrak{Q})}, \Pi\left(\mathfrak{Q}-q_{P, Q(\mathfrak{Q})}\right)\right)
$$

where the reverse plane partition $\mathfrak{Q}-q_{P, Q(\mathfrak{2})}$ is given by

$$
\left(\mathfrak{Q}-q_{P, Q(2)}\right)(\alpha)=\mathfrak{Q}(\alpha)-q_{P, Q(\mathfrak{Z})}(\alpha), \alpha \in \lambda .
$$

To construct the inverse bijection, $b_{S t}^{-1}$, we first associate to every standard Young tableau $Q \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$ and every partition $p=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ the reverse plane partition $\mathfrak{Q}_{Q, p}$ of shape $\lambda$, by

$$
\mathfrak{Q}_{Q, p}(i, j)=\Lambda_{Q(i, j)} .
$$

Then

$$
b_{S t}^{-1}\left(q_{P, Q}, p\right)=q_{P, Q}+\mathfrak{Q}_{Q, p}
$$

The proof is finished.

## 4 Discussion

The identity (4) is of independent interest. The principal specialization, $x_{i} \mapsto x^{i}$, turns the 'wrong' Schur function $\bar{s}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ into the generating function $\sigma_{\lambda}(x)$ for the number of reverse plane partitions of shape $\lambda$, given by the Mac-Mahon-Stanley formula

$$
\sigma_{\lambda}(x)=\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in \lambda}\left(1-x^{h_{\alpha}}\right)},
$$

where $h_{\alpha}$ is the hook length of a node $\alpha$ of $\lambda$. The term $\bar{s}_{(n)}(\mathbf{x})$ turns into the generating function of Young diagrams with at most $n$ rows:

$$
\sigma_{(n)}(x)=\frac{1}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1-x^{n}\right)} .
$$

Finally, the function $\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ turns into the generating polynomial $\pi_{\lambda}(x)$ of the sequence $\left\{p_{\lambda, k}\right\}$ with $p_{\lambda, k}$ the number of $P$-pedestals of volume $k \geq 0$ (for any $P \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$ ). We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in \lambda}\left(1-x^{h_{\alpha}}\right)}=\frac{\pi_{\lambda}(x)}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right) \ldots\left(1-x^{n}\right)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3) that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\lambda}(x)=\sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{s t}} x^{\left|q_{P, Q}\right|} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on the choice of $P$ while the contribution of an individual standard Young tableau $Q$ does.

The formula (5) can be found in [4], but there the polynomial $\pi_{\lambda}(x)$ is given by any of two other expressions:

$$
\pi_{\lambda}(x)=x^{-l(\lambda)} \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}} x^{\operatorname{maj}(Q)} \text { and } \pi_{\lambda}(x)=x^{-l(\lambda)} \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}} x^{\operatorname{comaj}(Q)}
$$

where $l(\lambda)=\sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda}(i-1)$. It is interesting to note that in general neither of the two functions on $\mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}$, $\operatorname{maj}(\cdot)-l(\lambda)$ and $\operatorname{comaj}(\cdot)-l(\lambda)$, nor their partners for the transposed to $\lambda$ Young diagram, belong to our family $\left\{\operatorname{vol}\left(q_{P, *}\right): P \in \mathfrak{s t}_{\lambda}\right\}$. For example, take $\lambda=(3,2,1)$.
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