HAL
open science

Quasilinear elliptic equations with a source reaction term involving the function and its gradient and measure data

Marie-Françoise Bidaut-Véron, Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Laurent Véron

▶ **To cite this version:**

Marie-Françoise Bidaut-Véron, Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Laurent Véron. Quasilinear elliptic equations with a source reaction term involving the function and its gradient and measure data. 2018. hal-01775096v1

HAL Id: hal-01775096

https://hal.science/hal-01775096v1

Preprint submitted on 24 Apr 2018 (v1), last revised 17 Mar 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quasilinear elliptic equations with a source reaction term involving the function and its gradient and measure data

Marie-Françoise Bidaut-Véron*
Quoc-Hung Nguyen†
Laurent Véron‡

Abstract

We study the equation $-div(A(x,\nabla u)) = g(x,u,\nabla u) + \mu$ where μ is a measure and either $g(x,u,\nabla u) \sim |u|^{q_1}u|\nabla u|^{q_2}$ or $g(x,u,\nabla u) \sim |u|^{s_1}u + |\nabla u|^{s_2}$. We give sufficient conditions for existence of solutions expressed in terms of the Wolff potential or the Riesz potentials of the measure. Finally we connect the potential estimates on the measure with Lipchitz estimates with respect to some Bessel or Riesz capacity.

key-words: Quasilinear equations; Wolff and Riesz potentials; renormalized solutions; Bessel and Riesz capacities.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classication: 31C15, 35J62, 35J92, 35R06, 45G15.

1 Introduction and main results

This article is devoted to the study of existence of solutions of some second order quasilinear equations with measure data with a source-reaction term involving the function and its gradient. First we consider the problem with a Radon measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ in the whole space

$$-div(A(x,\nabla u)) = g(x,u,\nabla u) + \mu \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.1}$$

In this setting, $(x,\xi) \mapsto A(x,\xi)$ from $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory vector field satisfying for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the growth and ellipticity conditions

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & |A(x,\xi)| \leq \Lambda_1|\xi|^{p-1} \quad \text{for all} \ \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (ii) & \langle A(x,\xi),\xi\rangle \geq \Lambda_2|\xi|^p \quad \text{for all} \ \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (iii) & \langle A(x,\xi) - A(x,\eta), \xi - \eta\rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ \xi \neq \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (iv) & A(x,\lambda\xi) = |\lambda|^{p-2}\lambda A(x,\xi) \quad \text{for all} \ (\lambda,\xi) \ \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N, \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 > 0$ are constants and $\frac{3N-2}{2N-1} < p < N$, and where $g : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function. We also consider the homogeneous Dirichlet problem with measure data in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{array}{rl} -div(A(x,\nabla u)) = g(x,u,\nabla u) + \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \tag{1.3}$$

*E-mail address: veronmf@univ-tours.fr, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique,Université François Rabelais, Tours, France

†E-mail address: quochung.nguyen@sns.it, Scuola Normale Superiore, Centro Ennio de Giorgi, Piazza dei Cavalieri 3, I-56100 Pisa, Italy.

‡E-mail address: Laurent.Veron@lmpt.univ-tours.fr, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique,Université François Rabelais, Tours, France

where $A : (x, \xi) \mapsto A(x, \xi)$ is a Carathéodory vector field defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying (1.2) (i)-(iv) in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$, and $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function. The functions g under consideration are be of two types: either a product

$$g(x, u, \nabla u) \sim |u|^{q_1 - 1} u \, |\nabla u|^{q_2} \,, \tag{1.4}$$

with $q_1, q_2 > 0$ and $q_1 + q_2 > p - 1$ or like a sum

$$|g(x, u, \nabla u)| \sim |u|^{s_1} + |\nabla u|^{s_2} \,, \tag{1.5}$$

where $s_1, s_2 > p - 1$. The $\sim$ sign means that the $g(x, u, \nabla u)$ is comparable to, but it could be only one side comparison. The model examples,

$$\begin{array}{ll} -div(A(x, \nabla u)) = |u|^{q_1 - 1} u + \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{array} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} -div(A(x, \nabla u)) = |\nabla u|^{q_2} + \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{array} \tag{1.7}$$

have been studied thouroughly in the last decade. Each of these equations carries a critical exponent q_j^c: $q_1^c = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}$ for equation (1.6) and $q_2^c = \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}$ for (1.7). These critical thresholds mean that if $0 < p - 1 < q_1 < q_1^c$ for (1.6) and $1 - \frac{1}{N} < p - 1 < q_1 < q_1^c$ for (1.7) any nonnegative bounded measure is eligible for the respective equation, provided it is small enough. Concerning

$$\begin{array}{ll} -div(A(x, \nabla u)) = |u|^{q_1 - 1} u \, |\nabla u|^{q_2} + \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

the criticality is expressed by a linear relation $0 < q_1(N - p) + q_2(N - 1) < N(p - 1)$. Then, if $p > 2 - \frac{1}{N}$ and $q_1 + q_2 > p - 1$, problem (1.8) any Radon measure small enough, see [23, Chap 6-2] and references therein. The treatment of the supercritical case for equations (1.6) and (1.7) have been treated more recently. In these cases not only the measure μ has to be small enough, but also it cannot be too concentrated with respect to some Bessel capacity, specific to each problem. It is proved in [18] that if μ is a nonnegative Radon measure with compact support in Ω, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a renormalized solution to (1.6) is that there exists some $c_1 > 0$ depending on the structural constants and $\|\mu\|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that

$$\mu(K) \leq c_1 Cap_{\mathbf{G}_p, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + 1 - p}}(K) \quad \text{for all compact set } K \subset \overline{\Omega}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $Cap_{\mathbf{G}_p, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + 1 - p}}$ denotes some Bessel capacity. Concerning (1.7), assuming $1 < p - 1 < q_2$, it is proved in [19] that there exists a structural constant $c_2 > 0$ as above such that if

$$|\mu|(K) \leq c_2 Cap_{\mathbf{G}_1, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + 1 - p}}(K) \quad \text{for all compact set } K \subset \Omega, \tag{1.10}$$

there exists a renormalized solution to (1.6) with the property that

$$\int_K |\nabla u|^{q_2} \, dx \leq c_3 Cap_{\mathbf{G}_1, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + 1 - p}}(K) \quad \text{for all compact set } K \subset \overline{\Omega}, \tag{1.11}$$

for some $c_3 > 0$.

The complete expression of these results as well as the ones we will state below necessitates the introduction of several definitions and notations from harmonic analysis such as Wolff potential, Riesz potentials, Bessel spaces and maximal functions. The role of these operators has appeared to be a key-stone for conducting a fine analysis of quasilinear equations

with measure data; this is very well presented in the introduction of the seminal paper [18]. If D is either a bounded domain or whole $\mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $\mathfrak{M}(D)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}_b(D)$) the set of Radon measure (resp. bounded Radon measures) in D. Their positive cones are $\mathfrak{M}^+(D)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_b^+(D)$ respectively. For $R \in (0,\infty]$, we define the R- truncated Wolff potential $\mathbf{W}^R_{\alpha,p}$ ($\alpha \in (0, N/p), p > 1$) and the R-truncated Riesz potential $\mathbf{I}^R_\beta$ ($\beta \in (0,N)$) of a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$\mathbf{W}^R_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x) = \int_0^R \left(\frac{\mu(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{I}^R_\beta[\mu](x) = \int_0^R \frac{\mu(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{N-\beta}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \tag{1.12}$$

for all x in $\mathbb{R}^N$. If $R = \infty$, we drop it in expressions of (1.12). We write $\mathbf{W}^R_{\alpha,p}[f], \mathbf{I}^R_\beta[f]$ in place of $\mathbf{W}^R_{\alpha,p}[\mu], \mathbf{I}^R_\beta[\mu]$ if $d\mu = f dx$, where $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For $\alpha > 0, p > 1$, the $(\mathbf{I}_\alpha, p)$-capacity, $(\mathbf{G}_\alpha, p)$-capacity of a Borel set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are defined by

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_\alpha,p}(O) = \inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g|^p dx : g \in L^p_+(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbf{I}_\alpha[g] \geq \chi_O\right\} \text{ and}$$
$$\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_\alpha,p}(O) = \inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g|^p dx : g \in L^p_+(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbf{G}_\alpha * g \geq \chi_O\right\}.$$

where $\mathbf{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left((1+|\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$ is the Bessel kernel of order α, see [1] (and $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are respectively the Fourier transform and its inverse).

The results we prove consist in obtaining sufficient conditions for the solvability of (1.1) or (1.3) where g is of the form (1.2) or (1.4) expressed in terms of inequalities between Wolff or Riesz potentials of μ. In order to obtain these inequalities we will develop a series of sharp relations between these potentials and will connect them with some specific capacities. We recall that a Radon measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ (or Ω) is *absolutely continuous* with respect to some capacity Cap in $\mathbb{R}^N$ (or Ω) if for a Borel set E

$$\mathrm{Cap}(E) = 0 \Longrightarrow |\mu|(E) = 0, \tag{1.13}$$

and it is *Lipschitz continuous* (with constant $c > 0$) if

$$|\mu|(E) \leq c\mathrm{Cap}(E) \quad \text{for all Borel set } E. \tag{1.14}$$

The capacity associated to the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Cap}_{1,p}$. It coincides with $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_1,p}$, [1, Th 1.2.3]. A measure is called *diffuse* if it is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathrm{Cap}_{1,p}$

Our first result is the following

Theorem 1.1 *Let $q_1, q_2 > 0, q_1 + q_2 > p-1, 0 < q_2 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that $A(x,\xi) = A(\xi)$ for any $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$. If for some $C > 0$ depending on p, s, N, q_j and Λ_j (j=1,2), there holds if*

$$|\mu|(K) \leq C\, Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\frac{q_1p+q_2}{q_1+q_2}}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K) \quad \textit{for all compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.15}$$

then problem (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^N$ admits a distributional solution u which satisfies

$$|u| \leq C_0 \mathbf{W}_{1,p}[|\mu|] \quad \textit{and} \quad |\nabla u| \leq C_0 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu|], \tag{1.16}$$

if $p > 2$, and

$$|u| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{1.17}$$

if $\frac{3N-2}{2N-1} < p \leq 2$.

Notice also that if $\mu \geq 0$, then solutions u in Theorem 1.1 are nonnegative p-super-harmonic

When $\mathbb{R}^N$ is replaced by a bounded domain Ω we have the following general results.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $q_1, q_2 > 0, q_1 + q_2 > p-1, 0 < q_2 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a C^{1,β_0} boundary for $\beta_0 \in (0,1)$ and such that $\Omega \subset B_R(x_0)$ for some $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega)$ be such that* $\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu), \partial\Omega) > 0$. *If for some $C > 0$ depending on p, s, N, q_j and Λ_j (j=1,2), Ω and* $\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(\mu), \partial\Omega)$ *there hold*
if ,

$$|\mu|(K) \leq C\, Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\frac{q_1 p+q_2}{q_1+q_2}}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K) \quad \textit{for all compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.18}$$

then problem (1.8) *has a renormalized solution u satisfying*

$$|u| \leq C_0 \mathbf{W}_{1,p}[|\mu|] \quad \textit{and} \quad |\nabla u| \leq C_0 \mathbf{W}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu|], \tag{1.19}$$

if $p > 2$, and

$$|u| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{1.20}$$

if $\frac{3N-2}{2N-1} < p \leq 2$. Moreover, if $\mu \geq 0$, then $u \geq 0$.

2 Estimates on potential

In the sequel C denotes a generic constant depending essentially on some structural constants (i.e. the ones associated to the operator and reaction term) and the domain, the value of which may change from one occurence to another. Sometimes, in order to avoid confusion, we introduce notations C_j, $j = 0, 1, 2....$ We also use the notation $\asymp$ to assert that the two quantities linked by this relation are comparable up to multiplication by constants of the previous type. The following is a general version of results of Phuc and Verbitsky [18, Th 2.3].

Theorem 2.1 *Let $1 < p < N/\alpha$, $q > p-1$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) The inequality

$$\mu(K) \leq C_1\, Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K), \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_1 > 0$.

(b) The inequality

$$\int_K (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y))^q\, dy \leq C_2\, Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K), \tag{2.2}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_2 > 0$.

(c) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^q dy \leq C_3 \mu(B_t(x)), \tag{2.3}$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, for some $C_3 > 0$.

(d) The inequality

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^q\right] \leq C_4 \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu] < \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

holds almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_4 > 0$.

Proof. Step 1: Proof of (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b). By [12, Theorem 1.1], (see also [7, Theorem 2.3]) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}[\nu](y))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, w(y)dy \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu](y))^q\, w(y)dy \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.5}$$

where w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $\mathbf{A}_\infty$. So, thanks to [17, Lemma 3.1] we obtain

$$\sup_{K\in\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\displaystyle\int_K (\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}[\nu](y))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, dy}{\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p},\frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K)} \asymp \sup_{K\in\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\displaystyle\int_K (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu](y))^q\, dy}{\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p},\frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K)} \qquad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the set of compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, by [17, Theorem 2.1],

$$\sup_{K\in\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\nu(K)}{\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p},\frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K)} \asymp \sup_{K\in\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\displaystyle\int_K (\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}[\nu](y))^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, dy}{\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p},\frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K)} \qquad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

From this we infer the equivalence between (a) and (b).
Step 2: Proof of (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c). By [17, Theorem 2.1] (a) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, dy \le C\mu(B_t(x)),$$

for any ball $B_t(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. It is equivalent to (c) because of (2.5).
Step 3: By Proposition 2.4, we obtain (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d).
Step 4: Proof of (d) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Set $d\nu(x) = (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x))^q\, dx$. Clearly, (d) implies

$$(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu](x))^q\, dx \le C d\nu(x).$$

Let $\mathbf{M}_\nu$ denote the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function defined for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N, d\nu)$ by

$$\mathbf{M}_\nu f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\nu(B_t(x))} \int_{B_t(x)} |f| d\nu.$$

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Borel set, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu])^q\, dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} d\nu.$$

Since $\mathbf{M}_\nu$ is bounded on $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N, d\nu)$, $s > 1$, we deduce from Fefferman's result [11] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} d\nu \le C\nu(E).$$

Moreover,

$$(\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\, (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu])^q \ge (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_E \nu])^q\,.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_E \nu])^q\, dx \le C\nu(E),$$

for any Borel set E. Applying the equivalence of (a) and (c) with $\mu = \nu$, we derive (b). $\square$

The next result can be proved in the same way, see also [19, Proof of Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 2.2 *Let $1 < p < N/\alpha$, $q > p-1$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(B_R(x_0))$ for some $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) The inequality

$$\omega(K) \leq C_1 \, Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K), \tag{2.6}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_1 = C_1(R) > 0$.

(b) The inequality

$$\int_K \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}[\omega](y)\right)^q dy \leq C_2 \, Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K), \tag{2.7}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_2 = C_2(R) > 0$.

(c) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\omega](y)\right)^q dy \leq C_3 \omega(B_t(x)), \tag{2.8}$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, for some $C_3 = C_3(R) > 0$.

(d) The inequality

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}[\omega]\right)^q\right] \leq C_4 \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}[\omega], \tag{2.9}$$

holds almost everywhere in $B_{2R}(x_0)$, for some $C_4 = C_4(R) > 0$.

The proof of the following stability result is easy, see e.g. [20, Lemma 2.7].

Proposition 2.3 *Let $1 < p < N/\alpha$ and $0 < \beta < N/p$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(B_R(x_0))$ for some $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Set $d\mu_n(x) = (\varphi_n * \mu)(x)dx$, $d\omega_n(x) = (\varphi_n * \omega)(x)dx$ where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of mollifiers. Then,*

(i) If inequality (2.1) in Theorem 2.1 holds with $q > \frac{(p-1)N}{N-\alpha p}$ and constant C_1, then

$$\mu_n(K) \leq C C_1 \, Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K) \quad \text{for all } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.10}$$

for some $C = C(N, \alpha, p, q) > 0$.

(ii) If inequality (2.6) in Theorem (2.2) with $q > p-1$ and constant C_2, then

$$\omega_n(K) \leq C C_2 \, Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\alpha p}, \frac{q}{q-p+1}}(K) \quad \text{for all } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.11}$$

for some $C = C(N, \alpha, p, q) > 0$.

The next proposition is crucial as it gives pointwise estimates of interates of Wolff potentials of positive measures and connect them with the capacitary estimates of the Wolff potentials of the same measures.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $1 < p < N/\alpha$ and $0 < \beta < N/p$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_b^+(B_R(x_0))$ for some $B_R(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Then,*

(i) The inequality (2.3) in Theorem 2.1 with $q > \frac{(p-1)N}{N-\alpha p}$ implies that

$$\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^q\right] \leq C_1 \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu] < \infty, \tag{2.12}$$

holds almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_1 > 0$.

(ii) The inequality (2.8) in Theorem 2.2 with $q > p-1$ implies that

$$\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}^{4R}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}^{4R}[\omega]\right)^q\right] \leq C_2 \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}^{4R}[\omega], \tag{2.13}$$

holds almost everywhere in $B_{2R}(x_0)$, for some $C_2 > 0$.

Proof. Assertion (i). First we assume that μ has compact support. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$. For any $y \in B_t(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y) &\geq \int_{2t}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x)\cap B_r(y))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\geq \int_{2t}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\geq C\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.3) we have

$$\mu(B_t(x)) \geq C\int_{B_t(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^q dy \geq Ct^N \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Hence, $\mu(B_t(x)) \leq Ct^{N-\frac{\alpha pq}{q-p+1}}$. Therefore

$$\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \leq Cr^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p+1}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since, $B_t(y) \subset B_{2\max\{t,r\}}(x)$ for any $y \in B_r(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(x)} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y))^q\, dy &\leq C\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y)\cap B_{2r}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^q dy \\ &\quad + C\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y)\cap B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^q dy \\ &\leq C\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\mu]\right)^q dy + Cr^N \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^q \\ &\leq C\mu(B_{2r}(x)) + Cr^N \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^q.\end{aligned}$$

Note that, in the last inequality, we have used (2.3). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\omega])^q\right](x) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\int_{B_r(x)} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y))^q\, dy}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq C\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + C\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dr.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it remains to prove

$$\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} dr \leq C\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x).$$

Notice that

$$r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0$$

and

$$r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}}\left(\int_r^\infty\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\le Cr^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-\frac{N-\alpha p}{p-1}\frac{q}{p-1}}(\mu(\mathbb{R}^N))^{\frac{q}{(p-1)^2}}\to 0$$

as $t\to\infty$, since $\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-\frac{N-\alpha p}{p-1}\frac{q}{p-1}<\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-\frac{N}{p-1}<0$. Hence, using integration by parts and inequality (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-1}\left(\int_r^\infty\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}dr\\
&=\frac{q}{\beta p}\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}}\left(\int_r^\infty\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}-1}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&\le C\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}}\left(r^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p+1}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}-1}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&=C\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we assume that μ is not necessarily compactly supported. From the previous step,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_n(0)}\mu]\right)^q\right]\le C\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\chi_{B_n(0)}\mu]\le C\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu]<\infty\ \text{ a.e in }\ \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then we derive (2.12) by Fatou's lemma.

Assertion (ii). For any $x\in B_{2R}(x_0)$, $0<t<R/2$ and $y\in B_t(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\omega](y)&\ge\int_{2t}^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(x)\cap B_r(y))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&\ge\int_{2t}^{2R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&\ge C\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.8) we have

$$\omega(B_t(x))\ge C\int_{B_t(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\omega](y)\right)^q dy\ge Ct^N\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Hence, $\omega(B_t(x))\le Ct^{N-\frac{\alpha pq}{q-p+1}}$ for all $t\in(0,R/2)$ and $x\in B_{2R}(x_0)$. It implies

$$\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\le Cr^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p+1}}\ \text{ for all }\ x\in B_{2R}(x_0)\,,\ 0<r<4R. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $B_t(y)\subset B_{2\max\{t,r\}}(x)$ for any $0<r<4R$ and $y\in B_r(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](y)\right)^q dy&\le C\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\int_0^r\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(y)\cap B_{2r}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^q dy\\
&\quad+C\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_t(y)\cap B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^q dy\\
&\le C\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\omega]\right)^q dy+Cr^N\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^q\\
&\le C\mu(B_{2r}(x))+Cr^N\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^q.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we have used (2.8). Thus, as above, we only need to prove that

$$\int_0^{4R} r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}dr \le C\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x).$$

Using integration by parts and (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{4R} r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}-1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}}dr\\
&= \frac{q}{\beta p}\int_0^{4R} r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}-1}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&\le C\int_0^{4R} r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}}\left(r^{-\frac{\alpha p}{q-p+1}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-1}-1}\left(\frac{\omega(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\\
&\le C\mathbf{W}^{8R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x) \le C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{W}^{8R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x) \le C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)$ for any $x \in B_{2R}(x_0)$, because $\operatorname{supp}\omega \subset B_{2R}(x_0)$. □

Theorem 2.5 *Let α, β, q_1, $q_2 > 0$, $\alpha > \beta$, $1 < p < \min\{N/\alpha, N/\beta\}$, $q_1 + q_2 > p-1$, $q_2 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-\beta p}$ and $\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2} < N$. Then, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx\\
&\asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_2}dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha p q+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx\\
&\asymp \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for any $R > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with diam(supp ω) $\le R$.

For proving this theorem we need several intermediate result. For any $\alpha \in (0,N)$, $s > 0$, $R \in (0,\infty]$ we denote

$$\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha,s}[\mu](x) = \int_0^R\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(x))}{t^{N-\alpha}}\right)^s\frac{dt}{t}, \tag{2.18}$$

and $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha,s}[\mu] := \mathbf{L}^\infty_{\alpha,s}[\mu]$ when $R = \infty$. We notice that

$$\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha,s}[\mu] = \mathbf{W}^R_{\frac{\alpha s}{s+1},\frac{s+1}{s}}[\mu] \quad\text{and}\quad \mathbf{L}_{\alpha,s}[\mu] = \mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha s}{s+1},\frac{s+1}{s}}[\mu]. \tag{2.19}$$

Lemma 2.6 *Let α_1, α_2, s_1, $s_2 > 0$, $0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < N$. There exist $C = C(N,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,s_1,s_2) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(N,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,s_1,s_2) > 0$ such that for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $R \in (0,\infty]$, $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ and $\lambda > 0$, the inequality*

$$\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right| < \infty, \tag{2.20}$$

implies

$$\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu] > a\lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \le \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right| \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|. \tag{2.21}$$

To prove this, we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.7 *Let $0 < \alpha < N$ and $s > 0$. There exists $C = C(N, \alpha, s)$ such that*

$$|\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha,s}[\omega] > \lambda\}| \le C\left(\frac{(\omega(\mathbb{R}^N))^s}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{s(N-\alpha)}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0, \tag{2.22}$$

for any $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{L}_{\alpha,s}[\omega](x) \le C\left(\mathbf{M}(\omega)(x)\right)^{\frac{s(N-\alpha)}{N}}\left(\omega(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)^{\frac{\alpha s}{N}}$. Thus, thanks to boundedness of $\mathbf{M}$ from $\mathfrak{M}^+_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we get (2.22). The proof is complete. ■

The next result is a consequence of Vitali Covering Lemma.

Lemma 2.8 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1, R > 0$ and cylinder $B := B_R(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. let $E \subset F \subset B$ be two measurable sets in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $|E| < \varepsilon|B|$ and satisfying the following property: for all $x \in B$ and $r \in (0, R]$, we have $B_r(x) \cap B \subset F$ provided $|E \cap B_r(x)| \ge \varepsilon|B_r(x)|$. Then $|E| \le C\varepsilon|F|$ for some $C = C(N)$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.6. We only consider the case $R < \infty$, the case $R = \infty$ being similar. Let $\{B_R(x_j)\}$ be a cover of $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that, for some constant $M = M(N) > 0$,

$$\sum_j \chi_{B_{R/4}(x_j)}(x) \le M \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It is sufficient to show that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ depending on $N, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2, p$ such that for any $B \in \{B_{R/4}(x_j)\}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there holds

$$\left|B \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu] > a\lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \le \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right| \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}\left|B \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|, \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$a = 1 + \left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}\right)^2 + 2^{(N-\alpha_1)s_1+(N-\alpha_2)s_2+1}.$$

Fix $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1/10, 2^{-\frac{10 s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}\}$. We set

$$E = B \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu] > a\lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \le \varepsilon\lambda\right\},$$

and

$$F = B \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu]\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.8 we will obtain (2.23) if we verify the following two claims:

$$|E| \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B|, \tag{2.24}$$

and, for any $x \in B$ and $0 < r \le R/4$,

$$|E \cap B_r(x)| < C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B_r(x)|, \tag{2.25}$$

provided that $B_r(x) \cap B \cap F^c \neq \emptyset$ and $E \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of (2.24): For any $x \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x) &\le \int_0^R \left(t^{-\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}+\alpha_1}\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{s_1}\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}R^{\frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}(\varepsilon\lambda)^{\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality $\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x)\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](x) > \lambda$ implies

$$\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](x) > \frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}R^{-\frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2}}\lambda^{\frac{s_2}{s_1+s_2}}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu] = \mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\chi_{B_{2R}(y_0)}\mu]$ in B for any $y_0 \in B$. Fix $y_0 \in E$, we have

$$|E| \le \left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\chi_{B_{2R}(y_0)}\mu] > \frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}R^{-\frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2}}\lambda^{\frac{s_2}{s_1+s_2}}\right\}\right|.$$

Using (2.21) from Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|E| &\le C\left(\frac{(\mu(B_{2R}(y_0)))^{s_2}}{R^{-\frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2}}\lambda^{\frac{s_2}{s_1+s_2}}}\right)^{\frac{N}{s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}\\ &\le C\left(\frac{(\varepsilon\lambda)^{\frac{s_2}{s_1+s_2}}(2R)^{s_2N-s_2\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}}{R^{-\frac{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{s_1+s_2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{s_1}{s_1+s_2}}\lambda^{\frac{s_2}{s_1+s_2}}}\right)^{\frac{N}{s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}\\ &= C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B|\\ &\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B|.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain (2.24).

Proof of (2.25): Take $x \in B$ and $0 < r \le R/4$. Now assume that $B_r(x) \cap B \cap F^c \neq \emptyset$ and $E \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x_1 \in B_r(x) \cap B$ such that $\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1)\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](x_1) \le \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda$. We need to prove that

$$|E \cap B_r(x)| < C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B_r(x)|. \tag{2.26}$$

To do this, we can write

$$\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](y)\mathbf{L}^R_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](y) = \mathbf{T}_1(y) + \mathbf{T}_2(y) + \mathbf{T}_3(y) + \mathbf{T}_4(y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}_1(y) &= \mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](y)\mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](y),\\ \mathbf{T}_2(y) &= \mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](y)\int_{4r}^R\left(\frac{\mu(B_\rho(y))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_2}}\right)^{s_2}\frac{d\rho}{\rho},\\ \mathbf{T}_3(y) &= \int_{4r}^R\left(\frac{\mu(B_\rho(y))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_1}}\right)^{s_1}\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](y),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}_4(y) = \int_{4r}^R\left(\frac{\mu(B_\rho(y))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_1}}\right)^{s_1}\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\int_{4r}^R\left(\frac{\mu(B_\rho(y))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_2}}\right)^{s_2}\frac{d\rho}{\rho}.$$

For all $y \in E \cap B_r(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_2(y) &\leq \int_0^{4r} \left(\rho^{-\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}+\alpha_1} \mathbf{M}^R_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu](y)\right)^{s_1} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\
&\qquad \times \int_{4r}^R \left(\rho^{-\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}+\alpha_2} \mathbf{M}^R_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu](y)\right)^{s_2} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\right)^2 r^{\frac{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)s_1 s_2}{s_1+s_2}} r^{-\frac{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)s_1 s_2}{s_1+s_2}} \left(\mathbf{M}^R_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu](y)\right)^{s_1+s_2} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\right)^2 \varepsilon\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_4(y) &\leq \int_{4r}^R \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2\rho}(x_1))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_1}}\right)^{s_1} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \int_{4r}^R \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2\rho}(x_1))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_2}}\right)^{s_2} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\
&\leq 2^{(N-\alpha_1)s_1+(N-\alpha_2)s_2} \mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1) \mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](x_1) \\
&\leq 2^{(N-\alpha_1)s_1+(N-\alpha_2)s_2}\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_3(y) &\leq \int_{4r}^R \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2\rho}(x_1))}{\rho^{N-\alpha_1}}\right)^{s_1} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](y) \\
&\leq 2^{s_1(N-\alpha_1)} \mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1) \mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](y),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Thus,

$$|E \cap B_r(x)| \leq \mathbf{Y}_1 + \mathbf{Y}_2 + \mathbf{Y}_3 + \mathbf{Y}_4,$$

where

$$\mathbf{Y}_1 = \left| E \cap B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{T}_1 > \lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \leq \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|,$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_2 = \left| E \cap B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{T}_2 > \left(\frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1 s_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\right)^2 \lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \leq \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|,$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_3 = \left| E \cap B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{T}_3 > 2^{s_1(N-\alpha_1)}\lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \leq \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|,$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}_4 = \left| E \cap B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{T}_4 > 2^{(N-\alpha_1)s_1+(N-\alpha_2)s_2}\lambda\right\} \cap \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha_1 s_1+\alpha_2 s_2}{s_1+s_2}}[\mu]\right)^{s_1+s_2} \leq \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|.$$

As in the proof of (2.24), it can be shown that

$$\mathbf{Y}_1 \leq c_{11}\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B_r(x)|. \tag{2.30}$$

From (2.27)-(2.29), we obtain $\mathbf{Y}_2 = \mathbf{Y}_4 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_3 &\leq \left|B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu] > \lambda(\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1))^{-1}\right\}\right| \\
&= \left|B_r(x) \cap \left\{\mathbf{L}^{4r}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\chi_{B_{6r}(x_1)}\mu] > \lambda(\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1))^{-1}\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}$$

since $B_{4r}(y) \subset B_{6r}(x_1)$ for all $y \in B_r(x)$. Using (2.21) from Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_3 &\le C\left(\frac{(\mu(B_{6r}(x_1)))^{s_2}}{\lambda(\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1))^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{s_2(N-\alpha_2)}} \\
&\le C\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_1,s_1}[\mu](x_1)\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha_2,s_2}[\mu](x_1)}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{N}{s_2(N-\alpha_2)}} r^N \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2s_2(N-\alpha_2)}}|B_r(x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities, we infer (2.26). □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Step 1: Proof of (2.16). By [7, Theorem 2.3], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx.$$

Next, we prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx \asymp \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x))^{q_1}(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x))^{q_2}\,dx.$$

Since for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ there holds

$$(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x))^{q_1}(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x))^{q_2} \ge C\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x))^{q_1} &\le C\left(\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}, \\
(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x))^{q_2} &\le C\left(\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2},
\end{aligned}$$

it is therefore enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu](x)\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx \\
&\qquad\qquad \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Set $d\mu_n = \chi_{B_n(0)}d\mu$ and we have

$$\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n] > t\right\}\right| < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma (2.6), there exist positive constants C, ε_0, a such that for any $\lambda > 0, \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n] > a\lambda\right\}\right| \\
&\qquad \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right| \\
&\qquad\qquad + \left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} > \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $\lambda^{q_1+q_2-1}$ and integrating over $(0,\infty)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q_1+q_2}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]>a\lambda\right\}\right|\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\\
&\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q_1+q_2}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]>\varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\\
&\qquad+\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q_1+q_2}\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>\varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a change of variable, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(a^{-q_1-q_2}-C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}}\right)\\
&\quad\times\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q_1+q_2}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]>\lambda\right\}\right|\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\\
&\quad\le \varepsilon^{-q_1-q_2}\int_0^\infty \lambda^{q_1+q_2}\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{M}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>\lambda\right\}\right|\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}>0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that for any $0<\epsilon\le\epsilon_0$, there holds $a^{-q_1-q_2}-C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}}>0$. Hence we obtain (2.31) by Fatou's Lemma.

Step 2: Proof of (2.17). By [7, Theorem 2.3], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx\asymp\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx.$$

Next, we prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx\asymp\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx.$$

Let $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $supp(\omega)\subset B_R(x_0)$. Since for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}\ge C\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}},$$

and for any $y\in B_{3R/2}(x_0)$,

$$\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](y)\le C\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](y),\quad \mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](y)\le C\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](y),$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx\\
&= C\int_{B_{5R}(x_0)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx\\
&\le C\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx\\
&\quad + C\int_{B_{5R}(x_0)\setminus B_{3R/2}(x_0)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx \qquad (2.32)\\
&\le C\int_{B_{3R/2}(x_0)}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx\\
&\qquad + CR^N\left(\frac{\omega(\mathbb{R}^N)}{R^{N-\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}}\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since there holds almost everywhere,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1} &\le C\left(\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2},\\
\left(\mathbf{W}^{2R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2} &\le C\left(\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2},
\end{aligned}$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx. \qquad (2.33)$$

By Lemma (2.6) there exist positive constants C, ε_0 and a such that for any $\lambda>0,\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega]\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega]>a\lambda\right\}\right|\\
&\qquad\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega]\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega]>\varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|\\
&\qquad\quad+\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{M}^{6R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>\varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $\lambda^{q_1+q_2-1}$ and integrating over $(0,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^{-q_1-q_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\mathbf{L}^{3R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx\\
&\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx\\
&\qquad+\varepsilon^{-q_1-q_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{6R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as (2.32), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\mathbf{L}^{6R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx\\
&\qquad\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\mathbf{L}^{2R}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1+q_2}dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{6R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2R}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\omega](x)\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}dx,$$

Therefore, since $\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-\frac{q_1+q_2}{2}>0$, for some $\varepsilon>0$ small enough we infer (2.33). □

Lemma 2.9 *Let $\alpha>0, p>1$, $0<\alpha p<N$ and $0<\gamma<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-\alpha p}$. There exists a constant $C=C(N,\alpha,p,\gamma)$ such that for any $\mu\in\mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu]\right)^\gamma dy\le Cr^N\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}}\quad\text{for all } x\in\mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r>0. \tag{2.34}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu]\right)^\gamma dy&=\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\mu]\right)^\gamma dy\\&\le\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\mu]\right)^\gamma dy\\&=\gamma\int_0^\infty\lambda^{\gamma-1}|\left\{\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\mu]>\lambda\right\}\cap B_r(x)|d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu]\right)^\gamma dy&\le\gamma\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}}|B_r(x)|\\&\quad+\int_{\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}^\infty\lambda^{\gamma-1}|\left\{\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_{2r}(x)}\mu]>\lambda\right\}|d\lambda\\&\le Cr^N\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}}+C\int_{\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}^\infty\lambda^{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{(\mu(B_{2r}(x)))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{N-\alpha p}}d\lambda\\&=Cr^N\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

which is the claim. □

The next result is fundamental inasmuch it links the capacitary estimates and the potential inequalities used in our construction. It also give a criterion for the solvability of the system of nonlinear integral equations connected to (1.8).

Theorem 2.10 *Let $\alpha,\beta,q_1,q_2>0$, $\alpha>\beta$, $1<p<\min\{N/\alpha,N/\beta\}, q_1+q_2>p-1, q_2<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-\beta p}$ and $\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2}<N$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*
(a) The inequality

$$\mu(K)\le C_1\,Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2},\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}}(K), \tag{2.35}$$

holds for any compact set $K\subset\mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_1>0$.
(b) The inequality

$$\int_K\left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_2}dx\le C_2\,Cap_{\mathbf{I}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2},\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}}(K), \tag{2.36}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_2 > 0$.
(c) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y) \right)^{q_1+q_2} dy \leq C_3 \mu(B_t(x)), \tag{2.37}$$

holds for any ball $B_t(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_3 > 0$.
(d) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} \left(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy \leq C_4 \mu(B_t(x)), \tag{2.38}$$

holds for any ball $B_t(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_4 > 0$.
(e) The inequalities

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu])^{q_2}\right] \leq C_5 \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu] < \infty \tag{2.39}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu])^{q_2}\right] \leq C_5 \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu] < \infty \tag{2.40}$$

hold for some $C_5 > 0$.
(f) The system equation

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[U^{q_1}V^{q_2}\right] + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[\mu\right] \\ V &= \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[U^{q_1}V^{q_2}\right] + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[\mu\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N$ has a nonnegative solution for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 we have (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c), by Theorem 2.5, (c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d). We now assume (e). Put $\mathbf{T}[\omega] = (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x))^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x))^{q_2}$ for any $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is easy to see that

$$(\mathbf{T}[\omega](x))^\gamma \geq C \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\omega(B_\rho(x))}{\rho^{N-\frac{\alpha q_1 p+\beta q_2 p}{q_1+q_2}}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma(q_1+q_2)}{p-1}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = C\mathbf{W}_{\beta,s}[\mu](x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^N \tag{2.42}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{p-1}{q_1} + \frac{p-1}{q_2}, \beta = \frac{\gamma(\alpha q_1 p+\beta q_2 p)}{\gamma(q_1+q_2)+p-1}$ and $s = \frac{\gamma(q_1+q_2)+p-1}{\gamma(q_1+q_2)} < 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}$. From (2.39) and (2.40), we have

$$\mathbf{T}\left[\mathbf{T}[\mu]\right] \leq C\mathbf{T}[\mu] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Using (2.42), we obtain

$$\left(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,s}\left[\mathbf{T}[\mu]\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C\mathbf{T}[\mu] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Applying $\mathbf{W}_{\beta,s}$ to both sides of the above inequality and using Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = \beta, p = s, q = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, we derive

$$\int_K \mathbf{T}[\mu](x)dx \leq C\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\beta s},\frac{1}{1+\gamma-\gamma s}}(K), \tag{2.43}$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, which implies (b). So, (e) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Next, assume (b), using (2.42) again, we derive from (b) that

$$\int_K \left(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,s}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} dx \leq C\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\beta s},\frac{1}{1+\gamma-\gamma s}}(K),$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, which implies (a). So, (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

It remains to prove that *(i)*: (f) $\Rightarrow$ (a), *(ii)*: (e) $\Rightarrow$ (f), *(iii)*: (a)+(c)+(d) $\Rightarrow$ (e).
(i): Assume that (2.41) has a nonnegative solution for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $d\nu(x) = U^{q_1}V^{q_2}dx + \varepsilon d\mu(x)$. Clearly

$$(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu])^{q_1}(\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\nu])^{q_2} \leq Cd\nu(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Borel set, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\nu])^{q_2}\, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} d\nu.$$

Since M_ω is bounded on $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N, d\omega)$, $s > 1$, we deduce from Fefferman's result [11] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{s_1}{p-1}} d\nu \leq C\nu(E).$$

Moreover,

$$(\mathbf{M}_\nu \chi_E)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\nu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\nu])^{q_2} \geq (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_E\nu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\chi_E\nu])^{q_2}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_E\nu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\chi_E\nu])^{q_2}\, dx \leq C\nu(E),$$

is verified for any Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Applying (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) to $\mu = \nu$, we derive that

$$\nu(K) \leq C_1 \mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K), \tag{2.44}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $\nu \geq \mu$, we obtain (c).
(ii): Suppose that (2.39) and (2.40) hold with constant $C_5 > 0$.Take $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2(2C_5)^{\frac{p-1}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}}$.
Consider the sequence $\{U_m, V_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ of nonnegative functions defined by $U_0 = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu], V_0 = \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu]$ and

$$U_{m+1} = \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[U_m^{q_1}V_m^{q_2}\right] + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[\mu\right]$$
$$V_{m+1} = \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[U_m^{q_1}V_m^{q_2}\right] + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}\left[\mu\right],$$

It is easy to see that $\{U_m, V_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ is well defined and satisfies

$$U_m \leq 2\varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu], V_m \leq 2\varepsilon \mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu] \quad \text{for all} \quad m \geq 0.$$

Clearly $\{U_m\}, \{V_m\}$ are nondecreasing. Using the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that $(U(x), V(x)) = \lim_{m\to\infty}(U_m(x), V_m(x))$ is a solution of (2.41).
(iii): Assume that statements (a), (c) and (d) hold true We first assume that μ has compact support. From (a) we have

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^{N - \frac{\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r > 0. \tag{2.45}$$

From (b)

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1+q_2} dy \leq C_2\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r > 0.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and $\mathbf{W}^r_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\mu] \geq r^{-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_2}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}}\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu]$, we obtain,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} dy \leq Cr^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}} \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}}, \tag{2.46}$$

again for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $r > 0$. From (c),

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy \le C_3 \mu(B_{2r}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r > 0. \tag{2.47}$$

By Lemma 2.9,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu]\right)^{q_2} dy \le C r^N \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r > 0. \tag{2.48}$$

We have, with $\eta = \alpha$ or $\eta = \beta$,

$$\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu])^{q_2}\right](x) \le C \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_i(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r}, \tag{2.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_1(x,r) &= \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy,\\
\mathbf{A}_2(x,r) &= \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2} dy,\\
\mathbf{A}_3(x,r) &= \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy,\\
\mathbf{A}_4(x,r) &= \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.47) we get

$$\mathbf{A}_1(x,r) \le C\mu(B_{2r}(x)),$$

which implies

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_1(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \le C \mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x). \tag{2.50}$$

Since $B_t(y) \le B_{2t}(x)$ for any $y \in B_r(x)$, $t \ge r$ and thanks to (2.46), (2.9) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_2(x,t) &\le \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1} dy \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2}\\
&\le C r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}} \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2}\\
&\le C r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2+\frac{q_1(p-1)}{q_1+q_2}},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_3(x,t) &\le \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1} \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy\\
&\le C \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1} r^N \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\\
&\le C r^N \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2},
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\mathbf{A}_4(x,t) \le Cr^N \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{q_1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{q_2}.$$

I- From the estimate of $\mathbf{A}_2$ we derive

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_2(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \le C \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)^2(q_1+q_2)} - \frac{N-\eta p}{p-1}}$$
$$\times \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}} \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Since $\frac{\alpha pq_1+\beta pq_2}{q_1+q_2} < N$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \kappa &:= \frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)^2(q_1+q_2)} - \frac{N-\eta p}{p-1} \\ &< \frac{N-\beta p}{p-1} \left(\frac{q_2}{p-1} + \frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$r^\kappa \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & r^\kappa \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}} \\ & \le Cr^{\kappa - \frac{N-\beta p}{p-1}\left(\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}\right)} \left(\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)^{\frac{q_2}{(p-1)^2}+\frac{q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

a quantity which converges to 0 when $t \to 0$. Hence, by integration be parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_2(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} &\le C \int_0^\infty r^\kappa \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\le C \int_0^\infty r^\kappa \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}-1} \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= C \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)^2(q_1+q_2)} - \frac{N-\beta p}{p-1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}-1} \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\eta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that we have from (2.45),

$$r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)^2(q_1+q_2)} - \frac{N-\beta p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}+\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}-1} \le C,$$

we derive

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_2(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \le C\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x). \tag{2.51}$$

II- From the estimate of $\mathbf{A}_3$ and $\mathbf{A}_4$, we have, as above, by integration be parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_3(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_4(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\
&\le C\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{\eta p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \\
&= C\int_0^\infty \mathbf{D}_1(x,r) \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + C\int_0^\infty \mathbf{D}_2(x,r) \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_1(x,r) = r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}-1} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}},$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_2(x,r) = r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}-1}.$$

Clearly,

$$\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \le r^{-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t},$$

and

$$\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t} \le C r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2-p+1)}}.$$

We derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_1(x,r) &\le C r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}-1} \left(r^{-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \\
&= C r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1} - \frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_2}{(p-1)^2}} \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}-1} \\
&\le C r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1} - \frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_2}{(p-1)^2}} \left(r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2-p+1)}}\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}-1} = C.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $\mathbf{D}_2(x,r)$. If $\frac{q_1}{p-1} \ge 1$, similarly as for estimate of $\mathbf{D}_1(x,r)$ we obtain $\mathbf{D}_2(x,r) \le C$. If $\frac{q_1}{p-1} < 1$, since we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \\
&\quad = \frac{q_1}{p-1} \int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}-1} \frac{dt}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

we derive

$$\mathbf{D}_2(x,r) = Cr^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}-1} \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\times \left(\int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}-1}$$
$$\leq r^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}-1}$$
$$\times \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}-1} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = t^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p(p-1-q_2)}{(p-1)^2}} \left(\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \left(\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{q_2}{p-1}}$$
$$\leq Ct^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p(p-1-q_2)}{(p-1)^2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{1-\frac{q_2}{p-1}},$$

therefore,

$$\mathbf{D}_2(x,r) \leq Cr^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty t^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p(p-1-q_2)}{(p-1)^2}} \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2s}(x))}{s^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{ds}{s}\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}-1} \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\leq Cr^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1}} \int_r^\infty t^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)p(p-1-q_2)}{(p-1)^2}} \left(r^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2-p+1)}}\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}-1} \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$= C.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_3(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_4(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x). \tag{2.52}$$

Combining (2.49) with (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52) we obtain

$$\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu])^{q_2}\right] \leq C\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu] < \infty,$$

for $\eta = \alpha$ or β, provided μ has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Next, we assume that μ may not have compact support. Since the above constants noted C are independent of μ, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we set $\mu_n = \chi_{B_n(0)}\mu$

$$\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}\left[(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu_n])^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu_n])^{q_2}\right] \leq C\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu_n] \leq C\mathbf{W}_{\eta,p}[\mu] < \infty < C',$$

for $\eta = \alpha$ or β. Then we infer (e) by Fatou's lemma. □

Lemma 2.11 *Let μ be satisfying* (2.35) *with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Set $\mu_n = \varphi_n \star \mu$. Then,*

$$(\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[\mu_n](x))^{q_1} (\mathbf{W}_{\beta,p}[\mu_n](x))^{q_2} \tag{2.53}$$

is equi-integrable in $B_t(0)$ for all $t > 1$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{supp}\mu_n \subset B_{t_0}(0)$ for some $t_0 > 0$ and

$$\left(\mathbf{W}^{2T}_{\alpha,p}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1} \le C\left(\mathbf{L}^{3T}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2},$$
$$\left(\mathbf{W}^{2T}_{\beta,p}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_2} \le C\left(\mathbf{L}^{3T}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2},$$

it suffices to show that

$$\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2}$$

is equi-integrable in $B_t(0)$. Since $\left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} \le C\left(\mathbf{I}^{4(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu]\star\varphi_n\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}$, so $\left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}}$ is equi-integrable in $B_t(0)$ for any $t > t_0$. Thus, by [3, Proposition 1.27] we can find a nondecreasing function $\Phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\Phi(\lambda)/\lambda \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, and $\phi(2^j\lambda) \le j\phi(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi'(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda)$

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda)\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} > \lambda\right\}\right| d\lambda \le 1.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, there exists $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n] > a\lambda, \left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \le \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right| \\ &\qquad \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\left|\left\{\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n] > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ and $t > 0$, for some $a > 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > a\lambda, \left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} \le \varepsilon\lambda\right\}\right| \\ &\qquad \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > \varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ and $t > 0$, for some $a > 1$. It is easy to obtain from the above two inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda)|\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > \lambda\}|d\lambda \\ &\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}}\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda)\left|\left\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > a^{-1}\varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda\right\}\right| d\lambda \\ &+ C\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda)|\{\left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} > \varepsilon\lambda\}|d\lambda \\ &\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{N(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)}-1/2}\int_0^\infty \phi(a\varepsilon^{-1/2}\lambda)|\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p,\frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p,\frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > \lambda\}|d\lambda \\ &+ C\int_0^\infty \phi(\varepsilon\lambda)|\{\left(\mathbf{I}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\frac{\alpha pq_1+p\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2}}[\mu_n]\right)^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{p-1}} > \lambda\}|d\lambda\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(\varepsilon\lambda), \phi(a\varepsilon^{-1/2}\lambda) \le C|\log(\varepsilon)|\phi(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda > 0$, $\varepsilon << 1$ and $\frac{N(p-1)}{2q_2(N-\beta p)} - 1/2 > 0$, so it is easy to get that

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda)|\{\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p, \frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p, \frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2} > \lambda\}|d\lambda \le C$$

Hence,

$$\left(\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\alpha p, \frac{q_1}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\mathbf{L}^{2(t_0+t)}_{\beta p, \frac{q_2}{(q_1+q_2)(p-1)}}[\mu_n]\right)^{q_1+q_2}$$

is equi-integrable in $B_t(0)$. The proof is complete. ■

The next statement is the analogue of Theorem 2.10 in a bounded domain.

Theorem 2.12 *Let $\alpha, \beta, q_1, q_2 > 0$, $\alpha > \beta$, $1 < p < \min\{N/\alpha, N/\beta\}, q_1 + q_2 > p - 1, q_2 < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-\beta p}$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{M}^+_b(B_R(x_0))$ for some $B_R(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, the following statement are equivalent:*

(a) The inequality

$$\omega(K) \le C_1 Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}}(K), \tag{2.56}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_1 = C_1(R) > 0$.

(b) The inequality

$$\int_K \left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega](x)\right)^{q_2} dx \le C_2 Cap_{\mathbf{G}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}}(K), \tag{2.57}$$

holds for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_2 = C_2(R) > 0$.

(c) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\omega](y)\right)^{q_1+q_2} dy \le C_3\omega(B_t(x)), \tag{2.58}$$

holds for any ball $B_t(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_3 = C_3(R) > 0$.

(d) The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\chi_{B_t(x)}\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy \le C_4\omega(B_t(x)), \tag{2.59}$$

holds for any ball $B_t(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $C_4 = C_4(R) > 0$.

(e) The system of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \qquad \mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega]\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega]\right)^{q_2}\right] \le C_5\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega] \\ &(ii) \qquad \mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\omega]\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega]\right)^{q_2}\right] \le C_5\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\omega], \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

holds in $B_{2R}(x_0)$ for some $C_5 = C_5(R) > 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 we have (a) ⇔ (c); by Theorem 2.5, (c) ⇔ (d). As in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we can see that (e) ⇒ (a) and (e) ⇒ (b). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_1\gamma}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\mu](x)\right)^{q_2\gamma} &\ge C\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1\gamma}{p-1}}\left(\frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_2\gamma}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r} \\ &= C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha_0,p_0}[\mu](x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B_{2R}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$, $\alpha_0 = \frac{\gamma(\alpha p q_1 + \beta p q_2)}{\gamma(q_1+q_2)+p-1}$ and $p_0 = \frac{\gamma(q_1+q_2)+p-1}{\gamma(q_1+q_2)}$, then, (b) implies that

$$\int_K \left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha_0,p_0}[\mu](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} dx \le C\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_{\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2}},\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K) = \mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_{\alpha_0 p_0},\frac{\frac{1}{\gamma}}{\frac{1}{\gamma}-p_0+1}}(K), \quad (2.61)$$

is verified for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Therefore (a) follows by Theorem 2.2.

It remains to prove (a)+(c)+(d) $\Rightarrow$ (e). From (a) we have

$$\omega(B_r(x)) \le C r^{N-\frac{\alpha p q_1+\beta p q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } r > 0. \quad (2.62)$$

From (b)

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\omega](y)\right)^{q_1+q_2} dy \le C_2\omega(B_{2r}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } 0 < r < 8R.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and $\mathbf{W}^r_{\frac{\alpha q_1+\beta q_2}{q_1+q_2},p}[\omega] \ge r^{-\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_2}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}}\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\omega]$, we get

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\omega](y)\right)^{q_1} dy \le C r^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}} \left(\frac{\omega(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}}, \quad (2.63)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $0 < r < 8R$.
From (c),

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\omega](y)\right)^{q_1} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\omega](y)\right)^{q_2} dy \le C_3\omega(B_{2r}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } 0 < r < 8R. \quad (2.64)$$

By Lemma 2.9,

$$\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu]\right)^{q_2} dy \le C r^N \left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } 0 < r < 8R. \quad (2.65)$$

Next we have for $\eta = \alpha$ or $\eta = \beta$ and almost all $x \in B_{2R}(x_0)$,

$$\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\eta,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[\mu]\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\beta,p}[\mu]\right)^{q_2}\right](x) \le C\sum_{i=1}^{4}\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_i(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}, \quad (2.66)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_1(x,r) = \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy,$$

$$\mathbf{A}_2(x,r) = \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2} dy,$$

$$\mathbf{A}_3(x,r) = \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2} dy,$$

$$\mathbf{A}_4(x,r) = \int_{B_r(x)} \left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_t(y))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2} dy.$$

Thanks to (2.47) there holds

$$\mathbf{A}_1(x,r) \le C\mu(B_{2r}(x)),$$

which implies

$$\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_1(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\leq C\mathbf{W}^{8R}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x)\leq C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x)\ \text{ for all } x\in B_{2R}(x_0). \tag{2.67}$$

Since $B_t(y)\leq B_{2t}(x)$ for any $y\in B_r(x)$ and $t\geq r$, and thanks to (2.46) and (2.9) we deduce that there holds, for $0<r<4R$ and $x\in B_{2R}(x_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_2(x,r)&\leq\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\alpha,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_1}dy\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2}\\&\leq Cr^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_1+q_2}}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2}\\&\leq Cr^{\frac{(\alpha-\beta)pq_1q_2+(p-1)Nq_2+(N-\beta p)(p-1)q_1}{(p-1)(q_1+q_2)}}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2+\frac{q_1(p-1)}{q_1+q_2}},\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_3(x,r)&\leq\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}\int_{B_r(x)}\left(\mathbf{W}^r_{\beta,p}[\mu](y)\right)^{q_2}dy\\&\leq C\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}r^N\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(x))}{r^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\\&\leq Cr^N\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}_4(x,r)\leq Cr^N\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\alpha p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_1}\left(\int_r^{4R}\left(\frac{\mu(B_{2t}(x))}{t^{N-\beta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{q_2}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we easily obtain

$$\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_2(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\leq C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x)\ \text{ for all } x\in B_{2R}(x_0),$$

and

$$\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_3(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}+\int_0^{4R}\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}_4(x,r)}{r^{N-\eta p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\frac{dr}{r}\leq C\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\eta,p}[\mu](x)\ \text{ for all } x\in B_{2R}(x_0).$$

Combining these inequalities with (2.66) and (2.67), we get (e). □

3 Renormalized solutions

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. If $\mu\in\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$, we denote by μ^+ and μ^- respectively its positive and negative parts in the Jordan decomposition. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$ the space of diffuse measures in Ω and by $\mathfrak{M}_s(\Omega)$ the space of measures in Ω which are singular with respect to the $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_1,p}$ which means that their support is set of zero $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_1,p}$-capacity. Classically, any $\mu\in\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ can be written in a unique way under the form $\mu=\mu_0+\mu_s$ where $\mu_0\in\mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)\cap\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ and $\mu_s\in\mathfrak{M}_s(\Omega)$. It is well known that any $\mu_0\in\mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)\cap\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ can be written under the form $\mu_0=f-div\ g$ where $f\in L^1(\Omega)$ and $g\in L^{p'}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For $k > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $T_k(s) = \max\{\min\{s, k\}, -k\}$. If u is a measurable function defined in Ω, finite a.e. and such that $T_k(u) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for any $k > 0$, there exists a measurable function $v : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\nabla T_k(u) = \chi_{|u|\leq k} v$ a.e. in Ω and for all $k > 0$. We define the gradient of u by $v = \nabla u$ almost everywhere. We recall the definition of a renormalized solution given in [9].

Definition 3.1 *Let $A : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy* (1.2)*. Let $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_s \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$. A measurable function u defined in Ω and finite a.e. is called a renormalized solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(A(x, \nabla u)) &= \mu \qquad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

if $T_k(u) \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ for any $k > 0$, $|\nabla u|^{p-1} \in L^r(\Omega)$ for any $0 < r < \frac{N}{N-1}$, and u has the property that for any $k > 0$ there exist λ^+_k and λ^-_k belonging to $\mathfrak{M}^+_b \cap \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$, respectively concentrated on the sets $u = k$ and $u = -k$, with the property that $\mu^+_k \rightharpoonup \mu^+_s$, $\mu^-_k \rightharpoonup \lambda^-_s$ in the narrow topology of measures and such that

$$\int_{\{|u|<k\}} A(x, \nabla u).\nabla\varphi dx = \int_{\{|u|<k\}} \varphi d\mu_0 + \int_\Omega \varphi d\lambda^+_k - \int_\Omega \varphi d\lambda^-_k,$$

for every $\varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proposition 3.2 [22] *If $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$, then problem* (3.1) *has a unique renormalized solution.*

We recall the next two important results which are proved in [9, Th 4.1, Sec 5.1].

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $\sup_n |\mu_n|(\Omega) < \infty$ and let $\{u_n\}$ be renormalized solutions of*

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u_n)) &= \mu_n \qquad \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then, up to a subsequence, $\{u_n\}$ converges a.e. to a solution u of $-div(A(x, \nabla u)) = \mu$ in the sense of distributions in Ω, for some measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$, and for every $k > 0$, $k^{-1} \|\nabla T_k(u)\|^p_{L^p} \leq M$ for some $M > 0$.

The following fundamental stability result of [9] extends Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu^+_s - \mu^-_s \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$, with $\mu_0 = f - div g \in \mathfrak{M}_0(\Omega)$, $\mu^+_s, \mu^-_s \in \mathfrak{M}^+_s(\Omega)$. Assume there are sequences $\{f_n\} \subset L^1(\Omega)$, $\{g_n\} \subset (L^{p'}(\Omega))^N$, $\{\eta^1_n\}, \{\eta^2_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}^+_b(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $L^1(\Omega)$, $g_n \to g$ in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $div g_n$ is bounded in $\mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$, $\eta^1_n \rightharpoonup \mu^+_s$ and $\eta^2_n \rightharpoonup \mu^-_s$ in the narrow topology. If $\mu_n = f_n - div g_n + \eta^1_n - \eta^2_n$ and u_n is a renormalized solution of (3.2), then, up to a subsequence, u_n converges a.e. to a renormalized solution u of* (3.1)*. Furthermore, $T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$ in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ for any $k > 0$.*

Theorem 3.5 *Let $\{n_k\}_k$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$, $q > p - 1$, $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_k$ be a sequence in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\sup_{k\geq k_0} |\mu_{n_k}| \left(B_{n_{k_0}}(0)\right) < +\infty \quad \text{for all} \quad k_0 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let u_{n_k} be a renormalized solution of (3.1) *with data μ_{n_k} and $\Omega = B_{n_k}(0)$ such that $\{|u_{n_k}|^q\}_{k\geq k_0}$ is bounded in $L^1(B_{n_{k_0}}(0))$ for any k_0. Then, there exist subsequence of $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$, still denoted by $\{u_{n_k}\}_k$ a measure μ and measurable function u such that $\mu_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \mu$ in the weak sense of measures, $u_{n_k} \to u$, $\nabla u_{n_k} \to \nabla u$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, $|\nabla u_{n_k}|^{p-2}\nabla u_{n_k} \to |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $0 \leq s < \frac{N}{N-1}$ and u satisfies* (3.1) *in the sense of distributions in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Its proof can be found in [6, Th 3.2].

Theorem 3.6 [18, 7] *Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, p, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) > 1$ such that if $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$ and u is a renormalized solution of problem* (3.1) *there holds*

$$|u(x)| \leq C\mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{2R}[|\mu|](x) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

where $R = diam(\Omega)$. Moreover, if $\mu \geq 0$ and $u \geq 0$ then,

$$u(x) \geq \frac{1}{C}\mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{\frac{d(x,\partial\Omega)}{4}}[\mu](x) \quad a.e \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.7 [10, 14, 16] *Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, p, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, diam(\Omega)) > 0$ such that if $\mu \in C_b(\Omega)$ and u is a solution of problem* (3.1) *there holds*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C\left(\mathbf{I}_1^r[|\mu|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + C \fint_{B_r(x)} |\nabla u| dx, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $B_r(x) \subseteq \Omega$. Moreover, if $A(x, \xi) = A(\xi)$ for any $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, then the constant in (3.5) *does not depend on $diam(\Omega)$.*

Corollary 3.8 *Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $R = diam(\Omega)$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_b(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, p, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) > 0$ and a renormalized solution u of problem* (3.1) *such that*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{R}{\delta}\right)^N \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{2R}[|\mu|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \tag{3.6}$$

for any $x \in \Omega$ such that $d(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta$ with $\delta \in (0, R/2)$. Moreover, if $A(x, \xi) = A(\xi)$ for any $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$, then the constant in (3.6) *does not depend on R.*

Proof. We can choose $\mu_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that μ_n converges to μ in the sense of theorem 3.4 and $|\mu_n| \leq \varphi_n * |\mu|$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of mollifiers in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let u_n be solutions of problem (3.1) with data μ_n. Fixed $\delta \in (0, R/2)$, by Theorem 3.7, we have

$$|\nabla u_n(x)| \leq C\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{\delta/2}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + C \fint_{B_{\delta/2}(x)} |\nabla u_n| dx,$$

for any $x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta$. Notice that (see e.g. [9])

$$|\{|\nabla u_n| > s\}| \leq C\frac{(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}{s^{\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}}} \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

It leads to

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n(x)| dx \leq CR^N \left(\frac{|\mu_n|(\Omega)}{R^{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n(x)| &\leq C\left(\frac{R}{\delta}\right)^N \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{2R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{R}{\delta}\right)^N \left((\varphi_n * \mathbf{I}_1^{2R}[|\mu|])(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta$.
On the other hand, by theorem 3.4, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converging to a renormalized solution u of (3.1) with data μ_n. Therefore, u satisfies (3.6) since $\varphi_n * \mathbf{I}_1^{2R}[|\mu|] \to \mathbf{I}_1^{2R}[|\mu|]$ almost everywhere.

□

4 Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1: Case $\frac{3N-2}{2N-1} < p \leq 2$. Let $\mu_{n,k} \in C_c^\infty(B_{2k}(0))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu_{n,k}$ converges to $\chi_{B_k(0)}\mu$ in the sense of theorem 3.4 with $\Omega = B_{2k}(0)$ and $|\mu_n| \leq \varphi_n * (\chi_{B_k(0)}|\mu|)$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of mollifiers in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Thanks to Proposition 2.3,

$$|\mu_{n,k}|(K) \leq C'C\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{I}_{\frac{q_1p+q_2}{q_1+q_2}}, \frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K) \quad \text{for all compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \tag{4.1}$$

We will prove that if C in (4.1) is small enough, then for any $k \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(\nabla u_{n,k})) &= \chi_{B_k(0)}|u_{n,k}|^{q_1-1}u|\nabla u_{n,k}|^{q_2} + \mu_{n,k} && \text{in } B_{2k}(0) \\ u_{n,k} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_{2k}(0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

has a renormalized solution satisfying

$$|u_{n,k}| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u_{n,k}| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } B_k(0). \tag{4.3}$$

By Theorem (2.10), we need to prove that there exists $M > 0$ such that, if for $\alpha = 1$ and p, the following inequalities hold,

$$\mathbf{I}_\alpha \left[\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1[[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\right] \leq M\mathbf{I}_\alpha[[|\mu_{n,k}|] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere}, \tag{4.4}$$

then problem (4.2) has a renormalized solution satisfying (4.3).

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\mathbf{E}_\Lambda = \left\{u : |u| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_p[[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_1[[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } B_k(0)\right\}.$$

Since $\mu_{n,k} \in C_c^\infty(B_{2k}(0))$, $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda \subset W_0^{1,\infty}(B_{2k}(0))$. Clearly, $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ is convex and closed under the strong topology of $W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0))$. Moreover, if $u \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$, then $|u|^{q_1}|\nabla u|^{q_2} \in L^1(B_k(0))$.
We consider the map $S : \mathbf{E}_\Lambda \mapsto W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ defined for each $v \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ by $S(v) = u$, where $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is the unique renormalized solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(\nabla u)) &= \chi_{B_k(0)}|v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2} + \mu_{n,k} && \text{in } B_{2k}(0) \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_{2k}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.8 and since $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}[|\mu_{n,k}|] \leq C \left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &\leq C \left(\mathbf{I}_p[\chi_{B_k(0)}|v|^{q_1}|\nabla v|^{q_2}dx + |\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \\ |\nabla u| &\leq C \left(\mathbf{I}_1[\chi_{B_k(0)}|v|^{q_1}|\nabla v|^{q_2}dx + |\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

in $B_k(0)$. By the definition of v we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &\leq C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}\mathbf{I}_p[(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|])^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}] + \mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \\ |\nabla u| &\leq C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}\mathbf{I}_1[(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|])^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}] + \mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

in $B_k(0)$. Using (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &\leq C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|] + \mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \\ |\nabla u| &\leq C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|] + \mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = C \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

in $B_k(0)$. We choose

$$\Lambda = C\left(\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad M = \Lambda^{-q_1-q_2}\left(\left(\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - 1\right),$$

then $C\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = \Lambda$ and $u \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. Hence, $S(\mathbf{E}_\Lambda) \subset \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$.

Next we show that $S : \mathbf{E}_\Lambda \mapsto \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ is continuous. Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ such that v_m converges strongly in $W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0))$ to a function $v \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. Set $u_m = S(v_m)$. We need to show that $u_m \to S(v)$ in $W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(\nabla u_m)) &= \chi_{B_k(0)}|v_m|^{q_1-1}v_m|\nabla v_m|^{q_2} + \mu_{n,k} && \text{in } B_{2k}(0) \\ u_m &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_{2k}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|u_m|, |v_m| \le \Lambda\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u_m|, |\nabla v_m| \le \Lambda\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } B_k(0).$$

Since $\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$\chi_{B_k(0)}|v_m|^{q_1-1}v_m|\nabla v_m|^{q_2} \to \chi_{B_k(0)}|v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Applying Theorem 3.4, we derive that $u_n \to S(v)$ in $W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0))$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, we can prove that $S(\mathbf{E}_\Lambda)$ is pre-compact under the strong topology of $W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0))$.

Thus, by Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, S has a fixed point on $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. This means, for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, problem (4.2) has a renormalized solution $u_{n,k}$ satisfying (4.3).
By Lemma 2.11, $\left\{\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\right\}_n$ is equi-integrable in $B_{2k}(0)$. Thus, by a standard compactness argument, we get that $u_{n,k}$ converges to a renormalized solution u_k to

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(\nabla u_k)) &= \chi_{B_k(0)}|u_k|^{q_1-1}u|\nabla u_k|^{q_2} + \chi_{B_k(0)}\mu && \text{in } B_{2k}(0) \\ u_{n,k} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_{2k}(0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

has a renormalized solution satisfying

$$|u_k| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u_k| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } B_k(0). \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, thanks to Theorem 3.5, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_k\}_k$, still denoted by $\{u_k\}_k$ and $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that u_k converges to u and ∇u_k converges to ∇u almost everywhere. Since

$$\chi_{B_k(0)}|u_k| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \chi_{B_k(0)}|\nabla u_k| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{for all } k,$$

and $\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, thus $\chi_{B_k(0)}|u_k|^{q_1-1}u_k|\nabla u_k|^{q_2} \to |u|^{q_1-1}u|\nabla u|^{q_2}$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This implies that, u is a solution of problem (1.1) with $g(x,u,\nabla u) = |u|^{q_1}u|\nabla u|^{q_2}$ in the sense of distributions in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and it satisfies

$$|u| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_p[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u| \le C_0\left(\mathbf{I}_1[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } B_k(0). \tag{4.8}$$

Step 2: Case $p > 2$. To obtain the result, we will use

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}_{1,p}[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{q_2}\right] \le M\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,p}[|\mu_{n,k}|] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere,}$$

with $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/p$, instead of (4.4); and

$$\mathbf{F}_\Lambda = \{u \in W_0^{1,1}(B_{2k}(0)) : |u| \le \Lambda\mathbf{W}_{1,p}[|\mu_{n,k}|], \ \ |\nabla u| \le \Lambda\mathbf{W}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu_{n,k}|] \ \text{ in } \ B_k(0)\},$$

instead of $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. We omit the details. The proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.
Step 1: Case $\frac{3N-2}{2N-1} < p \leq 2$. Let $\mu_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that μ_n converges to μ in the sense of theorem 3.4 and $|\mu_n| \leq \varphi_n * (|\mu|)$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of mollifiers in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Thanks to Proposition 2.3,

$$|\mu_n|(K) \leq C'C\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathbf{G}_{\frac{q_1p+q_2}{q_1+q_2}},\frac{q_1+q_2}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}(K) \quad \text{for all compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^N \tag{4.9}$$

We will prove that if C in (4.9) is small enough, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(x,\nabla u_n)) &= |u_n|^{q_1-1}u|\nabla u_n|^{q_2} + \mu_n \qquad \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n &= 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{on } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

has a renormalized solution satisfying

$$|u_n| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad |\nabla u_n| \leq C_0 \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{4.11}$$

By Theorem (2.12), we need to prove that there exists $M > 0$ such that, if for $\alpha = 1$ and p, the following inequalities hold,

$$\mathbf{I}_\alpha \left[\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[[|\mu_{n,k}|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\right] \leq M\mathbf{I}_\alpha^{4R}[|\mu_{n,k}|] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere,} \tag{4.12}$$

then problem (4.10) has a renormalized solution satisfying (4.11).

We have to prove that there exists $M > 0$ such that if for $\alpha = 1$ and p there holds

$$\mathbf{I}_\alpha^{4R} \left[\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\right] \leq M\mathbf{I}_\alpha^{4R}[|\mu_n|] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere in } B_{2R}(x_0), \tag{4.13}$$

Fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\mathbf{E}_\Lambda = \left\{u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : |u| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\ |\nabla u| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } \Omega\right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ is closed under the strong topology of $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, convex and $|u|^{q_1}|\nabla u|^{q_2} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for any $u \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. We consider the map $S : \mathbf{E}_\Lambda \mapsto W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ defined for each $v \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ by $S(v) = u$, where $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is the unique renormalized solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -div(A(x,\nabla u)) &= |v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2} + \mu_n \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

We will show that $S(\mathbf{E}_\Lambda)$ is subset of $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ for some $\Lambda > 0$ small enough.
For $v \in \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ and $u = S(v)$, we have

$$|v| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\ |\nabla v| \leq \Lambda \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu|_n]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

In particular,

$$||v||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})} \leq C_1\Lambda d^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{1/(p-1)}, \quad |||\nabla v|||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})} \leq C_1\Lambda d^{-\frac{N-1}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{1/(p-1)},$$

where $\Omega_{d/2} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x,\partial\Omega) \leq d/2\}$.
From (4.13) with $\alpha = 1$ and p we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[||v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2} + \mu_n|] &\leq \Lambda^{q_1+q_2}\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}} \left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}] + \mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|] \\ &\leq \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M + 1\right)\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[||v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2}+\mu_n|] &\le \Lambda^{q_1+q_2}\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu|]\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}]+\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\\ &\le \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|],\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.6 and $\mathbf{W}_{1,p}^{4R}[|\mu_n|] \lesssim \left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ in Ω, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|u| &\le C_2\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[||v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2}+\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\\ &\le C_2\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{in } \Omega.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

From Corollary 3.8, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla u(x)| &\le C_3\left(\frac{R}{d}\right)^N\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[||v|^{q_1-1}v|\nabla v|^{q_2}+\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\\ &\le C_4\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for any $x\in\Omega$ verifying $d(x,\partial\Omega)>d/4$. By the standard regularity results for quasilinear equations, we deduce

$$|||\nabla u|||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/4})} \le C_5\left(||u||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}+|||v|^{q_1}|\nabla v|^{q_2}||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}^{1/(p-1)}\right),$$

where $C_5=C_5(N,p,\Omega)$.

(a) Estimate of $|||v|^{q_1}|\nabla v|^{q_2}||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}^{1/(p-1)}$. From (4.13) , we have $|\mu_n|(\Omega)\le C_6M^{\frac{p-1}{q_1+q_2-p+1}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}|||v|^{q_1}|\nabla v|^{q_2}||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}^{1/(p-1)} &\le ||v||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}|||\nabla v|||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\\ &\le \left(C_1\Lambda d^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{1/(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}}\left(C_1\Lambda d^{-\frac{N-1}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{1/(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{q_2}{p-1}}\\ &\le C_7\Lambda^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}+\frac{q_2}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{\frac{q_1+q_2}{(p-1)^2}}\\ &\le C_8\Lambda^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}+\frac{q_2}{p-1}}M^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\inf_{x\in\Omega}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $C_8=C_8(N,p,\alpha,q_1,q_2,d,R)$.

(b) Estimate of $||u||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}$. By (4.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}||u||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})} &\le C_2\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(||\mathbf{I}_p^{4R}[|\mu_n|]||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/2})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\\ &\le C_9\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}d^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}(|\mu_n|(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\\ &\le C_{10}\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\inf_{x\in\Omega}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|||\nabla u|||_{L^\infty(\Omega_{d/4})} \le C_{11}\left(\Lambda^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}+\frac{q_2}{p-1}}M^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)\inf_{x\in\Omega}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

where $C_{11}=C_{11}(N,p,\alpha,q_1,q_2,d,R,\Omega)$.
Combining this with (4.15) we get for all $x\in\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla u(x)| &\le C_4\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\\ &\quad+C_{11}\left(\Lambda^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}+\frac{q_2}{p-1}}M^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2}M+1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_1^{4R}[|\mu_n|](x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

We can find $M, \Lambda > 0$ such that

$$C_2 \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2} M + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \Lambda,$$
$$C_4 \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2} M + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + C_{11} \left(\Lambda^{\frac{q_1}{p-1}+\frac{q_2}{p-1}} M^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \left(\Lambda^{q_1+q_2} M + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) \leq \Lambda.$$

Thus, from (4.14) and (4.16) we obtain $S(\mathbf{E}_\Lambda) \subset \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. Moreover, it can be shown that the map $S : \mathbf{E}_\Lambda \mapsto \mathbf{E}_\Lambda$ is continuous and $S(\mathbf{E}_\Lambda)$ is pre-compact under the strong topology of $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then by Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, S has a fixed point on $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. This means problem (4.10) has a renormalized solution satisfying (4.11).

Step 2: The case $p > 2$. To obtain the result, we will use

$$\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}\left[\left(\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{1,p}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{q_1}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu_n|]\right)^{q_2}\right] \leq M\mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\alpha,p}[|\mu|] < \infty \quad \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega$$

with $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 1/p$, instead of (4.4); and

$$\mathbf{F}_\Lambda = \{u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : |u| \leq \Lambda \mathbf{W}^{4R}_{1,p}[|\mu_n|], \quad |\nabla u| \leq \Lambda \mathbf{W}^{4R}_{\frac{1}{p},p}[|\mu_n|] \ \text{ in } \ \Omega\},$$

instead of $\mathbf{E}_\Lambda$. We omit the details. The proof is complete. □

References

[1] D. R. Adams, L.I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wisenschaften **31**, Springer-Verlag (1999).

[2] D. R. Adams, *On the existence of capacitary strong type estimates in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ark. Mat. **14** (1976), 125-140.

[3] L.Ambrosio, N.Fusco, D.Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems,* Oxford University Press, 2000.

[4] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, *Local and global behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations of Emden-Fowler type*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **107** (1989), 293-324.

[5] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, *Necessary conditions of existence for an elliptic equation with source term and measure data involving p-Laplacian*, in: Proc. 2001 Luminy Conf. on Quasilinear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations and Systems, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf. **8** (2002), 23-34.

[6] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, *Removable singularities and existence for a quasilinear equation with absorption or source term and measure data*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **3** (2003), 25-63.

[7] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, H. Nguyen Quoc, L. Véron, *Quasilinear Lane-Emden equations with absorption and measure data*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **102** (2014), 315-337 .

[8] M. F. Bidaut-Véron, H. Nguyen Quoc, L. Véron, *Quasilinear and Hessian Lane-Emden systems with reaction and measure data*, submitted.

[9] G. Dal Maso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, A. Prignet, *Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, **28** (1999), 741-808.

[10] F. Duzaar, G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates via linear and nonlinear potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2961-2998.

[11] R. Fefferman, *Strong differentiation with respect to measure*, Amer. J. Math **103** (1981), 33-40.

[12] P. Honzik and B. Jaye, *On the good-λ inequality for nonlinear potentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 4167-4180.

[13] N.J. Kalton, I.E. Verbitsky, *Nonlinear equations and weighted norm inequality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 3441-3497.

[14] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, *Linear potential in nonlinear potential theory*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **207** (2013), 207-246.

[15] Quoc-Hung Nguyen, *Potential estimates and quasilinear parabolic equations with measure data,* arXiv:1405.2587, pp. 1-120, submitted

[16] Quoc-Hung Nguyen, Nguyen Cong Phuc, *Pointwise and regularity estimates for singular quasilinear elliptic equations with measure data*, preprint.

[17] V.G. Maz'ya, I. E. Verbitsky, *Capacitary inequalities for fractional integrals, with applications to partial differential equations and sobolev multipliers*, Arkiv Mat, **33** (1995), 81-115.

[18] N. C. Phuc, I. E. Verbitsky, *Quasilinear and Hessian equations of Lane-Emden type*, Ann. Math. **168** (2008), 859-914 (2008).

[19] N.C. Phuc, *Quasilinear Riccati type equations with super-critical exponents*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **35** (2010), 1958-1981.

[20] N.C. Phuc, *Nonlinear Muckenhoupt-Wheeden type bounds on Reifenberg flat domains, with application to quasilinear Riccati type equations*, Adv. in Math. **250** (2014), 378-419

[21] N. C. Phuc, I. E. Verbitsky, *Singular quasilinear and Hessian equation and inequalities*, J. Funct Anal. **256** (2009), 1875-1906.

[22] N. S. Trudinger, X. J. Wang, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with signed measure data*, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **23** (2009), 477-494.

[23] L. Véron, *Local and Global Aspects of Quasilinear Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ (2017).