

Changes in French weather pattern seasonal frequencies projected by a CMIP5 ensemble

Pierre Brigode, M. Gérardin, P. Bernardara, J. Gailhard, P. Ribstein

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Brigode, M. Gérardin, P. Bernardara, J. Gailhard, P. Ribstein. Changes in French weather pattern seasonal frequencies projected by a CMIP5 ensemble. International Journal of Climatology, 2018, 10.1002/joc.5549. hal-01773657

HAL Id: hal-01773657 https://hal.science/hal-01773657v1

Submitted on 23 Apr 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Changes in French weather pattern seasonal frequencies projected by a CMIP5 ensemble

4 Brigode P.^{1,2,*}, Gérardin, M.², Bernardara P.¹, Gailhard J.³ and Ribstein P.²

5

3

6 ¹ LNHE, R&D, Electricité de France (EDF), Chatou, France.

7 ² UMR 7619 Metis, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

³ DTG, DMM, Electricité de France (EDF), Grenoble, France.

9 * Now in: Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, OCA, IRD, Géoazur.

- 10 Corresponding author: Pierre Brigode (pierre.brigode@unice.fr)
- 11

How to cite this article: Brigode P, Gérardin M, Bernardara P, Gailhard J, Ribstein P. Changes in French weather pattern seasonal frequencies projected by a CMIP5 ensemble. *Int J Climatol.* 2018; 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5549.

12

13 Keywords:

14 Weather pattern frequency; future weather pattern frequency; CMIP5; French climatology.

15

16 Abstract (up to 300 words):

17 Over the last decades, General Circulation Model (GCM) simulations have been regularly evaluated in 18 terms of their ability to reproduce the historical frequency of significantly recurrent Weather Patterns 19 (WP) observed at the regional scale. Thus, a good simulation of the frequency of these particular WP 20 by the GCM is generally conditioning the good representation of the regional statistics of surface 21 variables such as temperature and precipitation. In this paper, the seasonal frequency of eight 22 particular WP have been calculated using the daily geopotential height fields simulated by an ensemble 23 of 26 CMIP5 GCM. These WP are known as significantly influencing the French regional hydro-24 climatology in terms of both frequency of low flows and high precipitation events. Four different bias correction methods have been applied on the simulated geopotential height fields before the 25 26 calculation of the seasonal WP frequencies. The GCM ensemble showed overall good performances in 27 terms of the simulation of WP seasonal frequencies. The application of a spatially and temporally 28 nonhomogenous correction of simulated geopotential height fields improved significantly the 29 simulation of WP frequencies for the four seasons. Finally, the evolution of the WP frequencies over 30 the next century has been quantified. Three WP (WP2, WP4 and WP8) have pronounced seasonal 31 changes, with WP2 and WP4 being less frequent in summer and autumn seasons, respectively, while 32 WP8 being more frequent over spring, summer and autumn seasons. The strong simulated frequency 33 evolution of WP2 and WP8 is an interesting result, which predicts the climate to be drier with time for 34 France. Thus, WP2 (western oceanic circulation), grouping rainy days over the northern France region, 35 is simulated as less frequent in future summers, while WP8 (Anticyclonic situations), which groups non-36 rainy days over France, is simulated as more frequent in future summers.

1 INTRODUCTION

39 Since several decades, meteorologists and climatologists have worked on the identification of 40 particular atmospheric circulations patterns that are recurrently observed at the regional scale and that are strongly affecting the spatial and the temporal variability of surface variables, such as 41 42 temperature and precipitation (e.g. over Europe by Plaut & Simonnet, 2001 ; Cassou et al., 2005 ; Boé 43 & Terray 2008). Different classification methods are used for identifying a limited number of significantly recurrent circulation patterns (see Huth et al., 2008 for a review), generally based on the 44 45 analysis of atmospheric circulation variables such as Sea Level Pressure (SLP) or geopotential heights 46 (Vautard, 1990; Michelangeli et al., 1995). In the literature, the obtained recurrent circulations patterns have different names and are usually referenced as (weather, atmospheric, climate or 47 circulation) regimes, types or patterns, based on their properties (Stephenson et al., 2004). Philipp et 48 49 al. (2007) opposed the weather regimes (WR) to the weather patterns (WP) in terms of their spatial 50 and temporal characteristics. The WR are usually one to four "quasi-stationary states of the large-scale 51 circulation system" defined at the 10-day timescale, while the WP are more numerous situations 52 defined at a finer spatial scale since being devoted to the classification of the circulation system on a 53 daily timescale. The utility of WP classifications for quantifying the influence of large-scale climate 54 drivers on hydro-climatological variability has been discussed by several studies, mainly arguing that 55 WP are defined at an intermediate spatial scale between WR and local climatic observations (e.g. 56 Giuntoli et al., 2013 ; Brigode et al., 2013a, 2013b).

57 Recently, WP frequencies have thus been used as a conditioning variable for the statistical 58 modelling of extreme hydro-climatological values (e.g. Planchon et al. 2009; Brigode et al., 2013b). For 59 example, several studies successfully linked WP (or circulation-related variables) to flood statistics at 60 the regional scale (e.g. Nied et al., 2014; Wilby & Quinn, 2013; Renard & Lall, 2014). In the framework of the statistical modelling of extreme precipitation, Garavaglia et al. (2010, 2011) proposed an eight 61 62 WP classification for sub-sampling precipitation events over France in terms of their synoptic origin. 63 Tramblay et al. (2011, 2013) used the same WP classification as conditioning variables in a nonstationary extreme value frequency analysis and showed the soundness of using this framework for 64 65 the statistical modelling of extreme precipitation in southern French regions. Furthermore, Giuntoli et al. (2013) found significant correlations between these WP and low flows over France, highlighting 66 67 again the strong link between these WP and the seasonal French hydro-climatology.

68 These significant links are of particular interest in the context of the quantification of regional 69 climate change impacts. Under the (strong) hypothesis that the statistical relationships observed over 70 the historical period hold unchanged under climate changes, WP classifications can be used to 71 downscale and transform changes of atmospheric circulations into changes of regional surface 72 variables (Boé & Terray, 2008). Nevertheless, this approach is based on the other hypothesis that 73 atmospheric circulations are better simulated by the Global Circulation Models (GCM) than surface 74 variables such as precipitation. At the global scale, numerous studies showed that large-scale features 75 of the atmospheric circulation are among the variables that GCM represent with the best robustness 76 (e.g. Covey et al., 2003; Räisänen, 2007), even though the ability of GCM to simulate changes in 77 atmospheric circulation is recently questioned (e.g. Palmer, 1999; Corti et al., 1999; Hsu & Zwiers, 78 2001; Gillett et al., 2003; Shepherd, 2014; Hall, 2014; Murawski et al., 2016).

79 WR and WP classifications have been widely used as a way to evaluate GCM outputs in terms of 80 their ability to reproduce WR and WP frequencies over a target region (Sheridan & Lee, 2010). Frequencies of simulated WP have been evaluated over different regions, such as the Euro-Atlantic 81 region (e.g. Demuzere et al., 2009; Handorf & Dethloff, 2012 and Pastor & Casado, 2012), the Arctic 82 83 region (e.g. Cassano et al., 2006), the northwestern Iberian Peninsula (e.g. Lorenzo et al., 2011) and 84 the Northwest America region (e.g. McKendry et al., 2006). Anagnostopoulou et al. (2008) used the 85 500 hPa geopotential height (Z₅₀₀) and the 1000-500 hPa thickness fields for WP classification over the eastern Mediterranean region and revealed several differences between observed WP frequencies 86 87 and the frequencies simulated by the considered GCM (HadAM3P), with, for example, an 88 overestimation of the summer frequency of anticyclonic situations. Sanchez-Gomez et al. (2009) 89 evaluated the performances of an ensemble of 13 Regional Climate Models (RCM) forced by a reanalysis (ERA40) in terms of the simulation of WR over the Euro-Atlantic region and highlighted the 90 91 good performances of the ensemble in terms of the simulation of the mean WR frequency. During the 92 last years, the GCM outputs of the fifth Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5, Taylor et al. 93 (2012)) have been evaluated with the same methodology over different regions (e.g. by Belleflamme et al. (2013) over Greenland and by Dunn-Sigouin & Son (2013); Hertig & Jacobeit (2014) and Ullmann 94 95 et al. (2014) over the Northern hemisphere), and the CMIP5 GCM appear to represent generally well 96 the observed WR. Nevertheless, Belleflamme et al. (2014) and Santos et al. (2016) illustrated the 97 limitations of two different GCM ensembles in simulating seasonal WP frequency over Europe for the recent decades. The ability of GCM to simulate WR and WP frequency seem thus to depend on the 98 99 GCM considered, on the spatial scale considered and on the WR and WP classification method used.

100 The general aim of the paper is to quantify the frequency changes of the eight French WP previously 101 defined by Garavaglia *et al.* (2010) simulated by an ensemble of 26 CMIP5 GCM over the 21st century. 102 Before studying future WP frequency changes, the performances of the GCM ensemble will be 103 quantified over the historical period (here 1979-2004), using four different bias correction method 104 applied on the GCM geopotential heights fields.

106 2 DATASETS

107 2.1 Reanalysis of geopotential height fields

108 The ERA-Interim global atmospheric reanalysis (Dee *et al.*, 2011, noted ERAi hereafter) has been used 109 as reference for geopotential height fields for the historical period. This gridded dataset is available 110 from 1979, at a 0.75° spatial resolution and at a 12-hour temporal resolution. Two levels have been 111 considered in this study, namely (i) the geopotential height field at 1000 hPa (noted Z_{1000} hereafter) 112 and (ii) the geopotential height field at 700 hPa (noted Z_{700} hereafter), both at 0h for each day. The 113 historical period considered in this study is 1979-2004.

114 **2.2 GCM geopotential height field outputs**

Daily Z₁₀₀₀ and Z₇₀₀ outputs from 26 GCM have been considered in this study. All outputs have been
generated within the fifth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5, Taylor *et al.*,
2012). The GCM studied are listed in table S1. The daily outputs of three different experiments have
been used:

- The "historical" experiment, which consists of a simulation of the recent past (1950-2005 period)
 under the historical forcing;
- The "RCP4.5" experiment, which extends the "historical" experiment by simulating the future (2006-2100) under the RCP4.5 emission scenario (radiative forcing surplus of 4.5 W.m⁻² in 2100).
- The "RCP8.5" experiment, which extends the "historical" experiment by simulating the future
 (2006-2100) under the RCP8.5 emission scenario (radiative forcing surplus of 8.5 W.m⁻² in 2100).

The two emission scenarios considered here are members of the four Representative Concentration
Pathway (RCP) scenarios considered in the CMIP5 experiments (Moss *et al.*, 2010).

127 Only the first member (named r1ip1) from the historical, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 experiments have been

128 considered for each GCM. The simulated Z_{1000} and Z_{700} fields have been spatially interpolated on the

129 ERAi grid (0.75° grid spacing). The historical experiment outputs are considered over the 1979-2004

- 130 period (period of availability of the ERAi dataset) and the future experiment outputs are considered
- 131 over the 2006-2098 period.

Several GCM outputs being produced by climate models with structural similarities (e.g. the bcc-csm1-1 outputs and the bcc-csm1-1-m outputs), a sub-sample of the 26 outputs has also been considered. Thus, the seasonal WP frequencies simulated using outputs produced by similar climate models (i.e. developed by the same institution) were averaged. The Table S1 presents the merging considered for the constitution of the second GCM ensemble (noted INS ensemble hereafter, where INS stands for "institution") composed by 15 GCM outputs out of the 26 available.

137 "institution"), composed by 15 GCM outputs out of the 26 available.

138 **2.3 Precipitation reanalysis**

As an illustration of the regional precipitation patterns associated to each WP, the E-OBS daily precipitation reanalysis (Haylock *et al.*, 2008, version 16.0) is used in this study. This reanalysis provides

141 daily precipitation amounts at a 0.25° resolution. This dataset has been used over Western Europe and

142 the 1979-2004 period.

143 **3 METHODOLOGY**

This section aims at describing the WP classification considered, the bias correction methods used and
 the frequency analysis methodology, all performed in the R-project environment (R Core Team, 2016,
 <u>http://www.r-project.org/</u>).

147

148

3.1 The WP classification method

3.1.1 Average synoptic situation of the eight French WP

The WP considered in the paper are the eight French WP defined by Garavaglia *et al.* (2010). These WPs have been defined at the daily time step through a classification of "rainy days" over southern France for the 1956-1996 period (see Garavaglia *et al.*, 2010 and Brigode *et al.*, 2013b for more details on the rainy days classification method). For example, the first WP (WP1) is constituted by 668 rainy days of the 1956-1996 period.

The synoptic characteristics of each of the eight WP have then been calculated by averaging the synoptic situations of the different days constituting each WP. Thus, the WP1 synoptic situation is the average of the synoptic situations of the 668 rainy days previously identified as constituting the WP1. Four geopotential height fields are considered for the synoptic description of each day and thus of each WP:

- 159 1. the Z₁₀₀₀ field at Oh,
- 160 2. the Z₁₀₀₀ field at 24h,

161 3. the Z₇₀₀ field at 0h,

162 4. the Z₇₀₀ field at 24h.

In this study, the average synoptic situation of each WP has been estimated with the ERAi geopotential
reanalysis (available from 1979, see section 2) and thus computed over the 1979-1996 period.
Geopotential height fields have been considered over 504 ERAi grid points, centered over southern
France (-7.50° to 12.75° of longitude and 37.50° to 50.25° of latitude). Figure 1 shows the average Z₇₀₀
field at 24h of each WP, estimated over the 1979-1996 period, and the spatial coverage of the 504
ERAi grid points.

169 *3.1.2 Classification of the historical period*

170 In order to obtain a daily classification for the studied historical period, each past day is assigned to 171 one of the eight WP by computing distances between the synoptic situation of the studied day and 172 each WP. Teweles & Wobus (1954) distances (noted D_{TW} hereafter) are calculated to find the closest WP to each considered day (see Brigode et al., 2013a, 2013b for more details). D_{TW} focuses on air flows 173 174 since this distance involves gradients of absolute values of geopotential heights (Woodcock, 1980; 175 Obled et al., 2002; Bontron, 2004; Wetterhall et al., 2005, Brigode et al., 2016). The formula for 176 estimating D_{TW} between two synoptic situations (Z1) and (Z2) characterized by geopotential height 177 fields on a gridded domain oriented south-north (index *i*) and west-east (index *j*) is :

178
$$D_{TW} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} \left| e_G^i \right| + \sum_{i,j} \left| e_G^j \right|}{\sum_{i,j} \left| G_L^i \right| + \sum_{i,j} \left| G_L^j \right|} \times 100$$

179 where e_G is the difference, around a given grid point, between the Z1 and Z2 geopotential gradients, 180 while G_L is the maximum of these two gradients in the direction considered (*i* or *j*):

181
$$|e_G^i| = |(Z1_{i,j} - Z1_{i+1,1}) - (Z2_{i,j} - Z2_{i+1,1})|$$

182
$$|e_G^j| = |(Z1_{i,j} - Z1_{i,j+1}) - (Z2_{i,j} - Z2_{i,j+1})|$$

183
$$|G_{L}^{i}| = \max(|Z1_{i,j} - Z1_{i+1,1}|, |Z2_{i,j} - Z2_{i+1,1}|)$$

184
$$|G_{L}^{j}| = \max(|Z1_{i,j} - Z1_{i,j+1}|, |Z2_{i,j} - Z2_{i,j+1}|)$$

185 D_{TW} ranges from 0 for two identical geopotential fields and 200 for two opposite geopotential fields. 186 The final score between one day and one WP is the sum of four D_{TW} distances: (i) the D_{TW} between the 187 Z₇₀₀ fields at 0h, (ii) the D_{TW} between the Z₁₀₀₀ fields at 24h, (iii) the D_{TW} between the Z₇₀₀ fields at 0h and (iv) the D_{TW} between the Z₇₀₀ fields at 24h. The D_{TW} calculation is illustrated in figure 1, which shows 188 the eight D_{TW} calculated between one particular day (21th September 1992) and the average synoptic 189 190 situation of the eight French WPs for the Z_{700} fields at 24h. The minimal D_{TW} is found for the WP4 191 (D_{TW} =48.4). The three other calculations of D_{TW} for that particular day (Z_{1000} at 0h, Z_{1000} at 24h and Z_{700} 192 at 0h) are summarized in the table 1. The sum of the four D_{TW} is minimal for the WP4 (D_{TW} =205.5): the 193 21th September 1992 is thus attributed to the WP4. Note that this synoptic situation has generated a 194 considerable amount of precipitation in South-Eastern France, with more than 300 mm of precipitation 195 observed locally that day (Benech et al., 1993), generating a catastrophic and deadly flash flood of the 196 Ouvèze river (Sénési et al., 1996).

197

Table 1: D_{TW} distances calculated between the 21th September 1992 synoptic situation and the average 198 199 synoptic situation of the eight French WPs for the Z₁₀₀₀ and Z₇₀₀ fields at 0h and at 24h. In this case, the 21th September 1992 is finally attributed to the WP4. 200

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5	WP6	WP7	WP8
Z ₁₀₀₀ at 0h	91.9	101.1	85.3	67.9	95.2	81.1	70.7	96.8
Z ₁₀₀₀ at 24h	92.0	91.7	67.6	52.2	89.6	84.1	64.2	99.6
Z ₇₀₀ at Oh	62.5	73.3	49.7	36.9	63.4	63.6	46.1	70.4
Z ₇₀₀ at 24h	74.7	82.1	59.0	48.4	79.8	71.4	56.8	86.6
D _{TW} sums	321.1	348.2	261.6	205.5	328.1	300.3	237.7	353.4



Figure 1: Comparison between the Z_{700} synoptic situation of the 21th September 1992 (at 24h, panel I) and the Z_{700} situation of the eight French WP (panels A to H). The D_{TW} distances calculated between these geopotential fields are given on each panel.

206

207

3.1.3 Meteorological description of the eight French WP

The eight French WPs are described in the figures 2.1 and 2.2, in terms of average ERAi Z₇₀₀ and Z₁₀₀₀ fields at 0h, E-OBS regional precipitation pattern and seasonal frequencies, calculated over the 1979-2004 period. The eight WP can be grouped in terms of their general atmospheric flow direction (cf. column (a) of the figures 2.1 and 2.2, showing average SLP for each WP):

- WP1 (Atlantic Wave), WP2 (Steady Oceanic) and WP3 (Southwest Circulation) correspond to
 westerly oceanic circulation, grouping days particularly rainy over the Alps, the Northwestern
 part of France and the Western part of France, respectively. The WP2 is one of the most frequent
 over the year, especially during the winter season.
- WP4 (South Circulation), WP6 (East Return) and WP7 (Central Depression) correspond to
 Mediterranean circulations, grouping days particularly rainy over the Southeastern part of
 France. WP6 and WP7 days are relatively rarely observed.
- The WP5 (Northeast Circulation) corresponds to continental circulations, grouping days not
 particularly rainy over France.

223

• Finally, the WP8 (Anticyclonic) corresponds to high pressure situations and thus groups nonrainy days. This French WP is the most frequent, especially in summer.



Figure 2.1: Description of the WP1 to WP4: (a) average ERAi SLP at Oh, (b) average ERAi Z₇₀₀ at Oh, (c)

average ERAi Z₁₀₀₀ at Oh, (d) ratio between the E-OBS mean precipitation amounts and the general
 precipitation amount (considering all WP) and (e) seasonal frequency of the WP, estimated over the

228 1979-2004 period.



Figure 2.2: Description of the WP5 to WP8: (a) average ERAi SLP at Oh, (b) average ERAi Z₇₀₀ at Oh, (c)
average ERAi Z₁₀₀₀ at Oh, (d) ratio between the E-OBS mean precipitation amounts and the general
precipitation amount (considering all WP) and (e) seasonal frequency of the WP, estimated over the
1979-2004 period.

229

3.2 Classification of the daily GCM outputs

The classification of the geopotential height fields simulated by the GCM has been done with the same methodology, i.e. by calculating D_{TW} between each simulated day (characterized by four synoptic situations: Z_{1000} at 0h, Z_{1000} at 24h, Z_{700} at 0h and Z_{700} at 24h) and the ERAi average situations of the eight French WP (also being characterized by four synoptic situations). The sum of the four D_{TW} is calculated for each simulated day and each WP, and the WP with the minimal D_{TW} sum is attributed to the studied day.

242 **3.3 Geopotential height bias correction methods**

While most of the studies on the GCM WP simulation used uncorrected GCM outputs, it is noteworthy that Demuzere *et al.* (2009) and Lorenzo *et al.* (2011) found better performances of GCM in terms of frequencies if the SLP fields used for the classification were bias-corrected before the classification procedure. Thus, the need for bias correction of GCM geopotential height fields before performing WP classification will be tested in this paper, by considering different bias correction methods. D_{TW} distance values have been firstly computed without correcting the GCM geopotential height
 fields. The WP frequencies obtained by using these uncorrected GCM outputs are named D0 hereafter.
 Then, four different bias correction methods have been applied to the GCM outputs:

- A spatially homogeneous correction of the geopotential height average values and standard deviations. The outputs of this bias correction method are named D1 hereafter. Note that since D_{TW} are estimated by considering synoptic circulation gradients, a spatially homogeneous correction of average values only is useless: lowering or rising the mean geopotential height fields has no effect on the D_{TW} values.
- 257 2. A spatially nonhomogeneous correction of the average values. The outputs of this bias258 correction method are named D2 hereafter.
- A spatially nonhomogeneous correction of the geopotential height average values and standard
 deviations. The outputs of this bias correction method are named D3 hereafter.
- 4. A spatially nonhomogeneous correction of the monthly average values and standard deviations.
 The outputs of this bias correction method are named D4 hereafter.

To summarize, each GCM output is considered five times: firstly without any bias correction method (outputs named D0) and then after application of the D1, D2, D3 and D4 bias correction methods.

3.4 Seasonal WP frequencies and frequency variability

266 Seasonal frequencies of the eight French WP have been estimated over the 25-year historical period 267 (01/03/1979-29/02/2004) and over 68 25-year periods extracted from the future RCP simulations (2006-2031, 2007-2032, ..., 2073-2098). Four 3-month seasons have been defined: the autumn season 268 (September, October and November, noted SON hereafter), the winter season (December, January 269 270 and February, noted DJF hereafter) the spring season (March, April and May, noted MAM hereafter) 271 and the summer season (June, July and August, noted JJA hereafter). For each season and each WP, 272 frequencies are defined as the percentage of days belonging to the considered WP. The reference 273 observed seasonal frequencies of the eight WP are the seasonal frequencies represented in column (e) 274 of figures 2.1 and 2.2.

275 In order to quantify the WP frequency variability within a time period, a non-parametric bootstrap 276 resampling has been performed. Thus, for each 25-year time period considered, 100 samples of 15 277 randomly chosen years are constructed. Note that no replacements are allowed within this bootstrap 278 resampling, and thus one particular year cannot be resampled twice. These 100 samples are then used 279 to quantify the variability of the frequency by measuring the 90% confidence interval. The dispersion 280 of observed WP frequencies are shown in figures 2.1 and 2.2 for the 1979-2004 period. The observed 281 variability of the seasonal frequencies is limited, the highest variability is observed for the WP2, WP4 and WP8. For example, the summer frequency of WP8 ranges between 33.2% and 38.8%. 282

284 **4 RESULTS**

4.1 GCM simulations of WP average Z₁₀₀₀ and Z₇₀₀

The GCM ensemble outputs have been firstly evaluated in terms of the simulation of the average 286 287 Z₇₀₀ and Z₁₀₀₀ fields for the eight WP, over the 1979-2004 period. Figure 3 summarizes the spatial 288 variability of the Z₁₀₀₀ and Z₇₀₀ bias, calculated for each WP as the difference between the GCM 289 ensemble mean fields and the average ERAi fields (presented in the figures 2.1 and 2.2). For Z₇₀₀, the 290 GCM ensemble appears to overestimate the geopotential heights for the eight WP. The spatial 291 distributions of theses biases reveal a slighter bias over northwestern France (Atlantic Sea). The spatial 292 distribution of Z_{1000} bias changes with WP. For WP1, WP5 and WP7, the GCM ensemble tends to 293 underestimate the Z₁₀₀₀ values over northwestern France and to overestimate the Z₁₀₀₀ values over the 294 southeastern part of the studied region. For the WP6, the Z_{1000} values are slightly underestimated over 295 the entire studied region. For the other WP (WP2, WP3, WP4 and WP6), the GCM ensemble tends 296 generally to overestimate the Z_{1000} values over the studied region. The spatial variability of biases 297 justified the use of bias correction method that are spatially (D2 to D4) nonhomogeneous.



Figure 3: Z₇₀₀ and Z₁₀₀₀ geopotential bias calculated for each WP as the difference between GCM
 ensemble mean and ERAi geopotential height fields.

299

303

4.2 Historical seasonal WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensemble

304 The GCM ensemble has then been evaluated in terms of simulating historical WP frequencies for 305 the four different seasons considered. Figure 4 presents the observed (ERAi) seasonal WP frequencies estimated over the 1979-2004 period and the WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensemble 306 (uncorrected and corrected). The seasonal variability of the WP frequencies are generally well 307 308 simulated by the uncorrected GCM (expect for the WP4). Nevertheless, the frequencies of the two most frequent WP (WP2 and WP8) are poorly simulated, with WP2 frequencies being strongly 309 overestimated and WP8 strongly underestimated. Moreover, the dispersion of the GCM ensemble is 310 311 large for these two WP as well as for the WP4. The impact of the different bias correction methods on 312 the historical WP frequencies is not straightforward and needs further investigations.



Figure 4: Seasonal WP frequencies estimated over the 1979-2004 period. The grey rectangles present the observed (ERAi) WP frequencies. The boxplots are constructed with the WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensembles (GCM and INS) without bias correction (blue boxplots) and with bias corrections (white boxplots, D1 to D4).

318

319 Figure 5 presents the distribution of Euclidean distances calculated, for each season and each bias 320 correction method, between the vector of the 8 observed WP frequencies and the 26 vectors of 8 321 simulated WP frequencies. When no bias correction is applied (D0 method), the GCM ensemble is 322 slightly less performant for DJF season (average distance around 19%) than for other seasons (average 323 distance around 15.5%). The application of the D1 bias correction method (spatially homogeneous 324 correction of the geopotential height standard deviations) appears to degrade the performance of the 325 GCM ensemble in terms of seasonal WP frequencies. Nevertheless, the application of the D2 to D4 326 correction methods (all spatially inhomogeneous correction methods) improves the GCM ensemble 327 performances for the DJF, MAM and SON seasons. For the JJA season, only the D4 bias correction 328 method improves the performance of the WP frequency simulation. Overall, the D4 bias correction 329 method (only method implying a spatial and temporal nonhomogenous correction) has the best 330 performances in terms of simulation of the ERAi WP frequencies on the historical period.

331



332

Figure 5: Distributions of seasonal Euclidean distances calculated, for each season and each bias correction method, between the vector of 8 observed WP frequencies and the 26 vectors of 8 simulated (GCM) WP frequencies over the 1979-2004 period. The blue boxplots are WP frequencies obtained without bias correction, and the white ones are obtained when the bias correction D1 to D4 are applied. Red values are mean values of Euclidean distances between WP frequency vectors and grey points are individual GCM distances.

340 **4.3** Future evolutions of seasonal WP frequencies

In this section, the changes of WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensemble are calculated. Regarding the performances obtained by the four different bias correction methods tested (see Section 4.2), only the best method (D4) has been considered for the estimation of future WP frequencies. Thus, future frequencies are calculated with both uncorrected GCM outputs (D0) and GCM outputs corrected with the D4 method.

346 Figure 6 presents the mean seasonal frequency evolutions simulated by the GCM ensemble, 347 considering both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emission scenarios and both GCM outputs without bias correction 348 and GCM outputs corrected with the D4 method. None particular temporal evolutions throughout 349 seasons are simulated for WP1, WP5, WP6 and WP7. WP3 and WP4 appear to be slightly less frequent 350 at the end of the century during JJA and SON seasons, respectively. These slight decreases seem to be 351 more pronounced when considering the RCP8.5 simulations. WP2 and WP8 are the two WP with the 352 most pronounced seasonal changes and are in opposition. Overall, WP2 is slightly less frequent (but 353 its frequency evolution depends on the RCP outputs considered), while WP8 is highly more frequent. 354 These conjoint evolutions are particularly notable for the JJA season. For this season, WP8 frequency is constantly increasing with time for RCP8.5 outputs, while the frequency increase is stopped around 355 356 the 2060 years for RCP4.5. Oppositely, the WP2 frequency decrease for the same season is stronger 357 when considering RCP8.5 outputs. For the SON season, a strong increase of WP8 is also notable, while 358 a slight decrease of WP4 and WP2 frequencies is found (expect for uncorrected RCP8.5 outputs). For 359 the DJF and MAM seasons, the WP8 appears to be also more frequent, while no particular frequency evolution is found for WP2. 360



Figure 6: Seasonal WP frequency changes (in %) estimated with the GCM ensemble over 68 consecutive 25-year periods, considering RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 simulations, with no bias correction (D0) and with the D4 bias correction method. Changes are relative to the WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensemble over the first 25-year period (2006-2031). Grey lines are individual GCM WP frequency changes.

368 Figure 7 summarizes the frequency changes calculated between the 2006-2031 period and the 369 2073-2098 period. A Student's t-Test has been performed for highlighting the significant changes of 370 mean seasonal frequency (p-value < 5%). The dispersion of simulated changes is different depending 371 on the WP, with larger dispersion for WP2, WP4 and WP8 frequencies. WP1 frequency appears to only 372 slightly decrease in JJA months for the RCP8.5 simulations (-1.2%, 95% of the GCM ensemble simulating 373 a decrease), when geopotential height bias are corrected with the D4 method. For WP2, the simulated 374 changes are highly dependent on the season and on the application of a bias correction method. After 375 bias correction, no clear frequency changes are obtained when considering RCP4.5 outputs. 376 Nevertheless, the RCP8.5 outputs show a significant decrease of WP2 frequency for the JJA season 377 with the bias correction method applied (-2.7%, 90% of the GCM ensemble simulating a decrease). WP3 appears to be slightly more frequent for DJF (significant increase of +1.3%, 75% of the GCM 378 379 ensemble simulating an increase, with D4 correction method), while being less frequent for JJA season 380 (significant decrease of -1.8%, 81% of the GCM ensemble simulating a decrease, with D4 correction 381 method), for the RCP8.5 simulations. The summer decrease of the WP3 frequency is also found in the 382 bias-corrected RCP4.5 simulations (significant decrease of -0.7%, 58% of the GCM ensemble simulating 383 a decrease). WP4 are significantly less frequent in JJA season RCP8.5 (-1.2%, 81% of the GCM ensemble simulating a decrease) scenarios and in SON season for bias corrected RCP8.5 (-2.2%) scenarios. A 384 385 significant decrease of -1.8% in the DJF season is found for WP5 for the bias corrected RCP8.5 386 simulations (decrease simulated by 81% of the GCM ensemble), while no significant changes are found 387 for the other seasons and outputs. The bias corrected RCP8.5 outputs show significant less WP6 days 388 for the different seasons, with a stronger decrease for the SON season (-1.5%, 95% of the GCM 389 ensemble simulating a decrease). The WP7 is slightly less frequent for MAM, JJA and SON seasons, 390 especially when considering RCP8.5 and bias-corrected outputs (-1.1%, -0.4% and -1.0% for these three 391 seasons, decreases simulated by 81%, 67% and 81% of the GCM ensemble, respectively). Finally, the 392 WP8 frequency is significantly increasing for MAM, JJA and SON seasons. These increases are stronger when considering bias corrected RCP8.5 outputs (+3.3%, +7.4% and +4.8%, increases simulated by 393 394 81%, 95% and 90% of the GCM ensemble respectively).

395 The summer (JJA) Z₇₀₀ values simulated by the GCM ensemble is increasing with time over the 396 studied domain. The GCM ensemble mean is 3137 m around 2018 and is 3183 m around 2085, 397 considering the RCP8.5 scenario. This increase seems to be correlated with the decrease of the WP2 398 summer frequency and with the increase of the WP8 summer frequency (results not shown). 399 Nevertheless, the WP classification was performed using the Teweles & Wobus (1954) distance (D_{TW}) , 400 metric calculated with the geopotential height gradients and not with the absolute values of the 401 geopotential heights. Thus, the temporal increase of the geopotential height absolute values is not 402 influencing the D_{TW} calculation and the simulated increase (decrease) of the seasonal WP8 (WP2) 403 frequency are thus due to changes in general circulation over the studied domain and not due to the 404 global increase of surface pressure in future climate.



Figure 7: Seasonal WP frequency changes estimated with the GCM ensemble between 2073-2098
 period and 2006-2031 period, considering RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 simulations, with no bias correction (D0)
 and with the D4 bias correction. The significant changes of mean WP frequency are printed in red color
 and expressed as percentage of frequency change.

411 **5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

An ensemble of 26 GCM used within the fifth Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) have been analyzed in order firstly to test its ability to reproduce observed seasonal geopotential height climatology over Western Europe, secondly to test its ability to reproduce the seasonal frequencies of eight French WPs previously defined (Garavaglia *et al.*, 2010) and finally to estimate the future seasonal WP frequencies.

417 Firstly, biases of simulated Z_{1000} and Z_{700} have been quantified over Western Europe for the 418 historical period (here 1979-2004), relatively to the ERAi reanalysis. For Z₁₀₀₀, the GCM ensemble biases 419 have both a strong spatial variability and seasonal variability. For example, the GCM ensemble tends 420 to underestimate the geopotential heights at the highest latitudes of the Western Europe in DJF and 421 MAM seasons, while it tends to overestimate geopotential heights at the same latitudes for the JJA 422 and SON seasons. For Z₇₀₀, the GCM ensemble tends to overestimate the geopotential heights for the 423 four seasons, with a slighter bias over northern latitudes. These spatial and seasonal variabilities of 424 geopotential biases could advocate the use of spatially and seasonally inhomogeneous bias correction 425 methods on the geopotential height outputs.

426 Secondly, the ability of the GCM ensemble to reproduce historical WP frequencies has been 427 quantified and revealed that the seasonal variability of the WP frequencies are generally well 428 simulated by the uncorrected GCM ensemble (expect for the WP4), with a slightly worse performance 429 obtained for the DJF season. Nevertheless, the frequencies of the two most frequent WP (WP2 and 430 WP8) are poorly simulated by the ensemble, with WP2 frequencies being strongly overestimated and 431 WP8 strongly underestimated. Similar results have been obtained by Santos et al. (2016) by looking at 432 WP frequencies simulated by an ensemble of 22 GCM over Western Europe and showing the 433 overestimation by this other ensemble of the frequency of WP associated with zonal airflow. The use 434 of four different bias correction methods showed that the application of a spatially and temporally 435 nonhomogeneous correction of geopotential height fields (here the correction named D4) improved 436 significantly the simulation of WP frequencies for the four seasons.

437 Finally, the evolution of the WP frequencies over the next century has been quantified, considering 438 two emission scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) and considering one bias correction method (D4) and no 439 bias correction. The WP2, WP4 and WP8 have more pronounced seasonal changes, with WP2 and WP4 440 being less frequent in JJA and SON seasons, respectively, while WP8 being more frequent over MAM, JJA and SON season. The frequency changes calculated are higher for RCP8.5 simulations than for 441 442 RCP4.5. Moreover, the temporal evolution of the WP frequencies appears to be constant over time for 443 RCP8.5, while the evolution stops around the year 2060 for the RCP4.5 scenario. The use of a bias 444 correction method is important in this context, since the significant mean changes of WP frequencies 445 are all obtained with bias-corrected outputs (expect the increase of WP8 summer frequency). 446 Nevertheless, the analysis of the temporal evolution of WP frequencies (figure 6) and the distribution 447 of simulated frequency changes (figure 7) showed that the bias-correction method used (D4) is not 448 changing the sign of the mean frequency changes compared to the uncorrected GCM outputs. Note that the use of three other bias correction methods (D1 to D3) leads to the same change signs for the 449 450 different WP and seasons (results not shown).

452 The strong simulated frequency evolution of WP2 and WP8 is an interesting result, which predicts the climate to be drier with time for France. Thus, WP2 (western oceanic circulation), grouping rainy 453 454 days over the northern France region, is simulated as less frequent in future summers, while WP8 455 (Anticyclonic situations), which groups non-rainy days over France, is simulated as more frequent in 456 future summers. These evolutions could have significant impacts on French low flows, since Giuntoli 457 et al. (2013) recently highlighted strong correlations between these WP frequency and drought 458 severity over France. An increase of the frequency of non-rainy WP is also found in the 22 GCM 459 ensemble studied by Santos et al. (2016) when considering RCP8.5 outputs.

460 The bias of CMIP5 GCM in terms of the simulation of the eight French WP frequencies rises several questions, firstly about the WP classification methodology considered here. Thus, Vrac et al. (2007) 461 462 showed that the WP classification method used has an impact on the identified patterns, and also that the choice of a given reanalysis as reference could lead to different WP classifications. Applying 463 464 different classification methods on the same GCM ensemble and considering other reanalysis as 465 reference would be an interesting perspective, in order to see if similar French WP are identified. In 466 addition, the applied methodology assumes that only the WP frequencies are changing in the future, 467 while WP structures are considered as constant in time. Küttel et al. (2010) thus highlighted large 468 changes within type variations for European WP. The application of the methodology developed by 469 Cattiaux et al. (2012) could be an interesting perspective in order to fully split the part of changes 470 explained by WP frequencies and the part explained by WP structures, for example.

471 The second question to be raised is the spatial domain considered here for the definition of the WP 472 classification and, consequently, for studying WP frequencies simulated by the GCM ensemble. This 473 domain is rather small (-7.50° to 12.75° of longitude and 37.50° to 50.25° of latitude), thus concerning 474 only a limited grid points for the GCM characterized by a limited atmospheric horizontal resolution. If 475 the WP identified at this spatial scale have relevant characteristics in terms of spatial distribution of 476 surface variables such as precipitation over France, it is possible that the horizontal resolution of GCM 477 are too large for allowing them to identify such regional patterns. Nuissier et al. (2011), with a rather 478 similar objective of determining WP leading to heavy precipitation events over southern France, used 479 a larger spatial domain for the WP classification (-24° to +39° of longitude and 25.5° to 63° for latitude). 480 Thus, trying to define French WP over a larger spatial domain is an interesting perspective, in order to 481 quantify the future WP frequencies. The use of Regional Climate Model (RCM) could also be an 482 interesting perspective, even though recent work questioned the ability of RCM to reproduce the daily 483 weather regimes (e.g. Lucas-Picher et al., 2016).

Finally, the use of spatially nonhomogeneous bias correction methods for geopotential height fields is questionable, since these corrections change the simulated circulation patterns, transforming the GCM outputs in order to be more similar to the reference ones. Moreover, the biases quantified over the historical period have to be assumed as being stationary over time in order to be applied over the future time period considered, which is a strong hypothesis.

489

The ultimate goal of this work was to use simulated future frequencies of particular WPs - known to potentially leading to heavy precipitation events (and then extreme floods) - in order to discuss future precipitation (floods) frequency. Within this framework, only the frequency of future rainfall events is studied, thus splitting frequency (related to circulation dynamic) and intensity (related to thermo-dynamic parameters) of such events. Nevertheless, recent studies highlighted that both 495 frequency and intensity have to be studied for discussing future extreme precipitation (e.g. Hertig *et al.*, 2013; Blenkinsop *et al.*, 2015) or climate extreme event attribution (e.g. Trenberth *et al.*, 2015).

497 Finally, another interesting future work would be to study the potential shift of WP persistence over
498 the same region and with the same GCM ensemble, since the persistence of rainy or non-rainy WPs is
499 a good indicator for flood or drought frequency and intensity.

500

501 6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

502 We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, 503 which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modeling groups (listed in table S1 of this 504 paper) for producing and making available their model output. For CMIP the U.S. Department of 505 Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and 506 led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth 507 System Science Portals. We also acknowledge the E-OBS dataset from the EU-FP6 project ENSEMBLES 508 (http://ensembles-eu.metoffice.com) and the data providers in the ECA&D project 509 (http://www.ecad.eu). The authors thank the two reviewers, who provided constructive comments on 510 an earlier version of the manuscript, which helped clarify the text.

511

512 **7 BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Anagnostopoulou C, Tolika K, Maheras P, Kutiel H, Flocas HA. 2008. Performance of the general
circulation HadAM3P model in simulating circulation types over the Mediterranean region. *International Journal of Climatology* 28(2): 185–203. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1521.

Belleflamme A, Fettweis X, Erpicum M. 2014. Do global warming-induced circulation pattern changes
affect temperature and precipitation over Europe during summer? *International Journal of Climatology*35(7): 1484–1499. DOI: 10.1002/joc.4070.

Belleflamme A, Fettweis X, Lang C, Erpicum M. 2013. Current and future atmospheric circulation at 500
hPa over Greenland simulated by the CMIP3 and CMIP5 global models. *Climate Dynamics* 41(7–8):
2061–2080. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-012-1538-2.

Benech B, Brunet H, Jacq V, Payen M, Rivrain J-C, Santurette P. 1993. La catastrophe de Vaison-LaRomaine et les violentes précipitations de septembre 1992: aspects météorologiques. *La Météorologie*(1): 72–90.

Blenkinsop S, Chan SC, Kendon EJ, Roberts NM, Fowler HJ. 2015. Temperature influences on intense
UK hourly precipitation and dependency on large-scale circulation. *Environmental Research Letters*10(5): 054021. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/5/054021.

Boé J, Terray L. 2008. A Weather-Type Approach to Analyzing Winter Precipitation in France:
Twentieth-Century Trends and the Role of Anthropogenic Forcing. *Journal of Climate* 21(13): 3118–
3133. DOI: 10.1175/2007JCLI1796.1.

531 Bontron G. 2004. Prévision quantitative des précipitations: adaptation probabiliste par recherche 532 d'analogues. Utilisation des ré-analyses NCEP/NCAR et application aux précipitations du Sud-Est de la

533 France. Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, PhD thesis, 276 pp.

Brigode P, Brissette F, Nicault A, Perreault L, Kuentz A, Mathevet T, Gailhard J. 2016. Streamflow
variability over the 1881–2011 period in northern Québec: comparison of hydrological reconstructions
based on tree rings and geopotential height field reanalysis. *Climate of the Past* 12(9): 1785–1804. DOI:
10.5194/cp-12-1785-2016.

538 Brigode, P, Bernardara P, Gailhard J, Garavaglia F, Ribstein P, Merz R. 2013a. Optimization of the 539 Geopotential Heights Information Used in a Rainfall-Based Weather Patterns Classification over 540 Austria. International Journal of Climatology 33(6): 1563–1573. DOI:10.1002/joc.3535.

Brigode P, Mićović Z, Bernardara P, Paquet E, Garavaglia F, Gailhard J, Ribstein P. 2013b. Linking ENSO
and heavy rainfall events over coastal British Columbia through a weather pattern classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17(4): 1455–1473. DOI: 10.5194/hess-17-1455-2013.

Cassano JJ, Uotila P, Lynch A. 2006. Changes in synoptic weather patterns in the polar regions in the
twentieth and twenty-first centuries, part 1: Arctic. *International Journal of Climatology* 26(8): 1027–
1049. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1306.

Cassou C, Terray L, Hurrell JW, Deser C. 2004. North Atlantic Winter Climate Regimes: Spatial
Asymmetry, Stationarity with Time, and Oceanic Forcing. *Journal of Climate* 17(5): 1055–1068. DOI:
10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<1055:NAWCRS>2.0.CO;2.

550 Cassou C, Terray L, Phillips AS. 2005. Tropical Atlantic Influence on European Heat Waves. *Journal of* 551 *Climate* **18**(15): 2805–2811. DOI: 10.1175/JCLI3506.1.

Cattiaux J, Douville H, Ribes A, Chauvin F, Plante C. 2012. Towards a better understanding of changes
in wintertime cold extremes over Europe: a pilot study with CNRM and IPSL atmospheric models. *Climate Dynamics* 40: 2433. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-012-1436-7.

555 Corti S, Molteni F, Palmer TN. 1999. Signature of recent climate change in frequencies of natural 556 atmospheric circulation regimes. *Nature* **398**(6730): 799–802. DOI: 10.1038/19745.

Covey C, AchutaRao KM, Cubasch U, Jones P, Lambert SJ, Mann ME, Phillips TJ, Taylor KE. 2003. An
overview of results from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project. *Global and Planetary Change* **37**(1–2): 103–133. DOI: 10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00193-5.

Dee DP, Uppala SM, Simmons AJ, Berrisford P, Poli P, Kobayashi S, Andrae U, Balmaseda MA, Balsamo
G, Bauer P, Bechtold P, Beljaars ACM, van de Berg L, Bidlot J, Bormann N, Delsol C, Dragani R, Fuentes
M, Geer AJ, Haimberger L, Healy SB, Hersbach H, Hólm EV, Isaksen L, Kållberg P, Köhler M, Matricardi
M, McNally AP, Monge-Sanz BM, Morcrette J-J, Park B-K, Peubey C, de Rosnay P, Tavolato C, Thépaut
J-N, Vitart F. 2011. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation
system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 137(656): 553–597. DOI: 10.1002/qj.828.

Demuzere M, Werner M, van Lipzig NPM, Roeckner E. 2009. An analysis of present and future ECHAM5
 pressure fields using a classification of circulation patterns. *International Journal of Climatology* 29(12):
 1796–1810. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1821.

569 Dunn-Sigouin E, Son S-W. 2013. Northern Hemisphere blocking frequency and duration in the CMIP5 570 models. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **118**(3): 1179–1188. DOI: 10.1002/jgrd.50143.

- Garavaglia F, Gailhard J, Paquet E, Lang M, Garçon R, Bernardara P. 2010. Introducing a rainfall
 compound distribution model based on weather patterns sub-sampling. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 14(6): 951–964. DOI: 10.5194/hess-14-951-2010.
- 574 Garavaglia F, Lang M, Paquet E, Gailhard J, Garçon R, Renard B. 2011. Reliability and robustness of 575 rainfall compound distribution model based on weather pattern sub-sampling. *Hydrology and Earth* 576 *System Sciences* **15**(2): 519–532. DOI: 10.5194/hess-15-519-2011.
- Gillett NP, Zwiers FW, Weaver AJ, Stott PA. 2003. Detection of human influence on sea-level pressure.
 Nature 422(6929): 292–294.
- 579 Giuntoli I, Renard B, Vidal J-P, Bard A. 2013. Low flows in France and their relationship to large-scale 580 climate indices. *Journal of Hydrology* **482**: 105–118. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.12.038.
- 581 Hall A. 2014. Projecting regional change. *Science* **346**(6216): 1461–1462. DOI: 582 10.1126/science.aaa0629.
- Handorf D, Dethloff K. 2012. How well do state-of-the-art atmosphere-ocean general circulation
 models reproduce atmospheric teleconnection patterns? *Tellus A* 64(0). DOI:
 10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.19777.
- Haylock MR, Hofstra N, Tank AMG., Klok EJ, Jones PD, New M. 2008. A European daily high-resolution
 gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950–2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113(D20): D20119. DOI: 10.1029/2008JD010201.
- Hertig E, Jacobeit J. 2014. Variability of weather regimes in the North Atlantic-European area: past and
 future. *Atmospheric Science Letters* 15(4): 314–320. DOI: 10.1002/asl2.505.
- Hertig E, Seubert S, Paxian A, Vogt G, Paeth H, Jacobeit J. 2013. Statistical modelling of extreme
 precipitation indices for the Mediterranean area under future climate change. *International Journal of Climatology* 34(4): 1132–1156. DOI: 10.1002/joc.3751.
- Hsu CJ, Zwiers F. 2001. Climate change in recurrent regimes and modes of northern hemisphere
 atmospheric variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **106**(D17): 20145–20159. DOI:
 10.1029/2001JD900229.
- Huth R, Beck C, Philipp A, Demuzere M, Ustrnul Z, Cahynová M, Kyselý J, Tveito OE. 2008. Classifications
 of Atmospheric Circulation Patterns. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1146(1): 105–152.
 DOI: 10.1196/annals.1446.019.
- Küttel M, Luterbacher J, Wanner H. 2010. Multidecadal changes in winter circulation-climate
 relationship in Europe: frequency variations, within-type modifications, and long-term trends. *Climate Dynamics* 36(5–6): 957–972. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-009-0737-y.
- Lorenzo MN, Ramos AM, Taboada JJ, Gimeno L. 2011. Changes in Present and Future Circulation Types
 Frequency in Northwest Iberian Peninsula. *PLoS ONE* 6(1). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016201.

Lucas-Picher P, Cattiaux J, Bougie A, Laprise R. 2016. How does large-scale nudging in a regional climate
 model contribute to improving the simulation of weather regimes and seasonal extremes over North
 America? *Climate Dynamics* 46(3–4): 929–948. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-015-2623-0.

608 McKendry IG, Stahl K, Moore RD. 2006. Synoptic sea-level pressure patterns generated by a general 609 circulation model: comparison with types derived from NCEP/NCAR re-analysis and implications for 610 downscaling. *International Journal of Climatology* **26**(12): 1727–1736. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1337.

Michelangeli P-A, Vautard R, Legras B. 1995. Weather Regimes: Recurrence and Quasi Stationarity. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences* 52: 1237–1256. DOI: 10.1175/15200469(1995)052<1237:WRRAQS>2.0.CO;2.

Moss RH, Edmonds JA, Hibbard KA, Manning MR, Rose SK, Vuuren DP van, Carter TR, Emori S, Kainuma
 M, Kram T, Meehl GA, Mitchell JFB, Nakicenovic N, Riahi K, Smith SJ, Stouffer RJ, Thomson AM, Weyant
 JP, Wilbanks TJ. 2010. The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment.

617 *Nature* **463**(7282): 747–756. DOI: 10.1038/nature08823.

Murawski A, Bürger G, Vorogushyn S, Merz B. 2016. Can local climate variability be explained by
weather patterns? A multi-station evaluation for the Rhine basin. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*20(10): 4283–4306. DOI: 10.5194/hess-20-4283-2016.

Nied M, Pardowitz T, Nissen K, Ulbrich U, Hundecha Y, Merz B. 2014. On the relationship between
hydro-meteorological patterns and flood types. *Journal of Hydrology* 519: 3249–3262. DOI:
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.089.

Nuissier O, Joly B, Joly A, Ducrocq V, Arbogast P. 2011. A statistical downscaling to identify the large scale circulation patterns associated with heavy precipitation events over southern France. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 137(660): 1812–1827. DOI: 10.1002/qj.866.

Obled C, Bontron G, Garçon R. 2002. Quantitative precipitation forecasts: a statistical adaptation of
 model outputs through an analogues sorting approach. *Atmospheric Research* 63(3–4): 303–324. DOI:
 10.1016/S0169-8095(02)00038-8.

Pastor MA, Casado MJ. 2012. Use of circulation types classifications to evaluate AR4 climate models
over the Euro-Atlantic region. *Climate Dynamics* **39**(7–8): 2059–2077. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-012-14492.

Philipp A, Della-Marta PM, Jacobeit J, Fereday DR, Jones PD, Moberg A, Wanner H. 2007. Long-Term
Variability of Daily North Atlantic–European Pressure Patterns since 1850 Classified by Simulated
Annealing Clustering. *Journal of Climate* 20(16): 4065–4095. DOI: 10.1175/JCLI4175.1.

Planchon O, Quénol H, Dupont N, Corgne S. 2009. Application of the Hess-Brezowsky classification to
 the identification of weather patterns causing heavy winter rainfall in Brittany (France). *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 9(4): 1161–1173. DOI: 10.5194/nhess-9-1161-2009.

Plaut G, Simonnet E. 2001. Large-scale circulation classification, weather regimes, and local climate
over France, the Alps and Western Europe. *Climate Research* 17(3): 303–324. DOI: 10.3354/cr017303.

641 R Core Team. 2016. *R A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for 642 Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria.

Renard B, Lall U. 2014. Regional frequency analysis conditioned on large-scale atmospheric or oceanic
fields. *Water Resources Research* 50(12): 9536–9554. DOI: 10.1002/2014WR016277.

Sanchez-Gomez E, Somot S, Déqué M. 2009. Ability of an ensemble of regional climate models to
reproduce weather regimes over Europe-Atlantic during the period 1961–2000. *Climate Dynamics* **33**(5): 723–736. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-008-0502-7.

Santos JA, Belo-Pereira M, Fraga H, Pinto JG. 2016. Understanding climate change projections for
precipitation over Western Europe with a weather typing approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research*121(3): 2015JD024399. DOI: 10.1002/2015JD024399.

Sénési S, Bougeault P, Chèze J-L, Cosentino P, Thepenier R-M. 1996. The Vaison-La-Romaine Flash
Flood: Mesoscale Analysis and Predictability Issues. *Weather and Forecasting* 11(4): 417–442. DOI:
10.1175/1520-0434(1996)011<0417:TVLRFF>2.0.CO;2.

- Sheridan SC, Lee CC. 2010. Synoptic climatology and the general circulation model. *Progress in Physical Geography* 34(1): 101–109. DOI: 10.1177/0309133309357012.
- Stephenson DB, Hannachi A, O'Neill A. 2004. On the existence of multiple climate regimes. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 130(597): 583–605. DOI: 10.1256/qj.02.146.
- Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ, Meehl GA. 2012. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **93**(4): 485–498. DOI: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1.
- Teweles J, Wobus H. 1954. Verification of prognosis charts. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 35(10): 455–463.
- Tramblay Y, Neppel L, Carreau J. 2011. Brief communication Climatic covariates for the frequency
 analysis of heavy rainfall in the Mediterranean region. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*11(9): 2463–2468. DOI: 10.5194/nhess-11-2463-2011.
- Tramblay Y, Neppel L, Carreau J, Najib K. 2013. Non-stationary frequency analysis of heavy rainfall
 events in southern France. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 58(2): 280–294. DOI:
 10.1080/02626667.2012.754988.
- Trenberth KE, Fasullo JT, Shepherd TG. 2015. Attribution of climate extreme events. *Nature Climate Change* 5(8): 725–730. DOI: 10.1038/nclimate2657.

Ullmann A, Fontaine B, Roucou P. 2014. Euro-Atlantic weather regimes and Mediterranean rainfall
 patterns: present-day variability and expected changes under CMIP5 projections. *International Journal* of Climatology 34(8): 2634–2650. DOI: 10.1002/joc.3864.

- Vrac M, Hayhoe K, Stein M. 2007. Identification and intermodel comparison of seasonal circulation
 patterns over North America. *International Journal of Climatology* 27(5): 603–620. DOI:
 10.1002/joc.1422.
- 676 Wetterhall F, Halldin S, Xu C. 2005. Statistical precipitation downscaling in central Sweden with the 677 analogue method. *Journal of Hydrology* **306**(1–4): 174–190. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.09.008.

Wilby RL, Quinn NW. 2013. Reconstructing multi-decadal variations in fluvial flood risk using
atmospheric circulation patterns. *Journal of Hydrology* 487: 109–121. DOI:
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.02.038.