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# THE HARDY-SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR ON THE POINCARÉ BALL: COMPACTNESS AND MULTIPLICITY FOR INTERIOR SINGULARITY. 

NASSIF GHOUSSOUB, SAIKAT MAZUMDAR, AND FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT


#### Abstract

Let $\Omega_{\mathbb{B} n}$ be a a compact smooth domain in the Poincaré ball model of the Hyperbolic space $\mathbb{B}^{n}, n \geq 5$. Let $0<s<2$ and write $2^{\star}(s):=\frac{2(n-s)}{n-2}$ for the corresponding critical Sobolev exponent. We show that if $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-4$ and $\lambda>\frac{n-2}{n-4}\left(\frac{n(n-4)}{4}-\gamma\right)$, then the following Dirichlet boundary value problem: $$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u-\gamma V_{2} u-\lambda u & & =V_{2^{\star}(s)}|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} u & \\ \text { in } \Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \\ u & & =0 & \\ \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \end{array}\right.
$$


has infinitely many solutions. Here $-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with the metric $g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}=\frac{4}{\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{2}} g_{\text {Eucl }}, V_{2}$ is the corresponding Hardy-type potential that behaves like $\frac{1}{r^{2}}$ at the origin, while $V_{2^{\star}(s)}$ is the Hardy-Sobolev weight, which behaves like $\frac{1}{r^{s}}$ at the origin. The solutions belong to $C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ while around 0 they behave like

$$
u(x) \sim \frac{K}{|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}-\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma}} \text { for some } K \in \mathbb{R} .
$$
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the Poincaré ball model of the Hyperbolic space $\mathbb{B}^{n}, n \geq 3$, which is the Euclidean unit ball $B_{1}(0):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<1\right\}$ endowed with the metric

[^0]$g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}=\left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^{2}}\right)^{2} g_{\text {Eucl }}$. Let
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r):=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-2}}{r^{n-1}} \text { and } G(r):=\int_{r}^{1} f(t) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $r=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}$ denotes the Euclidean distance from a point $x$ to the origin.
The function $\frac{1}{n \omega_{n-1}} G(r)$ is then a fundamental solution of the Hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u=\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left(\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right)$. Moreover, if we consider the hyperbolic scaling of a given function $u: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined for $\lambda>0$ by

$$
u_{\lambda}(r)=\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u\left(G^{-1}(\lambda G(r))\right)
$$

then for any radially symmetric $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)$ and $p \geq 1$, one has the following invariance property:
$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u_{\lambda}\right|^{p} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}=\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{p} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{p}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{p} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}=\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{p}|u|^{p} d v_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}(r):=\frac{f(r)^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}}{4(n-2)^{2} G(r)^{\frac{p+2}{2}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weights $V_{p}$ have the following asymptotic behaviors: for $n \geq 3$ and $p>1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{p}(r)=\frac{c_{0}(n, p)}{r^{n\left(1-p / 2^{*}\right)}}(1+o(1)) & \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \\
V_{p}(r)=\frac{c_{1}(n, p)}{(1-r)^{(n-1)(p-2) / 2}}(1+o(1)) & \text { as } r \rightarrow 1 \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

In particular for $n \geq 3$, the weight $V_{2}(r)=\frac{1}{4(n-2)^{2}}\left(\frac{f(r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)}{G(r)}\right)^{2} \sim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4 r^{2}}$, while at $r=1$ it has a finite positive value. In other words, $V_{2}$ is qualitatively similar to the Euclidean Hardy potential, which led Sandeep-Tintarev to establish the following Hyperbolic Hardy inequality on $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ (Theorem 3.4 of [32]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{2}|u|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}} \quad \text { for any } u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

They also show the following Hyperbolic Sobolev inequality: ffor some constant $C>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{2^{\star}}|u|^{2^{\star}} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}\right)^{2 / 2^{\star}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}} \quad \text { for any } u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By interpolating between these two inequalities, then one easily obtain for $0 \leq s \leq$ 2, the following Hyperbolic Hardy-Sobolev inequality [11]:
If $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$, then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{2^{*}(s)}|u|^{2^{*}(s)} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}(s)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}-\gamma \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} V_{2}|u|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{\star}(s):=\frac{2(n-s)}{(n-2)}$. Note that $V_{2^{\star}(s)}$ behaves like $\frac{1}{r^{s}}$ at the origin, making (7) the exact analogue of the Euclidean Hardy-Sobolev inequality:

$$
C\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^{*}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} d x\right)^{2 / 2^{*}(s)} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\gamma \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \quad \text { for any } u \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

In this paper, we are interested in the question of existence and multiplicity of solutions to the following Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u-\gamma V_{2} u-\lambda u & =V_{2^{\star}(s)}|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}  \tag{8}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$ is a compact smooth subdomain of $\mathbb{B}^{n}, n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$, but $\bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$ does not touch the boundary of $\mathbb{B}^{n}$. It is clear that (8) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the following energy functional on $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\gamma, s, \lambda}(u):=\frac{\int_{\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}\left(\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{2}-\gamma V_{2} u^{2}-\lambda u^{2}\right) d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}} n}}{\left(\int_{\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}|u|^{2^{*}(s)} V_{2^{\star}(s)} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}\right)^{2 / 2^{\star}(s)}}
$$

where $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\right)$ with respect to the norm given by $\|u\|=\sqrt{\int_{\Omega_{\mathbb{B}} n}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u\right|^{2} d v_{g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}}}$.

The existence of a positive ground state solution for (8) has already been addressed in [11] and is stated below for comparison purposes. This paper is dedicated to the proof of the second part, which is concerned with the multiplicity of higher energy solutions.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \Subset \mathbb{B}^{n}$, $n \geq 5$, be a smooth compact domain containing 0 and let $0<s<2$. Suppose $\lambda>\frac{n-2}{n-4}\left(\frac{n(n-4)}{4}-\gamma\right)$, then
(1) If $\gamma \leq \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-1$, then (8) has a positive solution that is a ground state for $\mathcal{J}_{\gamma, s}$.
(2) If $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-4$, then (8) has an infinite number of solutions corresponding to higher energy critical levels for $\mathcal{J}_{\gamma, s}$.
These solutions belong to $C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ while around 0 they behave like

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \sim K G(|x|)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\gamma}{(n-2)^{2}}}} \sim \frac{K}{|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma}}} \quad \text { for some } K \in \mathbb{R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that for $\gamma>\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-1$, the existence of positive ground state solutions in Part 1) was also established in [11] under a global condition requiring the positivity of the Hardy-singular boundary mass of the compact subdomain $\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}$. We do not know whether there is a corresponding condition that yields the multiplicity result of Part 2) in low dimensions. This said, we can show that compactness holds for non-negative solutions of the subcritical problem, when the non-linearities approach $2^{*}(s)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we shall use a conformal transformation

$$
g_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}=\varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \text { Eucld } \quad \text { where } \quad \varphi=\left(\frac{2}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}
$$

to reduce equation (8) to a Dirichlet boundary value problem on Euclidean space. We recall the following result from [11]
Lemma 1. $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\right)$ satisfies (8) if and only if $v:=\varphi u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
-\Delta v-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h_{\gamma, \lambda}(x)\right) v & =b(x) \frac{v^{2^{\star}(s)-1}}{|x|^{s}} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{10}\\
v & =0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b(x)$ is a positive function in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $b(0)=\frac{(n-2)^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}}}{2^{2-s}}$. Moreover, when $n \geq 5, b \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\nabla b(0)=0$. In addition, there exists $c_{3}, c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
h_{\gamma, \lambda}(x)=h_{\gamma, \lambda}(r)= \begin{cases}\frac{4 \gamma}{r}+c_{3}+O(r) & \text { when } n=3  \tag{11}\\ 8 \gamma \log \frac{1}{r}+c_{4}+O(r) & \text { when } n=4 \\ \frac{4(n-2)}{n-4} \gamma+4 \lambda-n(n-2) & \text { when } n \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the hyperbolic operator $-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}-\gamma V_{2}-\lambda$ is coercive if and only if the corresponding Euclidean operator $-\Delta-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h_{\gamma, \lambda}(x)\right)$ is coercive.

Our multiplicity result will therefore follow from the following more general Euclidean statement. In this paper $D^{1,2}(\Omega)$ - or $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ if the domain is bounded - is the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm given by $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $0 \leq \theta<2$. Let $h$ and $b$ be two real-valued functions with the following properties:

- $h$ is in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ with $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)=c$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta}(x, \nabla h(x))=-c \theta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h(x)$ is coercive in $\Omega$.
- $b$ is a non-negative function in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $b(0)>0$ and $\nabla b(0)=0$, while if $\theta=0$, we shall simply assume that $b$ is a positive constant.
If $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)^{2}$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)>0$, then the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u-\gamma \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u & =b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} u}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\},  \tag{12}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

has an infinite number of possibly sign-changing solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, these solutions belong to $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ while around 0 they behave like

$$
u(x) \sim \frac{K}{|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma}}} \quad \text { for some } K \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The multiplicity result will follow from standard min-max arguments once we prove the required compactness, which relies on blow-up analysis techniques. The proof consists of analyzing the asymptotic behaviour of a family of solutions to the related
subcritical equations - potentially developing a singularity at zero- as we approach the critical exponent.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2-2 / 2^{\star}(s)$. Suppose that the functions $h(x)$ and $b(x)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem (1.2), and let $\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be in the interval $\left[0,2^{\star}(s)-2\right)$ in such a way that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} p_{\varepsilon}=0$. Consider a sequence of functions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ that is uniformly bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and such that for each $\epsilon>0, u_{\epsilon}$ is a solution to the equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}-\gamma \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u_{\varepsilon} & =b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\},  \tag{13}\\
u_{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

If $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)^{2}$ and $c=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)>0$, then the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is pre-compact in the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

As to the regularity of the solutions, this will follow from the following result established by Ghoussoub-Robert in [21,22]. Assuming that $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$, note that the function $x \mapsto|x|^{-\beta}$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}\right) u=0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\beta \in\left\{\beta_{-}(\gamma), \beta_{+}(\gamma)\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{ \pm}(\gamma):=\frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, one can show that any non-negative solution $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ of (14) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=C_{-}|x|^{-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+C_{+}|x|^{-\beta_{+}(\gamma)} \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{-}, C_{+} \geq 0$.
We collect the following important results from the papers $[21,22]$ which we shall use repeatedly in our work.

Theorem 1.4 (Optimal regularity and Hopf Lemma). Let $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ and let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Caratheodory function such that

$$
|f(x, v)| \leq C|v|\left(1+\frac{|v|^{2^{\star}(s)-2}}{|x|^{s}}\right) \text { for all } x \in \Omega \text { and } v \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

(1) Let $u \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u-\frac{\gamma+O\left(|x|^{\tau}\right)}{|x|^{2}} u=f(x, u) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\tau>0$. Then, there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}=K
$$

Moreover, if $u \geq 0$ and $u \not \equiv 0$, we have that $K>0$.
(2) As a consequence, one gets that if $u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a weak solution for

$$
-\Delta u-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} u=\frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} u}{|x|^{s}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

then there exists $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
u(x) \sim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{1}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}} \quad \text { and } \quad u(x) \sim_{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{K_{1}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}},
$$

and therefore there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next theorem describes the properties of the Green's function of the HardySchrödinger operator in a bounded smooth domain. To prove this theorem one can argue as in the case $\theta=0$ like in [22].

Theorem 1.5 (Green's function). Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $0 \in \Omega$ is an interior point. Let $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ and let $h \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem (1.2) such that the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h(x)$ is coercive in $\Omega$.
(1) There exists then $H \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta H-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} H-h(x) H & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\}  \tag{20}\\
H & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\} \\
H & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

These solutions are unique up to a positive multiplicative constant, and there exists $\tilde{C}>0$ such that $H(x) \simeq_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{C}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}$.
(2) Then there exists

$$
G:(\Omega \backslash\{0\})^{2} \backslash\{(x, x) / x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

such that
(i) For any $p \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}, G_{p}:=G(p, \cdot) \in H_{1}^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\delta}(p)\right)$ for all $\delta>0$, $G_{p} \in C^{2, \theta}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0, p\})$
(ii) For all $f \in L^{p}(\Omega), p>n / 2$, and all $\varphi \in H_{1,0}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
-\Delta \varphi-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h(x)\right) \varphi=f \text { in } \Omega ; \varphi_{\mid \partial \Omega}=0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(p)=\int_{\Omega} G(p, x) f(x) d x \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, $G>0$ is unique and
(iii) For all $p \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$, there exists $C_{0}(p)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p}(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_{0}(p)}{|x|^{\beta-(\gamma)}} \text { and } G_{p}(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow p} \frac{1}{(n-2) \omega_{n-1}|x-p|^{n-2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<G_{p}(x) \leq C\left(\frac{\max \{|p|,|x|\}}{\min \{|p|,|x|\}}\right)^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}|x-p|^{2-n} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) For all $\omega \Subset \Omega$, there exists $C(\omega)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\omega)\left(\frac{\max \{|p|,|x|\}}{\min \{|p|,|x|\}}\right)^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}|x-p|^{2-n} \leq G_{p}(x) \text { for all } p, x \in \omega \backslash\{0\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Via the conformal transformation the above regularity result will yield that the corresponding solutions for equation (8) in the Hyperbolic Sobolev Space $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\right)$ will satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{G(|x|)^{\alpha_{-}}}=K \in \mathbb{R} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\gamma}{(n-2)^{2}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which amounts to the regularity claimed in Theorem 1.1.
A general remark, in this paper every convergence is up to a subsequence.

## 2. Setting the blow-up

Throughout this paper, $\Omega$ will denote a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$. We will always assume that $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}, s \in(0,2)$, and $\beta_{ \pm}(\gamma):=$ $\frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-\gamma}$. We now describe the compactness result that will be needed for the proof:
For $\varepsilon>0$, we let $p_{\varepsilon} \in\left[0,2^{\star}(s)-2\right)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} p_{\varepsilon}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) \text { with } \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)=c \text { and } \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta}(x, \nabla h(x))=-c \theta \text { for some } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
0 \leq \theta<2 \text { and } c \in \mathbb{R}, \text { such that the operator }-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) \text { is coercive in } \Omega
$$

and
(29) a non-negative function $b$ in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $b(0)>0$ and $\nabla b(0)=0$.

Consider a sequence of functions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ the function $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}-\gamma \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u_{\varepsilon} & =b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { in } D^{1,2}(\Omega) \\
u_{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ is such that (27), (28) and (29) holds.

By the regularity theorem (1.4), $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ and there exists $K_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{\varepsilon}(x)=K_{\epsilon}$. In addition, we assume that the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and we let $\Lambda>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x \leq \Lambda \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from the weak compactness of the unit ball of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ that there exists $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{0} \mathrm{~s}$ a solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u_{0}-\gamma \frac{u_{0}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u_{0} & =b(x) \frac{\left|u_{0}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} u_{0}}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\} \\
u_{0} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Again from the regularity theorem (1.4), $u_{0} \in C^{2, \theta}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}(x)=$ $K_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix now $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{-}(\gamma)<\tau<\frac{n-2}{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Proposition shows that the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is pre-compact in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ if $|x|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2, \gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. We let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Suppose that there exists $C>0$ such that $|x|^{\tau}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$. Then up to a subsequence, $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ where $u_{0}$ is as in (31).

Proof: We have assumed that $|x|^{\tau}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$. So the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}$. Then by standard elliptic estimates and from (31) it follows that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$. Now since $|x|^{\tau}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$ and since $\tau<\frac{n-2}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\delta}(0)} b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x=0 \text { and } \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\delta}(0)} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x=\int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{\left|u_{0}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} d x \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x
$$

From $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and (31) we then obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-\gamma \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}-\gamma \frac{u_{0}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u_{0}^{2}\right) d x \\
\text { and so then } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

And hence $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
From now on, we shall assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\left\|\left.x\right|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=+\infty\right. \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and work towards a contradiction. We shall say that blow-up occurs whenever (34) holds.

## 3. Some Scaling Lemmas

In this section we state and prove two scaling lemmas which we shall use many times in our analysis.

Lemma 2. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Let $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ and let

$$
\nu_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|, \quad \ell_{\varepsilon}:=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa_{\varepsilon}:=\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{s / 2} \ell_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{2-s}{2}} \quad \text { for } \varepsilon>0
$$

Suppose $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_{\varepsilon}=0$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}=0$. Assume that for any $R>0$ there exists $C(R)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(R) \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\tau}}{|x|^{\tau}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{R \kappa_{\varepsilon}}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash\{0\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|=O\left(\ell_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2: We proceed by contradiction and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}=+\infty \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from the definition of $\kappa_{\varepsilon}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_{\varepsilon}=0, \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}=+\infty \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a $\rho>0$. We define for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
v_{\epsilon}(x):=\nu_{\epsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in B_{2 \rho}(0)
$$

Note that this is well defined since $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|=0 \in \Omega$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}=0$. It follows from (35) that there exists $C(\rho)>0$ such that all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{\epsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\rho) \frac{1}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\epsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\epsilon}\right|} x\right|^{\tau}} \quad \forall x \in B_{2 \rho}(0) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

using (38) we then get as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\left|v_{\epsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\rho)(1+o(1)) \quad \forall x \in B_{2 \rho}(0)
$$

From equation $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we obtain that $v_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the equation

$$
-\Delta v_{\epsilon}-\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}} \frac{\gamma}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} x\right|^{2}} v_{\epsilon}-\kappa_{\varepsilon}^{2} h\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right) v_{\epsilon}=b\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right) \frac{\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} v_{\epsilon}}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} x\right|^{s}}
$$

weakly in $B_{2 \rho}(0)$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. With the help of (38), (28) and standard elliptic theory it then follows that there exists $v \in C^{1}\left(B_{2 \rho}(0)\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} v_{\epsilon}=v \quad \text { in } C^{1}\left(B_{\rho}(0)\right)
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} v_{\epsilon}(0)=1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $v \not \equiv 0$.
On the other hand, change of variables and the definition of $\kappa_{\varepsilon}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\rho \kappa_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)}} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x= & \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{s}} \int_{B_{\rho}(0)} \frac{\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} x\right|^{s}} d x \\
& =\ell_{\varepsilon}^{-\left(1+\frac{2(2-s)}{2^{\star(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}\right)}\left(\frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{s\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)} \int_{B_{\rho}(0)} \frac{\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} x\right|^{s}} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{s\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)} \int_{B_{\rho}(0)} \frac{\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{\left|\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}+\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} x\right|^{s}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equation $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(30),(37),(38)$ and passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get that $\int_{B_{\rho}(0)}|v|^{2^{\star}(s)} d x=0$, and so then $v \equiv 0$ in $B_{\rho}(0)$, a contradiction with (40). Thus (37) cannot hold. This proves that $y_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\ell_{\varepsilon}\right)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which proves the lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Let $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ and let

$$
\nu_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \ell_{\varepsilon}:=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{*}(s)-2}} \quad \text { for } \varepsilon>0
$$

Suppose $\nu_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|=O\left(\ell_{\varepsilon}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
For $\varepsilon>0$ we rescale and define

$$
w_{\varepsilon}(x):=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \ell_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Assume that for any $R>\delta>0$ there exists $C(R, \delta)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(R, \delta) \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{R}(0) \backslash \overline{B_{\delta}(0)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $w \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow w & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

And $w$ satisfies weakly the equation

$$
-\Delta w-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} w=b(0) \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} w}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Moreover if $w \not \equiv 0$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

and there exists $t \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t$.
Proof of Lemma 3: The proof proceeds in four steps.
Step 3.1: Let $\eta \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. One has that $\eta w_{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. We claim that there exists $w_{\eta} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that upto a subsequence

$$
\begin{cases}\eta w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w_{\eta} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \eta w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow w_{\eta}(x) & \text { a.e in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\end{cases}
$$

We prove the claim. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\nabla\left(\eta w_{\varepsilon}\right)(x)=w_{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla \eta(x)+\nu_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \ell_{\varepsilon} \eta(x) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right)
$$

Now for any $\theta>0$, there exists $C(\theta)>0$ such that for any $a, b>0$

$$
(a+b)^{2} \leq C(\theta) a^{2}+(1+\theta) b^{2}
$$

With this inequality we then obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(\eta w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq C(\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2} w_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x+(1+\theta) \nu_{\epsilon}^{n-2} \ell_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right)\right|^{2} d x
$$

With Hölder inequality and a change of variables this becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(\eta w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq & C(\theta)\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^{n}}^{2}\left(\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{n-2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
& +(1+\theta)\left(\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{n-2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}=O(1)$, so for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\left\|\eta w_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{\eta}
$$

Where $C_{\eta}$ is a constant depending on the function $\eta$. The claim then follows from the reflexivity of $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Step 3.2: Let $\eta_{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \eta_{1} \leq 1$ be a smooth cut-off function, such that

$$
\eta_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for } & x \in B_{0}(1)  \tag{43}\\
0 & \text { for } & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{0}(2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

For any $R>0$ we let $\eta_{R}=\eta_{1}(x / R)$. Then with a diagonal argument we can assume that upto a subsequence for any $R>0$ there exists $w_{R} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w_{R} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}(x) \rightarrow w_{R}(x) & \text { a.e } x \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left\|\nabla \eta_{R}\right\|_{n}^{2}=\left\|\nabla \eta_{1}\right\|_{n}^{2}$ for all $R>0$, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (42) we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{-}^{n}}\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \quad \text { for all } R>0
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $R$. So there exists $w \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}w_{R} \rightharpoonup w & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \text { as } R \rightarrow+\infty \\ w_{R}(x) \rightarrow w(x) & \text { a.e } x \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { as } R \rightarrow+\infty\end{cases}
$$

Step 3.3: We claim that $w \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and it satisfies weakly the equation

$$
-\Delta w-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} w=b(0) \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} w}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

We prove the claim. From $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ it follows that for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $R>0, \eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies weakly the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)-\ell_{\varepsilon}^{2} h\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right)\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)=b\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right) \frac{\left|\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)}{|x|^{s}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (41) and (28), using the standard elliptic estimates it follows that $w_{R} \in$ $C^{1}\left(B_{R}(0) \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and that up to a subsequence

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}=w_{R} \quad \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(B_{R}(0) \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in eqn (44) gives that $w_{R}$ satisfies weakly the equation

$$
-\Delta w_{R}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} w_{R}=b(0) \frac{\left|w_{R}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} w_{R}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

Again we have that $\left|w_{R}(x)\right| \leq C(R, \delta)$ for all $x \in \overline{B_{R / 2}(0)} \backslash \overline{B_{2 \delta}(0)}$ and then again from standard elliptic estimates it follows that $w \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and $\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{w}_{R}=\tilde{w}$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, up to a subsequence. Letting $R \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain that $w$ satisfies weakly the equation

$$
-\Delta w-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} w=b(0) \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} w}{|x|^{s}}
$$

This proves our claim.
Step 3.4: Coming back to equation (42) we have for $R>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(\eta_{R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq & C(\theta)\left(\int_{B_{0}(2 R) \backslash B_{0}(R)}\left(\eta_{2 R} w_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
& +(1+\theta)\left(\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{n-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $R \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain for some constant $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla w|^{2} d x \leq C\left(\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)\right)^{n-2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $w \not \equiv 0$ weakly satisfies the equation

$$
-\Delta w-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} w=b(0) \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} w}{|x|^{s}}
$$

using the definition of $\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ it then follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|w|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

Hence $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}\right)>0$ which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}=0$, therefore we have that $0<t \leq 1$. This completes the lemma.

## 4. Construction and Exhaustion of the blow-up scales

In this section we prove the following proposition:
Proposition 2. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Assume that blow-up occurs, that is

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\||x|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=+\infty \text { where } \beta_{-}(\gamma)<\tau<\frac{n-2}{2}
$$

Then, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$ families of scales $\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ such that we have:
(A1) $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ where $u_{0}$ is as in(31).
(A2) $0<\mu_{1, \varepsilon}<\ldots<\mu_{N, \varepsilon}$, for all $\varepsilon>0$.
(A3)

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{N, \varepsilon}=0 \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon, i+1}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}}=+\infty \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq N-1
$$

(A4) For any $1 \leq i \leq N$ and for $\varepsilon>0$ we rescale and define

$$
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon}(x):=\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{i, \varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in k_{i, \varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

where $k_{i, \varepsilon}=\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}$.
Then there exists $\tilde{u}_{i} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, $\tilde{u}_{i} \not \equiv 0$ such that $\tilde{u}_{i}$ weakly solves the equation

$$
-\Delta \tilde{u}_{i}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{u}_{i}=b(0) \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{u}_{i}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{rcr}
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon} \longrightarrow \tilde{u}_{i} & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon} & \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_{i} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
\end{array} \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

(A5) There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \leq C
$$

$$
\text { for all } \varepsilon>0 \text { and all } x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\} .
$$

(A6) $\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\Omega \backslash B_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=0$.
(A7) $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{B_{\delta k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=0$.
(A8) For any $\delta>0$ and any $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, we have

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\delta k_{i+1, \varepsilon} \geq|x| \geq R k_{i, \varepsilon}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star(s)-2}}}=0 .
$$

(A9) For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ there exists $t_{i} \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t_{i}$.
The proof of this proposition proceeds in five steps.
Since $s>0$, the subcriticality $2^{\star}(s)<2^{\star}:=2^{\star}(0)$ of equations $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $\Omega \backslash\{0\}$ along with (31) yields that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$. So the only blow-up point is the origin.
Step 4.1: The construction of the $\mu_{i, \varepsilon}$ 's proceeds by induction. This step is the initiation.

By the regularity Theorem (1.4) and the definition of $\tau$ it follows that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $x_{1, \varepsilon} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{\tau}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|=\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{\tau}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1, \varepsilon}\right)\right| . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\mu_{1, \varepsilon}$ and $k_{1, \varepsilon}>0$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1, \varepsilon}\right)\right| \text { and } k_{1, \varepsilon}:=\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 x}-2} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since blow-up occurs, that is (34) holds, we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{1, \varepsilon}=0
$$

It follows that $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the hypothesis (35) of lemma (2) with $y_{\varepsilon}=x_{1, \varepsilon}, \nu_{\varepsilon}=\mu_{1, \varepsilon}$. Therefore

$$
\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|=O\left(k_{1, \varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Infact, we claim that there exists $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}=c_{1} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We argue by contradiction and we assume that $\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|=o\left(k_{1, \varepsilon}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We define for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x):=\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right| x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Using $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we obtain that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ weakly satisfies the equation in $\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}$

$$
-\Delta \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} h\left(\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right| x\right) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}=b\left(\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right| x\right)\left(\frac{\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)^{2-s} \frac{\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}} .
$$

The definition (48) yields $|x|^{\tau}\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq 1$ for all $x \in\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}$. Standard elliptic theory then yield the existence of $\tilde{v} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ where

$$
-\Delta \tilde{v}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{v}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

In addition, we have that $\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|x_{1, \varepsilon}\right|^{-1} x_{1, \varepsilon}\right)\right|=1$ and so $\tilde{v} \not \equiv 0$. Also since $|x|^{\tau}|\tilde{v}(x)| \leq$ 1 in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, we have the bound

$$
|\tilde{v}(x)|<2|x|^{-\beta_{+}(\gamma)}+2|x|^{-\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

The classification of positive solutions of $-\Delta v-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} v=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ (see (16)) yields the existence of $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{v}(x)=A|x|^{-\beta_{+}(\gamma)}+B|x|^{-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Then the pointwise control $|x|^{\tau}|\tilde{v}(x)| \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ yields $A=B=0$, contradicting $\tilde{v} \not \equiv 0$. This proves the claim (50).

We rescale and define

$$
\tilde{u}_{1, \varepsilon}(x):=\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{1, \varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in k_{1, \epsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

It follows from (48) and (50) that $\tilde{u}_{1, \varepsilon}$ satisfies the hypothesis (41) of lemma (3) with $y_{\varepsilon}=x_{1, \varepsilon}, \nu_{\varepsilon}=\mu_{1, \varepsilon}$. Then using lemma (3) we get that there exists $\tilde{u}_{1} \in$ $D^{1.2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ weakly satisfying the equation:

$$
-\Delta \tilde{u}_{1}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}=b(0) \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{1}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{u}_{1}}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{u}_{1, \varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_{1} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\tilde{u}_{1, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{1} & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

It follows from the definition that $\left|\tilde{u}_{1, \varepsilon}\left(\frac{x_{1, \varepsilon}}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|=1$. From (50) we therefore have that $\tilde{u}_{1} \not \equiv 0$. And hence again from lemma (3) we get that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{1}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

and there exists $t_{1} \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t_{1}$.
Next, since $|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \tilde{u}_{1} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{B_{\delta k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star(s)-2}}}=0
$$

and then using the definitions (48), (49) it follows that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{B_{\delta k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}=0 .
$$

Step 4.2: We claim that there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \leq C \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ and all $x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof of Step 4.3: We argue by contradiction and let $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the regularity Theorem (1.4) it follows that the sequence $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is well-defined and moreover $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_{\varepsilon}=0$, since $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$. For $\varepsilon>0$ we let

$$
\nu_{\varepsilon}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{-\frac{2}{n-2}}, \ell_{\varepsilon}:=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \text { and } \kappa_{\varepsilon}:=\left|y_{\epsilon}\right|^{s / 2} \ell_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{2-s}{2}}
$$

Then it follows from (52) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}=0, \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}=+\infty \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R>0$ and let $x \in B_{R}(0)$ be such that $y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$. It follows from the definition (52) of $y_{\varepsilon}$ that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\left|y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \leq\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

and then, for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\left(\frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x\right)\right|}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|}\right)^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{\kappa_{\varepsilon}}{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|} R}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}
$$

for all $x \in B_{R}(0)$ such that $y_{\varepsilon}+\kappa_{\varepsilon} x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$. Using (53), we get that there exists $C(R)>0$ such that the hypothesis (35) of lemma (2) is satisfied and therefore one has $\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|=O\left(\ell_{\varepsilon}\right)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, contradiction to (53). This proves (51).

Let $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$. We consider the following assertions:
(B1) $0<\mu_{1, \varepsilon}<\ldots<\mu_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}$.
(B2) $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\varepsilon, \mathcal{I}}=0$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon, i+1}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}}=+\infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}-1$
(B3) For all $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}$ there exists $\tilde{u}_{i} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that $\tilde{u}_{i}$ weakly solves the equation

$$
-\Delta \tilde{u}_{i}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{u}_{i}=b(0) \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{u}_{i}}{|x|^{s}} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

with

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left.\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|\right|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon} \longrightarrow \tilde{u}_{i} & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_{i} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{array}
$$

where for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon}(x):=\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(k_{i, \varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in k_{i, \epsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

with $k_{i, \varepsilon}=\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\Sigma^{\star}(s)-2}}$.
(B4) For all $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}$, there exists $t_{i} \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t_{i}$.

We say that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ holds if there exists $\mathcal{I}$ sequences $\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}, i=1, \ldots, \mathcal{I}$ such that points (B1), (B2) (B3) and (B4) holds. Note that it follows from Step 4.1 that $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ holds. Next we show the following holds:

Step 4.3 Let $I \geq 1$. We assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ holds. Then either $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}+1}$ holds or

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\Omega \backslash B_{0}\left(R k_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}\right)}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}=0 .
$$

Proof of Step 4.3: Suppose

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\Omega \backslash B_{0}\left(R k_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}\right)}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \neq 0 .
$$

Then there exists a sequence of points $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{k_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}}=+\infty \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-u_{0}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=a>0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ it follows that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_{\varepsilon}=0$. Then by the regularity Theorem (1.4) and since $\beta_{-}(\gamma)<\frac{n-2}{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{*}(s)-2}}=a>0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $a$. In particular, $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|=+\infty$. Let

$$
\mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} \text { and } k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}:=\mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

As a consequence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}}=a>0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rescale and define

$$
\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}(x):=\mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}\left(k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \epsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

It follows from (51) that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{*}(s)-2}} \leq C \quad \text { for } x \in k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \epsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\} .
$$

so hypothesis (41) of lemma (3) is satisfied. Then using lemma (3) we get that there exists $\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1} \in D^{1.2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ weakly satisfying the equation:

$$
-\Delta \tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}=b(0) \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1} & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

We denote $\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}:=\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{k_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}}$. From (56) it follows that that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}\right|:=\left|\tilde{y}_{0}\right|=a \neq 0$. Therefore $\left|\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|=1$, and hence $\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1} \not \equiv 0$. And hence again from lemma (3) we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{I}+1}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}
$$

and there exists $t_{\mathcal{I}+1} \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t_{\mathcal{I}+1}$. Moreover, it follows from (54) and (56) that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}}{\mu_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}}=+\infty \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\mathcal{I}+1, \varepsilon}=0
$$

Hence the families $\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}, 1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}+1$ satisfy $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}+1}$.
The next step is equivalent to step 4.3 at intermediate scales.
Step 4.4 Let $I \geq 1$. We assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ holds. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{I}-1$ and for any $\delta>0$, either $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}+1}$ holds or

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{B_{\delta k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=0 .
$$

Proof of Step 4.4: We assume that there exists an $i \leq \mathcal{I}-1$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{B_{\delta k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}>0 .
$$

It then follows that there exists a sequence $\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{k_{i, \varepsilon}}=+\infty, \quad\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \delta k_{i+1, \varepsilon} \text { for all } \varepsilon>0  \tag{57}\\
& \left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star(s)-2}}}=a>0 \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $a$. Note that $a<+\infty$ since

$$
|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

is uniformly bounded for all $x \in B_{\delta k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$.
We let $\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be such that $y_{\varepsilon}=k_{i+1, \varepsilon} \tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*}$. It follows from (57) that $\left|\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right| \leq \delta$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. We rewrite (58) as

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|\tilde{u}_{i+1, \varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)-\tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}=a>0 .
$$

Then from point (B3) of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ it follows that $\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}^{*} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. And since $|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \tilde{u}_{i+1} \in$ $C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we get as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}}=O\left(\frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}=o(1)
$$

Then (58) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}=a>0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|=+\infty$. We let

$$
\nu_{\varepsilon}:=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} \text { and } \ell_{\varepsilon}:=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}=a>0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rescale and define

$$
\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x):=\nu_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\left(\ell_{\varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in \ell_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

It follows from (51) that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2 \star(s)-2}} \leq C \quad \text { for } x \in \ell_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\} .
$$

so hypothesis (41) of lemma (3) is satisfied. Then using lemma (3) we get that there exists $\tilde{u} \in D^{1.2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ weakly satisfying the equation:

$$
-\Delta \tilde{u}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{u}=b(0) \frac{|\tilde{u}|^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \tilde{u}}{|x|^{s}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} & \text { weakly in } D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{u} & \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) & \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

We denote $\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}:=\frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{\ell_{\varepsilon}}$. From (59) it follows that that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}\right|:=\left|\tilde{y}_{0}\right|=a \neq 0$. Therefore $\left|\tilde{u}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\left(\tilde{y}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|=1$, and hence $\tilde{u} \not \equiv 0$. And hence again from lemma (3) we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|\tilde{u}|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}
$$

and there exists $t \in(0,1]$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon}^{p_{\varepsilon}}=t$. Moreover it follows from(59), (57) and since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}\right|}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}=0$, that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu_{\varepsilon}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}}=+\infty \quad \text { and } \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}}{\nu_{\varepsilon}}=+\infty
$$

Hence the families $\left(\mu_{1, \varepsilon}\right), \ldots,\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}\right),\left(\nu_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}\right), \ldots,\left(\mu_{\mathcal{I}, \varepsilon}\right)$ satisfy $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}+1}$.
The last step tells us that family $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ is finite.
Step 4.5: Let $N_{0}=\max \left\{\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}\right.$ holds $\}$. Then $N_{0}<+\infty$ and the conclusion of Proposition 2 holds with $N=N_{0}$.

Proof of Step 4.5: Indeed, assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ holds. Since $\mu_{i, \varepsilon}=o\left(\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, we get with a change of variable and the definition of $\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon}$ that for
any $R>\delta>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \int_{B_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \int_{B_{R}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta}(0)} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Then from (30) we have } \Lambda \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \int_{B_{R}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta}(0)} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i, \varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $\delta \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain using point (B3) of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$, that

$$
\Lambda \geq\left(\frac{\mu_{\gamma, s, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}{b(0)}\right)^{\frac{2^{\star}(s)}{2 \star(s)-2}} \mathcal{I}
$$

It then follows that $N_{0}<+\infty$.
We let families $\left(\mu_{1, \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}, \ldots,\left(\mu_{N_{0}, \varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{N_{0}}$ holds. We argue by contradiction and assume that the conclusion of Proposition 2 does not hold with $N=N_{0}$. Assertions (A1), (A2), (A3),(A4), (A5), (A7) and (A9) holds. Assume that (A6) or (A8) does not hold. It then follows from Steps (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) that $\mathcal{H}_{N+1}$ holds. A contradiction with the choice of $N=N_{0}$ and the proposition is proved.

## 5. Strong Pointwise Estimates

The objective of this section is the proof of the following strong pointwise control.
Proposition 3. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Assume that blow-up occurs, that is

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\||x|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=+\infty \text { where } \beta_{-}(\gamma)<\tau<\frac{n-2}{2}
$$

Consider the $\mu_{1, \varepsilon}, \ldots, \mu_{N, \varepsilon}$ from Proposition 2. Then there exists $C>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$.
The proof of this estimate proceeds in seven steps.
Step 5.1: We claim that for any $\alpha>0$ small and any $R>0$, there exists $C(\alpha, R)>$ 0 such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\alpha, R)\left(\frac{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)}{2}-\alpha}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\alpha}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}}\right) \text { for all } x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Step 5.1: We fix $\gamma^{\prime}$ such that $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Since the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h(x)$ is coercive, taking $\gamma^{\prime}$ close to $\gamma$ it follows that the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x)$ is also coercive in $\Omega$. From Theorem (20) it get that there exists $H \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta H-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{|x|^{2}} H-h(x) H & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\}  \tag{64}\\
H & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\} \\
H & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

And we have the following bound on $H$ : there exists $\delta_{1}, C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C_{1}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \leq H(x) \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{2 \delta_{1}}(0) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $\lambda_{1}^{\gamma^{\prime}}>0$ be the first eigenvalue of the coercive operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{|x|^{2}}-h$ on $\Omega$ and we let $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be the unique eigenfunction such that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta \varphi-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{|x|^{2}} \varphi-h(x) \varphi & =\lambda_{1}^{\gamma^{\prime}} \varphi & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{66}\\
\varphi & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\} \\
\varphi & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

It follows from the regularity result, Theorem (1.4) that there exists $C_{2}, \delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C_{2}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \leq \varphi(x) \leq C_{2} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega \cap B_{2 \delta_{2}}(0) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}:=-\Delta-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h\right)-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

Step 5.1.1: We claim that given any $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ there exist $\delta_{0}>0$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that for any $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$ and $R>R_{0}$, we have for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)>0, \text { and } \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \equiv 0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

As one checks for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $x \neq 0$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)}{H(x)}=\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)}=\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}+\lambda_{1}^{\gamma^{\prime}}
$$

Choose $0<\delta_{0}<\min \left\{1, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|b\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \delta_{0}^{\left(2^{\star}(s)-2\right)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)}\| \| x\right|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{2^{2^{\star}(s)+3}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from point (A6) of Proposition 2 that, there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that for any $R>R_{0}$, we have for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small
$|b(x)|^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \leq\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{2^{2^{\star}(s)+2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \quad$ for all $x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)$

With this choice of $\delta_{0}$ and $R_{0}$ we get that for any $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$ and $R>R_{0}$, we have for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
|b(x)||x|^{2-s}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} & \leq 2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}|x|^{2-s}|b(x)|\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
& +2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}|x|^{2-s}|b(x)|\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $|b(x)||x|^{2-s}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4} \quad$ for all $x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)$, if $u_{0} \equiv 0$.

Hence we obtain that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)}{H(x)} & =\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left.\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right|^{2^{*}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} \\
& \geq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4|x|^{2}} \\
& >0 \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0 \\
\text { and } \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)}{H(x)} & >0 \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \equiv 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} & \geq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} \\
& \geq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4|x|^{2}} \\
& \left.>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 5.1.2: It follows from point (A4) of Proposition 2 that there exists $C_{1}^{\prime}(R)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}(R) \frac{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

By estimate (65) on $H$, we then have for some constant $C_{1}(R)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from point (A1) of Proposition 2 and the regularity result (1.4), that there exists $C_{2}^{\prime}(\delta)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}^{\prime}(\delta) \frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\delta}(0), \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

And then by the estimate (67) on $\varphi$ we then have for some constant $C_{2}(\delta)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}(\delta)\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \varphi(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\delta}(0) \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0 . . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now let for $\varepsilon>0$,
$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(x):=C_{1}(R) \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x)+C_{2}(\delta)\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \varphi(x) \quad$ for $x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$.
Then (75) and (73) implies that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial\left(B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right), \text { if } u_{0} \not \equiv 0 . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $u_{0} \equiv 0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore when $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$ it follows from (68)) and (76) that for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=-\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq-u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right)\end{cases}
$$

and from (68) and (77), in case $u_{0} \equiv 0$, we have for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=-\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon} \geq-u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(\Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)\right)\end{cases}
$$

Since $\Psi_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon}>0$, it follows from the comparison principle of Berestycki-Nirenberg-Varadhan [5] that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the comparison principle on $B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}(x) & \text { for all } x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \\
\text { and }\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}(x) & \text { for all } x \in \Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \text { if } u_{0} \equiv 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore when $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$, we have for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x)+C_{2}(\delta)\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \varphi(x)
$$

for all $x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)$, for $R$ large and $\delta$ small.
Then when $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$, using the estimates (65) and (67) we have or all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \frac{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}{ }^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}+C_{2}(\delta) \frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}
$$

for all $x \in B_{\delta}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)$, for $R$ large and $\delta$ small.
And if $u_{0} \equiv 0$, then all $\varepsilon>0$ small and $R>0$ large

Taking $\gamma^{\prime}$ close to $\gamma$, along with points (A1) and (A4) of Proposition (2) it then follows that estimate (63) holds on $\Omega \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{\varepsilon, N}}(0)$ for all $R>0$.

Step 5.2: Let $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. We claim that for any $\alpha>0$ small and any $R, \rho>0$, there exists $C(\alpha, R, \rho)>0$ such that all $\varepsilon>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\alpha, R, \rho)\left(\frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}-\alpha}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}}{2}}-\alpha}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}\right) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$.
Proof of Step 5.2: We let $i \in\{1, \ldots, N-1\}$. We emulate the proof of Step 5.1. Fix $\gamma^{\prime}$ such that $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Consider the functions $H$ and $\varphi$ defined in Step 5.1 satisfying (64) and (64) respectively. We define the operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}:=-\Delta-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h\right)-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

Step 5.2.1: We claim that given any $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ there exist $\rho_{0}>0$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that for any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$ and $R>R_{0}$, we have for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)>0, \text { and } \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

As one checks for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $x \neq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)}{H(x)} & =\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} \\
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)} & \geq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $0<\rho_{0}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{L^{\infty} \rho_{0}^{\left(2^{\star}(s)-2\right)\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)}\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2^{\star}(s)-2} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{2^{2^{\star}(s)+3}} . \frac{x^{2}}{}} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from point (A8) of Proposition (2) that there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that for any $R>R_{0}$ and any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$, we have for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
|b(x)|^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star(s)-2}}} \leq\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{2^{2^{\star}(s)+2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \star(s)-2}}
$$

for all $x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$.
With this choice of $\rho_{0}$ and $R_{0}$ we get that for any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$ and $R>R_{0}$, we have for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\begin{align*}
&|b(x)||x|^{2-s}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \leq 2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}|x|^{2-s}|b(x)|\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
&+\left.2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{|x|}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)^{2-s}|b(x)| \tilde{u}_{i+1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
&(81) \quad \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence as in Step 5.1 we have that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} H(x)}{H(x)}>0 \text { and } \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)}>0 \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)
$$

Step 5.2.2: let $i \in\{1, \ldots, N-1\}$. It follows from point (A4) of Proposition 2 that there exists $C_{1}^{\prime}(R)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}(R) \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

And then by the estimate (65) on $H$ we have for some constant $C_{1}(R)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

From point (A4) of Proposition 2 it follows that there exists $C_{2}^{\prime}(\rho)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}^{\prime}(\rho) \frac{1}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)} \quad \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the estimate (67) on $\varphi$ we have for some constant $C_{2}(\delta)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta+\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}(x):=C_{1}(R) \mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x)+C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}{ }^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}} \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then (83) and (85) implies that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial\left(B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)\right. \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it follows from (79) and (86) that $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \geq u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)\right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \geq 0=-\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon} \geq-u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)\right)\end{cases}
$$

Since $\tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}>0$, it follows from the comparison principle of Berestycki-Nirenberg-Varadhan [5] that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the comparison principle on $B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$. Therefore

$$
\left.\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \tilde{\Psi}_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)\right)
$$

So for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}} H(x)+C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}
$$

for all $x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$, for $R$ large and $\rho$ small. Then using the estimates (65) and (67) we have or all $\varepsilon>0$ small
$\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{1}(R) \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}}{|x|^{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}+\frac{C_{2}(\rho)}{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}$ for all $x \in B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$.
for $R$ large and $\rho$ small.

Taking $\gamma^{\prime}$ close to $\gamma$, along with point (A4) of Proposition (2) it then follows that estimate (78) holds on $B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)$ for all $R, \rho>0$.

Step 5.3: We claim that for any $\alpha>0$ small and any $\rho>0$, there exists $C(\alpha, \rho)>0$ such that all $\varepsilon>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\alpha, \rho) \frac{1}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta+(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)}{2}-\alpha}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Step 5.3: Fix $\gamma^{\prime}$ such that $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Consider the function $\varphi$ defined in Step 5.1 satisfying (64). We define

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}:=-\Delta-\left(\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}+h\right)-b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}
$$

Step 5.3.1: We claim that given any $\gamma<\gamma^{\prime}<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ there exist $\rho_{0}>0$ such that for any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$ we have for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $0<\rho_{0}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\rho_{0}^{2-\theta} \sup _{\Omega}| | x\right|^{\theta} h \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4} \quad\right. \text { for all } \varepsilon>0 \text { small and } \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from point (A7) of Proposition (2) that for any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$, we have for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
|b(x)|^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{1-\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)-2}} \leq\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{2^{2^{\star}(s)+2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-2}}
$$

for all $x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\}$.
With this choice of $\rho_{0}$ we get that for any $0<\rho<\rho_{0}$ we have for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|b(x)||x|^{2-s}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \leq 2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}|x|^{2-s}|b(x)|\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
&+2^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{|x|}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)^{2-s}|b(x)|\left|\tilde{u}_{1}\left(\frac{x}{k_{1, \varepsilon}}\right)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
&(90) \quad \leq \frac{\gamma^{\prime}-\gamma}{4} \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence similarly as in Step 5.1, we obtain that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(x)}>0>0 \quad \text { for all } x \in x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5.3.2: It follows from point (A4) of Proposition 2 that there exists $C_{2}^{\prime}(\rho)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}^{\prime}(\rho) \frac{1}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then by the estimate (67) on $\varphi$ we have for some constant $C_{2}(\delta)>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta+\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}} \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0}(x):=C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta+\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}} \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then (93) implies that for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \partial B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it follows from (88) and (94) that $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0} \geq 0=\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0} \geq u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0} \geq 0=-\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} & \text { in } B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0} \geq-u_{\varepsilon} & \text { on } \partial B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)\end{cases}
$$

Since the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the comparison principle on $B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)$. Therefore

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{0}(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)
$$

And so for all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C_{2}(\rho) \frac{\varphi(x)}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}} \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\} .
$$

for $\rho$ small. Using the estimate (67) we have or all $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C_{2}(\rho)}{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}{2}}|x|^{\beta_{-}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}} \text { for all } x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash\{0\}
$$

for $\rho$ small. It then follows from point (A4) of Proposition (2) that estimate (87) holds on $x \in B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)$ for all $\rho>0$.
Step 5.4: Combining the previous three steps, it follows from (63), (78), (87) and Proposition 2 that for any $\alpha>0$ small, there exists $C(\alpha)>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$ we have
$\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq C(\alpha)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}-\alpha}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)-2 \alpha}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}+|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\alpha}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}}\right)$
for all $x \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}$.
Next we improve the above estimate and show that one can take $\alpha=0$ in (95).
We let $G_{0}$ be the Green's function of the coercive operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h$ on $\Omega$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. Green's representation formula, the pointwise
bounds on the Green's function (23) [see Ghoussoub-Robert [22]] yields for any $z \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\varepsilon}(z) & =\int_{\Omega} G_{0}(z, x) b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}(x)}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(z)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega} G_{0}(z, x)|b(x)| \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\max \{|z|,|x|\}}{\min \{|z|,|x|\}}\right)^{\beta-(\gamma)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2}} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x . \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (95) we then obtain with $0<\alpha<\frac{2^{\star}(s)-2}{2^{\star}(s)-1}\left(\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|u_{\varepsilon}(z)\right| \leq \\
& C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\max \{|z|,|x|\}}{\min \{|z|,|x|\}}\right)^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2}|x|^{s}}\left(\frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}-\alpha}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)-2 \alpha}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha}+|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\alpha}}\right)^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}} \\
& (97)  \tag{97}\\
& +C \|\left||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\max \{|z|,|x|\}}{\min \{|z|,|x|\}}\right)^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2}|x|^{s}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\left(\beta_{-}(\gamma)+\alpha\right)\left(2^{\star}(s)-1-p_{\varepsilon}\right)}} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in the above integral was computed for each bubble in GhoussoubRobert in [22] when $p_{\varepsilon}=0$. The proof goes exactly the same with $p_{\varepsilon}>0$. The last last term in straightforward to estimate.

We then get that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any sequence of points $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $\Omega \backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition (3).

## 6. Proof of Compactness

In this section we prove our compactness result, Theorem (1.3).
Proposition 4. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28),(29)$ and (30) holds. Assume that blow-up occurs, that is

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\||x|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=+\infty \quad \text { where } \beta_{-}(\gamma)<\tau<\frac{n-2}{2}
$$

Then we have following rate of blow-up when $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)^{2}$.

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}}=-\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{N}^{\frac{n-\theta}{2 \star(s)-2}}} \frac{4(n-s)}{(n-2)^{2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\tilde{u}_{N}^{2}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{b(0)}{\frac{n-2}{2 n_{i}^{2 \star(s)-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2 \star}(s)}{|x|^{s}}}
$$

Proof of Proposition (4): The proof proceeds in several steps.
Note that $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)$ is equivalent to $\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}>2-\theta$.
Step 6.1: Let $r_{\varepsilon}:=\sqrt{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}}$. We rescale and define for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x):=r_{\varepsilon}^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{\varepsilon}\left(r_{\varepsilon} x\right) \quad \text { for } x \in r_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then there exists $\tilde{v} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)=\tilde{v} \text { in } C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \\
\text { where } \quad & -\Delta \tilde{v}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{v}=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}
$$

Proof of step 6.1: From $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right)$ it follows that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$ weakly satisfies the equation
$-\Delta \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}-r_{\varepsilon}^{2} h\left(r_{\varepsilon} x\right) \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}=r_{\varepsilon}^{\left(2^{\star}(s)-2\right) \frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}+p_{\varepsilon} \beta_{-}(\gamma)} b\left(r_{\varepsilon} x\right) \frac{\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}}$
for all $\varepsilon>0$. Using the pointwise estimate (62) we obtain the bound, that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| & \leq C\left(r_{\varepsilon}^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}}}{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)}\left|r_{\varepsilon} x\right|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+\left|r_{\varepsilon} x\right|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+r_{\varepsilon}^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\left|r_{\varepsilon} x\right|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}}{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}}}{\left(\frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}}}\right)^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}+|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \\
(100) & \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \quad \text { for all } x \in r_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Omega \backslash\{0\} . \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

Standard elliptic theory then yields the existence of $\tilde{v} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and then passing to limits in equation (99) we then obtain that $\tilde{v}$ satisfies the equation

$$
-\Delta \tilde{v}-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} \tilde{v}=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
|\tilde{v}(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\left\||x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{|x|^{\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right) \quad \text { for all } x \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Step 6.2: From the Pohozaev identity (119) with $y_{0}=0$ and $U_{\varepsilon}=B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)}\left(\frac{n-s}{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}\right) \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)} b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}(x, \nabla b) d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\partial B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0)} F_{\varepsilon}(x) d \sigma-\int_{\partial B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)} F_{\varepsilon}(x) d \sigma \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\varepsilon}(x):= & (x, \nu)\left(\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-\frac{h(x)}{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}-\frac{b(x)}{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}}\right) \\
& -\left(x^{i} \partial_{i} u_{\varepsilon}+\frac{n-2}{2} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} . \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

We will estimate each of the terms in the above integral identity and calculate the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Step 6.3: When $\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)>2-\theta$, we have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
$\int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x=\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}\left[\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{N}^{\frac{n-\theta}{2 x(s)-2}}} \int \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}}{2} \frac{\mathbb{R}^{n}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x+o(1)\right]$.
where $0 \leq \theta<2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that: $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)=c$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta}(x, \nabla h(x))=$ $-c \theta$.

Proof of Step 6.3: When $\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)>2-\theta$ it follows from point (2) of Theorem (1.4) that the for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, the function $\frac{\tilde{u}_{i}}{|x|^{\theta / 2}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For any $R, \rho>0$ we decompose the integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x= \\
& \quad \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{B_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x+\int_{B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the estimate (62), we get as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)}}\left|h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right| u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \\
\leq & C \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}}\left[\frac{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}{|x|^{2 \beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{1}{|x|^{2 \beta-(\gamma)}}\right] d x \\
\leq & C \int_{B_{\frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R}(0)}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)-2\right)}} d x \\
+ & C \int_{B_{1}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}{}}^{r_{\varepsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)-(2-\theta)}{2}}}{|x|^{n-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)+2\right)}} d x \\
\leq & C\left(R^{-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)-(2-\theta)\right)}+\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)-(2-\theta)}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore when $\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)>2-\theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{N, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x=0 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in this case $\frac{\tilde{u}_{i}}{|x|^{\theta / 2}} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}^{n}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, it follows from Proposition 2 that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x\right) \\
=\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{i}^{\frac{n-\theta}{2 \times(s)-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\tilde{u}_{i}^{2}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x . \tag{105}
\end{array}
$$

where $0 \leq \theta<2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that: $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)=c$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta}(x, \nabla h(x))=$ $-c \theta$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. Using the pointwise estimates of theorem (3), for any $R, \rho>0$ and all $\varepsilon>0$ we have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left|h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right| u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}}\left[\frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}}{|x|^{2 \beta_{+}(\gamma)}}+\frac{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)}}{|x|^{2 \beta_{-}(\gamma)}}\right] d x \\
& \leq C \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\frac{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}{k_{i, \varepsilon}}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}}{|x|^{n+\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)-2\right)}} d x \\
& \\
& +C \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}{k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}}{|x|^{n-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)+2\right)}} d x \\
& \leq C \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)}\left(\mu_{i, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta} R^{-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)-(2-\theta)\right)}+\mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta} \rho^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)+(2-\theta)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And so as $\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)>2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{i+1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{R k_{i, \varepsilon}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x=0 . \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

And from the pointwise estimates of theorem (3), we have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left|h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right| u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{\mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)\right)}}{|x|^{2 \beta_{-}(\gamma)}} d x \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \int_{B_{\rho}(0) \backslash \bar{B}_{k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)} \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\left(\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)+2\right)}} d x \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \rho^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)+(2-\theta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_{1, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{\rho k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}\left(h(x)+\frac{(\nabla h, x)}{2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x=0 \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (104), (105), (106), (107) and Proposition (2) we then obtain (103).

Similarly with the pointwise control of Proposition (3) and by Proposition (2), we get as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)} b(x) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{b(0)}{t_{i}^{\frac{n-2}{2 \star(s)-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)}}{|x|^{s}} d x+o(1) \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

And using the condition (29) on $b$ we obtain as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{-(2-\theta)} \int_{B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0) \backslash B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}^{2}}(0)}(x, \nabla b) \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}}{|x|^{s}} d x=o(1) . \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, with a change of variable and the definition of $\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0)} F_{\varepsilon}(x) d \sigma= \\
& r_{\varepsilon}^{\beta+(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \int_{\partial B_{1}(0)}(x, \nu)\left(\frac{\left|\nabla \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)-\left(x^{i} \partial_{i} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}+\frac{n-2}{2} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{\nu} \tilde{v}_{\varepsilon} d \sigma \\
& -r_{\varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)} \int_{\partial B_{1}(0)} r_{\varepsilon}^{2} \frac{h\left(r_{\varepsilon} x\right)}{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}-\frac{r_{\varepsilon}^{\left(2^{\star}(s)-2\right)\left(\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}\right)+\beta_{-}(\gamma) p_{\varepsilon}}}{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\left.\left|\tilde{v}_{\varepsilon}\right|\right|^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{s}} d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

From the convergence result of Step 6.1 we then have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0)} F_{\varepsilon}(x) d \sigma=O\left(\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta-(\gamma)}{2}}\right) . \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B_{k_{1, \varepsilon}}(0)} F_{\varepsilon}(x) d \sigma=O\left(\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}\right) \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (103), (108), (109) (110) and (111) into the Pohozaev identity (101) yields as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad-\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}\left[\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{N}^{2 \star(s)-\theta}} \int \frac{\tilde{u}_{N}^{2}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x+o(1)\right]- \\
& \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{2^{\star}(s)}\left(\frac{n-s}{2^{\star}(s)-p_{\varepsilon}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{b(0)}{t_{i}^{2 \star-2}} \int \frac{\left.\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|\right|^{\star}(s)}{|x|^{s}} d x+o(1)\right) \\
& \quad=O\left(\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case $\frac{\beta_{+}(\gamma)-\beta_{-}(\gamma)}{2}>2-\theta$ and since $\tilde{u}_{N} \not \equiv 0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}}=-\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{N}^{\frac{n-\theta}{2 \star(s)-2}}} \frac{4(n-s)}{(n-2)^{2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\tilde{u}_{N}^{2}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{b(0)}{\frac{n-2}{t_{i}^{2 \star(s)-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2 \star}(s)}{|x|^{s}}} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition (4).

As a by-product of Proposition (4) one obtains the compactness result for signchanging solutions.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \Omega$ and assume that $0<s<2$ and $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$. Suppose that $h(x)$ and $b(x)$ satisfies (28) and (29) respectively. Let $\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be such that $p_{\varepsilon} \in\left[0,2^{\star}(s)-2\right)$ for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} p_{\varepsilon}=0$. Consider a sequence of functions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ that is uniformly bounded in $\stackrel{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$ and satisfies the equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u-\gamma \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u & =b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p_{\varepsilon} u}}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\} \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Then the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is pre-compact in the $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ if $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)>0$ for $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem (6.1): $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right),\left(p_{\varepsilon}\right), h(x)$ and $b(x)$ be such that $\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right),(27),(28)$, (29) and (30) holds. Assume that blow-up occurs, that is

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\||x|^{\tau} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=+\infty \quad \text { where } \beta_{-}(\gamma)<\tau<\frac{n-2}{2}
$$

When $\gamma<\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}-(2-\theta)^{2}$, by Proposition (4) we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_{\varepsilon}}{\mu_{N, \varepsilon}^{2-\theta}}=-\left(\frac{2-\theta}{2}\right) \frac{c}{t_{N}^{\frac{n-\theta}{2 \star(s)-2}}} \frac{4(n-s)}{(n-2)^{2}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\tilde{u}_{N}^{2}}{|x|^{\theta}} d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{b(0)}{\frac{n-2}{t_{i}^{2 \star(s)-2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right|^{2 \star}(s)}{|x|^{s}}}
$$

Since $p_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ this a contradiction if $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow 0}|x|^{\theta} h(x)=c>0$. So blow-up (34) cannot occur in this case and by Proposition (1) we have up to a subsequence $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ where $u_{0}$ is as in (31). This completes the proof of Theorem (6.1).

## 7. Proof of Existence and Multiplicity

We are now ready to prove Theorem (1.2). For each $2<p \leq 2^{\star}(s)$, we consider the $C^{2}$-functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p, \gamma, h}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h u d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)|u|^{p}}{|x|^{s}} d x \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, whose critical points are the weak solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}} u-h(x) u & =\frac{b(x)|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{s}} & & \text { on } \Omega  \tag{114}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Recall that $b(x)$ is a positive function in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $b(0)>0$ and $\nabla b(0)=0$ and while $h(x)$ satisfies (28).

For a fixed $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have since
$I_{p, \gamma, h}(\lambda u)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\gamma \lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} h(x) u^{2}-\frac{\lambda^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)|u|^{p}}{|x|^{s}} d x$ that $\operatorname{limit}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} I_{p, \gamma, h}(\lambda u)=-\infty$, which means that for each finite dimensional subspace $E_{k} \subset E:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{I_{p, \gamma, h}(u) ; u \in E_{k},\|u\|>R_{k}\right\}<0 \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $p \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)$. Let $\left(E_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of subspaces of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} E_{k}=k$ and $\overline{\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{k}}=E:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and define the min-max values:

$$
c_{p, k}=\inf _{g \in \mathbf{H}_{k}} \sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{p, \gamma, h}(g(x))
$$

where
$\mathbf{H}_{k}=\left\{g \in C(E, E) ; g\right.$ is odd and $g(v)=v$ for $\|v\|>R_{k}$ for some $\left.R_{k}>0\right\}$.
Proposition 5. With the above notation and assuming $n \geq 3$, we have:
(1) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}, c_{p, k}>0$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} c_{p, k}=c_{2^{\star}(s), k}:=c_{k}$.
(2) If $2<p<2^{\star}(s)$, there exists for each $k$, functions $u_{p, k} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $I_{p, \gamma}^{\prime}\left(u_{p, k}\right)=0$, and $I_{p, \gamma, h}\left(u_{p, k}\right)=c_{p, k}$.
(3) For each $2<p<2^{\star}(s)$, we have $c_{p, k} \geq D_{n, p} k^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} \frac{2}{n}}$ where $D_{n, p}>0$ is such that $\lim _{p \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} D_{n, p}=0$.
(4) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{2^{\star}(s), k}=+\infty$.

Proof: First, note that since the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\gamma}{|x|^{2}}-h(x)$ is coercive, we have for some constant $\Lambda_{0}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-\gamma \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-h(x) u^{2}\right) d x \geq \Lambda_{0} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \text { for all } u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then in view of the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities, we have

$$
I_{p, \gamma, h}(u) \geq \frac{\Lambda_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-C\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p}=\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{2}-C\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p-2}\right) \geq \alpha>0
$$

provided $\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}=\rho$ for some $\rho>0$ small enough. Then the $S_{\rho}=\{u \in$ $\left.E ;\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}=\rho\right\}$ must intersect every image $g\left(E_{k}\right)$ by an odd continuous function $g$. It follows that

$$
c_{p, k} \geq \inf \left\{I_{p, \gamma, h}(u) ; u \in S_{\rho}\right\} \geq \alpha>0
$$

In view of (115), it follows that for each $g \in \mathbf{H}_{k}$, we have that

$$
\sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{p_{i}, \gamma, h}(g(x))=\sup _{x \in D_{k}} I_{p, \gamma, h}(g(x))
$$

where $D_{k}$ denotes the ball in $E_{k}$ of radius $R_{k}$. Consider now a sequence $p_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)$ and note first that for each $u \in E$, we have that $I_{p_{i}, \gamma, h}(u) \rightarrow I_{2^{\star}(s), \gamma . h}(u)$. Since $g\left(D_{k}\right)$ is compact and the family of functionals $\left(I_{p, \gamma, h}\right)_{p}$ is equicontinuous, it follows that $\sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{p, \gamma}(g(x)) \rightarrow \sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{2^{\star}(s), \gamma, h}(g(x))$, from which follows that $\limsup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_{p_{i}, k} \leq$ $\sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{2^{\star}(s), \gamma, h}(g(x))$. Since this holds for any $g \in \mathbf{H}_{k}$, it follows that

$$
\limsup _{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_{p_{i}, k} \leq c_{2^{\star}(s), k}=c_{k}
$$

On the other hand, the function $f(r)=\frac{1}{p} r^{p}-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)} r^{2^{\star}(s)}$ attains its maximum on $[0,+\infty)$ at $r=1$ and therefore $f(r) \leq \frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)}$ for all $r>0$. It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2^{\star}(s), \gamma, h}(u) & =I_{p, \gamma, h}(u)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{|x|^{s}}\left(\frac{1}{p}|u(x)|^{p}-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)}|u(x)|^{2^{\star}(s)}\right) d x \\
& \leq I_{p, \gamma, h}(u)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{|x|^{s}}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

from which follows that $c_{k} \leq \liminf _{i \in \mathbb{N}} c_{p_{i}, k}$, and claim (1) is proved.
If now $p<2^{\star}(s)$, we are in the subcritical case, that is we have compactness in the Sobolev embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\Omega ;|x|^{-s} d x\right)$ and therefore $I_{p, \gamma, h}$ has the PalaisSmale condition. It is then standard to find critical points $u_{p, k}$ for $I_{p, \gamma, h}$ at each level $c_{p, k}$ (see for example the book [17]).

Consider now the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p, 0,0}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{s}} d x \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its critical values

$$
c_{p, k}^{0}=\inf _{g \in \mathbf{H}_{k}} \sup _{x \in E_{k}} I_{p, 0,0}(g(x)) .
$$

It has been shown in [20] (for the case $0 \in \partial \Omega$, but the same arguments work here) that (1), (2) and (3) of Proposition 5 hold, with $c_{p, k}^{0}$ and $c_{k}^{0}$ replacing $c_{p, k}$ and $c_{k}$ respectively. In particular, $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}^{0}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{2^{\star}(s), k}^{0}=+\infty$.
On the other hand, note that

$$
I_{p, \gamma, h}(u) \geq \Lambda_{0}^{\frac{p}{p-2}} I_{p, 0,0}(v) \quad \text { for every } u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

where $\Lambda_{0}$ is the coercivity constant from (116)and $v=\Lambda_{0}^{-\frac{1}{p-2}} u$. It then follows that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{2 \star}(s), k=+\infty$.

To complete the proof of Theorem (1.2), notice that since for each $k$, we have $\lim _{p_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} I_{p_{i}, \gamma, h}\left(u_{p_{i}, k}\right)=\lim _{p_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} c_{p_{i}, k}=c_{k}$, it follows that the sequence $\left(u_{p_{i}, k}\right)_{i}$ is uniformly bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $I_{p_{i}, \gamma, h}^{\prime}\left(u_{p_{i}, k}\right)=0$, it follows from the compactness Theorem 6.1 that by letting $p_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)$, we get a solution $u_{k}$ of (12) in such a way that $I_{2^{\star}(s), \gamma, h}\left(u_{k}\right)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} I_{p, \gamma, h}\left(u_{p, k}\right)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 2^{\star}(s)} c_{p, k}=c_{k}$. Since the latter sequence goes to infinity, it follows that (12) has an infinite number of critical levels.

## 8. Appendix: Pohozaev identity

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a smooth bounded domain and let $u \in C^{2}(\bar{U})$. For any $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the classical Pohozaev identity yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{U}\left(\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{i} \partial_{i} u+\frac{n-2}{2} u\right) \Delta u d x=\int_{\partial U}\left[\left(x-y_{0}, \nu\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-\left(\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{i} \partial_{i} u+\frac{n-2}{2} u\right) \partial_{\nu} u\right] d \sigma \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outer normal to the boundary $\partial U$.
One has for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $b \in C^{1}(\bar{U})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{j}\left(b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\right)= & -s b(x) \frac{x^{j}}{|x|^{s+2}}|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}+\left(2^{\star}(s)-p\right) b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p}}{|x|^{s}} u \partial_{j} u \\
& +\frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} \partial_{j} b(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla u\right) b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p}}{|x|^{s}} u= & \frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p}\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{j} \partial_{j}\left(b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\right)+\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} \\
& -\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{s+2}} b(x)|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then integration by parts gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla u\right) b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p}}{|x|^{s}} u d x=\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U}\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{j} \partial_{j}\left(b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\right) d x \\
&+\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
&-\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{s+2}} b(x)|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p} d x \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla b\right) d x . \\
&=-\frac{n-s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} d x-\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} b(x) \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{s+2}}|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p} d x \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla b\right) d x+\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{\partial U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nu\right) b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

And similarly we obtain

$$
\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla u\right) \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{j} \partial_{j}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+\frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-\frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{4}} u^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla u\right) \frac{u}{|x|^{2}} d x= & -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{U} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x-\int_{U} \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{4}} u^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nu\right) \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla u\right) h(x) u d x= & -\frac{n}{2} \int_{U} h(x) u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{U}\left(\nabla h, x-y_{0}\right) u^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial U}\left(x-y_{0}, \nu\right) h(x) u^{2} d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U}( \left.\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{i} \partial_{i} u+\frac{n-2}{2} u\right)\left(-\Delta u-\gamma \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}-h u-b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-2-p}}{|x|^{s}} u\right) d x  \tag{119}\\
&-\int_{U} h(x) u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{U}\left(\nabla h, x-y_{0}\right) u^{2} d x-\frac{p}{2^{\star}(s)}\left(\frac{n-s}{2^{\star}(s)-p}\right) \int_{U} b(x) \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}} d x \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\left(x-y_{0}, \nabla b\right) d x-\gamma \int_{U} \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{4}} u^{2} d x \\
&-\frac{s}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \int_{U} \frac{\left(x, y_{0}\right)}{|x|^{s+2}} b(x)|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p} d x \\
&=\int_{\partial U}\left[\left(x-y_{0}, \nu\right)\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-\frac{h(x)}{2} u^{2}-\frac{b(x)}{2^{\star}(s)-p} \frac{|u|^{2^{\star}(s)-p}}{|x|^{s}}\right)\right] d \sigma \\
&-\int_{\partial U}\left[\left(\left(x-y_{0}\right)^{i} \partial_{i} u+\frac{n-2}{2} u\right) \partial_{\nu} u\right] d \sigma .
\end{align*}
$$
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