

Molecular prevalence of Chlamydia and Chlamydia-like bacteria in Tunisian domestic ruminant farms and their influencing risk factors

M. Barkallah, H. Jribi, A. Ben Slima, Y. Gharbi, Z. Mallek, Michel Gautier, I.

Fendri, R. Gdoura

► To cite this version:

M. Barkallah, H. Jribi, A. Ben Slima, Y. Gharbi, Z. Mallek, et al.. Molecular prevalence of Chlamydia and Chlamydia-like bacteria in Tunisian domestic ruminant farms and their influencing risk factors. Transboundary and emerging diseases, 2018, 65 (2), pp.e329-e338. 10.1111/tbed.12757 . hal-01770352

HAL Id: hal-01770352 https://hal.science/hal-01770352v1

Submitted on 18 Apr 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. DOI: 10.1111/tbed.12757

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

I. Fendri¹ | R. Gdoura¹

¹Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Toxicology-

Microbiology and Environmental Health Laboratory (LR17ES06), University of Sfax,

²Veterinary Research Center of Sfax, Sfax,

³Equipe Microbiologie de l'œuf et des

du Lait et de l'Œuf, Rennes, France

I. Fendri, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax,

Email: imenfendri1982@gmail.com

National School of Engineers of Sfax. University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia.

Sfax. Tunisia

Correspondence

Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia.

Present addresses

Tunisia

influencing risk factors

Molecular prevalence of Chlamydia and Chlamydia-like

bacteria in Tunisian domestic ruminant farms and their

M. Barkallah¹ | H. Jribi¹ | A. Ben Slima¹ | Y. Gharbi¹ | Z. Mallek² | M. Gautier³ |

Chlamydia and Chlamydia-like bacteria are well known to infect several organisms and may cause a wide range of diseases, particularly in ruminants. To gain insight into the prevalence and diversity of these intracellular bacteria, we applied a pan-Chlamydiales real-time PCR to 1,134 veterinary samples taken from 130 Tunisian ruminant herds. The true adjusted animal population-level prevalence was 12.9% in cattle, against 8.7% in sheep. In addition, the true adjusted herd-level prevalence of Chlamydiae was 80% in cattle and 25.5% in sheep. Chlamydiales from three familylevel lineages were detected indicating a high biodiversity of Chlamydiales in ruminant herds. Our results showed that Parachlamydia acanthamoebae could be responsible for bovine and ovine chlamydiosis in central-eastern Tunisia. Multivariable logistic regression analysis at the animal population level indicated that strata and digestive disorders variables were the important risk factors of bovine and ovine chlamydiosis. However, origin and age variables were found to be associated with bovine and ovine chlamydiosis, respectively. At the herd level, risk factors for Chlamydia positivity were as follows: abortion and herd size for cattle against breeding system, cleaning frequency, guarantine, use of disinfectant and floor type for sheep. Paying attention to these risk factors will help improvement of control programs against this harmful zoonotic disease.

KEYWORDS

Abortion, Chlamydiae, Coculture, sequencing, TagMan PCR, veterinary samples

Tunisia is one of the leading countries in livestock production in Africa, with an estimated livestock population of more than 9.2 million head of ruminants (Zaibet, Hammami, & Jabbar, 2009). The livestock sector is the second largest source of income, accounting for 35% of the agricultural gross domestic product. Beyond its macroeconomic importance, livestock farming occupies 8.8% of the working population. It contributes at 97% to the collective satisfaction of the population food needs. Despite its importance, food

and sanitary problems hamper the development of this sector (Zaibet et al., 2009). Similarly, the poor diagnostic and epidemiological surveillance capacities of the veterinary services have resulted in a low rate of livestock health coverage (Zaibet et al., 2009). In addition, ruminants are exposed to several health problems, such as gastrointestinal parasitic and respiratory diseases (Akkari et al., 2014; Gharbi et al., 2013). Numerous pathogenic agents, including viruses, protozoa, fungi and bacteria, are already known to directly affect animal health and reproductive function (Yoo, 2010). Among these infectious agents, we note Chlamydia which is one of the

Summarv

Ovoproduits (MICOV), Agrocampus Ouest, INRA, (UMR1253) Science et Technologie Laboratory of Plant Biotechnology applied to the improvement of cultures, University of M. Barkallah, Unité de Biotechnologie des Algues, Biological Engineering Department,

I. Fendri, Faculty of Science of Sfax, Laboratory of Plant Biotechnology applied to

the improvement of cultures, University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia.

Funding information

1

Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique: ISESCO Organization

INTRODUCTION



WILEY Transboundary and Emercing Diseases

most important bacterial agents, causing chlamydiosis in ruminant species.

Chlamydiosis is a zoonotic disease with a global occurrence (Krawiec, Piasecki, & Wieliczko, 2015). It is usually caused in cattle by Chlamydia abortus, belonging to the Chlamvdiaceae family. This bacterium is mainly involved in abortions and hypofertility in cattle. The abortion occurs during the third trimester of gestation, particularly in heifers during their first pregnancy. After the abortion, several factors cause the transmission of Chlamydiae to susceptible animals such as contact with infected foetuses, foetal membranes, vaginal discharge, uterine secretions and milk (Rodolakis, 2006). Chlamydia pecorum was also isolated from the digestive tract of cows clinically affected by reproductive disorders, conjunctivitis, mastitis and pulmonary inflammation (Ruhl et al., 2009). However, its zoonotic potential is still unknown (Berri, Rekiki, Sidi Boumedine, & Rodolakis, 2009). Moreover, there are increasing evidences supporting the role of Chlamydia-related bacteria such as Waddlia chondrophila and Parachlamydia acanthamoebae in bovine abortion (Baud, Thomas, Arafa, Regan, & Greub, 2007; Borel et al., 2007). Ovine chlamydiosis, also known as enzootic abortion of ewes (EAE), is principally caused by C. abortus. This infection is characterized by reduction in milk production and abortion or birth of weak lamb and can be transmitted by the same ways given in cattle (Barkallah et al., 2014). C. pecorum strains have also been associated with a variety of diseases in sheep including arthritis, conjunctivitis and enteric infections. Nevertheless, the involvement of C. pecorum in ovine abortion cases was also reported, almost 26 years ago, in southern France (Berri et al., 2009). Previous collaborative studies between veterinary institutes in Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia have confirmed the isolation of C. pecorum strains from abortion cases (Berri et al., 2009; Rekiki et al., 2004), suggesting the involvement of this bacterium in the spontaneous abortion of small ruminants in North African countries. To date, W. chondrophila has never been detected in cases of abortions in sheep. In contrast, authors showed that P. acanthamoebae was detected by molecular techniques and immunohistochemistry in aborted ewe placentas and in the lung of an aborted small lamb (Ruhl et al., 2009).

Many previous studies were conducted in different geographical regions of Tunisia and demonstrated the presence of antibodies against chlamydial species (Rekiki et al., 2004). However, there is still a gap of information on the existence of this infection in many parts of the country. This study aimed at (i) determining the true prevalence of Chlamydiae among ruminants in central-eastern Tunisia and (ii) identifying potential risk factors associated with chlamydial infection at the individual animal and herd levels.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Ethical considerations

The study protocol was assessed and approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the Regional Office of Agricultural Development of Sfax (CRDA) in collaboration with the Veterinary Research Center of Sfax in Tunisia. All breeders declared their verbal and written consent before animal sampling as well as for the related survey questions. Samples were collected by authorized veterinarians of the Veterinary Research Center of Sfax during annual vaccination campaigns following standard procedures of the usual screening scheme on farms and Tunisian ethical guidelines.

2.2 Study area, animals and samples

This study was conducted in Sfax town (average altitude of 13 m), which is located in the East Centre of Tunisia, at 270 km from the capital Tunis. During this study, many geographical regions of Sfax have been visited as described by Barkallah et al. (2017). In each region, households owning cattle and/or sheep were identified through discussion with responsible veterinarians during the annual vaccination campaigns. The number of herds to be studied was calculated using the following formula $n = [t^2 \text{Pexp} (1-\text{Pexp})]/d^2$ as previously described by Barkallah et al. (2017). In total, 20 Holsteins bovine herds and 110 ovine herds were visited. Blood, vaginal swabs and milk samples were collected from 378 animals and sent to the laboratory. These samples were collected as described by Barkallah et al. (2016).

Epidemiological data collection 2.3

Two detailed questionnaires were used separately to collect information on potential management and environmental risks factors related to bovine and ovine chlamydiosis at the individual animal and herd levels. The owners of ruminants were guestioned by the principal researcher of this study.

Cocultivation of Waddlia chondrophila in 2.4 amoebae

Fresh swab samples positive by real-time PCR were used to isolate W. chondrophila in the coculture system. In fact, 500 µl of culture was taken from each swab and filtered through 0.22-µm pore size membrane. Each membrane was then shaken in 500 µl of Page's amoeba saline (PAS). Amoebae (strain Acanthamoeba castellanii ATCC 30010) were grown and prepared for culture as described by Lienard and Greub (2011). For inoculation, homogenized samples were inoculated into the wells by spinoculation at 2,000 g for 10 min. Then, cocultures were examined to exclude or confirm the growth of W. chondrophila (Goy, Croxatto, Posfay-Barbe, Gervaix, & Greub, 2009).

2.5 DNA extraction

DNA from all blood, milk and vaginal swab samples was extracted by ZR Fungal/Bacterial DNA MiniPrep[™] D6005 Kit (Zymo Research) as described by Barkallah et al. (2016).

2.6 Chlamydiales-specific real-time PCR

A pan-Chlamydiales real-time PCR that may amplify all members of the Chlamydiales order was performed as described previously by Lienard, Croxatto, Aeby, Jaton, and Posfay-Barbe (2011). The detection limit of this assay was five DNA copies per reaction of the positive control (with an efficiency of 75%). The amplified products were sent to GATC Biotech SARL (Germany) for sequencing. Sequences were compared, with the BLAST server, to existing sequences on GenBank which classifies them based on the percentage identity of the best known species. Positive samples for W. chondrophila and P. acanthamoebae were quantified using previously described realtime PCRs (Casson, Posfay-Barbe, Gervaix, & Greub, 2008; Goy et al., 2009). Both PCR assays were able to detect bacterial DNA over a linear range of $10-10^7$ copies per reaction mixture. However, C. abortus and C. pecorum were quantified in veterinary samples using two commercial kits "PrimerDesign™ genesig Kits for C. abortus and C. pecorum genomes quantification" (Genesig). Both assays could detect DNA to levels less than 10 copies/µl (Pantchev, Sting, Bauerfeind, Tyczka, & Sachse, 2010).

2.7 | Statistical analysis

The true prevalence (TP) of chlamydial infection at the individual animal level was estimated using Epitools epidemiological calculators (http://epitools.ausvet.com.au/content.php?page=home) and the following formula: TP = (AP + Sp-1)/(Se + Sp-1) (Rogan & Gladen, 1978). Herd-level prevalence was calculated as the number of herds with at least one positive animal divided by the total number of herds tested. The true herd prevalence (THP) was estimated from distributions of herd sensitivity (HSe) and specificity (HSp) as described by Christensen and Gardner (2000).

The risk factor analysis was separately performed at the animal and herd levels for cattle and sheep as described by Barkallah et al. (2016). The overall goodness of fit of final models was assessed using Pearson's chi-square and Hosmer–Lemeshow tests (Hosmer & Lemeshow, 1980).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Detection of Chlamydiae

For bovine samples, chlamydial DNA was detected in 27/214 (12.61%) vaginal swab samples. To know the responsible species of infection, PCR products were sequenced and analysed using the BLAST web interface (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Among the 16S rRNA sequences of these 27 test-positive samples, 12, 8 and 7 sequences with hits in the BLAST analysis belonged to *Waddliaceae*, *Parachlamydiaceae* and *Chlamydiaceae* families, respectively (Barkallah et al., 2014). No chlamydial DNA was detected in milk and blood samples. All amoebal cocultures (n = 12) remained negative for *W. chondrophila*.

For ovine samples, chlamydial DNA was detected only in 14/164 (8.53%) vaginal swab samples. The amplicon sequences of four testpositive samples were found to be 99% identical to the *P. acanthamoebae 16S rDNA* gene in the database. All of them had already been confirmed by the *P. acanthamoebae*-specific PCR-TR-TaqMan Transboundary and Emercing Disease

as previously described (Casson et al., 2008). Five among the 10 remaining sequences belonging to the *Chlamydiacaeae* family demonstrated \geq 99% sequence similarity with *C. abortus*, whereas the remaining sequences exhibited \geq 99% sequence similarity with *C. pecorum*. These results were confirmed by two commercial kits specific for the quantification of *C. abortus* and *C. pecorum*. As for cattle, a very high bacterial load was found in the ovine vaginal samples (35–1434 copies/µl). All milk and blood samples were shown to be negative.

3.2 | Prevalence of chlamydial infection

The apparent individual animal prevalence (AP) of chlamydial infection in cattle (12.61%; 95% CI: 8.82%-17.73%) was found higher than in sheep (8.54%; 95% CI: 5.15%–13.82%) (Z test: p = .101). Sixteen of 20 (80%; 95% CI [65.2%-94.7%]) bovine herds had at least one positive animal for Chlamydia. Compared with sheep, an overall 12.7% (14/110) (95% CI [7.48%-17.92%]) herd-level prevalence of chlamydial infection was found (Z test: p < .0001). The AP of chlamydial infection on both individual animal and herd levels was adjusted to the sensitivity (97.7%) and specificity (100%) of the pan-Chlamydiales PCR (Lienard et al., 2011). In cattle, the true prevalence (TP) at the animal level was 12.9% (95% CI: [8.4%-17.5%]), against 8.7% (95% CI: [4.4%-13.1%]) in sheep. The average number of animals tested in each herd of cattle was 10, while for sheep, the number was shown to be between 1 and 5 animals, according to the herd/flock sizes. Therefore, the herd specificity (HSp) was equal to 1 for the two species of ruminants. For cattle, the herd sensitivity (HSe) was $1 - (1 - 0.8)^{10} = 0.9999$, while for sheep, it was $1 - (1 - 0.8)^{10} = 0.9999$, while for sheep, it was $1 - (1 - 0.8)^{10} = 0.9999$. $(0.127)^5 = 0.5$. According to these calculated parameters, the THP of chlamydial infection was equal to 80% for cattle and 25.5% for sheep.

3.3 Univariable analysis of related risk factors

At the individual animal level, four variables (age range, strata, abortion and digestive disorders) were found to be significantly associated with chlamydial infection in cattle (p < .05) (Table 1). However, the variables (age range, abortion, conjunctivitis and digestive disorders) were significantly associated with chlamydial infection in sheep (Table 1).

At the herd level, all risk factors assumed to be associated with chlamydial infection in cattle and sheep are presented in Table 2. All significant and non-significant variables (p < .2) were then entered to the multivariable logistic analysis (Table 2).

3.4 | Multivariable logistic regression analysis

The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test indicated adequate fit for both the cattle (p = .639) and the sheep (p = .772) models (Table 3). From the final model for cattle, it can be seen that the odds of *Chlamydia* positivity were significantly higher in homebred cows compared to purchased cows with an OR of 3 (p = .032). We

	Cattle				Sheep				
Variable and level	No. of animals	No. of positive (%)	p-Value	Variable and level	No. of animals	No. of positive (%)	p-Value		
Age range				Age range					
<3	120	11 (9.1)	.006	1-2	83	2 (2.4)	.004		
3–5	74	9 (12.16)		2-3	81	12 (14.8)			
>5	20	7 (35)							
Strata				Strata					
Rural	9	1 (11.11)	.049	Rural	40	6 (15)	.236		
Peri-urban	76	4 (5.26)		Peri-urban	83	5 (6)			
Urban	129	22 (17)		Urban	41	3 (7.3)			
Origin				Origin					
Home bred	85	12 (14.11)	.591	Home bred	130	9 (6.9)	.148		
Purchased	129	15 (11.62)		Purchased	34	5 (14.7)			
History of abortion				History of abortion					
Yes	150	27 (18)	<.001	Yes	100	14 (14)	.002		
No	64	0 (0)		No	64	0 (0)			
Conjunctivitis				Conjunctivitis					
Yes	7	1 (14.2)	.892	Yes	8	3 (37.5)	.003		
No	207	26 (12.5)		No	156	11 (7.1)			
Digestive disorders				Digestive disorders					
Yes	43	12 (27.9)	<.001	Yes	29	10 (34.48)	<.001		
No	171	15 (8.77)		No	135	4 (2.96)			
Respiratory disorder	S			Breed					
Yes	6	2 (33.3)	.297	Barbarine	144	11 (9.7)	.27		
No	193	23 (11.91)		Others	20	3 (5)			
Not mentioned	15	2 (13.3)							

TABLE 1 Potential risk factors associated with individual animal level Chlamydia positivity among 214 cows and 164 ewes

noted also that the risk of *Chlamydia* positivity was 6.18 times higher in affected cows by digestive disorders than in healthy cows (p < .001). For sheep between 2 and 3 years old, the odds of *Chlamydia* positivity were 166 times higher compared with those that are 1 year of age. We also noted that the risk of *Chlamydia* positivity was 65 and 143 times higher in rural than in urban and periurban areas (Table 3). In addition, the risk of *Chlamydia* test positivity was significantly higher in ewes affected by digestive disorders compared to the healthy ones (OR = 35.71; p < .001).

At the herd level, risk factors associated with *Chlamydia* positivity in the multivariable logistic regression analysis were as follows: abortion and herd size for cattle against breeding system, handling, cleaning frequency, floor type and use of disinfectant for sheep. The Hosmer–Lemeshow test showed that the two final models fit well the data for the cattle herds (p = .8) and sheep flocks (p = .963) (Table 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

In the diagnosis of chlamydiosis, which it is connected with surveillance investigations of the disease in ruminants, different types of methods are used. The choice of a diagnostic method largely depends on the type and number of samples to be tested and the stage of infection in animals. Since serological and traditional culture techniques are ineffective, the pan-*Chlamydiales* real-time PCR was chosen for mass screening because is robust, rapid, sensitive and highly specific (Lienard et al., 2011). In addition, this real-time PCR could detect low concentrations of chlamydial DNA from various types of samples (Lienard et al., 2011).

Based on the present study results, *Chlamydia* and *Chlamydia*-like microorganisms do not seem to be excreted in the milk of ruminants. This is in accordance with the results of other studies showing that an infected ruminant does not necessarily excrete *Chlamydia* in milk and, if so, it may be irregular (Thomas, Davison, & Wilsmore, 1990). Thus, our results confirm that milk is not the best sample to detect chlamydial DNA, a statistically significant and clinically important finding. The low incidence of *Chlamydia* in blood samples can be explained by the low chlamydial load particularly in cases of chronic chlamydiosis.

The *Chlamydiaceae* family is frequently found in domestic ruminant herds and generally associated with reproductive problems (Merdja et al., 2015). Thus, it is not surprising that 17 of the 41 positive PCRs performed on vaginal swab samples were positive for

nsboundary and Emercing Diseases

5

TABLE 2 Analysis of potential risk factors associated with herd-level prevalence of chlamydial infection in ruminants from different geographical regions of Sfax in Tunisia

	Cattle			Sheep			
Variable and level	No. of herds	No. of positive (%)	p-Value	Variable and level	No. of herds	No. of positive (%)	p-Value
Study regions				Study regions			
El hajeb	3	3 (100)	.603	El hajeb	12	2 (16.7)	.73
El amra	6	5 (83.3)		El hincha	10	2 (20)	
Skhira	7	5 (71.4)		Sakiet ezzit	3	0 (0)	
Sakiet eddaier	2	2 (100)		Sakiet eddaier	27	2 (7.4)	
Sidi mansour	2	1 (50)		Sidi mansour	25	3 (12)	
				Bir ali ben khelifa	21	2 (9.5)	
				Agareb	12	3 (25)	
Herd size				Herd size			
<50	5	2 (40)	.01	<30	46	2 (4.3)	.025
>50	15	14 (93.3)		>30	64	11 (18.8)	
Herd composition				Herd composition			
Cattle	13	13 (100)	.008	Sheep	4	0 (0)	<.001
Cattle+ sheep or Goat	3	1 (33.3)		Sheep + cattle or Goat	91	7 (7.7)	
Cattle +sheep+ goat	4	2 (50)		Sheep + cattle + Goat	15	7 (26.6)	
Type of breeding				Management system			
Artificial insemination	5	2 (40)	.032	Extensive	96	9 (9.37)	.006
Use natural service	5	5 (100)		Intensive	14	5 (35.71)	
Both	10	9 (90)					
Abortion in the herd				Abortion in the herd			
Yes	15	14 (93.33)	.01	Yes	80	14 (17.5)	.014
No	5	2 (40)		No	30	0 (0)	
Mortality				Mortality		.,	
Yes	4	3 (75)	.78	Yes	42	11 (26.2)	.004
No	16	13 (81.3)		No	53	2 (3.8)	
				No information	15	1 (6.7)	
Housing				Housing		_ (,	
Close house	9	7 (77.7)	.822	Solid enclosure with roof	47	6 (12.8)	.992
Open house	11	9 (81.81)			63	8 (12.7)	
Hygiene/floor type		. ()		Hygiene/floor type		- ()	
Solid floor	5	2 (40)	.01	Solid floor	46	2 (4.3)	.025
Soil	15	14 (93.3)	101	Soil	64	12 (18.8)	1020
Origin of silage	15	11()0.0)		Origin of silage		12 (10.0)	
Home made	3	2 (66 66)	531	Home made	39	2 (5 1)	144
Purchased	17	14 (82 35)	.501	Purchased	18	2 (0.1)	
T di chasca	17	14 (02.00)		Both	53	0 (0)	
Mineral supplementation				Mineral supplementation	50	0 (0)	
Ves	8	4 (50)	006	Ves	15	2 (13 3)	94
No	12	12 (100)	.000	No	95	12 (12.6)	.74
Water source	14	12 (100)		Water source	,5	12 (12.0)	
Communal water source	19	15 (78 94)	608	Communal water source	53	8 (15 1)	734
	1	1 (100)	.000		50	5 (10)	.754
C mit supply		1 (100)		Not indicated	7	1 (14.3)	

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	Cattle				Sheep					
Variable and level	No. of herds	No. of positive (%)	p-Value	Variable and level	No. of herds	No. of positive (%)	p-Value			
Herd prophylactic measures ^a				Herd prophylactic measures ^a						
Yes	14	13 (92.9)	.028	Yes	43	2 (4.7)	.042			
No	6	3 (50)		No	67	12 (17.9)				
Cleaning frequency				Cleaning frequency						
Weekly	15	11 (73.3)	.435	Weekly	85	7 (8.2)	.025			
Monthly	4	4 (100)		Monthly	13	3 (23.1)				
No cleaning	1	1 (100)		No cleaning	12	4 (33.3)				
Use of disinfectant				Use of disinfectant						
Yes	12	8 (66.7)	.068	Yes	16	4 (25)	.111			
No	8	8 (100)		No	94	10 (10.6)				
Purchase of animal in the past 3 years			Purchase of animal in the past 3 years							
Yes	16	15 (93.75)	.002	Yes	99	13 (13.1)	.703			
No	4	1 (25)		No	11	1 (9.1)				
Handling				Handling						
Quarantined	11	7 (63.6)	.043	Quarantined	54	3 (5.6)	.027			
Mixed	9	9 (100)		Mixed	56	11 (19.6)				
Breeder Knowledge of Chl	amydiosis			Breeder Knowledge of Chlamydiosis						
Yes	2	1 (50)	.264	Yes	11	1 (9.1)	.703			
No	18	15 (83.3)		No	99	13 (13.1)				
Socio-economic status of f	farmer			Socio-economic status of farmer						
Full-time	5	4 (80)	.392	Full-time	98	11 (11.2)	.401			
Part-time	10	9 (90)		Part-time	8	2 (25)				
Not indicated	5	3 (60)		Not indicated	4	1 (25)				
Specialist attending to animals ^b			Specialist attending to animals ^b							
Yes	16	12 (75)	.264	Yes	22	1 (4.5)	.198			
No	4	4 (100)		No	88	13 (14.8)				
Gynaecological exam ^c										
Yes	1	1 (100)	.608							
No	19	15 (78.94)								

^aRegular deworming, tick control, haemoparasite control or vaccination.

^bVeterinarian or livestock assistant attending to the ruminants.

^cRegular or occasional rectal palpation or gynaecological/obstetrical examination.

Chlamydiaceae. The high prevalence of positivity (60%) for *Parach-lamydiaceae* is important to investigate in our case as *P. acanthamoebae*, a species of this chlamydial family, is well known to cause reproductive disorders, especially in cattle (Barkallah et al., 2014; Borel et al., 2007). In our study, 12 samples from five ruminant herds were positive for *P. acanthamoebae*. This is the first description of this *Chlamydia*-like bacterium in ovine abortion in African farms. *W. chondrophila* was absent in all ovine samples tested in our study. This result is in agreement with those of a previous study showing the non-susceptibility of ewes to be infected with *W. chondrophila*. Recently, an in vitro study has shown that *W. chondrophila* is able to infect and multiply in ovine trophoblastic cells while inducing an immune and inflammatory response in a dose-dependent way similar to that observed with *C. abortus* (Wheelhouse et al., 2014). More samples should be collected to survey the reproductive

problems caused by this chlamydia-like bacterium in Tunisian sheep flocks.

At the individual animal level, our results concerning both bovine and ovine chlamydiosis are highly consistent with those observed in other studies realized in Tunisia (Zaibet et al., 2009) and Zambia (Ghirotti et al., 1991) and in other continents (Blumer, Greub, Waldvogel, Hassig, & Thoma, 2011; Szymańska-Czerwińska, Niemczuk, & Galińska, 2013). At the herd level, our AP data are proportionally similar to those described in other studies realized in Switzerland (Borel et al., 2004), Germany (Lenzko et al., 2011), Ireland (Wilson et al., 2012) and in Algeria (Merdja et al., 2015). The adjustment of prevalence according to the performance of the used molecular test gave TP values of 12.9% in cattle and 8.7% in sheep. The TP value of chlamydial infection in sheep was higher than those obtained in other studies carried out in other governorates of Tunisia

	Multivariable logistic regression									
							95% CI of	95% CI of O.R		
Chlamydiae ^a	Variable	Level	b	S.E(b)	p Value	O.R	Lower	Upper		
Positive cows	Digestive disorders	Yes	1.822	.497	<.001	6.183	2.334	16.38		
		No	0	_	-	1.000	-	_		
	Strata	Rural	1.59	1.207	.188	4.902	0.462	52.26		
		Urban	1.78	0.618	.004	5.932	1.766	19.93		
		Peri-urban	0	-	-	1.000	_	-		
	Origin	Purchased	-1.065	0.497	.032	0.345	0.13	0.914		
		Home bred	0	-	-	1.000	-	-		
		Intercept	-2.933	1.181	.013	_	-	_		
Positive ewes	Age	1-2	-5.181	1.513	.001	0.006	0.000	0.109		
		2-3	0	-	-	1.000	-	-		
	Digestive disorders	No	-3.581	0.87	<.001	0.028	0.005	0.153		
		Yes	0	-	-	1.000	-	-		
	Strata	Rural	4.967	1.5	.001	143.59	7.6	2714.38		
		Urban	0.788	0.925	.394	2.2	0.359	13.485		
		Peri-urban	0	-	-	1.000	-	-		
		Intercept	-4.365	1.033	<.001	-	-	-		

^aThe reference category is negative.

TABLE 4	Risk factors for	herd-level chlamydial	infection in 13	0 ruminant	: herds in	different	regions of S	Sfax, Tunisia:	results of	a multiple
logistic regre	ession model									

	Multivariable logistic regression								
							95% Cl o	f O.R	
Chlamydiae ^a	Variable	Level	b	S.E(b)	p Value	O.R	Lower	Upper	
Positive bovine herds	Abortion	No	0	_	_	1.000	-	-	
		Yes	2.986	1.420	.04	19.85	0.655	420.12	
	Herd size	<50	-3.202	1.406	.042	0.047	0.004	0.943	
		>50	0	_	-	1.000	-	_	
		Intercept	-4.203	1.47	.035				
Positive ovine herds	Breeding system	Extensive	-1.739	0.823	.035	0.176	0.035	0.882	
		Intensive	0	_	-	1.000	-	_	
	Cleaning frequency	Weekly	-2.194	0.966	.023	0.111	0.017	0.74	
		Monthly	-1.19	1.039	.252	0.304	0.04	2.329	
		No cleaning	0	-	-	1.000	-	-	
	Handling	Quarantined	-2.037	0.975	.037	0.13	0.019	0.882	
		Mixed	0	-	-	1.000	-	-	
	Floor type	Solid floor	-2.354	1.073	.028	0.095	0.012	0.778	
		Soil	0	_	-	1.000	-	_	
	Use of disinfectant	Yes	-3.542	1.192	.003	0.029	0.003	0.3	
		No	0	-	-	1.000	-	-	
		Intercept	5.111	1.748	.003				

^aThe reference category is negative.

(Elandalousi, Ghram, Maaroufi, & Mnif, 2015; Zaibet et al., 2009). The signalled high prevalence of chlamydial infection of 37.16% in cattle of Kalaat El Andalous (northern Tunisia) could be due to the specificity lack of some serological techniques based on chlamydial antigen preparations and purified chlamydial LPS (Elandalousi et al., 2015). At the herd level, the TP of chlamydial infection in sheep

undary and Emercing Diseases

8 WILEY Transboundary and Em

(80%) was higher than those reported in Tunisia (6.25%) (Zaibet et al., 2009), in Zaer and Morocco (21.5%) (Hamzy-El Idrissi, Manvari, & Benkirane, 1995) and in Mauritania (20%) (Chartier & Chartier, 1988). In fact, all these investigations were based only on Chlamydiaceae-specific serological tests, and therefore, infected animals with Chlamydia-like bacteria were not considered. In addition, the changing of diagnostic techniques and the choice of sampling methods are important factors that contribute to the variability of results among investigations. On the other hand, Rekiki et al. (2004) reported a relatively similar prevalence rate of 26% in Tunisian sheep flocks.

Several reports agree with the fact that the risk of transmission of Chlamydiae in cattle varied significantly depending on the strata and the involved systems of production (Igayara-Souza, Genovez, Ferreira, Paulin, & Scarcelli, 2004; Jaouad, 2004). Our results have shown that the probability of having a positive animal was higher in urban (17%) than in peri-urban (5.26%) and rural (11.1%) areas. This could be explained by the fact that most dairy farmers practicing the intensive farming are situated in urban areas where there is a high demand for milk (Barkallah et al., 2017; Jaouad, 2004). In this type of areas, reproductive infections associated with Chlamydiae, particularly abortions, appear mainly as enzootic diseases, resulting in severe economic losses (Igayara-Souza et al., 2004). On the other hand, the risk of chlamydial infection in sheep was equal in urban and rural areas, where keeping sheep is a method to save money. The observed association between Chlamydia status and the ruminant's age was consistent with what is mostly known about the biology of infection (Qin et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2013). This association with aged animals may be due to (i) the cumulative probability of exposure to Chlamydiae (Qin et al., 2014) and (ii) the immune system failure (Zhou et al., 2013). Similarly, the observed relationships between chlamydial infection and abortive and digestive disorders were consistent with what has generally been observed (Longbottom et al., 2013; Merdja et al., 2015; Wheelhouse et al., 2014). Under these conditions, the infection finds favourable ground for transmission through placental and foetal membranes, faeces and urines (Barkallah et al., 2014). In addition, conjunctivitis was found to be a major risk factor significantly associated with chlamydial infection in sheep. These results are in agreement with those of other recent studies (Jelocnik et al., 2014; Polkinghorne et al., 2009).

As expected, different herd/flock properties were significantly associated with the probability of a herd/flock being positive. Our results showed that the risk of contracting Chlamydia increases significantly with the herd size. These results are in agreement with those of other studies, who observed that the prevalence of chlamydial infection was higher in herds with large numbers of animals (Al-Qudah, Sharif, Raouf, Hailat, & AL-Domy, 2004; Merdja et al., 2015). In general, larger herds might be expected to be associated with intensive management practices that are characteristically more difficult to control and let for closer contact between susceptible and infected animals. Similarly, our findings showed that herd composition was linked to Chlamydiae test positivity. This finding is in accordance with those of Zaibet et al. (2009), who reported that the risk of chlamydial infection in sheep is multiplied by 4 and 1.08 in the presence of cattle and goats in the same farm. We also found that flocks exposed to Chlamydiae showed not only risks of abortions, but also high sheep mortality rates. Indeed, chlamydial infection induces high animal mortality that finally reduces the financial capital of breeders and increases costs of production (Hireche et al., 2014). The reduction in mortality and abortion rates requires that chlamydial infection be combated through divers management and sanitation practices such as the use of artificial insemination, the addition of mineral supplements and the installation of suitable prophylactic measures and animal guarantine services (Longbottom et al., 2013; Müller, Sachse, Kemmerling, Rietz, & Sauerwein, 2013; Ostermann et al., 2013). All these applications play a crucial role in keeping the immune status of the herds healthy and may evenly give an indication of top herd management. Concerning the floor type, our results showed that the exposure risk to chlamydial infection was more important in ruminants in direct contact with soil than in those that are in contact with solid ground. These results corroborate those of several other authors, who showed that the soil could be a source of contaminated residues (Coulon et al., 2012; Kebbi-Beghdadi & Greub, 2014).

Chlamydiosis is endemic at great levels in domestic ruminants in central-eastern Tunisia. The infection is heterogeneously distributed, with some farms at high risk as a result of practices such as the introduction of new animals without guarantine, changing of rams for reproduction and the intensification of the production system. The adoption of hygiene and biosecurity practices is recommended as a control strategy of chlamydial infection in Tunisian farms.

ACKNOWLEDEGMENTS

This project received financial support from "Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche scientifique" and the ISESCO Organization. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

ORCID

M. Barkallah 🕛 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8934-5731

REFERENCES

Akkari, H., Rtibi, K., B'chir, F., Rekik, M., Darghouth, M.A., & Gharbi, M. (2014). In vitro evidence that the pastoral Artemisia campestris species exerts an anthelmintic effect on Haemonchus contortus from sheep. Veterinary Research Communications, 38(3), 249-255. https://d oi.org/10.1007/s11259-014-9609-y

- Al-Qudah, K. M., Sharif, L. A., Raouf, R. Y., Hailat, N. Q., & AL-Domy, F. M. (2004). Seroprevalence of antibodies to Chlamydophila abortus shown in Awassi sheep and local goats in Jordan. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 49, 460–466.
- Barkallah, M., Gharbi, Y., Hassena, A. B., Slima, A. B., Mallek, Z., Gautier, M., ... Fendri, I. (2014). Survey of infectious etiologies of bovine abortion during mid- to late gestation in dairy herds. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(3), e91549. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091549
- Barkallah, M., Gharbi, Y., Hmani, M., Mallek, Z., Gautier, M., Gdoura, R., & Fendri, I. (2016). Locked nucleic acid probe-based real-time PCR for the diagnosis of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ruminants. *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, 30(3), 138–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2016. 02.010
- Barkallah, M., Gharbi, Y., Zormati, S., Karkouch, N., Mallek, Z., Gautier, M., ... Fendri, I. (2017). A mixed methods study of ruminant brucellosis in central-eastern Tunisia. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 49(1), 39–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-016-1155-x
- Baud, D., Thomas, V., Arafa, A., Regan, L., & Greub, G. (2007). Waddlia chondrophila, a potential agent of human fetal death. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 13, 1239–1243. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1308. 070315
- Berri, M., Rekiki, A., Sidi Boumedine, K., & Rodolakis, A. (2009). Simultaneous differential detection of *Chlamydophila abortus*, *Chlamydophila pecorum* and *Coxiella burnetii* from aborted ruminant's clinical samples using multiplex PCR. *BMC Microbiology*, 9, 130. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2180-9-130
- Blumer, S., Greub, G., Waldvogel, A., Hassig, M., & Thoma, R. (2011). Waddlia, Parachlamydia and Chlamydiaceae in bovine abortion. Veterinary Microbiology, 152(3-4), 385–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vet mic.2011.05.024
- Borel, N., Doherr, M. G., Vretou, E., Psarrou, E., Thoma, R., & Pospischil, A. (2004). Seroprevalences for ovine enzootic abortion in Switzerland. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 65(3–4), 205–216. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.prevetmed.2004.08.005
- Borel, N., Ruhl, S., Casson, N., Kaiser, C., Pospischil, A., & Greub, G. (2007). Parachlamydia spp. and related Chlamydia-like organisms and bovine abortion. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 13, 1904–1907. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1312.070655
- Casson, N., Posfay-Barbe, K. M., Gervaix, A., & Greub, G. (2008). New diagnostic real time PCR for specific detection of *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* DNA in clinical samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 46 (4), 1491–1493. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02302-07
- Chartier, C., & Chartier, F. (1988). Enquête séro-épidémiologique sur les avortements infectieux des petits ruminants en Mauritanie. Revue d'élevage et Médecine Vétérinaire des Pays Tropicaux, 41, 23–34.
- Christensen, J., & Gardner, I. A. (2000). Herd-level interpretation of test results for epidemiologic studies of animal diseases. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 45, 83–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5877(00) 00118-5
- Coulon, C., Eterpi, M., Greu, G., Collignon, A., McDonnell, G., & Thomas, V. (2012). Amoebal host range, host-free survival and disinfection susceptibility of environmental Chlamydiae as compared to *Chlmydia trachomatis. FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, *64*(3), 364– 373. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2011.00919.x
- Elandalousi, R. B., Ghram, A., Maaroufi, A., & Mnif, W. (2015). Séroprévalence des maladies abortives zoonotiques chez les ruminants au nord de la Tunisie. *Research*, fr, 2, 1419.
- Gharbi, M., Zribi, L., Jedidi, M., Chakkhari, H., Hamdi, S., R'hayem, S., ... Darghouth, M.A. (2013). Prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in Tunisian sheep. Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique, 106(3), 184–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13149-013-0290-4
- Ghirotti, M., Semproni, G., De Meneghi, D., Mungaba, F.N., Nannini, D., Calzetta, G., & Paganico, G. (1991). Sero-prevalences of selected cattle diseases in the Kafue flats of Zambia. *Veterinary Research Communications*, 15, 25–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00497787

- Goy, G., Croxatto, A., Posfay-Barbe, K. M., Gervaix, A., & Greub, G. (2009). Development of a real-time PCR for the specific detection of Waddlia chondrophila in clinical samples. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 28, 1483–1486. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10096-009-0804-7
- Hamzy-El Idrissi, A., Manyari, A., & Benkirane, A. (1995). Fréquence des avortements infectieux des ovins au Maroc (régions des Zaer et du Moyen Atlas). Actes de l'Institut Agronomique Vétérinaire, 15(4), 11–14.
- Hireche, S., Bouaziz, O., Djenna, D., Boussena, S., Aimeur, R., Kabouia, R., & Bererhi, E. (2014). Seroprevalence and risk factors associated with *Chlamydophila* spp. infection in ewes in the northeast of Algeria. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 46, 467–473. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11250-013-0515-z
- Hosmer, D. W., & Lemeshow, S. (1980). Goodness-of-fit tests for the multiple logistic regression model. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 10, 1043–1069. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03610928008827941
- Igayara-Souza, C. A., Genovez, M. E., Ferreira, F., Paulin, L. M., & Scarcelli, E. (2004). Ocorrência de anticorpos anti-Chlamydophila abortus em bovinos e avaliação de possível relação com distúrbios reprodutivos em São Paulo-Brasil. Revista brasileira de reprodução animal, 28 (1), 28–33.
- Jaouad, M. (2004). Dynamique des cheptels bovins en Tunisie et contraintes alimentaires et fourragères. In Ferchichi, A, Réhabilitation des pâturages et des parcours en milieux méditerranéens. Zaragoza: CIHEAM. Options Méditerranéennes, 62, 421–424.
- Jelocnik, M., Walker, E., Pannekoek, Y., Ellem, J., Timms, P., & Polkinghorne, A. (2014). Evaluation of the relationship between Chlamydia pecorum sequence types and disease using a species-specific multi-locus sequence typing scheme (MLST). *Veterinary Microbiology*, 174(1–2), 214–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.08.018
- Kebbi-Beghdadi, C., & Greub, G. (2014). Importance of amoebae as a tool to isolate amoeba resisting microorganisms and for their ecology and evolution: The Chlamydia paradigm. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 6(4), 309–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12155
- Krawiec, M., Piasecki, T., & Wieliczko, A. (2015). Prevalence of Chlamydia psittaci and Other Chlamydia Species in Wild Birds in Poland. Vector Borne Zoonotic Diseases, 15(11), 652–655. https://doi.org/10.1089/vb z.2015.1814
- Lenzko, H., Moog, U., Henning, K., Lederbach, R., Diller, R., Menge, C., ... Sprague, L. D. (2011). High frequency of chlamydial co-infections in clinically healthy sheep flocks. BMC Veterinary Research, 7, 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-7-29
- Lienard, J., Croxatto, A., Aeby, S., Jaton, K., & Posfay-Barbe, K. (2011). Development of a new *Chlamydiales*-specific real-time PCR and its application to respiratory clinical samples. *Journal Clinical Microbiology*, 49(7), 2637–2642. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00114-11
- Lienard, J., & Greub, G. (2011). Discovering new pathogens: Amoebae as tools to isolate Amoeba-resisting Microorganisms from environmental samples. In K.S.a.N.J., Ashbolt. (Ed.), Environmental Microbiology: Current technology and water applications. pp. (143–162) Norfolk, 2 UK: Caister Academic Press.
- Longbottom, D., Livingstone, M., Maley, S., Van der Zon, A., Rocchi, M., Wilson, K., ... Buxton, D. (2013). Intranasal infection with Chlamydia abortus induces dose-dependent latency and abortion in sheep. *PLoS ONE*, 8(2), e57950. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057950
- Merdja, S. E., Khaled, H., Aaziz, R., Vorimore, F., Bertin, C., Dahmani, A., ... Laroucau, K. (2015). Detection and genotyping of *Chlamydia* species responsible for reproductive disorders in Algerian small ruminants. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47(2), 437–443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-014-0743-x
- Müller, U., Sachse, K., Kemmerling, K., Rietz, C., & Sauerwein, H. (2013). Identification of certain management practices and health data associated with *Chlamydia* infection status in German dairy herds.

IFY— Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

Veterinary Journal, 197(3), 905–907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl. 2013.06.003

- Ostermann, C., Rüttger, A., Schubert, E., Schrödl, W., Sachse, K., & Reinhold, P. (2013). Infection, disease, and transmission dynamics in calves after experimental and natural challenge with a Bovine *Chlamydia psittaci* isolate. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(5), e64066. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0064066
- Pantchev, A., Sting, R., Bauerfeind, R., Tyczka, J., & Sachse, K. (2010). Detection of all Chlamydophila and Chlamydia spp. of veterinary interest using species-specific real time PCR assays. Comparative Immunology Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 33(6), 473–484. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cimid.2009.08.002
- Polkinghorne, A., Borel, N., Becker, A., Lu, Z. H., Zimmermann, D. R., Brugnera, E., ... Vaughan, L. (2009). Molecular evidence for chlamydial infections in the eyes of sheep. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 135, 142–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.034
- Qin, S.Y., Yin, M.Y., Cong, W., Zhou, D.H., Zhang, X.X., Zhao, Q., ... Qian, A.D. (2014). Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of *Chlamydia abortus* Infection in Tibetan Sheep in Gansu Province, Northwest China. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 6. ID 193464.
- Rekiki, A., Thabti, F., Dlissi, I., Russo, P., Sanchis, R., Pepin, M., ... Hammami, S. (2004). Seroprevalence survey of major infectious abortive diseases in small ruminants in Tunisia. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*, 156(7), 395–401.
- Rodolakis, A. (2006). Chlamydiose et fièvre Q, similitudes et différences entre ces deux zoonoses. In: Proc. of Rencontres Recherches Ruminants, 6-7 décembre 2006, Paris, France: Institut de l'Élevage – INRA, 2006. Rencontres Recherche Ruminants, 13, 395–402.
- Rogan, W. J., & Gladen, B. (1978). Estimating prevalence from the results of a screening test. American Journal of Epidemiology, 107, 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112510
- Ruhl, S., Casson, N., Kaiser, C., Thoma, R., Pospischil, A., Greub, G., & Borel, N. (2009). Evidence for *Parachlamydia* in bovine abortion. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 135, 169–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vet mic.2008.09.049
- Szymańska-Czerwińska, M., Niemczuk, K., & Galińska, E. M. (2013). Serological and nested PCR survey to determine the occurrence of

Chlamydia infections in the Polish cattle population. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 20(4), 682–686.

- Thomas, R., Davison, H. C., & Wilsmore, A. J. (1990). Use of the IDEIA ELISA to detect *Chlamydia psittaci* (ovis) in material from aborted fetal membranes and milk from ewes affected by ovine enzootic abortion. *British Veterinary Journal*, 146(4), 364–367. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0007-1935(11)80031-X
- Wheelhouse, N., Coyle, C., Barlow, P. G., Mitchell, S., Greub, G., Baszler, T., ... Longbottom, D. (2014). Waddlia chondrophila infects and multiplies in ovine trophoblast cells stimulating an inflammatory immune response. PLoS ONE, 9(7), e102386. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0102386
- Wilson, K., Sammin, D., Harmeyer, S., Nath, M., Livingstone, M., & Longbottom, D. (2012). Seroprevalence of chlamydial infection in cattle in Ireland. *Veterinary Journal*, 193(2), 583–585. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.tvjl.2011.12.018
- Yoo, H. S. (2010). Infectious cause of reproductive disorders in cattle. Journal of Reproduction and Development, 56, 53–60. https://doi.org/ 10.1262/jrd.1056553
- Zaibet, L., Hammami, S., & Jabbar, M. (2009). Sustainability of small ruminant production systems in Tunisia: A health marketing approach. Discussion Paper No. 17. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya. pp 138.
- Zhou, D. H., Zhao, F. R., Xia, H. Y., Xu, M. J., Huang, S. Y., Song, H. Q., & Zhu, X. Q. (2013). Seroprevalence of chlamydial infection in dairy cattle in Guangzhou, southern China. *Irish Veterinary Journal*, 66, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-0481-66-2

How to cite this article: Barkallah M, Jribi H, Ben Slima A, et al. Molecular prevalence of Chlamydia and Chlamydia-like bacteria in Tunisian domestic ruminant farms and their influencing risk factors. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2017;00:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12757

10