# Calculation of extended gcd by parametrization. Modular geometric sequence solving equation  $au + b^n v = 1$ .

## WOLF Marc, WOLF François, LE COZ Corentin.

marc.wolf@tsoftemail.com http://mathscience.tsoftemail.com

#### April 18, 2018

#### Abstract

Firstly, we propose an algorithm for calculating the extended gcd by providing a solution that minimizes one of the two coordinates. The algorithm relies on elementary arithmetic properties to parameterize the solutions.

Secondly, we propose a modular geometric sequence to solve the linear diophantine equation  $au + b^n v = 1$  with *a* and *b* coprime integers and *n* a not null natural number.

## **Contents**



#### 6 References 9

#### 7 Appendix : Euclid algorithms 10

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 The problem of extended gcd

Let *a* and *b* be two integers, the extended gcd's problem consists in finding three integers  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $g$  such that :

$$
ua + vb = g
$$

with *g* equal to gcd of *a* and *b*.

In number theory, this problem occurs in many situations like in the theory of corrector codes [BK84] or for the factorization of integers [B86]. Moreover, it is the elementary component of the classical algorithm of Smith invariants computation of a matrix with integer coefficients, which allows the general resolution of the linear diophantine equations [Ser]. See also [DSL15] for a recent application of the Euclid algorithm.

#### 1.2 Notation

Let *x* and *y* be two integers, we denote "*x* mod  $y$ " the remainder of the Euclidean division of *x* by *y*, which belongs to  $[0, y - 1]$  by convention.

### 2 Extended GCD with *a* and *b* are coprime integers.

We assume therefore that *a* and *b* are *coprime* integers. Because we can reverse *a* and *b*, we will assume that *a* is an *odd* integer. If they are both odd, we will assume *a < b* for reducing number of arithmetic operation.

#### 2.1 Parameter *v<sup>c</sup>*

To each integer *c*, we associate the following equation in *u* and *v* :

$$
ua + vb = c \tag{E_c}
$$

As a reminder, the set of solutions of  $(E_c)$  is equal to  $\{(u_0+kb, v_0-ka), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\,$ with  $(u_0, v_0)$  corresponding to a particular solution.

**Definition 1.** we name *normal solution* of  $(E_c)$  the unique solution  $(u_c, v_c)$  with the condition  $v_c \in [\![0, a-1]\!]$ . We call  $v_c$  the *parameter* of  $(E_c)$ .

**Remark.** By setting  $u_c = (c - v_c b)/a$ ,  $(u_c, v_c)$  is the normal solution of  $(E_c)$ .

From the structure of solutions of (*E<sup>c</sup>* ), we get the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.** *For any solution*  $(u, v)$  *of*  $(E_c)$ ,  $v \equiv v_c \pmod{a}$ *.* 

#### 2.2 Arithmetic properties of the parameter

Proposition 2. *In immediately way, the parameter is additive :*

$$
\forall c, c' \in \mathbb{Z}, v_{c+c'} \equiv v_c + v_{c'} \pmod{a}
$$

Corollary 1. *the parameter is multiplicative :*

$$
\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}, t_c \equiv t_1 * c \pmod{a}
$$

Corollary 2. *If c is even,*

$$
v_{c/2} = \frac{v_c}{2}, \text{ if } v_c \text{ is even}
$$

$$
= \frac{v_c + a}{2}, \text{ otherwise.}
$$

We deduce the following algorithm which will be used as an elementary component of the final algorithm: with  $(c, v)$ , and  $v$  as parameter of  $(E_c)$ , it returns the pair of integers obtained by dividing as many times as possible *c* by 2.

#### Algorithm 1 Function  $Div1(c,v)$



The parameter is obviously *linear* in *c*, but we only need the following proposition :

#### Proposition 3.

$$
\forall c, c' \in \mathbb{Z}, v_{c-c'} \equiv v_c - v_{c'} \pmod{a}
$$

Proposition 4. *We determine two particular values of the parameter :*

- *for*  $c = b \mod a$ ,  $v_c = 1$
- *for*  $c = -b \mod a$ ,  $v_c = a 1$

*Proof.* Case 1 : *c* = *b* mod *a*

Let *q* be the quotient of the Euclidean division of *b* by *a*. We obtain the following equality :

$$
-qa + b = c
$$

*ie* (−*q*, 1) is solution of ( $E_c$ ). Then  $v_c = 1$ 

Case 2 : *c* = −*b* mod *a*

Let us proceed in a similar way. Let  $q'$  be the quotient of the Euclidean division of −*b* by *a*. One gets the following equality :

$$
-q'a - b = c
$$

 $\Box$ 

*ie* (−*q*',−1) is solution of ( $E_c$ ). Then  $v_c = a - 1$ .

We will initialize the algorithm with these two values. A sole Euclidean division is performed before applying the binary algorithm.

#### 2.3 Presentation of the algorithm

Solving the problem of extended gcd with *a* and *b* being coprime integers leads to determine  $v_1$ . The algorithm **WWL1** proceeds by step: starting from the two values of *v<sup>c</sup>* given by the precedent proposition, it uses the corollary 2 of proposition 2 to determine  $v_1$ .

Once  $v_1$  has been determined, the complete solution is obtained by calculating:

$$
u_1=\frac{1-v_1b}{a}.
$$

Then  $(u_1, v_1)$  is a solution of  $(E_1)$ . However, since the Euclidean division of *b* by *a* is done at the beginning of the algorithm, computational time is saved with *a* < *b* by performing:  $u_1 = -v_1q + (1 - v_1r)/a$ , denoting *q* and *r* respectively the quotient and the remainder of the Euclidean division of *b* by *a*.

Algorithm 2 WWL1 Let *a* and *b* be two integers, with *a* and *b* coprimes and *a* an odd integer, returns a pair of integers  $(u, v)$  such that  $ua + vb = 1$  and  $v \in [0, a-1]$ 

*First step : initialization.*  $c_1 \leftarrow b - \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$  $\frac{a}{a}$ ]*a*,  $c_2 \leftarrow a - c_1$  $v_1 \leftarrow 1, v_2 \leftarrow a-1$  > initialization of the two values of parameter  $v_c$ .  $(c_1, v_1) \leftarrow Div1(c_1, v_1)$   $\rightarrow$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_1$  by 2.<br>  $(c_2, v_2) \leftarrow Div1(c_2, v_2)$   $\rightarrow$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_2$  by 2.  $\triangleright$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_2$  by 2. if  $c_2 < c_1$  then  $(c_1, c_2) \leftarrow (c_2, c_1), (v_1, v_2) \leftarrow (v_2, v_1)$ **end if**  $\triangleright$  we ensure that  $c_1 < c_2$ *Second step : iteration.* while  $c_1 > 1$  do  $c_2 \leftarrow c_2 - c_1$ if  $v_2 - v_1 < 0$  then  $v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - v_1 + a$ else  $v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - v_1$ end if  $\rightarrow \infty$  we modify  $c_2$  and we compute the associated parameter  $v_2$ .  $(c_2, v_2) \leftarrow Div1(c_2, v_2) \longrightarrow$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_2$  by 2. if  $c_2 < c_1$  then  $(c_1, c_2) \leftarrow (c_2, c_1), (v_1, v_2) \leftarrow (v_2, v_1)$ **end if**  $\triangleright$  we reassign  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  so as to have  $c_1 < c_2$ . end while  $\triangleright$  the loop is left when  $c_1 = 1$  $v \leftarrow v_1$  $u_1$  ←  $-vq$ ,  $u_2$  ←  $(1 - v r)/a$ *u* ← *u*<sub>1</sub> − *u*<sub>2</sub><br>**return** (*u*, *v*) **⊳** the solution is returned

#### 2.4 Validity of the algorithm

It can be noted that " $gcd(c_1, c_2) = gcd(a, b)$ " is a loop invariant. Moreover, since each iteration of the last loop **While**  $c_1$  or  $c_2$  decreases, this proves the *termination* of the algorithm.

According to the precedent propositions, another invariant is: " $bv_{c_i} - c_i \equiv 0$ (mod *a*), for  $i = 1, 2$ ". This finally proves the *correction* of the algorithm.

## 3 General case

It is easy to adapt the precedent algorithm to the general case where *a* and *b* are not assumed to be coprimes. Experimental tests highlighted that it is more advantageous to calculate *u* at the same time as *v* as the algorithm works. We do not handle  $(v, c)$  with  $v$  parameter  $(E_c)$  anymore, but  $(u, v, c)$  triplets checking the conditions  $ua + vb = c$  and  $v \in [0, a-1]$ . We divide as many times as possible *a* and *b* by 2 (which has a low computational cost) and because we can reverse *a* and *b*, we assume that a is an *odd* integer.

Algorithm 3 Function Div2(u,v,c) : Let  $(u, v, c)$  be a triplet checking the conditions  $ua+vb = c$  and  $v \in [0, a-1]$ , returns a triplet  $(u, v, c)$  checking these two conditions, obtained by dividing as many times as possible *c* by 2. We suppose *a* an odd integer

```
while c is even do
     if v is even then
           (u, v, c) \leftarrow (u/2, v/2, c/2)else
            (u, v, c) \leftarrow \left(\frac{u - b}{2}\right)\frac{-b}{2}, \frac{v+a}{2}\frac{a}{2}, \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{2}end if
end while
return (u, v, c)
```
*Proof.* Let us note first that, given  $(u, v, c)$  satisfying  $ua + vb = c$ ,  $(u - b, v + a, c)$ satisfies this same equation. We suppose that  $c$  is even. Let us show that we get necessary parities to process the algorithm:

If *v* is even, then  $ua = c - vb$  is even. As *a* is odd, this requires that *u* be even.

If *v* is odd :

- If *u* is odd, then *vb* = *c* − *ua* is odd, and so *v* and *b* are odd integers. Then *u* − *b* and *v* + *a* are even integers
- If *u* is even, then *vb* = *c* − *ua* is even and so *b* is even, and then *u* − *b* and  $v + a$  are even integers.

 $\Box$ 

We get directly that *v* remains in the interval  $\llbracket 0, a-1 \rrbracket$ .

*First step : initialization.*  $c_1 \leftarrow b - \lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$ *a*<sub>1</sub>*a*, *c*<sub>2</sub> ← *a* − *c*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>1</sub> ← 1, *v*<sub>2</sub> ← *a* − 1  $u_1 \leftarrow \frac{c_1 - v_1 b}{a}$  $\frac{dv_1v_2}{a}$ , *u*<sub>2</sub> ← 1 − *u*<sub>1</sub> − *b* **b** initialization of two triplets (*u, v, c*)  $(u_1, v_1, c_1)$  ← *Div*2( $u_1, v_1, c_1$ ) ► we divide as many times as possible  $c_1$  by 2.  $(u_2, v_2, c_2) \leftarrow Div2(u_2, v_2, c_2) \rightarrow$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_2$  by 2. if  $c_2 < c_1$  then  $(u_1, v_1, c_1, u_2, v_2, c_2) \leftarrow (u_2, v_2, c_2, u_1, v_1, c_1)$ <br>end if  $\triangleright$  we ensure that  $c_1 < c_2$ *Second step : iteration.* while  $c_1 > 0$  do  $c_2 \leftarrow c_2 - c_1$ if  $v_2 - v_1 < 0$  then *v*<sub>2</sub> ← *v*<sub>2</sub> + *a* − *v*<sub>1</sub>, *u*<sub>2</sub> ← *u*<sub>2</sub> − *u*<sub>1</sub> − *b* else  $v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - v_1, u_2 \leftarrow u_2 - u_1$ end if  $\triangleright$  we modify  $u_2$  and  $v_2$  so that they verify  $(E_{c_2})$ .  $(c_2, v_2) \leftarrow Div2(c_2, v_2)$   $\rightarrow$  we divide as many times as possible  $c_2$  by 2. if  $c_2 < c_1$  then  $(u_1, v_1, c_1, u_2, v_2, c_2) \leftarrow (u_2, v_2, c_2, u_1, v_1, c_1)$ <br>end if  $\triangleright$  we reassign  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  so as to have  $c_1 < c_2$ .  $c_2 \leftarrow c_2 - c_1$ **end while**  $\triangleright$  the loop is left when  $c_1 = 0$ **return**  $(u_2, v_2, c_2)$   $\rightarrow$  the solution is returned

*Proof.*  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  follow the same values as with the algorithm which computes the combined gcd (See Appendix), which proves that when we go out of the While loop,  $c_2$  is equal to the gcd of *a* and *b*.

Moreover, " $u_i a + v_i b = c_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ " is an invariant of the algorithm, which proves its correction.  $\Box$ 

## 4 Solution of the equation  $au + b^n v = 1$

We remind that  $v_c$  is the *parameter* of the equation  $(E_c)$  with  $(u_c, v_c)$  the normal solution of  $(E_c)$ . At first, we will define the parameter  $t_c$ . Then we will prove that the solution of the Diophantine equation  $au + b^n v = 1$  with *a* and *b* coprime integers and *n* a not null natural number, is written as a modular geometric sequence.

#### 4.1 Parameter *t<sup>c</sup>*

We let:  $t_c + v_c = 0 \equiv a \pmod{a}$  with  $t_c \in [0, a-1]$ 

The pair of integers  $(u_c + b, v_c - a)$  is solution of  $(E_c)$ . With  $x_c = u_c + b$ , this solution is written as  $(x_c, -t_c)$ .

**Definition 2.** Values of  $t_c$  and  $v_c$  are defined as being complementary values modulo *a*.

We named *complementary normal solution* of  $(E_c)$  the unique solution  $(x_c, -t_c)$ with  $x_c = (c + t_c b)/a$  and checking  $t_c \in [0, a-1]$ . We named  $t_c$  the *complementary parameter* of (*E<sup>c</sup>* ).

**Remark.** Because of relationship between the parameters  $v_c$  and  $t_c$ , arithmetic properties of both parameters are the same.

#### 4.2 Modular geometric sequence

We denote  $(E_1)^n$  the following equation:  $au + b^n v = 1$ . The complementary parameter of this equation is denoted  $t_1(n)$ .

**Definition 3.** A modular geometric sequence  $S_n$  is defined as follows:  $S_n \equiv q * S_{n-1} \pmod{M}$  with common ratio  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  and value  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**Proposition 5.** The complementary parameter  $t_1(n)$  of  $(E_1)^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  is written *as a modular geometric sequence:*

 $t_1(n) \equiv -t_1(1) * t_1(n-1)$  *(mod a)* 

*Proof*: The complementary normal solution of equation  $a(x_1(1))-bt_1(1)=1$  $(E_1)$ <sup>1</sup> is (*x*<sub>1</sub>(1)*,*−*t*<sub>1</sub>(1)). The complementary normal solution of equation *ax*<sub>1</sub>(*n*)−  $b<sup>n</sup>t_1(n) = 1$  (*E*<sub>1</sub>)<sup>*n*</sup> is (*x*<sub>1</sub>(*n*)*,*−*t*<sub>1</sub>(*n*)).

We assume that there exists an integer *z* such that  $b^{(n-1)}$  divides  $t_1(1) + za$ .

We let:  $t_1(n) = (t_1(1) + za)/b^{(n-1)}$  with  $t_1(n) \in [0, a-1]$ . The complementary normal solution of  $(E_1)^n$  is then  $(x_1(1) + bz, -t_1(n))$ .

The precedent *t*<sub>1</sub>(*n*) relation leads to solving the equation  $az + b^{(n-1)}(-t_1(n)) =$  $-t_1(1)$  denoted  $(E_{-t_1(1)})^{(n-1)}$ 

The *a* and *b*<sup>(*n*-1)</sup> coefficients are coprimes. Then there exists a pair of integers  $(z, -t_1(n))$  that solves the equation. Value  $t_1(n)$  can be written as follows:  $t_1(n) = t_{-t_1(1)}(n-1)$ . Parameter  $t_c$  is multiplicative (Corollary 1), so we get:  $t_1(n) \equiv -t_1(1) * t_1(n-1)$  (mod *a*).

The parameter  $t_1(n)$  follows a goemetric sequence modulo *a* with first term equal to  $t_1(1)$  and common ratio equal to  $-t_1(1)$ . So  $t_1(n)$  is written :

 $t_1(n) \equiv (-1)^{(n-1)} \cdot (t_1(1))^n \pmod{a}$ 

**Corollary 3.** *the parameter*  $t_1(n) \in [0, a-1]$  *is written depending on parity of n: Case 1*:  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, n = 2k$ :  $t_1(n) \equiv a - ((t_1(1)))^{2k}$  (mod *a*)) *Case* 2*:*  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n = 2k + 1$ *:*  $t_1(n) \equiv (t_1(1))^{2k+1}$  *(mod a)* 

**Corollary 4.** the parameter  $v_1(n)$  of  $(E_1)^n$  is written as modular geometric se*quence:*  $v_1(n) \equiv v_1(1) * v_1(n-1)$  *(mod a) and so*  $v_1(n) \equiv (v_1(1))^n$  *(mod a).* 

## 5 Conclusion

The solutions of a linear Diophantine equation depend on one parameter denoted  $v_c$  such that:  $(c - v_c b + hb, v_c - ha)$  with  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $v_c \in [0, a - 1]$ . Our algorithm, based on the determination of this parameter, controls the size of the final result but also the size of all the computational intermediaries.

The complementary parameter of a linear Diophantine equation  $au + bv = 1$ with *a* and *b* coprime integers is defined as  $t_1 + v_1 \equiv a \pmod{a}$ . When the *b* integer is raised to the power *n*,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the parameter  $t_1(n)$  is written as a modular geometric sequence.

### 6 References

- [B86] R. P. Brent. An improved Monte Carlo factorization algorithm. BIT 20, 176-184 (1980).
- [BK84] R. P. Brent, H. T. Kung, Systolic VLSI arrays for polynomial GCD computations. IEEE Trans. Comput. C-33, 731-736 (1984)
- [DLS15] Doran, Lu, Smith. New algorithms for modular inversion and representation by binary quadrtic forms arising from structure in the Euclidean algorithm, 2015. arXiv:1408.4638v2
	- [Ser] Denis Serre. Matrices, theory and Applications. Springer 2010.
- [L16] H. Leung, A Note on Extended Euclid's Algorithm, arXiv:1607.00106, 2016
- [B99] R. P. Brent. Further analysis of the Binary Euclidean algorithm. PRG TR-7-99. 1999

## 7 Appendix : Euclid algorithms

According to our knowledge the two main algorithms for the calculation of gcd are the Euclidean algorithm [L16] and its binary version [B99]. A combined algorithm which performs Euclidean divisions and divisions by 2 is presented.

```
Algorithm 5 Binary Euclid algorithm: BinaryGCD
Let a et b be two integers, return the gcd
  m = 0while a and b are even do
  m ← m + 1, a, b ← a/2, b/2<br>end while
                                               \triangleright Computation of common power of 2
  r_1, r_2 \leftarrow \min(a, b), \max(a, b)while r_1 > 0 do
      r_2 \leftarrow r_2 - r_1while r_2 is even do
          r_2 \leftarrow r_2/2end while
      r_1, r_2 \leftarrow \min(r_1, r_2), \max(r_1, r_2)end while
  return r_2 \times 2^mAlgorithm 6 Combined Euclid algorithm
```
